

ED 293 948	UD 026 134
AUTHOR TITLE INSTITUTION SPONS AGENCY PUB DATE CONTRACT NOTE PUB TYPE	Michie, Joan S.; Moore, Mary T. State Profiles of ESEA Title I/ECIA Chapter 1. Decision Resources Corp., Washington, DC. National Inst. of Education (ED), Washington, DC. Aug 85 300-83-0211 285p. Reports - Descriptive (141) Statistical Data (110)
EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS	MF01/PC12 Plus Postage. *Compensatory Education; *Educational Improvement; Elementary Secondary Education; Enrollment; Expenditures; Federal Aid; Federal Programs; Federal State Relationship; Profiles; *School Demography; School District Spending; *Urban Education *Education Consolidation Improvement Act Chapter 1
IDENTIFIERS	

#### ABSTRACT

Descriptive profiles of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act Title I/Education Consolidation Improvement Act Chapter 1 programs are presented for each state and the District of Columbia. Where possible, comparisons are made between the 1979-80 school year and the most recent year for which data are available. States are ranked from highest to lowest to give an indication of relative standing. The ranked variables include the following: (1) financial allocation; (2) number of public and nonpublic students; (3) percentage of students receiving help in various subject areas; (4) numbers of staff members in various categories; (5) pupil-staff ratios; (6) per pupil expenditures; (7) per capita income; (8) tax information; and (9) racial and economic status of participants. The state profiles also include information on targeting approaches, testing programs, and other regulations specific to the state. (VM)



5-10-56

🖀 Conservation Conservation et 🗮 Conservations

## DECISION RESOURCES CORPORATION

ED293948

<u>ې</u>

#### State Profiles of ESEA Title I/ECIA Chapter 1

s - 1 - 1 - 🛤

· :

Joan S. Michie Mary T. Moore

Prepared for:

National Institute of Education U.S. Department of Education

August 1985

This report was prepared pursuant to Contract No. 300-83-0211 as a part of the Congressionally-mandated Study of ECIA Chapter 1

Decision Resources Corporation



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organitation argunating it
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position of policy

#### State Profiles of ESEA Title I/ECIA Chapter 1

Joan S. Michle Mary T. Moore

Prepared for:

National Institute of Education U.S. Department of Education

August 1985

This report was prepared pursuant to Contract No. 300-83-0211 as a part of the Congressionally-mandated Study of ECIA Chapter 1

Decision Resources Corporation



#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

#### 



\_

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank the many individuals who contributed to the development of these state profiles. Particularly helpful were Judith Anderson and Sandy Brown of the Office of Planning, Budget, and Evaluation; U.S. Department of Education.

Members of the Chapter 1 Study Team at the National Institute of Education, particularly Mary Kennedy, director, and Bea Birman, our project officer, provided us with direction and guidance throughout the effort.

We hope this compilation of data is helpful for policy makers and researchers in their effort to evaluate the impact of Chapter 1.



iii

บั

#### Overview of the Title I/Chapter 1 State Profiles

As a part of the NIE study of ECIA Chapter 1, profiles have been developed for each of the 50 states and the District of Columbia. Tables displaying the 50 states and the District of Columbia on the range of dimensions of interest have also been provided to allow more direct comparisons across states. The profiles and tables contain data on Title I/Chapter 1 allocations, participants, and program characteristics. State characteristics, student information for the state as a whole, and descriptions of other state programs have been included to show the context in which Chapter 1 operates.

With the exception of state compensatory education programs, no new data were collected to develop these profiles and tables. Rather, information from a number of sources was combined to produce a composite picture. Major data sources were TIERS (Title I Evaluation and Reporting System), NCES (National Center for Education Statistics), and the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Planning, Budget, and Evaluation (OPBE), Budget Service. A table which shows the source of each data element is included. Efforts were made to utilize information that was comparable, but at times this was impossible. The limitations of specific data elements are described in a later section of this overview.

Whenever possible, amounts for the 1979-80 school year and the most recent school year are presented for each data element. Percent change from 1979-80 to the most recent year is also shown to give some indication of trends over time. School year 1979-80 was chosen as the first point of comparison for several reasons. It was the first year of implementation of the 1978 reauthorization of Title I. In addition, 1979-80 was the first year for which TIERS data were available. The most recent school year for which data are available varies considerably depending on the type of data, when it is collected, and the length of time needed for ED to enter and analyze it. For example, the most recent TIERS data is for the 1982-83 school year, but Chapter 1 allocation data for 1984-85 is already available. On the other hand, NCES does not conduct the Private School Survey on a regular basis; 1980-81 is the most recent year for which these data are available by state.

For each data element, states have been ranked from highest to lowest to give an indication of relative standings across items and across time. The exceptions are the Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff, pupil/teacher and pupil/aide ratios which have been ranked from lowest to highest because a low ratio is generally considered more desirable and is more closely associated with a low rank. Whenever a tie occurred in the ranks, the midpoint was used and the letter "T" was placed beside the rank.



1

C

Whenever appropriate, totals for the 50 states and the District of Columbia are included on the tables. Since the V S. territories have been omitted from these totals, the amounts may differ from other U.S. Department of Education documents.

One caveat applies to all TIERS data presented. In their TIERS reports, states had the option of using a sampling of one-third of their districts for the section on student achievement scores (which are not included in these profiles). However, some states used sampling for their entire TIERS report. About 20 states used sampling each year but it is not known which sampled for just achievement scores and which sampled for all data. Therefore, it is possible that some of the variation presented is the result of sampling.

All TIERS data for Michigan for 1982-83 were unavailable at the time we compiled the data, therefore 1981-82 data have been used as estimates. Similarly, staffing data for New Jersey for 1982-83 are missing and 1981-82 data have been used as estimates. California combines state compensatory education participation data with Title I/Chapter 1 data. Hence, many of the data in TIERS reflect agreed upon estimates. Using these estimates in calculations can produce amounts which are somewhat questionable. For example, the Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio for California is quite a bit higher than that for other states and the Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure is somewhat lower.

Data elements that need clarification or that have known limitations are described below in the order in which they appear.

<u>Total Title I/Chapter 1 allocation - includes LEA basic</u> grant, concentration funds (for 1979-80), money for programs operated by SEAs, and state administration funds.

<u>Total LEA grants</u> - for 1979-80, both concentration funds and basic grants are included.

State administration set aside per operating LEA  $\sim$  in the calculation for the most recent year, 1984-85 allocation data have been used with the number of operating LEAs in fall 1982. Few changes in the number of LEAs are expected to be found when 1984 data become available.

In 1982, for the nation as a whole there were 323 nonoperating school districts. The bulk of these were found in seven states: Maine, Massachusetts, Nebraska, New Jersey, North Dakota, Vermont, and Wisconsin. Nonoperating LEAs were excluded from the calculation.



<u>Title I/Chapter 1 participation data</u> - only students in the regular term Title I/Chapter 1 program have been included; summer term participants are excluded. Subgroupings of participant data do not add up to the total number of participants for some states. In the breakdowns by grade level, pre-kindergarten has not been shown on the profile. Students, who were served in ungraded settings or for whom grade level information was not available, were included only in the total count. Similarly, for some students it was not known if they attended public or nonpublic schools. These students were left out of the subgroups but appear in the total Title I/Chapter 1 count. Another reason for some discrepancies was confusion in reporting neglected and delinquent participants.

For language arts, English for limited-English-proficient, health and nutrition services, and attendance and guidance services, a number of states reported that there were no participants (which appears on the profile as "0") or they provided no data (which appears as "-"). In both instances, these states have been excluded from the rankings as is indicated by "NA" (not applicable) on the profile.

Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members - all types of Title I/Chapter 1 staff were included in this number. However, only three subgroups of staff, teachers, aides, and administrative staff, appear on the profile. We have omitted curriculum specialists, clerical staff, and supporting staff.

Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure - only students in the regular school term were included in the calculation. Adding summer term participants would affect both the amount and the relative rankings of states. All students served are contained in the Chapter 1 student counts. Thus, states with stable student populations would appear to have higher per pupil costs than states with high student turnover, if the number of Chapter 1 "slots" and the cost per slot were held constant. In the calculation, total allocations to LEAs were utilized. However, states may not have spent all the allocation in a given year or may have used carry over money from the prior year.

Overall average per pupil expenditure - the amounts presented are those utilized by OPBE, Budget Service in the calculation of Title I/Chapter 1 allocations. They exclude Title I/Chapter 1 money, tuitions paid by individuals, and carry over from Title I, Title IVB, and Title IVC. Within each state, separate LEA enrollment weights have not been used to calculate the state average per pupil expenditure.



3

 $\vartheta$ 

<u>RTS tax capacity index</u> - the RTS index was designed to answer the question, "What would be the total revenue potential of the 50 states if every state applied identical tax rates -- national averages -- to each of 26 commonly used tax bases?" The RTS numbers are shown in index form with the national average equal to 100; they do not show the absolute change in the level of fiscal capacity across the country. The use of an index number format facilitates interstate and cross-time comparisons.

<u>Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142</u> and P.L. 89-313 combined - total school enrollment consists of the total public and nonpublic elementary and secondary school population which is between the ages of 5 to 17. However, programs for the handicapped serve students who are aged 3 to 21.

Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-proficient considerable controversy surrounds the determination of the size of the limited-English-proficient (LEP) population. We have used U.S. Census data to give some indication. The amounts presented show the number of children aged 5-17 whose ability to speak English was reported to be either "Not well" or "Not at all" and who live in homes in which a language other than English is spoken. The actual numbers of children counted as LEP from U.S. Census data are typically smaller than the numbers reported in the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) Survey but ranks are quite similar for most states.

<u>School enrollment by race</u> - for some states, racial percentages do not add to 100 percent because some responses did not fit into any of the categories used by the U.S. Census.

Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin - on the U.S. Census, all individuals of Spanish origin are also categorized by race. On the OCR Survey, an alternative source of data not used here, Hispanic is considered as a separate racial/ethnic group.

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs - the percentages of children in poverty are based on all children living in each school attendance area; this percentage includes dropouts and kindergarten-age children who are not in school.

<u>Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest</u> <u>enrollment</u> - the amounts presented answer the question, "Of all the poor children in the state, what percentage is found in the LEA with the largest enrollment?"



<u>Percent poor children in State in five largest LEAs</u> - the amounts presented answer the question, "Of all the poor children in the state, what percentage is found in the five largest LEAs combined?" The names of these five largest LEAs are noted.

<u>Minimum competency testing</u> - definitions of minimum competency tests (MCT) vary. What some states consider an MCT, others call a state assessment program. These alternative interpretations result in apparent discrepancies when surveys of MCTs are compared. To provide some consistency across states, we have used one source, Education Commission of the States (ECS) Clearinghouse Notes, January 1985, for this information.

School improvement programs and teacher reforms - a wealth of information on school improvement programs and teacher reforms has been produced in the past two years. However, each report or survey uses somewhat different categories to present information, which sometimes results in apparent inconsistencies. In addition, new programs are constantly being added. To be as comprehensive as possible, we have used an ECS survey (October 1983) and the Education Week survey (February 6, 1985) is our major sources and have included other known information when appropriate.

The improvements described are State-sponsored programs which were begun during the past four to five years. We particularly highlighted the presence of effective schools projects and requirements for district or school planning because both activities have implications for the delivery of Chapter 1 services.

Similarly, for teacher reforms we have noted career ladder or merit pay programs and testing requirements for initial certification because these would be expected to affect Chaptet 1 staff.



#### Data Sources for State Profiles

.

Data Element	Source
Title I/Chapter 1 Allocation Data	
Total allocation	U.S. Department of Education (ED); Office of Flanning, Budget, and Evaluation (OPBE); Budget Service
Total local education agency (LEA) grants	ED, OPBE, Budget Service
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	ED, OPBE, Budget Service; Consumer Price Index
State administration set aside	ED, OPBE, Budget Service
State administration set aside per operating LEA	ED, OPBE, Budget Service; National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), <u>Digest of Education</u> <u>Statistics</u> , 1981, 1983-84
LEA child count for formula purposes	ED, OPBE, Budget Service
Title I/Chapter 1 Participation Data	
Total number of participants	Title I Evaluation and Reporting System (TIERS)
Number of particiPants K-6	TIERS
Number of participants 7-12	TLERS
Number of nonpublic participants	TIERS
Percent of all nonpublic school students served in Title I/ Chapter 1	TIERS; NCES, <u>The Condition of</u> <u>Education</u> , 1983
Number of public participants	TIERS
Fercent of all public school students served in Title I/ Chapter 1	TIERS; NCES, <u>Digest of Education</u> <u>Statistics</u> , 1981, 1983-84
Percent of students receiving reading	TLERS



ō

-

Data Element	Source
Percent of students receiving language arts	TIERS
Percent of students receiving English for limited-English- proficient	TIERS
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition services	TIERS
Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services	TIERS
Title I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics	
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 full-time equivalent (FTE) staff members	TIERS
Number of FTE teachers	TIERS
Number of FTE aides	TIERS
Number of FTE administrative staff	TIERS
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	TIERS
fitle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	TIERS; ED, OPBE, Budget Service
Instructional settings	Chrys Dougherty - unpublished study for the House Committee on Education and Labor and LBJ School of Public Policy, 1984
Schoolwide projects	Chrys Dougherty - unpublished study for the House Committee on Education and Labor and LBJ School of Public Policy, 1984
<u>State Characteristics</u>	
Number of operating LEAs	NCES, <u>Digest of Education</u> Statistics, 1981, 1983-84



ļ

7

Data Element	Source
Overall average per pupil expenditure	ED, OPBE, Budget Service
Overall average per pupil expenditure	ED, OPBE, Budget Service; Consumer
in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	Price Index
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	NCES, Digest of Education Statistics, 1981, 1983-84
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary	NCES, <u>The Condition of Education</u> ,
and secondary schools	1983
Percent of total enrollment in	NCES, <u>The Condition of Education</u> .
nonpublic schools	1983
Enrollment in religiously affiliated	NCES, <u>Digest of Education</u>
elementary and secondary schools	Statistics, 1983-84
Percent of nonpublic enrollment	NCES, <u>The Condition of Education</u> ,
in religiously affiliated schools	1983
Total enroliment in elementary	NCES, <u>Digest of Education</u>
and secondary schools	<u>Statistics</u> , 1981, 1983-84
Per capita income	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Survey of Current Business, April 1985
Rep <i>r</i> esentative Tax System (RTS) tax capacity index	Advisory Commission on Inter- governmental Relations (ACIR), "The ACIR Representative Tax System Method for Estimating the Fiscal Capacity of the 50 State-Local Systems," December 7, 1984, and <u>1982 Tax Capacity of</u> <u>the 50 States</u> , Freliminary, May 1985
Student Characteristics	

Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 94-142

Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 89-313 ED, <u>Annual Report to</u> Congress on <u>P.L. 94-142</u>

ED, OPBE, Budget Service

ERIC Full Taxt Provided by ERIC

8

Data Element	Source
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined	ED, <u>Annual Report to Congress on</u> <u>P.L. 94-142;</u> ED, OPBE, Budget Service; NCES, <u>Digest of</u> <u>Education Statistics</u> , 1981, 1983-84
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-proficient	U.S. Bureau of the Census, <u>Detailed Population</u> <u>Characteristics</u> , 1983
School enrollment by race	U.S. Bureau of the Census, <u>General</u> Social <u>and Economic</u> Characteristics
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin	U.S. Bureau of the Census, <u>General</u> <u>Social and Economic</u> <u>Characteristics</u>
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty	U.S. Bureau of the Census, <u>Genera</u> <u>Social and Economic</u> <u>Characteristics</u> , December 1983
Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs	U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1980, Summary Tape File 3F (STF3F)
Percent poor children in state in LEA with largest enrollment	U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1980, STF3F
Percent poor children in state in five largest LEAs	U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1980, STF3F
Other State Programs	
State compensatory education program	Decision Resources Corporation, Telephone Survey of State Compensatory Education Programs, 1984-85
Minimum competency testing	Education Commission of the State, <u>Clearinghouse Notes</u> , State Activity - Minimum Competency Testing, January 1985



9

·--

Data Element	Source		
School improvement programs	Education Commission of the States, <u>State Programs of School</u> <u>Improvement, 1983: A 50-State</u> <u>Survey</u> , October 1983; <u>Education</u> <u>Week</u> , "Changing Course: A 50-State Survey of Reform Measures," February 6, 1985		
Teacher reforms	Education Commission of the States, <u>Clearinghouse Notes</u> , "States Requiring Testing for Initial Certification," July 1984; Education Week, "Changing Course: A 50-State Survey of Reform Measures," February 6, 1985		



 $\pm 0$ 

Ċ,

#### Table 1. Total fitle I/Chapter 1 Allocation

	1979-80 1984-0			15
	Allocation	Rank	Allocation	Rank
Alabama	\$ 74,543,253	13	0 LE UNE -84	• •
Alaska	7,742,051	47	\$ 65,205,688	14
Arizona	34,941,033	28	8,160,102	4B 26
Arkansas	48,036,745	23	40,614,690 42,607,293	20
Callfornia	299,993,371	2	361,453,783	1
Colorado	30,443,069	ונ	34,079,046	ı ۱
Connecticut	30,882,304	วีนี้	38,719,844	29
Delaware	10,231,926	-42	11,985,109	41
Florida	112,684,114	7	151,635,173	5
Georgia	83,459,618	11	85,869,403	ň
Hawaii	11,890,296	39	10,264,876	44
Idaho	9,981,512	44	12,559,147	39
Illinois	144,310,048	4	172,757,130	4
Indiana	41,666,884	25	50,287,315	21
lova	26,995,785	33	28,073,206	34
Kansas	23,012,446	35	25,108,241	35
Kentucky	62,163,832	18	58,117,700	18
Louisiana	90,127,435	10	102,625,252	10
Maine	13,743,167	38	15,920,172	ĴĹ
Maryland	53,631,563	21	55,237,176	19
Massachusetts	70,158,771	15	84,086,405	12
Michigan	133,955,709		138,463,579	-;
Minnesota	42,777,486	24	39,282,605	28
Mississippi	74,281,605	14	61,529,521	10
Miasouri	53,913,054	20	51,696,872	20
Montana	10,797,929	40	10,625,400	43
Nebraska	16,685,985	37	16,430,522	37
Nevada	4,922,245	51	6,773,166	50
New Hampshire	5,662,733	49	8,129,674	49
New Jersey	83,281,820	12	107, 537, 686	У
New Mexico	26,042.296	34	28,222,225	33
New York	302,676,995	1	351,502,750	2
North Carolina	93,181,062	9	80, 115, 700	13
North Dakota	9,170,666	46	8,673,381	46
Uhio	96,477,532	8	112,5 8,130	8
Uklahoma	36,303,283	27	39,126,777	27
Uregon	32,988,926	29	38,183,167	30
Penosylvania	143,095,778	5	159,383,796	5
Rhode Island	10,366,445	41	11,232,345	42
South Carolina	57,965,593	19	49,035,128	22
South Dakota	9,703,732	45	9,794,210	45
fennessec	69,026,352	16	63,029,93o	15
Lexus	244,435,041	في ال	248, 92 5, 559	3
Utan	10,165,940	43	12,059,850	40
Vermont	6,789,642	48	8,183,184	47
Virginia	67,242,087	17	59,736,044	17
Washington	41,649.223	26	46,453,775	24
West Virginia	29,992,743	32	29,693,010	32
Wisconsin	49,707,786	22	47,850,510	23
Wyoning	5.152.130	· 50	5,236,432	51
District of Columbia	<u> </u>	36	17,093,918	36
Totals	\$3,068,035,543		\$3,324,655.614	



11

---

#### Table 2. Total Title I/Chapter 1 LEA Grante

.

	1979-8	1979-80 1		984-85	
	LEA Grant	Rank	LEA Grant	Rank	
Alabama	\$ 71,762,320	13	\$ 62,194,713	15	
Alaska	5,681,315	47	5,062,539	50	
Arizona	27,711,021	29	32, 386, 766	29	
Arkansas	40,957,148	23	35, 959, 150	26	
California	244,324,989	2	279,867,944	2	
Colorado	24,959,043	32	28,457,434	30	
Connecticut	26,156,203	30	32,460,447	28	
Delaware	7,179,875	45	8,458,275	45	
Florida	88,726,740	7	123,801,127	6	
Geórgia	78,078,795	11	79,728,053	11	
Hawali	10,954,755	38	9,605,344	42	
Idaho	6,579,805	46	8,623,442	44	
Illipois	121,813.372	5	146,758,334	4	
Indiana	35,2 .793	25	44,605,670	22	
Lowa	25,	31	26,856,386	33	
Kansas	20,318,289	35	21,276,435	35	
Kentucky	55,719,658	17	52,88U,352	18	
Louisiana	82,270,774	10	89,817,889	10	
Maine	10,181,655	39	12,220,632	38	
Maryland	48,193,652	21	51,387,719	19	
Massachueetts	53,952,843	19	67,796,097	13	
Michigan	116,838,720	0	121,864,447	7	
Minnesota	39,249,529	24	36,809,678	24	
Míssissippi	69,265,589	14	57,451,254	16	
Missouri	49,403,432	20	48,274,714	20	
Montana	9,195.012	41	9,643,576	41	
Nebraska	15,477,089	37	15,480,335	36	
Nevada	3,771,595	51	5,521,320	49	
New Hampshire	4,580,335	49	6,827,980	47	
New Jersey	72,933,401	12	99,222,239	9 34	
New Mexico	22,676,619	34 1	25,409,911	1	
New York	276,154,892	9	315,740,513	12	
North Carolina	82,480,578 7,851,353	44	72,533,060 7,469,163	46	
North Bakota	84,609,916	** B		40 H	
Ohio Shibbara	32,464,306	26	103,812,685 36,546,597	25	
Oklahoma	24,059,776	33	27,227,799	32	
Oregon Bassaulussis	126,874,477	4	143,490,367	5	
<i>Penosylvania</i> Rhode Island	9,439,796	40	10,661,727	39	
South Carolina	54,610.003	18	46,953,347	21	
South Dakota	9,059,276	42	9,1B1,192	43	
Tennessee	65,907,511	15	62,678,531	14	
lexas	174,757,350	3	183,936,533	1	
Utah	8,951,677	43	10,333,298	41	
Vermont	4,959,457	48	6,146,599	48	
Virgi¢ia	62,652,494	16	50,821,749	17	
Washington	30,935,920	27	34,577,829	27	
West Virginia	28, 554, 172	28	28, 329, 426	31	
Wisconsin	44,575,654	22	43,906,859	23	
Wyoming	3,939,715	50	3,723,120	51	
District of Columbia	15,925,791	36	13,628,449	37	
Totals	\$2,638,821,235		\$2,854,415,044		



#### Table 3. Total LEA Grants in Adjusted 1979-80 Dollars

	1982-83		1984-85	1984-85		
	Adjusted		Adjusted			
	Basic Grant	Rank	Basic Grant	<u>Kank</u>		
Alabama	\$ 48,358,520	ل ا	\$ 43,071,131	15		
Alaska	3,727,021	47	3,505,913	50		
Arizona	17.501.393	31	22,428,508	29		
Arkaosas	27,744,243	23	24,902,459	20		
California	167,690,683	2	193,414,365	2		
Colorado	18,392,729	29	19,707,364	ΩE		
Consecticut	17,304,171	32	22,479,534	28		
Delaware	4,935,175	46	5,857,531	45		
Florida	63,260,828	7	85,734,852	6		
Georgia	53.723,245	12	55,213,333	11		
Havali	5,296,314	43	6,651,900	42		
Idaho	5,165,559	44	5,971,913	44		
Illoois	89,021,041	4	101,633,196	4		
Indiana	25,969,223	24	30,890,353	22		
Iova	17,697,112	30	18,598,606	33		
Kansas	14,260,569	35	14,734,373	35		
Kentucky	37,624,117	18	36,620,743	18		
Louisiana	55,010,438	10	62,200,754	10		
Maine	7,068,453	38	8,463,042	38		
Haryland	30,933,803	21	35,587,063	19		
Massachuserrs	39,425,076	17	46,950,205	13		
Michiga'i	76,471,097		84, 393, 652	- 7		
Minnesota	25,940,642	25	25,491 467	24		
Mississippl	46, 300, 754	14	39,786,187	16		
Misspuli	34,861,780	20	33,431,242	20		
Montana	6,407,294	41	6,678,377	41		
Nebraska	10.276.339	36	10,720,454	36		
Nevada	3,133,014	49	3,823,629	49		
New Hampshire	3, 337, 177	48	4,728,518	47		
New Jersey	54,829,422	11	68,713,462	9		
New Mexico	15,991,155	33	17,596,891	34		
New York	180,890,886	1	218,661,019	1		
North Carolina	55,635,108	وا	50,230,651	12		
North Dakots	5,157,175	45	5,172,550	46		
Ohio	61,056,645	8	71,892,441	8		
Oklahoma	23,293,129	27	25,309,270	25		
Oregon	15,953,933	34	18,855,810	32		
Pennsylvania	83,595,973	5	99,370,060	5		
Rhode island	6,536,007	40	7,383,467	39		
South Carolina	36,770,010	19	32,516,168	21		
South Dakota	0,243,453	39	6,358,166	ل 4		
fennessee	44,109,110	15	43,400,185	14		
fexas	121.674,388	و ا	127,379,870	3		
Utah	6,235,322	42	7,156,023	40		
Vermont	3,003,638	5U	4,256,648	48		
Virginia	41,800,899	16	39,350,242	17		
Washington	24,194,076	26	23,945,865	27		
West Virginia	19,994,197	28	19,618,716	31		
Wisconsin	28,652,135	22	30,406,412	23		
Wyoming	2,617,061	53	2,578,338	<b>5</b> 1		
District of Columbia	10,110,910	37	9,437,984	37		
Totals	\$1,805,543,102		\$1,983,666,918			



.

.

#### Table 4. State Administration Set Aside

	1979-80		1984-8:	ò
	Allocation	Rank	Allocation	Rank
Alabama	\$1,101,624	13	\$ 611,284	14
Alaska	225,000	44.5T	225.000	43,51
Arizona	516,373	28	380,751	26
Arkansas	709,902	23	399,431	25
Galifornia	4.433.399	2	3, 388 524	T
Colorado	449,897	31	319,481	31
Connecticut	456,388	30	362,987	29
Delaware	225,000	44.51	225,000	43.5I
Florida	1,665,281	7	1,421,535	6
Georgia	1,233,392	11	805,001	11
Havait	225,000	44.5T	225,000	43.5T
Idaho	225,000	44,5T	225,000	43.55
Illinois	2,132,660	4	1,619,548	4
	615,767	26	471,429	21
lowa	398,952	33 35	263,178	34 35
Kanses Kaatustu	340,085 918,477		235,382	
Kentucky Louisiana	918,677 1,331,931	16 10	544,830	61 01
Maine	225,000	44,51	962,082 225,000	43.5T
Maryland	792,584	21	517,832	19
HassaChusette	1,035,828	15	788,286	12
Michigan	1,979,641	6	1.298.056	••
Minnesota	632,178	24	368,263	28
Mississippi	1,097,757	14	576,821	16
Missouri	796,744	20	484,643	20
Montana	225,000	44.5T	225,000	43.51
Nebraska	246,591	37	225,000	43.51
Nevada	225,000	44.5T	225,000	43.51
Nev Hampshire	225,000	44.51	225,000	43.51
New Jersey	1,230,765	12	1,008,134	9
New Mexico	384,860	34	264,575	33
New York	4,473,058	1	3,295,236	2
North Carolina	1,377,060	9	751,061	ذ⊥
North Dekota	225,000	44 <b>.</b> 51	225,000	43.5T
Ohio	1,425,775	8	1,055,387	6
Oklahoma	536,501	7	373,364	27
Oregon	487,521	29	357,956	30
Pennsylvania	2,114,715	5	1,494,177	5
Rhode Island	225,000	44. <b>5</b> T	225,000	43,5 <b>f</b>
South Carolina	856,633	19	459,690	22
South Dakota	225,000	44.51	215,000	41.51
fennessee	1,020,093	16	609,637	12
Ieras	2,612,339	3	4,333,605	3
Utan	225,000	44.5T	225,000	43.56
Verpont Versiele	225,000	44.51	225,000	43.5r
Virginia	993,725	17 25	560,00B	17 24
Washington	615,305 443,242	25 32	435,491 278,363	
West Virginia	734,597	12	278,393 448,585	32 23
Wisconsin Wyoming	225,000	44.5T	225,000	43.5r
District of Columbia	280,547	30	225,000	43.5I 43.5I
stafstde åt obtombig		••		
Totals	\$46,623,587		\$33,144,619	



#### Table 5. State Administration Set Aside Per Operating LEA

	1979-80		1984-85*		
	Amount	<u>Ratik</u>	Amount	Rank	
Alabama	\$ 8,674	10	\$ 4.775	13	
Alaska	4,327	22	4,245	17	
Arizoda	2,436	30	1.831	30	
Arkansas	1,903	37	1,077	40	
Callfornia	4,292	23	3,280	21	
Colorado	2,485	29	1,765	31	
Connecticut	2,765	28	2,200	27	
Delaware	14,063	6	11,842	7	
Florida	24.855	4	21,217	4	
Georgla	<b>0,590</b>	15	4, 305	10	
Hawali	225,000	2	225, <b>0</b> 00	1.5r	
Idaho	1,957	36	1,957	29	
Illinoie	2,±0°	32	1,607	34	
lodiana	2,020	35	1,551	35	
lowa	897	47	597	49	
Kansas	1,108	44	769	47	
Kentucky	5,076	19	3,027	22	
Louisiana	20,181	5	14,577	5	
Maine	991	45	966	42	
Maryland	33,024	3	21,576	з	
Magsachusetts	2,929	27	2,246	25	
Michigan	3,455	25	2,269	25	
Minnesote	1,440	4U	847	45	
Mississippi	7,222	13	3,770	20	
Missouri	1,438	41	884	44	
Hontana	403	50	401	50	
Nebraska	238	51	2 32	51	
Nevada	13,235	7	13,235	6	
New Hampshire	1,433	42	1,424	37	
New Jetsey	2,093	33	1,732	32	
New Mexico	4,373	21	2,973	24	
New York	0,247	16 8	4,609	14	
North Carolina North Dakoza	9,56 <u>4</u> 743	49	5,252 771	1U 46	
Ohio	2,318	31	1.716	33	
Oklahoma	865	48	603	48	
Oregon	1.568	39	1,158	39	
Peonsylvania	4,196	24	2,988	23	
Rhode island	5.625	17.51	5,625	16,51	
South Carolina	9,311	9	4,997	12	
South Dakota	1,203	43	1,203	34	
lennessee	ь,893	14	4,170	18	
Ieros	3,354	26	2.171	28	
Utah	5,625	17.51	5,625	- ยี.5r	
Vermont	918	45	911	43	
Virginia	7,361	12	4,148	19	
Washington	2,052	34	1,452	36	
West Virginia	8,059	11	5,061	11	
Wisconsio	1,693	38	1,036	41	
Wyoming	4, 592	20	4,592	15	
District of Columbia	280, 547	1	225,000	1.51	
		_	,		

\* Based on the number of operating districts reported in fall 1982, which is the most recent data available.



20

 $\hat{}$ 

Table	6.	s ea	Child	Count	íot	Fotmula	Purposes
-------	----	------	-------	-------	-----	---------	----------

	1979-80	)	1984-85	
	Child Count	Rank	Child Count	Rank
Alabama	275,622	12	199,567	13
Alaska	14,303	49	10,633	50
Arizona	85,923	29	90,058	26
Arkaosas	156,574	20	110,789	22
California	699,467	1	719,349	ł
Colorado	75,518	3z	66,086	16
Connecticut	71,720	33	69,052	30
Delaware	16,483	46	18,500	45
Florida	307,318	9	332,386	5
Georgia	297,540	10	250,735	9
Hawa11	29,046	41	23,285	43
Idaho	24,467	45	27,537	40
Illinois	331,981	5	342,198	4
Indiana	130,792	22	131,160	21
Iowa	76,730	31	64,685	33
Kansas	66,499	34	49,593	35
Kentucky	212,593	15	164,959	16
	312,932	30	223,254	10
Maine	38.459	38 25	37,239	37
Matyland	123,827	23	107,728	23
Massachusette	131,947	21	146,716	18
Michigan	316,904	26	265,268	8 2 ថ
Minpesota Mississippi	110,030 263,553	13	84,484	15
Mississippi Missouri	178,774	19	178,175	20
Montana	25,910	44	138,653	44
Nebraska	48,405	-14 36	21,749 37,704	36
Nevada	11.494	50	14,867	48
Nev Haspshire	15,340	47	18,215	40
New Jelsev	180,155	18	209,083	12
New Mexico	81,698	<b>J</b> u	64,891	32
New York	678,449	2	672,780	2
North Carolina	317,967	6	221,539	11
North Dakota	28,005	42	18,105	47
Ohio	287,110	11	283,557	7
Oklahoma	125,025	23	93,462	25
Oregon	64,499	35	57, 415	34
Pennsylvanla	335,178	4	319,639	6
Rhode Island	25,949	43	24,207	42
South Carolina	209.377	17	145,717	19
South Dakota	34,967	39	27,517	41
fennessee	245.233	14	194,045	14
fexas	644,917	L	571,7UL	1
Utah	34.283	40	33,350	38
Vermont	15,339	48	14,550	49
Virginla	220, 584	15	157,613	17
Washington	92,713	28	90,052	27
West Virginia	106,831	27 24	75,122	29 24
Wisconsin Vuentes	124,817	-	99,996	
Wyoming District of Columbia	10,473	51 37	7,611	51 39
District of Columbia			29,200	29
Totals	8,360,991		7,356,777	



.

le

Table 7.	[ota]	Number	αſ	Title	I/Chaj	Pter	1	Students
----------	-------	--------	----	-------	--------	------	---	----------

	1979-81 Number	5	1982-81 Number	3
	of Students	Rank	of Studence	Rank
	<u> </u>			
Alabama	130,849	14	110,943	14
Alaska	5,482	50	4,564	50
Arizona	67,197	26	41,007	28
Arkansas	84,571	21	67,147	21
Galifornia	825,946	L	847,560	1
Colorado	39,984	34	33,727	32
Connecticur	60,503	29	32,720	33
Delaware	12,167	45	6,664	45
Florida	157,923	9	14 1,291	6
Georgia	159,646	8	113,946	13
Havali	15,627	42	10,278	45
Idaho	16,197	41	13,998	40
Illinois	166,239	6	135,051	9
Indiana lowa	104,424	18	92,927	17
	46,607	31	40,225	29
Kansas	40,884	33	38,493	30
Kentucky Louisiana	114,881	16 7	102,625	15
Maine	163,108 26,480	37	101,043	16 36
Maryland	63,284	27	22,574	26
Massachusetts	•	22	56,037	20
Mlchigan	81,579 169,449	5	72,501 143,271*	20
Minnesota	72,227	24	55,535	27
Mississippi	131,811	13		12
Missouri	87,408	20	114,385 85,949	18
Montana	12,991	44	11.577	43
Nebraska	29,106	36	21,412	37
Nevada	5,978	49	7.081	49
New Hampshire	8,3,4	48	7,876	48
New Jersey	121,379	15	169,046	5
New Mexico	32,385	35	27,655	35
New York	347,701	ž	298,652	Ĩ
North Catolina	149.547	11	133,889	10
North Dakota	10,239	47	10,480	44
Ohio	144.254	12	119,640	11
Oklahoma	79.44B	23	61.090	23
Oregon	47,326	30	36,337	31
Fennsylvania	265,650	4	227,054	4
Rhode Island	18,745	39	12.043	42
Sputh Carolina	47,960	19	62,001	22
South Dakota	15,272	43	12,740	41
Tennessee	151,239	10	138,045	8
Texas	421,000	2	315,841	2
Utati	21,685	38	16,839	38
Vermont	10,883	45	7,883	47
Virginia	111,781	17	83,389	19
Washington	61,058	28	59,246	24
West Virginia	43,782	32	32,147	34
Wisconsio	71,665	25	57,308	25
Wyoming	4,735	51	3,841	51
District of Columbia	16,885	40	15,569	39
Totals	5,148,503		4,437,802	

\* 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan.



ì7

#### Table 6. Number of Participants K-b

	1979-80	υ	1982-83		
	Number		Number		
	of Students	Rank	of Students	Rank	
Alabama	115,629	10	100,846	10	
Alaska	3,495	51	3,248	51	
Arizona	46,047	27	29,655	29	
Arkapsas	59,568	24	52,658	22	
California	585,021	1	613,258	1	
Colorado	25,390	34	22,755	ÊL	
Connecticut	42,571	28	22,480	34	
Delaware	11,071	43	7,913	44	
Florida	139,996	5	120,013	5	
Georgia	113,894	12	85,788	14	
Hawaii	8,413	44	5,593	48	
Idaho	11,988	40	11,154	40	
111 incis	117,880	9	94,839	11	
Indiana	88,149	18	B3,854	10	
lowa	37,417	31	34,547	28	
Kanaas	30,835	33	29,565	0٤	
Kentucky	93,089	16	83,268	17	
Louisiana	114,360	11	B5,710	15	
Maine	22,031	36	19,251	36	
Maryland	59,828	23	55,471	20	
Massachusetts	61,476	22	54,236	-21	
Michigan	123,957	7	116,244*	6	
Minnesoca	62,466	21	51,468	23	
Mississippi	101,109	14	93,510	12	
Missouri	67,498	20	69,140	19	
Montana	7,017	48	6,626	45	
Nebraska	21,672	37	17,847	37	
Nevada	3,547	50	3,506	49	
New Hampshire	7,277	47	6,484	46	
New Jetsey	97,627	15	106,422	9	
New Mexico	22,729	35	20, 343	35	
New York	238,109	3	201,259	3	
North Carolina	110,019	13	87,609	13	
North Dakota	7,983	45	8,821	42	
Ohio	130,915	6	110,930	8	
Oklahoma	50,301	26	43,986	27	
0 regon	32,066	32	25,730	32	
Pennsylvania	192,391	4	163,777	4	
Rhode Island	12,025	39	8,380	43	
South Carolina South Oakota	68,401	19	50,133	24	
iennessee	11,930	41 8	10,337	41	
lexas	122,412	2	112,008	2	
Utah	347,095 17,915	38	271,020	38	
Vermont	7.776	46	14,020 6,067	47	
Virginia	92,620	17	70.493	18	
Washlogton	42,442	29	45,914	25	
West Virginia	38,384	30	28,709	31	
Wisconsin	54,212	25	44,716	26	
Wyoming	3,848	49	3, 303	50	
District of Columbia	11,903	42	13,211	39	
		~ •		.,	
Totals	3,895,794		3,428,121		

\* 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan.



.

	Table 7. Humber	or raivier	panes /-12				
		79-80	4001	1982-83			
	Number	73-00	-	_			
		• . Bank	Number	<b>D</b> I-			
	o <u>f</u> Studen	ts Renk	of Students	Rank			
Alabama	15,22	0 22	10,097	24			
Alsska	1,73	•	1,092	48			
Arizona	21,09		11,268	21			
Arkausas	24,82			17			
California	203,60	-	14,489	1			
Colorado	13,15		228, 925	26			
Connecticut	12,84	-	9,812	20			
Delaware		-	8,701				
Fiorida	1,06		708	49			
	14,78		22,418	11			
Georgia	45,37		28,185	8			
Hawali	7,08		4,685	34			
Idaho	4,20		2,733	42			
Illicois	42,72		34,047	7			
Indiana	14,50	7 25	8,409	28			
lova	8,51	8 33	5,201	32			
Kanaas	9, 31	5 31	8,354	29			
Kencucky	21,06	3 16	19, 357	ιi			
Louisiana	45,75	8 5	12,668	19			
Maine	4,44		3,323	40			
Maryland	3,10		208	51			
Massachusects	17,66		16,235	15			
Hichigan	38,26		23,475*	10			
Hinnesota	9,75		4,028	35			
Hississippi	30,70		20,875	12			
Hissouri	19,32		15,677	16			
Montana	5,95						
Nebraska			4,951	33 37 st			
Nevada	7,42	-	3,565	37.5T			
	2,43		3,575	36			
New Hampshire	96		1,278	47			
New Jersey	20,52		40,231	6			
New Mexico	9,56		7,294	UL			
New York	108,13		97,393	2			
North Carolina	39,14		46,139	4			
North Dakota	2,25		1,659	45			
Ohio	8,75		5,432	31			
Oklahoma	28,84	4 12	17,515	14			
Oregon	14,91	.U 2.J	10,278	23			
Pennsylvania	75,00	)2 J	62,446	3			
Rhode Island	6,59	3 36	3,565	37.5T			
South Carolina	26,98	15 11	L1,095	22			
South Pakota	3,20	19 4J	2,403	ۇ بە			
fennessee	26,61	.3 13	26,037	9			
Texas	65,10	92 4	41,209	ذ			
Utah	3,77		2,819	41			
Vermont	2,55		1,451	40			
Virginia	18,01		12,037	20			
Washington	15,29		12,898	18			
West Virginia	5,39		3,438	39			
Wisconsin	11,86			25			
Wyoming	11,00		10,090	50 50			
			518	-			
District of Columb	ia <u>4,98</u>	<u>13</u> 39	2,378	44			
Totals	1 1.7 /1	1	513 AA				
10(819	1,147,4]	. 14	947,224				

#### Table 9. Number of Participants 7-12

\* 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-85 data for Michigan.



Table 10.	Percent of	Title	1/Cnapter	Ŧ	Participation	in	Grades	K-6	and	7-12*	
-----------	------------	-------	-----------	---	---------------	----	--------	-----	-----	-------	--

	1979-80				1985-97				
	Percent in		Percent in		Percent in		Percent in		
	<u>Gra</u> des K-b	Rank	<u>Grades 7-12</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>Gradus K-6</u>	Rank	Grades 7-12	Kank	
Alabama	60.37	5	11.63	47	90.90	5	9.10	47	
Alaska	66.84	45	33.16	17	74.84	35	25.16	17	
Arizona	68 <b>.59</b>	43	31.41	12	72.47	40	27.53	12	
Arkansas	70.59	38	29.41	22	78.42	30	21.58	22	
California	74.18	30	25.82	14	72.82	38	27.18	14	
Colorado	65.88	40	34.12	5	69.87	45	30.13	Q	
Connecticut	76.82	24	23.18	10	72.10	42	27.90	10	
Delaware	91.22	3	8.78	48	91.79	4	8.21	48	
florida	90.45	4	9.55	37	34.26	15	15.74	37	
Georgia	71.51	36	28.49	18	75.27	34	24.73	18	
Hawa11	54.28	50	45.72	2	54.42	50	45.58	2	
Idaho	74.03	31	25.97	23	80.32	29	19.68	23	
illinois	73.40	34	26.60	15	73.58	37	26.42	15	
Indiana	85.87	y	14.13	46	90.89	6	9.11	46	
Iowa	81.46	19	18.54	43	86.92	9	13.08	43	
Kansas	76.80	25	23.20	2U	77.97	32	22.03	20	
Xentucky	81.55	18	18.45	25	81.14	27	18.86	25	
Louisiana	71.42	37	28.58	44	87.12	8	12.08	44	
Maine	83.20	12	16.80	39	85.28	13	14.72	39	
Maryland	95.07	1	4.93	51	99.63	1	0.37	51	
Massachusetts	77.68	23	22.32	19	76.96	33	23.04	19	
Michigan	76.41	27	23.59	32	83.20*	20	16.80**	32	
Minnesota	86.50	8	13.50	49	92.74	3	7.26	49	
Mississippi	76.71	26	23.29	30	81.75	22	18.25	30	
Missouri	77.74	22	22.26	28	81.52	24	18.48	28	
Montana	54.08	51	45.92	3	57.23	49	42.77	3	
Nehraska	74.49	29	25.51	23	83.35	16	10.65	34	
Nevada	59.33	49	40.67	Э	49.51	\$1	50.49	1	
New Hampshire	88.32	6	11.68	46	83.54	17	16.46	35	
New Jersey	82.63	13	17.37	39	72.57	39	27.43	13	
New Mexico	70.33	40	29.67	12	73.61	36	26.39	16	
New York	68.77	42	31.23	10	67.39	47	32.61	5	
North Carolina	73.76	32	26.24	20	65.50	48	34.50	4	
North Dakota	78.00	21	22.00	31	84.17	16	15.83	đĽ	
Ohio	93.70	2	6.30	50	94.92	2	5.08	50	
Oklahoma	63.56	48	36.44	4	71.52	43	28.48	9	
Oregon	68.20	44	31.74	8	71.46	44	28.54	8	
Pennsylvaola	71.95	35	28.05	17	72.40	41	27.60	11	
Rhode Island	64.59	47	35.41	5	70.15	45	29.85	7	
South Carolina	70.24	41	29.76	11	81.88	21	18.12	31	
South Dakota	78.49	20	21.51	32	81.14	26	18.86	26	
Tenaessee	82.14	15	17.86	37	81.14	25	18.86	27	
Texas	84.21	10	15.79	42	86.79	10	13.21	42	
Utah	82.60	14	17.40	38	83.26	19	16.74	εĽ	
Vermont	75.27	28	24.73	24	80.70	28	19.30	24	
Virginia	83.71	11	16.29	41	85.42	12	14.59	40	
Washington	73.51	33	26.49	19	78.07	31	21.93	21	
West Virginia	87.67	7	12.33	45	89.31	7	10.69	45	
Wisconsin	82.01	16	17.99	dŁ	81.59	23	18.41	29	
Wyoming	81.87	17	18.13	35	86.44	11	13.56	41	
District of Columbia	70.49	39	29.51	13	84.75	14	15.25	38	

\* Percentages were based on the number of participants who were reported for grades K-12. Students in pre-kindergarten and ungraded settings or for whom grade level information was not available were excluded from these calculations.



\*\* 1981-82 dara were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan. 🦿

25

Table 11.	Number	of	Nonpublic	Participants
-----------	--------	----	-----------	--------------

	1979-80	U	1982-83		
	Number of Studencs	Rank	Number of Students	Rank	
Alabama	1.221	25	985	23	
Alaska	87	49.5T	93	•7	
Arizona	1,536	22	651	32	
Arkansas	970 14.239	30	542	31	
California Colorado	1,032	ر 28	20,398 799	د 28	
Connecticut	4.873	11	1,968	18	
Delaware	1.062	27	508	37	
Florida	4,390	13	3,573	14	
Georgia	1,116	26	273	42	
Havali	326	43	234	45	
ldaho	187	46	129	46	
1111nois	8.0.16	6	6,850	6	
Indiana	3,655	16	3.689	13	
lowa	1.919	15	J 269	15	
Kabeas	2,143	2U	1,557	20	
Kentucky	2,967	17	2.490	16	
Louisiana	7,327	7	5.342	ĨŪ	
Maine	838	35	745	30	
Maryland	2,481	19	1,197	22	
Massachusetta	8,181	5	7,205	5	
Michigan	4.318	12	4 668*	11	
Minnesot3	6,919	8	5,388	9	
Mississippi	1,909	21	1,773	19	
Missouri**	£92	45	260	ٹ ب	
Montana	434	40	442	38	
Nebraska	2,905	18	2,046	17	
Nevada	87	49.51	ы	50	
New Hampshire	479	39	395	39	
New Jersey	12,454	4	10,950	4	
New Mexico	1,373	23	1,241	21	
New York	26,816	1	37,590	1	
North Carolina	726	36	517	Зь	
Norrh Dakota	570	38	518	5 د.	
Ohio	6,040	9	5,516	8	
Oklahoma	273	44	312	40	
Oregon	850	34	840	26	
Pennsylvania	25,947	2	22,236	2	
Ruode Island	921 597	32	847	25 26	
South Carolina	644 347	7 <b>ز</b> ور	533 670	31	
South Uskota [ennessee	933	31	822	27	
Texas	5,185	10	6,549	7	
Utah	178	47	69	49	
Vermont	421	41	309	41	
VLrginia**	9	51	0	51	
Washington	1.291	24	934	24	
West Virginia	412	42	235	44	
Wisconsin	4,226	14	4,382	12	
Wyoming	93	48	30	48	
District of Columbia	981	29	<u>789</u>	29	
Totals	179,490		173,681		

\* 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan.

.

\*\* By-pass States in which independent contractors provide services to nonpublic school students.



#### Table 12. Percent of All Nonpublic School Students Served in Fitle I

.

	1980-	<b>4</b> 1
		- 4
	Percent	Rank
Alabawa	1.76	43
Alaska	2.71	32
Arizona	3.34	25
Arkansaa	6.29	
Galifornia	2.15	38
Colorado	3.01	27
Connecticut	4.57	16
Delaware	3.94	20
Florida	2.86	30
Georgia	2.08	40
Hawaii	0.90	48
1daho	3.69	21
Illinois	2.29	37
Indiana	3.09	26
Iowa	5.65	6
Kansas	5.71	11
Kentucky	4.53	17
Louisiana	4.77	13
Maine	4.75	14
Maryland	2.40	36
Massachusetts	6.97	3
Michigan	2.41	35
Minpesota	6.73	5
Mississippi	3.60	24
MissourI*	0.16	50
Montana	5.87	10
Nebraska	0.62	7
Nevada	1.49	44
New Hampshire	2.51	33
New Jersey	5.41	12
New Mexico	7.32	2
New York	9.59	1
North Carolina	1.18	46
North Dakota	4.53	18
Ohio	2.93	28
Oklahoma	2.10	39
Uregon	1.96	41
Pennsylvania	6.21	9
Rhode 1sland	3.81	22
South Carolina	1.28	45 4
South Dakota	6.94	4
Tennessee	1.01 J.78	23
îexas Na da	0.90	49
Utah Vermont	4.74	15
Vermann Virginia*	0.06	51
Virginia" Washington	1.83	42
Washington West Virginia	2.91	29
Wisconsin	2,50	34
Wyoming	2.93	31
District of Columbia	4.45	19
Province of Adjumpic	4.42	19

\* By-pass States.

.



#### Table 13. Number of Public Patticipants

	1979-8	u	1982-8J			
	Number		Number			
	of Students	Rank	of Students	<u>Rank</u>		
Alabama	129,628	14	109,958	14		
Alaska	5,395	50	4,471	5U		
Arizona	65,661	25	40,356	28		
Arkansas	83,601	21	66,605	20		
California	778,108	1	827,162	1		
Colorado	38,952	3 د ا	32,928	32		
Connecticut	55,630	29	30,752	34		
Delaware	11.105	45	8,156	46		
Florida	153,533	9	139,718	6		
Georgia	158,530	6	113,673	12		
Hawaii	15,301	42	10,044	44		
Idaho	16,010	40	13,869	40		
11110019	158,203	7	128,201	14		
Indiana	100,769	18	89,038	17		
Lowa	42,688	32	36,956	29		
Kansas ,	38,741	34	36,936	30		
Kentucky	111,185	16	100,129	۲2		
Louisiana	155,781	в	95,701	16		
Maine	25,642	37	21,829	36		
Maryland	60,B03	27	54,840	25		
Massachusetts	73,398	23	65,296	21		
Michigan	164,631	5	138,603*	7		
Minnesota	65,308	26	50,147	27		
Mississippi	129,902	13	112,612	13		
Missouri	87,216	20	85,689	T9		
Montana	12,557	44	11,135	43		
Nebraska	26,201	36	19,366	37		
Nevada	5,891	49	7,020	49		
New Hampshire	7,855	48	7,481	48		
New Jersey	108,925	17	158,090	5		
New Mexico	31,012	35	26,414	35		
New York	320,885	3	261,062	з		
North Carolina	148,821	11	133, 372	9		
North Dakota	9,703	47	9,962	45		
Ohio	138.214	12	114,124	11		
Ok lahoma	79,175	22	61,378	23		
Uregon	46,476	30	35,497	31		
Pennsylvania	242,703	4	204,818	4		
Rhode Island	17.824	39	11,190	42		
South Carolina	97,363	19	61,52B	22		
South Dakota	14,373	4 J	12,070	4 <u>1</u>		
Tennessee	150,306	10	137,223	8		
fexas	410,631	2	309,292	2		
Utah	21,511	8 ف	10,770	46		
Vermont	10,402	46	7,574	47		
Virginia	111,772	15	83, 389	19		
Washington	59,767	28	58, JLZ	24		
West Virginia	43,370	31	31,912	31		
Wisconsla	67,439	24	52,926	26		
Wyoping	4, 642	51	3,701	51		
Distria of Columbia	15,905	41	<u>14,780</u>	39		
Totals	4,929,504		4,264,121			

\* 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan.



Table 14	<ul> <li>Percent</li> </ul>	of 411	Public	School	Studente
	Served i	la fitle	1/Chap	ter 1	

PercentKankPercentRankAlabama17.19b15.197Alaska6.09482.0047Arizona12.89147.9131Arkanoas18.65415.405California19.22320.352Colotado7.06456.0445Connecticut9.82266.1243Pelaware10.67238.8024Plorida10.18259.4121Ceorgis14.701010.7912Havaii9.07126.2044Indiana9.30296.9123Iowa7.79427.3235Kaneas9.16309.0722Kentucky16.42715.386Louisiana19.46212.349Maine11.251710.3010Marsissippi26.95124.051Missochusetts7.09447.1838Michigan8.85337.8733Minegoto8.39357.0139Missochusetts7.94377.3136Mex Jand7.94377.3136Minegoto11.25189.6313Montana7.94377.3136Missocri9.95277.9230Mex Jack10.77		1975	9-80	1982-83	
Alaska       6.09       48       0.00       47         Acizona       12.89       14       7.91       31         Arkansas       18.45       4       15.40       5         California       19.22       3       20.35       2         Colotado       7.06       45       6.04       46         Connecticut       9.82       28       6.12       43         Deiaware       10.67       23       8.60       24         Florida       10.18       25       9.44       21         Ceorgis       14.70       10       10.79       12         Hawaii       9.07       32       6.20       44         Idaho       7.90       38       6.632       41         Indiana       9.30       29       8.91       23         Lowa       7.79       42       7.32       35         Louisiana       19.46       2       1.34       9         Maine       11.26       17       10.30       10         Hargen       1.26       17       10.30       10         Hargen       1.26       17       10.30       10 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Percent</td><td>Kank</td><td>Percent</td><td>Rank</td></td<>		Percent	Kank	Percent	Rank
Arizona       12.89       14       7.91       31         Arkaopas       18.45       4       15.40       5         California       19.22       3       20.35       2         Colorado       7.08       45       6.04       45         Connecticut       9.82       28       6.12       43         Delaware       10.67       23       8.80       24         Florida       10.18       25       9.41       21         Cavarie       9.07       32       6.20       44         Idano       7.90       38       6.83       40         11inois       7.74       43       6.82       41         Indiana       9.07       32       6.20       44         Indiana       9.30       29       8.91       23         Iowa       7.79       42       7.32       35         Kentucky       16.42       7       15.38       6	Alabama	17.19	ь	15.19	7
Arkannas         18.45         4         15.40         5           Gallfornia         19.22         3         20.15         2           Colotado         7.08         45         b.04         4b           Connecticut         9.82         28         6.12         43           Delaware         10.67         23         8.80         24           Plocida         10.16         25         9.44         21           Georgin         14.70         10         10.79         12           Hawaii         9.07         32         c.20         44           Idato         7.90         38         6.82         41           Indiana         9.30         29         8.91         23           Lowa         7.79         42         7.32         35           Kansas         9.16         30         9.07         22           Kentucky         1b.42         7         15.88         6           Louisiana         19.46         2         12.34         9           Maine         11.26         17         10.30         10           Haryland         7.82         40         7.84         34<	Alaska	6.09	48	5.00	47
California         19.22         3         20.35         2           Colotado         7.08         45         6.04         45           Connecticut         9.82         28         6.12         43           Delaware         10.67         23         8.80         24           Plorida         10.16         25         9.41         21           Ceorgis         14.70         10         10.79         12           Hawaii         9.07         32         6.20         44           Idano         7.90         38         6.83         40           Indiana         9.07         32         6.20         44           Indiana         9.30         29         8.91         23           Iowa         7.79         42         7.32         35           Kansas         9.16         30         9.07         22           Kentucky         16.42         7         15.38         6           Louisiana         19.46         2         12.34         9           Maine         11.25         17         10.30         16           Haryland         7.82         40         7.84         34 </td <td>Arizona</td> <td>12.89</td> <td>14</td> <td>7,91</td> <td>31</td>	Arizona	12.89	14	7,91	31
Colorade         7.08         45         6.04         45           Connecticut         9.82         28         6.12         43           Delaware         10.67         23         8.80         24           Plocida         10.16         25         9.41         21           Ceorgia         14.70         10         10.79         12           Hawaii         9.07         32         6.20         44           Idaho         7.90         38         6.83         40           111mois         7.74         43         6.82         41           Indiana         9.30         29         8.91         23           Lowa         7.79         42         7.32         35           Kansaa         9.16         30         9.07         22           Kentucky         10.42         7         10.30         16           Harpland         7.82         40         7.84         34           Massachusetrs         7.09         44         7.81         34           Mississippi         26.95         1         24.05         1           Missouri         9.99         26         10.68	Arkansas	18.45	4	15.40	5
Connecticut         9.82         28         6.32         43           Delaware         10.67         23         8.80         24           Florida         10.18         25         9.41         21           Ceorgis         14.70         10         10.79         12           Hawaii         9.07         12         0.20         44           Idaho         7.90         38         6.83         40           11linois         7.74         43         6.82         41           Indiana         9.30         29         8.91         23         55           Kansas         9.16         30         9.07         22         7         32         35           Kansas         9.16         30         9.07         22         35         Kansas         6           Louisiana         19.46         2         12.34         9         9         6           Maine         11.25         17         10.30         10         14         43           Massachusers         7.09         44         7.18         38         35         7.01         39           Minnesota         8.39         35	California	19.22	3	20.35	2
Delaware         10.67         23         8.80         24           Florida         10.16         25         9.41         21           Ceorgis         14.70         10         10.79         12           Havaii         9.07         32         0.20         44           Idaho         7.70         36         0.83         400           111inois         7.74         43         6.82         41           Indiana         9.30         29         8.91         23           Kansas         9.16         30         9.07         22           Kentucky         15.42         7         15.36         6           Louisiana         19.46         2         12.34         9           Maine         11.26         17         10.50         16           Haryland         7.82         47         7.84         34           Massachusetrs         7.09         44         7.18         38           Milnesoto         8.83         35         7.01         39           Mississippi         26.95         1         24.05         1           Massachusetrs         9.12         31         7.20	Colorado			6.04	46
Piorida       10.18       25       9.41       21         Ceorgis       14.70       10       10.79       12         Hawali       9.07       12       0.20       44         Idaho       7.90       38       0.83       40         Indiana       9.30       29       8.91       23         Iowa       7.79       42       7.32       35         Kansas       9.16       30       9.07       22         Kentucky       1b.42       7       15.38       6         Louisiana       19.46       2       12.34       9         Haryland       7.82       40       7.84       34         Massachusetrs       7.09       44       7.18       33         Minnesota       8.39       35       7.01       39         Hissistppi       26.95       1       24.05       1         Missouri       9.99       26       10.68       13 <td< td=""><td>Connecticut</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Connecticut				
Ceorgis         14.70         10         10.79         12           Havali         9.07         12         0.20         44           Idaho         7.90         38         6.83         40           Illinois         7.74         43         6.82         41           Indiana         9.30         29         8.91         23           Kansas         9.16         30         9.07         22           Kentucky         1b.42         7         15.38         6           Louisiana         19.46         2         12.34         9           Maine         11.25         17         10.30         10           Haryland         7.82         40         7.84         34           Massachusetts         7.09         44         7.18         38           Michigan         8.85         33         7.87*         33           Mississipi         26.95         1         24.05         1           Missouri         9.99         26         10.68         13           Montana         7.94         37         7.31         36           New Jersey         8.46         34         14.65	Delaware				
Hawail       9.07       12       0.20       44         Idano       7.90       38       0.83       40         Indiana       7.90       38       0.83       40         Indiana       9.30       29       8.91       23         Iowa       7.79       42       7.32       35         Kansas       9.16       30       9.07       22         Kentucky       15.42       7       15.38       6         Louisiana       19.46       2       12.34       9         Maine       11.25       17       10.30       10         Maryland       7.82       40       7.84       34         Massachusetrs       7.09       44       7.18       38         Minesoto       8.39       35       7.01       39         Mississippi       26.95       1       24.05       1         Mebraska       9.12       31       7.31       35         Metraska       9.12       31       7.20       37         New Markoo       11.25       18       9.53       16         New Markoo       11.25       18       9.53       16			+-		
Hamilt       John			-	-	
111 inois       7.74       43       6.82       41         Indiana       9.30       29       8.91       23         Iowa       7.79       42       7.32       35         Kansas       9.16       30       9.07       22         Kentucky       15.42       7       15.38       6         Louisiana       19.46       2       12.34       9         Maine       11.26       17       10.30       10         Maryland       7.82       40       7.84       34         Massachusetrs       7.09       44       7.18       38         Michiggan       8.85       93       7.87*       33         Minnesota       8.39       35       7.01       39         Missori       9.99       26       10.68       13         Montana       7.94       37       7.31       30         Nebraska       9.12       31       7.20       37         New Jersey       8.46       34       13.46       8         New Mersey       8.46       34       13.46       8         New Mersey       8.46       34       13.43       16					4 1
Indiana       9.30       29       8.91       23         Iowa       7.79       42       7.32       35         Kansas       9.16       30       9.07       22         Kentucky       1b.42       7       15.38       6         Louislana       19.46       2       12.34       9         Maine       11.25       17       10.30       16         Haryland       7.82       40       7.84       34         Massachusetrs       7.09       44       7.18       38         Milnesota       8.39       35       7.01       39         Mississippi       26.95       1       24.05       1         Missouri       9.99       26       10.68       13         Montana       7.94       37       7.31       30         Nevada       3.99       51       4.65       49         new Herico       11.25       18       9.83       16         New Jersey       8.46       34       13.48       8         New Merico       11.25       18       9.851       16         New Hersey       8.46       34       13.48       8				• • • • =	
Lowa         7.79         42         7.32         35           Kansas         9.16         30         9.07         22           Kentucky         15.42         7         15.38         6           Louislana         19.46         2         12.34         9           Maine         11.26         17         10.30         16           Maryland         7.82         40         7.84         34           Massachusetrs         7.09         44         7.18         38           Michigan         8.85         33         7.874         33           Minnesota         8.39         35         7.01         39           Mississippi         26.95         1         24.05         1           Missouri         9.99         26         10.68         13           Metraska         9.12         31         7.20         37           Nevada         3.99         51         4.65         49           Met Massistipi         4.61         50         4.65         49           New Mexico         11.25         18         9.83         18           New Mexico         11.25         18         9.83<			-		
Kansas9.16309.0722Kansas9.16309.0722Kentucky15.42715.386Louistana19.46212.349Maine11.261710.3010Maryland7.82407.8434Massachusetts7.09447.1838Michigan8.85337.87433Minesota8.39357.0139Mississippi26.95124.051Missouri9.992610.6813Montana7.94377.3130Nebraska9.12317.2037Nevada3.99514.6549new Mersey8.463413.488New Jersey8.463413.488New York10.81219.6020North Carolina12.941312.1610North Dakota8.24266.1345Ohio6.82456.1345Okiahoma13.571210.3415Oregon9.95277.9230Pennsylvania12.331511.4811Rhode island11.57166.0329South Carolina15.58810.1117South Dakota10.74229.7419Tennessee17.35316.573					-
Rentucky         1b.42         7         15.38         6           Louisiana         19.46         2         12.34         9           Maine         11.26         17         10.30         16           Haryland         7.82         40         7.84         34           Massachusetrs         7.09         44         7.18         38           Minesota         8.39         35         7.01         39           Mississippi         26.95         1         24.05         1           Missouri         9.99         26         10.68         13           Montana         7.94         37         7.31         3b           Nebraska         9.12         31         7.20         37           Nevadu         3.99         51         4.65         49           Mew Hapshire         4.61         50         4.67         48           New desico         11.25         18         9.83         16           Nert damshire         10.81         21         9.60         20           North Carolina         12.94         13         12.10         10           North Carolina         13.57         12 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Louisiana         10.46         2         12.34         9           Maine         11.26         17         10.30         10           Maryland         7.82         40         7.84         34           Massachusetrs         7.09         44         7.18         38           Michigan         8.85         33         7.87*         33           Minnesota         8.39         35         7.01         39           Mississippi         26.95         1         24.05         1           Missouri         9.99         26         10.68         13           Montana         7.94         37         7.31         35           Nevada         3.99         51         4.65         49           new Haspshire         4.61         50         4.67         48           New Jersey         8.46         34         13.46         8           New Mexico         11.25         18         9.53         18           New Mexico         11.25         18         9.53         18           New Mexico         11.25         13         15         16           Net Vork         10.81         21         <					
Naine11.261710.3016Haryland7.82407.8434Massachusetrs7.09447.1838Michigan8.85337.87*33Minesota8.39357.0139Mississippi26.95124.051Missouri9.992610.6813Montana7.94377.313bNebraska9.12317.2037Nevada3.99514.6549new Happhire4.61504.6748New Jersey8.463413.468New Makico11.25189.8316New Mexico11.25189.8316New York10.81219.6020North Carolina12.941312.1b10North Dakota8.24368.512bOhio6.824b6.1345Okiahoma13.571210.3415Oregon9.95277.9230Pennsylvania12.331511.4611Rhode island11.57168.0329South Carolina15.53810.1117South Dakota10.74229.7419Tennessee17.35316.573Texas14.291110.3014Utah6.46474.5350 <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	-				
Haryland       7.82       40       7.84       34         Massachusetrs       7.09       44       7.18       38         Michigan       8.85       33       7.87*       33         Minnesota       8.39       35       7.01       39         Mississippi       26.95       1       24.05       1         Missouri       9.99       26       10.68       13         Montana       7.94       37       7.31       36         Nebraska       9.12       31       7.20       37         Nevado       3.99       51       4.65       49         New damshire       4.61       50       4.65       49         New Hamshire       4.61       50       4.67       48         New Mexico       11.25       18       9.53       16         New York       10.81       21       9.60       20         North Dakota       8.24       36       8.51       26         Ohio       6.82       46       6.13       45         Oktahoma       13.57       12       10.34       15         Oregon       9.95       27       7.92       30				_	•
Massachusetrs         7.09         44         7.18         38           Michigan         8.85         33         7.87*         33           Minnesota         8.39         35         7.01         39           Mississippi         26.95         1         24.05         1           Mississippi         26.95         1         24.05         1           Mississippi         9.99         26         10.68         13           Montana         7.94         37         7.31         30           Nevado         3.99         51         4.65         49           new Hapshire         4.61         50         4.57         48           New Jersey         8.46         34         13.48         8           New Mextco         11.25         18         9.83         16           New Mextco         12.94         13         12.16         10           North Dakota         8.24         36         8.51         20           Ohio         6.82         46         6.13         45           Okahoma         13.57         12         10.34         15           Okathoma         13.56         8			-	-	
Hichigan       8.85       33       7.87*       33         Minnesota       8.39       35       7.01       39         Mississippi       26.95       1       24.05       1         Missouri       9.99       26       10.68       13         Montana       7.94       37       7.31       36         Nebraska       9.12       31       7.20       37         Nevado       3.99       51       4.65       49         new Hampshire       4.61       50       4.67       48         New Jersey       8.46       34       13.48       8         New Mexico       11.25       18       9.53       16         North Carolina       12.94       13       12.1b       10         North Dakota       8.24       36       8.51       20         Ohio       6.82       4b       6.13       45         Okiahoma       13.57       12       10.34       15         Okiahoma       12.33       15       11.48       11         Rhode island       11.57       16       6.03       29         South bakota       10.74       22       9.74	······································				-
Hinnesota       8.39       35       7.01       39         Minssissippi       26.95       1       24.05       1         Mississippi       26.95       1       24.05       1         Minssouri       9.99       26       10.68       13         Montana       7.94       37       7.31       3b         Nebraska       9.12       31       7.20       37         Nevado       3.99       51       4.65       49         New Haupshire       4.61       50       4.67       48         New Jersey       8.46       34       13.48       8         New Mersico       11.25       18       9.83       16         New York       10.81       21       9.60       20         North Dakota       8.24       36       8.51       26         Ohio       6.62       45       6.13       45         Okiahoma       13.57       12       10.34       15         Oregon       9.95       27       7.92       30         Pennsylvania       12.33       15       11.48       11         Rhode Island       11.57       16       6.03 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
Mississippi26.95124.051Missouri9.992610.6813Montana7.94377.3136Nebraska9.12317.2037Nevado3.99514.6549new Hampshire4.61504.6748New Jersey8.463413.486New Merico11.25189.8316New York10.81219.6020North Carolina12.941312.1610North Dakota8.24368.5126Ohio6.82456.1345Okiahoma13.571210.3415Okiahoma12.331511.4811Rhode island11.57168.0329South Carolina15.58810.1117South Dakota10.74229.7419Temessee17.35516.573Texas16.46474.5350Vermont10.64248.2828Virginia10.74198.5127West Virginia11.17198.5127West Virginia11.17198.5127West Virginia11.17198.5127West Virginia11.17198.5127West Virginia11.17198.5127West Virginia11.17	5		••		-+
Hissouri       9.99       26       10.68       13         Montana       7.94       37       7.31       3b         Nebraska       9.12       31       7.20       37         Nevado       3.99       51       4.65       49         new Hampshire       4.61       50       4.67       48         New Jersey       8.46       34       13.48       8         New Mexico       11.25       18       9.60       20         North Carolina       12.94       13       12.16       10         North Carolina       12.94       13       12.16       10         North Dakota       8.24       36       B.51       26         Ohio       6.82       45       6.13       45         Oklahoma       13.57       12       10.34       15         Oregon       9.95       27       7.92       30         Pennsylvania       12.33       15       11.48       11         Rhode island       11.57       16       8.03       29         South Dakota       10.74       22       9.74       19         Tennessee       17.35       3       16.57 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Nontana       7.94       37       7.31       3b         Montana       9.12       31       7.20       37         Nevado       3.99       51       4.65       49         New Mapshire       4.61       50       4.67       48         New Jersey       8.46       34       13.48       6         New Mexico       11.25       18       9.83       18         New York       10.81       21       9.60       20         North Carolina       12.94       13       12.16       10         North Dakota       8.24       36       8.51       26         Ohio       6.82       45       6.13       45         Oklahoma       13.57       12       10.34       15         Oregon       9.95       27       7.92       30         Pennsylvania       12.33       15       11.48       11         Rhode island       11.57       16       6.03       29         South Dakota       10.74       22       9.74       19         Tennessee       17.35       3       16.57       3         Yetmont       10.64       24       8.28 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td></t<>					_
Nebraska       9.12       31       7.20       37         Nevado       3.99       51       4.65       49         new Hampshire       4.61       50       4.67       48         New Jersey       8.46       34       13.48       8         New Mexico       11.25       18       9.83       18         New Mexico       11.25       18       9.60       20         North Carolina       12.94       13       12.16       10         North Dakota       8.24       36       8.51       26         Ohio       6.82       45       6.13       45         Okiahoma       13.57       12       10.34       15         Nework       10.74       22       9.74       19         South Carolina       15.58       8       10.11       17         South Dakota       10.74       22       9.74       19         Temessee       17.35       16.57       3					
Nevads       3.99       51       4.65       49         new Hampshire       4.61       50       4.67       48         New Jersey       8.46       34       13.48       8         New Mexico       11.25       18       9.83       18         New York       10.81       21       9.60       20         North Carolina       12.94       13       12.16       10         North Dakota       8.24       36       8.51       26         Ohio       6.82       45       6.13       45         Okiahoma       13.57       12       10.34       15         Oregon       9.95       27       7.92       30         Pennsylvania       12.33       15       11.48       11         Rhode Island       11.57       16       8.03       29         South Dakota       10.74       22       9.74       19         Temessee       17.35       3       16.57       3         Texas       16.46       47       4.53       50         Vermont       10.64       24       8.28       28         Virginia       10.64       20       8.55       <			÷.		
New Hampshire       4.61       50       4.67       48         New Jersey       8.46       34       13.48       6         New Mexico       11.25       18       9.83       16         New York       10.81       21       9.60       20         North Carolina       12.94       13       12.16       10         North Dakota       8.24       36       8.51       26         Ohio       6.82       45       6.13       45         Okiahoma       13.57       12       10.34       15         Oregon       9.95       27       7.92       30         Pennsylvania       12.33       15       11.48       11         Rhode Island       11.57       16       8.03       29         South Carolina       15.58       8       10.11       17         South Dakota       10.74       22       9.74       19         Temessee       17.35       3       16.57       3         Texas       14.29       11       10.36       14         Utah       6.46       47       4.53       50         Vermont       10.64       24       8.28					
New Jersey       8.46       34       13.48       8         New Mexico       11.25       18       9.63       18         New York       10.81       21       9.60       20         North Carolina       12.94       13       12.16       10         North Carolina       12.94       13       12.16       10         North Dakota       8.24       36       8.51       26         Ohio       6.82       45       6.13       45         Oklahoma       13.57       12       10.34       15         Oregon       9.95       27       7.92       30         Pennsylvania       12.33       15       11.48       11         Rhode island       11.57       16       8.03       29         South Carolina       15.58       8       10.11       17         South Dakota       10.74       22       9.74       19         Tennessee       17.35       3       16.57       3         Yetmont       10.64       24       8.28       28         Virginia       10.64       20       8.55       25         Wastington       7.81       41		•			
New Mexico         11.25         18         9.83         18           New York         10.81         21         9.60         20           North Carolina         12.94         13         12.16         10           North Dakota         8.24         36         B.51         26           Ohio         6.82         45         6.13         45           Oklahoma         13.57         12         10.34         15           Oregon         9.95         27         7.92         30           Pennsylvania         12.33         15         11.48         11           Rhode island         11.57         16         8.03         29           South Carolina         15.58         8         10.11         17           South Dakota         10.74         22         9.74         19           Tennessee         17.35         5         16.57         3           Texas         14.29         11         10.30         14           Utah         6.45         47         4.53         50           Vermont         10.64         24         8.28         28           Virginia         10.64         20	-				
New York     10.81     21     9,60     20       North Carolina     12.94     13     12.16     10       North Dakora     8.24     36     8.51     26       Ohio     6.82     45     6.13     45       Oklahoma     13.57     12     10.34     15       Oregon     9.95     27     7.92     30       Pennsylvania     12.33     15     11.48     11       Rhode island     11.57     16     8.03     29       South Carolina     15.58     8     10.11     17       South Dakota     10.74     22     9.74     19       Temessee     17.35     5     16.57     3       Texas     14.29     11     10.36     14       Utah     6.46     47     4.53     50       Vermont     10.64     24     8.28     28       Virginia     10.64     20     8.55     25       Wastington     7.81     41     7.89     32 <t< td=""><td>- ,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></t<>	- ,				-
North Carolina         12.94         13         12.16         10           North Dakota         8.24         36         B.51         26           Ohio         6.82         45         6.13         45           Okio         6.82         45         6.13         45           Okio         6.82         45         6.13         45           Okio         6.82         45         6.13         45           Okiahoma         13.57         12         10.34         15           Oregon         9.95         27         7.92         30           Pennsylvania         12.33         15         11.48         11           Rhode Island         11.57         16         8.03         29           South Carolina         15.58         8         10.11         17           South Dakota         10.74         22         9.74         19           Temessee         17.35         3         16.57         3           Texas         14.29         11         10.36         14           Utah         6.46         47         4.53         50           Vermont         10.64         24         8.28 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td>			_		
North Dakota         8.24         36         B.51         26           Ohio         6.82         45         6.13         45           Oklahoma         13.57         12         10.34         15           Oregon         9.95         27         7.92         30           Pennsylvania         12.33         15         11.48         11           Rhode island         11.57         16         8.03         29           South Carolina         15.58         8         10.11         17           South Dakota         10.74         22         9.74         19           Temessee         17.35         5         16.57         3           Texas         14.29         11         10.36         14           Utah         6.46         47         4.53         50           Vermont         10.64         24         8.28         28           Virginia         10.64         20         8.55         25           Wastington         7.81         41         7.89         32           West Virginia         11.17         19         8.51         27           Wisconsin         7.86         39		_			
Ohio         6.82         45         6.13         45           Oklahoma         13.57         12         10.34         15           Oregon         9.95         27         7.92         30           Pennsylvania         12.33         15         11.48         11           Rhode island         11.57         16         8.03         29           South Carolina         15.58         8         10.11         17           South Dakota         10.74         22         9.74         19           Tennessee         17.35         3         16.57         3           Texas         14.29         11         10.36         14           Utah         6.46         47         4.53         50           Vermont         10.64         24         8.28         28           Virginia         10.64         20         8.55         25           Washingron         7.81         41         7.89         32           West Virginia         11.17         19         8.51         27           Wisconsin         7.86         39         6.74         42           Wyoning         4.86         49					-
Initial         I </td <td></td> <td> +</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>45</td>		+			45
Oregon         9.95         27         7.92         30           Pennsylvania         12.33         15         11.48         11           Rhode island         11.57         16         6.03         29           South Carolina         15.58         8         10.11         17           South Dakota         10.74         22         9.74         19           Temessee         17.35         5         10.57         3           Texas         14.29         11         10.30         14           Utah         6.45         47         4.53         50           Vermont         10.64         24         8.28         28           Virginia         10.64         20         8.55         25           Wastingron         7.81         41         7.89         32           West Virginia         11.17         19         8.51         27           Wisconsin         7.86         39         6.74         42           Wyoming         4.86         49         3.70         51	-		12		15
Pennsylvania         12.33         15         11.48         11           Rhode island         11.57         16         8.03         29           South Carolina         15.58         8         10.11         17           South Dakota         10.74         22         9.74         19           Tennessee         17.35         5         16.57         3           Texas         14.29         11         10.36         14           Utah         6.46         47         4.53         50           Vermont         10.64         24         8.28         28           Virginia         10.84         20         8.55         25           Washington         7.81         41         7.89         32           West Virginia         11.17         19         8.51         27           Wisconsin         7.86         39         6.74         42           Wyoming         4.86         49         3.70         51	· · ·		27		30
Rhode island       11.57       16       8.03       29         South Carolina       15.58       8       10.11       17         South Dakota       10.74       22       9.74       19         Temessee       17.35       5       16.57       3         Texas       14.29       11       10.30       14         Utah       6.46       47       4.53       50         Vermont       10.64       24       8.28       28         Virginia       10.84       20       8.55       25         Washington       7.81       41       7.89       32         West Virginia       11.17       19       8.51       27         Wisconsin       7.86       39       6.74       42         Wyoming       4.86       49       3.70       51		12.33	15	11.48	11
South Carolina       15.58       8       10.11       17         South Dakota       10.74       22       9.74       19         Tennessee       17.35       5       16.57       3         Texas       14.29       11       10.30       14         Utah       6.46       47       4.53       50         Vermont       10.64       24       8.28       28         Virginia       10.64       20       8.55       25         Washington       7.81       41       7.89       32         West Virginia       11.17       19       8.51       27         Wisconsin       7.86       39       6.74       42         Wyoming       4.86       49       3.70       51	-		16	-	29
South Dakota         10.74         22         9.74         19           Tennessee         17.35         5         16.57         3           Texas         14.29         11         10.30         14           Gtah         6.46         47         4.53         50           Vetmont         10.64         24         8.28         28           Virginia         10.84         20         8.55         25           Washington         7.81         41         7.89         32           West Virginia         11.17         19         8.51         27           Wisconsin         7.86         39         6.74         42           Wyoming         4.86         49         3.70         51		15.58	В.	10.11	17
Tennessee       17.35       16.57       3         Texas       14.29       11       10.30       14         Otah       6.46       47       4.53       50         Vermont       10.64       24       8.28       28         Virginia       10.64       20       8.55       25         Washington       7.81       41       7.89       32         West Virginia       11.17       19       8.51       27         Wisconsin       7.86       39       6.74       42         Wyoming       4.86       49       3.70       51		10.74	22	9.74	19
Utah         6.45         47         4.53         50           Vermont         10.64         24         8.28         28           Virginia         10.64         20         8.55         25           Washingron         7.81         41         7.89         32           West Virginia         11.17         19         8.51         27           Wisconsin         7.86         39         6.74         42           Wyoming         4.86         49         3.70         51		17.35	د	16.57	ر
Utah         6.45         47         4.53         50           Vermont         10.64         24         8.28         28           Virginia         10.64         20         8.55         25           Washington         7.81         41         7.89         32           West Virginia         11.17         19         8.51         27           Wisconsin         7.80         39         6.74         42           Wyoming         4.86         49         3.70         51		14.29	11	10,30	14
Virginia         10.84         20         8.55         25           Washington         7.81         41         7.89         32           West Virginia         11.17         19         8.51         27           Wisconsin         7.80         39         6.74         42           Wyoming         4.86         49         3.70         51		6.45	47	4.53	50
Washington         7.81         41         7.89         32           West Virginia         11.17         19         8.51         27           Wisconsin         7.80         39         6.74         42           Wyoming         4.86         49         3.70         51		10.64	24	8.28	28
Washington         7.81         41         7.89         32           West Virginia         11.17         19         8.51         27           Wisconsin         7.80         39         6.74         42           Wyoming         4.86         49         3.70         51	• • · · ·	10.64	20	8.55	25
West Virginia         11.17         19         8.51         27           Wisconsin         7.80         39         6.74         42           Wyoming         4.86         49         3.70         51		7.81		7.89	
Wyoming 4,86 49 3.70 51	West Virginia				•
.,	Wisconsin				
District of Columbia 14.98 9 15.22 4	Wyoming		-		
	District of Columbia	14.98	9	16.22	4

\* 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan.



#### Table 15. Fercent of Title 1/Chapter 1 Students Receiving Reading Instruction

	1971	1979-80		1982-83	
	Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank	
Alabams	82,75	21	83.60	12	
Alaska	67.60	41	73.31	37	
Arizona	89.53	7	85.19	10	
Arkansas	56.41	46	75.68	Jo	
California	90.38	1	86.30	7	
Colorado	81.03	24	79.71	24	
Connecticut	52.55	49	78.43	29	
Delawure	89.83	5	82.56	15	
Floiida	83.64	17	80,20	25	
Georgia	79.95	26	79 ?1	27	
Hawaii	94.89	2	95.13	1	
ldaho	81.62	22	8U.21	22	
Illincis	85.12	15	80.45	21	
Indiana	91.45	3	85.03	11	
Iova	86.34	12	86.26	8	
Kansae	73.94	37	70.76	42	
Kentucky	87.54	10	56.67	5	
Louisiana	64.20	42	68.98	44	
Maine	78.86	29	80,83	18	
Maryland	85.45	14	83.36	13	
Massachusetts	72.33	39	76.57	33	
Hichigan	89.63	6	88.72*	4	
Nionesota	81.46	23	80.51	20	
Mississippi	79.81	28	72.44	ور	
Missouri	62.23	44	66.50	47	
Montana	84.12	16	67.93	40	
Nebraska	76.37	34	83.19	14	
Nevada	81.14	20	28.91	51	
New Nampshire	77.96	31	80.78	19	
New Jersey	60.34	45	68.18	45	
New Mexico	54.94	47	51.87	49	
New York	83.54	18	74.41	40	
North Carolina	87.59	9	81.26	17	
North Dakota	79.92	27	79.10	28	
Ohio	88.63	н	69.49	3	
0klahoma	73.35	38	73.04	38	
Qregon	83.28	19	82.39	Τp	
Pennsylvania	86.77	11	89.79	2	
Shode Island	44.85	51	76.09	CE	
South Carolina	64.07	43	58.79	48	
South Dakota	76.11	30	70.97	JΕ	
leancesce	75.28	30	76.26	4 ئ	
lexus	77,27	اد ان	70.39	4 1	
Utah	91.19	4	85.52	ų	
Vermont	71.21	4U	76.75	32	
Virginia	77.36	32	78.10	υL	
Washington	78.43	30	79.48	25	
West Virginia	85.55	13	79.45	26	
Wisconsin	48.18	50	48.51	50	
Wyoming	80.30	25	87.82	6	
District of Columbia	60.01	46	70,82	41	

\* 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan.



ì

	1979-80		1982-83	
	Percent	Rank	Percent	Kank
Alabama	32.21	32	26.78	36
Alaska	50.09	y	33.96	27
Atizona	22.14	41	B.29	50
Arkansas	20.12	44	36.44	21
California	93.Un	1	81.58	1
Colurado	30.08	35	26.48	39
Connecticut	33.32	27	60.13	3
Delaware	34.64	23	33.59	28
flotida	31,87	34	35.67	23
Georgia	55.60	7	57.95	
Hawaii	5.11	51	4.87	51
Idaho	32,93	29	30.07	32
Illinois	32.61	30	30.70	31
lndiana	ZĐ.82	40	29.99	33
Lows	18.44	47	40.90	15
Кадвая	34.74	22	34.69	25
Kentucky	23.37	43	22.77	44
Louisiana	44.15	12	39.05	18
Maine	35.94	20	37.28	20
Maryland	58.15	4	58.72	5
Massachusett6	28.31	38	24.48	40
Michigan	56.03	5	51.16*	у
Hinnesota	71.98	2	68.20	2
MissiSsippi	52.04	в	46.63	11
Missouri	35.52	21	36.37	21
Montana	32.35	31	42.10	14
llebraska	34.00	25	39.24	17
Nevada	55.75	6	52.92	8
New HampShire	10.22	<b>5</b> 0	11.83	48
New Jersey	41.48	15	59.29	4
New Mexico	19.82	45	13.68	47
New York	36.15	19	35.53	24
North Carolina	19.07	46	24.46	41
North Dakota	28.41	37	24.01	42
Ohio	10.25	49	9.50	49
Oklahoma	34.16	24	33.99	26
Oregon	33.68	25	33.06	29
Pennsylvania	41.40	16	40.71	16
Rhode Island	16.17	68	15.43	46
South Carolina	4.4.04	14	48.23	10
South Dakota	59.19	3	53.59	ā.
fenne5see	39.39	19	44.40	14
Тсхая	43.87	13	28.12	37
Utah	49.71	10	30.85	JU
Vermont	27.44	39	23.99	43
Vitginia	45.82	11	43.59	13
Washington	28.55	36	26.65	39
Wesr Virginia	32.21	33	38.92	19
Wisconsin	33.23	28	29.26	34
Wyoning Distants of Columbia	23.51	42	18.64	45
District of Columbia	39.99	17	29.18	35
A 1001 03 1-5		D 10 D 1 1	· ··· · ·	

#### Table 16. Percent of Title I/Chapter 1 Students Receiving Hath Instruction

\* 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan.



Table	17.	Percent	of	Title	$\mathbf{I}/\mathbf{I}$	Cnapter	1	Students
	Rece	lvlng La	ingu	age Ar	t e	Instruc	t i	.on

	197	9-80	1982-81	
	Percent	Rank	Percent	<u>Rank</u>
Alabama	0.34	41	2.91	31
Alaska	28.29	4	8.98	17
Arizona	4.87	24	8.22	21
Arkansas	4.29	27	8.78	19
Callfornia	94.83	1	77.27	1
Colorado	11.62	16	18.44	3
Connecticut	2.26	37	0.21	41
Delaware	7.36	21	2.67	33
Florida	1.91	38	2.50	ذ د
Georgia	U	NA	2.71	32
Hawaii	0	NA	-	NA
Idaho	6.88	22	7.24	23
<b>Jllicole</b>	4.43	25	6.62	24
Indiana	2.74	35	1.92	36
lowa	0.26	43	-	NA
Kaosas	Û	NA	3.97	28
Kentucky	1.16	39	-	NA
Louisiana	0.53	40	9.13	16
Maine	16.04	7	15.77	10
Maryland	U	NA	-	NA
Massachusetts	11.93	15	24.18	Э
Michigan	3.99	30	4.07*	27
Minnesota	3.70	31	-	NA
Miasissippi	8.13	19	12.50	13
Missou <b>rl</b>	12.05	14	8.67	18
Nontana	12.92	10	17.25	6
Hebraska	3.00	32	-	NA
Nevada	2.33	3ъ	8.42	20
New Hampshire	14.24	9	11.17	14
New Jersey	12.44	11	-	ыA
New Mexico	30.25	۲.	37.02	2
New York	4.32	26	16.13	9
North Carolina	0.32	42	J.86	29
North Dakota	9.80	18	10.29	15
Ohio	U	NA		NA
Oklahoma	17.84	5	16.73	7
Oregon	12.14	12	16.50	8
Pennsylvanla	2.81	33	0.82	39
Shode Island	17.81	b	6.11	20
South Carolina	15.82	В	13.84	12
South Dakota	4.05	29	1.88	7L
Tennessee	4.26	28	14.36	11
Texas	10.75	17	3.54	30
Utali	U 2	NA	0.28	40
Verwont Vermont	2.77	34	2.60	34
Virginia	12.05	13	6.30	25
Washington	7.39	20	7.79	22
West Virginia	() 10 60	NA C		NA
Wiscosin	38.59	2	21.34	4
Wyoming District of Columbia	5.09	23	1.60	38
District of Columbia	0	N <b>A</b>	-	NA

\* 1961-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan.



fable 18.	Percent of	Title 1/Chapter 1 Students
Receiving	English for	Limited-English-Proficient

	1979	1979-80		1982-83	
	Percent	Rank	Fercent	<u>Rank</u>	
Alabama	,04	27	-	NA	
Alaska	7.06	6	13,90	4	
Arizona	12.97	3	12.78	5	
Arkagsas	U	NA	-	NA	
California	19.02	1	27.45	1	
Colorado	U	NA	-	NA	
Connecticut	13.18	2	15.01	3	
Delaware	1.38	15	1.28	14	
Flotida	Ŭ	NA	0.16	25	
Georgia	2.54	11	υ	NA	
Hawaii	Ŭ	NA	-	NA	
Idaho	0.46	21	1.11	16	
Il <b>li</b> nois	1.11	15	1.79	13	
Indiana	0	NA	-	NA	
Iowa	0.32	24	-	NA	
Kaneas	0	NA	0.58	20	
Kentutky	0 0	NA	-	NA	
Louisiana	U D	NA NA	-	NA	
Maine	0	HA HA	-	NA NA	
Maryland	2.67	10	0.95	17	
Massachusetts Mishigan	2.07	20	0.22*	22	
Michigan Michigan	0,45	22	-	NA	
MlonesOt3 Mississippi	0,45	NA.	0.17	24	
Mississippi Missouri	0.11	25	0.18	23	
Montana	0	NA	0	NA	
Nebraska	Ŭ	NA	<u> </u>	NA	
Nevada	Ŭ	NA	0.88	18	
New Hampshire	0.40	23	0.10	26	
New Jersey	7.11		7.90	8	
New Mexico	1.83	13	2.91	9	
New York	6.38	- 7	9.02	7	
North Carolina	D	НA	0.22	21	
North Dakota	1.83	14	1,17	15	
Ohio	0	NA	-	NA	
Oklahoma	D	NA	-	NA	
Oregon	0	NA	-	NA	
Pennsylvania	2.79	9	1,90	11	
Rhode Island	8.93	4	22.78	2	
South Carolina	Ų	NA	-	ti A.	
South Dakota	0	NA	-	MA	
ſennessee	2.21	12	<0.01	28	
Texas	6_03	В	9,26	5	
Utah	U	NA	1.91	10	
Vermont	0.09	26	0.08	27	
Virginia	1.05	18	1.86	12	
Washington	1.05	17	-	NA	
West Virginia	Û	NA	-	NA	
Wisconsin	0.62	14	-	NA	
Wyoming	U U	NA	0.83	19	
District of Columbia	0	NA	-	NA	

\* 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan.



	1979	1979-80		1982-83	
	fercent	Rank	Percent	Rank	
Alabama	46.09	4	26.57	6	
Alaska	1.31	30	-	NA	
ArizoBa	0	NA	-	NA	
Arkausas	1.20	31	-	NA	
California	87.93	1	36.17	3	
Colorado	U	6A	U.20	26	
Connecticut	0	NA	-	NA	
Delaware	7.40	19	-	NA	
Florida	1.89	27	0.29	2.3	
Georgia	2.07	26	υ	NA	
Havali	0	HA	-	NA	
Idaho	U	NA	0.10	27	
Illinois	0	NA	0.96	21	
Indiana	1.17	32	1.00	20	
Lowa	2.35	24	-	NA	
Карзав	0	NA	-	NA	
Kentucky	21.70	10	17.04	10	
Louisiana	46,70	£	22.44	7	
Haine	1.47	28	0.31	22	
Heryland	27.62	8	21.28	ម	
Massachusette	13.26	15	15.07	11	
Michigan	14.31	13	9.58*	12	
Minnesota	1.44	29	-	NA	
Mississippi	48.49	2	44.37	1	
Missouri	3.42	23	-	NA	
Montana	2.31	25	Ų	NA	
Nebraska	0	HA	-	NA	
Nevada	U	NA	0.23	24	
New Hampshire	0	NA	0	NA	
New Jersey	6.62	20	2.02	L7	
New Mexico	6,68	18	26.74	5	
New York	U.48	35	-	NA	
North Caroling	16.92	12	2.25	16	
North Dakota	Q	NA	-	NA	
Uhio	0.03	36	1.22	19	
Oklahomá	9.59	17	2.01	18	
Oregon	3.67	22	-	NA	
Pennsylvania	3.83	21	0.22	25	
Rhode Island	1.09	33	35,90	4	
South Carolina	38.87	ذ	44.00	2	
South Dakota	U	NA	-	NA	
fennessee	14.08	14	9.38	13	
Texus	30.10	b	-	NA	
Utan	U	NA	20.90	9	
Vermont	10.58	16	-	NA	
Virginia	26.92	9	8.48	14	
Washington	0	NA	-	NA	
West Virginia	27.86	7	-	NA	
Wisconsin	C. 93	34	_	RA	
Wyomleg	υ	AM	2.42	15	
District of Columbia	17.67	11	-	١٩٩	

# Table 19. Fercent of Title I/Chapter 1 Students Receiving Health and Nutrition Services

1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan.



.

	1979	9-80	1982-83	
	Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Alabama	28.40	6	29.24	1
Alaska	21.47	14	2.15	32
Arizona	26,44	8	0.08	39
Arkansas	41.44	2	28.80	8
California	0	нл	34.44	3
Colorado	U	NA	2.42	31
Connecticut	0.75	40	3.00	27
Delawate	12.97	20	0.65	36
Florida	10.76	20	4.01	2.3
Georgia	U	NA	0	NA
Hawaii	0	NA	-	NA
Idaho	0.84	38	0.10	38
Illinoie	15.65	15	12.65	14
Indiana	8.80	29	J.84	24
Lowa	0.91	37	-	NA
Kansas	0.35	41	0.53	37
Kentucky	40.98	3	32.70	4
Louisiana	51.90	1	30.15	6
Maine Harvland	10.77 10.88	25	6.93	19
Massachusetts	5.02	24 32	11.47	16
Massachuserts Michigan	14.63	18	3.30	25
Minnesota	1,59	35	22.88*	11
Mississippi	26.79	33 7	27-84	NA 9
Miseguri	0.93	36	27-04	NA
Montana	12.44	21 21	5.10	20
Nebraika	0	NA	-	NA
Nevada	ũ	NA	1.02	34
New Hampshire	0.28	42	3.25	26
New Jersey	8.96	28	34.89	ž
New Mexico	24.83	9	13.22	13
New York	9,93	27	-	NA
North Carolina	21.90	1.1	4.78	22
North Dakota	Ö	NA	-	NA
Ohio	0.77	39	5.05	21
Oklahoma	14.69	17	8.64	18
Oregon	5.16	31	2.48	29
Pennsylvania	36.04	5	37.26	7
Rhode Island	13,71	19	31.95	5
South Carolina	22.47	12	26.28	10
South Dukota	1.93	34	-	NA
Tennessee	40.80	4	9.83	17
Texas	24.36	10	-	NA
Utah	U	NA	0.83	35
Vermont	5.66	30	1.29	ى ئ
Virginia	23.59	11	15.63	12
Washington	0	NA	2.96	28
West Vitginia	10.10	16	-	NA
Wisconsin	11.19	2.1	-	NA
Wyoming	11.34	22	12.29	15
District of Columbia	3.99	33	2.43	30

#### Sable 20. Percent of Title i/Chapter 1 Students Receiving Attendance and Guidance Services

.

.

\* 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan.

-



#### Table 21. Number of Title 1/Chapter 1 FIE Staff Members

	1979-	80	1982-83		
	Number of		Number of		
	Staff	Rank	Staff	Кавк	
Alabama	3,140	24	3,445	15	
Alaska	244	49	173	5U	
Arizona	2,653	28	1,490	29	
Arkansas	3,941	22	2,830	22	
Callfornia	16,198	1	15,137	2	
Colorado	1,719	32	1,248	32	
Connecticut	2,683	27	1,803	27	
Delaware	494	49	369	46	
Florida	6,803	6	4,794	7	
Georgia	6,138	8	9,366	3	
Hawail	552	46	294	46	
Ldaho	817	40	548	40	
L11inois	5,934	12	4,399	9	
Indiana	4,019	20	3,075	19	
Lowa	1,955	30	1,174	34	
Kansas	1,497	35	1,188	33	
Kentucky	3,944	21	3,000	20	
Louisiana	6,033	9	4,082	12	
Maine	1,387	36	1,059	36	
Maryland	4,230	18	2,764	23	
Massachusetts	5,151	14	3,423	16	
Michigan	6,891	5	5,936*	5	
Minnesota	4,170	19	2,361	24	
Miesiesippi	6,004	10	4,321	10	
Missouri	3, 317	23	2,876	21	
Montana	772	42	502	42	
Nebraska	1,218	37	836	37	
Nevada	241	50	192	49	
New Hampshire	592	44	-404	45	
New Jersey	4,985	15	4,088*	11	
New Mexico	1,677	33	1,302	31	
New York	15,722	2	9,219	4	
North Carolina	6,478	7	3,865	13	
North Dakota	581	45	491	43	
Ohio	5,264	13	3,510	14	
Oklaboma	2,890	26	1,841	26	
Oregon	1,871	31	1,110	35	
Pennsylvanla	8,345	4	5,900	6	
Rhode 1stand	646	43	426	44	
South Carolina	4,456	17	3,147	18	
South Dakota	1,010	38	725	39	
fennessee	5,968	11	4,011	8	
Texas	13,951	Ē	15,090	1	
Utah	951	19	750	38	
Vermonr	509	47	333	47	
Virginia	5,032	15	3,334	17	
Washington	1,584	34 29	1,329	30	
West Virginia	2,061		1,448	29	
Wisconsla Nummina	3,086 228	25 51	2,209	25	
Wyoming District of Columbia		41	142	51	
District of Columbia	778	41	518	41	
Totale	190,882		149,069		

\* 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigao and New Jersey.

\_



<

Table 22. Number of FTE Teachers	Table	22.	Νυαbeτ	of	FTE	Teachers	5
----------------------------------	-------	-----	--------	----	-----	----------	---

	1975-80	C	1982-83		
	Number of feachers	Rank	Number <u>of feachers</u>	Kank	
Alabama	2,555	10	2.087	11	
Alaska	84	51	57	51	
Arizona	253	30	588	31	
Arkanaas	1,341	24	1,051	24	
California	2,418	12	3,391	Э	
Colotado	851	26	724	27	
Connecticut	1,174	25	870	26	
Delaware	129	46	103	47	
Florida	2,610	9	1,919	13	
Georgia	3,193	_5	2,385	10	
Havali	355	38	200	41	
Idaho	225	44	202	42	
filinois	3,477	4	2,850	5	
Indiana	767	28	525	33	
lowa	1,588	22	1,063	23	
Xansas	855	27	684	28	
Kentucky	2,059	15	1,700	17	
Louisiana	1,716	19	1,195	21 44	
Maine	238 704	42 31	146 679	29	
Maryland		11		14	
Massachusetts	2,438 1,995	11	1,871 1.853*	14	
Michigan	1,808	10	1.024	25	
Minnesota	2.319	13	1,744	15	
Missiesippi Missouri	2,168	14	1,961	12	
Montana	321	40	253	40	
Nebraska	672	33	507	34	
Nevada	96	50	79	50	
New Hampshire	149	47	118	40	
New Jersey	2,673	8	2,410*	ÿ	
New Mexico	662	34	553	32	
New York	6,485	1	4.177	2	
North Carolina	2,817	7	2,412	в	
North Dakota	386	37	066	36	
Ohio	3,679	٤	2,708	6	
Uklahoma	1,646	20	1,219	20	
Oregon	656	35	425	36	
Pennsylvanla	197	45	2,324	4	
Abode Island	339	39	265	39	
South Carolina	1,621	21	1,132	22	
South Dakota	457	30	348	37	
fennessee	2,911	6	2,572	7	
lexaz	5,068	2	5,690	1	
Utah	185	46	103	4B	
Vermont	232	43	162	43	
Virginia	1,760	18	1,405	18	
Washington	758	29	665 604	ÚL Čr	
West Virginla	701	32	494	35 19	
Wisconsin	1,478	23	1,327		
Wyoning	119	49 41	94	49 45	
District of Columbia	282	41	126	45	
Totala	74,200		63,376		

1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan and New Jersey.

32



Y X / X

fable 23.	Number	of	FFE	Teacher	Aides
-----------	--------	----	-----	---------	-------

	1979-	80	1962-83		
	Number of Aides	Rank	Number	Poole	
	Vt Atues	Addix	of Aidea	Rank	
Alabama	146	48	917	2U	
Alaska	139	49	85	48	
Acizona	1,632	19	755	24	
Arkanses	2,216	15	1,577	12	
California	11,254	1	9,074	T	
Colorado	680	33	353	37	
Connecticut	1,146	25	738	26	
D <b>elawar</b> e Florida	323 3,304	41	222	42	
Geotgia	2,608	13	2,468 3,237	5	
Hawa11	194	45	3, 237	51	
Idaho	341	39	275	40	
Lilinois	1,539	20	757	23	
Indiana	2,620	12	2,378	6	
lova	231	43	89	46	
Караля	547	35	417	35	
Kentucky	1,348	22	937	19	
Louisiana	3,296	7	2,285	7	
Maine	904	30	765	22	
Maryland	2,786	10	1.789	£υ	
Massachusetts	2,102	16	1,033	±7	
Michigan	4,047	4	3,272*	3	
Minnesota	1.877	18	1,142	16	
Mississippi	2,847	9	1,930	9	
Hissouri	955	28	626	27	
Montana	406	38	230	41	
Nebraska	424	37	299	39	
Nevada New Hampshire	99 318	50 42	88 214	47 43	
New Jersey	1,410	21	1.183*	15	
New Mexico	829	31	579	29	
New York	B.220	2	748	25	
North Carolina	3,161	8	974	18	
North Dakota	187	47	155	44	
Ohio	1,228	24	561	32	
Oklahoma	915	29	551	33	
Olegon	1,045	27	571	31	
Pennsylvania	3 394	5	2,147	8	
Rhode Island	187	40	59	49	
South Carolina	2,223	14	1,530	Ŀi	
South Dakota	491	30	371	ΰĿ	
lennessee	2,080	17	1,645	11	
Teras	5,734	3	8,163	2	
Urah Vi	706	32	598	28	
Vermont	224	44	142	45	
Virginia Machineter	2,630 675	11 34	1,498 576	14 30	
Washington West Virginia	1,102	26	5/6	21	
Wisconsin	1,102	28	541	21 34	
Wyoming	1,240	51	38	50	
District of Columbia	337	40	309	38	
Totals	88,441				
LOLALS	00,441		61,736		

1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan and New Jersey.



	1979-80		1982-83	
	Number of		Number of	
	Administrators	Rank	Administrators	Rank
Alabama	107	17	65	14
Alaska	8	43	b	44
Arizona	73	24	53	24
Arkansas California	71 154	25 9	65	23
Colorado	104	31	124	6
Connecticut	Ğ	NA	29 65	31 22
Delavare	12	41	12	40
Florida	27	22	67	21
Georgia	131	10	117	ÿ
Havali	3	47	4	46
Idaho	25	35	17	37
Illinois	127	13	211	ذ
Indiana	74	23	41	27
Iowa	0	NA	0	NA
Kanses	43	30	26	34
Kentucky	101	19	81	19
Louisiana	93	21	90	10
Haine	υ	NA	U	NA
Maryland	51	27	47	25
Massachusette	160	8	122	អ
Michigan	266	4	218*	2
Minnesota	130	11	9.1	15
Mieslasippi	168	7	124	7
H1ssour1	28	34	32	29.51
Montana	20	37	6	43
Nebraska	36	33	18	36
Nevada	3 45	48	2	49
New Hampshire	205	29	26	33
New Jersey	203	6	206* 9	42
New Mexico New York	257	44.5T 5	190	42
North Carolina	122	14	99	13
North Dakota	7	44.5T	4	45
Ohio	130	12	102	12
Okishoma	115	15	32	29. ST
Oregon	41	32	22	35
Peonsylvania	376	ĨĴ	115	10
Rhide Island	21	36		32
South Carolina	95	20	75	20
South Dakota	a a	40	د د	47
Tennessee	496	2	107	11
Texas	1,052	1	274	1
Utah	18	39	12	39
Vermont	17	40	12	41
Virginia	114	16	87	17
Washington	14	28	34	28
West Virginia	55	20	42	26
Wisconsin	· 105	18	87	18
Wyoming	11	42	3	48
District of Columbia	19	38	13	38
<b>- 1</b> -	5 0 ( 1)		3 900	
Totala	5,940		3,301	

#### Table 24. Number of FTE Administrative Staff

 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan and New Jersey.

ېئىل



## Table 25. Title I/Chapter 1 Pupil/Staff Ratio

	1979-80		1982-83	
	Ratio	Rank	Ratio	Rank
Alabama	41.59	5U	32.20	37
Alaska	22,47	20	26.38	25
Arizona	25.33	34	27.52	29
Atkansas	21.40	14	23.73	18
California	50.99	51	55.99	51
Colorado	23.26	26	27.02	27
Consecticut	22.55	21	18.15	1
Delaware	24.63	31	23.48	15
Florida	23.21	24	29.89	32
Georgia	26.Ul	J7	12.17	1
Hawall	28.31	44	34.96	47
Idaho	19.82	10	25.54	22
11110018	28.01	43	30.77	36
Indiana	25,98	36	30.22	35
lowa	23.84	27	34.26	45
Kansas	27.31	40	32.40	39
Kentucky	29.13	40	34.21	44
Louisiana	27.04	39	24.75	20
Maine	19.09	R	21.32	10
Maryland	14.96	2	20,27	7
Massachusetts	15.84	4	21.18	8
Michigan	24.59	30	24.14*	19
Minnesota	17.32	b	23.52	41
Mississippi	21.95	16	26.47	20
Missouri	26.35	38	29.88	31
Montana	16.83	5	23.06	14
Nebraska	23.90	28	25.61	2.5
Nevado	24.80	32	36.88	48
New Hampshire	14.08	1	19.50	4
New Jersey New Mexico	24.35 19.31	29	32.72*	4U 9
New York	22.12	9	21.24 32.40	38
North Carolina	23.09	18		30 46
North Dakota	17.62	23 7	34.64 21.34	40
Ohio	27.40	41	34.09	43
Okiahoma	27.43	42	33.51	42
Otegon	25.29	33	32.74	41
Pennsylvania	32.19	48	38,48	41
Rhode Island	29.02	45	28.27	30
South Carolin	21.98	17	19.72	5
South Dakora	15.12	،. د	17.57	ب
lennessee	25,34	د د	29.93	زز.
Texas	30.18	 	20.13	55
Utan	22.80	22	∠° 45	1 3
Vermont	21.Ja	11 1	23.67	17
Virginia	22.21	19	25.01	21
Washington	28,55	49	44.58	Sõ
West Virginia	21.24	12	22.20	12
Wisconsin	23.22	25	25.94	24
wyoming	20.77	11	27.05	28
District of Columbia	21.70	15	30,00	34

 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan and New Jersey.



#### Table 26. Title I/Chapter 1 Pupil/Teacher Ratio

	1979-80		1982-	83
	Ratio	Rank	Ratio	Карк
Alabama	51.21	21	53.16	20
Alaska	65.20	37	B0.07	4U
Arizona	89.24	43	69.74	34
Arkansas	63.07	ۆد	63.89	29
California	341.58	5u	249.94	51
Colorado	40.44	ĒĴ	46.58	ī J
Connectitut	51.54	22	37.61	Э
DelawaTe	94.32	45	84.12	42
Florida	60.51	32	74.67	37
Georgia	50.00	20	47.78	12
Hawa 11	44.02	11	49.89	17
1daho	71.99	38	69.30	3٦
llinois	47.BI	15	47.39	14
ludiana	130.15	49	177.00	50
Iowa	29.35	2	37.84	4
Kaosas	47.82	16	56.28	20
Kentucky	55.79	27	60.37	28
Louisiana	95.05	46	84.50	43
Maine	111.20	47	154.62	48
Maryland	89.89	44	82.53	41
Massachusetts	33.46	4	38.75	5
Nichigan	84.94	42	77.32*	ЯF
Minnesota	39.95	7	54.23	22
Missiseippi	56.84	29	65.59	31
Missouri	39.95	8	43.83	9
Montana	40.47	9	45.76	12
Nebraska	43.31	10	42.23	7
Nevada	62.27	33	. 89.63	46
New Hamsphire	55.93	28	66.75	32
New Jersey	45.41	12	70.14	35
New Mexico	48.92	19	50.01	16
New York	53.62	25	71-50	36
North Carolina	53.09	24	55. <u>5</u> 1	25
North Dakota	26.53	1	31.76	1
Ohio	39.21	5	44.18	10
Oklahoma	48.27	17	50.61	19
Oregon	72.14	39	85.50	44
Pennsylvania	1,363.71	51	77.63	39
Rhode Island	55.29	26	45.45	11
South Carolina	ы0. «J	31	54.82	23
South Danota	2+، د ک	7	30.61	2
Теплезвее	51.93	23	5J.67	21
Texas	83.07	41	55.51	24
Utah	117.22	48	163.49	49
Vermont Vermont	46.91	14	48,60	10
Virginia	63.51	36	59.35	27
Washington	80.55	40	89.09	45
West Virginia	62.46	34	65.08	30
Wisconsin	48.49	18	43.19	8
Wyoming District of Columbia	39.79 59.8B	6 30	40.80 123.50	5 47
Profile of Colompia	23.00	υÇ	757.30	+/

\* 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan.

-



ەن

### Table 27. Title I/Chapter 1 Pupil/Aide Ratio

	1979-80		1982-83	
	Ratio	Rank	Katio	Rank
Alabama	896.23	51	120.99	42
Alaska	39.44	12	51.69	21
Aritona	41.18	15	54.31	22
Arkansas	38.10	8	42.58	12
California	73.39	37	93,41	34
Colorado	58.00	32	95.54	35
Connecticut	52.80	28	44.34	15
Delawate	37.67	7	39.03	8
Florida	47.80	24	58.06	25
Georgia	61.21	34	35,20	5
Hawali	80.55	41	321.19	49
Ídaho	47.50	23	50.90	20
lllinols Indiana	108.02	46	178.40	46
lowa	39.86 201.76	14 50	39,08 451.97	9 51
лома Кареае	74.74	39	92.31	33
Kentucky	85.22	42	109.53	40
Louisians	49.49	26	44.22	14
Maine	29.29	3	29.51	2
Maryland	22.72	1	31.32	3
Massachusetts	38.81	10	70.19	29
Michigan	41.67	16	43.79*	13
Minnesota	38.48	ÿ	48.63	17
HL9SISGIPPI	46.30	21	59.27	26
Hissouri	91.53	46	137.30	43
Montana	32,00	6	50,34	18
Nebraska	68.65	35	71.01	30
Nevada	60.18	33	80.47	31
New Hampshire	20.21	2	36,80	Þ
New Jersey	86.08	49	142.90	45
New Mexico	39.07	11	47.76	16
New York	42.30	17	399.27	50
North Carolina	47.31	22	137.46	44
North Dakota	54.75	30	67.18	28
Ohio	117.47	49	213.20	48
Oklahoma	80.83	44	111.96	41
Oregon	45.29	20	63.64	27 36
Pennsylvania Knode Island	79.15 100.24	40 47	105.75	47
south Carolina	44.07	4/ 19	204.12 40.56	
South Dakota	31.10	5	34.34	1 <u>1</u> 4
Tennessce	72.71	ლ. ქს	83.92	32
Texas	73.42	38	38.69	7
Utah	30.72		28.16	í
Vermant	48.59	25	55.51	23
Virginia	42.50	18	55.67	24
Washingtuo	90.46	45	102.86	37
West Virginia	39.73	13	39.59	10
Wisconsin	57.52	31	105.93	34
Wyoming	53.81	29	101.08	36
District of Columbia	50.11	27	50.39	19

\* 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan.



#### Table 28. Title I/Chapter 1 Average Per Pupil Expenditure

	1979-80		1982-83	
	APPE	Rank	APPE	Rank
Alabama	\$ 548.44	27	\$ 589.32	31
Alaska	1030.36	1	1104.05	1
Arizona	412.38	46	577.02	32
Arkapsas	484.29	39	558.03	35
California	295.81	51	267.49	51
Colorado	624.23	15	737.30	ف 1
Connecticut	432.31	43	717.49	17
Delaware	590.11	19	770.12	10
Florida	561.84	22	596.89	28
Georgia	489.07	37	637.44	25
Hawall	701.01	А	696.69	18
Idaho	406.24	48	498.92	44
llinois	732.76	7	891.19	3
Indiana	343.32	50	377.83	50
lowa	542.69	29	594.82	29
Капваз	496.97	36	500.88	42
Kentucky -	485.02	38	495.67	46
Louisiana	504.39	34	736.00	14
Maine	384.50	49	423.34	49
Maryland	761.55	6	746.34	12
Massachusetts	661.36	12	735.21	15
Michigan	689.52	11	787.44*	8
Mlanesota	543.42	28	631.53	26
Mississippi	525.49	31	547.20	36
Missouri	565.20	21	548.38	37
Montana	707.80	8	748.25	11
Nebraska	\$31.75	30	648 <b>.8</b> 7	24
Nevada	630.91	14	598.20	27
New Kampshire	549.60	26	572.86	33
New Jetsey	600.87	17	435.52	47
New Mexico	700.22	10	781.78	9
New York	794.23	4	818.89	6
North Carolins	551.54	25	561.80	34
North Dakota	766.81	5	665.31	23
Ohio	585.63	20	689.97	20
Oklahoma	406.02	47	510.49	41
Oregon	508.38	32	593.60	30
Pennsylvania	472.27	40	497.77	45
Rhode Island	503.59	35	733.76	10
South Catolina	557.47	24	801.04	7 19
South Dukota	593.20	18 42	694.41	48
fennessee	435.78 415.10	44	432,00 S20.84	40
Iexas Nach	412.79	44		43
Ucah Kanana S	412.79	43	500.63 515.15	40
Vermont Marchain	455.71	23	677.73	21
Virginia Machinena	500.49	33	552.11	36
Washington	652.19	13	840.89	50
West Virginia	622.00	61 01	675.9b	22
Wisconsin	832.00	3	921.18	2
Wyomlag Diskatat of Velumbia	943.14	2	878.02	4
District of Columbia	94 <b>2</b> 124	-	070.04	4

\* 1981-82 data were used to estimate 1982-83 data for Michigan.



38

Table	29.	Number	of	Operating	LEAS
-------	-----	--------	----	-----------	------

•	1979-80		1982-63		
	Number	Rank	Number	Rank	
Alabama	127	36	128	36	
Alaska	52	43	53	43	
Arizona	212	25	208	25	
Arkansas	373	16	371	16	
California	1,033	t.	1,033	2	
Colorado	181	28.51	181	28	
Connecticut	163	30	165	30	
Delaware	16	49	19	48	
Florida	67	40	67	40	
Georgia	187	26.5T	187	26.51	
Hawa11	1	50.51		50.5T	
ldaho	115	37	115	37	
Illinols	1,011	4	1.008	3	
Iodiana	304	20	304	20	
lova	445	13	441	13	
Kansas	307	19	306	19	
Kentucky	181	28.5T	180	29	
Louisiana	60 227	41 24	233	41 24	
Maine	24	47	235	47	
Maryland	354	17	351	17	
Massachusetts	573	1/ 9	572	_, y	
Michigan	439	14	435	14	
Minnesota Nississippi	152	32	153	32	
Missouri	554	11	548	11	
Missouri Montana	558	10	561	10	
Nebraska	1,035	2	968	4	
Nevada	17	49	17	49	
New Hampshire	157	31	158	31	
New Jersey	588	8	582	8	
New Mexico	88	39	89	39	
New York	716	5	715	5	
North Carolina	144	34	143	34	
North Dakota	303	21	292	22	
Uhio	615	7	615	7	
Oklahoma	520	6	619	6	
Oregon	313	18	309	76	
Pennsvivania	504	12	500	12	
Rhode Island	40	4 <b>5</b> .5F	40	45.ST	
South Carolina	92	38	92	38	
South Dakota	187	20.50	197	26.51	
Tennessee	148	13	140	د3	
Texas	1,077	1	1,075	1	
Utab	40	45, <b>5</b> T	40	45.51	
Vermont	245	23	247	2.3	
Virginia	135	35	135	35	
Washington	300	22	300	21	
West Vitginia	55	42	55	42	
Wisconsin	434	15	433	15	
Wyoming	49	44	49	44	
District of Columbia	<u> </u>	50.5T	_ <u>1</u>	5U.ST	
fotals	15,625		15,517		



وز

#### Table 30. Overall \*\*\*stage Per Pupil Expenditure\*

- -

	1.5.		10	·	1982-83 APPE
		9 <del>-</del> 80		52-83	in Adjusted
	APPE	Rank	APPE	Rank	<u>1979-80 Dollars</u>
Alabama	\$1,469	50	\$2,078	42	\$1,537
Aleska	4,548	1	7,244	7	5,358
Arizona	1,888	30	2,452	37	1,814
Arkansas	1,422	51	1,855	50	1.372
California	2,104	22	2,660	30	1,967
Colorado	2,353	17	3,118	18	2,306
Connecticut	2,341	18	3,565	6	2,637
Delaware	2,722	6	3,354	10	2,481
Florida	1,793	39	2,579	35	1,908
Geotgia	1,490	48	2,000	44	1,479
Hawail	2,241	21	3,182	10	2,354
Idaho	1,584	45	1,994	46	1,475
Illicois	2,482	11	3,011	21	2,227
Indlana	1,847	32	2,368	41	1,751
Lowa	2,253	20	3,032	19	2,243
Kansas	2,098	23	2,989	23	2,211
Kentucky	1,568	46	1,999	45	1,479
Louisiana	1,636	42	2,632	32	1,947
Maine	1,682	40	2,393	39	1,770
Maryland	2,484	10	3,301	9	2,485
Massachusette	2,731	5	3,304	11	2,444
Michigan	2,541	8	3,234	12	2,392
Minnesota	2,300	19	3,031	20	2,242
Hississippi	1,482	49	1,698	51	1,256
Miseouri	1,897	29	2,394	38	1,771
Montana	2,367	16	3,224	13	2,385
Nebraska	2.063	24	2,920	24	2,160
Nevada	2,032	25	2,582	34	1,910
New Hampshire	1,836	34	2,702	29	1,999
New Jersey	3,093	3	3,945	5	2,918
New Mexico	1,921	27	2,803	25	2,073
New York	3,304	2	4,573	2	3,382
North Carolina	1,644	41	2,074	43	1 534
North Dakota	1.824	35	2,746	26	2,031
Ohio	2,007	26	2,621	3 <i>3</i>	1,939
Oklahoma	1,838	33	2,739	27	2,026
Öregon	2,576	7	1,425	в	2,533
Pennsylvania	2,431	13	3,218	14	2,380
Ruode Island	2,448	12	3.401	7	2,560
South Carolina	1,598	44	1,926	49	1,425
Souta Dakota	1,798	38	2,368	40	1,700
lennessee	1,505	47	1,945	48	1,439
lexas	1,815	36	2,633	31	1,947
Utah	1,606	43	1,978	47	1,463
Vermont	1,911	28	2,992	22	2,213
Virginia	1,879	31	2,549	36	1,885
Washington	2,498	9	3,143	17	2,325
West Virginia	1,812	37	2,704	28	2,000
Wisconsin	2,396	15	3,194	15	2,362
Wyoming	2,427	14	3,986	4	2,948
District of Columbia	3,070	4	4,103	3	3,035
			-		-

\* Excludes Title I/Chapter 1, tultions paid by individuals, and carryover from Titles I, IV B, and IV C.



#### Table 31. Ratio of Title 1/Chapter i Average Per Pupil Expenditure to Overall Average Per Pupil Expenditure

	1979-80		1982-83	
	Ratio	<u>Rank</u>	Ratio	Rank
Alabama	0.37	2	0.28	8
Alaska	U.23	40	0.15	49
Arizona	0.22	42	0.24	20
Arkansas	0.34	8	0.30	5
California	0,14	51	0.10	51
Colorado	0.27	27	0.24	19
Connecticut	0.18	50	0,20	37
Delaware	u.22	43	0.23	25
Florida	0.31	12	0.23	23
Georgia	U.33	11	0.32	3
Hawaii	0.31	13	0.22	31
Idaho	0.26	31 23	0.25	16
Illinois Indiana	0,30 0,19	49	0.30 0.16	6 47
lndiana lowa	0.19	33	0.10	39
	0.24	6E	0.20	46
Kansas Kentucky	0.31	15	0.17	17
Louislana	0.31	15	0.23	- 9
Maine	0.23	38	0.18	42
Maryland	0.31	18	0.12	30
Massachusetts	D. 24	32	0.22	27
Michigan	0.27	26	0.26*	14
Minnesota	0,24	37	0.21	36
Mississippi	0.35	5	0.32	2
Missouri	0.30	22	0.23	26
Montana	0.30	20	0.23	21
Nebraska	0.26	29	0.22	28
Nevada	0.31	14	0.23	42
New Hampshire	0.30	19	0.21	34
New Jersey	0.19	47	0.11	50
New Mexico	0.36	3	0.28	10
New York	0.24	34	0.18	41
North Carolina	0.34	9	0.27	11
North Dakota	0.42	1	0.24	18
Ohio	0.29	24	0.26	13
Oklahoma	U.22	41	U.19	40
Oregon	0.20	46	0.17	44
Pennsylvania	0.19	48	0.15	48
Rhode Island	0.21	44	0.21	33
South Cirolina	0.35	ს	0.42	1.
South Dakota	0.33	10	0.29	7
Tennessee	0.29	25	0.22	29
Texas	0.23	39	0.20	36
Utah	U.26	30	0.25	15
Vermont	0.24	35 21	0.17	45 12
Virginia	U.3U 0.20	45 45	U.27 0.18	12 43
Washington Mass Middle	0.20	40	0.18	43
West Virginla	0.26	28	0.31	 5 E
Wisconsio	0.34	7	0.23	24
Wyoming District of Columbia	0.34	17	0.23	32
PISTICE OF COLUMNER	0.31	±*	0, 41	4

\* 1981-82 data were used to estimte 1982-83 data for Michigan.



.

Table 32.	Enrollment	in Public	Elementary	and	Secondary	Schoole

•

	1979-80		1982-83	
	Enrollment	Rank	Enrollment	Rank
			704 (27)	
Alabama	754,181	22	724.037	20
Alaska	88,573	51	89.41 <i>3</i>	51
Arizona	509,252	29	510,296	27
Arkansas	453,125	32	432,565	32
Celifornia Celesado	4,047,550	1 27	4,065,486	1
Colorado	550,527	-	545,209	20
Connecticut Balaware	566,634	26 48	486,470	29
Delaware	104,035 1,508,337	чр 18	92,646	48
Florida Georgia	1,078,462	12	1,484,734	8 11
Hawa 11	168,660	41	1,053,689	40
Idaho	202,758	39	162,024 202,973	39
Illinois	2,043,239	4	1,880,209	37
Indiana	1.083.826	11	1,000,209	
lowa	548,317	28	504,983	12 28
Kansas	422,924	33	-	33
Kentucky	677,123	23	407,074	23
Louisiana	800,435	18	651,084	18
Maine	227,823	38	775,660	10 38
Maryland	777,725	20	211,986 699,201	22
Maryrann Ma gachusetts	1,035,724	13	908,984	14
Nic igan	1,860,498	17	1,761,521	7
Minesota	778,056	19	715,190	21
Mississippi	482,039	30	468,294	30
Missour)	872,933	15	802,535	10
Montana P	158,208	42	152,335	42
Nebraska	287,288	36	269,009	24 مز
Nevada	147,734	44	151,104	43
New Hampshire	170,546	40	160,197	41
New Jersey	1,287,809	9	1,172,520	ÿ
New Nexico	275,572	37	268,6.12	37
New York	2,969,216	z	2,718,678	3
North Carolina	1,150,053	ıŨ	1,096,815	มั้
North Dakota	117,688	46	L17,078	46
Ohio	2,025,256	5	1,860,245	5
Oklahoma	583,458	25	593,825	25
Oregon	407,128	31	448,184	31
Pennsylvania	1,968,801	6	1,783,969	6
Rhode Island	154,098	43	139,362	44
South Carolina	624,795	24	608,518	24
South Dakota	113,840	45	123,897	45
lennessee	866,117	16	828.204	15
Texas	2,872,719	_ Ξ	2,985,659	2
Utan	333,049	35	370,183	35
Vermont	98,338	49	91,459	49
Virginia	1,031,403	14	975,727	13
Washington	764,879	21	739,215	19
West Virginia	386, 398	34	375,115	34
Wisconsin	857,855	17	784,830	17
Wyoming	95,505	50	101,665	47
District of Columbia	106,155	47	91,105	5U
Totale	41,578,665		39,643,476	



Table	33.	Envollment	in Nonpublic Elementary and Secondary Schools	
	and	Percent of	Total Eurollment in Nonpublic Schools	

1980-81					
	Nunpublic		Percent		
	Enrollment	Rank	Nonpublic	Rank	
Alabama	62,609	22	7.6	29	
Alaska	3,800	50	4.2	45	
Arizona	40,201	28	7.3	34	
Arkansas	18,423	38	4.0	46	
California	513,709	2	11.1	18	
Colorado	35,250	31	6.1	39	
Connecticut	88,404	17	14.3	11	
belaware	23,374	35	19.0	1	
Florida	204,988	U	12.0	16	
Georgia	82,505	18	7.2	35	
Hawaii	37,147	30	18.4	2	
ldaho	5,839	48	2.8	49	
Illinois	349,463	4	15.0	10	
lodiaca	100.234	15	8.7	25	
lowa	55,227	25	9.4	24	
Kansas	33,889	32	7.5	30	
Kentucky	69,726	21	9.4	23	
Louisiana	158,921	10	17.0	5	
Maine	17,540	40	7.3	33	
Maryland	106,447	<u>i</u> 4	12,4	13	
Massachusetts	138,333	12	11.9	1.7	
M1ch1gan	211,071	7	10.2	21	
Mindesota	88,966	16	L0.5	20	
Miasissippi	50,116	25	9.5	22	
Hissouri	126,319	13	13.0	12	
Montaña	7,668	45	4.7	43	
Nebraska	38,574	29	12.1	14	
Nevada	6,599	47 37	4.2	44	
New Hampshire	20,721		11.0	19	
New Jersey New Mexico	229.878 18.027	6 39	15,6	9 38	
New York	579,670	1	16.8	50	
Horth Garolina	58,078	23	4.9	41	
North Dakota	10,659	44	8.4	26	
Dhio	268,357	5	12 1	ĹĎ	
Oklahoma	16, 335	41	2.7	50	
Oregon	27.848	34	5.7	40	
Fennsvlvania	402,058	3	17.4	4	
Ruode island	29.875	و ز	10.8	7	
South Corolina	49.019	27	7_4	31	
South Dakota	LU, 898	د 4	7.8	27	
lennessee	71,617	20	7.7	28	
Texas	148,534	11	4.9	42	
Utah	5,555	49	1.6	51	
Vermont	7.555	46	7.3	32	
Virginia	75,069	19	6.9	βt	
Washington	55,950	24	6.9	37	
West Virginia	12,608	42	3.2	47	
Wisconsin	162,361	9	16.4	8	
Wyoming	3,036	51	3.0	48	
District of Columbia	21,203	36	17.5	3	
Total	4,961,755				



#### Table 34. Enrollment in Religiously Affiliated Elementary and Secondary Schools and Percent of Nonpublic Enrollment in Religiously Affiliated Schools

	1980-B1			
	Religiously		Percent	
	Affillated		Religious	
	Enrollment	Rank	Aff 111stion	Rank
Alsbama	38,016	24	60.7	45
Alaska	3,232	50	85.1	23
Arizona	29,315	28	72.8	37
Arkansas	13,228	39	71.8	38
California	410,575	2	79.9	30
Colorado	27,991	29	79.4	32
Consecticut	67,399	17	76.2	34
Delaware	19,022	35	81.4	28
florida	154,904	9	75.6	35
Georgia	38,052	23	46.1	50
Bava11	23,981	32	64.6	43
Idaho	5.462	47	93.5	5
filinois	323.681	4	92.6	9
Indiana	92,801	14	92.6	10
lova	53,885	19	97.6	1
Kansas Vasturaku	30,375 58,69	27	6	15
Kentucky Louisiana	128,745	18	1.4.2	24
Maine	9,538	11 42	81.0	29
•	87,558		54.4	48
Maryland Massachusette	109.928	15 13	82.3 79.5	27
Michigan	195,893	7	92.5	31
Minnesota	84,525	16	95.0	11 4
Mississippi	19,780	34	39.5	4 51
Miesouri	117,462	12	93.0	8
Montana	6,743	45	87.9	16
Nebraska	37,207	25	96.5	2
Nevada	5.655	40	85.7	20
Nev Hampshire	14,835	37	71.6	39
New Jersey	206,415	- 1	89.8	14
New Mexico	12,854	40	71.3	40
New York	508,976	1	87.8	18
North Carolina	33.473	26	57.6	46
North Dakota	9,088	44	85.3	22
θμίο	254,063	5	94.7	5
Oklahoma	14,117	38	86.4	19
Uregon	23,769	33	85.4	21
Fennsylvania	362,080	ال	90.1	13
Rhode Island	27.232	30	91.2	12
South Carolina	25,265	75	SU.9	49
South Dakota	9.108	43	83.6	26
fennessee	50,623	20	71.0	41
Texas	130,540	10	87,9	17
Utah	3,693	49	66.5	42
Ve rmont	4,291	48	55.8	47
Virgiola	48,262	21	64.3	44
Washington	47,049	22	84.1	25
West Virginia	11,768	41	93.3	7
Wisconsin	156,301	8	96.3	3
Wyoming	2,276	51	75.0	36
District of Columbia	16,567	30	78.1	33
Total	4,166,495			



4ú

			·
		1980-81	
		fotal	
		Enrollment	Rank
Alabama		821,390	21
Alaska		90,314	51
Arizona		554,051	• •
Arkaŭsas		466,123	29
California		4,631,731	32
Golotadu		581,283	1 28
Consecticut		619,863	20
Delaware		122,777	47
Florida		1,715,213	47 8
Georgia		1,151,242	13
Havall		202,215	40
Idaho		209,086	39
lllinois		2,332,926	39 4
Indiana		1,155,823	12
Iowa		589,084	27
Kansas .		449,180	33
Kentucky		739,526	23
Louisiana		936,481	17
Maine		240,037	38
Maryland		857,112	19
Massachusette		1,160,218	11
Michigan		2,075,290	7
Minnesota		843,284	20
Mississippi		527,175	30
Missouri		970,967	16
Hontana		162,861	43
Nebragka		319,004	36
Nevada		156,080	44
New Humpshire		187,953	41
New Jersey		1,475,886	9
New Mexico		289,225	37
New York		3,450,674	Z
North Carilina		1,187,454	10
North Dakota		127,544	46
Ohio		2,225,738	6
Oklahoma		594, 142	26
Oregon		492,427	31
Pennsylvania		2,311,350	
Rhode Island		178,195	42
South Carolina		668,842	24
South Dakota		139,405	45
fenneggee		925,186	18
Lex38		3,048,607	3
Utalı		349,173	35
Vermont		103,370	49
Virginia		1,085,440	14
Washington		813,589	22
West Virginia		396,111	34
Wisconsin		992,608	15
Wyoming		101,341	50
District of Columb	ois	121,252	48
Total		45,945,848	



Table 36. Per Capita In	ncome
-------------------------	-------

	1979		1984	
	Per Capita Income	Rank	Pe Capita Income	Rank
Alabama	6,855	48	9,981	47
Alaska	11,585	T	17,155	ì
Arizona	8,011	34	11,029	3 ف
Atkansas	6,639	50	9,724	49
California	9,951	4	14,344	b
Colorado	9,083	15	13,742	9
Connecticut	10,296	3	16,369	3
Delavare	9,015	10	13,545	11
Florida	8,257	28	12,553	22
Georgia	7,377	38	11,441	35
Haveli	9,141	14	12,761	17
[daho	7,375	39	10,174	45
I111no18	9,741	7	13,728	10
lndiana	8,353	27	11,799	31
Lowa	8,689	20	12,090	30
Kansas	9,145	13	13,319	12
Kentucky	7,095	45	10,374	42
Louisiana	7,458	37	10,850	37
Maine	6,959	47	10,678	40
Maryland	9,313	10	14,111	8
Hassachusetts	9,014	17	£4,574	5
Michigan	9,239	11	12,518	23
Minnesota	8,890	18	13,219	13
MIssles1pp1	6,102	51	8,857	51
MLSBOUTI	8,242	30	12,129	29
Hontana	7,545	35	10,215	44
Nebraska	8,464	20	12,280	28
Nevada	9,929	5	13,216	14
New Hampshire	8,255	29	13,148	15
New Jersey	9,708	8	15,282	4
New Mexico	7,207	41	10,330	43
New York	9,177	12	14,121	2
North Carolina	7,104	43	10,758	38
North Dakota	6,017	33	12,461	24
Ohio	8,672	22	12,314	25
Oklahoma	8,028	32 21	11,745	32 34
Oregon	8,682	23	11,582	25
Pennsylvania	8,513 8,200	-	12,343	
Rhode 1sland	8,200	31 49	12,730	18
South Carolina	6,719	30	10,075	46 30
South Dakota	7,497		11,049	41
Tennessee	7,080 8,478	46 25	10,400	20
Texas	7,106	42	12,630 9,719	
Utan Kamanan	7,206	42 40	-	50 39
Vermont Vermont	8,483	24	10,692 13,067	10 33
Virginla Maghinetan	9,439	<b>6</b> 9	12,728	19
Washington	-	7 44	4.846	45
West Virginia	7,100 8,701	19	9,040 12,309	40
Wisconsin Uusadias	9,843	19	12,580	21
Wyoming	10,896	2	16,845	21
District of Columbia	10,050	-	10,045	4



fable 36. Per Capita Income

٠

	1979		1984	
	<u>Per Capita Income</u>	Rank	Per Capita Income	Rank
Alabama	÷,855	48	9,981	47
Alaska	11,585	1	17,155	1
Arizona	8,011	34	11,629	Ĵ.
Arkansas	6,639	50	9,724	49
California	9,951	4	14, 344	47 10
Colotado	9,083	15	13,742	y y
Connecticut	10,296		16,369	Ś
Delaware	9,015	10	13,545	11
Florida	8,257	28	12,553	22
Georgia	7, 377	38	11,441	35
Hawali	9,141	14	12,761	17
Idaho	7,375	39	10,174	45
Illinois	9,741	7	13,728	10
Indiana	8,353	27	11,799	31
lowa	8,689	20	12,090	30
Kansas	9,145	13	13,319	12
Kentucky	7,095	45	10.374	42
Louisiana	7,458	37	10,850	37
Maine	6,959	47	10,678	40
Maryland	9,313	10	14,111	B
Massachusetts	9,014	17	14,574	5
Michigan	9,239	11	12,518	23
Minnesota	8,890	18	13,219	13
Mississippi	6,102	51	8,857	51
Missouri	8,242	30	12,129	29
Montana	7,545	35	10,216	44
Nebraska	8,464	26	12,280	28
Nevada	9,929	5	13,216	14
New Hampshire	8,255	29	13,148	15
New Jersey	9,708	8	15,282	4
New Mexico	7,207	41	10,330	43
New York	9,177	12	14,121	7
North Carolina	7,104	4.1	10,75B	38
North Dakota	8,017	33	12,461	24
Ohio	8,672	22	12,314	26
Oklahoma	8,028	32	11,745	32
Oregon	8,582	21	11,582	34
Pennsylvania	8,513	23	12,343	25
Rhode Island	8,200	11	12,730	18
South Carilina	5,719	49	10,075	46
South Dikota	7,497	30	11,049	46
Tennessee	7,080	40	10,400	41
Texas Utah	6,478	25	12,636	20
Vermoot	7,106 7,256	42 40	9,719	50
Virginia	8,483	24	10,692	39
Washington	8,400 9,4 <u>1</u> 9	24	13,067	16
West Virginia	7,100	44	12,728 9,846	19 48
Wisconsia	8,701	19	9,846	27
Wyoming	9,843	6		
District of Columbia	10,896	2	12,586 16,845	21 2
TISTICI SI SOLUMVIA	10,000	-	10,040	2



-

.

Table	37.	RTS	Tax	Capacity	Index
-------	-----	-----	-----	----------	-------

Las         Capacity Index         Rank         Capacity Index         Rank         Capacity Index         Rank           Alabasa         76         49.5T         74         49.5T           Alaska         217         1.5.5T         96         27.5T           Arkansas         91         37.5T         96         27.5T           Arkansas         77         48         79         47           California         110         97         11.5         9.5           Goncettout         109         12T         11.7         7.5T           Georgia         81         45.5T         84         42.5T           Havait         103         21T         117         7.5T           Georgia         81         45.5T         84         42.5T           Havait         103         21T         117         7.5T           Idiana         96         29         89         24.5T           Indiana         108         14.5T         11.3         14           Maryand         99         28         100         21.5T           Kassas         109         12T         106         10.5T           Maryand <th></th> <th>1979</th> <th></th> <th>1982</th> <th></th>		1979		1982	
Alaska       217       1       312       1         Artiona       91       37.57       90       27.57         Arkansab       77       48       79       47         Gaiffornia       110       5       116       9         Gaiffornia       100       97       121       6         Congecticut       109       121       11.7       7.51         Piorida       100       26r       104       18         Georgia       81       45.57       86       42.57         Havait       103       21r       117       7.51         Idahoo       91       37.57       86       40.57         Idahoa       96       29       89       367         Idawa       108       14.51       96       27.57         Kentucky       85       41.51       82       44.51         Louisiana       109       127       106       16.57         Massachusects       93       347       101       20         Michigon       104       18.57       93       30         Minnesota       105       17       99       241 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>Rank</th><th></th><th>Rank</th></td<>			Rank		Rank
Artsona       91       37, 57       96       27, 57         Arkansas       77       48       79       47         California       116       5       116       9         Lolorado       110       97       121       6         Connecticut       109       121       117       7, 51         Delaware       110       97       115       11.51         Florida       100       201       117       7, 51         Belaware       110       91       37, 51       86       40, 51         Havait       103       211       17       7, 51         Idaho       91       37, 51       86       40, 51         Illinois       112       7       99       24         Indiana       96       29       89       367         Louisiana       104       18, 557       113       14         Maryand       99       28       100       20         Mariana       104       18, 57       93       30         Mariana       103       16       10       20         Mariana       103       17       99       24	Alabama	76	49.5T	74	49.ST
Arkensas       77       48       99       47         California       116       5       110       9         Lolorado       110       9T       121       6         Connecticut       109       121       117       7.51         Belaware       110       9T       115       11.51         Fiorida       100       20r       104       18         Georgia       81       45.51       84       42.51         Hawaii       103       21r       117       7.51         Idahoa       96       29       89       367         Indiana       96       29       89       367         Isiass       109       12T       106       16.57         Kentucky       85       41.51       81       44.51         Haryand       99       28       100       21.57         Massashusects       93       347       101       10         Michigan       104       18.57       93       30         Minnesota       105       17       99       241         Mississippi       70       51       71       51         Mises	Alaska	217	1	312	
California       116       5       110       9T       121       6         Connecticut       109       121       117       7.51       7.51         Delaware       110       9T       115       11.51       7.51         Delaware       100       20r       104       18         Georgia       Bi       45.51       86       42.51         Hawaid       103       21r       117       7.51         Idaho       91       37.51       86       40.51         Indiana       96       29       89       36T         Indiana       108       14.51       96       27.51         Kausas       109       12T       106       16.57         Kausas       109       28       100       21.57         Margo       80       47       84       42.51         Haryland       99       28       100       21.57         Massachusects       93       34T       101       20         Michigan       103       16.57       93       30         Minnesota       103       105       17       93       30         Minnesota	Arizona			96	
Use of a constraint of the second s	Arkansas	77		79	47
Connecticut         109         121         117         7.51           Delaware         110         9T         115         11.51           Piorida         100         20r         104         18           Georgis         81         45.55         84         42.51           Hawaii         103         21r         117         7.51           Idaho         91         37.57         86         40.57           Indiana         96         29         89         367           Indiana         108         14.55         96         27.57           Kausas         109         127         106         15.75           Kentucky         85         41.51         92         44.57           Loursiana         104         18.57         93         30           Massachusetts         93         347         101         20           Michigon         103         16.57         93         30           Michigonri         97         30         91         34           Massachusetts         103         6         110         15           Nethosisphire         96         31         100	California	110	-	116	9
Delaware         110         9T         115         11.5f           Fiorida         100         26f         104         18           Fiorida         100         26f         104         18           Georgia         81         45.5f         84         42.5f           Hawaii         103         21f         117         7.5f           Idaho         96         29         89         36f           Iowa         108         14.5f         96         27.5f           Kamasa         109         12T         106         16.5f           Kausas         109         12T         106         16.5f           Kausas         109         28         100         20.5f           Maspachusecrs         93         34T         101         20           Minesota         105         17         99         24f           Mississippi         70         51         71         51           Mississippi         70         30         91         34           Montana         113         6         110         15           New Mapphire         96         31         1000         21.5f	Colorado	110		121	
Fight       100       201       104       16         Georgia       B1       45.5T       B4       42.5f         Hawaii       103       21F       117       7.5T         Idaho       91       37.5T       B6       40.5T         Illinois       112       7       94       24         Indiana       96       29       89       36T         Kausas       100       14.5f       96       27.5T         Kausas       100       14.5f       92       44.5f         Louisiana       104       18.5T       113       14         Math       80       47       84       42.5T         Massachusetts       93       34T       101       20         Mississippi       70       51       71       51         Massachusetts       105       17       99       24T         Mississippi       70       51       71       51 <td< td=""><td>Connecticut</td><td>109</td><td></td><td>117</td><td>7.51</td></td<>	Connecticut	109		117	7.51
Georgia         Bit         45.5T         B4         42.5f           Hawaii         103         21r         117         7.5T           Idaho         91         37.5T         86         40.5T           Indiana         98         29         89         36T           Iowa         108         14.5f         96         27.5T           Kansasa         109         12T         106         16.5T           Louraisna         104         18.5T         113         14           Maryand         99         28         100         21.5T           Maryand         99         28         100         21.5T           Massachusecrs         93         34T         101         20           Michigon         104         18.5T         93         30           Minnesota         105         17         99         241           Missinsippi         70         51         71         51           Mississippi         70         51         71         51           New Manpehire         96         31         100         21.5T           Nev Manpehire         96         31         100					
Have 1       103       211       117       7.5T         Idaho       91       37.5T       86       40.5T         Illinois       112       7       99       24f         Indiana       96       29       89       36T         Iowa       108       14.5f       96       27.5T         Kausas       109       2T       106       16.5T         Louisiana       104       18.5T       113       14         Maine       80       47       84       42.5T         Maryland       99       28       100       21.5T         Massachusetts       93       34T       101       20         Michigan       104       18.5T       93       30         Mississippi       70       51       71       51         Mississippi       70       51       71       51         Mississiphi       100       21.5T       3       30         Newada       154       3       151       3         New Admpshire       94       31       100       21.5T         New Admpshire       94       31       100       21.5T <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>104</td><td></td></t<>				104	
Idaho       91       37.5T       86       40.5T         Illinsis       112       7       99       24f         Indiana       96       29       89       36T         Isaas       108       14.5f       96       27.5T         Kansas       109       12T       106       16.5T         Louisiana       104       18.5T       113       14         Mayne       85       41.5f       84       42.5T         Haryjand       99       28       100       21.5T         Massachusecrs       93       34T       101       20         Minnesota       105       17       99       24f         Mississippi       70       51       71       51         Missouri       97       30       91       34         Montana       113       6       110       15         Nevada       154       3       151'       3         Nevada       154       3       151'       3         Nevada       100       221'       115       11.51'         Nevada       103       21''       115       11.51'         Nev Hexico </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
111.nois       112       7       93       241         Indiana       96       29       89       36T         Iowa       106       14.51       96       27.5T         Kausas       109       12T       106       16.5T         Louisiana       104       18.5T       92       44.5T         Louisiana       104       18.5T       113       14         Maine       80       47       84       42.5T         Massachusetts       93       34T       100       21.5T         Massachusetts       93       34T       101       20         Mitnessota       105       17       99       24t         Mississlpp1       70       51       71       51         Mississlpp1       70       51       71       51         Mesachusetts       100       26T       97       26         New Mapshirte       96       31       1000       21.5T         New Maxico       103       21T       115       11.5T         Ner Mapshirte       96       31       1000       21.5T         New Maxico       103       21T       115       11.5T <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Indiana     96     29     89     36T       Iowa     108     14.51     96     27.5T       Kausas     109     12T     106     16.5T       Kentucky     85     41.51     82     44.5T       Louisiana     104     18.5T     113     14       Maine     80     47     84     42.5T       Maryland     99     28     100     21.5T       Massachusects     93     34T     101     20       Mississippi     70     51     71     51       Missoinsippi     70     51     71     51       Missoinsippi     70     51     71     51       Missoini     97     30     91     34       Montana     113     6     110     15       Nevada     154     3     151'     3       New Hampshire     96     31     100     21.5T       North Dakota <td< td=""><td>Idaho</td><td></td><td></td><td>86</td><td>-</td></td<>	Idaho			86	-
Iowa       108       14.51       96       27.5T         Kausaá       109       12T       106       16.5T         Kentucky       85       41.51       82       44.51         Louislana       104       18.5T       113       14         Majne       80       47       84       42.5T         Maryland       99       28       100       21.5T         Masgachusects       93       34T       101       20         Michigan       104       18.5T       93       30         Mississlppi       70       51       71       51         Mississlppi       70       51       71       51         Nebraska       100       26T       97       26         Nevada       154       3       151'       3         New Hampshire       95       31       100       21.5T         New Hexico       103       21T       115       11.5T         New York       89       39       92       32T         North Carolina       62       44       82       44.5T         North Carolina       62       44       82       44.5T					
Raisas         109         12T         106         16.5T           Kentucky         85         41.5f         82         44.5f           Louisiana         104         18.5T         11.3         14           Maine         80         47         84         42.5T           Maryland         99         28         100         21.5T           Massachuserts         93         34T         101         20           Michigan         103         18.5T         93         30           Minnesota         105         17         99         24f           Missinsippi         70         51         71         51           Missinsippi         70         51         71         51           Nebraska         100         26T         97         26           New HampBhire         96         31         100         21.5T           New Jarsey         102         23         106         16.5T           New MampBhire         96         31         100         21.5T           New Maxico         103         21T         115         11.5f           New Masico         103         21T         11	Indiana	96	29	89	
Kentucky         B5         41.5f         B2         44.5f           Louisiana         104         18.5f         113         14           Maine         80         47         84         42.5f           Maryland         99         28         100         21.5f           Masgachusetts         93         34T         101         20           Michigan         104         18.5f         93         30           Minnesota         105         17         99         24f           Mississippi         70         51         71         51           Masgouri         97         30         91         34           Montana         113         6         110         15           Nevada         154         3         151'         3           Nev Mapshire         96         31         100         21.5f           Nev Haupshire         96         31         100         21.5f           Nev Haupshire         96         31         100         21.5f           Nev Jaces         69         39         92         32r           North Carolins         62         44         82	Гома	108	14.51	96	27.5T
Louisiana         104         18.5T         113         14           Maine         80         47         84         42.5T           Maryland         99         28         100         21.5T           Masgachusetts         93         34T         101         20           Michigan         104         18.5T         93         30           Mississippi         70         51         71         51           Nebraska         100         26T         97         26           Nev Haupshire         96         31         100         21.5T           Nev Haupshire         96         31         100         21.5T           Nev Haupshire         96         32         106         16.5T           Nev Haupshire         96         32         105         11.5T           Nev Haupshire         96         32         107	Kausas	109	12T	106	
Mathe         B0         47         B4         42.5T           Maryland         99         28         100         21.5T           Massachusetts         93         34T         101         20           Michigan         104         18.5T         93         30           Minnesota         105         17         99         241           Mississlpp1         70         51         71         51           Mississlpp1         70         51         71         51           Mississlpp1         70         51         71         51           Montana         113         6         110         15           Nevada         154         3         151'         3           New Hampshire         96         31         100         21.5T           New Jarsey         102         23         106         16.53           New Maxico         103         21T         115         11.5T           New Maxico         103         21T         115         11.5T           New Mexico         103         21T         115         11.5T           Nett Carolina         62         44         62	Kentucky	65	41.5ľ	82	44.51
Maryland992810321.5TMasgachusetts9334T10120Michigan10418.5T9330Minnesota105179924fMississippi70517151Mississippi70517151Mississippi97309134Montana113611015Nebraska10026T9726Nevada1543151'3Nev Jarsey1022310616.5TNev Jarsey1022310616.5TNev Jarsey1022310616.5TNorth Carolina62448244.5TNorth Dakota10912T11511.5TOhio101249232TOktahoma106169924fPennsylvania9334T8936TRhode Island84438140South Carolina7049.5T7449.5TSouth Carolina7049.5T7748Teanesee8145.5f7748Teanes11741304Utah8541.517936TVirginia9334r9429Washington10324T10219West Virginia92359232TWashington103	Louisiana	104	18.5T	113	14
Maggachuserts       93       34T       101       20         Michigan       104       18.5T       93       30         Minnesota       105       17       99       247         Mississippi       70       51       71       51         Missouri       97       30       91       34         Montana       113       6       110       15         Nebcaska       100       26T       97       26         Nevada       154       3       151'       3         Nevada       160       26T       97       26         Nevada       154       3       151'       3         Nev Haupshire       96       31       100       21.51'         Nev Jaraey       102       23       106       16.57'         New York       69       39       92       32T'         North Dakota       109       12T'       115       11.51'         North Dakota       109       12T'       125       5'         Ohio       101       24       92       32T'         Okiahoma       108       14.51'       126       5' <t< td=""><td>Maine</td><td></td><td>47</td><td>84</td><td></td></t<>	Maine		47	84	
Michigan       104       18,5T       93       30         Minnesota       105       17       99       241         Mississippi       70       51       71       51         Mississippi       97       30       91       34         Montana       113       6       110       15         Nevada       154       3       151'       3         New Hampshire       95       31       100       21.51         Nev Mexico       103       21T       115       11.51         Nev York       89       39       92       32r         North Dakota       109       12r       115       11.51         Okiahoma       108       14.51       120       5         Oregoo       106       16       99       24f         Pennsylvania       93       34T       89       36T	Maryland	99	28	100	21.5T
Minnesota       105       17       99       24f         Minssissippi       70       51       71       51         Mississippi       97       30       91       34         Montana       113       6       110       15         Nebraska       100       26T       97       26         Nevada       154       3       151       3         New Hampshire       95       31       100       21.51         New Jaraey       102       23       106       16.57         New Hampshire       95       31       100       21.51         New Jaraey       102       23       106       16.57         New York       89       39       92       32r         North Dakota       109       12T       115       11.51         North Dakota       109       12T       155       11.51         Ohio       101       24       92       32r         Oktahoma       108       14.51       126       5         Oregoo       106       16       99       24f         Peonsylvania       93       34T       89       36T	Massachusetts	93	34T	101	20
Mississippi       20       1       71       51       71       51         Mississippi       97       30       91       34         Montana       113       6       110       15         Nebraska       100       26T       97       26         Nevada       154       3       151'       3         New Hampshire       96       31       100       21.51'         New Jarsey       102       23       106       16.51'         New Hampshire       96       31       100       21.51'         New Hampshire       96       321''       11.5''       11.5'''         New Hampshire       96       39       92       32T'''         New York       89       39       92       32T'''         North Dakota       109       12T'''       115       11.5T         Ohio       101       24       92       32T'''         Oklahoma       108       14.5T       12o       5         Oregos       106       16       99       24f''         Pennsylvania       93       34T       89       36T''         South Carolina       70 <td< td=""><td>Michigan</td><td>104</td><td>18. ST</td><td>93</td><td>30</td></td<>	Michigan	104	18. ST	93	30
Missouri       97       30       91       34         Montana       113       6       110       15         Nebraska       100       26T       97       26         Nevada       154       3       151'       3         New Mampshire       95       31       100       21.51         New Jaraey       102       23       106       16.57         New Maxico       103       21T       115       11.51         New Maxico       103       21T       115       11.51         New Maxico       103       21T       115       11.51         New York       89       39       92       32T         North Dakota       109       12T       115       11.51         Ohio       101       24       92       32T         Oklahoma       108       14.51       12e       5         Oregoo       106       16       99       24f         Pennsylvania       93       34T       89       36T         Rhode Island       84       43       81       46         South Carolina       70       49.5T       74       49.5T	Minnesota	105	17	99	24 r
Hontana       113       6       110       15         Nebraska       100       26T       97       26         Nevada       154       3       151'       3         New Hanpshire       95       31       100       21.51         New Jarsey       102       23       106       16.57         New Hanpshire       95       31       100       21.51         New Jarsey       102       23       106       16.57         New York       89       39       92       32T         North Carolina       82       44       82       44.51         North Dakota       109       12T       115       11.51         Ohio       101       24       92       32T         Oklahoma       108       14.51       12b       5         Oregoo       106       16       99       24f         Pennsylvania       93       34T       89       36T         South Carolina       70       49.5T       74       49.5T         South Carolina       70       49.5T       74       49.5T         Fenessee       81       45.5f       77       48	Mississipp1	70	51	71	51
Nebraska       100       26T       97       26         Nevada       154       3       151       3         New Manpshire       95       31       100       21.51         Nev Jaraey       102       23       106       16.57         New Mexico       103       21T       115       11.51         New York       89       39       92       32T         North Carolina       62       44       82       44.51         North Dakota       109       12T       115       11.51         Ohio       101       24       92       32T         Oklahoma       106       16       99       24f         Pennsylvania       93       34T       89       36J         Oklahoma       106       16       99       24f         Pennsylvania       93       34T       89       36J         South Carolina       70       49.5T       74       49.5T         South Carolina       70       49.5T       74       49.5T         South Carolina       87       40.5T       77       48         Tecnessee       81       45.5T       77       48	Missouri	97	30	91	34
Nevada       154       3       151       3         New Hampshire       96       31       100       21.51         New Jarsey       102       23       106       16.51         New Hexico       103       21T       115       11.51         New York       89       39       92       32T         North Carolins       82       44       82       44.51         North Dakota       109       12T       115       11.57         North Dakota       109       12T       115       11.57         Ohio       101       24       92       32T         Oklahoma       100       14.51       12c       5         Oregos       106       16       99       24f         Pennsylvania       93       34T       89       36T         Rhode Island       84       43       81       40         South Carolina       70       49.5T       74       49.5T         South Carolina       87       40       46       40.5T         Fexas       117       4       130       4         Utah       87       40       86       40.5T <td>Montana</td> <td>113</td> <td>6</td> <td>110</td> <td>15</td>	Montana	113	6	110	15
New Hampshire       95       31       100       21.51         New Jarsey       102       23       106       16.51         New Mexico       103       21T       115       11.51         New York       89       39       92       32T         North Carolins       62       44       82       44.51         North Dakota       109       12T       115       11.51         Ohio       101       24       92       32T         Oklahoma       100       14.51       12c       5         Oregos       106       16       99       24f         Pennsylvania       93       34T       89       36T         Rhode Island       84       43       81       40         South Carolina       70       49.5T       74       49.5T         South Carolina       70       49.5T       74       49.5T         South Carolina       87       40       40       40.5T         Vermont       85       41.51       77       48         Texas       117       4       130       4         Washington       103       21T       102       19 </td <td>Nebraska</td> <td>100</td> <td>26T</td> <td>97</td> <td>26</td>	Nebraska	100	26T	97	26
New Jarsey       102       23       106       16.51         New Mexico       103       21T       115       11.51         New York       89       39       92       32T         North Carolins       82       44       82       44.51         North Dakota       109       12T       115       11.51         Ohio       101       24       92       32T         Oklahoma       108       14.51       12c       5         Oregos       106       16       99       24f         Pennsylvania       93       34T       89       36T         South Carolina       7c       49.5T       74       49.5T         South Carolina       7c       49.5T       74       49.5T         South Carolina       7c       49.5T       77       48         Tennessee       81       45.5f       77       48         Texas       117       4       130	Nevada	154	3	151	3
New Jarsey         102         23         106         16.51           New Mexico         103         21T         115         11.51           New York         89         39         92         32T           North Carolina         82         44         82         44.51           North Dakota         109         12T         115         11.5T           Ohio         101         24         92         32T           Oklahoma         108         14.5f         126         5           Oregos         106         16         99         24f           Pennsylvania         93         34T         89         36T           Rhode Island         84         43         81         46           South Carolina         70         49.5T         74         49.5T           South Carolina         70         49.5T         74         49.5T           South Carolina         70         49.5T         77         48           Tennessee         81         45.5f         77         48           Texas         117         4         130         4           Washington         103         21T         <	New Hampshire	<u>u</u> e	31	100	21.51
New York         B9         39         92         32r           North Carolina         62         44         82         44,51           North Dakota         109         12f         115         11,57           Ohio         101         24         92         32r           Oklahoma         108         14,51         12c         5           Oregoo         106         16         99         24f           Pennsylvania         93         34T         89         36T           Rhode Island         84         43         81         40           South Carolina         70         49,5T         74         49,5T           South Carolina         95         32         87         38.5T           Tennessee         81         45,5f         77         48           Texas         117         4         130         4           Utah         87         40         86         40,5f           Vermont         85         41,5f         "9         3of           Vermont         85         41,5f         "9         3of           Vermont         85         41,5f         100	New Jersey	102	23	106	16.51
North Carolina       82       44       82       44,51         North Dakota       109       127       115       11,57         Ohio       101       24       92       327         Oklahoma       108       14,51       126       5         Oregos       106       16       99       24f         Pennsylvania       93       34T       89       36T         Rhode Island       84       43       81       46         South Carolina       70       49,5T       74       49.5T         South Carolina       95       32       87       38.5f         Tennessee       81       45.5f       77       48         Texas       117       4       130       4         Utah       85       41.51       "'y       36f         Virginia       93       34f       94       29         Washington       103       21T       102       19         West Virginia       92       36       92       32T         Wisconsin       100       26T       87       38.5T         Wyoning       173       2       201       2	New Mexico	103	21T	115	11.51
North Dakota       109       121       115       11.5T         Ohio       101       24       92       32T         Oklahoma       108       14.5f       126       5         Oregos       106       16       99       24f         Pennsylvania       93       34T       89       36T         Rhode Island       84       43       81       40         South Carolina       70       49.5T       74       49.5T         South Carolina       70       49.5T       74       49.5T         South Carolina       95       32       87       38.5f         Tennessee       81       45.5f       77       48         Texas       117       4       130       4         Utah       87       40       86       40.5T         Vermont       85       41.51       "'''       3of         Virginia       93       34f       94       29         Washington       103       21T       102       19         West Virginia       92       36       92       32T         Wisconstn       100       26T       87       38.5T	New York	89	39	92	327
Ohio       101       24       92       321         Oklahoma       108       14,51       126       5         Oregos       106       16       99       241         Pennsylvania       93       341       89       367         Rhode Island       84       43       81       46         South Carolina       70       49,57       74       49,57         South Carolina       95       32       87       38,51         Tennessee       81       45,51       77       48         Texas       117       4       130       4         Warm       87       40       86       40,51         Vermont       85       41,51       79       367         Virginia       93       3417       94       29         Washington       103       217       102       19 <td>Norrh Carolina</td> <td>82</td> <td>44</td> <td>82</td> <td>44.51</td>	Norrh Carolina	82	44	82	44.51
Oklahoma         108         14,5f         126         5           Oregos         106         16         99         24f           Pennsylvania         93         34T         89         36T           Rhode Island         84         43         81         46           South Carolina         7c         49,5T         74         49,5T           South Carolina         7c         49,5T         77         48           Tennessee         81         45,5f         77         48           Texas         117         4         130         4           Utah         87         40         86         40,5f           Vermont         85         41,5f         "9         36f           Vermont         85         41,5f         "9         36f           Virginia         93         34f         94         29           Washington         103         21T         102         19           West Virginia         92         36         92         32f           Wisconsin         100         26f         87         38.5T           Wyoning         173         2         201         2 </td <td>North Dakota</td> <td>109</td> <td>127</td> <td>115</td> <td>11.5T</td>	North Dakota	109	127	115	11.5T
Oregos         106         16         99         24f           Pennsylvania         93         34T         89         36T           Rhode Island         84         43         81         40           South Carolina         70         49,5T         74         49,5T           South Carolina         95         32         87         38.5T           Tennessee         81         45,5f         77         48           Texas         117         4         130         4           Utah         87         40         86         40,5T           Vermont         85         41,51         "9         3af           Vermont         85         41,51         "9         3af           Virginia         93         34F         94         29           Washington         103         21T         102         19           West Virginia         92         36         92         32T           Wisconsin         100         26T         87         38.5T           Wyoning         173         2         201         2           District of Columbis         110         9T         11.5	Oh10	FOT	24	92	32T
Pennsylvania       93       34T       89       36T         Rhode Island       84       43       81       46         South Carolina       70       49.5T       74       49.5T         South Carolina       70       49.5T       74       49.5T         South Carolina       70       49.5T       74       49.5T         South Carolina       95       32       87       38.5T         Tennessee       81       45.5F       77       48         Texas       117       4       130       4         Utah       87       40       46       40.5T         Vermont       85       41.51       "9       36f         Virginia       93       34f       94       29         Washington       103       21T       102       19         West Virginia       92       36       92       32T         Wisconsin       100       26T       87       38.5T         Wyoming       173       2       201       2         District of Columbis       110       9T       115       11.51	Oklahoma	108	14,55	126	5
Rhode Island       84       43       81       40         South Carolina       70       49,51       74       49,51         South Carolina       95       32       87       38,51         Tennessee       81       45,551       77       48         Texas       117       4       130       4         Utah       87       40       86       40,51         Vermont       85       41,51       "9       3of         Virginia       93       341       94       29         Washington       103       21T       102       19         West Virginia       92       36       92       32T         Wisconsin       100       26T       87       38,5T         Wyoning       173       2       201       2         District of Columbis       110       9T       115       11.51	Oregop	106	16	99	241
South Carolina         70         49.5T         74         49.5T           South Carolina         95         32         87         38.5f           Tennessee         81         45.5f         77         48           Texas         117         4         130         4           Utah         87         40         86         40.5f           Vermont         85         41.5f         "9         36 f           Virginia         93         34r         94         29           Washington         103         21T         102         19           West Virginia         92         36         92         32T           Wisconsin         100         26T         87         38.5T           Wyoning         173         2         201         2           District of Columbis         110         9T         115         11.5f	Pennsylvania	93	34T	89	367
South Dakota         95         32         87         38.51           Tennessee         B1         45.51         77         48           Texas         117         4         130         4           Utnh         B7         40         86         40.51           Vermont         85         41.51         "9         36f           Virginia         93         34f         94         29           Washington         L03         21T         102         19           West Virginia         92         36         92         32T           Wisconsin         100         26T         87         38.5T           Wyoning         173         2         201         2           District of Columbis         110         9T         11.5         11.51	Rhode Island	84	43	81	46
Tennessee     B1     45.5f     77     48       Texas     117     4     130     4       Utah     B7     40     B6     40.5f       Vermont     B5     41.5f     "9     3af       Vermont     B5     41.5f     "9     3af       Vermont     B3     34r     94     29       Washington     103     21T     102     19       West Virginia     92     36     92     32T       Wisconsin     100     26T     87     38.5T       Wyoning     173     2     201     2       District of Columbis     110     9T     11.5     11.5f	South Carolina	70	49.5T	74	49.5T
Texas       117       4       130       4         Utah       87       40       86       40.51         Vermont       85       41.51       99       36f         Virginia       93       34f       94       29         Washington       103       21T       102       19         West Virginia       92       36       92       32T         Wisconsin       100       26T       87       38.5T         Wyoming       173       2       201       2         District of Columbis       110       9T       115       11.51	Sourh Vakota	59	32	87	16.8t
Utah         B7         40         B6         40.51           Vermont         85         41.51         "9         36f           Virginia         93         34f         94         29           Washington         103         21T         102         19           West Virginia         92         36         92         32T           Wisconsin         100         26T         87         38.5T           Wyoming         173         2         201         2           District of Columbis         110         9T         115         11.51	Tennessee	81	45,51	77	48
Vermont         85         41.51         09         Baf           Virginia         93         341         94         29           Washington         103         21T         102         19           West Virginia         92         36         92         32T           Wisconsin         100         26T         87         38.5T           Wyoming         173         2         201         2           District of Columbis         110         9T         115         11.51	Texas	117	4	130	4
Virginia         93         34r         94         29           Washington         103         21T         102         19           West Virginia         92         36         92         32I           Wisconsin         100         26T         87         38.5T           Wyoming         173         2         201         2           District of Columbis         110         9T         11.5T	Utah	B7	40	Цe	40.JI
Washington         L03         21T         102         19           West Virginia         92         36         92         321           Wisconsin         100         26T         87         38.5T           Wyoming         173         2         201         2           District of Columbis         110         9T         11.5T	Vermont	85	41.51	e-9	1 of
West Virginia         92         36         92         321           Wisconsin         100         261         87         38.51           Wyoming         173         2         201         2           District of Columbia         110         97         115         11.51	Virginia	93	34 E	94	29
West Virginia         92         36         92         321           Wisconsin         100         261         87         38.51           Wyoning         173         2         201         2           District of Columbia         110         97         115         11.51	-	103	$2 \pm T$	102	19
Wisconstn         100         26T         87         38.5T           Wyoming         173         2         201         2           District of Columbia         110         9T         11.5         11.51			36		
District of Columbia 110 97 115 11.51		100	26T	87	38.5T
	Wyoming	173	2	201	2
National Average 100 100	District of Columbia	110	91	<u>115</u>	11.51
	National Average	100		100	



## Table 38. Number of Handicapped Children Served Under P.L. 94-142

	1979-	80	1982-1	4.5
	Number	Rank	<u>Number</u>	Rank
Alabama	71,124	20	80,469	19
Aloska	7,999	50	9,025	49
Arizona	47,202	28	50,663	28
Arkansas	40,138	20 31	45,424	30
California	351,111	1	361.047	1
Colorado	43, 535	29	41,287	يُد
Connecticut	59,506	Z4	62,928	25
Delawate	11,910	42	11,245	46
Florido	129,552	9	147,567	8
Georgia	99,229	12	<u>1</u> 09 674	12
Hawa11	10,501	44	12,11	43
Idaho	17,491	39	17,260	40
Ill10019	219,103	3	223,361	4
Indiana	92,072	14	92,965	16
lowa	58,010	26	55,329	27
Kansas	36,784	33	42,227	31
Kentucky	64,448	22	70,022	22
Louisiana	79,452	19	80,532	18
Maine	22,782	37	24, 329	BC
Maryland	90,039	16	86,076	17
Massachusette	128,009	10	124,163	10
Michigan	143,713	7	145,375	g
Mínnesota Míostacípel	81,442	18	76,990	20
Mississippi Missouri	41,117	3U 13	49,515	29
Montana	94,820 12,284	13 41	96,992 14,782	15
Мергазка	29,836	36	30,023	41 36
Nevada	10,830	43	12,707	42
New Haupshire	8,957	45	12,074	44
New Jersey	141,994	-0	157,016	7
New Mexico	19,974	38	25,831	37
New York	197,863	4	234, 314	3
North Carolina	109.651	11	116,294	цĭ
North Dakota	9,219	47	10, 314	47
Ohio	188,393	5	192,214	5
Oklahoma	59,113	25	63,995	24
Oregon	39,464	32	41,052	34
Penn5y1vania	174,931	6	177,905	ь
Rhode island	15,322	40	18,140	39
South Carolina	69,758	21	70,386	21
South Dukota	9,272	· · +	11,300	45
lennessee	91,394	15	104,623	13
lexas	252,893	2	272,999	2
Utah	34,847	34	37,384	35
Vermont	10,139	45	7,055	50
Virginia	87,694	17	97,556	14
Washington	51,165	27	60,837	26
West Virginia	32,868	35	41,136	33
Wisconsin	63,317	23	69,926	23
Wyoming Distilat of Columida	8,849	49	9,837	49
District of Columita	2,079	51	1,956	51
Totals	3,773,496		4,010,786	



(2012 35. NG2022	of unitarcapped	Guildren	serveu ouder r.L.	. 07-313
	197	9-80	198	2-83
	Number	Rank	Number	Kank
			<u>man de la c</u>	NGHA
Alabama	1,254	37	1.140	40
Alaska	2,243	24	2,992	23.50
Arizona	1,101	38	1,199	39
Arkausas	4.884	13	3, 580	10
Galifornia	4,422	15	3,271	18 18
Colorado	3,593	18	5 829 E	15
Connecticut	3.045	22	3,082	22
Pelaware	2,524	26	3,160	19
florida	7.411		8,042	Ê.
Ccorgin	2,618	25	2,881	25
Hawall	881	43	715	42
Idaho	575	46	413	51
Il linois	31,360	1	38,408	1
Indiana	6,746	10	7.263	9
Ioua	959	41	780	41
Kansas	1,949	30	1,932	30
Kentucky	2,639	24	3,148	21
Louisiana	5,188	11	5,477	10
Malne	1,525	34	1,650	32
Maryland	3 724	16	2,803	26
Massachusetts	13,860	5	14,317	5
Michigan	11,472	7	10,396	6
Minnesota	904	42	808	43
Mississippi	1,313	35	1,368	35
Missouri	3, 314	20	2,992	23.57
Hontana	497	50	433	49
Nebraska	550	48	425	50
Nevada	377	31	619	44
New Hampshire	3,670	17	2.069	29
New Jersey	7,584	8	4,465	12
New Mexico	505	49	503	46
New York	20,724	2	30,521	2
North Carolina	5,243	12	4,292	13
North Dakota	557	47	468	47
Ohio	12,959	¢.	10,020	7
Oklahoma	1,884	31	1,824	31
Oregon	4,681	14	5,149	11
Pennsylvania	15,313	3	18,372	3
Rhode lsland	749	44	443	48
South Carolina	1,708	32	1,319	Зь
South Dakota	578	45	541	45
fennessee	1,610	ۇ ئ	1,408	34
Teras	14,719	4	16,344	4
Utah	1,280	30	1,584	33
Vermont	2,285	28	2,256	28
Virginia	3,357	19	1,157 ئ	20
Washington	2,683	23	3,458	17
West Virginia	1,096	39	1,282	8E
Wisconsin	2,294	27	2,293	27
Wyowing	1,024	40	1,307	37
District of Columbia	3,138	21	<u> </u>	14
_				
Totals	231,774		244,007	

ī



•

2

### Table 40. Percent of Lotal School Enrollment Served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 Combined

.

	1980-81	
	Percent Mandicapped	Rank
Alabama	9.29	25
Alaska	11.46	5
Arizona	9.24	26
Arkansas	20.53	12
California	7.83	41
Colorado	в. 37	37
Cossecticut	10.82	÷
Delavare	11.74	2
Florida	8.43	36
Georgia	9.73	20
Havaii	5.94	50
Idaho Illincis	8.05	40
Indiana	10.59	11
Iowa	8.56 10.36	35
Kansas	9.05	15 30
Kenrucky	9.55	23
Louisiana	8.03	32
Maine	10.58	10
Haryland	11.51	4
Massachusetts	12.20	ĩ
Michigan	7.52	44
Mionesota	9.57	22
Hississippi	B. 82	33
Missouri*	10.41	14
Montana	8.24	39
Nebraska	9.64	21
Nevada	7.55	43
New Hampshire	6,66	49
New Jersey	10.74	7
New Mexico	8.31	38
New York	6.67	48
North Carolina	10.02	18
North Dakota	7.39	45
Ohio Ohio	9.34	24
Oklahowa General	10.70	
Oregon Beneralizza (m	9-10	28
Pennsylvania Rhode Island	8.57 9.86	34
South Carolina	7.00 10.40	19 13
South Dakota	7.27	40
lennossee	10.29	16
lexas	9.05	29
Utah	10.28	17
Vermont	11.67	، د د
Virginia	9.02	31
Washington	7.81	42
Wesc Virginia	9.23	27
Wisconsin	7.05	47
Wyoming	10.69	, y
District of Columbia	5.20	51



50

### Table 41. Percent of Children Aged 5-17 Limited-English-Proficient

.

.

	1980	
	Percent LEP	dank
• 1	[] ]	
Alabama Alaska	U.1 1.7	50 7
Arizona	3.0	د
Arizona Arkansas	0.1	49
California	1.9	
Colorado	1.7	6 14
Connecticut	1.2	14
Delaware	0.5	24
Florida	1.3	11
Georgia	0.2	41
Hawali	2.1	4
Idaho	0.5	21
Illinois	1.4	<u>ст</u> у
Indiana	0.3	32
Iowa	0.3	35
Kansas	0.5	23
Kentucky	0.2	47
Louisiana	0.5	20
Maine	0,4	27
Maryland	0.5	25
MassachuSetts	1.1	13
Michigan	D_ 3	31
Nianesota	0.4	26
filssissippi	U. 2	46
Nissouri	0.2	40
Montana	ũ.s	39
Nebraska	U.J	37
Nevada	1.0	15
New Hampshire	ί.ΰ	38
New Jersey	1.5	8
New Mexico	4.1	ī
New York	2.0	5
North Carolina	0.2	42
North Dakota	0.2	43
Ohlo	U.4	30
Oklahoma	0.4	28
Oregon	0.7	19
Pennsylvania	0.5	22
Rhode Island	1.4	10
South Carolina	0.2	44
South Dakota	U . 3	34
lennessee	6.2	45
Iexas	4.0	2
Utah	0.7	18
Ver out	0.1	48
Virginia	U.4	29
Washington	U. 9	16
West Virginia	0.1	51
Wisconsin	υ.3	L É.
Wyoming	υ.3	36
District of Columbia	u_7	17



.

51

57

.

	Percent White	Rank	Percent Black	Hank	Percent Asian and Pacific Islander	Rank	Percent American Indlan/Eskimo/ Aleut	Rank
<u> </u>		, and the second						
Alabama	68.1	45	31.4	Ġ	0.2	51	0.3	jb
Alaska	73.0	40	3.3	Ĵó	1.8	7	21.4	1
Arlzona	77.6	33	3.4	35	0.9	18	н. J	4
Arkansas	77.8	32	21.2	10	0.3	45	0.6	- 22
California	71.9	41	6.3	28	5.9	2	1.2	16
Colorado	87.1	23	4.3	31	1.2	1.3	0.9	18
Connecticut	86.9	25	9.5	22	0.7	22	0.2	41
DeLaware	76.9	34	21.0	11	0.9	16	0.1	33
Florida	76.8	35	20.7	12	0.8	21	0.3	34
Georgia	67.0	40	32.2	5	0.4	38	0.2	44
lawaii	30.5	50	1.3	42	65.1	1		
	95.1		0.3	50	0.7	-	0.3	35
ldann 1111 nois	95.1 76.4	7 36	18.6			26	1.5	13
				14	1.5	11	0.2	42
Indlana	89.2	20	9.4	23	0.5	35	0.2	43
lowa	96.7	4	1.9	39	0.6	29	0,3	30
ansas	89.8	19	6.8	27	0.9	17	0.9	20
Kentucky	91.5	17	8.0	26	0.3	46	0,1	51
Louisiana	63.5	47	35.2	4	0.7	28	0.3	2 B
fa l ne	98.9	2	0.3	48	0.3	50	0.5	23
lary land	70.2	44	27.3	8	1.8	b	0,2	37
lassachusetts	91.9	15	5.Ŭ	30	0.9	19	0.2	39
llchigan	82.0	28	15.7	17	0.7	25	0.7	21
Innesota	95.6	6	1.6	41	1.0	14	۲.٦	15
fississippi	55.8	49	43.5	2	0.3	47	0.3	29
lissouri	85.4	26	13.5	19	0.5	16	0.3	32
lontana	91.9	16	0.2	51	0.4	40	7.0	6
lebraska	93.5	13	4.1	32	0.5	30	0,9	19
levada	84.2	27	9.3	24	1.9	4	2.3	
lew Hampshire	98.9	3	0.5	45	6.3	42	0.1	47
lew Jersey	78.8	31	16.3	16	1.5	9	0.1	45
lew Mexino	71.7	42	2.0	38	0.5	34	10.3	2
iew York	75.6	3B	16.8	15	1.9	5	0.3	31
lorth Carolina	70.4	43	27.6	7	0.4	39	1.5	14
lorth Dakota	94.2	9	0.3	46	0.4	48		7
)hio	87.0	24	11.8	20	0.5	33	4.8 U.4	25
)klahoma			3.6					
	81.8	29		25	0.7	23	7.8	5
Iregon	93.6	12	1.8	40	1.7	8	1.6	12
Pennsylvania	87.5	2 <b>2</b>	10.8	21	0.7	27	0.1	49
thode Island	93.7	11	3.9	33	0.7	24	0.5	24
ourn Carolina	61.9	48	37.3	3	0.5	37	0.2	40
outh Dakota	89.0	<b>2</b> 1	0.3	49	0.3	49	10.2	3
ennessee	79.9	30	19.6	13	0.3	44	0.1	46
exas	75.4	39	14.1	18	0.9	15	0.3	27
tao	94.1	10	0.6	44	L.4	12	2.0	11
ermont	<b>9</b> 9.0	1	0.3	47	0.4	41	0.2	38
linginia	7 <b>5.7</b>	37	22.2	9	1.5	10	0.6	26
ashington	90.2	18	3.0	37	2.9	3	2.0	10
lest Virginia	95.9	5	3.6	34	0,3	43	0.1	50
lsconsin	92.5	14	5.5	29	0.5	32	0.9	17
lyoming	94.3	8	0.7	43	0.5	36	2.4	้ย
District of Columbia	11.5	51	85.7	ł	0.8	20	0.1	48



### Table 43. Percent of School Enroliment of Spanish Origin

	1.084	
	1980 Percent	
	Spanish Urigin	Калк
	where a start	<u></u>
Alabawa	1.0	К
Alaska	2.4	Z4
Arizona	22.2	4
Arkadsas	0.9	41
Callfornia	25.5	3
Colorado	16.1	5
Connetticut	5.9	13
Delaware	2.3	25
Florida	9.6	8
Georgia	1.2	35
Hnwa11	10.2	7
ldeho	4.9	15
Ilinois	6.9	11 27
Indiana	2.1	34
lowa	1.3	
Kansas	3.7	17
Kentucky	0.8	
Louisiana	2.6 0.6	24 51
Maine	1.7	30
Maryland	3.5	19
Massachusects	2.3	25
Nichigan Minnesota	1.1	38
Mississippi	1.2	37
Mississippi Missouri	1.4	
Nontana	0.8	45
Nebraska	2.6	22
Nevada	8.6	10
New Hampshire	U_ 7	47
New Jersey	8.6	9
Hew Mexico	43.9	1
New York	11.8	6
North Carolina	1.0	40
North Dakota	0.7	48
Ohio	1.5	32
Oklahoma	Ζ.υ	20
Oregon	3.5	18
Peonsylvania	1.8	28
Rhode Island	2.0	23
South Carolina	1.2	эс
South Dakota	u.7	٥٢
Teonessee	U.8	43
fexas	27.3	2
Otah	4.9	14 49
VermonC	0.7	
Virginia	1.7	16
Washington .	4.0	16 45
West Virginia	0,8 1.8	43 29
Wisconsin	1.8 b.4	29 12
Wyoming District of Columbia	в.4 U.9	42
DISTINCT OF VOIDEDIS	5.3	74



### Table 44. Percent of Children Aged 5-17 in Poverty

	1980	
	Percent Poverty	
	<u>Ages 5-17</u>	Rank
Alabama	23.1	3.51
Alaska	11.4	38
Arizona	15.8	17
Arkansas	2 <b>2.7</b>	- 5
Callfornia	14.2	22
Colorado	10.8	41T
Connecticut	10.4	44
Delaware	14.6	20
Florida	17.7	16
Georgia	20.5	9
Hawali	11.7	36
Idaho	13.4	26
Illinois	14.1	23
lodiana	11,0	39
lowa	10.8	41T
Капбаз	10.7	43
Kentucky	21.2	7
Louisiana	23.1	3.55
Maine	15.1	18.5T
Maryland	11.9	35
Massachusects	12.3	33
Michigan	12.4	32
Minnesoca	9,5	48
Misslasippl	30,4	1
Missouri	14.0	24.ST
Montana	12.7	30
Nebraska	11.6	37
Nevada	9.4	49
New Hampshire	8.9	50
New Jersey	13.3	27
New Mexico	21.7	6
New York	17.9	14
North Carolina	17.8	12
North Dakota	14.0	24.5T
Ohio	12.2	34
Oklahoma	15,1	18.50
Oregon	10.8	411
Pennsylvania	13.2	26
Rhode Island	12.0	31
South Carolina	20.7	В
South Dakota	L4.4	11
Tennessee	20.2	10
Texas	18.4	12
Utah	9.8	40
Vermont	13,0	29
Virginia	14.4	21
Washington	10.3	45
West Virginia	18.2	13
Wisconsin	9.0	47
wyoming	7.5	51
District of Golumbia	26.3	2

ERIC Full East Provided by ERIC

ацавана

	1979-8	U	Host I	lecent Yo	ea C	
	Abount	Kank	Amuunt	Kank	Year	Percent Change
Title I/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$74, 543, 253	13	\$65,205,088	14	1984-80	-12.5
fotal LEA grants	\$71,762,320	٤٦	\$62,194,71J	15	1984-85	و. وي-
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$48, 350, 520	13	1982-83	-32.6
-			\$43,071,131	15	1984-65	-40.0
State administration set aside	\$1,101,624	13	\$611,284	14	1984-85	-44.5
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$8,074	10	\$4,776	13	1984-85	-44.9
LEA child coust for formula purposes	275,022	12	199,567	13	1984-65	-27.0
Title I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	130,849	14	110,943	14	1982-83	-15.2
Number of participants K-b	115,629	10	100,846	ĪŪ	1982-83	-12.8
Number of participants 7-12	15,220	22	10,097	24	1982-83	-33,7
Number of conpublic participants	1,221	25	985	23	1962-83	-19.3
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	*/***	~	703		1702 00	1213
in Title 1/Chapter 1	(not available)		1.8	43	1980-81	
Number of public participants	129,628	14	109,958	14	1782-83	
Percent of all public school students served in	129,020	14	107,720	14	1202-03	-15.2
Title I/Chapter 1	17 7		15.7	,	1110 1-51 5	
	17.2	0	15.2	7	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	82.8	21	0.6B	12	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	32,2	32	28.8	36	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	۲.۹	41	2.9	31	1962-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	<b>.</b>					
English-proficient	<0.1	27		NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition						
services	46.1	4	26. б	6	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and						
guidance services	28,4	6	29.2	7	TA95-93	
itle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	3,146	24	3,445	15	1982-83	9.5
Number of FTE teachers	2,555	10	2,087	11	1982-83	-18.3
Number of FTE aides	146	48	917	20	1982-83	528.1
Number of FTE administrative staff	107	17	93	1.	1982-83	-13.1
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	41.6	50	32.2	1. 37	1982-83	-12.6
fitle f/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$548	27	\$589	31	1982-83	7.5
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage						
them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-92	



### Alabama (continued)

_	1979-	dU	Most R	ecent Yea	)r	
	Ampunt	Kank	հաօգու	Kanĸ	Year	Percent Change
State Character <u>istics</u>						
Number of operating LEAs	127	jo	128	36	1982-83	0,8
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$L,409	ъŅ	\$2,978	42	1982-83	41.5
Overall average per Pupil expenditure in Adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$1,537	42	1982-83	4.0
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary			41,500	- <b>H</b>	1302 03	4.0
schools	754,181	22	724,037	20	1982-83	-4,0
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			62,669	22	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools			7.6	29	1980-61	
Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary						
and secondaty schools Percent of nonPublic enrollment in religiously			38,016	24	1980-81	
affiliated schools			60.7	45	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			821,390	21	1980-81	
Per capira income	\$6,855	48	<b>2</b> 9,981	41	1984	45.0
RIS tax capacity index	76	49.51	14	49.51	1982	
tudent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	71,124	20	60,469	19	1982-83	13.I
Number of bandicapped children served under	<b>3</b> (L)	37	1 140		10011-015	-9,1
P.L. 89-313 Percent of total school enrollment served by	1,254	21	1,140	40	1982-83	-9.1
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			9.3	25	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-						
proficient School enroilment by race			0.1	50	1,480	
Percent White			68.1	45	1980	
Percent Black			31.4	b	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			U.2	51	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleur			0.3	30	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			1.0	39	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			29.1	3.26	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAS

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in <u>Poverty</u>
5.5	0 - 10
33.1	11 - 20
۶4.7	21 - 30
17.3	31 - 40
9.4	N 40

Percent poor children in State in LaA with largest enrollment  ${\sf Fercent}$  puor children in State in S largest LEAs

9.8 Mobile County

29.7 Mobile County, Jefferson County (Birmingham), Birmingham City, Montgomery County, Nuntsville City

BITHINGTHE CITY, THEREBY COURTS, HURSVILLE



22

# Alabama (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program No program

Minimum competency testing Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 3, 6, 9, 11 Expected uses: high school graduation, remediation First graduating class assessed: 1985

School improvement programs

Alabama does not have a planning requirement nor does it sponsor any effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

A career ladder program is under consideration. Testing is required for initial certification. Those who change fields must pass a subject area test in the new field. Temporary certificates are given to qualified college graduates who can teach math or science.



	ALASKA				•	
	1979-80		Most Recent Year			
	Amount	Rank	λπουρτ	Калк	Year	Percen Change
itle 1/Guapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$7,742,05L	47	\$8,160,102	48	1984-85	5.
Total LEA grants	\$5,681,315	47	\$5,002,539	50	1984-65	-10.
fotal LEA grants to adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$3,727,021	47	1982-83	-34.
Total and Prouse to Witnessed this on Molifica			\$3,505,913	50	1984-85	.#C. .8t-
State administration set aside	\$225,000	44.51	\$225,000	43,5[	1984-85	JU. U
State administration set aside per operating LEA	£4,327	22	\$4,245	47774	1984-85	-1,
LEA child count for formula purposes	14, 363	49	10,633	50	1984-85	-25.
tex entre court for tormula purposes	14,303	47	10,033	50	1404-03	-20+
<u>trie i/Chapter 1 Participation Data</u>						
Total number of participants	5,482	50	4,564	50	1982-83	-10.
Number of participants K-b	3,495	51	3,248	51	1982-83	-7.
Number of participants 7-12	1,734	45	1,092	48	1982-83	-J7.1
	-			-		
Number of nonpublic participants	H7	49.51	56	47	1982-83	6.'
Percent of all nonpublic school students served						
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		2.7	31	1980-81	
Number of public participants	5, 395	50	4,471	50	1982-83	-17.
Percent of all public school students served in						
Title [/Chapter 1	<b>b.</b> l	48	5.0	47	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving teading	67.5	41	73.3	37	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	50.1	Ä	34.0	27	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	28.3	4	9.0	17	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-				-		
English-proficient	7.1	6	14.0	4	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving health and nurrition		Ŷ		-	1902 05	
services	1.3	30			1001-63	
	د.1	10		NA	1902-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and		• •		•		
guidance services	21.5	14	2.2	32	1982-83	
tle [/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics	5					
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	244	49	173	50	1982-83	-29.1
Number of FTE reachers	84	51	57	51	1982-83	- 32. 1
Number of FTE aides	¥E1	49	85	48	1982-83	-38.8
Number of FTE administrative staff	8	41	6	44	1982-63	-25.0
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	22.5	20	25.4	25	1982-83	17.3
Title 1/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$1,036	1	\$1,104	1	1982-83	b.o
Instructional settings: It is not known if						
pulluuts predominate; SEA does not encourage or						
					1046-04	
discourage them					1984-0j	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SFA					1984-85	

## Alaska (continued)

	1979-60		Most Recent Year			
	Amoue t	й так	Amana	dack	Year	Percent Uluidee
tate Characterisil <u>es</u>						
Number of operating LEAs	52	43	53	43	1982-83	<u>ز</u> י ۲
Overal! average por pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjust."	54,548	1	\$7,244	i	1982-83	39.3
1972-80 doilars Enrollment in public elementary and second 'V			\$5,358	1	1982-83	17.8
schools	88,573	51	89,413	51	1922-83	0.9
Enrollment in compublic elementary and secondary schools			3,800	50	1980-di	
Percent of total enrollment in aompublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			4.2	40	1880-81	
and Secondary Schools			3,232	50	1980-81	
Percent of compublic enrollment ic religiously affiliated schools			85.L	23	1980-01	
fotal enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			90,314	51	L980-81	
Per capita income	\$11,585	1	\$17,155	T	1984	48.i
RIS tax capacity index	217	1	512	Ţ	1982	
udent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under	7 1100		N	L.	1	
P.L. 94-142 Number of handlcapped children served under	7, 999	50	9.025	49	1982-83	12.8
P.L. 89-313 Percent of total school enrollment served by	2,243	29	2,992	23.5T	1982-83	33.4
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			11.5	5	1980-91	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			1.7	7	1980	
School enrollment by race Percent White			73.0	40	1980	
Percent Black			3.3	36	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			1.8	1	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			21.4	1	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			2.4	24	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			11.4	38	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
46.2	0 - i0
25.0	11 - 20
9.6 11.5	21 - 30 31 - 40
7.7	> 40

Percent pour children in State in LEA with largest entollment Percent pour children in State in 5 largest LEAs

25.5 Anchorage

66

49.0 Anchotage, North Star Borough (Fairbanks), Kenal Peninsula Horough, Matanuska-Susitha Borough, Juneau Borough



<u>6</u>7:

່ະເ

Alaska (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

No program established at the State level

School improvement programs

Some schools in Alaska have adopted a State-sponsored Effective Schooling Program which contains a school site planning component. In addition, all districts must develop a curriculum with clear goals and effective evaluation and conduct a curriculum review every six years.

Teacher reforms

No career ladder or merit pay programs have been reported in Alaska. The State does not require testing for initial certification, but the SEA is in the midst of reviewing the certification process.

	1979-8/	1979-80 Most Recent Year			ear	
	Amount	Rank	Amount	dank	Year	Percen Change
tle 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$34,941,033	28	\$40,614,090	26	1984-85	16.2
fotal LEA grants	\$27,711,021	29	\$32,386,700	29	1744-97	16.9
Total LEA grants in adjusred 1979-80 dollars	· .		\$17,501,393 \$22,428,508	31 29	1982-83 1984-85	-30.8 -19.1
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	442 272	<b>3</b> 8				
State administration set aside	\$516,373	28	\$380,751	26	1984-85	-26.3
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$2,430	30	\$1,831	30	1984-85	-24.8
LEA child count for formula purposes	85,923	29	90,058	26	1984-00	4.8
tle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	67,197	25	41,007	28	1982-03	-39.0
Humber of participants K-b	45,047	24	29,655	29	1982-63	-15.6
Number of participants 7-12	21,090	11	11,268	21	1982-83	-46.0
Number of acapublic participants	1,536	22	651	32	1982-83	-37.6
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	-					
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		3,3	25	1980-81	
Number of public participancs	65,661	25	د.ر ۵٫۷, ۵۷ <sub>۳</sub>	28	1982-d3	-38.5
Percent of all public school students served in	· · · · ·		<b>461</b> -	<b>B</b> 12	470-	
Title 1/Chapter 1	12.9	14	7.9	31	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	12.9 89.3	7	85.2	31 10	1902-03 1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	25.1	41	8.3	50	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math Percent of students receiving language arts	25.1 4.9	41 24	8.2	21	1982-85 1982-85	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	7.2	2.4	¥+1	21	1706-02	
English-proficient	13.0	3	12.8	5	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition					<b>•</b> • • • •	
services	Ð	NA		NA	1982-03	
Percent of students receiving attendance and						
guidance services	26.4	ß	0.1	39	1982-83	
tle 1/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title 1/Chapter 1 FfE staff members	2,653	28	1,490	28	1982-83	-43.8
Number of FIE teachers	753	30	586	31	1982-83	-21,9
Number of FFE aldes	1,632	19	755	24	1982-83	-53.7
Number of FIE administrative staff	73	24	53	24	1982-83	-27.4
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	25,3	34	27.5	29	1982-83	8.7
Title I/Chapter I average per pupil expenditure	8412	46	5577	32	1902-63 1902-63	40.V
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in						
LEAS although SEA does not encourage or discourage						
them					1984-d5	
Chican					****	
					1984-05	

ARLZONA

68



.

### Arizona (continued)

	1979-80		lost Recent Year			
	Amannt	Rank	Аще -	Rank	Year	Percent Change
ate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	21,2	25	208	25	1982-83	-1.9
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$1,888	30	\$2,452	32	1982-83	29.9
1979-80 dollars Enrollment in public elementary and secondary			\$1,814	37	1987-83	-3.9
schools	509,252	29	510,296	27	1982-83	0,2
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			40,261	28	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nunpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			7.3	34	1740-81	
and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously			29,315	28	1480-81	
affiliated schools			72.8	37	1980-8L	
Fotal encollment in elementary and secondary schools	\$8.0[1	4٤	554,051 \$11,629	29 33	1980-BL 1984	45.2
Per capita income RfS tar capacity index	30,011 91	37.5T	311,025 96	27.5r	1982	40.2
udent <u>Characteristics</u>						
Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 94-142	47,202	28	50,663	28	1982-83	7.3
Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 89-313	1,101	20 10	L,199	39	1982-83	d. 4
Percent of total school enrollment agreed by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined	_,		9.2	26	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			J.U	3	1980	
School enrollment by race						
Percent White			77.6 3.4	33 35	1980 1980	
Percent Black Percent Asian and Pacific islander			0.9	18	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			8.3	4	1980	
Percent of school corollment of Spanish origin			22.2	4	1960	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			15.8	⊥7	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children In Pover <u>tv</u>
25.7	0 - 10
36.9	11 - 20
18.2	21 - 3U
9.4	<b>JL - 40</b>
<b>9.</b> B	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAS 13.7 Phoenix Union High

28.2 Phoenix Union High, Fucson Unified, Glendale Union Bigh, Washington Elementary, Mesa Unified



.

74

Arizona (continued)

# Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at both the State and local levels Grades assessed: 8, 12 Expected uses: grade promotion, high school graduation First graduating class assessed: 1976

School improvement programs

Arizona has neither State-sponsored effective schools projects nor a district or school planning requirement.

Teacher reforms

The legislature passed a pilot career ladder program in 1984. The State requires prospective teachers to pass proficiency exams to enter education schools and to qualify for certification.

ERIC

## ARKANSAS

-	エリプリーボ	j –	Most Recent Year			
	Amount	rlank	Amount	Kank	Year	Petcen. Change
fitle 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$48,036,745	23	\$42,007,293	27	1984-65	-11.5
fotal LEA grants	\$40,957,148	23	\$35,959,150	26	1984-85	-12.2
Toral LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$27, 144, 243	23	1982-83	-32.3
- •			\$24,902,459	26	1984-65	-39.2
State administration set aside	\$709,902	23	\$399,431	25	1984-do	-43.7
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$1,903	37	\$1,077	40	1984-85	-43.4
LEA child count for formula purposes	156, 574	20	110,789	22	1984-45	-29.2
Title I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	84,571	21	67.147	21	1982-83	-20.0
Number of participants K-6	59,508	24	52,558	22	1982-03	-11.b
Number of participants 7-12	24,821	14	14,489	17	1982-83	-41.6
Number of nonpublic patticipants Percent of all nonpublic school students served	970	30	542	د د	1982-83	-44.1
in Title I/Chapter 1	(uot available)		0.3	8	1980-81	
Number of public participants	83,601	21	66,605	20	1982-03	-20.3
Percent of all public school students served in	00,001	•1	00,000		1,01 05	
Title I/Chapter 1	18.5	4	15.4	5	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	56.4	48	75.7	36	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	20.1	44	30.4	21	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	4.3	27	8.8	19	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	4.5		0.0	13	1701 03	
English-proficient	()	NA		NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	.1	IIA		uA	1762-03	
services	1.2	31		NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and	1.2	51		na.	1902 05	
guidance services	43.4	2	28.8	ß	1982-d3	
Title I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title L/Chapter 1 fTE staff members	3, 941	22	2,830	22	83	-28.2
Number of FTE teachers	1,341	24	1,051	24	dJ	-21.0
Number of FTE aides	2,216	15	1,577	12	1982-83	-28.8
Number of FTE administrative staff	71	25	45	23	1982-83	-8.5
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	21.5	14	23.7	18	1982-83	10.2
firle f/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	6484	59	\$559	35	1982-83	15.5
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LEAs although SEA does nut encourage or discourage						
them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-05	



## Arkansas (continued)

	1979-80		Nost Recent Year			
	Amount	Kank	Amount	Напк	Year	Percent Change
te Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	373	16	371	16	1982-83	-0.5
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$1,422	51	\$1,855	50	1982-83	30, 5
Overali average per pupil expenditore in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$1,372	50	1982-83	-3.5
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary	163.536	14				
schools Encollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary	453,125	32	432,565	32	1982-83	-4.5
schools			18,423	38	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			4.0	46	1890-RF	
and secondary schools			13,228	J9	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic entollment in religiously						
affiliated schools			71.8	38	1980-81	
fotal enrollment in elementary and secondary schools	and a fam	50	406,123	32	1980-BL	
Per capita income	\$6,639 17	50	\$9,724	49	1984	46.5
RIS tax capacity index	77	48	79	47	1982	
<u>dent Characteristics</u>						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	40,138	31	45,424	90 E	1982-83	13.2
Number of handicapped children served under			1. 200.0	1.		
P.L. 89-313	4,889	13	3,580	16	1982-83	-26.8
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			10, 5	12	1960-61	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-			10,0	14	1300-01	
proficient			0.1	49	1980	
School enrollment by race			0.1	•,	1700	
Percent White			77.8	32	1980	
Percent Black			21.2	10	1930	
Percent Aslan and Pacific Islander			0.3	45	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			U.o	22	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			0.9	41	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			22.7	5	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children
6.7	0 - 1U
34.2	11 - 20
31.8	21 - 30
13.8	31 - 40
13.5	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment. Percent pour children in State in 5 largest LEAs 3.7 Pulaski County Special (Little Rock)

15.4 Pulaski County Special (Little Rock), Little Hock, Fort Smith, North Little Rock, Pine Bluff



65

Arkansas (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 3, 4, 6, 8 Expected uses: remediation First graduating class assessed: not available

School improvement programs

The key elements of the Arkansas school improvement program are content mastery, instructional skills, classroom management skills, planning, use of resources, and human relations. The State has established a voluntary Program for Effective Teaching, in which nearly three-fourths of the teachers and principals were participating by 1983. Arkansas has promotional-gates tests in reading, math, social studies, and language arts in grades 3, 6, and 8. If more than 15 percent of the students within a district fail these tests, the district must submit an improvement plan to the State. If the passage rate shows no improvement after two years, the district is subject to dissolution.

Teacher reforms

All teachers were required to take basic skills tests in reading, math, and writing during the 1984-85 school year. They must pass the tests by June 1, 1987. Remedial courses are required before the test can be retaken. Teachers must also demonstrate academic skills in their subject area. A career ladder or merit pay program is under consideration



#### CALIFORNIA

Υ<u>κ</u>.

	1979-80		Most Recent Year			
	Anount	Kank	Amusut.	Карк	Year	Percen Ghange
tle 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data	_					
Total allocation	\$299,993,371	2	\$301,453,783	1	1984-85	20.5
Total LEA grants	\$244, 31.4, 989	2	8279,807,944	2	1984-85	14.5
fotal LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$167,690,683	2	1982-83	-31.4
			\$193,814,365	2	1984-85	-20.7
State administration set aside	\$4,<33,399	2	\$1,388,524	1	1984-85	-23.0
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$4,292	23	\$1,280	21	1984-85	-23.8
LEA child count for formula purposes	099,407	1	719,349	1	1984-85	1.0
tle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data*						
Total number of participants	825,946	1	847,500	L	1982-83	2,6
Number of participants K-6	585,021	1	613,258	1	1982-83	4.8
Number of participants 7-12	203,602	ī	228,925	L	1982-83	12,4
Number of nonpublic participants	14,239	3	20, 398	Ĵ	1982-83	43.3
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	- · · •					
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not avalla	ble)	2,2	98	1980-81	
Number of public participants	778,108	1	827,162	L	1982-83	b.j
Percent of all public school students served in		-	•			
Title 1/Chapter 1	19,2	3	20.4	2	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	96.4	1	86.3	7	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	93.1	1	81,6	1		
Perchat of students receiving language arts	94.8	1	77.3	ī	1982-85	
Perceae of students receiving English for limited-		-				
Engilsh-proficient	19.0	1	. 27.5	1	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition		-	• • • • • •	-	••••	
services	87.9	1	36.2	3	1982-83	
Percent of student receiving attendance and		-	•••••	-	2702 05	
guldance services	Û	NA	34.4	3	1982-83	
tle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	16,198	. 1	15,137	2	1982-83	-6,8
Number of FTE teachers	2,418	12	3, 391	3	L982-83	40.2
Number of FTE aldes	11,254	1	9,074	1	1982-83	-19.4
Number of FTE administrative staff	154	У	1 24	6	1982-83	-19.5
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	51.0	51*	56.0	51	1982-83	9.8
Title I/Chapter L average per pupil expenditures	\$296	51*	\$207	51	1982-83	-9.B
Instructional settings: It is not known if						
pullouts predominate; SEA does not encourage or						
discourage them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: Yes					1984-85	

\* California does not separate Chapter 1 and SCE child count data. Numbers of Chapter i participants represent estimates.

•-



Į

17 . 67

## California (continued)

	1979-80		Most Recent Year			
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Ranĸ	Үеат	Percen Change
te Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	1,033	Ŀ	1,033	2	1982-83	U
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$2,104	22	\$2,000	JU	1982-83	22.9
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$1,967	JO	1982-83	-7,]
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	4,047,550	1	4,065,406	1	1982-13	U.4
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			513,709	2	1986-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			11.1	18	1980-81	
and secondary schools			410,575	2	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously affiliated schools Total enrollment in elementary and secondary			79.9	30	1980-81	
schools			4,631,731	1	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$9,951	4	\$14,344	¢	1984	44.1
RIS tax capacity index	110	\$	116	y	1982	
dent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped r'ildren served under P.L. 94-142	351,111	1	361,047	1	1982-83	2.8
Number of handicapped children served under		•		•	1/02 00	
P.L. 89-313 Percent of total school enrollment served by	4,422	15	3,271	18	1982-83	-20. Ű
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-			7.8	41	1980-81	
proficient			1.9	6	1980	
School enrollment by race Percent White			71.9	41	1 man	
Percent Walte Percent Black			6.3	41 28	1480 1480	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			5.9	2	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			1.2	16	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			25.5	3	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			14.2	22	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
38.9	0 - 10
41.7	11 - 20
14.9	21 - 30
3.1	31 - 40
1.4	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment 18.8 Los Angeles Unified Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs

24.9 Los Angeles Unified, San Diego City Unified, San Francisco Unified, East Side Union High (San Jose), Grossmont Union High (La Mesa)

1

78"

California (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education Program\*

Allccations

1979-80	\$159.0 million
1984-85	\$187.5 million
1984-85 allocation in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$129.8 million

SCE funds as a proportion of federal funds

1979 - 80	53.0%
1983 - 84	51.9%

Funding approach: formula compares proportion of low income families to State totals

Targeting approach: low income students scoring below second quartile on State assessment; priority to early grades

Number of students served: not applicable; students served by SCE are not counted separately from Chapter 1.

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at both the State and local levels

Grades assessed: 4-11; 16 year olds+

Expected uses: grade promotion, high school graduation, early exit, remediation

First graduating class assessed: 1979

\* State compensatory education allocations include bilingual education funds that cannot be separated in State records.

California (continued)

#### School improvement programs

About half of California's public schools participate in a State-funded planning program. Using a general framework established by the State, local schools develop a three-year master plan which describes improvement objectives and methods of achieving them. The Chief State School Officer has developed an accountability program which reviews districts on a school-by-school basis and indicates five year goals for enrollment, test scores, performance of college-bound students, dropout and attendance rate, extracurricular activities, and homework and writing assignments. Under the Education Improvement Incentive Program, high schools can earn bonuses of up to \$400 per student depending on the performance of seniors on the California Assessment Program. The State does not sponsor any effective schools projects.

#### Teacher reforms

The State has established a voluntary mentor plan which provides an annual stipend of up to \$4,000 for selected senior teachers to lead inservice programs and develop curricula. The legislature has approved \$17.1 million for an instruction improvement program in which teachers can receive up to \$2,000 in grants. A basic skills test must be passed before a teacher is certified. Districts with teacher shortages may hire noncertified individuals who have Bachelor of Arts degrees in the subjects they will teach, pass basic skills and subject area tests, and agree to work with a mentor teacher.

## CULURADO

	1979-ы	)	Most R	ecent Ye	аc	
	Amount	Kank	Anount	dank	Year	Percen Change
[ltle 1/Chapter 1 Ailocation Data						
Total allocation	\$30,443,069	31	\$34,079,046	31	1984-85	11.9
fotal LEA grants	\$24,959,043	32	\$28,407.434	30	1984-85	14.0
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-00 dollars			\$18, 392,729	29	1982-83	-26.3
			\$19,707,364	JU	1984-85	-21.0
State administration set aside	\$449,897	31	\$319,481	31	1984-85	-29.0
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$2,486	29	\$1,765	31	1984-85	-29.0
LEA child count for formula purposes	75,518	32	60,080	JI.	1984-85	-12.5
itle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	39, 984	34	33,727	32	1982-83	-15.6
Number of participants K-0	25, 390	34	22,755	ور	1982-83	-10,4
Number of participants 7-12	13,152	25	9,812	26	1982-83	-25.4
Number of nonpublic participants	1,032	28	799	28	1987-83	-22.6
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	-1025					
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		J.U	27	1980-81	
Number of public participants	J8,952	٤Ŀ	32,928	32	1982-83	-15.5
Percent of all public school students served in	501752		201710	3-		
Title I/Chapter 1	7.1	45	6.0	40	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	81.0	24	79.7	24	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	JU.1	35	26.5	39	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	11.0	10	18.4	5	1982-03	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	11. ···	10	1014	2	1,02 0,	
English-proficient	0	NA		ЫA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	Ŭ	III		11/1	1702 00	
services	0	NA	U.2	2.b	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and	v	na	u.z	20	1302-03	_
Ruidance services	Û	NA	2.4	31	1982-83	
BOIDANCE SELVICES	U	na	2.4	11	1904-03	
<pre>ltle I/Chapter 1 Program_Characteristics</pre>						
Number of Title 1/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	1,719	32	1,248	32	1982-83	-27.4
Number of FTE teachers	801	26	124	27	1982-83	-15.9
Number of FTE aides	680	33	353	37	1982-83	-48.1
Number of FTE administrative staff	41	<b>3</b> 1	29	31	1982-83	-29.3
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	23.3	26	27.0	27	1982-83	15.9
litle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$b24	15	\$7.37	13	1982-83	18.1
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominare in						
LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage						
them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SVA					1485-85	

Schoolwide projects: None reported by SFA

1984-85

Full Text Provided by ERIC

ţ;

#### Colorado (continued)

	1979-80		Most Recent Year			
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Карк	Үент	Percent Chinge
ate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	181	28.51	181	28	1982-83	U
Overall average per Pupil expenditure	\$2,353	17	\$3,118	18	1982-83	32.5
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$2,306	16	1982-83	-2.0
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary			41,100	10	1702-03	-4.1
schools	550,527	27	545,209	26	1982-63	-1.0
Encollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary	550,527	£1	343,207	LQ	,702 03	1.1
schools			35.250	31	1980-et	
Percent of total eprollment in nonpublic schools			6.1	<u>1</u> 4	1980-01	
Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary						
and secondary schools			27,993	29	1980-01	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously						
affillated schools			79.4	32	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			5B1,284	28	1980-91	
Per capita income	<b>1</b> 9,083	15	\$13,742	à	1984	51
RIS tax capacity lodem	110	9 <b>r</b>	121	to	1982	
dent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	43,635	29	41,287	32	1982-93	-5,4
Number of handlopped children served under						
P.L. 89-313	3, 593	18	3.839	15	1982-83	6.8
Percent of total school enrollment served by						
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			8,4	37	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-						
proficient			1.0	14	1980	
School enrollment by race			87.1	23	FLUID.	
Percent White Percent Black			4,3	25 31	1980 1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			1.2	13	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut		•	0.9	18	1980	
Percent of school entollment of Spanish origin			16.1	5	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			LO, B	4 <u>1</u> r	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAS

Percent of Vistricts	Percent of Children in Poverty
34.8	U ~ 10
35.4	11 - 20
15.5	21 - 30
9.9	31 - 40
4.4	<u>-</u> 40

Fercent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 5.6 Jefferson County (Lakewood)

40.5 Jefferson County (Lakewood), Benver, Colurado Enrings, Adams-Araphibhoe (Aurora), Boulder Valley (Boulder)



84,2

Colorado (continued)

Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the local level Grades assessed: 9, 12 · Expected uses: high school graduation (at local option) First graduating class assessed: not available

School improvement programs

All districts are required to have a continuing five year school improvement plan based on local priorities. Each individual ...chool is encouraged to develop a multi-year school improvement plan. There are no State-sponsored effective school projects. The Governor has proposed a limited voucher system for students who have failed in the traditional classroom setting; this idea is opposed by the Colorado Education Association.

Teacher reforms

More than one salary schedule for teachers is now permitted. This will allow districts to establish career ladders. Colorado requires testing for initial certification of teacher.



## CONNECTICAT

	L979-BU	U	Host F	Recent Ye	iar.	
	Amanint	Rank	Amonine	Rank	Year	Percent Change
fitle 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
fotal allocation	\$ 10, 882, 304	30	\$38,719,844	29	1984-85	25.4
Total LEA grants	\$20,156,203	30	\$32,400,447	28	1984-05	24.1
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$17,304,171	32	1982-83	-33.6
			\$22,479,534	28	1984-85	-14.1
State administration set aside	\$456,388	30	\$302,987	29	1984-85	-20.5
State administration set aside per operating LLA	\$2,706	26	\$2,200	27	1984-85	-20.5
LEA child count for formula purposes	71,720	ۇ ۋ	69,052	30	1984-05	-3.7
Title L/Chapter 1 Participation Data				-		
Total number of participants	60,503	29	32,720	33	1982-83	-45.9
Number of participants X-6	42,571	28	22,480	34	1982-83	-47.2
Number of proticipants 7-12	12,842	27	8,701	27	1982-83	-32.2
Number of nonpublic participants	4,873	11	1,968	18	1982-83	- 27.6
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	4,074	14	1,200	10	1/02	22.0
In Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		4.5	16	1980-81	
Number of public participants		29		10 34	1980-81	
Number of public participants Percent of all public school students served in	55,630	27	30,752	34	1704-03	·
Title I/Chapter 1	D B	1 <b>G</b>	L 1	6.3	1461_81	
•	9.8	28	b.j	43	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	52.0	49	78.4	73	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	33.3	27	<b>Б</b> У.1	د ()	1967-93	
Percent of students receiving language arts	2.3	<b>1</b> 7	0.2	41	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-				_		
English-proficient	13.3	2	15.0	3	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition						
services	Û	NA		NA.	1982-83	
Percent of students : ving attendance and						
guidance Services	0.8	40	0.E	27	1982-83	
fitie I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	2,683	27	1,803	27	1982-83	-32.8
Number of FIE teachers	1,174	25	47U	26	1982-83	-25.9
Number of FTE aldes	1,146	25	7.38	26	1982-83	- 35. b
Number of FFE administrative staff	1,140 V	NA	65 65	20	1982-83	
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staif ratio	22.6	21	18.2	3	1982-83	-19.5
Fitle F/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	6432	۲۱ 4 ع	\$717	17	1982-83	-19.5 66.0
fastructional settings: Pullouts predominate in						
LEAS although SEA dnes not encourage or discourage						
them					1984~85	
Schoolwide projects: Yes					1964-00	



#### Connecticut (continued)

_	1979-80		Most &	Most Recent Year		
	Amount	dank	Amount	dans	1ear	Percen Chunge
ate CharaCteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	165	30	165	0L	1982-83	0
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$2,J41	18	\$3,505 83,505	b	1962-83	52
1979-80 dollars Enrollment in public elementary and secondary			\$2,037	b	1982-03	12.0
schools	560.034	26	486,470	29	1982-61	-14.
Eprollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			88,404	17	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in noopublic schools Euroliment in religiously affiliated elementary			ز.14	11	1960-81	
and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously			67, 199	17	1480-BT	
affiliated schools			76.2	34	1980-8L	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			614,863	25	TARQ-RI	
Per capita income	\$10,295	ł	<b>\$</b> 16, J69	J	1984	59.
RIS tax capacity index	109	<b>1</b> 21	117	7.of	1985	
dent Characteristics						
Number of handlcapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	59,506	24	62,928	25	1982-83	5.
Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 89-313	3, 1145	22	3.082	22	1485-93	۱.
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			10.8	۵	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			1.2	12	1980	
School enrollment by race					<b>.</b>	
Percent White			86.9	25	1980	
Percent Black			9.5	22	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			U.7	22	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.2	41	1980	
Percent of school inrollment of Spanish origin			5.9	13	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			10.4	44	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children In Poverty
84.2	0 - 10
12.7	11 - 20
1.2	21 - JU
1.8	31 - 40
Û	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest encollment 13,9 Bridgeport Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAS

in.

50.9 Bridgeport. Hartford, New Haven. Waterbury, Stantord



87

Connecticut (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Allocations

1979-80	\$7.0 million
1984-85	\$6.4 million
1984-85 allocation in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$4.4 million

SCE funds as a proportion of Federal funds

1979-80	22.7%
1984-85	16.5%

Funding approach: formula averages the comparisons of (1) proportion of low income and AFDC families to State totals, and (2) proportion of students falling below State level of expected performance on 9th grade proficiency test (SLOPE).

Targeting approach: students scoring below 23rd percentile on a standardized test.

Number of students served

1979-80	14,000 (estimate)
1983-84	9,000 (estimate)

Minimum competency testing

88

Standards set a he State level Grades assessed: 4, 6, 8 Expected uses: remediation First graduating class assessed: not available

## Connecticut (continued)

#### School Improvement programs

The Connecticut School Effectiveness Project is a voluntary school-based program which concentrates on seven school characteristics: safe and orderly environment, clear school mission and goals, instructional leadership, high staff expectations, opportunity to learn and time on task, frequent monitoring of student progress, and home/school relations. The major objective of the project is to improve student achievement in low achieving schools in reading, writing and math. Part of the project involves a school assessment and the development of an action plan which is done with the help of a facilitator from either the SEA or regional education service center. In spring 1984, the legislature established a program to give grants for technical services to 10 districts with greatest need.

## Teacher reforms

A career lader or merit pay program is under consideration. Testing for initial teacher certification is required. Connecticut has established State-run professional development instruction for teachers and will require LEAs to submit professional development plans to the SEA <sup>+</sup> , April 1986.

	DELAWARE					
	<u>1</u> 979-80	חי 	Host d			
	Amount	Rank	Amount	 Капк	Year	Percen Unange
fitle L/O apter 1 Allocation Data					_	
Total allocation	\$10,231,926	42	\$11,985,L09	41	1984-85	17.1
Total LEA grants Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$7,179.875	45	\$8,458,275 \$4,935,175 \$5,857,531	45 40 42	1984-85 1982-83 1984-85	17.8 -J1.J -18.4
State administration set aside State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$225,000 \$14,063	44.)[ 6		43 43,51 7		-10.4 U -15.8
LEA child count for formula purposes	LB,48J	40	18,500	45 45	1984-85 1984-85	0.1
tle [/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	12,167	45	ð,664	40	1982-83	-28,8
Number of participants K-b	11,071	43	7,913	44	1982-83	-28. 3
Number of participants 7-12	1,065	49	708	49	1982-83	-33.
Number of nonpublic participants Percent of all nonpublic school students served	1,002	27	80c	37	1982-83	-22.
In Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		3.9	20	1980-81	-
Number of public participants Percent of all public school students served in	L1,105	45	8,150	46	1982-83	
Title I/Chapter 1	10.7	23	8.8	24	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving reading	89.8 . A	<b>)</b>	82.0	15	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving math Percent of students receiving language arts	J4.6 7 J	23	33.6 17	2B	1982-83 1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving language arts Percent of students receiving English for limited- English-ptoficient	7,4	21 15	2.7	زز 14	1982-83 1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition			-	-		
services Percent of students receiving attendance and	7.4	19		NA	1982-83	
guidance services	13.0	20	U.7	35	1982-83	-
tle 1/Chapter 1 Program Char cteristics						
Number of fitle I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	494	48	369	46	198 <b>2-8</b> 3	-25.3
Number of FTE teachers	129	48	103	47	1982-83	-20.4
Number of FTE aldes	323	41	222	42	1982-93	-31.3
Number of FTE administrative staff	12	41	12	40	1982-83	U
Title 1/Chapter 1 pupil/staff cario	24.6	31	23.5	15	1982-83 1987-83	-4.
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure Instructional settings: It is not known if pullouts predominate: SEA does not encourage or discourage them		19	5770	10	1982-83	30.5
them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: Hone repurted by SEA					1984-85	

ERIC.

#### Delaware (continued)

-	1979-80		Most decent feat			
	Amount	Kank	Amount	Rank	Year	Percent Change
ate_Guaracteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	16	49	19	48	1982-63	18.8
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$2,722	6	\$3,354	ιv	1982-91	23.2
1979-80 dollars Enroliment in public elementary and secondary			\$2,48L	10	1982-83	-8.9
schools Enrollment in compublic elementary and secondary	104,035	48	92.046	48	1982-80	-10.9
schools			23, 374	32	1980-81	
Fercent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			19.0	L	LAQA-91	
and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously			19,022	35	1980-81	
affiliated schools fotal enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			81.4 122,777	28 47	1980-81 1980-81	
Per capita income	\$9,015	10	\$13,545	11	1900-01	50.2
RIS tax capacity index	110	91 91	115	11.)ť	1962	1016
ident Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142 Number of handicapped children served under	rt'alo	42	11,245	40	1982-83	-5.0
P.L. 89-313 Percent of total school enrollment served by	2,524	26	3,160	19	1982-83	25.2
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			11.7	2	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limit "-English- proficient			V.5	24	1980	
School enroliment by race						
Percent White			76.9	34	1960	
Percent Black Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			21.0	11	1980 1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Algot			0.9	16 33	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			0.3 2.3	26	1980	
reitent of school enfoltment Of Spanish ofigin			د. ۲	49	T.20Ú	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAS

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children In Poverty
6.3	0 - 10
93.8	11 - 20
U	21 ~ 30
U	31 - 40
ď	40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment. Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAS

18.2 Red Clay Consolidated (Wilmington)

b3.9 Red Clay Consolidated (Wilmington), Christina (Newark), Brandywine, Colonial (New Castle), Cuesar Rodney



Delaware (continued)

### Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 1-8, 11 Expected uses: high school graduation First graduating class assessed: 1981

School improvement programs

Delaware has two large school improvement programs: (1) the Educational Accountability System, which involves student achievement, needs assessment and program improvement and (2) the Goal-Directed and Performance-Based Instruction plan. Both programs require all districts to submit plans. The State also sponsors effective schools projects.

#### Teacher reforms

ļ

A career ladder with incentives for the best teachers is under consideration. Prospective educators must pass  $\alpha$  basic-skills test.

ERIC

65

	1979-80		Most Recent Year			
	Amount	Rank	Amount	dank	Year	Percent Change
Itle 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$112,n84,114	7	\$151,035,173	6	1984-85	34,6
fotol LEA grants	\$88,726,740	7	\$123,801,127	υ	1984-85	39.5
Cotal UEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$63,260,828	1	1982-83	-28.
			\$85,734,852	6	1984-85	-3.
State administration set aside	\$1,565,281	1	\$1,421,335	6	1984-do	-14.
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$24,855	4	\$21,217	4	1984-85	-14.
LEA child count for formula purposes	307,318	9	JJ2, J86	5	1984-92	<b>ö</b> .
ttle 1/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	157,923	g	143,291	6	1982-83	-9.
Number of participants K-b	139,990	ć	.10,013	5	1982-83	-14.
Number of participants 7-12	14,787	24	22,418	11	1982-63	>1.
Number of nonpublic participants	4, 390	13	3, 573	14	1982-83	-18.
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	,		,			
in Title 1/Chapter 1	(not a vilable)		2.9	j.,	1980-81	-
Number of public participants	153.533	y	139,718	b	1962-03	-9.
Percent of all public school students served in			,			
Title I/Chapter 1	.0.2	25	9.4	21	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving reading	0. ئى	17	JU.2	23	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving math	31.9	34	ردا.	23	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving language arts	1.4	38	2.5	35	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	A17	-				
English-proficient	0	115	0.2	25	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	Ť		010		1702 00	
services	1.9	27	6.3	23	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving attendance and		-,	0.5		1700 00	
guidance services	T0.B	26	4.0	23	1982-83	-
tle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title 1/Chapter 1 FFE staff members	<b>ن</b> ائ,	¢	4,794	1	1982-83	-29.
Number of FTE teachers	2,610	3	1,919	13	1982-83	-26.
Number of FTE aides	3, 304	b	2,408	5	1982-83	-25.
Number of FTE administrative staff	77	22	67	21	1982-83	-13.0
Title 1/Chapter 1 pupil/stalf ratio	23.2	24	29.9	32	1982-83	28.1
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expendituve	\$5 <b>62</b>	22	\$547	28	1982-83	6.,
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in						
LEAs but the SEA discourage						
thems them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: Yes					1984-85	



.

₿<sub>0</sub>

#### Florida (continued)

	1979-80		Most Recent Year			
	Amount	flauk	Amoun <b>t</b>	Капк	Year	Percent Unange
tate Charactetistles						
Number of operating LEAs	67	ΨŪ	67	40	1982-83	Ű
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$1,793	39	\$2,579	35	1982-83	43.8
1979-80 dollars			\$1,908	ςt	1982-83	6.4
Enrollment in Public elementary and secondary schools	L, 508, 337	в	1,484,734	8	1982-83	-1.0
<pre>Entollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools</pre>			204,988	8	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			12.0	16	1980-81	
and secondary schools			154,904	Ч	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously affiliated schools			75.6	35	1980-81	
Fotal encollment in elementary and secondary schools			1,715,213	8	1980-8L	
Per capita income	\$8,257	28	\$12,553	22	1984	52.0
RIS tax capacity index	tuo	26 F	104	18	1982	
tudent <u>Characteristics</u>						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142 Number of handicapped children served under	129, 552	y	147,507	đ	1982-83	13.4
P.L. 89-313	7,411	Ŷ	8,042	в	1982-83	8.5
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			8.4	36	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			1.3	11	1980	
School enrollment by race						
Percent White			76,8	35	1980	
Perceut Black			20.7	12	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			Ú.8	21	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Alcut			0,3	34	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			9.6	8	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			17.7	16	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children In Poverty
0	0 - 10
41.B	11 - 20
44.8	21 - 30
11.9	31 - 40
1.5	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest euroliment Forcent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAS

17.6 Dade County (Miami)

43.9 Dade County (Miami), Broward County (Fort Lauderdale), Hillsborough County (fampa), Duval County (Jacksonville), Pineilas County (Clearwater)



97

Florida (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Allocations

1979-80	\$28.5 million
1984-85	\$34.4 million
1984-85 allocation in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$23.8 million

SCE funds as a proportion of Federal funds

1979-80	25.3%
1984-85	22.7%

Funding approach: LEAs are entitled to funds on the basis of the number of students scoring at or below the 25th percentile on the State Student Assessment Tests.

Targeting approach: State Student Assessment Test scores are used to identify participants and those "at risk".

Number of students served

1979-80	Not available
1983-84	261,458

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at both the State and local levels Grade assessed: 3, 5, 8, 11 Expected uses: grade promotion, high school graduation, early exit First graduating class assessed: 1983

90

FRĬC

\$0

## Florida (continued)

### School improvement programs

In Florida, State funds are available for school-based management projects, which include planning at both the school and district levels. A program for grades K-3 provides staff development, the reduction of class size, and prescriptive teaching. All participants are assessed for potential learning problems and instructional strategies are developed to address these problems. The State does not sponsor any effective schools projects.

### Teacher reforms

The Florida legislature has established a two-phase merit pay plan which (1) authorizes districts and unions to negotiate plans for personnel in meritorious schools selected on indicators such as attendance, test scores, and participation in extracurricular activities and (2) establishes a statewide plan for individual teachers who must pass a test in their subject area and a performance evaluation. State officials expect continued conflict with unions regarding merit pay plans. For initial certification, a written competency exam and a performance evaluation are required.

	1979-80 	)	Most Recent Tear			
	Amount	Rank	Απουπτ	Rank	(eat	Percen Change
Itle I/Chapter 1 Allocation Dota						
Total allocation	\$81.459.618	n	\$35,869,403	Ц	1984-85	2.5
Total LEA grants	\$78,078,795	11	\$79,728,053	11	1984-80	2.1
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$53,723,245	12	1982-83	-31.2
			\$55,213,333	11	1984-05	-29.,
State administration set aside	\$1 233.392	11	3805,001	11	1984-85	- 14
State administration set asile per operating LEA	\$1,233,992 \$6,596	15	\$4,305	16	1984-85	~34.3
	297,540	10	250,735	y	1984-65	-15.7
LEA culld count for formula putposes	8-77, JHG	10	250,755	J	1794 03	4.2.1
tle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data	•					
Total number of participants	159.645	8	113,946	ذ1	1982-83	-28,0
Number of participants K-6	113.894	12	85,788	14	1982-83	~24
Number of participants 7-12	45,372	6	28,185	8	1982-83	~37.5
Number of nonpublic participants	1,116	26	213	42	1982-83	-75.5
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	-1					
In Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		2-1	4U	1980-81	-
Number of public participants	158,530	6	113,073	ĨŽ	1582-83	-28.
Percent of all public school students setved in	1001000	v	123,013	7.0		
Title I/Chapter 1	14.7	10	10.8	12	1982-83	
		26	79.2		1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	80.0			27	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	55.6	7	58.0	6		
Percent of students receiving language arts	U	NA	2.7	32	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-						
English-proficient	2.5	11	0	NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition						
services	2.1	26	U	NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and						
guidance services	U	NA	Û	на	1982-83	
tle L/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	6,138	Ð	9,366	3	1982-03	52.6
Number of FTE teachers	3,193	Š	2,385	10	1962-83	-25,
Number of FTE aldes	2,608	13	3,237	4	1982-83	24.1
	13I		117	* 9	1982-83	-10.7
Number of FTE administrative staff		10	12.2		1982-83	-53.j
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	26.0 tran	37		1	1982-83	30.3
Title 1/Chapter i average per pupil expenditure	\$489	37	\$0.J7	25	7304-01	JUL
Instructional settings: The extent of pullout is						
unknown					1984-8>	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-85	

COURGIA

<u>``</u>

1(2



#### Georgia (continued)

	1979-	HU	Most Recent Year			
	Amount	Rank	Amount	dank	fear	Percent Change
tate Characteristics						
Number of operating LKAs	167	26.51	187	26.51	1982-83	U
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$1,490	48	\$2,000	44	1982-83	34.2
1979-80 dollars			\$1,479	44	1982-83	-0.7
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	1,078.462	12	1,053,689	Ш	1982-83	-2.3
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			82,505	18	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in monpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			7.2	35	1980-81	
and secondary schools			38,052	23	1980-8 <b>1</b>	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously affiliated schools			45.1	50	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			1,151,242	13	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$7,377	38	\$11,441	35	1984	55.1
RES tax capacity index	81	45.51	84	42.50	1962	
tudent Characteristics						
Number of Handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	99,229	12	109,674	12	1982-83	10.5
Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 89-313	2,618	25	2,881	25	1982-83	10,0
Percent of total achool enrollment served by						-
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-			9.7	20	1980-81	
proficlent			0.2	41	1980	
School enrollment by race Percent White			67.U	46	1980	
Percent Black			32.2	5	1980	
Percent Aslap and Pacific Islander			0.4	38	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.2	44	1980	
Percent of school enroliment of Spanish origin			1.2	35	1980	
Percent of shildren aged 5-17 in poverty			20.5	9	<b>1</b> 980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
<b>6.</b> 4 25.1	0 - 10 11 - 20
36.9	21 - 30
25.1	31 - 40
6.4	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 3.2 DeKalb County (Decatur)

24.7 DeKalb County (Decatur), Altanta Ulty. Cobb County (Marietta), Chatham County (Savannah), Fultum County, (Altanta)



103 80

Georgia (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Allocations

1979-89	\$12.7 million
1984-85	\$18.1 million
1984-85 allocation in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$12.5 million

SCE funds as a proportion of federal funds

1979-80	15.2%
1984-85	21.1%

Funding approach: formula based on the number of 4th and 8th grade students failing to achieve a certain score on the reading and/or math portions of the State Criterion Referenced Test plus the number of students failing to achieve a certain score on the 10th grade Basic Skills Test (exit exam).

Targeting approach: for grades 1-8, an iEA needs assessment is used to identify students who need special help to achieve at age level; for grades 9-12, participants are those falling the Basic Skills Test (exit exam).

Number of students served

1979-80	158,000
1983-84	Reading: 53,107
	Math: 43,667 (duplicated counts)

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 1-4, 6, 8, 10+ Expected uses: high school graduation First graduating class assessed: 1985

105



## Georgia (continued)

School improvement programs

Systematic planning at the local level is the thrust of the Georgia Educational Improvement Program. The program is a local responsibility, but technical assistance is available from the SEA. There are no State-sponsored effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

A career ladder plan is under consideration. New teachers must pass a subject area test and on-the-job assessment before receiving permanent certification.

ERIC

Hawaii (Continued)	Hawail		· · · ·			
	 L979-HI	j				
	Amount	Rank	Annunt	Kank	ĭcar	Percent Change
Title 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation Total LEA grants Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$11,890,296 \$10,954,755	3R 3à	\$10,254,875 \$9,005,344 \$5,296,314 \$5,51,900	44 42 43 42	1984-85 1984-85 1982-83 1984-85	-13.7 -12.3 -51.7 -39.3
State administration set aside State administration set aside per operating LEA LEA child count for formula purposes	\$225,000 \$225,000 29,046	44.51 2 41		43.51 1.51 43		0 -19.8
Fitle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants Number of parricipants K-6	15,627 8,413 7,087	42 44 35	10,278 5,393 4,685	45 48 34	1982-83 1982-83 1982-83	-34.2 -33.5 -33.9
Number of participants 7-12 Number of nonpublic participants Percent of all nonpublic school students served	\$25	43 43	234	45	1982-83	-28.2
in Title 1/Chapter 1 Number of public participants ' Percent of all public school students served in	(not available) 15.301	42	U.9 10,044	48 44	1982-81 1982-83	-34,4
Title I/Chapter 1 Percent of students receiving reading	9.1 94.9 5.1	32 2 51	ь.2 95.1 4,9	44 1 51	1982-83 1982-83 1982-83	 
Percent of students receiving math Percent of students receiving language arts Percent of students receiving English for limited-	0	NA		NA	1982-83	- <b>-</b>
English-proficient Percent of students receiving bealth and nutrition services	U U	NA		NA NA	1982-83 1982-83	
services Percent of students receiving atrendance and guidance services	Ŭ	NA NA		NA	1982-8J	
Title 1/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	552	46	294	48	1982-83	-46.7
Number of FTE teachers Number of FTE aides Number of FTE administrarive staff	355 194 3	38 45 47	206 32 4	41 51 46	1982-83 1982-83 1982-83	-42.0 -83.5 33,3
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	28.3 \$701	44 9	35.0 \$697	47 18	1982-83 1982-83	23.7 -0.6
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LEAs and the SEA encourages them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SFA					1984-85	



lawsii (Continued)	Hawaii					
	1979-80		Most Recent Year			
	Αποιιητ	Hauk	Amount	Bank	Үелт	Percent Change
tate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	l	50.50	1	50.51	1982-83	V
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$2.241	21	\$J,182	16	1982-43	42.0
1979-80 dollars			\$2,354	10	1982-83	5.(
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	164,650	41	162,024	40	1982-83	-3.9
Enroliment in nonpublic elementary and secondary			37,147	30	1980~81	
schools Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools			18.4	2	1980-81	
Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools			23,981	32	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously				ر و	ولل الالدارة	
affiliated schools			64.6	43 40	1960-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools	\$9,141	14	202,215 \$12,761	40	1980-81 1984	39.6
Per capita income RTS tax capacity index	۲۹۲, ۶۹ 103	211	117. IL7	7.51	1962	57.0
udent Characteristics	10-	•••				
Number of handicapped children served under			12.141		Likity D.3	15.0
P.L. 94-142	10,501	44	12,161	45	L982-83	17.1
Number of handicapped children served under F.L. 89-313	B81	43	715	42	1982-83	-18.5
Percent of total school enroliment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			5.9	50 ·	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 ilmited-English- proficient			2.1	4	<b>198</b> 0	
School enrollment by race			1. F	<b>F</b> 15	10.00	
Percent White			30.5	50 42	1980	
Percent Black			1.3	4Z 1	1980 1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			65,1	1 35	1980 1980	
Percept American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0,3 10,2	دد 7	1980 1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			10.2	36	1990 1990	
Percent of children aged S-17 in poverty Distribution of children in poverty actoss LEAs			111,	~~	2904	

Distribution of children in poverty actoss LEAs

	Percent of Children
Percent of Districts	in Poverty

Not applicable

Percent poor children in State in schools with largest enrollment. Not applicable Percent poor children in State in 4 largest schools. Not applicable



Hawaii (continued)

Hawaii

## Other State Programs

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 3, 9-12 Expected uses: high school graduation, remediation First graduating class assessed: 1983

School improvement programs

The State of Hawaii does not have a school planning requirement nor does it sponsor any effective schools projects. Under the Early Provisions for School Success, all Kindergarten students are formaily assessed and their instructional programs are individualized.

#### Teacher reforms

A career ladder or merit pay program is under consideration. The State does not require testing for initial certification but such a requirement is being considered.

91

11.



	Idaho				_	
<u> </u>	1979-8	()	Most R			
	Amaint	Rank	Amount	Калк	Yeat	Percent Chinge
Title L/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$9,981,512	44	\$12,559,147	H	1984-85	25.8
fotal LEA grants	\$6,579,805	410	\$8,023,442	44	1984-85	31.1
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	00,317,007		\$5.165,559	44	1982-81	-21,5
total mar grants in adjusten 1977 og norrata			65,971.913	44	1944-85	-9.2
Conversion and a second s	\$225,000	44.51		43.31	1984-dj	U
State administration set aside	\$1,957	35	\$1,957	29	1984-85	U
State administration set aside per operating LEA					-	_
LEA child count for formula purposes	24,467	45	27,537	40	1984-dő	12.5
Title 1/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
fotal number of participants	16,197	41	13,498	40	[982-83	-13.6
Number of participants K-6	11.988	40	11,154	40	1982-03	-7.0
Number of participants 7-12	4,200	41	2,733	42	1982-83	-35.0
· · ·	4,200	40	129	45	1982-83	-31.0
Number of nonpublic participants	107	40	147	40	(392-00	51.0
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	Lo a costables		3.9	21	1980-81	
in Title 1/Chapter 1	(not available)	40	L3,869	4U	1900-01 1902-01	-13.4
Number of public participants	16.010	40	L J1 097	40	1901-01	-12.4
Percent of all public school students served in	7	10	6.0		100	
Title I/Chapter 1	7.9	38	6.8	40	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	81.0	22	80.2	22	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	32.9	29	30.1	32	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	b, 4	22	7.2	23	1982-03	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-						
English-proficient	0.5	21	1.1	16	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition						
services	U	NA	0.1	27	1982-83	<b>_</b>
Percept of students receiving attendance and						
guidance services	0.8	38	0.1	38	1982-83	
Title I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	817	40	548	40	1982-83	-32.9
Number of FIE teachers	225	44	202	42	1982-83	-10.2
Number of FTE aides	341	39	215	40	1982-83	-19.4
Number of FIE administrative staff	25	35	17	37	1982-83	-32.0
Number of the administrative state Title 1/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	25 19,8	35 10	25.5	22	1982-83	28.8
fitle [/Chapter ] average per pupil expenditure	\$405	48	<b>\$</b> 499	44	1982-83	22.9
Instructional settings: Fullouts predominate in						
LEAs and the SFA encourages them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SFA					1984-85	

1:0



aho (continued)	Idaho			_	_	
	1979-		Most Recent Year			
	Amount	र्दताल -	Aansat	Kank	Year	Percer Change
ate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	115	Ji	115	37	1982-83	U
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$1,584	45	\$L,994	40	1982-н3	25.
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$1,475	45	1982-83	-b.
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary						
schools	202,758	<del>1</del> 8	202,973	39	Ta85-83	Ű.
Enrollment in Nonpublic elementary and secondary			5,839	413	1980-01	
schools Percent of total enrollment in monpublic schools			2.8	49	1980-81	
Encollment in religiously affiliated elementary						
and secondary schools			5,462	4]	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously				6	1000-01	
affiliated schools			93.5 209,086	59 39	1980-81 1980-al	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools Per capita income	\$7,375	34	\$10,174	45	1984	38.
RIS tax capacity index	91	37.50	86	40.51	1982	50.
Number of handlcapped children served under P.L. 94-142	17,491	39	17,260	40	1982-83	-1.
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 89-313	575	45	413	51	1982-83	-28.
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			8.1	40	1980 <b>-8</b> 1	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-						
proficient			U. 5	21	1980	
School encollment by race			nr 1	7	Lato	
Percent White			95.1	7 50	1980 1980	
Percent Black Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.3 0.7	20	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			1.5	13	1980	
Percent of school enroliment of Spanish origin			4.9	15	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			13.4	26	1980	
Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs						
Percent of Children						
Percent of Districts 1a Poverty						
Z1.1 0 - 10						
57.0 11 - 20						
14.9 $21 - 30$						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs  $\$ 

7.8 Boise City Independent

20.4 Boise City Independent, Pocatello 25, Meridian, Idaho Falls 91, Goeur D Alene



r

Idaho (continued)

Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 8-12 Expected uses: remediation First graduating class assessed: 1982

School improvement programs

Idaho has weither State-sponsored effective schools projects nor a district or school planning requirement.

Teacher reforms

In April 1984, the State legislature allocated \$100,000 for the development of a pilot career ladder program, guidelines for which the State Board is developing. Idaho does not require testing for the initial certification of teachers.

11



## TLLINOIS

	1979-80	}	Most R	Most Recent Year		
	Amonut	8.nnk	Amount	Клик	Year	Percent Clange
fitle 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$144,310,048	4	\$172,757,130	4	1984-85	19.7
Total LEA grants	\$121,813,172	5	\$146,758,334	4	1984-05	20.5
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$89,021,041	4	1982-83	-20.9
			\$101,633,190	4	1984-85	-16.0
State administration set aside	\$2,132,060	4	\$1,019,048	4	1984-85	-14.1
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$2,109	32	\$1,607	.34	1984-85	-23.8
LEA child count for formula Purposes	331,981	5	342,198	4	1984-85	<b>J.</b> 1
Title 1/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	166,239	6	135,051	g	1982-83	-18.8
Number of participants K-6	117,880	y	94,839	11	1982-83	-19.5
Number of participants 7-12	42,724	7	34, 047	1	1982-83	-20.3
Number of nonpublic participants	8,036	6	6,850	t	1982 <b>-</b> 6 <b>3</b>	-14.8
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	·		,			
In Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		2.3	37	1980-81	
Number of public participants	158,203	1	128,201	10	1982-83	-17.0
Percent of all public school students served in						
Title I/Chaptet I	7.7	43	6.8	41	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading;	85.1	15	80.5	21	1982-83	
Percent of students tecelving math	32.6	30	30.7	31	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	4.4	25	6,0	24	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-						
English-proficient	1.1	16	1.8	13	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition						
Bervices	υ	NA	1.0	21	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and						
guldance services	15.7	15	12.7	14	1982-83	
Title I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Numbet of Title I/Chapter I FIE staff members	5,934	L 2	.4, 389	9	1982-83	-26.0
Number of FTE teachers	3,477	4	2,850	5	1982-83	-18.0
Number of FTE aldes	1,539	20	157	23	1982-83	-50.8
Number of FTE administrative staff	127	13	211	3	1982-83	66.1
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	28.0	43	8.VE	Зъ	1982-83	10.0
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$7.33	7	\$8AT	ال	TAR5-83	21.6
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate In						
LEAs and the SEA encourages them to certain						
situations					1984-85	
					1664-04	
Schoolwlde projects: Yes					1984-85	





# Illinois (continued)

	1979-80		Most Recent Year			
	Amount	Kank	Amount	Канк	fear	Percent Change
tate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	1,011	4	L,008	£	1982-53	-0,3
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$2,482	11	\$J,U1L	21	1982-83	21.3
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$2,227	21	1982-83	-10.3
Encollment in public elementary and secondary						
schools	2,043,239	4	1,880,289	4	1982-83	-8.0
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and Secondary			149,463	4	1840-81	
schools Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools			15.0	10	1980-91	
Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary						
and secondary schools			323,681	4	Tag0-91	
Percent of nonpublic entollment in teligiously			92.6	9	1980-81	
afillated schools fotal enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			2, 332, 926	4	1980-61	
Per capita income	\$9.741	7	\$13,728	10	1964	40.9
RTS tax capacity index	112	}	99	24 ľ	1982	
udent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	219,103	٤	223, 361	4	1982-83	1.9
Number of handlcapped children served under			10 . at		1.0003_0.1	22.5
P.L. 89-313	31,360	1	38,408	1	1982-83	22.0
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			10.6	11	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-						
proficient			1.4	9	1980	
School enrollment by tace			76.4	36	1980	
Percept White Percent Black			18.8	14	1980	
Percent Aslan and Pacific Islander			1.5	11	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskiwo/Aleut			U.2	42	1990	
Percent of school entollment of Spanish origin			6,9	11	1980	
Petcent of chlldren aged 5-17 in poverty			14.1	23	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
64.1	0 - 10
30.9	11 - 20
4.1	21 - 30
0.3	31 - 40
0.ь	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 46.9 City of Chicago

49.6 City of Chicago, Township High 214 (Nr. Prospect), Township High 21 (Palatine), Rocktord, Thornton Township High



Illinois (continued)

### Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the local level Grades assessed: decided at the local level Expected uses: decided at the local level First graduating class assessed: varies at the local level

School improvement programs

School improvement activities in Illinois include the encouragement of systematic planning at the local level. The State does not sponsor any effective schools projects. The state Board of Education has approved learning outcome requirements called Statements for Student Learning. These outcome statements broadly define the least students should learn and be able to do as a result of their schooling in six academic areas. Each school district will be required to develop objectives consistent with the outcome statements and to monitor and assess student achievement. Schools will be required to report the progress of their students to the public and to develop remediation plans for low achievement areas. The program requires legislative action before implementation.

### Teacher reforms

The legislature has approved \$637,500 for a master teacher program. The illinois Education Association has said that it will support an "equitable" career ladder bill. Testing for the initial certification of teachers is under consideration.

1:0



	INDIANA					
	1979-b0		Host Recent Year			
	Amount	Rank	Amount	สอบห	Yeat	Percent Change
Title 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$41,665,884	25	\$50,287,315	21	1984-85	20.7
Total LEA grants	\$35,850,793	25	\$44,005,670	22	1984-85	24.4
fotal LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	,		\$25,969,223	24	1982-83	-27.6
ICLAI IMA GLERIO IN REJECTA INTO VE MICLEIN			\$30,890,353	22	1984-85	-13.8
State administration set aside	\$615,767	26	\$471,429	21	1984-05	-23,4
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$2,026	35	\$1,551	35	1984-85	-23.4
LEA child count for formula purposes	130,742	22	131,160	21	1984-85	0.3
	Lating		121,400	61	1.0.0	013
Title I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	104,424	18	92,92 <sup>9</sup>	17	1982-83	-11.0
Number of participants K-6	88,149	18	83,854	16	1982-83	-4.9
Number of participants 7-12	14,507	25	8,409	28	1982-83	-42.0
Number of nonpublic participants	3,655	15	3, 389	٤1	1982-83	6.4
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	•,•••		.,			- • ·
in Title 1/Chapter 1	(unt available)		3.1	25	1980-81	
Number of public participants	100.769	۲۹	89,038	17	1982-83	-11.5
Percent of all public school students served in	100,707		11430	•	1001 01	
Title I/Chapter 1	9.3	29	8.9	23	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	91.5	Ĵ	85.0	L1	1982-63	
Percent of students receiving math	20.8	40	30.0	33	1982-83	<b>-</b> -
Percent of students receiving wath Percent of students receiving language arts	2.7	35	1.9	36	1982-83	
	£,'		1.7	50	1702 05	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	0	NA		AA	1982-83	
English-proficient	U	un		114	#307-01	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition		2.9		20		
services	2.2	32	1.0	20	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and		• "				
guidance services	8.8	29	3.8	24	1982-83	
fitle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	4,019	20	3,075	19	1982-83	-23.5
Number of FIE teachers	767	28	525	33	1982-83	-31.0
Number of FTE aldes	2,620	12	2,378	Ó	1982-83	-9.2
Number of FTE administrative staff	74	2J	41	27	1982-83	-44.0
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	2 <b>0</b> .0	Зө	30.2	35	1982-83	16.2
fitle i/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$343	50	\$378	50	1982-83	10.2
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LEAs and the SEA encourages them					1984-85	
-						
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-92	



#### Indiana (continued)

	L479-AU		Most Recent Tear			
	Amuant	Rank	Amoust	Rank	Year	Pe <b>ccent</b> Change
tate <u>Characteristics</u>						
Number of operating LEAs	304	20	304	20	1982-83	ΰ
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$1,847	32	62,368	41	1982-83	28.2
1979-80 dollars			\$1,7SL	41	L982-83	-5,2
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	1,083,826	11	999,542	12	1982-83	-7.8
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			100,234	15	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			8.7	25	1980-81	
and secondary schools			92,801	14	1980-01	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously affiliated schools			92.6	10	1980-81	
Intal enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			: 155,823	12	1980-81	(1.3
Per capita income RIS tax capacity index	\$8.353 98	27 29	\$11,799 89	31 301	1984 1982	41.3
	70	23	10	501	27.72	
udent Characteristics						
humber of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	92,072	14	92.965	16	1982-83	1.0
Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 89-313	6,746	10	7,263	9	1982~83	7.7
Percent of total school enrollment served by		10	,,	•	1/02 /2	
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			8.6	35	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 lisited-inglish- proficient			0.3	32	1980	
School entailment by face			89.2	20	1980	
Percent White Percent Black			9,4	20	1960	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.5	35	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.2	43	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			2.1	27	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			11.0	39	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
58.5	0 - 10
38.5	11 - 20
2,7	21 - 30
0.3	31 - 40
Ų	. 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs

13.5 Indlanapolls

31.1 Indianahalis, Fort Wayne, Gary, South Bend, Evansville-Vanderburgh



12,1

Indiana (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Program will begin in the 1985-86 school year.

Funding approach: sufficient funds to remediate 15 percent of students "in need" in each LEA; estimated at a per pupil amount of \$200.

Targeting approach: focus on students in grades 3, 6, and one other grade to be determined; cut-off test scores have not yet been decided

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the local level Grades assessed: 3, 6, 8, 10 Expected uses: remediation First graduating class assessed: not available

School improvement programs

There are no State-sponsored effective schools projects in Indiana. The State does not require district or school planning.

Teacher reforms

A career ladder program is under consideration. Teachers who are new or transferring from out-of-state must pass basic skills and subject area tests for certification.

	TUWA					
	1979-BD		Most Recent feat			
	Asount	Rank	Amount	d.unk	fear	Petcont Chaoge
Title I/Chapter I Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$26,995,785	٤٤	\$28,073,200	J4	1984-85	4.0
Total LEA Brants	\$25,292,955	 1L	626,356.386	ا ا	1984-85	6.2
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	063,232,733	21	\$17,697,112	10	1982-83	-30.0
total rea granes in Anjoaced 1979-on polisis					1984-35	-26.5
<b>A</b> ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (	de based and the	11	\$18,598.005	34		ر.02- 14.U
State administration set aside	\$398,952	31	\$203,178		1984-65	+
State administration set as lie per operating LEA	\$897	47	\$597	49	1984-85	-33.4
LEA child count for formula purposes	76,730	31	64,080	11	<b>198</b> 4-85	-15.7
Title 1/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
	66 6117	31	40,225	29	1982-83	-13.7
Total number of patticipants	46,607	-		29	1982-83 1982-83	-1.7
Number of participants K-6	37,417	11	34,547			
Number of participants 7-12	8,518	31	5,201	32	1982-83	- 38. 9
Number of nonpublic participants	9,918	15	3.204	15	1982-83	-16.6
Percent of all nonpublic school students served						
in Title I/Chapter i	(not available)		o.7	6	18-0861	
Number of public participants	42,088	32	36,956	29	1982-83	-13.4
Percent of all public school students served in						
Title I/Chapter 1	7,8	42	7.3	35	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	86.3	12	86.3	8	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	18.4	41	40.9	15	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	U.3	43		NA	·982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	0.0					
	0.3	24		NA	1952-83	
English-proficient	U, J	24	-	na Na	1702-03	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	· • • •	11			1012 03	
Services	2.4	24		NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and					10.00.00	
guidance services	0.9	37	<del>~</del> -	NA	1982-83	
<u>Fitle 1/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics</u>						
Number of Title Tichaster 1 PPP staff workers	1 055	30	1,174	34	1982-83	-39,9
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	1,955		,			-39,9
Number of FTE teachers	1,588	22	1,063	23	1982-83	
Number of FTE aides	231	43	89	46	1982-83	-bl.S
Number of FTE administrative staff	U	NA	0	NA	1982-83	
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	23.8	27	34.2	45	1982-83	43.7
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$543	29	\$595	29	1982-03	9,6
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage						
them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SFA					1984-85	

124



ļ

LUI

### Iowa (continued)

	1979-80		Most Recent Year			
	Amount	Kank	Amount	Калк	Year	Percent Change
te Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	445	13	441	13	1982-83	-0.9
Overall average per pupil expenditure	82,253	20	\$3,032	19	1982-8 <b>3</b>	34.6
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$2.243	19	1982-83	-0.4
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	248, 317	28	504,983	28	1982-83	-7.9
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary			55,227	25	1980-81	
schools Percent of total eprollment in nonpublic schools			9.4	24	1980-81	
Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools			53,885	19	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously affiliated schools			97.0	Ł	1580-91	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			589,084	27	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$8,689	20	\$12,090	70	1984	39.1
RfS tax capacity index	108	14.5f	96	21.50	1982	
lent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under	<b>F</b> 0 (40)		ct 130	22	1982-83	-4.1
P.L. 94-142	58,010	26	55,329	27	7105-03	-4,
Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 89-313	959	41	780	41	1982-83	-18.
Percent of total school enroliment served by	,,,,			~		
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			10.4	15	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-						
proficient			0.3	35	1980	
School enrollment by race			_			
Percent White			90.7	4	1980	
Percent Black			1.9	39	1990	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.6	29	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskino/Aleut			0.3	30	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			1.3	34	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			10.8	41r	T290	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Chlidren In Poverty
40.4	0 - 10
44.7	11 - 20
12.2	21 - 30
2.5	31 - 40
0.2	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 7.4 Des Moines Independent

19.2 Des Holnes ludependent, Davenport, Cedar Rapids, Dubuque, Waterlou



125

.

Iowa (continued)

Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No (rogram

Minimum competency testing

No progam established at the State level

School improvement programs

The State requires each district to determine education needs, develop long range plans and priorities, update these plans annually, and maintain a record of progress. There are no State-sponsored effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

A career ladder plan is being considered. A new certification system has been established requiring teachers to pass basic skills and subject area tests.

ERIC

	KANSAS				<u>.</u>	
<u> </u>	]¥79-K(			ecent fe		
	λποιιπτ	<u>R</u> ank	Amount	клик	Year	Percent Change
Title I/Chapter 1 Allocation Data	-					
Total allocation	\$23,012,446	35	\$25, 108, 241	35	1984-85	9.1
Total LEA grants	\$20,318,289	35	\$21,276,435	35	1984-85	4.7
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	· ·		\$14,260,569	35	1982-83	-29,8
			\$14,734,373	35	1984-85	-27.5
itate administration set aside	\$340,085	35	\$235, 382	دز	1984-85	- 31 <b>9 - B</b>
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$1,108	44	\$769	47	1984-85	-30.6
LEA child count for formula purposes	66,499	34	49,593	35	1984-85	-25.4
Title 1/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	40,084	33	38,493	30	1982-83	-5.8
Number of participants K-b	30,835	3J	29,000	JU	1982-83	-4.1
Number of participants 7-12	9,315	31	8,354	29	1982-83	-10.3
Number of nonpublic participants	2,143	20	1,557	20	1982-83	-27.3
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	· • • •		•			
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		5.7	11	1980-81	
Number of public participants	38,741	34	16,936	30	1982-83	-4.7
Percent of all public school students served in						
Title I/Chapter 1	9.2	30	9.1	22	1982-33	
Percent of students receiving reading	13.9	37	70.8	42	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	34.7	22	34.7	25	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	U	NA	4.0	28	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-						
English-proficient	0	NA	0.6	20	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition						
services	0	NA		NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and		-				
guidance services	0.4	41	0.5	37	1982-83	
fitle i/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FIE staff members	1,497	35	1,188	33	1982-83	-20.6
Number of FTE teachers	855	27	684	28	1982-83	-20.0
Number of FIE aides	547	35	417	35	1982-83	-23.8
Number of FTE administrative staff	43	30	26	34	1982-83	-39.5
fitle [/Chapter ] pupil/staff ratio	27.3	40	32.4	39	1982-83	18.7
fitle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$497	36	\$501	42	1982-83	0.8
instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LEAs and the SEA encourages them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: Not available					1984-85	



### Kansas (continued)

	1979-	4U	Most Recent Year			
	Amount	Капк	Amount	Каяк	Year	Percent Change
tate Chatacteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	307	19	306	19	1982-8J	-0.3
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$2,098	73	\$2,989	23	1982-83	42.3
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$2,211	23	1982-83	5.4
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary			-			- •
schools	422,924	33	407,074	11	1982-83	-3.7
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			13,889	32	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools			7.5	50	1840-81	
Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools			30, 375	27	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously affiliated schools			89,6	15	1980-81	
fotal encolment in elementary and secondary schools			449,180	٤ ا	780-81	
Per capita income	\$9,145	[]	\$13, J19	12	1984	45,6
RfS tax capacity index	109	124	106	10.51	1982	
udent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	36,784	33	42,227	31	1982-83	14.8
Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 89-313	1,949	UE	1,932	30	1982-83	-0.9
Percent of total school enrollment served by	-,	•••	·	• -		
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			9.1	30	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			U.5	23	1980	
School enrollment by face		,				
Percent White			89.8	19	1980	
Percent Black			6.8	27	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.9	17	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.9	20	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			3.7	17	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			10.7	43	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
40.4	0 - 10
47.9	11 - 20
10.8	21 - 30
1.0	31 - 40
0	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest encollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAS 14.6 Wichita 209
34.6 Wichita 259, Shawnee Mission 51, Kansas City 50, Topeka 501, Dilathe 233



129 105

Kansas (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

The 1985 session of the State legislature is considering a program.

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grides assessed: 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 Expected uses: decided at the local level First graduating class assessed: not available

School improvement programs

Beginning September 1985, districts must report their educational goals and curriculum objectives. There are no State-supported effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

The SEA is required to implement a master teacher program by 1989. Certification tests are required for prospective teachers.



	Kentucky					
	1979-HU		Most #	st Secont Year		
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Kank	Year	Percen Unange
itle_1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$62.163,832	<b>1</b> B	\$58,117,700	18	1984-85	-0.5
Iotal LEA grants	\$55,719,658	17	\$52,880,352	18	1984-85	-5.L
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	10011271030		\$37,624,117	18	1982-83	-32,5
toral ma Branca in adjuseed (377 of ovitate)			\$30.020.743	18	1984-45	34
state administration set aside	\$9L8,677	18	\$544,836	18	1984-85	4U.)
	\$5,076	19	\$3.027	22	1984-85	-40.4
State administration set aside per operating LEA	•	16		15	1984-85	-22,4
LEA child count for formula purposes	212,593	10	164,959	10	1404-05	
tle l/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	114,881	i٥	102.625	15	1982-83	-10.7
Number of participants K-6	93,089	10	83,268	17	1982-83	-10.6
Number of participants 7-12	71,063	16	1.9, 357	IJ	1982-83	-d.1
Number of nonpublic participants	2,967	17	2,496	Lb	1982-83	-15.5
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	•,•••		-1.5-			
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		4.5	17	1980-81	
Number of public participants	111,185	16	100,129	15	1982-83	-9.9
Percent of all public school students served in	111,102	20	290,147			
Title I/Chapter 1	16,4	7	15.4	6	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	87.5	10	88.7	5	1982-83	
Petcent of students receiving math	23.4	43	22.8	44	1982-83	-
	1.2	39	57.U	NA	1932-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts Percent of students receiving English for limited-	τ	37		00	1301-01	
	υ	NA		a	1982-83	
English-proficient	0	0A	_	1121	1702 03	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition		10	11.0	10	1982-83	
services	21.7	10	17.0	10	1907-03	
Percent of students receiving attendance and	<i></i>	ъ	32.7	4	1001_07	
guidance services	41.0	3	32.7	4	1982-83	-
tle [/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FIE staff members	3,944	21	3,000	20	1982-83	-23.9
Number of FTE teachers	2,059	15	1,700	17	1982-83	-17.4
Number of FTE aides	1,348	22	937	19	1982-83	-30.5
Number of FTE administrative staff	101	19	81	19	1982-83	-19.6
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	29.1	46	34,2	44	1982-83	17.
Fitie L/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$485	78	\$496	46	1982-83	2
Instructional settings: Pullours predominate in						
LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage						
then					1984-85	
LIEU					1704 07	
Catallific productors lines monoched by pits					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: Home reported by SEA					7304 (A)	

130



i

Ÿ

### Kentucky (continued)

-	1979-	40 	Most Necent Year			
	Amount		Amount	ваак	Year	Percent Change
te Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	181	<b>2</b> 8.01	180	29	1982-83	-0.6
Overali average per pupil expenditure	\$1,568	40	\$1,999	45	1982-83	27.5
Overali average per popil expenditure in adjusted 1979-60 dollars			\$1,479	45	1982-83	- <del>5</del> . 7
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	677,123	23	<b>b</b> 51,084	2 J	1982-83	-3.8
Encolment La nompublic elementary and secondary schools			69,728	21	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools			9.4	23	1980-81	
Encolment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools			58.695	18	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously			5.54.155	1		
affiliated schools			64.2	24	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			739,526	23	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$7.095	45	\$10,374	42	1984	46.2
RTS tax capacity invex	85	41.jľ	82	44.5T	1982	
dent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	64,448	22	70,022	22	1982-83	8.5
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L, 89-313	2.639	24	3,148	21	1982-83	19.3
Percent of total school enrollment served by			0.6	<b>1</b> .5	119/10/01	
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			9.6	23	1980-81	
Percept of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			0.2	47	1980	
School enrollment by race Percent White			91.5	17	1980	
Percent Black			8.0	26	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			U.3	46	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			V.J	51	1980	
Percent of school encoliment of Spanish origin			0.8	44	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			21.2	7	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAS

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
8.9	0 - 10
32.2	11 - 20
32.2	21 - 30
18.3	31 - 40
8.3	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest encollment. Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 12.7 Jefferson County (Louisville)

21.0 Jefferson County (Louisville), Fayette County (Lexington), Pike County, Kenton County, Hardin County



133

Kentucky (continued)

Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Program will begin in the 1985-86 school year

Funding approach: for every 13 students who score 26 percent below the acceptable level on the Essential Skills Test at the end of kindergarten, 1st or 2nd grade and for every 20 students who score 10-25 percent below the acceptable level, the State will allocate money for one additional teacher; program appropriations total \$10 million.

Targeting approach: Essential Skills Test scores will be used to select students; the program will focus on grades 1 and 2.

Minimum competency testing

No program established at the State level

School improvement programs

Districts are required to develop five-year school improvement plans. The State does not sponsor any effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

A State committee has been established to study career ladders. New teachers must pass competency tests and complete one year internships for permanent certification.

	Louisiane					
	1979-au	)	Most R			
	Amount	Rauk	Amounit	Runk	Year	Percent Change
Fitle 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$90,127,435	10	\$102,625,252	10	1984-85	13.9
Total LEA grants	\$82,270,774	10	\$89,817,889	10	1994-85	9.2
Total LFA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	. ,		\$55,010,430	10	1982-83	-33.1
			\$62,200,754	10	1984-92	-24.4
State administration set aslde	\$1,331,931	10	\$962,082	10	1984-85	-27.8
State administration set aslde per operating LFA	\$20,181	5	\$14.577	5	1984-85	-27.8
LEA child count for formula purposes	312,932	8	223,254	10	1984-85	-28.7
Title I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
tal number of participants	163,108	7	101,043	ιb	1982-83	-38.1
Number of participants K-6	114,360	11	85,710	15	1982-83	-25.1
Number of participants 7-12	45.758	ŝ	12,658	19	1982-83	-72.3
Number of nonpublic participants	7,327	1	5, 342	10	1982-83	-27.1
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	.,			• •		
in Title I/Chapter 1	(unt available)		4.8	13	1980-81	
Number of public participants	155,781	8	95,701	16	1982-83	-38.6
Percent of all public school students servid in				• •	4	
Title I/Chapter 1	19.5	2	12.3	y.	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	64.3	42	04.0	44	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	44.2	12	39.1	18	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving Language arts	0.5	402	9.1	1b	1947-RJ	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	<b>4</b> -					
English-proficient	0	NA		NЛ	1982~83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition						
services	46.7	٤	22.4	7	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services	51.9	1	30.2	6	1982-83	
	11.7	1	30.2	Ū		
Title I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FIE staff members	6,033	9	4,082	12	1982-83	-32.3
Number of FTE teachers	1,716	19	1,195	21	1982-83	-30.4
Number of FTE aides	3, 296	7	2,285	7	1982-83	-30.7
Number of FTE administrative staff	. 93	21		16	1982-83	-3.2
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	27.0	39	24.8	20	1982-83	-8.1
fitle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$504	34	\$736	14	1982-83	4 <b>6.</b> U
Instructional settings: Pullouts do not predominat in LEAs and the SEA does not encourage or	e					
discourage them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: Not available					1984-do	



### Louisiana (continued)

-	1973-	XU	Most Recent Lear			
	Amount	dank	Amount	Канк	iear	Percent Change
are Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	66	41	hb	41	1982-83	U
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$[.030	42	\$2.532	12	1982-83	60.9
1979-80 dollars Enrollment in public elementary and secondary			\$1,947	32	L982-83	19.0
schools Enroliment in nonpublic elementary and secondary	800,435	18	775,006	18	1982-83	-3.1
schools			158.921	10	1980-81	
Percent of total enrotiment in nonpublic schools Enroliment in religiously affiliated elementary			17.0	5	FA90-8F	
and secondary schools Fercent of ponpublic enrollment in religiously			128,745	11	1989-81	
affiliated schools			81.0	29	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			936,4HL	17	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$7,458	37	\$10,850	37	1984	45. <b>5</b>
RTS tax capacity index	104	18.50	113	14	1952	
udent CharacterIstics						
Number of bandicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142 Number of handicapped children served under	79,452	19	80,532	18	1982-83	1.4
P.L. 89-313 Percent of total school enroliment served by	6,188	11	5,477	LO	1982-83	-11.5
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-			8.8	32	1980-81	
proficient School envolment by race			0.5	20	1980	
Percent White			63.5	47	1980	
Percent Black			35.2	4	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			U.7	26	1980	
Percept American Indiau/Eskimo/Algut			0.3	23	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			2.6	21	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			23.1	3.51	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percept of Districts	Percent of Children in_Poverty
Ú	0 - 10
30,3	11 - 20
33.3	21 - 30
28.8	31 - 40
7.6	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LFA with largest enrollment 19.7 Orleans Parish (New Orleans) Percent pour children in State in 5 largest LEAs

39.4 Orleans Parish (New Orleans), Jefferson Par.sn (Gretnal, East Baton Rouge Parish, Gaddo Parish (Shreveport), Calcasieu Parish



13.

Louisiana (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Allocations

1979-80	not applicable; program began in the 1982-83 school year
1984-85	\$8.8 million

SCE funds as a proportion of federal funds

1984-85 8.6%

Funding approach: based on the number of students failing to achieve a certain performance standard on the State Basic Skills Test.

Targeting approach: students failing to achieve a certain performance standard on the State Basic Skills Test

Number of students served 1984-85

33,989 remediation units; one student can constitute more than one unit

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 2-5 (grade 8 will be added in the 1986-87 school year) Expected uses: grade promotion, remediation First graduating class assessed: 1992

School improvement programs

Districts are required to develop a pupil progression plan based on student performance in the assessment program. Mastery of basic skills is emphasized and is used as the main criterion for promotion and placement. A school effectiveness program was piloted in about 20 percent of the LEAs during 1983. The development of pilot programs for 4-year-olds with poor language skills has been approved by the legislature.

Teacher reforms

The legislature has established a commission to study the Governor's proposed career ladder plan. Testing is required for initial certification.



	1979-80		Host Recent Year			
	Amount	Rank	Aqount	Rалк	1ea <b>r</b>	Percent Clunge
tie I/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$13,743,167	38	\$15,920.172	38	1984-85	15,8
fotal LEA grants	\$10,181,655	34	\$12,220,632	38	1984-85	20,0
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$1,008.453	38	1982-83	- jû. b
			\$8,463,04Z	38	1984-85	-16.9
State administration set aside	\$225,000	44.51	•	43.51	1984-85	Ŭ
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$991	43	3966	42	1984-85	-2.5
LEA child count for formula purposes	38,459	38	32,239	37	1984-85	-3.2
tle L/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	26,480	37	22,574	36	1982-83	-14.8
Number of participants X-b	22,031	36	19,251	36	1982-85	-12.0
Number of participants 7-12	4,449	40	3, 323	40	1982-83	-25.3
Number of nonpublic participants	818	3 <b>5</b>	745	30	1982-83	-11.1
Percent of all nonpublic school students served						
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		4.8	14	1980-81	
Number of public participants	25,642	37	21,829	36	1982-83	-14.9
Percent of all public school students served in	-					
Title I/Chapter 1	11.3	17	10.3	16	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	78.9	29	80.8	18	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	r 35.9	20	37.3	20	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	16.0	7	15.8	10	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-						
English-proficient	υ	NA		dА	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition						
services	L.5	28	0.3	22	1982-83	
Percent of students teceiving attendance and		76		10	1000 01	
guldance services	10.8	25	6.9	19	1982-83	
tle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	1,387	36	1,059	36	1982-83	-23.6
Number of FTE teachers	238	42	146	44	1982-83	-JB.7
Number of FTE aides	904	30	765	22	1982-83	-15.4
Number of FTE administrative staff	0	NA	Ű	NA	1982-83	
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	19.1	8	21.3	10	1982-83	11.
Title 1/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$385	49	\$423	49	1982-83	9.9
instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in						
LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage						
them					1984-85	
					4 1 1	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SFA					1984-85	

MAINE



### Malme (continued)

_	1979-80		Mast K	eccut Yea	r 	
	Ammint.	Rank	Amount	Клак	i'ear	Percent Change
tate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	227	24	233	24	1992-83	2.6
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$1,682	40	\$2,393	39	1982-85	42.3
1979-80 dollars			\$1,770	39	1982-83	5,2
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	227,823	34	211,986	38	1982-83	-7.0
Enroliment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			17,540	4U	1980-81	
Schools Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously alfiliated elementary			7.J	<u></u> ژر	1980-81	
and secondary schools			9,538	42	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enroliment in religiously affiliated schools			54.4	48	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			260,037	38	1980-01	
Per cipita income	<b>\$</b> 6,959	47	\$10,078	40	1984 1982	53.4
RfS tax capacity index	80	47	64	42.5f	1702	
tudent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under				2		.1 .4
P.L. 94-142	22,782	37	24,829	38	1982-83	9.0
Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 89-313	1,525	34	1,656	32	1982-83	8,6
Percent of total school enrollment served by	21223	0,	1,000			- , -
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			10.7	10	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			0.4	27	1980	
School encollment by race Percent White			98.9	2	1980	
Percent Black			0.1	48	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.3	50	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.5	23	1980	
Percent of school enroliment of Spanish origin			0.6	51	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			15.1	19.2L	1930	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
27.0	0 - 10
39.9	11 - 20
19.8	21 - 30
58	31 - 40
7,6	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 5.0 Portland 14.7 Portland, Lewiston, Bangor, Auburn, South Portland



14<sup>1</sup>

Maine (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

No program established at the State level

School improvement programs

The Maine Assessment and Planning for Schools program is a set of State-developed guides for districts implementing a performance-based approach to instruction. The guides describe six phases of program improvement including preplanning and organizing. Several schools in the State are implementing effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

The legislature has approved three levels of teaching certificates leading to master teacher, which will become effective in 1988. Competency testing is required to enter the teaching profession beginning in 1986.

	MARYLAND					
			Most K	Nost Kerent Tear		
	Amonist	Kank	Amount	rt an K	Year	Percent Change
fitle I/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$53,631,563	21	\$55,237.176	19	1984-85	j'r
	\$48,191,652	21	\$51,387,719	19	1984-85	6.0
fotal LEA grants	\$40,191,00Z	21				-15.8
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$JU, YJJ, Bb3	21	1982-83	
			\$35,587,Ua3	19	1984-85	-26.2
State administration set aside	\$792,584	21	\$517,832	19	1984-85	-34.7
State administration set aside per operating LLA	\$33,024	Э	\$21.576	٤	1984-85	- 34 . 7
LEA child count for formula purposes	123,827	25	107,728	23	1984-95	-13.0
Fitle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	63,284	27	36,UJ7	26	1982 <b>-</b> 8 <b>3</b>	-(1.5
Number of participants K-6	59,828	23	55.471	20	1982-83	-7.3
Number of participants 7-12	3,101	44	208	51	1982-83	-93.3
Number of nonpublic participants	2,481	19	1,197	22	1982-83	-51.8
Number of all nonpublic school students served	2,401	13	1,137	~~	1761 05	J1+0
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		2.4	36	1980-81	
Number of public participants	60,803	27	54,840	15	1982-83	-9 8
	00,000	٤,	24,040	13	27.02 02	
Percent of all public school students served in	<b>*</b>	7.0	7 9	i.	1003-01	
Title I/Chapter 1	7.8	40	7,8	ja	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	85.5	14	63.4	13	1982-83	*-
Percent of students receiving math	58.2	4	58.7	5	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	U	NΛ		NA	1982-83	
Percent of Students receiving English for limited-						
English-proficient	0	NA		NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	_					
services	27.6	8	21.3	8	1982-83	
• •	27.94	Ŷ	£1.J	v	LJOL OV	
Percent of students receiving attendance and		<b>n</b> /	11.6	1.	1001.47	
guidance services	10.9	24	11.5	16	1982-83	
itle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title 1/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	4,230	18	2,764	23	1982-83	-34.7
Number of FIE teachers	704	31	679	29	1982-83	-3.0
Number of FIE aides	2,786	10	1,789	10	1982-83	-35.8
Number of FTE administrative staff	51	27	47	25	1982-83	-7.
	15.0	2	20.3	1	1982-83	35.3
Title I/Chapter 1 pupll/staff ratio						
ficle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$762	6	<b>6</b> 746	12	1982-83	-2.1
Instructional settings: Pullouts do not predominat In LEAs and the SEA does not encourage or discoura them						
them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-85	



## Maryland (continued)

_	1973-80		Most decent Year			
	Amount	- Rank	Anount	Калк	Year	Percent Obange
tate_Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	24	47	<u>2</u> 4	47	1982-83	U
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$2,684	19	\$J,J6L	9	1982-83	32.3
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$2,480	9	1982-83	0.1
Enroliment in public elementary and secondary schools	777,725	20	6997201	22	1982-83	-10.1
Eurollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			106.447	4	1980-81	
Percent of total entoliment in nonpublic schools			100,447	13	1990-81	
Entoliment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools			87,558	15	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously affiliated schools			B2.J	21	1980-61	
fotal enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			857.112	19	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$9,313	10	\$14,111	8	1984	51.5
RTS tax capacity index	94	28	100	21.51	1982	
tudent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	96,039	10	88.075	17	1982-83	-2.2
Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 89-313	3 777	16	9 Do 1	" <b>)</b> -	1031.03	-24.7
Percent of total school enrollment served by	3,724	15	2.803	20	1982-83	-24.7
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			11.5	4	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			0.5	25	1980	
School enrollment by race Percent White			70.2	44	1980	
Percent Black			27.3	44 8	TARO TARO	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			1.8	ů.	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.2	37	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			1.7	30	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			11.9	35	1980	

### Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
37.5	0 - 10
58.3	11 - 20
U	21 - 30
4.2	31 - 40
0	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment 47.4 Baitimore City Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 75.5 Baltimore City,

75.0 Baltimore Gity, Prince Georges County, Baltimore County, Anne Aroudel (Annapolis)



145

Maryland (continued)

Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Allocations

1979-80	\$14.7 million
1984-85	\$14.8 million
1984-85 allocation In adjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$10.2 million

SCE funds as a proportion of federal funds

1979-80	27.4%
1984-85	26.8%

Funding approach: formula utilizes per pupil amount based on the number of Chapter 1 eligibles. Baltimore receives an additional per pupil allocation based on enrollment, which is called Density Aid, two-thirds of which must be used for compensatory education; for school year 1984-85, Baltimore received an additional \$10.8 million.

Targeting approach: students in prekindergarten-12, who are identified through standardized tests or State functional reading tests, and who attend Chapter 1 eligible schools or schools with educational needs based on standardized tests.

Number of students served

1979-80	16,000 (estimate)
1984-85	17,075 (estimate)

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 7, 9 Expected uses: high school graduation, remediation First graduating class assessed: 1982

147

Maryland (continued)

### School improvement programs

In Maryland, school improvement activities are coordinated under Project Basic, which requires all LEAs to develop and implement a plan using the State model. The plan must show (1) that the local instruction program is consonant with statewide required competencies, (2) that a testing program and a method of maintaining student achievement test results have been developed and (3) that a remediation program is available for failing students. Through the School Improvement Through Instructional Process program, the State provides funds and technical assistance to districts wanting to improve instructional delivery by implementing National Diffusion Network models. Maryland has a State-initiated and funded prekindergarten program targeted on areas where third grade reading scores have been low. In January 1985, the Governor proposed adding \$1 million to this \$2.2 million program. There are no State-sponsored effective schools projects.

### Teacher reforms

No statewide career ladder or merit pay programs have been reported in Maryland. The State does not require testing for initial certification.



Massachusetts						
	La1a-H	Fa1a-40		Most Recent Year		
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Капк	fear	Percent Change
Title 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$70,158,771	15	\$84,086,495	12	1984-85	19.9
fotal LEA grants	\$51,952,843	LA.	667,796,097	13	1984-85	25.7
Total LFA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	437,752,043		\$39,425,076	17	1982-83	-20.9
Total des Beauch in aufusten 1777 of notents			\$46,900,205	13	1984-85	-13.0
	#1 (UE US0	15	\$788,286	12	1984-85	-24.0
State administration set aside	\$1,036,828	27		16 26	1984-85	-23.3
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$2,929	+	\$2,246		1984-85	-23.3 11.2
LEA child count for formula purposes	131,947	21	146.716	Τq	1704-07	11.2
Title I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	81,579	22	72,501	20	1982-83	-11.1
Number of participants K-6	61, 176	22	54.236	21	1982-83	-11.8
Number of participants 7-12	17,667	20	10,235	15	1982-83	-8.1
Number of ponpublic participants	R,181	5	7,205	5	1982-83	-11.5
Percent of all nonpublic school students served		-	1,203	-	1000 00	
	(not available)		7.0	Ŀ	1980-81	-
in Title I/Chapter 1				21		-11.0
Number of public participants	73, 398	23	¤5,296	<b>1</b> 1	1982-83	11.0
Percent of all public school students served in	<b>.</b> .		- 1	10	1045 01	
Title I/Chapter 1	7.1	44	7.2	38	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	72.3	39	76.0	53	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	28.3	38	24.5	40	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts Percent of students receiving English for limited-	11.9	15	24.2	3	1982-83	
	2.7	10	1.0	17	1982-83	
English-proficient	2.7	10	1.0	17	1982-03	
Fercent of students receiving health and nuttition		1 6	16.1		1982-83	_
services	13.3	15	15.1	11	1307-03	
Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services	5.0	32	3.3	25	1982-83	
•	5.0	14		23	¥702 ()	
Itle J/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	5,151	14	3,423	15	1982-83	-33.5
Number of FTE teachers	2.438	11	1,871	14	1982-83	-23.2
Number of FTE aldes	2,102	16	1,033	17	1982-83	-50.9
Number of FTE administrative staff	160	8	122	8	1982-83	-23.8
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	15.8	4	21.2	8	1982-83	34.2
Title 1/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$661	L2	\$7.35	15	1982-83	11.2
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in						
LEAs and the SEA encourages them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SFA					1984-65	

0

# Messechusetts (Continued)

	1979-80		Host Recent Year			
	Amount	8.nnk	Amount	Rank	Year	Porcent Change
tare Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	354	17	ادذ	17	1982-83	-9.8
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$2,731	5	\$3,304	11	1982-83	21 <b>.</b> U
1979-BU dollars Enroliment in public elementary and secondary			\$2,444	11	1982-83	-10.5
schools Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary	1,035,724	13	908,984	14	1982-83	-12.2
schools			138,333	12	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			11.9	17	1980-81	
and secondary schools			109,928	13	L980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enroliment in religiously affiliated schools			79.5	J1	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools. Pet capita income	\$9,014	17	L,160,218 \$14,574	11 5	1980-81 1984	61.7
RTS tax capacity index	39,014	17 34 f	101	20	1984	01.1
tudent Characteristles						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	128,009	10	124,163	10	1982-83	-3.0
Number of handlcapped children served under P.L. 89-313	13,860	5	14,317	5	1982-83	3.3
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			12.2	1	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 iimited-English- proficient			1.1	13	1980	
School enrollment by race Percent White			91.9	15	1980	
Percent Black			5.0	30	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.9	19	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.2	39	19a0	
Percent of school enrollment of Spacish origin			3.5	19	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 to poverry			12,3	33	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
66.3	0 - 10
29.3	11 - 20
4,2	21 - 30
0.3	31 - 40
U	> 40

Percent poor children la State in LFA with largest enrollment 17.4 Boston fercent poor children in State in 5 largest LLAs

32.8 Boston, Springfield, Worcester, Brockton. New Bedford



Massachusetts (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the local level Grades assessed: decided at the local level Expected uses: not available First graduating class assessed: varies at the local level

School improvement programs

Districts in Massachusetts are required to set minimum standards of student achievement, to assess student performance in basic skiils, and to provide remediation. Much local discretion is permitted in program planning with technical assistance available from the SEA. The State does not sponsor any effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

A major reform bill died in the legislature during 1984. It included stipends for teacher-mentors and a competency erar for prospective teachers. A similar bill is expected to be introduced during the 1985 session.



152

Amount         Kunk         Amount         Amount <th></th> <th>Michigan</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th></th>		Michigan			-			
$\frac{1}{11 \text{ first}} \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	·	1979-н	,	Most R	ať			
Total allocation       \$131,955,709       b       \$128,45,759       7       1984-85       3.4         Total LEA grants       \$116,838,729       5       \$121,046,467       7       1984-85       3.4         Total LEA grants       \$10,979-80       6011ars       \$75,471,097       5       1984-85       -2.4         State administration set aside       \$1,979,641       6       \$1,279,056       7       1984-85       -2.4         State administration set aside per operating LA       \$3,453       25       \$2,499       5       1984-85       -4.4         State administration set aside       \$12,970       7       1984-85       -4.4         State administration set aside       \$123,977       7       106,244       5       160,448       1986-85       -6.0         Total number of participants       169,449       5       143,271       7       1981-82       -6.0         Number of participants       123,957       7       110,244       9       1981-82       -5.4         Number of apublic participants       4,818       12       4,868       11       1981-82       -5.6         Number of audents receiving reading       89.5       5       316,91       138,003       7       198		Amount	Rank	Απουπτ	Kank	Year	Percent Change	
Garal LEA grants       \$116,838,720       6       \$12,86,4,447       7       1948-43       4.35         Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-40 dollars       \$37,8,471,197       0       1942-43       -35.5         State administration set aside       \$1,979,641       6       \$1.2,98,050       7       1948-45       -27.8         State administration set aside per operating LEA       \$3,455       \$3       \$2,209       25       1948-45       -54.0         LEA child count for formula purposes       316,904       7       200,268       8       1998-85       -56.0         Total number of participants       169,449       5       143,271       7       1981-82       -56.0         Number of participants 7-12       35,202       9       21,473       10       1991-82       -51.8         Number of nonpublic school students served       168,031       5       138,003       7       1981-82       -51.8         Number of students receiving reading       89.9       33       7.9       31       1981-82       -51.8         Percent of students receiving reading       89.9       37.9       31       1981-82       -51.8         Percent of students receiving math       56.0       5       51.2       9       198	fitle I/Chapter 1 Allocation Data							
Note that the second se	Total allocation	\$133,955,709	ь		7	1984-85	3.4	
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 doilarsS70-711.09701942-83-42.8State administration set aside per operating LEA\$3,453231948-85-23State administration set aside per operating LEA\$3,453231948-85-34.4State administration set aside per operating LEA\$3,453231948-85-34.4State administration set aside per operating LEA\$3,453231948-85-34.4Itel Lifthapter 1Participants143,27171948-85-45.4Total number of participants143,977110,244b 1941-82-55.4Participants143,977110,244bishes-56.4Number of participants169,481 <th colsp<="" td=""><td></td><td>\$116,830,720</td><td>6</td><td>\$121,864,447</td><td>7</td><td>1984-85</td><td>4.3</td></th>	<td></td> <td>\$116,830,720</td> <td>6</td> <td>\$121,864,447</td> <td>7</td> <td>1984-85</td> <td>4.3</td>		\$116,830,720	6	\$121,864,447	7	1984-85	4.3
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$76,47L,097	b	1982-83	-34.5	
State administration art aside per operating LEA\$1,453251984-85-34.3LEA child count for formula purposes31b,9947251984-85-34.3LEA child count for formula purposes31b,9947251986-85Total number of participants169,449514.3,27171981-82-5.4Number of participants6.46.31981-82-5.4Number of participants1981-82-15.4Number of public participants1981-82-15.4Number of public participants1981-82-15.4Number of public participant	•			\$84, 393, DoZ	7	1984-85	-27.8	
State administration set aside per operating LFA       \$3,453       25       \$2,299       25       1994-85       -14.3         LEA child count for formula purposes       316,994       7       205,268       8       1994-85       -16.0         Title 1/Chapter 1 Participation Data <sup>4/</sup> 106,9449       5       143,271       7       1981-82       -15.4         Number of participants       123,357       7       116,244       6       1991-82       -15.4         Number of participants       53,229       23,475       10       1981-82       -5.4         Number of participants       4,81d       12       4,80d       11       1981-82       -5.4         Percent of all public school students served       164,631       5       136.003       7       1981-82          Title 1/Chapter 1       (upt available)       2.4       35       1980-81         15.8         Percent of students receiving reading       89.5       88.7       4       1981-82          Recent of students receiving anguage arts       4.0       30       4.7       1981-82          Percent of students receiving health and nutrition services       14.3       13       9.6       12	State administration set aside	\$1,979,641	b	\$1,298,050	7	1984-85	-34.4	
LEA child count for formula purposes $31b, 994$ 7 2co.268 8 1964-85 -4.6.0 <u>fitte 1/thapter 1 participants Data<sup>4/</sup></u> Total number of participants $-5$ $123, 957$ 7 $11b, 244$ 5 $1981-82$ -5.2 Number of participants 7-12 $38, 202$ 9 $23, 475$ 10 $1981-82$ -5.2 Number of participants 7-12 $38, 202$ 9 $23, 475$ 10 $1981-82$ -5.2 Number of participants 7-12 $38, 202$ 9 $23, 475$ 10 $1981-82$ -5.2 Number of participants 7-12 $38, 202$ 9 $23, 475$ 10 $1981-82$ -5.2 Number of participants $-12$ $38, 202$ 9 $23, 475$ 10 $1981-82$ -5.2 Number of participants $-12$ $38, 202$ 9 $23, 475$ 10 $1981-82$ -5.2 Number of participants $-12$ $38, 202$ 9 $23, 475$ 10 $1981-82$ -5.2 Number of public participants $-15, 48$ Percent of all nonpublic school students served $10$ $1164, 631$ 5 $138, 003$ 7 $1981-82$ -5.2 Percent of atudents receiving reading $89, 6$ $88.7$ 4 $1981-82$ -5.2 Percent of students receiving language arts $4.0$ $30$ $4.7$ $27$ $1981-82$ -5.2 Percent of students receiving language arts $4.0$ $30$ $4.7$ $27$ $1981-82$ -5.2 Percent of students receiving the limited- English-proficient $0.5$ 20 $0.2$ 22 $1981-82$ -5 Percent of students receiving the limited- English-proficient $1.7$ $1981-82$ -5 Percent of students receiving the limited- English-proficient $1.7$ $1981-82$ -5 Percent of students receiving the limited- English-proficient $1.7$ $1981-82$ -5 Percent of students receiving the and notrition services $14, 6$ $18$ $22.9$ $11$ $1981-82$ -5 Percent of students receiving the and notrition Services $1.4, 0.18$ $22.9$ $11$ $1981-82$ -5 Percent of TK teachers $1.995$ $10$ $1.653$ $15$ $1981-82$ -7.1 Number of FTK teachers $1.995$ $10$ $1.633$ $15$ $1981-82$ -7.1 Number of FTK teachers $1.995$ $10$ $1.633$ $15$ $1981-82$ -7.1 Number of FTK teachers $1.995$ $10$ $1.633$ $15$ $1981-82$ -7.1 Number of FTK teachers $1.995$ $10$ $2.453$ $1.981-82$ -18.0 Number of FTK teachers $1.995$ $10$ $2.45$ $1.981-82$ -19.1 Number of FTK teachers $1.995 - 10$ $2.45$ $30$ $24.1$ $19$ $1981-82$ -7			25	32,269	25	1984-85	-34.3	
Total number of participants       169,449       5       143,271       7       1981-82       -15.4         Number of participants X-6       123,957       7       116,244       6       1981-82       -5.2         Number of participants       38,202       9       23,475       10       1981-82       -5.2         Number of nonpublic participants       4,818       12       4,668       11       1981-82       -3.1         Percent of all nonpublic school students served       (not available)       2.4       35       1980-81       -         Number of public participants       164,631       5       138,003       7       1981-82          Number of students receiving reading       89.5       5       138,003       7       1981-82          Percent of students receiving reading       89.5       5       51.2       9       1981-82          Percent of students receiving English for limited-       50.0       5       51.2       9       1981-82          Percent of students receiving attendance and       gaidance services       14.3       13       9.6       12       1981-82          Percent of students receiving tendimete and       14.3       13       9		316,904	7	200,268	8	1984-85	-16.0	
Number of participants X-6123,9577116,24461981-82-5.2Number of participants 7-1238,202923,475101981-82-9.2Number of nonpublic participants4,818124,668111981-82-3.1Percent of all nonpublic school students served(not available)2.4351980-81	Title I/Chapter 1 Porticipation Data*/							
Number of participants X-6123,9577116,24461981-82-5.2Number of participants 7-1238,202923,475101981-82-9.2Number of nonpublic participants4,818124,668111981-82-3.1Percent of all nonpublic school students served(not available)2.4351980-81	Tetal survey of postisionto	المراه المرا	5	143 271	,	1091-92	-15 4	
Number of participants 7-12JS, 22JJ, 25JJ, 25JJ, 25JJ, 25JJ, 25JJ, 25JJJ, 25JJJ, 25JJJ, 25JJJ, 25JJJ, 25JJJ, 25JJJJ, 25JJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJ	• •							
Number of nonpublic participants 4,818 12 4,668 11 1981-82 -3.1 Percent of all nonpublic school students served in Title I/Chapter 1 (not available) 2,4 35 1980-81 Number of public participants 164,631 5 138,003 7 1981-82 Percent of all public school students served in Title I/Chapter 1 8,9 33 7.9 33 1981-82 Percent of students receiving math 56.0 5 51.2 9 1981-82 Percent of students receiving English for limited- English-proficient 0.5 20 0.2 22 1981-82 Percent of students receiving happing arts 4.0 30 4.7 27 1981-82 Percent of students receiving English for limited- English-proficient 0.5 20 0.2 22 1981-82 Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services 14.0 18 22.9 11 1981-82 Percent of fite I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members 5.694 5 5.935 5 1981-82 Number of FTE tachers 1.935 16 1.653 15 1981-82 Number of FTE tachers 1.935 16 1.653 15 1981-82 Number of FTE tachers 1.935 16 1.653 15 1981-82 Number of FTE tachers 4.037 4 3.772 3 1981-82 Number of FTE tachers 4.047 4 3.772 3 1981-82 Number of FTE tachers 4.0			-					
Number of all nonpublic school students servedApril 12April 12April 12April 12In fitte l/Chapter 1(not available)2.4351980-81Number of public participants164,6315138,00371981-82Titie 1/Chapter 18,9337.9331981-82Percent of all public school students servel in89,6551.291981-82Percent of students receiving anth56.0551.291981-82Percent of students receiving English for limited-0.5200.2221981-82Percent of students receiving health and nutrition9.6121981-82Percent of students receiving attendance and14.3139.6121981-82Percent of students receiving attendance and14.81822.9111981-82Percent of students receiving attendance and14.81822.9111981-82Pittle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics <sup>4/</sup> 14.61822.9111981-82Number of FTE addents4.0473.71231981-82Number of FTE addanistrative staff266421821981-821.3.9Number of FTE addanistrative staff266421821981-82-1.8.0Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff totio24.63024.119 <td>· · ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	· · ·							
<pre>in Title I/Chapter 1 (not available) 2.4 35 1980-81 Number of public participants 164,01 5 138,003 7 1981-8215.8 Percent of all public school students served in Title I/Chapter 1 8,9 33 7.9 33 1981-82 Percent of students receiving reading 89,0 0 88.7 4 1981-82 Percent of students receiving math 56.0 5 51.2 9 1981-82 Percent of students receiving English for limited- English-proficient 0.5 20 0.2 22 1981-82 Percent of students receiving health and nutrition services 14.3 13 9.6 12 1981-82 Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services 14.0 18 22.9 11 1981-82 Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services 14.0 18 22.9 11 1981-82 Percent of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members 6,894 5 5,936 5 1981-82 Number of FTE administrative staff 266 4 218 2 1981-82 Number of FTE administrative staff 266 4 218 2 1981-8219.1 Number of FTE administrative staff 266 4 218 2 1981-8219.1 Number of FTE administrative staff 12 24.6 30 24.1 19 1981-822.0 Title I/Chapter 1 avetage per pupil expenditure \$690 11 \$722 8 1981-822.0 Title I/Chapter 1 avetage per dupil expenditure \$690 11 \$722 8 1981-822.0 Title I/Chapter 1 avetage per dupil expenditure \$690 11 \$722 8 1981-822.0 </pre>		4,010	12	4,000	11	1701 01	5.1	
In first of public participantsInternational public school students served in Title I/Chapter 11164,6015138,60371061-02-15.8Percent of all public school students served in Title I/Chapter 18,9337.9331981-82Percent of students receiving reading Percent of students receiving math86.0551.291981-82Percent of students receiving English for limited- English-proficient0.5200.2221961-82Percent of students receiving health and nutrition services14.3139.6121981-82Percent of students receiving health and nutrition services14.61822.9111981-82Percent of students receiving the and nutrition services14.618<		(not swellshla)		1.6	35	1980-91		
Indict of pills public school students served in Title I/Chapter 18.9337.9331981-82Percent of students receiving reading Percent of students receiving language arts Percent of students receiving health and nutrition services0.5200.2221981-82Percent of students receiving health and nutrition services14.3139.6121981-82Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services14.01822.9111981-82Pitte I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics*/14.01822.9111981-82Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members Number of FTE administrative staff Percent of Title administrative staff266421821981-82-7.1.Number of FTE administrative staff Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratin Patier24.63024.1191981-82-2.0Number of Stite I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure563011\$7.2281981-82-2.0Percent of Students14.04743.27281981-82-2.011182.0Percent of Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratin Life I/Chapter 1 average per pupil e			5				-15.8	
Title I/Chapter 18,9337.9131981-82Percent of students receiving math $56.0$ $5$ $51.2$ $9$ $1981-82$ Percent of students receiving language arts $4.0$ $30$ $4.7$ $27$ $1981-82$ Percent of students receiving English for limited- English-proficient $0.5$ $20$ $0.2$ $22$ $1981-82$ Percent of students receiving health and nutrition services $14.3$ $13$ $9.6$ $12$ $1981-82$ Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services $14.3$ $13$ $9.6$ $12$ $1981-82$ Percent of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members $6.891$ $5$ $5.936$ $5$ $1981-82$ Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members $6.891$ $5$ $5.936$ $5$ $1981-82$ Number of FTE administrative staff $266$ $4$ $216$ $2$ $1981-82$ Number of FTE administrative staff $266$ $4$ $216$ $2$ $1981-82$ -13.9Number of FTE administrative staff $266$ $4$ $216$ $2$ $1981-82$ -13.9Number of FTE administrative staff $266$ $4$ $216$ $2$ $1981-82$ -13.9Number of FTE administrative staff $266$ $4$ $216$ $2$ $1981-82$ -14.1Number of FTE administrative staff $266$ $4$ $216$ $2$ $1981-82$ -18.0Number of FTE ad		104,001	,	1001000	,	2,01 02		
Inter formation88.741981-82Percent of students receiving math56.0551.291981-82Percent of students receiving language arts4.0304.7271981-82Percent of students receiving English for limited- English-proficient0.5200.2221981-82Percent of students receiving health and nutrition services14.3139.6121981-82Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services14.3139.6121981-82Percent of Title 1/Chapter 1 FTE staff members6.89155.93551981-82Number of Title 1/Chapter 1 FTE staff members1.935161.853151981-82Number of FTE tachers1.935161.853151981-82Number of FTE administrative staff26621.821981-8213.9Number of FTE administrative staff266421.821981-82Number of FTE administrative staff266421.821981-82-18.0Nite I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff fatio23.63024.1191981-82-18.0Nite I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure\$69011\$72281981-824.0Number of FTE administrative staff266421.821981-824.0Number of FTE administrative st		a a	11	7 U	14	1981-82		
Tertent of students receiving math56.0551.291981-82Percent of students receiving language arts4.0304.7271981-82Percent of students receiving English for limited- English-proficient0.5200.2221981-82Percent of students receiving health and nutrition services14.3139.6121981-82Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services14.61822.9111981-82Ittle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics*/14.61822.9111981-82Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members6.89155,93651981-82-7.1Number of FTE teachers1,935161,453151981-82-7.1Number of FTE administrative staff26621.821981-82-18.0Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio23.63024.1191981-82-2.0Ittle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure\$69011\$72281981-82-2.0Ittle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure\$69011\$72281981-82-2.0Ittle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure\$69011\$72281981-82-2.0Ittle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure\$69011\$72281981-824.6Ittle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure\$69011\$7	•							
Percent of students receiving language arts4.0304.7271981-82Percent of students receiving English for limited- English-proficient0.5200.2221981-82Percent of students receiving health and nutrition services14.3139.6121981-82Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services14.3139.6121981-82Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services14.61822.9111981-82Pitte I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics*/Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members6,69155,93651981-82-13.9Number of FTE addens1,995161,853151981-82-7.1Number of FTE addenistrative staff266421821981-82-18.0Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio24.63023.1191981-82-2.0Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure\$69011\$72281981-824.6Instructional settings:Pullouts predominate in L/As although SEA does not encourage or discourage them1984-851984-85			•					
Percent of students receiving English for limited- English-proficient 0.5 20 0.2 22 1981-82 Percent of students receiving health and nutrition services 14.3 13 9.6 12 1981-82 Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services 14.6 18 22.9 11 1981-82 Pitte I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics <sup>#/</sup> Number of Title 1/Chapter 1 FTE staff members 6.891 5 5.936 5 1981-82 -13.9 Number of FTE teachers 1.995 16 0.853 15 1981-82 -13.9 Number of FTE teachers 1.995 16 0.853 15 1981-82 -19.1 Number of FTE administrative staff 266 4 218 2 1981-82 -18.0 Title 1/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio 24.6 30 24.1 19 1981-82 -2.0 Title 1/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure \$690 11 \$722 8 1981-82 4.6 Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LSAs although SEA does not encourage of discourage them 1984-85			•					
English-proficient0.5200.2221981-82Fercent of students receiving health and nutrition services14.3139.6121981-82Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services14.01822.9111981-82Title I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics*/Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members6.89155.93651981-82-13.9Number of FTE teachers1.935161.853151981-82-7.1Number of FTE aides4.04743.27231981-82-18.0Number of FTE administrative staff266421621981-82-18.0Title I/Chapter 1 pupii/staff ratio24.63024.1191981-82-2.0Ittle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure\$69011\$72281981-82-2.0Instructional settings:Pullouts predominate in LSAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage them1984-851984-85		4.0	20	4.7	21	1,01 05		
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition servicesPercent of students receiving attendance and guidance services14.3139.6121981-82Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services14.61822.9111981-82Pitle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics*/Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members6.89155.93651981-82-13.9Number of FTE teachers1.935161.853151981-82-7.1Number of FTE aides4.04743.27231981-82-19.1Number of FTE administrative staff266421821981-82-18.0Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio24.63024.1191981-82-2.0Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure\$69011\$72281981-824.6Instructional settings:Pullouts predominate in LCAs although SEA does not encourage of discourage them1984-851984-85		D 4		0.0	11	1261		
services 14.3 13 9.6 12 1981-82 Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services 14.6 18 22.9 11 1981-82 <u>Title I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics</u> */ Number of Title 1/Chapter 1 FTE staff members 5,891 5 5,936 5 1981-82 -13.9 Number of FTE teachers 1,995 16 1,853 15 1981-82 -7.1 Number of FTE aides 4,047 4 3,272 3 1981-82 -19.1 Number of FTE administrative staff 266 4 218 2 1981-82 -18.0 Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio 24.6 30 24.1 19 1981-82 -2.0 Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure \$690 11 \$722 8 1981-82 4.6 instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage them 1984-85	÷ •	0.5	20	0.2	22	1901-04		
Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services 14.0 18 22.9 11 1981-82 <u>Title I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics</u> */ Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members 6.894 5 5.936 5 1981-82 -13.9 Number of FTE teachers 1.935 10 0.853 15 1981-82 -7.1 Number of FTE aides 4.047 4 3.272 3 1981-82 -19.1 Number of FTE aides 4.047 4 3.272 3 1981-82 -19.1 Number of FTE administrative staff 256 4 218 2 1981-82 -18.0 Title I/Chapter 1 pupii/staff ratio 24.6 30 24.1 19 1981-82 -2.0 Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure \$690 11 \$722 8 1981-82 4.0 Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LSAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage them	-			13 C		1004-01		
guidance services14.61822.9111981-82Fittle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics*/Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members6,89155,93651981-82-13.9Number of FTE teachers1,935161,853151981-82-7.1Number of FTE aides4,04743,27231981-82-19.1Number of FTE administrative staff266421.821981-82-18.0Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff tatio24.63024.1191981-82-2.0Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure\$69011\$72281981-824.6Instructional settings:Putlouts predominate in LSAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage them1984-851984-85		14.3	13	9.0	12	1901-02		
gurdance services1.001.001.001.001.00Ittle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics*/Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members6,89155,93651981-82-13.9Number of FTE teachers1,935161,853151981-82-7.1Number of FTE aides4,04743,27231981-82-19.1Number of FTE administrative staff266421821981-82-18.0Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio24.63024.1191981-82-2.0Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure\$69011\$72281981-824.6Instructional settings:Pullouts predominate in LFAs although SFA does not encourage of discourage them1984-851984-85			1.18	ט ני	11	1941-82		
Number of Title 1/Chapter 1 FTE staff members6,89155,93651981-82-13.9Number of FTE teachers1,935161,853151981-82-7.1Number of FTE aides4,04743,27231981-82-19.1Number of FTE administrative staff266421821981-82-18.0Title 1/Chapter 1 pupil/staff tatio24.63024.1191981-82-2.0Title 1/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure\$69011\$72281981-824.6Instructional settings:Pullouts predominate in LSAs although SEA does not encourage of discourage them1984-851984-85	guldance services	14,0	10	12,,)	14	1701 01		
Number of FTE teachers1,995101,853151981-82-7.1Number of FTE aides4,04743,27231981-82-19.1Number of FTE administrative staff266421821981-82-18.0Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio24.63024.1191981-82-2.0Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure\$69011\$72281981-824.6Instructional settings:Pullouts predominate in LfAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage them1984-851984-85	<u>Title I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics*/</u>							
Number of FTE teachers1,995101,853151981-82-7.1Number of FTE aides4,04743,27231981-82-19.1Number of FTE administrative staff266421821981-82-18.0Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio24.63024.1191981-82-2.0Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure\$69011\$72281981-824.6Instructional settings:Pullouts predominate in LfAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage them1984-851984-85	Number of Title 1/Chapter ) FTX staff members	6.841	5	5.936	5	1981-82	-13.9	
Number of FTE aides4,04743,27231981-82-19.1Number of FTE administrative staff266421821981-82-18.0Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio24.63024.1191981-82-2.0Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure\$69011\$72281981-824.6Instructional settings:Pullouts predominate in LfAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage them1984-851984-85	•		-				-7.1	
Number of FTE administrative staff       266       4       218       2       1981-02       -18.0         Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio       24.6       30       24.1       19       1981-02       -2.0         Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure       \$690       11       \$722       8       1981-02       -2.0         Instructional settings:       Pullonts predominate in       1       \$722       8       1981-82       4.6         Instructional settings:       Pullonts predominate in       1       1984-85       1984-85         them       1984-85       1984-85       1984-85       1984-85								
Number of FfE administrative start       24.6       30       24.1       19       1981-82       -2.0         Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure       \$690       11       \$722       8       1981-82       4.6         Instructional settings:       Pullouts predominate in       1       \$722       8       1981-82       4.6         LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage       1984-85       1984-85       1984-85				-				
Hite Founder Publication     \$690     11     \$722     8     1981-82     4.6       Instructional settings:     Pullouts predominate in     LSAs although SEA does not encourage of discourage     1984-85       them     1984-85			-				-2.0	
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LfAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage them 1984-85							4.6	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SFA 1984-85	instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LfAs although SFA does not encourage or discourage					1984-85		
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SFA 1984-85								
	Schoolwide projects: None reported by SFA					1984-95		

\* ED does not have TIERS data from Michigan for 1982-83. Therefore, 1981-82 data have oven used as estimates.

ERIC FullTast Provided by ERIC

# Michigan (Continued)

	1979-	H()	Most Recent Year			
	Amount	Rauk	Assount	Kank	iear	vercent Change
ate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	573	y.	572	y	1982-83	-0.2
Overall average per pupil expanditure Overall average per pupil expanditure in adjusted	\$2,541	H	\$3,234	12	1982-83	27.3
1979-80 dollars			\$2,392	17	1982-83	-5.9
Enroliment in public elementary and secondary schools Enroliment in nonpublic elementary and secondary	1,860,498	7	1,761.521	7	1982-83	-5.3
schools			211,871	7	1980-81	
Percent of total enroliment in nonpublic schools Enroliment in religiously affiliated elementary			10.2	21	1980-sl	
and secondary schools			195,893	7	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously affiliated schools			92.5	11	1980-81	
fotal enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			2.075.290	7	1980-61	
Per capita income	\$9,239	11	\$12,518	2.1	1984	35.5
RTS tax capacity index	104	18.51	93	30	1982	
Ideat Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	143, 913	7	145, 375	9	1982-83	1.0
Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 89-313	11,472	7	10,396	6	1982-83	-9.4
Percent of total school enrollment served by	- 3	·		•		
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			7.5	44	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-Euglish- proficient			0.3	31	1980	
School enroliment by race Percent White			82.0	28	1980	
Percent Black			15.7	17	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.7	25	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.7	21	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			2.3	25	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			12.4	32	1960	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

	Percent of Children
Percent of Districts	In Poverty
48.4	0 - 10
42.8	11 - 20
6.4	21 - 30
1.7	31 - 40
0.7	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in S largest MAS 31.8 Uetroit

38.0 Detroit. Flint. Grand Rapids, Utica Community, Warren Consolidated



Michigan (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Allocations

1979-80	\$32.9 million
1984-85	\$24.0 mlllion
1984-85 allocation in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$16.6 million

SCE funds as a proportion of federal funds

1979-80	24.6%
1984-85	17.3%

Funding approach: per pupil amount based on number of low achievers on State assessment. LEAs with 13.7% or more K-10 students in low achieving groups are eligible.

Targeting approach: students one or more years below grade level (for grades 3-10), scoring in the lowest quartile, or "demonstrating need of readiness."

Number of students eligible

1979-80	131,734
1984- <b>8</b> 5	106,557

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 4, 7, 10 Expected uses: remediation First graduating class assessed: not available

156



Michigan (continued)

School improvement programs

Since the mid 1970's, Michigan has been strongly supportive of effective schools activities. Much of the early research was done in cooperation with the regional Title 1 Technical Assistance Center. More recently, the State has been involved in expanding the scope of effective schools activities to include all schools. One component of these activities is the design and implementation of a school Improvement plan.

# Teacher reforms

No career ladder or merit pay programs have been reported in Michigan. The State does not require testing for initial certification.



	Minnesota						
	1979-8	,	Host R	Host Recent fear			
	Amount	Kank	doount	Кляк	ťear	Percent Change	
fitle f/Chapter   Allocation Data							
Total allocation	\$42,777,486	24	\$39,282,605	28	1984-85	-8.2	
Total LEA grants	\$39,249,529	24	\$36,309.078	24	1984-85	-6.2	
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-RU dollars		•	\$25,940.642	25	1982-83	ورزز-	
Thear grader in hajoseen datata			\$25,491,407	24	1984-85	-35.1	
State administration set aside	\$632,178	24	\$368,263	28	1984-85	-41.7	
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$1,440	40	\$847	45	1984-+85	-41.2	
LEA child count for formula purposes	10,030	26	64,444	28	1984-85	-23.2	
	1,0,000	L.//		• *			
Title 1/Chapter   Participation Data							
Total number of participants	72,227	24	55,535	27	1982-83	-23.1	
Number of participants K-6	62,460	21	51,468	23	1982-83	-17.6	
Number of participants 7-12	9,753	29	4,028	35	1982-83	-58.7	
Number of nonpublic participants	0,919	A	5, 388	ÿ	1962-83	-22.1	
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	0,707		,,	·			
in Title 1/Chapter 1	(not available)		6.7	5	1980-81		
Number of public participants	65,308	26	50,147	27	1982-83	-23.2	
Percent of all public school students served in	00,000	20	201241				
Title 1/Chapter 1	8.4	35	7.0	39	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving reading	8 <b>1</b> .5	23	80.5	20	1962-83		
Percent of students receiving manning	72.0	2	68.2	z	1982-83		
—	3.7	31		NA	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving language arts	2.1	51		****	1,01 02		
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	n <b>.</b> 5	22		NA	1982-83		
English-proficient	( - 1)	44		INV	1702 00		
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	1.6	30			1982-83		
services	1.4	29		NA	1702-03		
Percent of students receiving attendance and	1 (	30	_		1091-00		
guidance services	1.6	35		ИА	1982-83		
fitle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics							
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	4,170	14	2,361	24	1982-83	-43.4	
Number of FTE teachers	1,808	Ī.	1,024	25	1982-83	-43.4	
Number of FTE aides	1,877	18	1,142	16	1982-83	-39.2	
Number of FTE administrative staff	130	11	43	15	1962-83	-28.5	
Title L/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	17.3	0	23.5	16	1982-83	35.8	
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$543	28	\$632	26	1982-83	10.4	
Instructional settings: Pullouts do not Predominat in LEAs and the SEA does not encourage or discoura them them					1984-85		
Schoolwide projects: Not available					1984-05		

.



### Minnesota (Continued)

	1979-e	SU	llost K	rcent <u>r</u> e	ar	Percent Change
// // //	Amount	.ank	Ampiliat	ланы. 	Year	
State C. Criscios						
Normal of operating LEAs	439	14	435	P	1982-83	-0.9
uverall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupul expenditure in adjusted	\$2,300	19	\$3,031	2%	1982-83	31.9
1979-J? dollars			\$2.242	20	052-83	-2.5
Enrollment it nuttic cloment / grid secondary so pols	778,055	19	715,190	21	E445-P3	-6.1
Euroliment in couperful elementary and secondary schools			41,956	16	1980~31	
Fercent of total enrollment in compublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated lementary			10.1	20	1980	
and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religivery			84,525	10	1530-81	
atfillared schools			95.0	4	1980-51	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools	÷5. 000		843,284	20	1980-81	10.7
Per capita income RIS tax capacity index	\$8,890 Lus	18 17	\$13.219 99	13 24 F	1984 1982	48.7
Student Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142 Number of handlcapped children served under	81,442	ŢĤ	70,990	20	1982-83	-5.5
P.L. 89-313 Percent of total school enrollment served by	904	42	66 <b>8</b>	43	1982-83	-26.1
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-			9.6	22	1980-81	
proficient . School euroliment by race			0,4	2ь	1980	
Percent White			95.0	5	1980	
Percent Black			1.6	41	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			1.0	14	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			1.3	15	1790	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			1.1	38	TA80	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			9.5	48	1980	

Distribution ( ' children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Children in Poverty
0 - 10
11 - 20
<b>21 -</b> 30
31 - 40
> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with larg .c encollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs

10.1 Minneapolls Special

22.5 Minneapolls Special, St. Paul. Anoka (Coon Rapids), Robbinsdale (New Hope), Dulnth



Minnesota (continued)

# Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Mo program

Minimum competency testing

No program established at the State level

School improvement programs

LEAs in Minnesota are required to develop an instructional plan that includes measurable learner outcomes. They must also submit an annual report to the public containing student test results and a school improvement plan for the following year. The State does not sponsor any effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

In December 1984, a Governor's commission recommended that districts should develop differentiated career paths and that there should be prelicensing testing for prospective teachers.

ERIC

	Mississippi					
	 [979-80	)	Host Recent Year			
	<u>ትመስቀታኒ</u>	Kank	Amount	Rank	¥eat	Percent Change
Title 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$74,281,605	14	\$61,529,521	lb	1984-85	-17.2
fotal LEA grants	669,265,589	14	657,451.254	10	1984-45	-17.1
fotal LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$40,300,754	14	1382-83	-53.2
			\$39,786,187	16	1984-85	-q2.0
State administration set aside	\$1,097,757	14	\$576,87L	16	1984-85	-47.5
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$7,222	L3	\$3,770	20	1984-85	7.8
LEA child count for formula purposes	263.553	13	178,176	15	1984-85	-32.4
Title I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	131.811	[]	114, 385	12	1982-83	-13.2
Number of participants K-6	101.109	14	01د, 13	14	1982-83	-7.5
Number of participants 7-12	30,702	L0	20,875	12	1982-83	-32.0
Number of nonpublic participants	1,909	21	1,773	19	1982-83	-7.1
Percent of all nonpublic school students setved	.,,					
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		3.6	24	1980-81	
Number of public participants	129,902	13	112.012	13	1982-83	-13.3
Percent of all public school students served in	147,702	13	112:012		1902 05	
Title I/Chapter I	27.0	L	24.1	1	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading		28	74.4	39	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading Percent of students receiving wath	79.8 52.0	20 H	46.6	11	1982-81	
-	8.1	19	12.5	13	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts Percent of students receiving English for limited-		-		24		
English-proficient	IJ	NA	U.2	29	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	48.5	2	44.4	1	1100-03	
Services	40.)	2	44.4	L	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and	26.8	7	<b>57</b> U	9	1982-83	
guidance services	20.0	'	27.8	9	1982-03	
<u>Citle L/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics</u>						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	6,004	10	4,321	10	1982-83	-28.0
Number of FTE teachers	2,319	13	1,744	16	1982-83	-24.8
Number of FTE aides	2,847	_A	1,930	y	1982-83	-32.2
Number of FTE administrative staff	164	7	1.24	7	1982-83	-26.2
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	22.0	15	26.5	26	1982-83	20.5
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	6525	31	\$547	38	1982-83	4.2
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage						
then					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-85	

### Hississippi (continued)

_	1979-	80	Nost R	ecent Ye	ar	
	Amoun#	Karak	Amount	Rank	fgar	Petcen Change
ate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	152	32	153	32	1982-83	V,7
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$1,482	49	\$1,598	51	L982-83	14.5
1979-80 dollars			\$1,256	51	L982-83	-15.7
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	482,039	30	468,294	30	1982-83	-2.9
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			50.115	20	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			9,5	22	1980-81	
and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously			19,780	<b>3</b> 4	1980-8L	
affiliated schools Total euroliment in elementary and secondary schools			39.5 527,175	51 30	1980-81 1980-81	
Per capita income	\$6,102	53	38,857	51	1984	45.3
RIS tax capacity index	70	51	71	51	1982	
udent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142 Number of handicapped children served under	41,117	30	49,515	29	1982-83	20.4
P.L. 89-313	1,313	Jo	1,368	35	1982-83	4.;
Petcent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			8.8	33	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- ptoficient			0,2	40	1960	
School eurollment by race Percent White			55.8	49	1980	
Percent Alack			43.4	2	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.3	47	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Alcut			0.J	29	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			1.2	37	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			30.4	1	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children In Poverty
0	0 - 10
17.9	11 - 20
26,5	2L - 30
29.1	31 - 40
26.5	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 6.0 Jackson

12.7 Jackson, DeSoro County (Hernando), Kankin County Brandon), Harrison County (Gulfport), Greenville





Mississippi (continued)

# Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 3, 5, 8, 11 Expected uses: high school graduation First graduating class assessed: 1987 -

School improvement programs

Each school district in Mississippi was required to have a management plan by 1984. Special SEA monitoring units will be evaluating school districts in 1985; district accreditation is contingent on compliance with this mandate. A reading aid program for grades 1-3 was established under the Mississippi Education Reform Act of 1982. There are no State-sponsored effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

No statewide career ladder or merit pay program has been instituted in Mississippi. For initial certification, new teachers must be evaluated in basic skills, knowledge, and classroom performance beginning in 1986.



HUSSOURI						
	1070-3					
	1979-80		MOSC K			
	Amount	Rank	Λησιμε	Kank	fear	Percent Change
Fitle I/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$53,913,054	20	\$51,696,872	20	1984-85	- 1
Total LEA grants	\$49,403,432	20	\$48,274,714	20	1984-85	-2.3
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$34,861,780	20	1982~53	-29.4
			633,431,242	20	1984-do	-32.3
State administration set aside	\$796.744	20	8484,643	20	1964-85	- 39 . 2
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$1,438	41	<b>\$</b> 884	44	1984-85	-38.5
LEA child count for formula purposes	178.774	19	138,653	20	1984-85	-22.4
Title I/Chapter 1 Participation_Data						
Total number of participants	67,408	20	85.949	18	1982-83	-1.7
Number of participants K-6	67,498	20	69,140	Ο	1982-83	2.4
Number of participants 7-12	19, J24	18	15,677	16	1982-63	-18.9
Number of nonpublic participants <sup>*/</sup>	192	45	200	41	1982-83	35.4
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	1	•				
	(not available)		0.2	50	1980-01	
Number of public participants	87,216	20	85,089	18	1982-03	-1.8
Percent of all public school students served in	,					
Title 1/Chapter 1	10.0	25	10.7	13	1982-83	=
Percent of students tecelving reading	62.2	44	66.5	47	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving with	35.5	21	36.4	22	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	12.1	14	8.9	18	1962-63	
Petcent of students receiving English for limited-						
English-proficient	0.1	25	0.2	23	19B2~83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition						
services	3.4	23		ЯА	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and						
guidance services	0.9	36		NA	1982-83	
Title I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title L/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	3,317	23		21	1982-83	-13.3
Number of FTE teachers	2,188	14		12	1987-81	-10.4
Number of FIE aides	955	28	JZ6	27	1982-83	4.5د-
Number of FTE administrative staff	28	4 ا	32	29. ST	1982-83	14.3
Title I/Chaptet i pupil/staff ratio	26.4	38	24.9	31	1982-83	13.3
Title I/Chapter I average per pupil expenditure	\$565	21	\$548	37	1982-83	-3.0
International authorate Pulloute stademiners in						
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominare in LEAs and the SEA encourages them					1984-aj	
nuss dan rie and endoprokes riem						
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-03	

\* By-pass state in which independent contractors provide services to nonpublic school students.



# Missouri (continued)

_	1979-80		Most Recent Year			
	Amos(n <b>t</b>	Rank	Amnunt	Rank	Ϋ́ear	Percent Change
ate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	554	!1	548	11	1982-83	-1.1
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$1,897	29	\$Z,394	38	1982-83	26.2
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$1,771	38	1982-83	-6 6
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary			41,771	10	1702-05	-6.6
Schools	872,933	15	802,535	16	1982-83	-8.1
Enroliment in compublic elementary and secondary						
schools			126, 319	13	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools			13.0	12	1980-81	
Enroliment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools			117,462	12	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enroliment in religiously			,	16	1704 01	
affillated schools			93.0	8	1980~81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			970,967	16	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$B,242	30	\$12,129	29	1984	47.2
RTS tax capacity index	97	30	91	34	1952	
ident Characteristics						
Number of handicapped chlidren served under						
P.L. 94-142	94,820	13	96,992	15	1982-83	2.3
Number of handicapped children served under	<b>.</b>					
P.L. 89-313	3, 314	20	2,992	23.51	1982-83	-9.7
Percent of total school enroliment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			10.4	14	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-			10.4	Τ <del>α</del>	1900-01	
proficient			0.2	40	1980	
School enrollment by race						
Percent White			85.4	26	1980	
Percent Black			13.5	Tà	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.5	31	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.3	32	1980	
Percent of school envolument of Spanish origin Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			1,4 14.0	33 24.51	1980 1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Fercent of Children in Poverty					
24.9	0 - 10					
41.7	11 - 20					
22.9	21 - 30					
8,9	31 - 40					
2.6	> 40					

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 20.1 St. Louis City 32.5 St. Louis City, Kansas City, Parkway (Chesterfield). Hazelwood, Springfield



Missouri (continued)

## Other State Programs

3

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 8+ Expected uses: not available First graduating class assessed: not available

School improvement programs

Under the Instructional Management System (INS), Missouri is in the process of bringing effective teaching research and methods to all schools in the State. implementing iMS is a district responsibility with technical assistance from the SEA.

Teacher reforms

A career ladder program is a major priority of the new Governor and the legIslature but a funding source must be found. Teacher candidates for high school certification must be tested in their subject areas.



MUNTANA	
---------	--

	1979-80		Most Recept Tear			
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Rank	Year	Percent Change
Mirle I/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$10, 197, 929	40	\$10,625,400	43	1964-85	-1.6
Total LEA grants	\$9,195,012	41	\$9,643,570	41	1984-85	4.9
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$6,407,294	41	1982-83	-30.3
			\$6,678,377	41	1984-85	-27.4
State administration set aside	\$225,000	44.50	\$225,000	43.51	1984-85	Ú
State administration set aside per operating LFA	\$403	50	\$401	50	1984-85	-0.5
LEA child count for formula purposes	25,910	44	21,749	44	L984-85	-16.1
itle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Toral number of participants	12.991	44	11,577	43	1982-83	-10.9
Number of Participants K-6	7,017	48	6,026	45	1982-83	-5.6
Number of participante 7-12	5,958	37	4,951	33	1982-83	-16.9
Number of nonpublic participants	434	40	442	38	1982-83	1.8
Percent of all nonpublic school students served						
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		5.9	10	1980-BL	
Number of public participants	12,557	44	11,135	43	1982-85	-11
Percent of all public school students setved in	- ,					
Title 1/Chapter 1	7.9	37	7.3	36	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	84.1	16	67.9	40	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	32.4	31	42.2	14	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language atts	12.9	10	17.3	6	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-		-				
English-proficient	Ď	NA	0	NA	1982-83	_
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	•		•			
Bervices	2.3	25	0	NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and						
guidance services	12.4	2;	5.2	20	1982-83	
itle 1/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	772	42	502	42	1982-83	-35.0
Number of FTE teachers	321	40	253	40	1982-83	-21.4
Number of FTE aides	40ь	38	230	4L	1982-83	-43.3
Number of FTE administrative staff	20	37	ť	43	1982-83	-70.Q
Title I/Chapter I pupil/staff ratio	16.8	5	23.1	14	1982-83	37.5
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$708	8	\$748	11	1982-83	5.6
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage						
them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-85	



Montana (continued)

-	1979-80		Host Recent Year			
	Amount	Kank	Ampunt	Rank	Year	Percent Change
ate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	558	10	561	10	1962-83	0.9
Ovetail average per pupil expenditure	\$2.367	16	\$3,224	13	1982-03	36.,
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$2,385	13	1982-83	0.1
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	158,208	42	152,335	42	1982-83	-3.7
Enrollment in noopublic elementary and Secondary schools			7,060	45	196u-81	
			4,7	43	1980-81 1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary						
and secondary schools			6,743	45	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enroliment in religiously affiliated acbools			87.9	16	<b>1</b> 980-81	
arriinated schools Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			162,861	43	1980-81 1980-81	
Per capita income	\$7,545	35	\$10,216	44	1984	35.4
RTS tax capacity index	113	6	110	15	1962	551
ud <u>ent Characteristics</u>						
Number of handicapped chlidren served undet						
P.L. 94-142	12,284	41	14, 782	41	1982-83	20.
Number of handicapped children served under	,					
P.L. 89-313	497	50	433	49	1982-83	-12.
Petcent of total school enrollment served by						
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			8.2	39	1980-81	
Petcent of children aged S-17 limited-English- proficient			0.3	39	1980	
School enrollment by race			0.5		1300	
Percent White			91.9	16	1980	
Percent Black			0.2	51	1980	
Percent Aslan and Pacific Islander			0.4	40	1980	
Percent American Indian/Esklum/Alent			7.0	6	T8R0	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			0.8	46	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			12.7	30	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children <u>In Poverty</u>
33.6	0 - 10
34.0	11 - 20
13.7	21 - 30
9.0	31 - 40
9.7	> 40

Fercent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 4.1 Billings High #2

17.4 Billings High #2, Billings Elementary #2, Great Fails digh #A, Great Falls Elementary #1, Missoula County High



Montana (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

ý

Minimum competency testing

No program established at the State level

School improvement programs

Montana does not have a district or school planning requirement. In cooperation with the Northwest Regional Education Laboratory, the State piloted an effective schools project focusing on principal effectiveners.

Teacher reforms

A statewide career ladder or merit pay program has not been reported. The Unief State School Officer has proposed that new teachers be required to pass a precertification test.



	NEBRASKA						
	1979-80	)	Most Recent Year				
	Amount	Runk	Αποιιατ	Капк	Year	Percent Change	
fitle I/Chapter 1 Allocation Data				_			
Total allocation	\$16.685,985	37	\$16,430,522	37	1984-85	-1.5	
Total LEA grants	\$15,477,089	37	\$15,480,335	36	1984-85	< 0.1	
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	•••••		\$10,276,339	36	1982-83	-33.6	
tural war Brauco in Walasawa reek in Morinia			\$10,720.454	16	1944-85	-10.7	
State administration set aside	\$246,591	37	\$225,000	43.51	1984-85	-8.8	
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$238	51	\$232	51	1984-85	-2.5	
LEA child count for formula purposes	48,405	36	37,704	Ĵb	1984-05	-22.1	
	-04900	20	2141000				
itle [/Chmpter ] Participation Data							
Total number of particlpants	29,105	36	21,412	37	1982-83	-26.4	
Number of participants K-6	21,072	37	17,847	37	1982-83	-17.6	
Number of participants 7-12	7,421	34	3,563	37.51	1982-83	-52.0	
Number of nonpublic participanis	2,905	T8	2,040	17	1982-83	-29.6	
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	,						
in fitle I/Chapter 1	(not available)		<b>b.b</b>	7	1980-81		
Number of public participants	26,201	36	19,360	37	1982-83	-26.1	
Percent of all public school students served in							
Title I/Chapter 1	9.1	31	7.2	37	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving reading	76.4	34	83.2	14	1982-d3		
Percent of students receiving math	34.0	25	39.2	17	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving language arts	3.7	32		NA	1982-83	~=	
Percept of students receiving English for limited-							
English-proficient	0	NA		NA	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	v	1126		••••	1702 05		
services	Û	NA	~-	NA	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving attendance and	Ŭ	an		1413	1702 03		
*	0	NA		NA	1982-83		
guidance services	0	an		PA	1902-09		
itle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics							
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FIE staff members	1,218	37	836	37	1982-83	-31.4	
Number of FTE teachers	672	33	507	34	1982-83	-24,6	
Number of FTE aldes	424	37	299	39	1982-83	-29.5	
Number of FTE administrative staff	36	33	18	36	1982-83	-50.0	
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	23.9	28	25.6	23	1982-83	7.1	
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$532	30	8549	24	1982-83	22,0	
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in							
LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage them					1984-85		
Schoolwide projects: None teported by SEA					1984-85		



#### Nebraska (continued)

_	1979-	Ku	Most R	ecent fea	ar	
	Amount	dank	Amount	Калк	Year	Percent Change
ate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	1,035	2	968	4	1982-83	-6.5
Overail average per pupil expenditure Overail average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$2,063	24	\$2,920	24	1982-63	41.)
1979-80 dollars			\$2,160	24	1982-03	4.7
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	287,288	36	269,009	96	1982-85	-0,4
Enroliment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			38,574	29	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in conpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affillated elementary			12.1	14	1980-81	
and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously			37,207	25	1980-81	
affillated schools			96.5	2	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			319,004	9F	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$8,454	25	\$12,280 97	28 25	1984 1982	45.1
RTS tax capacity index	Tùn	201	77	20	1702	
udent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under	_					
<sup>D</sup> L. 94-142 .umber of handicapped children served under	29,836	36	30,023	36	1982-83	0.5
P.L. 89-313	550	48	425	50	1982-83	-22.7
Percent of total school enrollment setved by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-inglish-			9.6	21	1980 <b>-8</b> 1	
proficient School enrollment by face			U. J	37	1980	
Percent White			93.5	13	1980	
Percent Black			4.1	32	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.5		1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			U. 9	19	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			2.6	22	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			11.0	37	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percont of Children in Poverty
35.5	0 - 10
27.0	11 - 20
17.9	21 - 30
10.2	31 - 40
9.3	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment22.3 OmahaPercent poor children in State in S largest LEAs30.6 Omaha

30.6 Omaha, Lincoln. Millard, Papillion, Westside

Community (Omaha)



Nebraska (continued)

Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the local level Grades assessed: 5+ Expected uses: not available First graduating class assessed: not available

School improvement programs

Nebraska has no requirement for district or school planning nor does it have any State-sponsored effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

A career ladder has i in established with salary increases based on experience and preparation. Education program candidates must pass a basic skills exam; teacher candidates must pass a precertification exam in their subject area.

179

ERIC



	1979-80	)	Nost R	Pcent fea	r	
	Amount	Kank	Amount	Rank	Year	Percent Change
fitle f/unapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$4,922.245	51	\$6,773,166	50	1984-85	37.6
Total LEA grants	\$3.771.595	51	\$5,521,320	49	1984-85	46.4
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$3,133,014	49	1982-83	-16.9
•			\$1,323,029	49	1984-85	1.4
State administration set as lie	\$225,000	44.51	\$225,000	43.51	1984-35	0
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$13,235	7	\$13,235	b	1984-85	Q
LEA child count for formula purposes	11,494	50	14,867	чĦ	L984-85	29.3
fitle 1/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	5,978	49	7,081	49	1982-83	18.5
Number of participants K+6	3,547	50	3, 506	49	1982-83	-1.2
Number of participants 7-12	2,431	46	3, 575	36	1982-83	47.1
Number of nonpublic participants	87	49.51	51. 51	20	1982-83	-29.9
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	0,		- <b>-</b>			
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		ذ.1	44	1980-81	
Number of pub participants	5,891	49	7,020	49	1982-83	19.2
Percent of all public school students served in	3,071		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	·		
Title 1/Chapter 1	4.0	51	4.7	49	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	83.1	20	28.8	51	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	55.8	6	52.9	8	1982-83	~-
Percent of students receiving language arts	2.3	ن ز	8.4	20	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	••••	2.	•••			
English-proficient	Ú	NA	0.9	18	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and putrition	v		~~~		1,01,03	
-	0	8A	0.2	Z4	1982-83	
services		1378	Ų.2	24	1902 05	
Percent of students receiving attendance and	Ű	NA	1.0	<b>54</b>	1982-83	
guidance services	Ū	114	1.0	74	1742 03	
<u>Atle f/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics</u>						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTÉ staff members	241	50	192	49	1982-83	-20.3
Number of FIE teachers	96	SU	79	50	1982-83	-17.7
Number of FTE aldes	99	50	88	47	1982-83	-11.1
Number of FTE administrative staff	3	48	2	49	1982-83	£.£
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	24.8	32	30.9	48	1982-83	48.8
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	<b>\$6</b> 31	14	\$59H	27	1982-83	-5.2
instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LEAs although SEA uses not encourage or discourage						
then					1984-dj	
Schoolwide Projects: None reported by SFA					1984-85	



#### Nevada (costinuei)

	1979-	80	Most R	ecent fei	3E	
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Bank	Year	Perceni Change
te_Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	17	48	17	49	1982-83	0
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$2,932	25	\$2,082	J4	1887-83	27.1
1979-80 dollars			\$1,910	ीव	1982-83	-o.0
Encollment in public elementary and secondary schools	147,734	44	151,194	43	1982-83	2.3
Encoliment in nonpublic elementary and secondary			n, 599	47	1980-81	
schools Percent of total enrollment in compublic schools			4,2	44	1980-81 1980-81	
Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			5,655	4 <b>b</b>	1980-81	
and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic enroliment in teligiously			2,023	10	2,00 01	
affiliared schools			85.7	20	1980-81	
Total enroliment in elementary and secondary schools			156,080	44	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$9,929	5	\$13,216	14	1984 1982	33.1
RfS tax capacity index	154	3	151	3	1307	
dent Characteristics						
Number of handlcapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	10,830	43	12.707	42	1982-83	17.1
Number of handlcapped children served under						
P.L. 89-313	377	51	01à	44	1982-83	64.3
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			7.6	43	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-						
proficient			1.0	15	1980	
Star enrollment by race						
fercent White			84.2	27	1960	
Percent Black			9.3	24	1960	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			1.9	4	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			2.3	9	1980	
Percent of school enroilment of Spacish origin			8.6	10	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverry			9.4	49	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAS

Percent of Children in Poverty
01 - 0
11 - 20
21 - 30
31 - 40
> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment 94.8 Clark County (Las Vegas) Percent poor children in State in 5 Largest LEAs 85.9 Clark County (Las Vegas)

 64.8 Clark County (Las Vega5)
 85.9 Clark County (Las Vegas), Washoe County (Reno), Carson City, Eiko, Douglas County (Mindea)



Nevada (continued)

Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency test

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 3, 6, 9, 11 Expected uses: high school graduation, remediation First graduating class assessed: 1/82

School improvement programs

The SEA is designing workshops to disseminate effective schools concepts to local educators. The State does not have a district or school planning requirement.

Teacher reforms

A statewide cateer ladder or merit pay program has not been reported. A competency test is required for certification.

ERIC

# NEW HAMPSHIRE

	1979-30	)	Most K	ecent Yea	r	
	Amonat	Rank	Amount	Kank	Year	Perceni Chaoge
fitle E/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$5.662,733	49	<b>58.</b> 129.674	49	1984-85	43.6
fotal LEA grants	\$4,580.335	49	\$6,827.980	47	1984-85	49.1
Intal LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	<b>7</b> · · ·		\$3, 317, 177	48	1982-83	-27.1
			\$4,728,518	47	1984-85	3.2
State administration set aside	6225,000	44.51	\$225,000	43.5F	1984-85	ų
State administration set aside per operation LEA	\$1,433	42	\$1,424	37	1984-85	-0.0
LEA child count for formula purposes	15.340	41	18,215	4h	1984-85	18.7
Itle 1/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	8,334	48	7,876	48	1982-83	-5.5
Number of participants K-6	7,277	41	6,484	46	1982-83	-10.9
Number of participants 7-12	962	50	1,278	47	1982-83	32.8
Number of nonpublic participants	479	39	395	<b>J</b> 9	1982-83	-17.5
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	~~,		270	• ·	1742 45	
In Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		2.5	33	1980-81	
Number of public participants	7,855	48	7,481	4H	1982-83	-4.8
Percent of all public school students served in	1,032	40	7 4 4 V I		1346 03	
Title I/Chapter 1	4.6	50	4.7	48	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	78.0	31	80.8	19	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	10.2	Su	I1.8	48	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	14.2		11.2	14	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-		,	11.12	<b>.</b> /		
English-proficient	U.4	23	0.1	25	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition		••		20	1702 00	
SERVICES	Ü	hA	0	NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and	•		· ·		1,04 05	
guidance services	0,3	62	3.3	26	1982-83	
-	0,2	-14	5.5	20	1904 01	
itle 1/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/C spter 1 FTE staff members	592	44	404	45	1982-83	-31.8
Number of FTE teachers	149	47	118	46	1982-83	-20.8
Number of FTE aides	318	42	214	43	1982-83	-32.7
Number of FTE administrative staff	45	29	26	31	1982-83	-42.2
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	14.1	1	19.5	4	1982-83	38.3
Fitle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$550	26	\$573	33	1982-83	4.2
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in						
LEAs and the SEA encourages them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: Not available					1984~85	



#### New Hampshire (continued)

-	1979-	8J	Nost K	ecent lea	ť	
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Rank	Year	Percent Change
Late Charactetistics						
Number of operating LEAs	157	١٤	158	31	1982-83	U.6
Overail average per pupil expenditure Overail average per pupil expenditure in adjunted	\$1.830	34	\$2,702	29	1982-83	47.2
1979-80 dollars Enrollment in public elementary and secondary			\$1,999	29	1982-83	8,9
si ols	170,546	4()	160,197	41	L982-83	-0.L
Enrol ment in conpublic elementary and secondar schools			20,721	37	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enroliment in religiously affiliated elementary			11.0	19	TARN-AF	
and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously			14, 8.15	37	1990-81	
affillated schools			71.6	39	1980-81	
Total encollment in elementary and secondary schools Per capita income	\$8,255	29	187,953 \$13,148	41 15	1980-81 1984	59.3
RTS tax capacity index	96	31	100	21.50	1982	77.7
tudent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142 Number of handicapped children served under	8,957	48	12,074	44	1982-83	34.8
P.L. 89-313 Percent of total school enrollment served by	3,070	17	2,069	29	1982-83	-43.6
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			6.7	49	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			0.3	38	1980	
School enrollment by tace Percent White			98.9	3	1980	
Percent Black			0.5	45	1980	
Percent Aslan and Pacific Islander			0.3	42	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskiwo/nleut			0.1	47	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			0.7	47	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			8.9	50	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children 
56.5	0 - 10
38.8	11 - 20
4.1	21 - <i>30</i>
0.6	31 - 40
Û	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment 12.2 Manchester Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 25.6 Manchester

12.2 Manchester 25.6 Manchester, Nashma, Salem, Portsmouth, Concord



Hew Hampshire (continued)

# Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Crades assessed: elementary, middle, and high school; many LEAs test in 4, 8, 12 Expected uses: grade promotion (at local option), high school graduation (at local option) First graduating class assessed: not available

School improvement programs

Most LEAs in New Hampshire develop a management plan based on assessment results. The SEA is holding regional awareness conferences to link effective schools research to the development of the management plans.

Teacher reforms

A mentor teacher program is under consideration in the legislature. Effective December 1985, new teachers must pass a skills test for certification.

ERIC

NEW J	ERSEY
-------	-------

	1979-80		llos: Recent feat			
	Amount	Rank	Awount	Kank	Year	Perce Cluing
tie I/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$83,281,820	12	\$107,537,686	9	1984-85	29.
Total LEA grants	\$72,933,401	12	\$99,222,239	y	1984-85	36.
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$54,829,422	11	1982-83	-24.
·····			\$68.713,402	9	1984-05	-5.
State administration set aside	\$1,230,765	12	\$1,008,134	9	1984-85	-18.
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$2,093	ډر	\$1.732	32	1984-85	-17.
LEA child count for formula purposes	180,155	18	209,083	12	1984-45	16.
tle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	121,379	15	169,046	5	1982-83	39.
Number of participants K-6	97,627	15	100,422	y	1982-83	9
Number of participants 7-12	20,524	17	40,231	6	1982-83	96
Number of nonpublic participants	12,454	4	10,956	4	1982-83	-12
Percent of all nonpublic school students served			•			
	(not available)		5.4	12	1980-81	
Number of public participants	108,925	17	158,090	5	1992-83	45
Percent of all public school students served in		_,				
Title 1/Chapter 1	8.5	34	13.5	6	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	60.3	45	68,2	45	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	41.5	15	59.3	4	1982-83	
Fercent of students receiving language arts	12.4	11		NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-						
English-proficient	7.1	5	7.9	B	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition						
services	6.0	20	2.0	17	1982-83	•
Percent of stud its receiving attendance and						
guidance services	9.0	28	34,9	2	1982-83	
tle 1/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics*						
Number of Title I/CL ster 1 FTE staff members	4,985	16	4,088	11	<b>1981-</b> 82	-18
Number of FIE teachers	2,673	8	2,410	9	1981-	-9
Number of FTE aldes	1,410	21	1,183	15	1981-0.	-16
Number of FIE administrative staff	205	0	206	4	1981-82	0
fitle f/Chapter 1 pupil/staff catlo	24.4	29	32.7	40	1981-82	34
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$601	17	\$4.39	47	1982-83	-27
instructional settings: Pullouts do not predominate in LEAs and the SEA does not encourage or discourage						
them them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-85	

\* ED does not have TIERS stafting data from New Jersey for 1982-83. Therefore, 1981-82 data have been used an estimates.



#### New Jersey (continued)

	1979-80		Nost Recent Year			
	Amount	Kank	Ampunt	Капк	Year	Percent Change
te Characteristics		_				
Number of operating LEAs	588	8	582	θ	1982-83	-1.0
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$3,093	3	\$3,945	5	1982-83	27.5
1979-80 dollars			\$2,918	5	1982-83	-5.7
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary	1,287,809	9	1,172,520	y	1982-53	-9.0
schools			229,878	6	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			15.0	9	1990-81	
and secondary schools			206,415	b	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enroliment in religiously affiliated schools			89,8	14	1980-81	
Total enroliment in elementary and secondary schools			1,475,886	9	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$9.708	8	\$ 15,282	4	1984	57.4
RTS tax cepacity index	102	23	105	16.31	1962	
de <u>ot Characteristics</u>						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	141,994	8	157,016	7	1982-83	10.6
Number of handicapped children served under	7,584	n	4 47 5		1003 11	/1.1
P.L. 89-313 Percent of total school enrollment served by	1,004	8	4,465	12	1932-83	-41.1
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			10,7	7	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-			10	•	1700 01	
proficient			1.5	в	1980	
School euroliment by race						
Percent White			78.8	31	1980	
Percent Black			16.3	16	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			1.6	ų	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.1	~5 	1980	
Percent of school enroilment of Spanish origin			8.6	ÿ	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			13.3	27	1980	

# Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children In Poverty
67.9	0 - 10
23.7	11 - 20
5.9	21 - 3U
2.1	31 - 40
0.5	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 16.5 Newark

34.7 Newark, Jersey City, Paterson, Freehold Regional. Camden City



189

New Jersey (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Allocations

1979-80	\$68.3 million
1984-85	\$88.0 million
1984-85 allecation in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$60.9 million

SCE funds as a proportion of federal funds

1579-80	82.0%
1984-85	81.9%

Funding approach: number of eligible students, multiplied by the additional cost factor (.18) for SCE, multiplied by the State average net current expense budget per pupil; eligible students are those receiving AFDC and those below stadndard on the Minimum Basic Skills Test and/or those in an approved SCE program of the prior year.

Targeting approach: students in grades K-11 who score below equivalent statewide standards in reading and math.

Number of students served:

1979-80	<b>340,</b> 501
1983-84	172,146

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 9-12 Expected uses: high school graduation, remediation First graduating class assessed: 1985

190

t

New Jersey (continued)

School improvement programs

Districts are required to define needs and set objectives for meeting those needs through an annual planning process. New Jersey has some State-sponsored effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

A master teacher pilot program was approved for the 1985-86 school year. Awards of \$5,000 are to be made to the top five percent of teachers in ten districts. The techers union is opposed to the program, so only two districts have agreed to participate. The State does not require testing for initial certification.





# NEW MEXICO

	1979-80	)	Most R	Most Recent Year			
	Amount	Rank	Amount	dank	Ynar	Perc <b>ent</b> Change	
Fitle I/Chapter I Allocation Data							
Total allocation	\$26,042,296	34	\$28,222,226	٦٦	1984-85	8.4	
Total LEA grants	\$22,676,619	34	\$25,409,911	34	19 <b>84-85</b>	14-1	
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$15,991,155	33	1982-83	-29.5	
			\$17,596,891	34	1984-85	-22.4	
State adulpistration set aside	\$384,860	يا ز	\$264,575	33	1984-85	~31,3	
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$4,371	24	\$2,973	24	1984-85	-32.0	
LEA child count for formula purposes	81,698	10	64,891	32	1984-do	-20.h	
Title I/Chapter I Participation Data							
Total number of participants	32,385	35	27,655	<b>J</b> 5	1982-83	-14.6	
	22,729	35	20.343	35	1962-03	-10.5	
Number of participants K-6 Number of participants 7-12	9,589	10	7,294	30	1982-83	-23.9	
	. 1, 373	23	L,24L	21	1982-83	-4.6	
Number of nonpublic participants	. 1,475	65	-1				
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	(not available)		7.3	2	1980-81		
in Title I/Chapter 1	31,012	35	26,414	35	1982-83	-14.5	
Number of public participants	51,012		20,41				
Percent of all public school students served in	11.3	18	9.8	18	1982-83		
Title I/Chapter 1		10 47	51.9	49	1982-63		
Percent of students receiving reading	54.9		13.7	47	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving math	19.8	45		-	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving language arts	£.0E	J	37.U	2	1702-03		
Percent of students receiving English for limited-				0	1000 07		
English-proficient	1.8	13	2.9	9	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving health and mutrition services	8.9	18	26.7	5	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving attendance and							
guidance services	24,8	Ą	13.2	13	1982-83		
Title I/Chapter I Program Characteristics							
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	1,677	33	1,302	31	1982-83	-22.4	
	1,077 búž	34	553	32	1982-83	-16.5	
Number of FTE teachers	829	31	579	29	1982-83	-30.3	
Number of FTE aides	7	44.5		42	1982-83	28.0	
Number of FTE administrative staff	19.3	9	21.2	9	1982-83	9.8	
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio		10	\$782	9	1982-83	11.7	
fitle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$700	10	419C	,	1,02 00		
Instructional settings: Pullouts Predominate in LEAs and the SEA encourages them					1984-85		
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-05		



### Hew Mexico (continued)

	1979-80		Most Recent Year			
	Amount	Kank	Amount	Kank	(ext	Percent Ubange
ate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	fl-s	3.8	89	39	1982-83	1.1
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$1,921	27	\$2,803	25	1987-93	45.9
Overall average per pupil expenditure in Adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$2,073	25	1982-83	7.9
Enrollment in Public elementary and secondary schools	275,57.1	37	268,632	37	19 <b>82-</b> 8 <b>3</b>	-2.5
Encollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary			18,027	39	1980-81	
schools Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools			6,2	18	1980-81	
Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools			12,854	40	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously			71.3	40	1980-81	
affiliated schools			289,225	37	1980-8L	
Total enroliment in elementary and secondary schools Per capita income	\$7.207	41	\$10,330	43	1984	43.5
RIS tax Capacity Index	[03	211	115	11.51	1982	
udent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under		38	(6.11)	77	1982-83	19.1
P.L. 94-142	19,974	38	45,831	37	1982-03	£7
Number of handlcapped children served under P.C. 89-313	5(15	49	503	46	1982-83	-0.4
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			8.3	38	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			4.1	L	1980	
School enrollment by race			71.7	42	1980	
Percent White			2.0	38	1980	
Percent Black Percent Aslan and Pacific Islander			U. 5	34	1980	
Percent American Indlan/Eskimo/Aleut			10.3	2	£980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			43.9	Ł	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			21.7	6	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent Poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment 21.9 Albuquerque Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 43.4 Albuquerque

21.9 Albuquerque 43.4 Albuquerque, Las Cruces, Gallup, Santa Fe, Roswell



New Mexico (continued)

Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 10-12 (at local option) Expected uses: not available First graduating class assessed: 1981

School improvement programs

Districts are required to develop program plans, including curriculum development. The State does not sponsor any effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

The legislature is considering a pilot project on merit pay. Prospective teachers must pass the National Teacher Examination for certification. Education schools must give a basic skills admissions test. A pilot, nontraditional certification program for liberal arts majors has been approved.

197

ERIC

	1970-80	ł	Most K	Most Recent Year			
	Amoutit	Rink	Amount	Rank	Year	Percent Change	
Itle I/Chapter 1 Allocation Data							
Total allocation	\$302,676,995	1		2	1984~85	16.1	
Total LEA grants	\$275,154,892	1	\$315,746,511	1	1984-85	14.3	
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	. ,		\$180,890,886	1	1982-8J	-34.5	
u j			\$218,061,019	1	1984-85	-20.8	
State administration set aside	64,473.058	1	\$3,295,235	2	1984-85	-26.3	
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$6.247	16	\$4,609	14	1984-85	-26.2	
LEA child count for formula purposes	678,449	2	672,780	2	1984-85	-0.8	
itle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data							
Total number of participants	347,701	3	298,652	Ł	1982-83	-14.1	
Number of participants K-6	238,109	و	201,259	3	1982-83	-15.5	
Number of participants 7-12	108,130	ī	97, 393	2	1982-83	-9.9	
Number of nonpublic participants	26,816	1	37,540	1	1982-83	40,2	
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	20,010	-	• • • •	-			
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		9.6	1	1980-81		
Number of public participants	320,885	3	261,062	- L	1982-83	-18.6	
Percent of all public school students served in		-					
Title 1/Chapter 1	10.8	21	9.6	20	1962-83		
Percent of students receiving reading	83.5	16	12.4	40	1982-83		
Percent of studences receiving math	36.2	10	35.5	24	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving language arts	4.3		16.1	9	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving English for limited-				-			
English-proficient	6.4	7	9.0	7	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	0.4	,	2.0	•	1,00 00		
services	U.5	35		NA	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving attendance and	015				1702 00		
guidance services	9.9	27		NA	1982-83		
•	J • J	.,		na	1702 05		
itie I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics							
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	15,722	2	9,219	4	1982-83	-41.4	
Number of FTE teachers	6,485	1	4,177	2	1982-83	-35.6	
Number of FTE aldes	8,220	2	748	25	1982-83	-90.9	
Number of FTE administrative staff	257	5	190	5	1982-83	-25.1	
Title I/Chapter i pupil/staff ratio	22.1	18	32.4	38	1982-83	46.0	
Title 1/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$794	4	<b>\$</b> 819	ь	1985-83	3.1	
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in							
LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage							
them					1984-85		
Schoolwide projects: Not available					1984-85		



#### New York (continued)

	L979-HU		Most Recent Year			
	Amount	dank	Amount	Калк	Теат	Percent Change
tate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	716	,	715	5	1982-83	-0.1
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	83.304	ł	\$4,573	2	1982-83	J8,4
1973-80 dollars			\$3,382	2	1982-83	2,4
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary	2,969,216	2	2,718,678	£	1982-83	-8,4
schools			579,670	L	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			Lp.g	6	1980-01	
and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously			50H, 976	L	1980-81	
affiliated schools			87.8	18	1980-81	
Fotal enrollment in elementary and secondary schools	64 177	1.1	3,450,674 \$14,121	2	1980-81	<i>.</i>
Per capita income RfS tax capacity index	\$9,177 84	12 39	>14,121 9 <b>2</b>	32 T	1984 1962	53.9
tudent Characteristics						
Number of handlcapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142 Number of handicapped children served under	197,863	4	234,314	٤	1982-83	18.4
P.L. 89-313	20,724	2	30,521	Ł	1982-83	47.3
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-			6,7	4B	T880-8T	
proficient			2.0	5	1960	
School enrollment by race Percent White			75.6	38	1980	
Percent Black			16.8	15	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			T*A	5	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.3	31	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			11.8	6	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			17.9	14	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LFAs

Percent of Children in Poverty
0 - 10
11 - 20
21 - 30
31 - 40
> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 62.4 New York City 69.1 New York City, Buffalo City, Rochester, Yonkers, Syracuse



New York (continued)

Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Allocations

1979-80	\$136.9 million
1984-85	\$177.6 million (estimate)
1904-85 allocation in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$123.0 million

SCE funds as a proportion of federal funds

1979-80	45.2%
1984-85	50.5%

Funding approach: in the State's basic aid formula, students scoring below minimal competence level on State assessments receive an additional weighting of .25; LEA allocations are based on the total number of students failing the test between 1977-78 and 1980-81 divided by the total number taking the test in those years; funds "attributable" to special needs students must be spent on programs for these students.

Targeting approach: LEAs identify participants on the basis of standardized tests.

Number of students served:

1979-80	478,012
1983-84	160,173

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 3, 5, 6, 8-12 Expected uses: high school graduation remediation First graduating class assessed: 1979

 $2^0$ 



New York (continued)

School improvement programs

New York has established a Resource Allocation Plan which identifies the resources needed by schools in the State and coordinates the delivery of Federal, State, local, and regional resources to them. The State has a planning requirement but does not sponsor any effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

The legislature is considering master teacher and career ladder proposals. New teachers must pass a test for certification.

	1979-8	U	Most R	Most Recent Year		
	Amount	đank	Amount	Rank	Үеаг	Percent Change
Title 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$93,181,062	9	\$80,115.700	IJ	1984-85	-14,0
Total LEA grants	\$82,480,578	9	\$72,533,060	12	1984-85	-12.1
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$55,035,108	У	1982-83	-32.5
			\$50,230,651	12	1984-85	-39.L
Stare administration set aside	\$1,377.060	Å	\$751,061	13	1984-85	-45.5
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$9,563	8	\$5,252	10	1984-85	-45.1
LEA child count for formula purposes	317,967	ø	221,539	LÌ	1984-85	-10.3
Title I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	149, 547	11	133,889	10	1982-83	-10.5
Number of participants K-6	110,019	13	87.009	13	1982-83	-20.4
Number of participants 7-12	39,140	8	40,139	4	1982-83	17.9
Number of nonpublic participants	726	J6	517	36	1982-83	-28.8
Percent of all nonpublic school students served		•				
in Title I/Chapter 1	(pot available)		1.2	46	1980-81	
Number of public participants	148,821	Ш	133,372	9	1982-83	-10.4
Percent of all public school students served in			•			
Title I/Chapter 1	12.9	13	12.2	10	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	87.0	9	B1.3	11	1982-83	
Petcent of students receiving math	19.1	46	24.5	4L	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	0.3	4Z	3.9	29	T885-43	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-						
English-proficient	U	NA	0.2	21	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and mutrition						
services	16.9	12	2.3	16	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and						
guidance services	21.9	13	4.8	22	1982-83	
<u>litle i/Chapter 1 Program Chatacteristics</u>						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	6,478	7	3,865	13	1982-83	-40.3
Number of FIE teachers	2,817	7	2,412	8	1982-83	-14.4
Number of FIE aldes	3,161	8	974	18	1982-83	-69.2
Number of FTE administrative staff	122	14	99	13	1962-83	-18.9
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	23.1	23	34.6	46	1982-83	49.8
fitle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$552	25	\$562	34	1982-83	1.6
Instructional settings: Pullouts do not predominat						
in LEAs and the SEA does not encourage or discoura	រ <b>្</b> វុធ					
them					1984-85	
and the second					1984-85	

NORTH CAROLINA

Schoolwide projects: Nome reported by SFA

1984-85

204



,

#### North Carolina (continued)

	L979-HU		Most Recent Year			
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Rank	Year	Percent Change
te Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	144	34	143	34	1982-83	-0,7
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$1,644	41	\$2,074	4 }	1982-83	26.2
1979-80 dollars			\$1,534	43	1982-83	-0,7
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	1,150,053	10	1,096,815	10	1982-83	-4.6
Euroliment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			58,078	23	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in compublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			4.9	41	1980-81	
and secondary schools			33,473	26	1980-81	
fercent of nonpublic enroilment in religiously affiliated schools			57.o	46	1980-81	
fotal enrollment in elementary and secondary schools	<b>\$7</b> ,104	43	1,187,454 \$10,758	1U 38	1980-81 1984	51.4
Per capita income RTS tax capacity index	#7,104 82	44	82	44.5ľ	1982	31.4
dent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	109,651	11	116,294	11	1982-83	b.]
Number of handlcapped children served under P.L. 89-313	5,243	13	4,292	13	1982-83	-18.1
Percent of total school enfollment served by	•		,			
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			10.0	18	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			0.2	42	1980	
School encoliment by race Percent White			70.4	43	1980	
Percent Black			27.6	7	1960	
Percent Aslan and Pacific Islander			0.4	39	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskiro/Aleut			1.5	14	1960	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			1.0	40	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			17.8	15	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
9.7	0 - 10
42.4	11 - 20
34.7	21 - 30
11.1	<u>31</u> - 40
2.1	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 5.4 Mecklenburg Charlotte

17.6 Mecklenburg Charlotte, Wake County (Raleigh), Forsyth Winston Salem, Cumberland County (Fayetteville), Gaston County (Dastonia)



2665

North Carolina (continued)

Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Allocations

1979-80	\$8.0 million
1984-85	\$8.8 million
1984-85 allocation in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$6.1 million

SCE funds as a proportion of federal funds

1979-80	6.6%
1984-85	11.0%

Funding approach: formula based on number of 11th graders failing State competency test and severity of their failure.

Targeting approach: students failing State competency test (required for diploma) and 9th and 10th graders identified as potential failures.

Number of students served:

1979-80	not available
1984-85	121,039

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 11; grades 1-3, 6, 9 are given a standardized achievement test which is used as a diagnostic tool by LEAs Expected uses: high school graduation First graduating class assessed: 1980 North Carolina (continued)

## School improvement programs

North Carolina does not have a requirement for district or school planning and the State does not sponsor effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

The State Board has developed a career ladder plan for teachers and administrators. It will be pilot tested in 16 districts in 1985-86 with a possible extension for a second year before it is fully implemented. The plan must be approved 'y the legislature. Two sections of the National Teacher Examination (NTE) must be passed for admission to teacher education programs. The entire NTE must be passed for certification.



#### NORTH DAKOTA

	1979-al	)	Host R	ecent Yea	I	Percent Change
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Rank	lest	
itle 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$9,170,856	46	\$8,073,381	46	1984-85	-5.4
Total LEA grants	\$7,851,353	44	\$7,469,163	46	1984-85	-4.9
Fotal LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$5,157,175	45	1982-83	-34.J
-			\$5,172,550	4o	1984-85	-34.L
State administration set aside	\$225,000	44.51	\$225,000	43.51	1984-65	U
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$743	49	\$771	46	1984-85	3.8
LEA child count for formula purposes	28,005	42	18,105	41	1984-85	-35.4
Itle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	10.239	47	10,480	<u>44</u>	1982-83	2.4
Number of participants K-b	7,953	45	8,821	42	1982-83	10.j
Number of participant# 7-12	2,251	47	1,659	45	1982-83	-20.3
Number of wonpublic participants	, - طلاذ	38	518	J5	1982-83	-1.4
Percent of all ronPublic school students served						
in Title I/Chapter 1 :	(not available)		4.5	18	1980-81	
Number of public participants	9,7(13	47	9.962	45	1982-83	2.7
Percent of all public school students served in	51202		11/UL		1.01	
Title I/Chapter 1	8.2	J6	8.5	26	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	79.9	27	79.1	28	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	28.4	37	24.0	42	1982-83	
		18	10.1	15	1982-03	
Percent of students receiving language arts Percent of students receiving English for limited-	9.8	10	LV.J	12	A,02 03	
	1.d	14	1.2	15	1982-83	
English-proficient	1.0	14	1,2	*-	1/02 05	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition services	0	NA		NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and	v	0.0			LYOL VJ	
guidance services	0	NA		NA	1982-93	
- ,	ů.				1702 43	
Itle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics					·	
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	581	45	491	43	1982-83	-15.5
Number of FTE teachers	386	37	330	38	1982-83	-14.5
Number of frE aides	187	47	156	44	1982-83	-16.0
Number of FTE administrative staff	7	44.51	4	45	1982-83	-42.9
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff tatio	17.6	7	21,3	11	1982-83	21.0
fitle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$707	5	\$565	23	1982-83	-13.3
Instructional settings: Pullouts do not predominat						
in LEAs and the SEA does not encourage or discoura	ec.					
them					1984-85	





#### North Dakota (continued)

-	1979-80		Host Recent Year			
	Amount	Kank	Amount	Rank	Year	Percent Change
te <u>Characteristics</u>						
Number of operating LEAs	<b>J</b> 0.3	21	292	22	1982-83	-3.t
Overall average Per pupil expenditure	\$1,824	35	\$2, <i>it</i> o	26	1982-83	50.5
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$2,031	Za	1982-83	11.3
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	117,688	45	117,078	40	1982-63	-u.S
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			10,659	44	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in upopublic schools			8.4	26	1480-61	
Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools			a' ora	44	1940-81	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously						
affiliated schools			85.3	22	1980-81	
Total enrollment to elementary and secondary schools			127,544	46	1980-61	
Per capita income	\$8,017	31	\$12,461	24 11.59	1984 1982	55.4
RTS tax c*pacity index	109	121	115	11.)1	1502	
lent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	9,219	47	10,314	47	1982-83	11.9
Number of handicapped children served under	<b>5</b> 1 -					
P.L. 89-313	557	47	488	47	1982-83	-1,2.4
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			7.4	45	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 llulted-English-			/.4		1700 01	
proficient			0.2	43	1980	
School enrollment by race						
Percent White			94.2	9	1980	
Percent Black			0.1	4 <b>b</b>	£980	
Percent Aslam and Pacific Islander			D.3	48	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			4.8	7	7.480	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			0.7	48	1570	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			14.0	24.5T	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Puverty
19.3	0 - 10
34,9	11 - 20
21.8	21 - 30
13.4	31 - 40
10.6	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest encollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs

3.3 Blsmarck 16.6 Blsmarck, Fargo, Grand Forks, Minot, Mandan

211



North Dakota (continued)

# Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

No program established at the State level

School improvement programs

All schools in North Dakota must meet minimum standards, but in order to be accredited with commendation, they must develop a school improvement plan. The State does not sponsor any effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

No career ladder or merit pay programs have been reported. North Dakota does not require testing for initial certification.

ERIC

	1979-81	)	Most R			
	Amount	e auk	Abount	Hank	Yeat	Percen Change
tle 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$96,477,532	8	\$112,578,130	8	1984-85	l6.7
Total LEA grants	\$84,009,910	н	\$103,812,686	8	1984-85	22.7
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$61,056,045	8	1982-83	-27.0
			\$71,492,441	8	1984-65	-15.9
State administration set aside	\$1,425,775	к	\$1.055,387	8	1984-85	- (6+ (
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$2,318	31	\$1,/16	33	1984-85	~26.0
LEA child count for formula purposes	287,110	11	283,557	7	1984-85	-1,,
tle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	144,254	12	119,640	11	1982-8J	-17.1
Number of participants K-6	130,915	6	<b>L1</b> 0,930	8	1982-83	-15.
Number of participants 7-12	8,796	32	5,932	<b>1</b> 1	1982-63	-32.0
Number of nonpublic participants	6,040	4	5,510	8	1982-81	-8.
Percent of all nonpublic school students served						
In Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		2.9	28	1980-8F	-
Number of public participants	138,214	12	114.124	11	1982-83	-17.4
Percent of all public school students served to	,					
Title 1/Chapter 1	6.8	նքյ	6.1	40	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving reading	88.0	В	89.5	£	1985-83	-
Percent of students receiving math	10.3	49	9.5	49	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving language arts	Ű	NA		NA	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving English for limited-						
English-proficient	U	NA		NA	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition						
services	< 0, 1	36	1.2	19	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving attendance and						
guidance services	0.0	39	5.1	21	1982-83	-
tle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	5,204	13	3,510	14	1982-83	-33.
Number of FTE teachers	3,679	£	2,708	6	1982-83	-26,
Number of FTE aides	1,228	24	561	32	1982-83	-54,
Number of FTE administrative staff	130	12	102	12	1982-83	-21.
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	27.4	41	34.1	43	1982-83	24.
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$587	20	\$690	20	1982-83	17.
lastructional settings: Pullouts predominate in						
LEAs although SFA does not encourage or discourage					1001	
them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-85	



#### Ohio (continued)

	1979-80		Most Recent Year			
	Amount	Kink	Amusint	Runk	ïear	Percent Change
ate Gharacteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	615	7	6[5	7	1982-83	U
Overall average per pupil exponditure	\$2,007	26	\$2,621	33	1982-83	30.0
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$1,939	31	1482-83	-3.4
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	2,025,250	5	1,860,245	5	1982-83	-8.
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary			258,357	5	1980-81	
schools Descriptions in computing the second			230,357	15	1980-81	
Percent of total enroliment in nonpublic schools Enroliment in religiously affiliated elementary			12.1	• •		
and secondary schools			254,063	5	1990-81	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment is religiously						
affiliated schools			94.7	5	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			2,225.738	6	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$8,b72	22	\$12,314	26	1984	42,1
RTS tax capacity index	101	24	42	321	T985	
udent <u>Characteristics</u>						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	188, J93	5	192,214	5	1982-83	2.
Number of handicapped children served under			10 A.A.	-	1002.03	
P.L. 89-313	12,959	6	10,020	7	1982-83	-22.
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			9.3	24	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-			7.2	• •	1700 01	
proficient			0.4	30	1980	
School enfolment by face						
Percent White			87.0	<u>7</u> 4	1980	
Percent Black			11.8	20	ТАРО	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			U.S	33	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.4	25	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			۲.٦	32	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			12.2	34	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
62.3	0 - 10
29.9	11 - 20
6.5	21 - 30
1.3	31 - 40
0	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment 12.3 Cleveland Percent poor children in State in S largest LEAs 33.2 Cleveland,

12.3 Gleveland -33.2 Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati, Poledo, Akron



.

Ohio (continued)

# Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Allocations

1979-80	\$57.0 million
1984-85	\$40.0 million (estimate)
1984-85 allocation in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$27.7 million

SCE funds as a proportion of federal funds

1979-80	59.1%
1984-85	35.5%

Funding approach: formula based on number of AFDC children; LEAs with either 50 or more AFDC children or 10% AFDC children are eligible.

Targeting approach: students in "greatest educational need" in Chapter 1 eligible buildings; educational need is determined by local needs assessments for which the State does not prescribe criteria for scores necessary to participate.

Number of students served:

1979-80	625,000
1983-84	not available

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: decided at the local level Expected uses: decided at the local level First graduating class assessed: varies at the local level

217



Ohio (continued)

School improvement programs

The emphasis of school improvement activities in Ohio is on the building level. The State requires school level plans. It also administers a voluntary effective schools program in which many districts have participated.

Teacher reforms

A career ladder program is under consideration. The State Board has proposed establishing an entrance exam for prospective teachers.

219

	Dklahoma					
	1979-80	1979-80 Noat		ecent Yea		
	Amount	Rank	Δαριοτ	Kank	Year	Percent Change
itle L/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$36,303,283	27	\$39,826,777	27	1984-85	9.7
Total LEA grants	\$32,464,30m	26	\$ 36, 546, 397	25	1984-05	12.6
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	10414041000	20	\$23.293.129	27	1982-83	-28.3
locat box granes in aujusche 1979 of horitars			\$25,309.278	25	1984-85	-22.0
	here en	27	\$373,304	27	1984-85	-30.4
State administration set aside	\$536,541	48	1603 CVCG	48 48	1984-85	-30.3
State administration set aside per operating LFA	\$805			25	1984-85	-25.2
LEA child count for formula purposes	125,025	23	93,462	23	1704-07	-().2
itle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
The Lowelson of Continuents	79,448	23	6 <b>1,09</b> 0	23	1982-83	-22.4
Total number of participants	-	26	43,986	27	1982-83	-12.6
Number of participants K-6	50,30L	12	17,515	14	1982-83	-39.3
Number of participants 7-12	28,844	44	312	1.4 4D	1982-83	14,3
Number of compublic participants	273	44	J12	40	1902-03	141-
Percent of all nonpublic school students served				וול	1000 01	~-
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		2.1	39	1980-81	
Number of public participants	79,175	22	ы.378	23	1982-83	-22.5
Percent of all public school students served in						
Title I/Chapter 1	13.6	12	10.3	15	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	73.4	38	73.0	38	1982-83	
Percent of studeors receiving math	34.2	24	34.0	20	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	17.8	5	16.7	7	1962-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-						
English-proficient	0	<i>UA</i>		NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and putrition						
services	9.6	17	2.0	18	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and						
guidance services	14.7	17	<b>8.</b> b	ĽΒ	1982-83	÷-
itle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	2,896	26	1,841	26	1982-83	-36.4
Number of FTE teachers	1,546	20	1,219	20	1982-83	-25.9
Number of FTE aides	915	29	551	33	1982-83	-39.8
Number of FTE administrative staff	115	15	32	29.51	1982-83	-72.2
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	27.4	42	33.5	42	1982-83	22.3
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	5409	47	\$510	41	1982-83	24.7
instructional settings: Fullouts predominate in						
LEAs and the SEA encourages them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1964-85	

20

# Oklahoma (continued)

	T438-B0		Most Kenent Year			
	Amount	Kank	Amount	Kank	fear	Percen Cluange
ate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	620	b	619	b	1982-83	-0.2
Overall average per pupil erpenditure	\$L_838	٤Ŀ	\$2,739	27	1982-83	49,1
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$2,020	27	1982-83	10.,
Encollment in public elementary and secondary schools	583,458	25	593,825	25	1982-83	1.1
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary			16,335	41	1980-81	
schools			2.7	50	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools			14,117	۶۲	- 1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously					1110(1_51)	
affillated schools			86.4	19 25	1980-81 1980-81	
foral enrollment in elementary and secondary schools	ALC 15 10	32	594,142 \$11,745	32	1980 81	46.
Per capita income	\$85028 198	52 14.50	120	5	1982	400
RTS tax capacity index	140	1.4 • 3 1	120			
udent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under		-			10015-03	р
P.L. 94-142	59,113	25	63,995	24	1982-83	8.
Number of handlcapped children served under	1.884	31	1,824	31	1982-83	-3.
P.L. 89-313	1.004	71	1,024	~		3,
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. B9-313 combined			10.7	8	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-						
proficient			0.4	28	1980	
School enroliment by race						
Percent White			81.8	29	1980	
Percent Black			8.6	25	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.7	23	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			7.8	5	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spaulsh origin			2.0	20	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			15.1	18.51	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
24.1	0 ~ 10
35.7	11 - 20
23.1	21 - 30
12.2	31 - 40
4.9	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 9.3 ľulsa

25.3 Tulsa, Oklahoma City, Putnam City (Oklanoma City), Lawton, Hidwest City



221

Oklahoma (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

A plan for statewide testing will be submitted to the State legislature in 1985.

School improvement programs

Oklahoma does not have a planning requirement nor does it sponsor any effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

The legislature has approved an extra \$500 annually to mentors. In 1980 it approved \$18 million annually for increasing education school entrance requirements, establishing an exit exam, requiring districts to provide inservice programs, and establishing a mentor program for first year teachers. The State Board is in the process of setting minimum criteria for all candidates for admission to teaching training programs.

**2**23

	1979-80	 	Most R			
	Anounr	Kank	Amount	Kank	Year	Percent Change
fitle i/Chapter 1 Aliocation Data						
Total allocation	\$32,988,926	29	\$38, 183, 167	JU	1984-85	15.7
Total LEA grants	\$24,059,776	33	\$27,227,799	32	1984-85	13.2
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$15,953,933	34 32	1982-83 1984-8 <b>5</b>	-33.7 -21.0
	h. 0		\$18,855,816	30 10	1964-85	-26.6
State administration set aside	\$487,521	29 39	\$357,956	39	1984-85	-26.1
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$1.568	35	\$1,158	37 34	1984-85	-11.0
LEA child count for formula purposes	64,499	20	57,415	J7	1704 05	
Title I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of patticipants	47,326	30	36,337	31	1982-83	-23.2
Number of participants K-6	32,066	32	25,730	32	1982-83	-19.8
Number of participants 7-12	14,910	23	10,278	23	1982-83	-31,1
Number of conpublic participants	850	34	840	26	1982-83	-1.2
Percent of all nonpublic school students served						
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		2.0	41	1980-81	-23.6
Number of public participants	46,470	30	35,497	31	1962-03	-23.0
Percent of all public school students served in	10 4			30	1982-83	
Title 1/Chapter 1	10.0	27	7.9	70 70	1982-83	<u></u>
Percent of students receiving reading	83.1	19 26	82.4 33.1	29	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	33.7	12	16.5	67	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	12.1	14	10.5	Ŭ	1702 00	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	U	NA		NA	1982-83	
English-proficient	v	na		11		
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	3.7	22		NA	1982-83	<b>~</b>
aervices	5.7					
Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services	5.2	31	2.5	29	1982-83	
Title I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
	1 121	71	1 110	35	1982-83	-40.7
Number of Title 1/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	1,871	31	1,110	36	1982-83	-35.2
Number of FIE teachers	656 1.045	35 27	425 571	31	1982-83	-45.4
Number of FTE aldes	1,045	27 32	22	35	1982-83	-46.3
Number of FTE administrative staff	4 <u>1</u> 25.3	32 33	32.7	41	1982-83	29.2
Title 1/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio		32	5594	 30	1982-83	16.9
Title 1/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$508	JL	8974			
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in						
LEAs and it is not known if the SEA encourages						
or discourages them					1984-85	
					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					•	

URECON



#### Oregon (continued)

_	1979-80		Most K	Most Recent Year		
	Aøgunt	Rank _	Amount	Капк	Year	Percent Change
e <u>Characteristics</u>						
Number of operating LEAs	311	18	309	18	1982-83	-0.0
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$2,576	7	\$3,425	8	1.982-83	13.1
1979-80 dollars			\$2,533	8	1982-83	-1.
Enrollment in public elementaty and secondary schools	467,128	31	448,184	31	1982-83	-4.,
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary			27,628	34	1980-81	
schools Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools			5.7	40	1980-81	
Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools			23,769	33	1980-51	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously affiliated schools			85.4	21	1980-61	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools	\$8,682	21	492,42/ \$11,582	31 34	1980-81 1984	33.
Fer capita income RTS tax capacity index	105	16	99	241	1982	
lent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under					tota as	,
P.L. 94-142	39, 464	32	41,052	34	1982-83	4.
Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 89-313	4,681	14	5,149	11	1982-83	10.
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			9.1	28	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			0.7	19	1980	
School enrollment by race Percent White			93.6	1.2	1980	
Percent Black			1.8	40	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			1.7	8	<b>19</b> B0	
Percent American ludian/Eskino/Aleut			1.5	12	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			3.5	18	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			10.8	411	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
42.3	0 - 10
44.8	11 - 20
10.0	21 - 30
1.9	31 - 40
1.0	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment 14.4 Portland Percent poor children in State In 5 largest LEAs

24.7 Portland, Salem, Beaverton, Eugene, North Clackamas (Milwaukie)



Oregon (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the local level Grades assessed: decided at the local level Expected uses: high school graduation First graduating class assessed: 1978

School improvement programs

The State has set general planning requirements but allows the districts much flexibility in the design of their programs. There are no State-sponsored effective schools projects.

## Teacher reforms

The California Basic Skills Test will be required for certification beginning in 1986. A Governor's commission has recommended an alternative certification plan for college graduates with no education school training, in which competency tests in basic skills, subject area, and education related areas must be passed. The commission also proposed that a master teacher certificate be established.



226

#### PENNSYLVANIA

-+

	1979-8L	t	Host R	ec <b>eat</b> Yea	ac	
	Amount	Kank	Amount	Rank	Year	Percen Change
Itle I/Chapter I Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$143,095,778	5	<b>\$</b> 159, <b>383,</b> 796	5	1984-85	11.4
Total LEA grants	\$125,874,477	4	\$143,490,367	5	1984-85	13.1
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	•		\$83,595,973	5	1982-83	-34.1
10101 -21 0-0000 -0 -130000 -0000 -00000			\$99,370,060	5	1984-85	-21.7
State administration set aside	\$2,114,715	5	\$1,494,177	5	1984-85	-29
State administration set aside pet operating LEA	\$4,196	24	\$2,988	23	1984-85	-28.
LEA child count for formula purposes	335,178	4	319,639	6	1984-05	-4.1
Itle I/Chapter   Participation Data						
Total number of participants	268,650	4	227,054	4	1982-83	-15.
Number of participants K-6	192,391	4	163,777	4	1982-83	-14.
Number of participants 7-12	75,002	3	62,446	3	1962-63	-16.
Number of apopublic participants	25,947	2	22,236	2	1982-83	-14.
Percent of all nonpublic school students Served	62, 347	-	20,000		2,02 00	
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		6.2	9	1980-81	_
		4	204,618	4	1982-83	-15.
Number of public participants	242,703	4	204,010	4	1700 00	
Percent of all public school students served in	10.3	16	11 6	u	1982-83	_
Ticla 1/Chapter 1	12.3	15	11.5	2	1982-83	_
Forcent of students receiving reading	86.8	11	89.8	_		_
istnent of students receiving math	41.5	16	40.7	15 39	1982-83	_
Permit of students receiving language arts	2.8	33	0.8	72	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-			•		1.000 01	
English-proficient	2.8	9	1.9	11	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition						
services	3.8	21	0.2	25	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving attendance and						
guidance services	36.0	5	37.3	1	1982-83	-
ttle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	8,345	4	5,900	6	1982-83	-29.
Number of FTE teachers	197	45	2,924	4	1982-83	1384.
Number of FTE aidcs	3, 394	5	2,147	8	1982-83	-36.
Number of FTE administrative staff	376	3	115	10	1982-83	-09.
Title I/Chapter 1 pupl1/staff ratio	32.2	48	38.5	49	1981-83	19.
Title I/Chapter I average pet pupil espenditure	\$472	40	\$498	45	1982-83	5.
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LEAs and the SEA encourages them					1984-85	
. ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					4 Aut	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-85	

ERIC Pruil Text Provided by ERIC

**2**28

#### Pennsylvania (continued)

Number of operating LEAs	504 52.431	Kank 12 13	Amount 500 \$3,218	Rank	Year	ferceot Change
Number of operating LEAs Overali average per pupil expenditure \$ Overali average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars Eprollment in public elementary and secondary schools 1,96 Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools Percent of total enrollment lo nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously	\$2.431					
Overali average per pupil expenditure\$Overali average per pupil expenditure in adjusted1979-80 dollarsEprollment in public elementary and secondary schools1,96Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools1,96Percent of total enrollment lo nonpublic schoolsEnrollment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schoolsPercent of nonpublic enrollment in religiouslySchools	\$2.431					
Overali average per pupil expenditure\$Overali average per pupil expenditure in adjusted1979-80 dollarsEprollment in public elementary and secondary schools1,96Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools1,96Percent of total enrollment lo nonpublic schoolsEnrollment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schoolsPercent of nonpublic enrollment in religiouslySchools		13	\$3,218		1982-83	-U.8
Eprollment in public elementary and secondary schools 1,96 Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously	1		<b>A</b>	14	1982-83	32.4
schools 1,96 Eurollment in compublic elementary and secondary schools Percent of total enrollment lo compublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously			\$2,380	14	1982-83	-2.1
schools Percent of total enroliment in nonpublic schools Enroliment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic enroliment in religiously	15,801	6	1,783,969	6	1982-83	-9.4
Percent of total enroliment in monpublic schools Enroliment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic enroliment in religiously			402.058	3	1980-81	
and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic enroliment in religiously			17.4	4	1980-81	
			362,080	3	1980-B1	
			90.1	13	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			2, 311, 350	5	1980-81	
	8.513	23	\$12,343	25 36 ľ	1984 1982	45.0
RTS tax capacity index	93	341	89	101	1902	
dent <u>Characteristics</u>						
Number of handicapped children served under						
	74,931	6	177,905	6	1982-83	1.7
Number of handicapped children served under		7		3	1982-83	N.S. (
P.L, 89-313 Percent of total school enrollment served by	15,313	3	18,372	3	1902-03	20.0
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			8.6	34	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-						
proficient			0.5	22	1980	
School enrollment by race						
Percent White			87.5	22	1980	
Percent Black			10.8	21	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.7	27	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.1	49 28	1980 1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			1.8	7.4	1980	

#### Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
50.7	0 - 10
42.0	11 - 20
6.1	21 - 30
1.2	31 - 40
0	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 30.5 Phiiadelphia

38.3 Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Erie, Bethelehem, Allentown



117

Pennsylvania (continued)

#### Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Program will begin in the 1985-86 school year

Funding approach: \$17 million will be allocated on the basis of each LEA's current proportion of State aid; an additional \$7 million will be allocated on the basis of the number of students scoring below the cutoff level on the statewide achievement test.

Targeting approach: students in grades 3, 5, and 8 will be selected on the basis of test scores.

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 3, 5, 8 Expected uses: remediation First graduating class assessed: not available

School improvement programs

Both districts and individual schools are required to develop five-year plans for school improvement in Pennsylvania. Districts receive \$1.00 per child from the State to help pay for the long range planning activities. The State does not sponsor any effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

A statewide career ladder or merit pay program is under consideration. Beginning in 1987, new teachers will be required to pass tests in basic skills and their subject areas. New teachers will also have a one year internship.

230



	1979-80	l	Host Recent Year			
<u></u>	Amount	Kank	Amount	Rank	Year	Percent Change
Itle 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$10,306,445	4 <b>i</b>	\$11,232,345	42	1984-85	შ.4
fotal LEA grants	\$9,439,796	40	\$10,661,727	39	1984-85	12.9
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$6,530,007 \$7,383,407	40 39	1982-83 1984-85	-30.8 -21.8
State administration set aslde	\$225,000	44.51	\$225,000	43.5r	1984-85	Ű
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$5,625	17.5	\$5,025	8.J	1984-85	0
LEA child count for formula purposes	25,949	43	24,207	42	1984-85	-6.7
itle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	18,745	39	12,043	42	1982-83	-35.8
Number of participants K-6	12,025	39	8,380	43	1982-83	-30.3
Number of participants 7-12	6,593	οί	3,565	37.50	1982-83	-45.9
Number of nonpublic participants	921	32	847	25	1982-83	-8.0
Percent of all compublic school students served				•	1000 01	
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)	~~	. 3.8	22	1980-81	
Number of public participants	17,824	39	11,195	42	1982-83	-37.2
Percent of all public school students served in			<b>2</b> 4		1004 45	
Title 1/Chapter 1	11.6	16	8.0	29	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	44.9	51	76.1	35	1982-83	~-
Percent of students receiving math	16.2	48	15.4	46	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	17.8	6	b.1	26	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited- English-proficient	8.9	4	22.8	2	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	0.9	-	22.0	4	1207-01	
Services	1.1	33	35.9	4	1982-83	<b>.</b>
Percent of students receiving attendance and	±• ±	2.5	55.7	•	1945 44	
guidance services	13.7	19	32.0	5	1982-83	
tle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	640	43	426	44	1982-83	-34.1
Number of FIE teachers	339	39	205	39	1982-83	-21.8
Number of FTE aides	187	46	59	49	1982-83	-68.4
Number of FTE administrative staff	21	36	27	32	1982-83	28.6
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	29.0	45	28.3	30	1982-83	-2.4
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupii expenditure	\$504	35	6734	16	1982-83	45.6
Instructional settings: Puliouts predominate in						
LEAs and it is not known if the SEA encourages or discourages them					1984-85	

RHUDE ISLAND



Rhode Island (continued)

-	1979-80		Most R	ecent fea:	r	
	Απουπτ	Rank	Agount	dank	Yeat	Percen Change
ate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	40	45.5T	40	45.5T	1982-83	υ
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$2,448	12	\$3,401	7	1982-83	41.4
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$2,560	7	1982-83	4.6
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary			42,000	•	1101 00	-19
schools	154,098	43	139,362	44	1982-83	-9.6
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			29,875	33	1980-81	
schools Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools			27,875 16.d	دد 7	1980-81	
Encoliment in religiously affiliated elementary			10.0	•		
and secondary schools			27,232	30	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enroliment in religiously			_			
affiliated schools			91.2	12	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools	\$8,200		178,195	42 18	1980-81 1984	55.2
Per capita income RTS tax capacity index	36,200 84	31 4 1	\$12,730 81	10 46	1904	JJ.2
NIS LAR COPACITY INCL	<b>4</b> 4		01		2702	
udent ChaTaCteristics						
Number of handlcapped children served ander						
P.L. 94-142	15,322	40	18,146	39	1982-83	18.4
Number of handlcapped children served under						
	749	44	443	48	1982-83	-40.9
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			9,9	19	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-					*100 01	
proficient			1.4	10	1980	
School enrollment by race						
Percent White			93.7	11	1980	
Percent Black			3.4	33	1980	
Percent Aslan and Pacific Islander			0.7	24	<b>198</b> 0	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.5	24	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			2,6	23	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			12.0	31	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
62.5	0 - 10
30.0	11 - 20
7.5	21 - 30
0	31 - 40
0	> 40

Percept poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment 30.9 Providence Percess poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 54.6 Providences

J0.9 Providence 54.6 Providence, Warwick, Pawtucket, Cranston,

.

East Providence



Rhode Island (continued)

# Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Allocations

1979-80	\$2.0 million
1984-85	\$2.0 million
1984-85 allocation in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$1.4 million

SCE funds as a proportion of federal funds

1979-80	19.2%
1984-85	17.9%

Funding approach: each LEA receives the same proportion of SCE funds as it receives of the total Chapter 1 allocation for the State.

Targeting approach: defined by educational and economic criteria; generally similar to Chapter 1.

Number of students served:

1979-80	7,200 (estimate)
1983-84	not available

Minimum competency testing

No program set at the State level

School improvement programs

The Rhode Island Local Planning and Assessment Process is a long-range school improvement effort primarily focused at the district level. Technical assistance is provided by the SEA. The State sponsored about 20 effective schools projects in 1984. Promotion of effective schools research is a priority of the Chief State School Officer for 1985.

# Teacher reforms

A statewide career ladder or merit pay program has not been reported for Rhode Island. The legislature has asked the SEA to explore the feasibility of requiring a basic skills test before initial teacher certification.



#### SOUTH CAROLINA

	1979-80 Most		' Most K	ecent Ye		
	Amount	Rank	Adouat	Капк	Year	Percen Change
tle I/Chapter 1 Allocation Bata						
Total allocation	\$57,965,593	19	\$49,035,128	22	1984-85	-15.4
Total LEA grants	\$54,610,003	TR	\$45,953,347	21	1984-85	-14.0
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$36,770,010	19	1982-83	-32.7
• •			\$32,516,168	21	1984-85	-40.3
State administration set saide	\$856,633	19	\$459,690	22	1984-65	-46.
State administration set saide per operating LEA	\$9,311	-ý	\$4,997	12	1984-85	-46.
LEA child count for formula purposes	209, 377	17	145,717	19	1984-85	-30.7
tle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	97,960	19	<b>v2,</b> U61	22	1982-83	-36.1
Number of participants X-5	68,401	19	50,133	24	1982~83	-26.
Numbet of participants 7-12	28, 985	11	11,095	22	1982-83	-61.
Number of nonpublic participants	597	37	533	34	1982-83	-10.
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	277					
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		1.3	45	1980~81	-
Number of public participants	97,363	19	61,528	22	1982-83	-36.
Percent of all public school students served in	21,202		01,540			
Title I/Chapter 1	15,6	в	10.1	17	1982-83	_
Percent of students receiving reading	64.1	43	58.8	48	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving math	42.0	14	48.2	10	1982-83	-
	15.8	8	13.8	10	1982-83	+
Percent of students receiving lang age arts	13.0	Ų	10.0	14	1907-03	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	0	NA		NA	1982-83	_
English-proficient	U	NA		ра	1962-93	-
Percent of students receiving health and putrition	38.9	5	44.1	2	1982-83	-
services	70.2	3	44.1	2	1702-03	-
Percent of students receiving attendance and	22.5	12	26.3	10	1982-83	_
guidance services		14	20.3	10	1902-05	
tle l/Chapter l Program Charactetistics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	4,456	17	3,147	±₿	1982~83	-29.
Number of FTE teachers	1,621	21	1,132	22	1982-83	-30.
Number of FTE aides	2,223	14	1,530	13	1982-83	-31.3
Number of FIE administrative staff	95	20	75	20	1982-83	-21.
Title I/Chaptet 1 pupil/staff ratio	22.0	17	19.7	5	1982-83	-10.
fitle 1/Chaptet 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$557	24	\$801	7	1982-83	43.
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in						
LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage						
thep					1984-85	



# South Carolins (continued)

-	1979-80		Most K			
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Kank	Year	Percen Change
ate Ch <u>aracteristics</u>						
Number of operating LEAs	92	38	92	38	1982-83	0
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$1,598	44	\$1,926	49	1982-83	20.1
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$1,425	49	1982-83	-10.4
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	624,795	24	61d,518	24	1982-83	-2.0
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary			49,619	27	1980-81	
schools Percent of total enrollgent in nonpublic schools			7.4	31	1980-81	
Earollment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools			25,265	31	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously affillated schools			50.9	49	1980-81	
Total euroliment in elementary and secondary schools			668,842	24	1980-81	
Per capits income	\$6,719	49	\$10,075	46	1984	49.5
RTS tax capacity index	76	49.51	74	49.5[	1982	
udent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under		_				
P.L. 94-142	69,758	21	70,386	21	1982-83	υ.
Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 89-313	1,708	32	1,319	36	1982-83	-22.4
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			10.5	13	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			0.2	44	1980	
School eproliment by race			61.0	48	1980	
Percent White			61.9 37.3	48 3	1980	
Percent Black Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.5	37	1980	
rercent Asian and Pacific 15jadger Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.2	40	1980	
Percent American indian/Eskimo/Aleut Percent of school enrollment of Spaulsh origin			1.2	36	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			20.7	8	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children <u>in Poverty</u>
6.5	0 - 10
34.4	11 - 20
29.0	21 - 30
22.6	31 - 40
7.5	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Petcent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 6.1 Greenville County

26.7 Greenville County, Charleston County, Richland (Columbia), Aiken County, Berkeley



South Carolina (continued)

# Other State Programs

State compensatory education

Allocations

1979-80 1984-85	not applicable; \$60.5 million	program	began	in the	1984-85	school	year
SCE funds as a propo	ortion of federal funds						
1984~85	123. 5%						

Funding approach: funds allocated on a per pupil basis at two different funding levels ("compensatory" and "remedial") and within five categories; categories are classified by a combination of grade level and percentile rank on the Comprehensive Test of Basic Skills.

Targeting approach: students in grades 1-12 are targeted for either compensatory or remedial education based on their scores in reading and/or math on the Comprehensive Test of Basic Skills.

Number of students eligible

1984-85 257,411 (duplicated count, students eligible for service in more than one subject area are counted twice)

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 1-3, 6, 8, 11 (beginning in the 1985-86 school year, grade 10 will be tested instead of grade 11) Expected uses: grade promotion, high school graduation, remediation First graduating class assessed: 1990

# School improvement programs

The State requires school level planning and sponsors effective school projects. In June 1984, the legislature approved an incentive grant program which will reward schools and districts for achievement, improved student and teacher attendance, and parent participation. The legislature also required that criteria be established for the evaluation of district quality and authorized the State to assist or intervene in districts that do not meet the criteria.

# Teacher reforms

In 1984 the legislature established a teacher incentive program for superior performance. It is supported by the unions which are monitoring the development of pilot programs. For initial certification, prospective teachers must pass the National Teacher Examination (NTE). The SEA has developed tests for certification in areas not covered by the NTE. College graduates with degrees in areas of critical meed who pass an examination will be allowed to teach under provisional contracts.



SOUTH DAKUTA

	1979-80	J	Most R			
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Raux	Year	Percent Change
Itle 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Totai allocation	\$9,703,732	45	\$9,794,210	45	1984-85	0.4
Total LEA grants	\$9,059,276	42	\$9,181,192	43	1984-85	1.3
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$6,54J.453	39	1982-83	-27.В
			\$6,358,166	43	1984-85	-29.8
State administration set aside	\$225,000	44.SF	\$225,000	43.of	1984-85	0
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$1,203	43	\$1,203	38	1984-85	U
LEA child count for formula purposes	34,967	39	27,517	41	1984-85	-21.3
Itle 1/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	15,272	43	12,740	41	1982-83	-16.6
	11,930	41	10,337	41	1982-83	-13,4
Number of participants K-6 Number of participants 7-12	3,269	43	2,403	43	1982-83	-26.5
	899	33	670	31	1982-83	-25.5
Number of nonpublic participants	077		0,0	_		
Percent of all nonpublic school stidents served	(not available)		6.9	4	1980-81	
in Title 1/Chapter 1	14,373	43	12,070	41	1982-83	-16.0
Number of public participants	14,070	··· •				
Percent of all public school students served in	10.7	22	9.7	19	1982-83	
Title I/Chapter 1	76.1	35	77.0	31	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	59.2	3	53.6	7	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	4,1	29	1.9	37	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	4,1	27	1.7	2,	4,002 00	
Percent of students receiving English for limited"	0			NA	1982-83	
English-proficient	U	NA		NR.	1902-05	
Percent of atudents receiving health and nutrition	•			NA	1982-83	
services	0	NA		ра	1702-03	
Percent of acudents receiving attendance and		•			1000 00	
guidance services	1.9	34		NA	198 <b>2-</b> 83	
itle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	1,010	38	725	39	1982-83	-28.2
Number of FIE teachers	457	36	348	37	1982-83	-23.9
Number of FTE aides	491	36	371	36	1982-83	-24.4
Number of FIE administrative staff	471	46	3	47	1982-83	-50.0
Title L/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	15.1	3	17.6	2	1982-83	16.6
Title 1/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$593	18	\$694	19	1982-83	17.0
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in						
LEAs and the SEA encourages them					1984-65	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-85	



.

South Dakots (continued)

-	1979-	во 	Mose R			
	Amount	Kank	Amount	Карк	Year	Percent Chauge
tate Characreristics						
Number of operating LEAs	187	26.51	187	26.51	1982-63	Ø
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$1,798	38	\$2,388	40	1792-83	32.8
Overail average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$1,766	40	1982-83	-1.t
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	133,840	45	123,897	45	1982-83	-7.4
Eurollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary			1.5			
schools			10,898	43	1980-81	
Percent of toral enrollment in conpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			7.8	27	1980-81	
and secondary schools			9,108	43	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enroliment in religiously affiliated schools			83.6	26	1980-81	
Total enrollmenr in elementary and secondary schools			139,405	45	1880-8 <b>7</b>	
Per capita income	\$7,497	36	\$11,049	36	1984	47.4
RTS rax capacity index	95	32	67	38.51	1962	
tudent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	9,272	46	11,300	45	1982-83	21.9
Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 89-313	578	45	541	45	1982-83	-0.4
Percent of total school enroliment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			7.3	46	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English proficient			0.3	34	1980	
School enrollment by race						
Percent White			89.0	21	1980	
Percent Black			0.3	49	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.3	49	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			10.2	3	1960	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			0.7	50	1980	
Fercent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			19.4	11	1960	
Distribution of shifting to provide series life						

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
8.2	0 - 10
25.5	11 - 20
30.1	21 - 30
18.4	31 - 40
17.9	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 5.1 Siour fails

19.2 Sloux Falls, Rapid City, Aberdeen, Shannon County, Watertown



South Dakota (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

No program established at the State level

School improvement programs

The State has a planning requirement and sponsors effective school projects.

Teacher reforms

In 1984 the legislature passed a resolution to study merit pay and career ladder plans. The Governor has proposed a three level career system with a one year apprenticeship, a minimum of three years at the instructor level, and a senior level with appropriate increases in salary at each level. The Governor has also proposed competency testing for the graduates of teacher training programs.

FRĬC

	TENNESSEE					
	1979-80		Most Recent Year			
	Anoimt	Rank	Amount	напк	Year	Perce Change
tle_I/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$69,026,352	įb	<b>\$</b> 65,029,936	15	1984-85	-5.
Total LEA grants	\$65,907,511	15	562,078,531	14	1984-85	-4.
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	,,	_	\$44,109,118	15	1982-83	. قار -
Torde out grants II zajasten evit og abernes			543,406,185	14	1984-85	- 34
State administration set aside	\$1,020,093	16	\$009,037	15	1984-85	-40
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$5,893	14	\$4,176	18	1984-85	~ 39.
LEA child count for formula purposes	249,239	14	194,045	14	1984-65	-22
the I/Chapter 1 Participation Data	2471837	1		<b>_</b> *		
	161 330	10	7 JU 117 C	J	1982-83	-8
Total number of participants	151,239	10	138,045	ଧ 7		o- 4-
Number of participants K-6	122,412	8	112,008	4	1982-83 1982-83	-2
Number of participants 7-12	26,613	13	26,037	-	1982-83	-11
Number of nonpublic participants	433	31	822	27	1982-63	-11
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	(		1.0			
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		1.0	47	1980-81	~B
Number of public participants	150,306	10	137,223	8	1982-63	-0
Percent of all public school students served in		-			1000 10	
Title I/Chapter 1	17.4	5	15.6	3	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	75.3	36	76.3	34	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	39.4	18	44.5	12	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	4.3	28	14.4	11	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	_	_				
English-proficient	2.2	12	< 0.1	28	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and autrition						
<b>Betvices</b>	14.1	14	9.4	13	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and				_		
guldance services	40.8	4	<b>9.</b> 8	17	1982-83	
le I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title 1/Chapter 1 FIE staff members	5,968	11	4,513	8	1982-83	-22
Number of FTE teachers	2,911	6	2,572	7	1982-83	-11
Number of FTE aides	2,080	17	1,645	11	1982-83	-20
Number of FTE administrative staff	496	2	107	11	1982-83	-78
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	25.3	35	29.9	33	1982-83	18
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$436	42	\$432	48	1982-83	-0
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage						
theo					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-8Ĵ	

FullText Provided by ERIC

-

## Teanessee (continued)

-	1979-80		Mosr Recent Year			
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Карк	Year	Percent Chaoge
te Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	148	33	146	33	1982-83	-1.4
Overall average per pupii expenditure Overali average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$1,505	47	\$1,945	48	1982-83	29.2
1979-80 dollars			\$1,439	48	1982-83	-4,4
Encolment in public elementary and secondary schools	866,117	16	828,264	15	1982-BJ	-4,4
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			71,617	20	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			7.7	28	7980-81	
and secondary schools			50,823	20	1980-81	
Percent of ponpublic enroliment in religiously affiliated schools			71.0	41	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			925,186	18	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$7,080	46	\$10,400	41	1984	46.9
RTS tax capacity index	81	45.5T	77	48	1982	
deat Characteristics						
Number of handlcapped children served under P.L. 94-142	91,394	15	104,623	13	1982-83	14.5
Number of handlcapped children served under P.L. 89-313	1,610	33	1,468	34	1982-83	-8.6
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			10.3	16	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-			0.2	45	1980	
proficient School enrollment by take				-		
Percent White			79.9	30	1980	
Percent Black			19.6	13	1980	
Percent As. and Pacific Islander			0.3	44	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			1.0	46	1980	
Percent of school enroliment of Spanish origin			0.8	43	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			20.2	10	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	fercent of Children in Poverty
8.5	0 - 10
45.1	11 - 20
32.4	21 - 30
1 <b>2.</b> U	31 - 40
2,1	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest eprollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 22.2 Memphis Ciry

36.5 Memphis City, Nashville-Davidson County, Chattanooga City, Kaox County (Knoxville), Shelby County (Memphis)



Tennessee (continued)

#### Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at both the State and local levels Grades assessed: 8-12, and, at local discretion, 4 or 5 or 6 Expected uses: high school graduation, remediation First graduating class assessed: 1982.

School improvement programs

All districts must develop a school improvement plan on an annual basis. The State has piloted the Incentive Funding Project, in which incentive awards, based on measured gains of student proficiency or percentage of students performing above a certain level, are given to the school to be distributed by the school faculty. There are no State-sponsored effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

The Tennessee legislature has approved the Governor's five-step career ladder program with annual incentives ranging from \$500 to \$7,000. The program will cost \$1.2 billion over a three year period. New teachers will serve for a probationary year followed by a three year apprentice certification. Master teachers will have eleven or twelve month contracts. Advancement is tied to evaluations, but the Tennessee Education Association is trying to remove teacher testing and peer evaluation from the career ladder system. The State also has merit pay bonuses ranging from \$1,000 to \$7,000. Students entering State teacher training programs must pass the California Achievement Test or a preprofessional skills test and a composition test. Graduates must pass tests of skills and subject area mastery.

248



	15-002					
	1979-80		Most R	ecent Ye	 ar	
	Anount	Raok	Amount	Kank	Year	Percen Change
itle 1/Chapter 1 Allocarion Data						
Total allocation	\$244,435,041	3	\$248,925,559	3	1984-85	1.8
Total LEA grants	\$174,757,350	٤	\$183,936,533	3	1984-85	5.3
	******	-	\$121,674,388	3	1982-83	-30.4
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars				3	1984-85	-27.1
	<b>No.</b> 2011. N. 10.	2	\$127, 379,870			-35,4
State administration set aside	\$3,612,339	3	\$2,333,605	3	1984-85	
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$3,354	26	\$2,171	28	1984-85	-35,3
LEA child count for formula purposes	644,917	3	571,701	ز	1984-85	-11.4
Itle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	421,000	2	315,841	2	1982-83	-25.U
• •	347,095	2	271,025	2	1982-83	-21.9
Number of participants K-6		4	41,269	5	1982-83	-36.6
Number of participants 7-12	65,102			1		26.3
Number of nonpublic participants	5,185	10	6,549	1	1982-83	20.3
Percent of all nonpublic school students served						
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		3.8	23	1980-81	
Number of public participants	410,631	2	309,292	2	1982-83	-24.7
Percent of all public school students served in	•					
Title I/Chapter 1	14.3	11	10.4	14	1982-83	
•	17.3	33	70.4	43	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading				37	1982-83	_
Percent of students receiving math	43.9	13	28.1	-		
Percent of students receiving language arts Percent of students receiving English for limited-	10.8	17	3.5	30	1982-83	
English-proficient	<b>t.</b> 0	8	9.3	6	1982-83	ہے۔
	••••	-		•		
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	10.1	б	_	NA	1982-83	
services	30.1	0		NA	1702-03	
Percent of students receiving attendance and		_				
guidance services	24.4	10		NA.	1982-83	
tle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	13,951	3	15,690	1	1982-83	12.5
Number of FIE teachers	5,068	2	5,690	ī	1982-83	12.3
		ົ້	6,163	ź	1982-83	42.4
Number of FTE aides	5,734				1982-83	-83.5
Number of FTE administrative staff	1,662	1	274	Ĩ		
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/scaff ratio	30.2	47	20.1	6	1982-83	-33,4
Sitle 1/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$41.5	44	\$521	39	1982-8J	25.5
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in						
LEAs althou; 1 SEA does not encourage or discourage						
them					1984-85	
C11610					A704 UJ	
					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: Yes					1904-05	



## Texas (continued)

	1979-80		Most Recent Year			
	Amoup*	Rank	Amount	Rank	Year	Percent Change
te Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	1,077	T	1,075	1	1982-83	-0.,
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$1,815	36	\$2,633	31	1982-83	45.1
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$1,947	31	1 <b>9</b> 82-83	7.
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	2,872,719	٤	2,985,659	2	1982-63	3.
Enrollment in poppublic elementary and Secondary			140 124		1000 01	
schools			148, 534	11	1980-81 1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools			4,9	42	1,00-01	
Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools			130,540	10	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic encollment in religiously affiliated schools			87.9	17	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			3,048,607	3	1980-81	
· · ·	\$8,478	25	\$12,636	20	1984	49.
Per capita income RTS tax capacity index	117	4	130	4	1982	
lent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	252,893	2	272,999	2	1 <b>9</b> 82-83	δ.
Number of handicapped children served under			•			
P.L. 89-313	14,719	4	16,344	4	1982-83	11.
Percent of total school entoilment served by P.L. 54-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			9.1	29	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-						
proficient			4.0	2	1980	
School enrollment by race			<b>.</b> .	4.5	1	
Percent White			75.4	39	1980	
Percent Black			14.1	18	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.9	15	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			6.0	27	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			27.3	2	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			18.4	12	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
24.0	0 - 10
35.7	11 - 20
22,2	21 - 30
10.6	31 - 40
7.6	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest earollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 7.8 Houston 24.4 Houston, Dallas, Fort Worth, San Antonio, El Paso



Texas (continued)

## Other State Programs

Compensatory education program

Allocations .	
1979-80	\$42.9 million
1984-85	\$319.4 million
1984-85 allocation in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$221.2 million

SCE funds as a proportion of federal funds

1979-80	17.6%
1984-85	128.3%

Funding approach: based on a per pupil amount using the previous year's best six month average enrollment in the free or reduced price lunch program; formula uses ADA multiplied by an adjusted basic allotment, multiplied by 0.2.

Targeting approach: State and/or local testing programs are used to identify students performing below district expectancy levels in basic skills.

Minimum competency testing

New program, described below, becomes effective in school year 1985-86 Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12 Expected uses: high school graduation, remediation First graduating class assessed: 1986

School improvement programs

Districts are required to submit five-year plans which identify education needs and program priorities. Effective schools and teacher research is being utilized in the Sasic Skills Project to assist low achieving districts in improving the delivery of instruction.

Teacher reforms

Beginning in May 1986, a three tiered certification system will go into effect with provisional certificates, standard certificates, and professional certificates which require a Masters or Ph.D degree. Salaries will be based on degree held and a "public-differential index." A basic skills exit test will be required for certification beginning in May 1986. Current teachers must pass basic skills and subject area competency tests.



	1979-80		Most Recent Year			
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Kank	fear	Percent Change
tie I/Chapter I Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$10,165,940	41	\$12,069,860	40	1984-85	18.7
Total LEA grants	\$8.95L,b77	43	\$10,333,298	4U	1984-85	15.4
Total LEA grants in adjust, 1 1979-80 dollars			\$6,235,322 \$7,156,023	42 40	1982-83 1984-85	-30.3 -20.1
State administration set aside	\$225,000	44.5T	\$225,000	4J.5I		Ŭ
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$5,625	17.50	\$5,625	8.5r	1984-65	U
LEA child count for formula purposes	34,283	<b>4</b> D	3 <b>3,</b> 350	38	1984-85	-2.7
tie I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	21,646	38	16,839	38	1982-83	-22.4
Number of participants K-6	17,913	38	15,020	38	1982-83	-21.7
Number of participants 7-12	3,774	42	2,819	41	1982-83	-25.3
Number of nonpublic participants	178	47	69	49	1982-83	-01.2
Percent of all nonpublic school students served						
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		0.9	49	1980-81	
Number of public participants	21,511	38	16,770	38	TAR5-83	-22.0
Percent of all public school students served in						
Title I/Chapter 1	6.5	47	4.5	50	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	91.2	4	85.5	9	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	49.7	10	30.9	30	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving Language arts	0	NA	0,3	40	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-						
English-proficient	0	NA	1,9	10	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition						
services	0	NA	20,9	9	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and						
guidance services	Û	NA	0.8	35	1982-83	
tle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	951	39	750	38	1982-83	-21.1
Number of FTE teachers	185	46	103	48	1982-83	-44.3
Number of FTE aldes	706	32	598	28	1902-83	-15.3
Number of FTE administrative staff	18	39	12	39	198 <b>2-</b> 83	-33.3
Title I/Chapter i pupil/staff ratio	22.8	22	22.5	13	1982-83	-1.3
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$413	45	\$501	43	TAR5-R3	21.3
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in						
•						
LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage					1047 43	
•					1984-85	

UTAH

254

Full Text Provided by ERIC

#### Utah (continued)

-	1979-80		Mose Recept Year			
	Amount	ilank	Amount	Rank	Yea <b>r</b>	Percent Change
tate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	40	45.5F	40	45.5r	1982-85	0
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$1,605	43	\$1,978	43	1982-83	23.2
Overail average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$1,463	47	1982-85	-8.9
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	333,049	35	370,183	35	1982-83	11.1
Enroliment in compublic elementary and secondary			5, 555	49	1980-81	
schools			1.6	51	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			1.0	2*	1700 01	
and secondary schools			3,693	49	1980-8 <u>1</u>	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously			-			
afflilared schools			66.5	42	1980-81	
Total enroliment in elementary and secondary schools	_		349,173	35	1980-8L	
Per capita income	\$7,106	42	\$9,719	50	1984	30.8
RTS rax capacity index	87	40	86	40. )T	1982	
twient Characteristics						
Number of handlcapped children setved under						
P.L. 94-142	34,847	34	37,384	35	1982-83	7.3
Number of handlcapped children served under	_	_				
P.L. 89-313	1,280	36	L,584	33	1982-83	23.8
Percent of toral school enrollment served by			10.3	17	1980~81	
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			10.3	17	1300-01	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-Engl:sh- proficient			0.7	16	1980	
School enroliment by race					1,00	
Percent White			94.1	10	1980	
Percent Black			0.6	44	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			ι.4	12	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleur			2.0	11	1960	
Percent of school enrollmenr of Spaulsh origin			4.9	14	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverry			9.8	46	1960	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
37.5	0 - 10
55.0	11 - 20
2.5	21 - 30
5.0	31 - 40
0	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enroliment 14.0 Granite (Salt Lake City) Percent poor chlidren in State in 5 largest LEAS

- 49.7 Granite (Salt Lake City), Jordan (Sanac), Davis County (Farmingron), Alpine (American Fork), Salt Lake City



255

Utah (continued)

#### Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

Allocations	
1979-80	\$1.0 million
1984-85	\$0.9 million
1984-85 allocation in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$0,6 million

SCE funds as a proportion of federal funds

1979-80	9.8%
1984-85	7.4%

Funding approach: for each LEA, the number of weighted pupil units is multiplied by the ratio of disadvantaged pupils in the LEA to State total; disadvantage is defined by a combination of educational and socio-economic criteria; SCE funds are part of a State entitlement which may be used to support any combination of special programs included in a block grant.

Targeting approach: students from low income families, foster children, neglected and delinquent children, and AFDC children who achieve far below the average level for their age.

Number of students served

1979-80	5,000 (estimate)
1983-84	3,238

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the local level Grades assessed: decided at the local level Expected uses: high school graduation, remediation First graduating class assessed: 1980

257



# Utah (continued)

## School improvement programs

Utah does not have a planning requirement nor does it sponsor any effective school projects.

Teacher reforms

The legislature has authorized \$15.2 million for districts to develop career ladder plans that meet the approval of the State Board. Graduates of State institutions must be certified as computer literate in order to gain State certification.

ERIC

VERMONT	
---------	--

	1979-80		Most Accent fear			
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Rank	fear	Percent Change
Itle [/Chapter ] Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$6,789,642	48	\$8,183,184	47	1984-85	20.5
Total LEA grants	\$4,959,457	48	\$6,146,599	48	1984-85	23.9
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$3,003,638 \$4,256,648	50 48	1982-83 1984-85	-39.4 -14.2
State administration set aside	\$225,000	44.SI	\$225,000	43.5E	1984-85	Ű
State administration set aside per operating LEA	<b>Š9</b> 18	46	\$911	43	1984-85	-0.6
LEA child count for formula purposes	15,339	48	14,550	49	1984-85	-5.1
itle I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total cumber of participants	10,883	46	7,883	47	1982-83	-27.6
Number of participants K-6	7,776	46	6,067	47	1982-83	-22.0
Number of participants 7-12	2,555	45	1,451	46	1982-83	-43.2
Number of nonpublic participants	421	41	303	41	1982-83	-26.0
Percent of all nonpublic school students served						
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		4_7	15	1980-81	
Number of public participants Percent of all public school students served in	10,462	40	7,574	47	1982-83	-27.0
Title 1/Chapter 1	10.6	24	8.3	28	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	71.2	40	76.8	32	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	27.4	39	24.0	43	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	2.8	34	2.6	34	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	•••	2,				
English-proficient	0.1	26	0.1	27	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and autrition		-				
services	10.6	16		NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and						
Suidance services	5.7	30	1.3	33	1982-83	
itie 1/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	509	47	333	47	1982-83	-34.6
Number of FTE teachers	232	43	162	43	1982-83	-30.1
Number of FTE aides	224	44	142	45	1982-83	-36.6
Number of FTE administrative staff	17	40	12	41	1982-83	-29,4
Title l/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	21.4	13	23.7	17	1982-83	10.7
Title 1/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$456	41	\$515	40	1982-83	12.9
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in						
LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-85	
	•					



#### Vermont (continued)

-	1979-80		Host decent Year			
	Amount	Rank	Agount	R 10K	Year	Percent Change
ate <u>Characteristics</u>						
Number of operating LEAs	245	23	247	23	1982-83	0.8
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$1,911	28	\$2,992	22	1982-83	56.6
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	·		\$2,213	22	1982-83	15,8
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary	60.330	1.5				•
schools Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary	98,338	49	91,454	49	1982-83	-7.0
schools			7,555	45	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools			7.3	32	1980-81	
Enrollment in religiously affillared elementary and secondary schools			4,291	48	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enroliment in religiously				-0	1700 01	
affiliated schools			56.8	47	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			103,370	49	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$7,266	40	\$10,692	39	1984	47.2
RTS tax capacity index	85	41.ST	89	36T	1985	
udent Characterlstics						
Number of handicapped children Served under						
P.L. 94-142	10,139	45	7,053	50	1982-83	-30.4
Number of handLcapped children Served under						
P.L. 89-313	2,285	28	2,256	28	1982-83	-1.3
Percent of total school entollmenr served by						
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			11.7	3	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-						
proficient			0.1	48	1980	
School enrollment by race			<b>.</b>			
Percent White			99.0	1	1980 1980	
Percent Black			0.3	47	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.4	41	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.2	38	1980	
Percent of school enroliment of Spanish origin			0.7	49	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			13.0	29	1,480	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Fercent of Children in Poverty
28.1	0 - 10
5 <b>0.</b> 0	11 - 20
17.5	21 - 30
2.6 1.8	31 - 40 > 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 3.2 Burlington

13.3 Burlington, Mt. Anthony Union (Bennington), North Country Unified High (Derby), Champlain Vailey Unified High (Shelburne), Rutland City



Vermont (continued)

## Other State Programs

Siste compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the State level Grades assessed: 1-12 Expected uses: high school graduation First graduating class assessed: 1981 -

School improvement programs

Vermont does not have a planning requirement. Some districts are implementing effective schools projects with assistance from the SEA and the University of Vermont.

Teacher reforms

No statewide career ladder or merit pay programs have been reported. Vermont does not require testing for initial certification.



	VIRGINIA	_		_		
			Most R	eceat Ye	at .	
	Amount	ñauk	Amount	Rank	Year	Percent Change
Title 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$67,242,087	17	\$59,736,044	17	1984-85	-11.2
Totai LEA grants	\$62,552,494	16	\$56,821,749	17	1984-85	-9.3
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	,,		\$41,800,899	16	1982-83	-33.3
TOTAL Date Browed an aujusted asys of dotate			\$39,350,242	17	1984-85	-37.2
State administration set aside	\$993,725	17	\$560,008	17	1984-85	-43.6
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$7,361	12	\$4,148	19	1984-85	-43.6
LEA child count for formula purposes	220,584	15	157,013	17	1984-85	-28.5
ous carra count for formand perposed			,			
Title I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	111,781	17	83, 389	19	1982-83	-25.4
Number of Participants K-6	92,620	17	70,493	18	1982-83	-23.9
Number of participants 7-12	18,018	19	12,037	20	1982-83	-33.2
	10,010	51	12,031	51	1982-83	-100.0
Number of nonpublic participants*	7	)T	0		1702 05	10000
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	1		0.1	51	1980-81	
in Title I/Chapter 1*	(not available)		83,389	19	1980-81	-25.4
Number of public participants	111,772	12	63,367	17	1702 03	2014
Percent of all public school students served in	10.0		9 c	25	1982-83	
Title 1/Chapter 1	10.8	20	8.6	30	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	77.4	32	78.1	••		
Percent of Students receiving math	45.8	11	43.6	13 25	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts Percent of students receiving English for limited-	12.1	13	6.3		1982-83	
English-proficient	1.1	18	1.9	12	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition						
services	26.9	9	8.5	14	1982-63	
Percent of students receiving attendance and						
guidance services	23.6	11	15.6	12	1982-83	
Title I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title 1/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	5,032	15	3,334	<b>1</b> 7	1982-2	33.7
Number of FTE teachers	1,760	L8	1,405	18	1982-1.	20.2
Number of FTE aides	2,630	11	1,498	14	1982-83	-43.0
Number of FTE administrative staff	114	15	87	17	1982-83	-23.7
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	22.2	19	25.0	21	1982-83	12.6
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$560	23	\$678	21	1962-83	21,1
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LEAs and the SEA encourages them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-85	

\* By-pass state in which independent contractors provide services to nonpublic school students.



Virginia ( Contin	neq	
-------------------	-----	--

	1979-	80	Most R	ecent Yea	ar	
	Amount	Kank	Amoun <b>t</b>	Rank	Year	Percen Change
ate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	135	35	135	35	1982-83	U
Overall average per pupll expenditure	\$1,879	31	\$2,549	36	1982-63	35.7
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$1,885	36	1982-83	0.3
Enroliment in public elementary and secondary schools	1,031,403	14	975, 727	13	1982-83	5.4
Enrollment in compublic elementary and secondary			<b>76</b> 060	1.1	1890 81	
schools			75,U69 6.4	19 36	1980-81 1980-61	
Fercent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools			0.1	30	1700 01	
Enroliment in religiously affiliated elementary and secondary schools			48,262	21	1980-81	
Percent of nompublic enrollment in religiously			40,000	5 ¥	1,00 01	
affiliated schools			64.3	44	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			1,085,440	14	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$8,483	24	\$13,067	16	1984	54.0
RTS rax capacity index	93	34T	94	29	1982	
ud <u>enr_Characteristics</u>						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L, 94-142	87,694	17	97,556	14	1982-83	11.2
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 89-313	3,357	19	3,157	20	1982-83	~6.0
Percent of total school enrollment served by			9.0	31	1980-81	
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			9.0	31	1300-01	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			0.4	29	1980	
school enrollment by race			0.4	.,	1700	
Percent White			75.7	37	1980	
Percent Black			22.2	9	1980	
Percent Aslan and Pacific Islander			1.5	10	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			U.4	26	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			1.7	31	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			<u>1</u> 4.4	21	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
19.4	0 - 10
46.0	11 - 20
30.2	21 - 30
4.3	31 - 40
0	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in S largest LEAs 3.7 Fairfax County

19.2 Falrfax County, Virginia Beach City, Norfolk City, Prince William County, Henrico County



Virginia (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at both the State and local levels Grades assessed: K-6, 10-12 Expected uses: high school graduation First graduating class assessed: 1981

School inprovement programs

The Virginia Rural School Effectiveness Project is intended to help 26 LEAs implement research on effective schools and strengthen instructional programs in rural schools. The State does not have a district or school planning requirement.

Teacher reforms

The legislature has approved \$250,000 annually for a pay-for-performance pilot project in five districts. Teachers must pass the National Teacher Examination for initial certification. Beginning in July 1985, teachers must serve a two year probationary period before receiving permanent certification.





#### WASHINGTON

	1 <b>979-</b> B	,	Most R	ecent Ye	ent Year	
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Rank	Year	Percent Change
Title 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$41,649,223	26	\$46,453,775	24	1984-85	11.5
Total LEA graots	\$30,935,920	27	\$34,577,829	27	1984-85	11.8
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$24,194,076	26	1982-83	-21.8
			623,945,865	27	1984-85	-22.6
State administration set aside	\$615,505	25	\$435,491	24	1984-85	-29.2
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$2,052	34	\$1,452	36	1984-85	-29.2
LEA child count for formula purposes	92,713	28	90,052	27	1984-85	-2.9
Title I/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	61.058	28	59,246	24	1982-83	-3.0
Number of participants K-6	42,442	29	45,914	25	1982-83	8.2
Number of participants 7-12	15,298	21	12,898	18	1982-83	-15.7
Number of nonpublic participants	1,291	24	934	24	1982-83	-27.7
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	-,	-				
in Title I/Chapter 1	(not available)		1.8	42	1980-81	
Number of public participants	59,767	28	58, 312	24	1982-83	-2.4
Percent of all public school students served in	57,707		20,310			
Title I/Chapter 1	7.8	41	7.9	32	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	78.4	30	79.5	25	1982-63	
Percent of students receiving math	28.6	30	26.7	38	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	20.5	20	7.8	22	1982-83	
	1.4	20	110	2.2	1702 00	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	1 1	17		NA	1007-07	
English-proficient	1.1	17		NA	1982-63	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition • services	0	NA		NA	1982-83	
	υ	лқ		na	1702-03	
Percent of students receiving attendance and guidance services	0	NA	3.0	28	1982-83	
-	5	1	510	20	1702 03	
<u>Fitle i/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics</u>						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	1,584	34	1,329	30	1982-83	-16.1
Number of FTE teachers	758	29	665	30	1982-83	-12.3
Number of FTE aides	675	34	576	30	1982-83	-14.7
Number of FTE administrative staff	48	28	34	20	1982-83	-29.2
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	28.6	49	44,6	50	1982-83	55.9
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$507	33	\$552	36	1982-83	6.9
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage						
then					1984-85	



#### Washington (continued)

	1979-80 Host H		Most R	ecent Ye	cent Year	
	Amount	Kank	Amount	карк	Year	Percent Change
tate Characteristics					ŧ	
Number of operating LEAs	300	22	300	21	1982-83	U
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$2,498	<b>y</b>	\$3,143	17	1982-83	25.8
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$2,325	17	1982-83	-6.9
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	764,879	21	739,215	19	1482-83	-3.4
Enrollment in coopublic elementary and secondary			55,950	24	1980-81	
schools Research of papel excellence in propublic schools			5.4	24 37	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in compublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			47,049	22	1980-81	
and secondary schools			47,049	22	1700-01	
Percent of nonpublic entrollment in religiously affiliated schools			84.1	25	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			813,589	22	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$9,439	9	\$12,728	Ta	1984	34.U
RTS tax capacity index	103	211	102	19	1982	
tudent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	51,166	27	oU,837	26	1982-83	16.9
Number of handicapped chlidren served under	.) 001	23	3,458	17	1982-83	19.9
P.L. 89-313 Percent of total school encollment served by	2,883	23	5,400	74	1702-03	17.7
P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			7.8	42	1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-						
proficient			0.9	16	19 <b>8</b> 0	
School enrollment by race						
Percent White			90.2	18	1980	
Percent Black			3.0	37	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			2.9	3	1980 1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			2.0 ( 4.0	10 16	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			در ۹.۵ 10.3	10 45	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
42.6	0 - 10
44.3	11 - 20
9.4	21 - 30
2.0	31 - 40
2.7	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest entoilment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 9.0 Seattle

21.9 Seattle, Spokane, Shoreline (Seattle), Tacoma, Lake Washington (Kitkland)



ļ

Washington (continued)

Other State Program

State compensatory education program

Allocations

1979-80	\$6.7 million
1984-85	\$10.5 million
1984-85 allocation in edjusted 1979-80 dollars	\$7.3 million

SCE funds as a proportion of federal funds

1979-80	16.1%
1984-85	22.6%

Funding approach: two separate formulas for students in grades 2-6 and students in grades 7-9; both formulas utilize a per pupil amount based on enrollment and Statewide assessment scores; grades 7-9 use an additional multiplier.

Targeting approach: students in grades 2-6 who score below grade level in basic skills achievement; students in grades 7-9 who score in lowest quartile on Statewide assessment.

Number of students served

1979-80	32,352
1984-85	30,000 (estimate)

A new SCE wrogram for grades K-12 will begin in the 1985-86 school year.

Minimum competency testing

No program established at the State level.

School improvement programs

The State has a planning requirement and sponsors some effective school projects.

Teacher reforms

A career ladder system is under consideration. A model competency examination for prospective teachers is to be developed by 1987.



	West Virginia					
	1979-81		Most Recent Year			
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Карк	Year	Percen Change
tle I/Chapter 1 Ailocation Data						
Total allocation	<b>\$29,</b> 992,743	32	\$29,693,010	32	1984-85	-1.0
Total LEA grants	\$28,554,172	28	\$28, 329, 426	л	1984-85	-0,
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	,		\$19,994,197	28	1982-83	-30.
lotat new Realts III adjusted 1999 of dollars			\$19,618,715	31	1984-85	-11.
	\$443,242	32	\$278,363	32	1984-85	-37
State administration set aside	\$8,059	11	\$5,051	11	1984~85	-37.
State administration set aside per operating LEA				29	1984-85	-31.
LEA child count for formula purposes	108,831	27	75,122	27	1704-05	
tle 1/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Texal author of pertinings	43,/82	32	32,147	34	1982-83	-26,
Total number of participants		30	28,709	31	1982-83	-25
Number of participants K-6	38,384	38	3,438	39	1982-83	-36.
Number of participants 7-12	5,398			44		رد- رو-
Number of noopublic parricipants	412	42	235	44	1982-83	45
Percent of all nonpublic school students served			3. 11	20	1000 01	-
in Title 1/Chapter 1	(not available)	<b>.</b>	2.9	29	1980-81	
Number of public participants	43,370	31	31,912	وز	1982-83	-25
Percent of all public school students served in				•-		
Title I/Chapter 1	11.2	19	8.5	27	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	85.6	13	79.5	26	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	32.2	33	38.9	19	1982-83	•
Percent of students receiving language arts	Ű	NA		NA	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	•					
	0	NA	-	NA	1982-83	-
English-proficient	v	110			A TOM OF	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	51 C	-		NI A	1401 03	
services	27,9	7		NA	1982-83	-
Percent of students receiving attendance and						
guidance services	16.2	16		NA	1982-83	
tle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chaptet 1 FTE staff members	2,061	29	1,448	29	1 <b>982</b> -83	-29.
Number of FTE teachers	701	32	494	35	1982-83	-29
Number of FTE aides	1,102	26	812	21	1982-83	-26.
Number of FTE administrative staff	55	25	4;	26	1982-83	-23,
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	21.2	12	22.2	14	1982-83	4,
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$652	13	\$941	5	1982-83	29.
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in						
LEAs and the SEA encourages them					1984-85	
Schoolwlde projects: Mone reported by SEA					1984-85	





#### West Virginia (continued)

_	1979-	₿U	Most R	ecent Ye	ar	
	Amount	Kanx	Amount	Kank	Year	Petcer Change
ate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	55	42	55	42	1982-83	υ
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$1,812	37	\$2,704	28	1982-83	49.,
Overall average per pupli expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$2,000	28	1982-83	10.4
Enroliment in public elementary and secondary						
schools	388, 198	34	375,115	34	1982-83	-3.4
Eprollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary			12,608	42	1980-81	
schools Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools			3.2	47	1980-81	
Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary				-		
and secondary schools			11,768	41	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enroliment in religiously affiliated schools			93.3	7	1980-51	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			396,111	34	1980-81	
Per capita income	\$7,100	44	\$9,846	48	1984	38.
RTS tar capacity index	92	36	92	321	1997	
udent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	32,868	35	41,136	33	1982-83	25.2
Number of handlcapped children served under P.L. 89-313	1,096	39	1,282	38	1982-83	17
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			9.2	27	1960-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			0.1	51	1 <b>9</b> 80	
School enrollment by race						
Percent White			95.9	5	1980	
Percent Black			3.6	34	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.3	43	1980	
Percent American Indlan/Eskimo/Aleut			0.1	50	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			0.8	45	1980	
Fercent of children aged 5-17 in poverry			18.2	13	1980	

Distribution of children in povetty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
3.6	0 - 10
43.6	11 - 20
43.6	0ר - 21
<b>9.</b> 1	31 - 40
0	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 7.4 Kanawha County (Charleston)

22.7 Kanawha County (Charleston), Wood County (Parkersburg), Cabell (Huntington), Raleign County (Beckley), Harrison County (Clarksburg)



273

West Virginia (continued)

## Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

No program established at the State level

School improvement programs

Each district is required to develop an annual plan for school improvement that addresses locally identified needs. The SEA will conduct an annual desk review of the plans with an on-site review occurring every four years. The State does not sponsor any effective schools projects.

Teacher reforms

A career ladder plan is under consideration. Prospective teachers must pass a pre-professional skills test, a content area test, and professional education assessment.

ERIC

	WESCONSIN						
	1979-80		Most R	Nost Recent Ynar			
	<u>.</u>	Rank	Amount	Kauk	Year	Percent Unange	
Title L/Chapter 1 Allocation Data							
Total allocation	\$49,707,786	<b>2</b> 2	\$47,850,510	23	1984-85	-3.7	
Total LEA grants	\$44,575,654	22	\$43,906,859	23	1984-85	-1.5	
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollats	, ,		\$28,652,135	22	1982-83	-15.7	
			\$30,406,412	23	1984-85	-11.8	
State administration set aside	6734,597	22	\$448,585	23	1984-85	-38.9	
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$1,693	38	\$1,036	41	1984-85	-38.8	
LEA child count for formula purposes	124.817	24	49,996	24	1984-85	-19.9	
Title I/Chapter 1 Participation Data							
	71 465	25	57 300	25	1982-83	-20.0	
Total number of participants	71,665		57,308			-17.5	
Number of participants K-6	54,212	25	44,710	26	1982-83		
Number of participants 7-12	11,889	28	10,090	25	1982-83	-15.1	
Number of nonpublic participants	4,226	14	4,382	12	1982-83	J.7	
Percent of all nonpublic school students served							
in Title i/Chapter 1	(not available)		2.5	34	1980-81		
Number of public participants	67,439	24	52,926	26	1982-83	-21.5	
Percent of all public school students served in	,						
Title I/Chapter 1	7.9	39	6.7	42	1982-83		
Percent of students rectiving reading	48.2	50	48.5	50	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving math	33.2	28	29.3	34	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving language arts	38.6	2	21.3	4	1982-83		
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	20.0	-	61.64	•			
	0.0	19		NA	1982-83		
English-proficient	v. u	19		144			
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	o <b>n</b>	74		NA	1982-83		
services	0.9	34		04	1702-03		
Percent of students receiving attendance and					100		
guidance services	11.2	23		NA	1982-83		
Title 1/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics							
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	3,086	25	2,209	25	1982-83	-28.4	
Number of FTE teachers	1,478	23	1,327	19	1982-83	-10.2	
Number of FTE aldes	1,246	23	541	34	1982-83	-36.6	
Number of FTE administrative staff	105	18	87	18	1982-83	-17.1	
Title I/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	23.2	25	25.9	18	1982-83	11.6	
	\$622	16	5670	22	1982-83	8.7	
fitle I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	NOZZ	10	0010		I/GE VJ	•••	
Instructional settings: Pullouts predominate in							
LEAs and the SEA encourages them					1984-85		
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-85		



#### Wisconsin (continued)

	1979-80		Most R	ecent Yea	r	
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Rank	Year	Percent Change
tate <u>Characteristics</u>						
Number of operating LEAs	434	15	433	15	1982-83	-0.2
Overall average per pupil expenditure Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted	\$2,396	15	\$3,194	15	1982-83	13.3
1979-80 dollars			\$2,362	15	1982-83	-1.4
Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools	857,855	17	784,830	17	1982-83	-8,5
Enroliment in nonpublic elementary and secondary schools			162,361	у	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enroliment in religiously affiliated elementary			L6.4	ß	1980-81	
and secondary schools Percent of nonpublic coroliment in religiously			156,301	8	1980-81	
affiliated schools			96.3	3	1980-81	
Total enroliment in elementary and secondary schools	<b>\$</b> 8,701	19	992,608 \$12,309	15 27	1980-81 1984	41.5
Per capita income RTS tax capacity index	100	26 ľ	87	38.51	1982	
udent Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under				_		
P.L. 94-142	63, 317	23	69,925	23	1982-83	10.4
Number of handicapped children served under P.L. 89-313	2,294	27	2,293	27	1982-83	<0.1
Percent of total school enroliment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			7.1	47	1980-51	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			0.3	33	1980	
School enrollment by race Percent White			92.5	14	1980	
Percent Black			5.5	29	7380	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.5	32	1980	
Percent American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.9	17	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			1.8	29	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			9.6	47	1960	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
59.1	0 - 10
32.6	1i - 20
7.9	21 - 30
0.5	31 - 40
D	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enroliment 25.9 Milwaukee Percent poor children in State in 5 largest LEAs 34.5 Milwaukee, Racine, Madison, Green Bay, Kenosna

Wisconsin (continued)

# Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the local level Grades assessed: Once in grades 1-4, once in grades 5-8, and once in grades 9-10 Expected uses: high school graduation (at local option), remediation First graduating class assessed: not available

#### School improvement programs

District planning is required in Wisconsin but the State does not sponsor any effective schools projects.

#### Teacher reforms

A pay-incentive plan is being developed under the direction of a State advisory board. Testing for initial certification is under consideration.

Ł

279

WOMING	
--------	--

	1979-8(	J	Most A	ecent Yea	¢	
	Amount	Rank	Amount	Rank	Year	Percent Cluinge
fitle f/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$5,152,130	50	\$5.236,432	5 L	1984-65	1.6
Total LEA grants	\$3,939,715	50	63,723,120	51	1984-85	-5.5
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars	•••••		\$2,617,061	51	1982-83	-33.6
10101 000 00000 00 00000 0000 000000000			62,578,338	51	1984-85	-34.o
State administration set aside	\$225,000	44.58	\$225,000	43.51	1984-85	Û
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$4,592	20	\$4,592	15	1984-85	0
LEA child count for formula purposes	10,473	51	7,011	51	1984-85	-27.3
Title 1/Chapter 1 Participation Data						
Total number of participants	4,735	51	3.841	51	1982-83	-18.9
Number of participants K-6	3,848	49	3,303	50	1982-83	-14.2
Number of participants 7-12	852	51	518	50	1982-83	-39.2
Number of nonpublic participants	93	48	80	48	1982-83	-14.0
Percent of all nonpublic school students served	,,,			-		
in Title 1/Chapter 1	(not avaiiable)		2.8	31	1980-81	
	4,642	51	3,761	51	1982-83	-19.0
Number of public participants	4,046	31	-1104		1,01 00	
Percent of all public school students served in	4.9	49	3.7	51	1982-83	
Title 1/Chapter 1	4.3 80.3	25	87.8	6	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	23.5	42	18.6	45	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	5.1	23	1.8	38	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving language arrs	J. I	2.)	140	50	1701 07	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-	٥	NA	0.8	19	1982-83	
English-proficient	ų	nĸ	0.0	17	1702 03	
Percent of students receiving health and nutrition	n	NA	2.4	15	1982-83	
services	U	лw	2.9	11	1207 02	
Percent of students receiving attendance and		40	1.1.2		1000.00	
guidance services	11.3	22	12.3	15	1982-83	
Title 1/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Title I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	228	51	142	51	1982-83	-37.7
Number of FTE teachers	119	49	 	49	1982-83	-21.0
Number of FTE aides	88	51	38	50	1982-83	-56.8
Number of FTE administrative staff	11	42	3	48	1982-83	-72.7
Title 1/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	20.8	11	27.1	28	1982-83	30.3
Title I/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$832	3	\$921	2	1982-83	10.7
Instructional settings: Pullouts Predominate in LEAs although SEA does not encourage or discourage						
them					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: None reported by SEA					1984-85	
	•					



#### Wyoming (continued)

	1979~80		Host Recent Year			
	Anount	Kank	Amount	Rank	Year	Percen Change
ate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	49	44	49	44	1982-83	Ú
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$2,427	14	\$3,986	4	1982-83	64.2
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$2,948	4	1982-83	21.5
Encollment in public elementary and secondary			·			
schools	95,505	50	101,662	47	1982-83	0.4
Eurollment in compublic elementary and secondary schools			3,036	51	1980-81	
Percent of total enroliment in nonpublic schools			3,030	48	1980-81	
Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			2.0			
and secondary schools			2,276	51	1980-81	
Percent of nonpublic enrollment in religiously			75.0	1.	1000 01	
affiliated schools			101,341	30 50	1980-81 1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools Per capita income	\$9,843	b	\$12,586	21	1984	27.9
RTS tax capacity index	173	2	201	2	1982	
udent Chatacteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	8,849	49	9,837	48	19 <b>82-8</b> 3	11.2
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 89-313	1,024	40	1,307	37	1982-83	27.6
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			10.7		1980-81	
Percent of children aged 5-17 limited-English-						
proficient			0.3	30	1980	
School entollment by race						
Percent White			94.3	8	1980	
Percent Black			0.7	43	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.5	36	1980	
Percent American Inilan/Eskimo/Aleut			2.4	ĥ	1980	
Percent of school enrollment of Spanish origin			6.4	12	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			7.5	51	19BD	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts	Percent of Children in Poverty
64.0	0 - 10
30.0	11 - 20
4.0	21 - 30
2.0	31 - 40
0	> 40

Percent poor children in State in LEA with largest enrollment 11.6 Natrona County (Casper) Percent poor children in State in 5 le gest LEAS

39.7 Natrona County (Casper), Laramie County (Cheyenne), Sweetwater County (Rock Springs), Campbell Chunty (Gillette), Albany County (Laramie)



281<sup>15</sup>

Wyoming (continued)

Other State Programs

State compensatory education program

No program

Minimum competency testing

Standards set at the local level Grades assessed: decided at the local level Expected uses: remediation First graduating class assessed: not available

School improvement programs

Districts are required to conduct a needs assessment and develop long-range plans indicating how the needs will be addressed. There are no State-sponsored effective school projects.

## Teacher reforms

A statewide career ladder or merit pay program has not been reported. Individuals in teacher training programs at the University of Wyoming must pass the California Achievement Test to continue in the program. The University is also developing a test of 17 competencies prior to certification.

283

ERIC

#### DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

	1979-80		Most R			
	Amount	Калк	Amount	dank	<u> Үеаг</u>	Percent Change
Itle 1/Chapter 1 Allocation Data						
Total allocation	\$18,983,702	3ò	\$17,093,918	Зb	1984-85	-10.0
Total LEA grants	\$15,925,791	36	\$13,028,449	37	.984 <b>-</b> 85	-14.4
Total LEA grants in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$10,110,910	37	1982-83	-36.5
			\$9,437,984	37	1984-85	-40.7
State administration set aside	\$280,547	36	\$225,000	43,51	1984-85	-19.8
State administration set aside per operating LEA	\$280, 547	1	\$225,000	1.ST	1984-65	-19,8
LEA child count for formula purposes	39,205	37	29,200	39	1984-85	-25.5
itle I/Chapter I Participation Data						
Total number of participants	16,886	40	15,509	39	1982-83	-7.8
Number of participants K-6	11,903	42	13,211	39	1982-83	11.0
Number of participants 7-12	4,983	39	2,378	44	1982-83	-52.3
Number of nonpublic participants	981	29	789	29	1982-83	-19.6
Percent of all nonpublic school students served						
in Title 1/Chapter 1	(not available)		4.5	19	1980-81	
Number of public participants	15,905	41	14,780	39	1982-83	-7.1
Percent of all public school students served in						
Title I/Chapter 1	15.0	9	16.2	4	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving reading	60.0	46	70.8	41	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving math	40.0	17	29.2	35	1982~83	
Percent of students receiving language arts	U	NA		NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving English for limited-						
English-proficient	0	NA		NA	1982-83	
Permut of students receiving health and nutrition						
ices	17.7	11		NA	1982-83	
Percent of students receiving attendance and		_				
guidance services	4.0	33	2.4	<b>3</b> 0	1982-83	
ttle I/Chapter 1 Program Characteristics						
Number of Ticle I/Chapter 1 FTE staff members	778	41	518	41	1982-83	-33.4
Number of FTE teachers	282	4 <u>i</u>	126	45	1885-83	-55.3
Number of FTE aides	337	40	369	38	1982-83	-8.3
Number of FTE administrative staff	19	36	13	34	1982-83	-31.0
Title 1/Chapter 1 pupil/staff ratio	21.7	15	30.1	54	1982-83	38.7
Title 1/Chapter 1 average per pupil expenditure	\$943	2	\$878	4	1982-83	-6.9
Instructional settings: Not available					1984-85	
Schoolwide projects: Not available					1984-85	



Ì

# District of Columbia (continued)

-	1979-80		Nost Recent Year			
	Amount	Hank	Augunt	Kank	Year	Pe roen Change
ate Characteristics						
Number of operating LEAs	1	50.Sr	1	50.51	1982-83	U
Overall average per pupil expenditure	\$3,070	4	\$4,103	3	1982-83	33.6
Overall average per pupil expenditure in adjusted 1979-80 dollars			\$3,035	3	1982-83	-1.]
Enroliment in public elementary and secondary schools	106,156	47	91.105	50	1982-83	-14.2
Enrollment in nonpublic elementary and secondary			11 A 11 A 11			
schools			21,203	36 3	1980-81	
Percent of total enrollment in nonpublic schools Enrollment in religiously affiliated elementary			17.5	3	1980-81	
and secondary schools			10,567	ó٤	1980-81	
Percent of nonPublic enrollment in religiously			10,000		1300-01	
affiliated bools			78.1	33	1980-81	
Total enrollment in elementary and secondary schools			121,252	48	1960-81	
Per capita income	\$10,896	2	\$16,845	ž	1984	54.8
RTS tax capacity index	110	9T	115	11.51	1982	2711
ident Characteristics						
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 94-142	2,079	51	1,956	51	1982-83	-5.9
Number of handicapped children served under						
P.L. 89-313	3,138	21	3,853	14	1982-83	22.8
Percent of total school enrollment served by P.L. 94-142 and P.L. 89-313 combined			5.2	51	1980-81	
Fercent of children aged 5-17 limited-English- proficient			0.7	17	1980	
School enroliment by race			0.7	1)	1700	
Percent White			11.5	51	1980	
Percent Black			86.7	1	1980	
Percent Asian and Pacific Islander			0.8	20	1 9 B U	
Percept American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut			0.1	48	1980	
Percent of school envilment of Spanish origin			0.9	42	1980	
Percent of children aged 5-17 in poverty			26.3	2	1980	

Distribution of children in poverty across LEAs

Percent of Districts in Poverty

Not applicable

Percent poor children in State in LFA with largest enrollment Percent poor children in Strie in 5 largest LFAs

Not applicable Not applicable

