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## ABSTRACT

Examination of the first two graduating classes of students who had attended suburban Chicago's School District 151 (which was the first Northern school district to be desegregated by court order after desegregation was ordered in 1968) revealed that black students, whether from desegregated or from all-black elementary schools, enter high school with considerable disadvantage, which continues to the time of graduation. But, in srite of pervasive differences in achievement and attitudes, the variables of race and school district explain, at best, only one-fourth of the variation in achievement and attitudes. Individual differences within groups are much greater than differences between groups. As eighth graders, School District 151 black students scored more than one grade level abcve black students from other comparable school districts in both reading and mathematics; however, in high school, no differences either in academic achievement in high school nor in educational aspirations appeared between these students. White students from School District 151 were at a very slight disadvantage compared to white students from other districts. Black students did not exhibit less of a feeling of control over their environment than white students. Black students scored slightly higher in self-esteem, and were more likely to aspire to a college education than white students. School District 151 students did not have more favorable interracial attitudes than other students, but they were more likely to favor schocl desegregation. Data are presented on 12 tables. Appendices provide a map and the survey instruments. (BJV)
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" ... we're very fortunate to be the class of "76, the year of our country"s 200th birthday; because ever since we began being bussed when we were in first grade, we proved to ourselves and others that we can succeed in getting a good education no matter where we're taught. We demonstrated what being an American really is. It's not someone who cares about being black or white, but someone who takes on the responsibilities of improving, themselves as human beings, and who can learn to work well in any environment, with their fellow man. Many people pulled their children out of the distract when we started bussing, but a lot of us didn"t and although our parents made the decision of whether to keep us in District 151 or not. we had the most important decision which was whether or not we wanted to stick it out too, and strive to reach our highest goals.

Most of us did, and most of us will keep right on doing it through high school, college and whatever profession we choose in life ... So, on behalf of the Coolic'ge Class of 76 , I'd like to say farewell, not only as eighth grade graduates, but as the adults of tomorrow."

SFrom the graduation speech by Tracy Gurtatowski in 1976, reprinted in the CONGFESSIONAL FECORD, Vol. 122, No. 103, Washington, Tuesday, June 29, 1970, P. E 3677.)

In 1976, the year of Tracy Gurtatowski"s graduation speech, the students who graduated from School District 151 had entered the school sy'stem as first graders in 1968, the year of court ordered desegregation. This wonderful speech reflects the determination of many - paremis, students: teachers and other community residents - to make desegregation work; and the hope that it will allow all students to -reach their highest potential; whatever profession they choose. Four years later, in 1990, these same students graduated from high school. This study is an attempt, however, incomplete, to see how these dreams have held up. Have these students: now or the brink of becoming adults, really become Anericans who do not care about being black or white, but who take the repsonsibility of improving thernselves as human beings: and who can learn to work well in any environment, with their fellow man?

In this study, the academic achievements, the vocational aspirations and the racial attitudes of the first two grades of students who attended School District 151 after complete desegregation will be studied, rad compared to those of students who graduated from surrounding elenentary school districts. Some of these districts desegregated during the seventies, and this information will be taken inco consideration. Most of the other comparison districts: however: remain all white or all black school districts.

HISTORY OF SCHOOL DISTRICT 151
In 1968, School District 151 was the firsi Northern school disitrict to be desegregated by court order. The court order requiring district-wide busing of white and black students was issued by Judge Julius Hoffman in the Northern District of Illinois: Eastern Division and was upheld in appeals to the U.s Court of Appeals for the 7 th Circuit and by the U.S. Supreme Court.

School Distract isi is located in South suburban Cook County: an easy commute from Chicago. The District is composed of three communities, Sosich Holland, Harvey Highlands and Fhoeni:s which are geographically separate and politically, economically and racially distinct (see map of Schoal District in Appendi:). South Holland is an old Dutch farming community that experienced rapid growth during the fifties and sjities ass the result of new expressuays linking it to Chicago. It has been an all white community, and few black tamiljes have chosen tn move there. Harvey Highlands is a residential subdivision of the town of Harvey: constructed during the si»ties; it 15 an all white area. The village of fhoeni: is a small town, isolated from South Hollard by railroad tracks, vacant land and industrial areas. During the last two decacies. its
racial composition has changed froin white to mostly black. During tiee si:xies, its major business area annexed itself to the town of Harvey.

The racial change in Fhoenix eventually led to court ordered desegregation. Fhoeni:: students attended one of the District"s elementary schools, Coolidge. As Phoeni: became predominantly black, so did Coolj.dge Schoo!. Howevery some white students living near Coolidge were allowed to attend all-white Fioosevelt School, and busing was provided for them. A black child was denied access to Roosevelt School. Attendance areas had been defined along racial lines, although few families were affecied because of the low resideniial density of the disputed area.

During the 1960"s, the School District e:xperienced rapid growth, both due to high birth rates and magration of Chicago residents into the Southern suburbs. The increased student load required construction of new buildjngs in addition to the four existing elementary schools, Coolidge, Eiserthrwer, Madisori and Roosevelt. A recommendation was made to construct an integrated Upper Grade Center: located at a central location in the District. This recommendation was rejected by the School Eoard of School District 151, and two elementary schools were built instead: Kennedy School was built adjacent to Coolijge to accomodate black children from Fhoenix, and Taft Schoul was built for white Ahildren from Harvey Highlands and South Holland. This decision, combined with the manipulation of attendance areas for racial purposes, provided the evidence needed in court. Elack parents, who had also made many unsuccessful attempts to obtain equal resources for the students at Coolidge Schooly cooperated with the Justice Department in filing suit against School District 151.

After School District 151 lost its first battle in the litigations the School Eoard decided to fight on. Interestingly one of the factors in this decirion was a ruling by the State of Illinois in 1966 that., under existing State law, Gchool District 151. was not guilty of racial discrimination. The decision to appeal all the way to the Supreme Court had serious consequences for the School District: No preparations were made for desegregation or the busing of students; the superintendent responsible for deveioping the court approved desegregation plan was fired. The new superintendent found himself faced by hastily reorganized school district, with teachers assigned to new buildings and new bus routes when he was appointed one weet before school openfed.

The initial desegregation order in 1963 provided that Coolidge Gchooly located in Phoenis: be converted to an Upper Grade Center. White students in seventh and eighth grades were to be bused to Coolidge. Elack third through
sixth graders would be bused to the previously all white elementary" schools in South Holland and Harvey Highlands. Elack students in kindergarten through second grade remained at Kennedy school. After the first year, the court order was revised to provide for the busing of black k-2 students from Kennedy to the remaining white k-b schools. The students included in this sth.dy entered kindergarten and first grase in 1969; graduated from Coolidge in 1977 and 1978, and from high school in 1931 and 1992.

During the first year of desegregation, the school district experienced some minor violence. The court order had created community dissension, and until only a few years ago the Neighborhood Schools group, opposed to desegregation, was highly active and visible. About one third of the white students were withdrawn from the public schools, and enrolled in the many availabe parochial schools in the area. Two schools, Kennedy and Foosevelt, were eventually closed with Court approval. Enrollment has declined steadily since then; this is due primarily to the declining birthrates experienced in other school districts, although the steering by realtors of white families with school age children into other school districts can still be documented.

In 1969, the year of desegregation, black students made up about $22 \%$ of the school district"s student body. This increased rapidly after desegregation to just over 40\%. In recent years, black students have comprised about 45\% of the student population, and this perceritage has remained fairly steady. There has been no appreciable change in the racial composition of South Holland or Harvey Highlands.

The socioeconomic composition of students in School District 151 is reflected in Table 1 . While there may have bean changes since these data were collected by the U.S. Census in 1970, the relative status of the three communities has changed little. In 1990, Fhoeni:: was listed as the Chicago suburb with the lowest socioeconomic status. This reflects primarily the fact that it is a small all.black suburb. Its socioeconomic status is about the same as that of the black population of the city of chicago as a whole (rable 1): thus, it coes not represent the extreme poverty of some of Chicago's black areas. Many blacks living in Fhoeni\% think of it as a stable and safe resjdential area, with a sizeable middle-income population, and far from the problems of the inner city ghetto. Nevertheless, differences in racial composition between the three communaties composing the Gchool District are reinforced by differences in average income and education.

During the yaxrs immediately following desegregation, little outside aid was avail.able to help implement new
programs. In spite of this fact, School District 151 embarked right away on improving school program. Also, a considerable number of old staff members left the District in those years. Since the passage of both ESEA and ESAA, School District 1.51 has berefited from e:tensive federal funding for program assistance, with as much as $25 \%$ of the annual budget accounted for by federal aid. This became necessary to support a school system in which voters refused to increase a very low educational ta; rate by defeating twelve referenda in the years following court ordered desegregation.

Major new programs implemented in the School District since desegregation included a haghly structured reading skills program; teacher orientation programs focusing on the needs of students in the integrated classroom; a program of individually guided instruction with intensive teacher in-service; the use of master teachers in each building to assist with the development and implementation of new curriculum programs; an intensive Title I reading program; a community education program; efforts to increase involvement of perents in the educational program of their children; and a comminjty/school, school/communjty involvement program. In a 1.973 survey of teachers, teachers indicated some malaise about so many new programs. Eut these efforts proved successful, as achievement data collected since the begirining of desegregation show. There has been a decline in disabled readers in the District since 1968, and reading scores have improved steadily for both black and white students (Tables 2a-2e). Desegregation served to revitalize a very traditional education program. Thus, the effects of desegregation in School District 151 went far beyond the mixing of black and white students in the classroom. Many new programs were instjtuted, with extensive teacher in-service training and increased parent and community involvement in the schools. The impetus for many of these programs came from the guidelines outlined by various federal funding sources which were utilized by the District throughout the $1970^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ and early $1980^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$.

## OTHER FEEDER DISTRICTS

In this report, studerits graduating from School District 151 will be compared to students in High School District 205 who have graduated from other feeder districts. $A$ brief analyeis of these feeder districts is therefore necessary' to show the extent to which they are comparable to or different from School District 151 both $1 \pi$ racial composition and socioeconomic síatus. Two sources of information were used in this comparison: Data from the U.S. Census for 1770 and 1590 and interviews with administrators in each of the feeder dietricts (see Appendi: for interview form). : .

Data ..from the U.S. Census are represented in Table $Z$. These data were converted by Dr. Vernon Fohlman, of Illinais State Uriversity, from block ard tract level data to the level of school districts. Estimation errors exist where school district boundaries and tract boundaries do not overlap, especially when there are sharp differences between adjacent areas. Data reflecting socioeconomic status for these school districts were not yet available for 1980. These limitations - the possible inaccuracy of the estimates and the age of the data - should be kept in mind in the following analysis of Table 3 data.

The indicator most relevant for predicting the educational acnievement of students, the educational achievement of adults in the commurity, shows School District 151 exactly in the middle of the distribution for these school districte, with about eight percent of aciults over age 25 having completed college. Interestingly, measures of poverty and income show School District 151 both near the top and near the bottom: School. District 1 Si has the thard highest percentage of families with children below the poverty level, and the second highest percentage of families on welfare of all these school districts; yet its median income in 1970 was the second highest. These conflicting indicators probably reflect the divergence in economic status between whites and blacks residing in the District.

According to these datas thirty percent of elementary school children in School District 151 were attending private schools in 1970, two years after desegregation. Two other districts had a similar or higher percentage of private school enrollament Both of these districts are almost all-white: School District 149 and 150, both adjacent to School District 151. Fecent data from attendance lists and addresees provided by the private schools show that in 1991 thirty four percent of elementary sichool children in School District 151 attended private schools; admınistrators in School Distrıct 150 estimate that only about twenty five percent of students living in their school district: now attend private or parochial schools. Ir any case, private school emrollment in Schcol District 151 does exceed the average for other feeder schocis, probadly by about ten percentage points, ano this difference 15 most likely accounted for by school deesegregation.

The racjal composition of school distracts feedirg into High School Dastrict 205 varies widely. In 1.7\% , the population in five of these districts was less than two percent black; three districts, among them School District 151, were twenty to twenty five percent blacky and in only one district was there a blact majority. Ey 1980, the blact:
population.. had increased very slightly in the nearly all-white school districts; iri districts with a eubstantaal black population 101970 the proportion black had increased appreciably by 19s0, e::cept for School Distruct 151, where the black population remained stable at about nineteen percent. Ey 1980, two school districts had a black majoriby This indicates the potential for racial desegregation in school districts other than 1 E1.

Information collected from superintendents and assistant superintendents in these school districts indicates that the black school enrollment percentage generally e::ceeds the percentage blacl: in the populationin any district with at least a twenty percent black population. In School District 147, all schools are now predominatly black, with few white students in attendance. In 1975, the school district started busing for racial balance. Ir, School District 152, where sixty one percent of the population is bjeack; the school population is about ninety percent black. Dine of the k-b schoole remains predominantly white, all others are almost eritirely black. The Upper Grade Center is integrated, but only a small percentage of studenis are white. In School District 152 1/2, where thirty nine percent of the population were blact: in 1980, the schools are about fifty percent buack. The School District has desegregated voluntarily under the IIlinois Armstrong Act, and forty percent of the students are bused for racial balance. In School District 143, where the black populatin has increased from zero to five percent between 1970 and 1980, black students, still by far in the minority, are concentrated primarily in one of the elementary echools.

Thus, School District 151 is one of three school district feeding into High School District 20 where students have eiperienced some degree of desegregation $1 \pi$ the 1970\%s. In one of these districts, desegregation resulted in rapidly changing sctools, and $k-6$ schools are presertly mostly segregated. In the other school district, desegregation was adopied as ofticial school board policy, but affected high echool seniors in this study only for the last few years of their elementary school education.

The high school district, School District 20s, presently has a black student enrollnent of forty-four percent. One of the high schools, Thornton, is nore than two thirds black, but as students from School Distract 151. do not attend Thornton, it was net lacluded in this study. Both of the other high schools are predominantly white, with fifty elght percent of the students white at Thornridge, seventy five at Thornwood. Among nonwhite studente, there $i s$ a shall Hispanic and Aslan mis nority; most nonwhite siudents are black.

In 1955, $\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{gh}$ atteridance: bcundaries students in 3 ts two operiang of thorriwood $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{gh}$ Schcol 1 n 1971; attendarice boundaries were redrawn to equalize rac.al proportions in the three higfi schools, resulting $2 n$ greatly inereased busing.

In the student questionnaire, respondents were a ked to indicate the racial composition of their elementary schools. These data will be presented later in inis report. and will be utilı=ed in the statisticai data analysis.

Eefore the findings of this study are discussed, a brief report on the method of collecting data for this study will. be recessary.

## STUDY DESIGN

This is a study of seriors about to graduate frem two high schools in District 20E, Thorniridge and Thornwo.nd, the two high schools which receive students from elementary School Di三tract 151. Two sets of data were collected for these senzors: Guestionnampes were administered prior to graduation $1 n$ late May of 1931, and again in April of 1992; and high school counselors collected data from high school records for seniors graduating in 1932. The forms tised for the self- administered questiennaire and the couriselor-collected data can be found $2 n$ the Appendi: of this report.

The student questiermajre was aimed at asessing students" high echool achmevenents; both academic and e:itracurricular, their aspirataons for the future, and their interracial attitudes. Several sources were utilized in developirig the questions for this self-administered form. A najor portion of the high school questionnaire used in the fiigh School and Eeyond study was used, with the permission of the National Opinion Fesearch Center. Developinent of this iristrument bas funded oriqumally by NIE. Major sections of the attıtude anventory were obtained from a high scheol questionnaire developed $t$ the syotem Development Corporation, and used wath their ecneent. This instruneni - the Student Intergroup kelations Measure - was developed b, SDL wath tunds from HEW, and is used regularly by hisu sc.iool districts nationwide.
fifter admianstration of thas questionnarre in May of and a proilminary anal:sis ot the resuiting data, some
 - movelved the recequencing of sone 1 tems frace, uye
and sex): these questions are now at the beginning of the instrumenty so that thie information is available for students who do not complete the entire form. Some questions considered margunal to the purpose of this study were eliminted from the original questionnaire, which was too long. Eecause of the large number of 1 tems defining $c$ ch of the intergroup relations measures, the number of these itens "was reduced for each questionnaire. Two versions of the questionnaire were prepared, each containing alternate quescions from the intergroup relations measures. This sampling of items allowed a considerable reduction in the number of questions to be answered by each student, without giving up any of the items of the original Intergroup Relations Measures.

Questionnaires were given to all seniors at Thornridge and Thormwood high schools in late May of 1981. At Thurnridge, questionnares were administered in the cafeteria at a mass meeting; at Thornwood, forms were filled out in homerooms. Mass administration proved time consuming, with students taling much longer than in small. groups. Students were also less likely to complete questionnaires in the larger settıng. Questionnaires were therefore administered in small groups at both schools in 199.

In 1991, eight hundred and twenty three students completed the questionmaires. One hundred tharteen questionnaires were completed only partially, and these were not keypunched. Elecause questionnaires were administered after senior e:sams had been taken, some seniors had already left school or were attending irrecjularly. Completion rate was therefore only $64 \%$ of all. seniore graduating in 1981.

The completion rate was muct, better in 1982, due to a conbination of frictors: shortening of the questionnaire, earlier administration during the year, administration $1 n$ smaller groups, and omission of $I D$ numbers from the questionnaires. Eleven hundred and fifty students completed the questicmaire, out of a total of twelve hundred and nimety seniors, for a completion rate of $89 \%$. This is acceptable.

For purposes of analysis, the 1981 and 1982 student questionnaires were combined, as no significant differences could be fourd between the groups that wculd indicate the nsed to keep them separate.

In addition to the self-administered student questionnaire, high school counselors cooperated in obtaining data from students for a sample of 1982 seniors. The data include elghth grade achievement scores administered prior to admission by the high school
district, indicators of high school academic achievement, extracurricular activities and discipline and attendance records.

The student sample included all School District $15 i$ graduates in the senior bias, and a random sample of students from other public elementary schools which feed into Thornridge and Thornton. Elecause of the many variables that affect choice of private versus public schols, private school students were not included in the sample. The sample of public school students was stratified by race to duplicate the racial composition of School District 151. In 1978, a total of one hundred and thirty ore students graduated from Coolidge School in School District 151. Of these, $58 \%$ went to Thornirsige, $32 \%$ went to Thorriwood. Two hundred and eighty students were included in the public school sample, including eighty seven black students and eighty eight white students front Thornridge, and fifty two black: and fifty three white students from Thornwocad. The sample was obtained by randomly picking students from a senior class list. which contained information on race and school of origin.

Of the one hundred and thirty one students graduating from Coolidge $1 \pi$ 197s, seventy five gradated from High School District 205 in 1982. Of the fifty six others most had moved and transferred to an other school district prior to entering their senior year, some had dropped out of school.

Both student questionnaires and student data forms were precoded. In 1981, approximately half of the information on self-administered questionnaires was transferred to IEM data sheets by School Distract 131 personnel. The remainder of the data, and all data collected in 1982, were keypunched under a contract with the Evaluation and Research section of the University of Illinois Department of Education: under the supervision of Dr. Harriet ralmage. A random check's of errors an keypunching showed the error rate to be: ifs than . $5 \%$, a very low margin of error.
information about the number of cases included in the study, both from self-admiristered student questionnaires and from data collected by counselors iss summarized in Table 4. These numbers are not reproduced in the following tables. In the data collected by counselors, there are few missing values, so that all of the information in the statistical tables 15 based on the entire sample. In the student sample, mussing values range around ten percent of the cases, and do not exceed twenty percent. Thus, the number of cases in the tables is always somewhat smaller than the number in table 4 . The exact numbers were not included in the statistical tables to simplify ty the

## DATA ANALYSIS

## ACHIEVEMENT DATA

One of the major goals of school desegregation is the improvement of student achzevement: particularly that of minority studerics denied "equal opportunity" in desegregated schools. Thus, one of the major aims of this study was to assess the achievement of black and white students from a desegregated elementary school system at the completion of high school. At this stage in the lives of these students, achievement means, primarily, academic achievement in high school. But future achievement will be related to job aspirations as well. Some researchers have also hypothesized that attitudes about the self and the percelved level of control over the environment are predictors of adult achievement. All of these factors will be e:amined here.

## Eighth Gracie Ferformance

Frior to entry into hagh school, during eigth grade, prospective hagh school students entering Distrıct 20 are given a battery of standardized achievement and ability tests. In this studys courselors were asked to obtain these data for students in our sample, to allow a comparison of eighth grade achaevement of students in School District 15j. with students from other public elementary schools. Data for the reading, mathematics, and Dle (Developmental. Intelligence Quotient) wall be persented in the following section.

Table 5 presents the results of a multiple classificataon analysus in which the outcome of these tests is presented by race and by school district. The first three collums of the table show the significance level of race and school district in a two-way analysis of variance, as well as the sagnificance of interaction between school district and race. Column four shows the grand mean of each variable for the entire sample. The neit two columns show the deviation from the grand mean for blacl:s and whites in the sample, respectively, adjusted for the effect of school dustrict. Columns seven and ejght give the devaations from the grand mean for students from Schocl District 151 and other public school districts, respectively, adjusted for the effects of race. The last column of the table shows the total proportion of the varıation $1 n$ the dependent variable explainerd by race and
schoos district combined.
Tathe 5 shows that reading, mathematics and DIO scores in eighth grade differ significantly between black: and white students, at the .001 level of significance or beyond. The deviation from the grand mean shows that thas difference is not only statistically significant, but of educational imp̈ortance. In reading: black students scome 1. 72 GE's below the mean of 7.67 for all students, white students score $1 . \%$ GE"s above, adjusting for the effects school districts might have on such achievement. Thuss assuming black students 1 n this study had gone to the same kirids of schools as white students, their reading score in eighth grade would be 5.75, about three gE's below the national norm. White students score 9.43 in reading, about half a year above national norns for eighth grade. The difference between blact: anc white students; accounted for by race 玉lone and not differences in school distrıcts, 15 3. $6 \boldsymbol{G E}$ GE.

In mathematics, these blact: students entered high school similarly disadvantaged, with a mean math score 1.49 GE's below the mean of all studente, compared to white students who scored 1.85 GE's above the means for a difference between thate and black students of 2.93 ge"s: again, this difference contrals for the potential effect of school. quality. In mathematics white students score slightly above the rational mean of 8.8 GE's, black students score more than two GE's below.

Differences in achievement are paralleled by differences in the DIG measure, which is less directly affectsd by the immediate content of school learning. The difference between the two racial groups amounts to 19.64 points, with white students about five points above the expected mean of one hundred, black students fifteen points below. Whale these differences in Dig appear great, j.t must be pointed out thai both race and school district combined e:rplain a very small amount of the variation in pro, less than four percent. Thus, there is a large degree of overlap between black and white students in the distribution of DIE, with a wide range of values both for black and white students. The factor of race is a much more powerful variable in explaining toth reading and mathematics scores.

The effects of school district, the major variable of interest in this analysis, on reading, mathenatics and Dre are not statistically significant, although differences in mathematics are close to signifacant. The differences that do e:ist favor School District 151 over other putic school districtsu although variations within school districts so far exceed those between that these differences should not be emphaシized.

Interestingly, there is significant interaction between sc̈hool district and race for both achievement variables, reading and methematics. This means that the effect of school district differs for blac: and white students. Eecause of the significance of the anteraction term in thas analysis, eighth grade achjevement data are presented separately for black and white students.

As the second pari of Table 5 shows clearly, itie effects of school district on reading and math scores are significant for black students beyond the .os level of significance. The grand mean in reading for black students is 5.75 EE"s, but black students in School District 151 scored 1.00 GE's above this average, indicating a mean of 6. 31 GE"s; black students from other putilic school districts feeding into District 205 scored . 27 GE's below the mean. Thus the difference in reading scores between black students 10 School District 151 and black students from other feeder distrıcts in eighth grade is one and one third grade levels, which is signifacant both staíistically and educationally.

The differences in math achievement are even greater, with black students from School District 1.51 outscoring other black students by 1.84 GE" 5 . Thus, black students in School District 15. appear to have educational advantages that are expressed clearly in standardized achievement scores.

The same advantage does not obtain for white students in School District 15i, as the next section of Table $\bar{G}$ indicates. Achievement scores of white students in School District 151 are slightly below those in other puticic school districts, although these differences are not stati $\equiv t i c a l l y$ significant.

These findings are in consistent with those desegregation studies which have found sagnificant improvement in educational achievement for blacl: students as a result of desegregation. with no negative efferts of desegregation for winite students.

Could these findirigs be accounted for by factors other than the improved educational climate, particularly for black. students, which resulted from the many programs instituted by School District 151 after desegregetion? Achievement is highly correlated with parents. socioeconomic status, and this variable, for example, rather thari factors accompanying desegregation, could possibly account for the differences that have been found. There is, however, no evidence that the socioeconomir status of the population 1 n School Distract $1 E 1$ is hagher then that of surroundirg areas, or that the black residents of Fhoeri.: are more affluemt than blacks in other feeder
districts (see Tables 1 and 3). No measure of socioeconomic status was availabe to echool counselors, but Diel can be used as a provy for this variable. It 35 a measure that 15 more likely to be influenced by diffuse baclaground factors than by schoolirig.

Table $b$ presents the effects of race and school district on reading and mathematice achievement when DIC! is coritrolled, for all students, as well as for black and white students separately, Controlling for DIG reduces the effects of school district for bleck students slightly, but blact: students 1 n School. District 151 still maintain a considerable advantage.

For white students, controlling for DID renders the difference $1 n$ reading scores between District 151 students and white students from other feeder schools statistacally significart. White students from District. 151 scored . 78 GE"s below students from other public feeder schools with comparable DIO"E. The difference in mathematics for white students al玉o favors students from other feeder schoole, although the difference is not statistıcally significant.

This is an unusual finding in desegregation researchs yet it appears from these data that white students in District 151 are at a slight jisadvantage compared to white students graduating from other public elementary schools in eighth grade. It may be attributable to the fact: that School vistrict 151 has had a very high proportion of biack students since 1970 (well over forty percent), a situation that is unusual for desegregated school districts. White students might be less affected educationally by the presence of a small proportion of black students with low educational achievement than by the presence of a large percentage of such students.

Yet, a look at Table $2 b$ shows that desegregation per se is not litely to be the cause of the slightly lower achievement of white School District 151 students. There have been significant gains in reading achievement for these students since desegregation, so that desegregation cannot be assumed to have affected them adversely.

Two other possible explanations come to mind. In the past, the educational ta: rate in Schoal District 151 has always been low, among the lowest in Coot County, Itlinois. Even prior to desegregation and the defeat of twelve sohool ta: referenda, paying for excellence in education has not been a priority of ta: payers in this school district. One hypothesis, therefore, is that white students in school District 151 woud have scored even further below thear peers in surrounding districts prior to desegregation and the significant efforts to improve school program. there is some support for this hypothesis 3.n the data, with the
combination of improving white scores on achievement tests, yet white students scoring less well than students from other feeder districts.

Another hypothesis involves the large percentage of white students $3 \pi$ School Distract 151 enrolled in private schools. Dur data in the next sec:tion will show that private school students are more ambitious than students from other schools: School District 151. may be losing a larger proportion of such students to private schools than other school districts. While this hypothesis is plausible, there is little evidence to support it in our data. In fact, Table 5 shows School District 151 students, both white and black, have slightly higher DIE scores than other public school students. If the "cream of the crop" in School District 1 F 1 were more likely to attend private schools than in other public school districts, as is sometimes assumed, this should be reflected in differences in DIO favoring other public schools: rather than the reverse.

In summary: it appears that desegregation and the educational improvements that followed it greatly benefited black students in School District 151. Their achievement scores in reading and mathematics are far above those of comparable blact: students in surrounding school districts, most of which were either all black or segregated at the time these students attended elementary school, prior to 1978. Nevertheless: black students in School District 151 achieve far below the national norm in both reading and mathematicsis and a significant gap remains in the achievement of white and black students within the School District.

Compared to those in other public school districts feeding into District 205, white students in School District 151 do slighily worse 10 both reading and mathematics. The difference in reading achievement 15 the only one that achieves statistical significance, and only when DIE is controlled. Since reading scort $=$ of white students have improved significantly since desegregatiom. it is not: likely that this small ditference is due to desegregation or the resulting educational prograns. Father, white students probably have benefited from the increased e:spenditures that have been made possible by obtainıng federal funds after desegregation. White students in school districts more willing to ta: themselves; may benefit from greater expenditures for gifted programs or other enrichment programs which need to be funded, for the most part, from local taxation.

High School Ferformance
In addition to the eighth grade data, high school counselors collected data from student records on types of courses tat:en, grades, as well as several other indicators of high school achievement. While the effect of race is significant for most of these variables, the effect of school district is, on the whole, not statistically significant. Table 7 summarises these data, and fable 8 presents the same information with DIC controlled.

Clearly, the data for high school performance do not replicate the pattern of eighth grade achievement. while analysis of variance shows that race signiticantly affects $a 11$ but two of these variatbles (suspensions and absences); the effects of school district are significant only for one variable, une:cused absences. Unly one racesschool district interaction is sigrificant (eleation to honor societaes); for all other variables the effect of elementary school district is the same for white and blact students. Thus: e::cept for the relatavely small number of students anvolved in election to honor students, the advantages blacl: students had graduating from School District 15.1 are no longer significant aftor four years of high school.

While school district does not have a statistically significant effect on the high school performance variatbles in Tables 7 and 8 , it is noteworthy that none of the differences that do e:ist favor students from School. District: 151 . Disirict 151 students take more low level and fewer advanced courses: they tal:e fewer foreign language courses, have a lower grade posint average, take slightly fewer college admissions tests: are subject to more major suspensions: and have more une:xused absences \{only this last difference reaches statistical significance).

A separate analysis of these data, not presented in tabular form, was performed for white and blacl: studente separately. The pattern of findings in Tables 7 and $\Xi$ replicate well the fincings for both white and black students. Unljke in eighth grade, black students from School District 151 do not show superiority on any variables compared to black students from other feeder districte at the end of hagh school, e:cept that elightly more of them are chosen to belong to honor societies.

Two variables, self-esteem and feeling of control over one"s fate, were originally hypothesized as intervening variables, explaining at least part of the causal lint tetween school district or level of segregation and achievement or aspirations at the time of high school graduation. Since the causal lint between the major indpendent and dependent variasles is 50 weat: in these data, further analysis was not pursued. It may be noted,
however, ..that black students had a higher level of self-esteem than white students, and that students from private schools scored higher on the measure of control than public school students.

In summary, it appears that black students fron s-hool. District 151 §o not maintan therr eductional advantage in high school, and, except for ore variable which affects only a small elite of students, election to horior societies, the small, statistically nonsignaficant differences that do exist favor blacl: studente from other public school districts. White studentss trom School District 151 are consistently outscored by white students from other public school districts by a small margin. These differences are not statistacally sagnificant, and school district accounts for only a minute fraction in e:plarning the variance in these achievement variables. In any case, it: can be concluded positively that atiending School. District 151 during elementary school years does not. provide a measurable educational benefit to black students at the time of high school graduation, and white students may be at a small disadvantage compared to white students from other districts.

The reasons for this pattern of outcomes can only be subject. to speculation. Considering their eighth grade performance, black students from School District 151 could have beer expected to do better than their peers an high school. One possible explanation is the low absolute score of black students: whether from School District 151 or other feeder districts, on the reading and mathematics achievement tests. For purposes of placement in high school courses, it may not mate too much of a difference whether students are two or three years behind grade level. Certainly, black students in these two high schools are much more litsely to tat:e remedial courses than white students (4.sif more level $E$ courses. according to the information in Table 7), and this can be explamed by thenr lower eighth grade achievenent scores. An alternative hypothesis is also possible: Elack students may be more likely to be stemered into low level courses, or not encouraged to tabe advanced courses, regardless of their elemeritary school achievement. Eoth of these hypotheses would explain the erosion of the educational advantage with which blach: Distrjet 1 Sil studente enter hagh school by the time they graduate from high school.

Student Feported Achievement and Educational Aspirations
In the data collected from students, no signjficant differences emerged 1 in educational achịevement between students from School District 151 and students from other public elementary schools (Table 3). As an the counselor data, race was a significant variable in all academic hish
scr:001 performance variables. Table 9 also shows that private school students are signtificantly more lifely to want a college education, and they are more likely to belaeve the, will actueily achieve the desired education. In accerdence with findings that have been reported $2 n$ previous research, blact: students are as itiely as white studerits (in fact, slightly rare likely) to desire a college education, in spite or the fact that trey have tatsen significantiy fewer college preparatory high sohool courses, and have been less lil:ely to take college admissions tests thar white studente. Neither attending a desegregated elementary school district nor attending a desegregated high school has affected these unrealistic e:pectations.


#### Abstract

In the student questionnaire, it was possible to assess the exteni of elementary school. desegregation experienced by each studeni. This variable was entered anto a regression equation, along with race and type of school district. This variatle, measuring the perceived (and remembered) proportion of black and white students in third, sixth and eighth grades was used as an alternative measure of desegregation, $2 s$ some students who did not attend School District 151 may have come from other desegregated school distracts. This variable dad not work better than School District in explairing actual high school achievement or educational aspirations, and the analysis is therefore not reproduced here.


## ATtitude data

Equality of opportunity, particularly increased achievement by blach. students, $i s$ the major gaol of school desegregation. A second, less easily measured, goal is the establishment of racial harmony among young people. Improved interracial at.titudes have beer documented in some desegregated school districts, not in others.

In this study, a large portion of the student questionnaire was cevoted to measuring student anterracial attitudes arid behaviors. The attatucle measures used in thas study were developed by the System Development Eorparation, and have been used as part of an ESAA Human Felations ghudy in school districts across the nation. They aseess both intergroup attitudes and the extent to which students engage in intergroup activities. iable 10 presents a sunimary of findings anvolving these variables.

A quick glance at the first two columns of this table shows that: race is a sigrificant variable affecting almost al of the attitude 1 tems in thas study; school district 1 ; sigrificant only in predicting one of the attitude
measures. Elact: students report significantly more intergroup. contact than white students within the school settings they are more libely than white students to tall about academic matters with students of the other races and the; report more contact with white students during extracurricular activities. This probably reflects in part. the racial composition of these two high schoolss in whach the majority of students are white. It race were ro barrjer at all to studerit interawtions, both blact: and white students would bes most likely to interact with other white students. There are no statistically significant differences between racial groups in social intergroup contact outside of school. White students are signifıcantly more lifeely than blact: students to report having avoided participating in an activity or joining a group because of the presence of students of the other race. Elach students iri this study also indicate a much more favorable attitude toward white students than white students report about blact: students. Finally, blacl: students perceive the school climate as being unfair more often than white students: they feel it is more ciifficult for blact students to get things done in the schools or to get elected to office by other studerits. Thusy black students feel more powerless to affect school level decjsions than white students: either through the student council. or through the principal; other administrators or teachers in the high school.

School District 151 students differ significantly fron other students only in one respect: They view school desegregation more favorably. Thus, it can be assumed that they view their years in a desegregated elementary school systen as a positive euperience. GuEstions in this intergroup relations measure of attitudes about school desegregation are best summarized by one of the atems: "I consider getting to know students of other races and ethnic groups a valuable part of my education." Thuss, School District 151 students value desegregation for its own sat:e, and are more litely to favor continued deseqregation as a matter of public policy than students from other echool districts.

In the next part of this analysis, the effect of the racial composition af the school district on attitude variatles will be examined. In the questionnare, students were asked what proportion of thear thard, si:th arid eaghth grades were blact. Ariswers ranged from one (no blacl: students) to five (all Etudents black), with three representing the middle (inalf blact and inalf white). These answers were summed to obkain a variable ranging from a 1 ow value of three (all three gracies all white) fo fifteen (all three grades all blact: . This variable was entered into a regression analysis: along with type of school district (private versus public, and School District 151 versus other school districts, boti dummy variables) and races.
with each of the attiturde variables as a dependent: variable. As in the case of academic pertmmance variables, this varzable does not have better predictive power than school district. The only variable that predicts interracial attitude fairly consistently is the race variable. The regression fandirigs replicate the firidings seen $1 n$ the smalysis of variance and the multiple classification " analysis: Whites have less positive attitudes atout biacks than blacts have sbout whites, and whites report fewer intergroup contacts in all types of settings.

Table 12 shows the racial composition of schood. districts reporterl by studente, both by race and school district. In this tables a value of three represents an all white school system, a value of fifteen an all black one. Values in-between can mean either a mi:ed school system; or a school syEten in which racial. composition has changed between the student"s third and eighth grades. In the first part of the tables it can be seen that School Dietrict 15 E does not differ from the average racial composition of other feeder districts in this report; thas average, however, 15 a combination of other districts, some of whach are mostly white, some mostly black. More 1 mportant is the sıgnificant intersction ot school district andrace. White students iri School District 151 have attended a school system with a larger proportion of black students then the average white student in other feeder schools, and blarth studerts have atterided a school system with a larger proportion of white stuclents than blacl: etudents in other feeder distiricts.

In the second half of labue iz it can be seen that this 1 nide: has a value of 11.76 for the average blacl: stüents representing a predominantly black classroom environment at all three grade levels. Elach: students in School District 351 report liaving had more white classmates than blact studerits in any otfier school dietrict; except for four students iri District $14 \bar{y}^{\circ}$

For white students: this ande: averages 4.54, with most white students liaving attended all white schools for most of theur elementary schooling. white students from District 151 report a value $1 . B^{t}$ pointe above the average. shown fig the larger proportion of blact: students in the District. However, white studente from two other school districts: 152 and 15 1/2, report even a larger proportion of blacl: students in their elementary schools. This perception 1 probably correct. njetrict 152 is now majority blacing with a desegregated lpper Eirade Coriter; in Schcol District 15c 1, all Elemertary schoole are presently half talecl: and half white, and students Etiendirua this distirict during the seventies mixperaenced desegregated classmooms. biale stadent memorife of the racial
composition of their elementary schools are thus a farly accurate "reflectaon of school distract reality, thas variatile has little e:planatory power either in relataon to the achievernent variables discussed 1 n the previous section of this report or $2 \pi$ relation to these attitude variables.

A reading* of students" conments in response to a question about the advantages of attendirig an integrated high school or elementary school are pertiaps nore interesting than the statistical data presented in this report. While desegregation at the elementary level does not to have an apprecialbe effect on many interracial attitudes measured in this study, many students report havirig changed their attitudes about the other racial group while in a desegregated school setting. It appears; however, that chariges for the better are balanced out by changes for the worse.

Eoth black and white students commented on the disparity in educational background and educational achievement in high school between blact: and white students. Some black students saw this as a challenge, but many indicated that they had to struggle to get good g-ades. Some white students considered this disperity to be a problem in the classroom, causing too much emphasis on remedial education in the high school. A few took it as evidence of the genetic inferiority of blacks. The difficulty of copang with thas difference without becoming prejudiced was evident in the statements of many white students; one of these is reprinted here: "I feel that blacks and whites attending the same school is a disadvantage for the simple reason that blacks tend to come from a lower social ecoromic background and are thus iriferior both academically and socially to whites. This tends to create undue stress and tension. Elacks are not genetically inferior, yet they are socially inferior to many (not all) of the whites at Thomridge."

Tension between blacls and whites is referred to, boich by black and white students, 1 r these conments. There have beer some miror raciad disturbances in this school district, and one of the high schools in this study had to be closed for one day bocause of an outbreat of interracial fightang. Most black students and some of the white respondents blame these problems on white prejudice: "The disadvantage 15 that some of the people are prejudiced. Host clubs are najority white. We are not given equal representation on the clubs or events." Some white students see black students as being pushy arid ganging together ageinet them. Thus, some of the real problems which do e:ist between these racial groups are retlected in the attitudes expressed by students.

Conversely, however, a large number of students e:pressed favorable attıtudes about studerits of the ether race. These students often comment that they have foumd good friconds amang students of the other race. "I was really never e:posed to a different race when I was little ... I have a blacl girl friend, and I"m glad I got to linow her on a persenal basis ....". "Unylke my friends in privete school ${ }^{5}$, I have lost my fear of wher races by being $1 \pi$ contact with them."

Many of the students commented on the value to them of meeting many peaple of different races and ethnic gr ups, and e:pressed satisfaction in Jearning about different. cultures and wavs of doing things. Several students nerition overcoming their parents" prejudice about the ottar race. for e:ample: "All the stereotypes 1 have lived with were prover fajse. I can riow say that l have friends of the Caucasian persuasion."

One of the mast prevaleni student :omments, however, shows naither great adealism and brotherly love nor great prejudice, either, but rather a sense of realisfi.. Here 15 one e:iample: "Going to an integrated school gives you a previen of the real world. I cannot understend now segregation can te considered, because when we go out into the real world we will then encounter people of all races. If someone has not oeen prepared for this, but sheltered in an urireal worjd of one race, they will not be able to adjust to the change as easily as they would if they had attended an ritegrated school."

There are many factors that can account for differences and chariges in attıtudes 1 n a desegrequted school system. Une of the major variabies that has been associated with racial at.tılude changes as a result of social contact is the similarity between the two groupe, their social status and values. Thus, students in advanced courses attended iy well propared black studentes may have favoratle experlemess; in other conte:its, students niay come away whth negative attitudes. Ong astute student summerızed many years of social therrizing and research when she wrote: "It is an advantage to attend an integrated high school ... F.E. clesses and lurich periods ceuse the most probleme because all sorts of students are mised tagether. Eut problems occur here between the different types of people of the sama races, too."

In sumarys rieather attendarice in School Distirict 151 nor tine racial composition of the echool district atterided showed much of an effect on Etudent sttitudes, although Dietrict 1 til students hed more favorsble attitudes about the value of erhool desegregetıori. In reading individual student commente, it appesrs that desegregation at the high, sctiod level has reduced fear and prejudice for many
studenis: fostering interracial friendshipsa but for other students ït hes reinforced old stereotypes and created feelings of hostility. Elact students generally appreciate the quality of education they are receiving in a predomimantly white Echool: but thoy aiso feel powerless and many deplore the prejudice that eilsts against students of their own race. Nevertheless; alack: students on the whole have more favorable attitudes about white studente than white stuments have about them.

SUMIAARY AND CONCLUSION
In 197E, Nancy St. John reviewed a large number of studies of desegregated school disiricts to evaluate the effect of desegregation on student achievement and interracial attotuder 10 her bool: SCHOUL DESEGFRGATICN: OUTCOME FOF GTLDENTE (New York: John wiley \& Sons). Although sine recommended against mandatory desegregation, favoring voluntary measures instead, a preponderance of the studies she reviewed showed achievement gains for biack: students: with only four studies showang negative effects, and fifteen of the sixty four studies, she reviewed showed no statistically significant effectss of desegregation. St. John"E arialysis also showed the effect of school desegregation on student attitudes to be mi`ed; particularly school desegregation can lower the self-esteem of black: students who enter the system with educational disadvantages.

If this research had teen a study of eighth grade achievement of students in a desegregated school system, it would add ore more "case" to St. John"s studies that show a positive effect of desegregation on black: student achievement. School District 151 black situdents score more than one grade level above blacl: students from other comparable school di三tricts in bosh reading and mathematics, and this difference holds when DIG, a measure of lo not greatly affected by school learnimg. js controlled. The differences are both statistically and educationally highly significant.

When these same students were studzed at the time of high school graduationy however: no ditterences either in acadsmic achuevement ir high school nor in educationel aspirations appeared between blact; students from desedregated arid segregated elementary schools. Thus: the initial educational advantages blact: students gained in a distirict that made many efforts to improve educational qumlity after desegregation were lost by the time of hagh school graduation.

Although findings for whate students iri thas study are
less clear cut and, on the whole, not statistically signifıcarit. from School. compared to white students from other high school feeder districts, both at. the time of eighth grade graduation and in high school achievement. These small differences may well. be due to variables that cannot be controlled in this study, rather ethan to the efferts of desegregation, but control for studeni Dle increases rather than decreases the differences.

In this study, black students were not found to e:hibit less of a feeling of control over their environment than white students, but private school students were found to be more likely to attrabute success to effort than to chance, thus scoring higher on the measure of control. Elack students scored slightly higher in self meteem in this stuly, contrary to many of the findings ru aewed by Nancy St. John. As in many studies since the Coleman - Report. black students were more likely to aspire to a college education than wite students. These aspirations are unreajstically high, as black students were also much less lifely to pursue a course of action that would facilitate entry into college. Neither desegregation at the elementary school level nor attendance of a desegregated high school has had the effect of lowering these unrealistic expectations.

Students from School District 151, on the whole, do not have more favorable interracial attitudes than other studenis, and they do not report interactirn with students from the other race more frequently. Howeve they are more likely to favor school desegregation, for as one student put it, "the real world is integrated, and $y$ attending an integrated school $I$ have learned how to deal with people of other races."

The overall conclusion of this report is twofold: Elact students: from desegregated or from all-black: elementary schools, encer high school with a considerable disadvantage which continues to the time of high school graduation. Eut, inspite of pervasive differences in achievement and attitudes, the two global variabies of race and school district in this study explain, at best. only one fourth of the variation in achievement and attitudes. Individual differences between groups are much greater than differences between groups. Thus, Tracy Gurtatowski was right in stating that being black or white is not as important as being Americans "who take on the resporisibilities of improving themselves as human beings, and who can learn to work well in any environment, with their fellow man."

COMPARISON OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERTSTICS OF SOUTH HOLLAND.
HARVEY HIGHLAND AND PHOENIX WITH STANDARD
metropolitan statistical anea or
CHICAGO, 1970 U.S. CENSUS

| Characteristic | Area of Residence |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chicago SMSA | Chicago SMSA <br> Blacks Only | South Holland | Phoenix ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Per cent black | 17.6\% | - | $0 \%$ | 66\% |
| Median school years completed, Males | 12.1 | 10.8 | 12.4 | 10.6 |
| Per cent males with completed high school | 53.9\% | 39.5\% | 71 \% | 37 \% |
| Median family income | \$11,931 | \$8,C73 | \$14,729 | \$9,117 |
| Per cent families below poverty level | 6.8\% | 19.9\% | 1.3\% | 16.0\% |
| Per cent males unemployed | 3.0\% | 6.3\% | . $9 \%$ | 4.4\% |
| Per cent husband-wife families | $85 \%$ | 67 \% | 94 \% | 66 \% |
| Residence in 1965 |  |  |  |  |
| Per cent in same house | 53 \% | 47 \% |  | $55 \%$ |
| Per cent moved from city | 20 \% | - | 23 \% | 25 \% |

[^1]
## STANFORD DIAGNOSTIC READING TEST

TOTAL DTSTRICT
Comparison of September '75-'76-'77-78

READING COMPREHENSION
National Norms
District Total 1978
District Total
1977
District Total 1976 District Total 1975
NATIONAL GRADE EQUIVALENT COMPARED TO DISTRICT 151


## STANFORD DIAGNOSTIC READING TEST

WHITE STUDEN'TS
Comparison of September '75-'76-'77-78
READING COMPREHENSION
National Norms
District White 1978
Yisfore Disrict White 1977
District White 1976
District White 1975
NATIONAL GRADE EQUIVALENT COMPARED TO DISTRICT 151


## STANFORD DIAGNOSTIC READING TEST

## BLACK STUDENTS

Comparison of September '75-'76-'77-78

READING COMPREHENSION
National Norms
20~0 District Black 1978
-006003 District Black 1977 추추춘 District Black 1976 NATIONAL GRADE EQUIVALENT COMPARED TO DISTRICT 151


TABLE 3
CHARACTERISTICS OF FEEDER DISTRICTS
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## NUMBER OF CASES IN STUDY

:
Race of Students
White StudentsBlack Students$-\quad 159$1591384Students of Other Races

Counselor Data

Self-administered Student Data75

Students of Other Races

3
Missing Values 3 ..... 31
Type of Elementary School District
Students from S.D. 151 ..... 75 ..... 148Students from other publicelementary schools 2571300
Students from private
elementary schools ..... 281
Missing Values ..... 4 ..... 106
Total number of students ..... 336 ..... 1835

EIGHTH GRADE PERFORMANCE
(Multiple Classification Analysis)

|  |  | Level of Significance |  |  |  | Adjusted Dev | iation fro | rom Grand Mean ${ }^{1}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{R}^{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | : | Race | S.D. | InterAction | Grand <br> Mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Race }^{2} \\ \text { Black White } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { School } \\ \text { S.D. } 151 \end{array}$ | 1 District ${ }^{3}$ <br> Other Public |  |

All Students

| Reading | .000 | .552 | .011 | 7.67 | -1.921 .76 | .18 | -.51 | .281 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Math | .000 | .090 | .009 | 7.78 | -1.48 | 1.35 | .53 | -.15 |
| DIQ | .001 | .405 | .486 | 95.37 | -10.26 | 9.38 | 4.60 | -1.29 |
|  |  |  |  |  | .036 |  |  |  |

Deviation from Grade Mean ${ }^{4}$
Black Students

| Reading | ---- | .046 | --- | 5.75 | ---- | --- | 1.06 | -.27 | .025 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Math | ---- | .005 | --- | 6.29 | --- | --- | 1.47 | -.37 | .049 |
| DIO | --- | .440 | --- | 85.01 | --- | -- | 9.06 | -2.28 | .004 |

White Students

| Reading | ---- | . 138 | ---- | 9.43 |  | -. 51 | . 16 | . 013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math | ---- | . 591 | ---- | 9.15 | ---- | -. 20 | . 06 | 002 |
| DIQ | ---- | . 689 | ---- | 104.84 |  | 1.11 | -. 34 | 001 |

1 The mean can be obtained by adding the deviation to the grand mean for each variable.

2 Deviation adjusted for school discrict.
3 Deviation adjusted for race of students in school district.
4 Unadjusted deviatinn; to obtain actual means for each racidl group add deviation to grand mean for each variable.

Source: Data collected by counselors from 8 th grade test scores.

TABLE 6
EIGHTH GRADE PERFORMANCE,
CONTROLLING FOR DIQ
(Multiple Classificatinn Analysis)

| Variable | Level of Significance |  |  |  | Adjusted Deviation from Grand Mean ${ }^{1} \mathrm{R}^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Race | S.D. | DIQ | Grand Mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Race }^{2} \\ \text { Black White } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Schoo } \\ \text { S.D. } 15 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reaaing | . 000 | . 802 | . 000 | 7.67 | -1.671.52 | . 07 | -. 02 | . 42 |
| Math | . 000 | . 142 | . 000 | 7.78 | -1. 221.11 | . 41 | -. 12 | . 35 |
| Black SLudents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | -- | . 066 | . 000 | 5.75 | - --- |  |  |  |
| Math | - | . 000 | . 000 | 6.29 | - | 1.30 | -. 33 | . 227 |
| White Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | - | . 018 | . 000 | 9.43 | ----- |  |  |  |
| Math | ---- | . 181 | . 000 | 9.15 | ----------- | -. 60 | .18 .99 | . .47 |

1 The mean adjusted for DIQ can be obtained for each category by adding the deviation to the grand mean for each variable.

Adjusted for DIQ and school district for all students, for DIQ only for black and white students.

3
Adjusted for DIO and race of students in school district for all students, for DIQ only for black and white students.

Source: Datz. compiled by counselors from 8 th grade test scores.

TABLE 7
HIGH SCHOOL PERFORMANCE
(Multiple Classification Analysis)

| Level of Significance |  |  |  |  | Adjusted Deviation from Grand Mean ${ }^{1} \mathrm{R}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Race | S.D. | InterAction | Grand Mean | Blac | $c^{2}$ <br> White | Schoo S.D. | stric <br> her P |  |
| Number of Level E (low) Courses | . 000 | .130 | . 875 | 3.99 | 2.45 | -2.24 | . 92 | -. 26 | . 143 |
| Number of Advanced Courses | . 000 | = 202 | . 222 | 1.63 | -1.08 | . 99 | -. 55 | . 15 | . 062 |
| Number of Foreign Language Courses | . 000 | .176 | . 247 | 1.52 | -. 49 | . 45 | -. 28 | . 08 | . 056 |
| Grade Point Average | . 002 | . 537 | . 286 | 2.16 | -1.88 | 1.72 | -. 68 | . 19 | . 029 |
| Election to Honor Societies | . 004 | . 927 | . 011 | . 26 | -. . 11 | . 10 | -. 01 | . 00 | . 024 |
| Number of College Admission Tests | . 024 | . 559 | . 342 | . 66 | -. 11 | . 10 | -. 05 | . 01 | . 016 |
| Major Suspensions | . 180 | . 087 | . 482 | . 55 | . 10 | -. 09 | . 24 | -. 07 | . 014 |
| Unexcused Absences | . 315 | . 022 | . 371 | 1.50 | . 05 | -. 05 | . 21 | -. 06 | . 018 |

1 The adjusted mean for each category can be obtained by adding the deviation score to the grand mear for each variable.

2 Deviation adjusted for school district.
3 Deviation adjusted for race of students in school district.
Source: Data compiled by counselors from student records.

TAblef 8
HICH SCHOOL PERFORMAN.E. CONTROLLING FOR DIQ
(Multiple Classification Analysis)

|  | Level of Significance |  |  |  | Adjusted Deviation from Grand Mean ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Varlable | Race | S.D. | Dİ | Grand <br> Mean | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Ra} \\ \text { Black } \end{array}$ | $c^{2}$ <br> White | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scho } \\ & \text { S.D. } 1 \end{aligned}$ | District <br> Other Pub |  |
| Number of Le, . E <br> (low) Courses | . 000 | . 090 | . 001 | 3.99 | 2.24 | -2.04 | 1.01 | -. 28 | . 183 |
| Number of Advanced Courses | . 000 | . 163 | . 014 | 1.63 | -. 98 | . 89 | -. 60 | . 17 | . 079 |
| Number of Foreign Language Courses | . 000 | . 148 | . 053 | 1.52 | -. 45 | . 41 | $-.30$ | . 08 | . 067 |
| Grade Point Average | . 007 | . 480 | . 061 | 2.16 | -1.68 | 1.53 | -. 78 | . 22 | . 039 |
| Election to Honor Societies | . 012 | . 862 | . 073 | . 26 | -. 10 | . 09 | -.0] | . $C 0$ | . 034 |
| Number of College Admission 'lests | . 131 | . 430 | . 000 | . 66 | -. 07 | . 06 | -. 06 | . 02 | . 066 |
| Major Suspensions | . 295 | . 075 | . 133 | . 55 | . 08 | -. 08 | . 25 | -. 07 | . 020 |
| Unexcused Absences | . 294 | . 023 | . 735 | 1.50 | . 05 | -. 05 | . 21 | -.06 | . 019 |

1 The adjusted mean for each category can be obtained by adding the deviation score to the grand mean for each variable.

2 Deviation adjusted for DIQ and school district.
3 Deviation adjusted for DIQ and racial composition of school district.
Source: Data compiled by counselors from student records.

## ACADEMIC WORK AND EDUCATIONAL ASPIRATIONS

## (:Gultiple Classification Analysis)

| Variable | Level of Significance |  |  | Adjusted Deviation from Grand Mean ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Grand Mean | Race ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | School Distrirt ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Race | S.D. |  | Black | White | Other |  | Other <br> Public | Private |  |
| Number of PreCollege Courses | . 000 | . 331 | 3.05 | -. 76 | . 16 | . 30 | . 01 | -. 04 | . 18 | . 029 |
| Number of Vocation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education Courses | . 000 | . 074 | 2.67 | . 49 | -. 11 | . 01 | -. 28 | . 07 | -. 17 | . 015 |
| Number of College Admission Tests | . 000 | . 739 | 1.00 | -. 30 | . 07 | . 05 | . 05 | . 00 | -. 03 | . 022 |
| Number of Remedial Courses | . 000 | . 653 | . 50 | . 16 | -. 04 | . 12 | . 06 | -. 01 | . 00 | . 011 |
| Number of Advanced Courses | . 002 | . 719 | . 99 | -. 24 | . 06 | -. 02 | . 00 | -. 01 | . 06 | . 009 |
| Years of Education after High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Desired | . 164 | . 001 | 2.10 | . 03 | -. 03 | . 49 | -. 15 | -. 08 | . 46 | . $0 ⿺ 3$ |
| Years of Education after High School Likely | . 305 | . 010 | 1.76 | . 01 | -. 02 | . 40 | -. 17 | -. 06 | . 36 | . 008 |

[^2]TABLE 10
intergroup relations measures ${ }^{1}$
(Multiple Classification Analysis)

| Variable <br> (and range of values) | Level of Significance |  |  | Adjusted Deviation from Grand Mean ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Race ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Schoo. ${ }^{\text {District }}{ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Race | S.D. | Grand Mean |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.D. } \\ & 151 \end{aligned}$ | Other Public | Private |  |
| Academic Intergroup Contact (7 to 14) | . 000 | . 413 | 11.18 | -1.69 | . 51 | -2.14 | . 20 | . 01 | -. 17 | . 118 |
| Extracurricular Intergroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contact (7 to 21) | . 000 | . 218 | 19.01 | -2.15 | . 58 | -. 89 | . 42 | . 07 | -. 56 | . 035 |
| Social Intergroup Contact (8 to 24) | . 441 | . 405 | . 08 | . 29 | -. 06 | -. 12 | -. 26 | . 08 | - . 16 | . 005 |
| Intergroup Avoidance ${ }^{1}$ (7 to 21) | . 001 | . 741 | 17.93 | . 66 | -. 19 | . 72 | . 22 | -. 03 | . 01 | . 011 |
| Intergroup Conflict* ( -14 to 14 ) | . 013 | . 186 | . 32 | . 35 | -. 14 | . 93 | . 53 | -. 03 | - . 17 | . 009 |
| Attitudes toward Other Race* ${ }^{1}$ (-21 to 21) | . 000 | . 801 | -1.18 | 1.09 | -. 30 | . 60 | -. 11. | . 00 | . 06 | . 051 |
| Attitude twoard Desegregation ( 0 to 24) | . 897 | . 000 | 14.66 | -2.88 | . 80 | .-1.56 | -. 13 | . 03 | - . 04 | . 121 |
| Racial Fairness of School Climate (0 to 6) | . 000 | . 679 | 2.56 | . 98 | -. 24 | - . 10 | . 07 | . 01 | - . 08 | . 083 |

1 Except for the three starred variables, a high value indicates poor intergroup relations.
2 The adjusted mean for each category can be obtained by adding the deviation score to the grand mean for each variable.

3 Deviation adjusted for school district.
4 Deviation adjusted for race of students in school district.

INTERGROUP RELATIONS MEASURES ${ }^{1}$ BY TYPE OF SCHOOL DISTRICT, RACE AND REPORTED RACIAL COMPOSITION OF SCHOOL DISTRICT :
(Regression Analysis)

| Variable | Type of School District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private | $\frac{\text { Race }}{\text { S.D. } 151}$ | Racial Compo- <br> (White) | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| sition (Black) |  |  |  |

1 Except for the three starred variables, a high value indicates poor intergroup Relations.
a Significant at the .05 level
b Significant at the . 01 level
Source: Data obtained from student questionnaires

TABLE 12
AVERAGE RACIAL COMPOSITION OF THIRD, SIXTH AND
EIGHTH GRADE REPORTED BY STUDENTS ${ }^{1}$
(Multiple Classification Analysis)

## 1. All Students

| Level of Significance |  |  | GrandMean | $\frac{\text { Adjusted Deviation from Grand Mean }}{\text { Race }}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | S.D. | Other |  |  |
| Race | S.D. | Interaction |  | Blac | White Other | 151 | Public | Private |  |
| . 000 | . 335 | . 001 | 6.19 | 5.8 | -1.26-.12 | 1.46 | . 10 | -1.20 | . 170 |

2. By Race of student and individual school district

## Race of Students

|  | Black | White | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Significance of Difference by $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ School District | . 000 | . 000 | . 001 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | . 192 | . 247 | . 350 |
| Grand Mean | 11.76 | 4.59 | 6.34 |
| Deviation from Grand Mean ${ }^{2}$ and Number of Students Involved (N) <br> School District 151 | -2.33 (52) | 1.85 (91) | 2.33 (3) |
| 133 | 3.24 (1) | -1.59 (2) | ---- |
| 147 | . 62 (30) | . 28 (8) | 3.66 (5) |
| 148 | . 24 (1) | -. 36 (57) | -. 34 (1) |
| 149 | -3.51 (4) | -.19(437) | -1.34 (17) |
| 150 | ---- | -.27(243) | -. 50 (5) |
| 152 | 1.07(105) | 2.39 (28) | 3.66 (7) |
| 152 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | . 02 (56) | 2.43 (47) | . 33 (3) |
| 154 | . 57 (3) | -1.15 (74) | -- |
| Other Public | . 40 (44) | . 18 (79) | . 05 (13) |
| Private | -. 89 (23) | -.46(238) | -2.03 (13) |

2 The mean for each subcategory call can be obtained by adding the deviation score to the grand mean in each column.
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## School District \# 151

| Superintendent Dr. Thomas Van Dam | Telephone \#1_339-1516 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Person(s) Contacted | Telephone \# |  |  |
|  |  | ephone |  |
| 1. School | Org. | Cert. <br> Staff | No. of Students |
| Coulidge School | 7-8 | 17 | 212 |
| Eisenhower School | K-6 | 17 | 268 |
| Madison School | K-6 | 16 | 263 |
| Taft School | K-6 | 21 | 354 |

2. Number of black students (or \%):

School
1981-82
1977-78
1969-70
Coolidge School
Eisenhower School
Madison School
Taft School
3. Number of Title I students $\qquad$

## 4. History of desegregation:

## 5. District map?

Communities part of district:
Sauth Holland
Phoenix
Harvey

$\qquad$

1. Student I.D. $\qquad$
2. Date of birth
3. Sex

> Female .............

Male ............... 2
4. Race

Black ............... 1
Thite .............. 2
other .............. 3
5. Elementary school attended (if more than one, circle 8th grade school unly)

| 133 | 147 | 148 | 149 | 150 | 151 | 152 | $152 \frac{1}{2}$ | 154 | 157 | Other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(5)$ | $(i 0)$ | $(11)$ |

For 151 students only:
6. Number of years in 151 $\qquad$
7. Number of retentions

## Eighth-grade scores:

8. Reading comprehension
9. Nathematics _-_
10. DIQ
11. Does the student have a special handicap?

| a. | Physically handicapped |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Special education. |
| c. | Learning disability |
| d. | Speech problem |
| e. | Behavior disorder |
| $f$ | Deaf or blind |
|  | Other problem (specify) |

12. Semesters of English courses at each level, and grades
a. Level E , number

$\qquad$

grades

36-37
b. Level R , number
grades
$\qquad$

c. Level A, number
$\qquad$
grades
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
13. Semesters of mathematics courses at each level, and grades
a. Level E , number $\qquad$
grades
b. Level R , number $\qquad$
$\qquad$
grades $\xrightarrow{[ }$
c. Level $A$, number
grades
14. Semesters of business courses at each level
a. Level E
b. Level R
$\qquad$
c. Livel A
$\qquad$
15. Samesters of science courses at each level
a. Level E
b. Level R
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
c. Level A $\qquad$
16. Semesters of social science courses at each level
a. Level E $\qquad$
b. Level $R$ $\qquad$
c. Level A $\qquad$
17. Semesters of foreign language13-14
18. Semesters of home economics courses ..... 15-16
19. Semesters of industrial education courses ..... 17-18
20. Cumulative grade point average ..... 19-21
21. Class rank

$\qquad$ ..... 22-25
22. Total academic credits26-28
28. Participation in after-school organizations:

|  | Member | Officer | Not a Member |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Athletic organizations ........... | $!$ | 2 | - 3 | 50 |
| b. Drama, debating, speech club ..... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 51 |
| c. Student government | 1 | 2 | 3 | 52 |
| d. School newspaper | 1 | 2 | 3 | 53 |
| e. Yearbook | 1 | 2 | 3 | 54 |
| f. Band, orchestra, choir ........... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 55 |
| g. Academic club (sciénce, foreign language, etc.) ......... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 56 |
| h. "Hobby" club (photography, stamps, etc.) ....................... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 57 |
| i. Other (specify) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 58 |
| Honor Societies:Yes io |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| a. National Honors | 1 |  | 2 | 59 |
| b. National Spanish Honurs . ......... | 1 |  | 2 | 60 |
| c. Narionai Forensic tonors | 1 |  | 2 | 61 |
| d. Quill and Scroll | 1 |  | 2 | 62 |
| e. Other Henors (specify) ............ | 1 |  | 2 | 63 |

This is a study of nigh school seniors in your senool districe wilich is funded by the National Institute of iducation.

```
Your answers on the following pages will lit. :s lesia cla., : a: .cional
```




```
plans for the iuture.
You ie not required to answer these questions, but your comperation is needed to make the results complete and docurate. The findings of this struiy may be usad in the iong run to hel, wthen stulents like yourself with their elementary and high schocl ad:a.ation.
```



``` in your school or elsewnere will ever know hew you answered these questions. As a matter of policy, NIE is concerned with protecting the privacy of individuals who participate in voluntary survege Your responses will be combined with those of ocher students, and the answers yra give will. never be Idencifind as yours.
```

Thark you for your cooperation.

$$
\because \because E: \because \quad \therefore \quad \because \quad \because \quad \because N A H E
$$

1. Name of School Thornwood ..... 1
Thornridge ..... 2
2. What is your sex?
Male
Female ..... 1 ..... 2
3. What is your race or descent?
Black ..... 1
White ..... 2
Hispanic ..... 3
Other ..... 4
4. Which elementary school district or private school did you graduate fromin 8 th grade? (CIRCLE ONE)
District 133 ..... 1
District 143 ..... 2
District 148 ..... 3
District 149 ..... 4
District 150 ..... 5
Districe 151 ..... 6
District. 152 ..... 7
District $152^{1 / 2}$ ..... 8
District 154 ..... 9
Private ..... 10
Other Public School ..... 21 private school? (CIRCLE ONE)the publi. school district in which you lived then. (CIRCLE ONE)
5. How many years did you attend elementary school in that district or at that
1 year or less ..... 1
2 years ..... " ..... "
3 ycars ..... 3
4 years ..... 4
5 years ..... s
6 years ..... 6
7 :cars ..... 7
8 years or nore ..... 8
6. If you attended a private elementary school in 8 th grade, please indicate
Distrinc 133 ..... 1
District 147 ..... 2
Distrl: liss ..... 3
Distrin: 199 ..... 4
Distris: 150 ..... 5
District 151 ..... 6
District 152
District 154 ..... 8
Other Schoul District ..... 10
7. When you were in the first, fourth and eighth grades, about how many of the students in your class were black? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)

8. Please answer the following few questions about your elementary school experience. (CIRCLE ONE ANSNER FOR EACH LINE)
$\frac{\text { Strongly }}{\text { Agree }}$ Agree $\quad$ Disagree $\frac{\text { Strongly }}{\text { Disagree }}$
a. Academically, my elementary school(s) did well to prepare me for high school. . . 1 . . 2 . . . 3 . . . 4
b. I often wish I had gone to a different elementary school. . . . . . . . . . 1 . . 2 . . . 3
c. My elementary school(s) did well to prepare me for getting along with students in high school. . . 1 . . 2 . . . 3 . . . . 4
d. If I could do it over, I would go to an elementary school with a different racial composition from my own.
1.. 2... 3 4
9. On the whole, would you say you were smarter, about equally smart, or not as smart as most of the other students in your elementary school(s)? (CIRCLE ONE)

> I was smarter . . . . . . . . . . . . . I was about equally smart I was not as smart . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2
10. Which of the following best describes your present high school program? (CIRCLE ONE)
Gieneral ..... 1
Academic or college preparatory ..... 2Vocational (occupational preparation)
Business or office occupations ..... 3
Distributive education ..... 4
Health occupations ..... 5
Home economics occupatiors ..... 6
Trade or industrial occupations
(vocational shops) ..... 7
Diversified occupations
Regular ..... 8
Cooperative Work Training (CWT) ..... 9
11. Starting with the beginning of the tenth grade and through the end of this school year, how much course work will you have taken in each of the following subjects?
Count only courses that meet at least three times (or three periods) a week. (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)


a. First-year algebra
b. Second-year algebra
c. Geomstry
d. Trigonometry
e. Calculus
f. Physics . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . . 2
g. Cinemistry
h. Other advanced science
13. Have you taken any shool courses in the following areas? (CTRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)


$$
\text { Carpentry, cabinct makins, or millwork . . . . . . . } 1 \text {. . . } 2
$$

13. (conṭinued).
-4-

14. Which of the rollowing best describes your grades so far in high school? (CIRCLE ONE)

> Mostly A (a numerical average of 90-100) . . . 1
> About half A and half B (85-89) . . . . . . . 2
> Mostly B (80-84) 2
> About half $B$ and half $C(75-79)$
> 3
> (75-79) . . . . . . 4
> Mostly C (70-74) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5
> About half C and half D (65-69) . . . . . . . 6
> Mostly D (60-64) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7
> Mostly below D (below 60) . . . . . . . . . 8
15. Have you taken any of the following tests this year or last year (or both)? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\text { Yes, both }}{\text { this year }} \\
& \text { and last year } \\
& \text { this year } \\
& \text { last year }
\end{aligned} \quad \frac{\text { Yes, }}{\text { Not did }}
$$


16. When did you begin going to this school? (CIRCLE ONE)

Beginning of ${ }^{n}$ th grade . . . . . . . . . . . . 1
During the 9 th grade . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2
Beginning or during the 10 th grade . . . . . . 3
Beginning or during the 11th grade . . . . . 4
Beginning or during the 12 th grade . . . . . . 5
17. Have you ever been in any of the following kinds of courses or programs in high school? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EA H LINE)
a. Remedial English (also called developmental basic or essential) 1 . 2
b. Remedial Mathematics (also called developmental basic or general) 1.2
c. Advanced or honors program in English . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2
d. Advanced or honors program in Mathematics . . . . . . . . . . . 1.2
e. Advanced or honors program in Science . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 . 2
f. Advanced or honors program in Social Studies . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2
g. Bilinqual or bicultural program . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2
h. Special program for the educationally handicapped . . . . . . . . . 2
i. Special program for the physically handicapped . . . . . . . . 1 . 2
j. Hard of hearing program . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 . 2
18. Approximately what is the average amount of time you spend on homework a week? (CIRCLE ONE)

$$
\text { No homework is ever assigned . . . . . . . . } 1
$$

I have homework, but I don't do it . . . . . 2
Less than 1 hour a week . . . . . . . . . . 3
Between 1 and 3 hours a week . . . . . . . . 4
More than 3 hours, less than 5 hours a week . 5
Between 5 and 10 hours a week . . . . . . 6
More than 10 hours a week . . . . . . . . 7
19. During the last school year, about how many days were you absent from school for any reason, not counting illness? (CIRCLE ONE)

None . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1
1 or 2 days . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2
3 o. 4 days . . . . . . . . . . . . 3
5 to 10 days . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4
11 to 15 days . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5
16 to 20 days . . . . . . . . . . . . . 6
21 or more . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7
20. During the last school year, about how many days were you late to school? (CIRCLE ONE)

21. Have you participated in any of the following types of activities either in or out of school this year? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)

National Honor Society, etc. ..... 3
i. School newspaper, magazine, yearbook, annual ..... 324j. School subject-matter clubs,such as science, history, math,language, business, art . . . . . . 1226
k. Student council, student government, political club ..... 27

1. Vocational education clubs, such as Future Teachers. DECA, VICA, OE or others ..... 2 ..... 3
$m$. Youth organizations in the community, such as Scouts,
YMCA or YWCA, etc. ..... 2 ..... 3
a. Church activities, including youth groups ..... 2 ..... 3
2. (continued)
h. Honorary clubs, such as

|  | participated | Have |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Have | actively (but | participated |
| not | not as a leader | as a leader |
| participated | or officer) | or officer |

2
2
$\begin{array}{r} \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$
25. During what year in school did ycu start working for pay, not counting work around the house? (CIRCLE ONE)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Have never worked for pay . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\
& \text { Started working before } \mathrm{h}^{*} \mathrm{gh} \text { school . . . . . } 2 \\
& \text { Started working in the 9th grade . . . . . . } 3 \\
& \text { Started working in the l0th grade . . . . . . } 4 \\
& \text { Started working in the llth grade . . . . . . } 5 \\
& \text { Started working in the 12th grade . . . . . . } 6
\end{aligned}
$$

20. What kind of education would you like to get after you leave high school? (CIRCLE ONE)

High school graduation only, no other formal education . . . . . . 1
Vocational, trade, or
business school . . .
after high school Less than two years . . . . . . . . $\quad 2$

| College program | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \text { Less than two years of college } \\ \text { Two or more years of college } \\ \text { (including tro-year degree) . . . . } \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Fin sh college (tour- or five-year } \end{array} \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Fin sh college } \\ \text { degree) . . . . . . . . . . . . . }\end{array}\right.$ |
|  | Master's degree or equivalent |
|  | Ph.D., M.D., or other advanced |
|  | professional degree. |

27. As things stand now, what kind of education do you think you will really get? (CIRCLE ONE)

High school graduation only, no other formal education . . . . . . 1
Vocational, trade, or
business school . . . . $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Less than two years . . . . . . . . . } \\ \text { Twr years or more . . . . . . . . . . }\end{array}\right\}$ after high school

| College program | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Less than two years of college. . . } \\ \text { Two or more years of college } \\ \text { (including two-year degree). . . } \\ \text { Finish college (four- or five-year } \\ \text { degree) . . . . . . . . . . } \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | Master's degree or equivalent |
|  | (Ph.D., M.D., or other advanced |
|  | professional degree. |

28. Whatever your plans, do you think you have the abi」ity to complete college? (CIRCLE ONE)
Yes, definitely ..... 1
Yes, probably ..... 2
Not. sure ..... 3
I doubt it ..... 4
Definitely not ..... 5

29: Do ynu plan to go to college at some time in the future? (CIRCl.E ONE)
Yes, next year . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1
Yes, after staying out one year . . . . . . . . 2
Yes, after a longer period ou of schocl. . . . 3
Don't know . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4
No . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5

IF NO, SKIP TO QUESTION 34
30. If you went to college, would it most likely be . . . . (C.JRCLE ONE)

> A fuur-year college o: university . . . . . 1 A two-year junior or community college . . . . 2
31. If you went to college, would you probably go . . . . . (CJRCLE ONE)

Full-timc . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1
Part-time . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2
32. Have you applied for admissior to any college or university" (CIRCAE ONE)

Yes, to one college . . . . . . . . . . . . 1
Yes, to + vo or three colleges . . . . . . . 2
Yes, to scur or more colleges . . . . . . . 3
No, the college I am planning to
attend does not require advance
application for admissior: . . . . . . . 4
No I plan to apply soon . . . . . . . . . 5
No, I plan to go to colleǵ later . . . . . . 6
33. Have you been accepted by any college or university? (ClRCLI onfl
Yes . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
No.
Have not. heard yet . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
Have not applied jet. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
?
34. Do you plan to go to any vocatiunal or trade school, or enter any appres:ticeship program after leaving high school? (CIRCLE ONE)
ies . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1

35. What field will you most likely train for? (CIRCLE ONE)

Agriculture, including horciculture . . . . . . 1
Auto mechanics . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2
Commercial arts . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3
Computer programming or computer operations . . 4
Construction trades:
Carpentry, cabinet making, or millwork . . . 5
Electrical . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 6
Masonry . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7
Ylumbing . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8
Cosmetology, hairdressing, or barbering . . . . 9
Drafting . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 10
Electronics . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 11
Home economics, including dietetics and child care . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 12
Machine shop . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 13
Medical or dental assisting . . . . . . . . . . 14
Practical nursing . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 15
Quantity food occupations . . . . . . . . . . . 16
Sales or merchandising . . . . . . . . . . . . 17
Secretarial, stenographic, typing or other office work . . . . . . . . . 18
Welding . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 19
Other . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 20
36. Have you been accepted by any vocaticnal school, trade school, or apprenticeship program? (CIRCLE ONE)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Have applied and have been accepted . . . . . } \\
& \text { Have applied but have not yet been } \\
& \text { accepted . . . . . . } 2 \\
& \text { Program does not require advance . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \text { application . . . . . . . . . . . . }
\end{aligned}
$$

37. If you plan to work full time after high school, do you have a definite job lined up for you after you leave high school? (CIRCLE ONE)

Yes, lill continue in a job I now have . . . . 1
Yes, I have a new job lined up . . . . . . . . 2
No, but I've inquired at employment agencies or potential employers,
looked in the newspapers, etc. . . . . . . . 3
No, $I$ haven't done anything yet to get a job 4
Do not plan to work full time after high school
38. Write in here the name of the job or occupation that you expect or plan to have when you are 30 years old. Even if you are not at all sure, write in your best guess.
(WRITE IN)
Which of the categories below comes closest to describing that job? (CIRCLE ONE)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CLERICAL such as bank teller, bookkeeper, secretar:", typist, } \\
& \text { mail carrier, ticket agent . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1
\end{aligned}
$$

CRAFTSMAN such as baker, automobile mechanic, machinist, painter, plumber, telephone installer, carpenter ..... 2
FARMER, FARM MANAGER ..... 3
HOMEMAKER OR HOUSEWIFE ..... 4
LABORER such as construction worker, car washer, sanitary worker, farm laborer ..... 5
MANAGER, ADMINISTRATOR such as sales manager, office manager, school administrator, buyer, restaurant manager, government official ..... 6
MILITARY such as career offiren, enlisted man or woman in the Armed Forces ..... 7
OPERATIVE such as meat cutter, assembler, machine operator, welder, taxicab, bus, or truck driver ..... 8
PROFESSIONAL such as accountant, artist, registered nurse, engineer, librarian, writer, social worker, actor, actress, athlete, politician, but not including school teacher ..... 9
PROFESSIONAL such as clergyman, dentist, physician, lawyer, scientist, college teacher ..... 10
PROPRIETOR GR OWNER such as owner of a small business, contractor, restaurant owner ..... 11
PROTECTIVE SERVICE such as detective, police officer or guard, sheriff, fire fighter ..... 12
SALES such as salesperson, advertising or insurance agent, real estate broker ..... 13
SC'HOOL TEACHER such as elementary or secondary ..... 14
SERVICE such as barber, beautician, practical nurse, private household worker, janitor, waiter ..... 15
TECHNICAL such as draftsman, medical or dental technician, computer programmer ..... 16
NOT WORKING ..... 17
39. What do you think are your chances of actually getting that job or cccupation? (CIRCLE ONE)
Chances are excellent . . . . . . . . . . . . .
Chances are pretty good . . . . . . . . . . . .
Chances are about $50-50$. . . . . . . . . . . .
Cnances are not very good . . . . . . . . . . .
C
Chances are very poor . . . . . . . . . . . . .
90. Which of the following things, if any, do you feel may keep you from reaching your goals? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)

4. How do you feel about each of the following statemen's? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)

a. I take a positive attitude toward myself

Agree
Disagree
Strongly
b. Good luck is more important than hard work for success . . . 1 . . . . 2 . . . . 3 . . . . 4
c. I feel I am a person of worth, on an equal plane with others. . 1 . . . . 2 . . . . 3 . . . . 4
d. I am able to do things as well as most other people . . . 1 . . . . 2 . . . . 3 . . . . 4
e. Every time I try to get ahead, something or somebody stops me . . . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . . 2 . . . . 3 . . . . 4
f. Planning only makes a person unhappy, since plans hardly ever work out anyway . . . . . . 1 . . . . 2 . . . . 3 . . . . 4
g. People who accept their condition in life are happier than those who try to change things . . . . . 1 . . . . 2 . . . . 3 . . . . 4
h. On the whole, I am satisfied with myself . . . . . 1 . . . . 2 . . . . 3 . . . . 4
i. What happens to me is my own doing . . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . 3.... 4
j. At times $I$ think $I$ am no good at all. . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . . 2 . . . . 3 . . . . 4
k. When I make plans, I an almost certain I can make them work

1 . . . 2 .
2 3.... 4

1. I feel I do not have much to be proud of

1 2 . . . . 3 3.... 4
m. If a person is not successful in life, it is his own
fault . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 1
. . . . 2
. . . . 339
42.. During the past year, how much of the time did you reall: like to go to school? (CIRCLE ONE)
Never ..... 1
Once in a while ..... 2
About half the time ..... 3
Most of the time ..... 4
All of the time ..... 5
43. As far as school work is concerned, do you think that a person usually should: (CIRCLE ONE)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Try to do the best they possibly can } \\
& \text { almost all of the time . . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \text { Try to do a good job . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \text { 2 } \\
& \text { Do just enough to pass . . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \text { Do as little as possible even if it } \\
& \text { means not always passing . . . . . . . . . . }
\end{aligned}
$$47

44.. If you could be in any school you wanted, how many of the students would you want to be white and black? (CIRCLE ONE)
All black ..... 1
More than half black ..... 2
About half black, half white ..... 3
More than half white ..... 4
All white ..... 5
Don't care ..... 6
45. If you could be in any school you wanted, how many of the teachers would you want to be white and black? (CIRCLE ONE)
Al.l black ..... 1
More than half black ..... 2
About half black, hall white ..... 3
More than half white ..... 4
All white ..... 5
Don't care ..... 6
46. In general, do you think white studet.ts and black students should go to the same schools or to separate schools? (CIRCLE ONE)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Same schools . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \text { Separate schools . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2
\end{aligned}
$$

47. In general, do you favor or oppose the busing of black and white school children from one district to another? (CIRCLE ONE)
Favor ..... 1
Cppose ..... 2
48. Suppose there is a community-wide vote on the general housing issue. There are two possible laws to vote on:
A. One law says that a homeowner can decide for himself whom to sell his house to, even if he prefers not to sell to blacks.
B. The second law says that a homeowner cannot. refuse to sell to someone tecause of their race or color.
Which law would you vote for? (CIRCLE ONE)

> a. The first law (A) . . . . . . . . . . . 1 b. The second law (B) . . . . . . . . . . 2
49. If the political party you prefer nominated a black for president, would you vote for htin if he 'ere qualified for the job? (CTRCiE UNE)

50. Here are some statements that you may agree or disagree with. (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)


S1. Think about students in this school who are of the same race as you. How many of these students do the following things? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE) All Some Few None
a. Usually turn their homework in on time . . 1 . . 2 . . . 3 . . 4
b. Usually pay aitention to the teacher . . . . . 2 .. 3 . . 4
c. Are noisy in class . . . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . 3 . . . 4
d. Start fights with other students . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . 3 . . . 4
52. Now, what about students in this school of dtfferent races than you? How many of them do the following trings? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)

All Some Few None
a. Usually turn their homework in on time
b. Usually pay attention to the teacher . . . . . . 2 . . 3 . . . 4
c. Are noisy in class . . . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . 3 . . . 4
d. Start fights with other students . . . . . . . . 2 . . . 3 . . . 4
53. Below are some things teachers might do. How many of your teachers from your own race do these things? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)
a. Understand students like me . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . 3
b. Help me if I need it . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . 3
c. Treat me with respect . . . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . 3
d. Care if I learn . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 . . 2 . . . 3
54. How many of your teachers from a different face than you do these things? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)

55. How often is the punishment for breaking school rules the same for students of all races at this school? (CIRCLE ONE)
often . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

| Sometimes . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| :--- |
| Sever . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |${ }_{3}$

Col.
56. Below are some ways in which students can sometimes affect what happens in school. For each of these items, mark which students at this school are more able to do this. (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)

| White <br> students <br> more able | Black <br> students <br> more able | Races are <br> equally <br> able to | No one is <br> able to <br> do this |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to to this. |  |  |  |
| to do this. | do this. | very much. |  |

a. Get the principal to change something in school . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . 3 . . . 4
b. Get the school to sponsor things (like speakers, concerts, dances) . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . 3 . . . 4
c. Get the student council to do things

3
d. Get teachers to do things in a different way . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . 3 . . . 4
e. Elect students they like . . 1 . . . . 2 . . . . 3 . . . 4
57. For the follow: ng school activities in which you may participate, are there students of a d fferent race than you? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)

a. Student government . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . . 3 . . . . 4
b. School newspaper . . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . . 3 . . . . 4
c. Cheerleaders . . . . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . . 3 . . . 4
d. Drama, Debating or Speech Club 1 . . . . 2 . . . . 3 . . . . 4
e. An athletic team or club . . 1 . . . . 2 . . . . 3 . . . 4
f. Band, orchestra, or glee club 1 . . . 2 . . . . 3 . . . 4
g. Some other special club such as photography, chess, coins 1

3
58. For the following school activities in which you may participate, how many students of a different race than you have you gotten to know pretty well? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)


59: Here are some things you could have done outside of schcol this year. How often did you do these things? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)

60. Now, how of then this year did you do these things with at least one other person of a different race than you? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EAĆH LINE)

61. Do you hang around with students of a different race than you? (CIRCLE

> Yes, most are of a different race . . . . . . . .
> Yes, some are of a different race . . . . . . . .
> No, all are of the same race. . . . . . . . . .
> I really don't hang around with any group of students in particular. . . . . . . . 4
62. In any of your courses, have you chosen to sit next to a student of a different race than you? (CIRCLE ONE)

Yes . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1
No . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2
63. This year, did a student of a different race than you help you with your schonlwork or homework? (CIRCLE ONE)

> Yes . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . No . No student helped me with my . . . . . . . . . 2 schoolwork or homework. . . . . . . . . . . . 3
64. This year, did you tell a student of a different race than you that you were worried or upset about one of your courses? (CIRCLE ONE)
Yes . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
No . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
I didn't talk to any students
because I was worrted or upset.

B5. How often do you eat lunch with students of a different race thrin you at achool? (CIRCLE ONE)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Often . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Somatimes . } \\
\text { Never or hardly ever . . . . . . . . . . . . . }
\end{array}{ }_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

66. This year, how often have you stayed away from students of a different race than you in these kinds of eituations? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)

c. Didn't go to a pasty outside ohool because students from nould be here . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . . . 3
67. This year at school, now often have you had arguments or fights with students who are of the same race as you for the following reasons? (CTRCLE OWM :NSWER FOP EACH:INE)
Often Sometines $\quad$ hardly over
a. Being pusher around . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . . 3
b. Making jokes about toreone's
$\quad$ skin colar . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 . . . 2 . . . . 3
c. Being throfthed . . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . . 3
d. Making jokes and somme's
belongings . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . . 3
e. Lying about thinge . . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . . 3
68. This year at school, how of ten have you had arguments or fights with students of a different race than you for the following reasons? (CIRCLE ONE FOR EACH LTNE)
Often Sometimes $\frac{\text { Never or }}{\text { hardly ever }}$
a. Being pushed around. . . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . . 2 . . . . . 3

Ђ. Making jokes about someone's $\ldots$. . . . . . . . . . .

d. Making jokes about someone's
belongings . . . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . 2 . . . . . 3
e. Lying about things . . . . . . . . . . 1 . . . . 2 . . . . . 3
69. For you personally, what have been the advantages or disadvantages of attending an integrated high school (or elementary school, if applicable)?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
70. Wh'ch of the following people live in the same household with you? (CIRCLE ALL THAT APPLY)
a. I live alone . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
b. Father (real or adoptive)
c. Other male guardian
(stepfather or foster father) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3
d. Mother (real or adoptive) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4
e. Other female guardian
istepmother or foster mother) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5
f. My husband/wife . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 6
g. My child or children . .. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7
71. How many people live in your home, including yourself, parents, brothers, sisters, relatives, and others who live with you? (CIRCLE ONE)

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9.10 & 11
\end{array}
$$

72. Please describe below the job most recently held by your father (stepfather or male guardian), even if he is not working at present.
(WRITE IN)
Which of the categories below comes closest to describing that job? (CIRCLE ONE)
Do not live with father (stepfather or male guardian) ..... 1
CLERICAL such as bank teller, bookkeeper, secretary, typist, mail carrier, ticket agent ..... 2
CRAFTSMAN such as baker, automobile mechanic, machinist, painter, plumber, telephone installer, carpenter ..... 3
FARMER, FARM MANAGER ..... 4
HOMEMAKER OR HOUSENIFE ..... 5
LABORER such as constiuction worker, car washer, sanitary worker, farm laborer ..... 6
MANAGER, ADMINISTRATOR such as sales manager, office manager, school administracor, buyer, restaurant manager, government official ..... 7
MILITARY such as career officer, enlisted man or woman in the Armed Forces ..... 8
OPERATIVE such as meat cutter, assembler, machine operator, welder, taxicab, bus, or truci driver ..... 9
PROFESSIONAL such as accountant, artist, registered :urse, engineer, librarian, writer, social worker, actor, actress, athlete, politician, but not including school teacher ..... 10
PROFESSIONAL such as clergyman, dentist, physician, lawyer, scientist, college teacher ..... 11
PROPRIETOR OR OWNER such as owner of a small business, contractor, restaurant owner ..... 12
PROTECTIVE SERVICE such as de ective, police officer or guard, sheriff, fire fighter ..... 13
SALES such is salesperson, advertising or insurance agent, real estate broker ..... 14
SCHOOL TEACHER such as elementary or secondary ..... 15
SERVICE such as barber, beautician, practical nurse, private household worker, janitor, waiter ..... 16
TECHNICAL such as draftsman, medical or dental technician, computer programmer ..... 17
Never worked ..... 18
Don't know ..... 19
73. Is vour father (stepfather or male guardian) currently employed? (CIRCLE ONE)
Yes, full time ..... 1
Yes, part time ..... 2
No, not employed ..... 3
74. What was the highest level of education your father (stepfather or male
guardian) completed? (CIRCLE ONE)
Less than high school graduation ..... 1
High school graduation only ..... 2
Vecational, trade, or business school
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Less than two years } \\ \text { Two years or more } .\end{array}\right.$ ..... 3 ..... 3
after high schoclLess than two years of college5
Two or more years of college (including two-year degree ..... 6
College program Finished college (four- or five-year degree) ..... 7
Master's degree or equivalent ..... 8
Ph.D., M.D., or other advanced professional degree ..... 9
Don't know ..... 10
75. Please describe below the job most recently held by your mother (stepmother or female guardian) even if she is not working at present.(WRITE IN)
Waich of the categories below comes closest to describing that job? (CIRCLE ONE)
Do not live with mother (stepmother or female guardian) ..... 1
CLERICAL such as bank teller, bookkeeper, secretary, typist, mail carrier, ticket agent ..... 2
CRAFTSMAN such as baker, automobile mechanic, machinist, painter, plumber, telephone installer, carpenter ..... 3
FARMER, FARM MANAGER ..... 4
HOMEMAKER OR HOUSENIFE ..... 5
LABORER such as consiruction worker, car washer, sanitary worker, farm laborer ..... 6
MANAGER, ADMLNISTRATOR such as sales manager, office manager, school administrator, buyer, restaurant manager, government official ..... 7
MILITARY such as career officer, enlisted man or worm in the Armed Forces ..... 8
OPERATIVE such as meat cutter, assembler, machine operator, welder, taxicab, bus, or truck driver ..... 9
PROFESSIONAL such as accountant, ar+'st, registered nurse, engineer, librarian, writer, social rurker, actor, actress, athlete, politician, but not including school teacher ..... 10
PROFESSIONAL such as clergyman, dentist, physician, lawyer, scientist, college tearher ..... 11
PROPRIETOR OR OWNER such as owner of a small business, contractor, restaurant owner ..... 12
PROTECTIVE SERVICE such as detective, polica officer or guard, sheriff, fire iighter ..... 13
SALES such as salesperson, advertising or insurance agent, real estate broker ..... 14
SCHOOL TEACHER such as elementary or secondary ..... 15
SERVICE such as barber, beautician, practical nurse, private household worker, janitor, waiter ..... 16
TECHNICAL such as draftsman, medical or dental technician, computer programmer ..... 17
Never worked ..... 18
Don't know. ..... 19
76. Is your mother (stepmother or female guardian) currently employed? (CIRCLE ONE)

> Yes, full time . . . . . . . . . . . . .
> Yes, part time . . . . . . . . . . . . .
> No, not employed . . . . . . . . . . . .
77. What was the highest level of education your mother (stepmother or female guardian) completed? (CIRCLE ONE)

Less than high school graduation . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1
High school graduation only
Vocational, trade, or
business school . . .
after high school Less than two years . . . . . . . . . . ${ }_{3}$

Don't know . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 10
78. Does your family own or rent the house or apartment in which you no 1 live? (CIRCLE ONE)
Own
Rent . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
1
79. Which of the following do you have in your home? (CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH LINE)



[^0]:    
    *
    Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.
    

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Black population only
    Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of Populstion and Housing; 1970. $=$. Census Tracts. Final Report PHC (1) - 43. Chicago, Illinois, SMSA, Part 1.

    South Holland: Tracts 8263 and 8278 (not in Doltion); Phoenix: Tract 8272, black population only.

[^2]:    1 The adjusted mean for each category can be obtained by adding the deviation score table grand mean for each variable.

    Deviation adjusted for school district.
    3
    Deviation adjusted for race of students in school district.
    Source: Data obtained from student questionnaires.

