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## IDENTIFIERS

## ABSTRACT

The Self-Assessment Questionnaire (SAQ) was developed to evaluate the study and learning behavior of urban slack and Hispanic students in ways not measured by existing study skills instruments. The instrument attempts to deal effectively with "non-intellectual" factors identified previously as having an impact on study and learning behavior, In a preliminary stage, the SAQ consisted of 140 -point Likert scale items. Of these, 76 were devoted to Task Skills, 41 to Cognitions, and 23 to Affect. This version of the SAQ was administered to 681 Black and Hispanic freshmen in two New York City and one New Haven, Connecticut high school. Then, the item set was reduced by selecting out items correlated with criterion and validity measures, the remaining items were factor analyzed to develop scales, and, finally, in independent subsample was taken to test the predictive power of the scales derived through factor analysis. Overall, the questionnaire showed that some meaningful non-intellective, non-skill contributors to minority student academic performance can be empirically identified. These data suggest three avenues for further research; (1) given the surprising strength of non-intellective factors, discouragement with school and the evaluation of the school self are areas deserving more attention; (2) approaches to developing alteraative instrumentation in this area must proceed carefully in the use of validity criteria; and (3) assessment of items which can discriminate between the mid-range performers and high- and low-level performers would also be of great importance. ( KH )
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It should be clear from the preceding discussion iHaynesp AreourThonas, $E$ Rollock, 1986 that a varlety of non-skill factors ay have impart on study and learning behavior in ways not beasured by existing study skilis instrupentse specificaliys the attributional and affective contexts in uhich study behaulor takes place bay criticaliy affect achievenent behavior and outcomes. Thus, study skill and strategy inventories should be developed and refined in uays reflecting these ofntellectuale efforts bay count for naught in school if the proper constellation of non-intellective resources is not present for a given studente. This paper will attenpt to illuginate sofe of the substantive ind methodological issues involved herein by detilifing an exploratory attenpt to construct a study skilis and attitudes questionnaire for urban Black and Hispanic high school studentse

The mon-skill attributional arema is particulariy fimortant to consider in predicting and facilitating achievement patierns of ethno-cultural finoritiese for many binority students. educational tasks bay take on unintended but highiy salient eedningse Indeedy our initial interest in this area uas kindled by the need to understand and renedy the unexpected acadealic difficulties experienced by acadenicaliy coapetent glack and Hispanic students accepted into a copetitive supplementation and encichment prograie in a Né fork city high schoole if attributions do govern behavior in school situations iegop Uelinerg
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Grahav; Taylor $c$ meyer, 1933), then narrouly-conceived study skilis instruments for many students may consequentiy predict iftile of acadenic achlevement. Furtherworep the pervasive dearth of good research on dinority populations may have Itpifcations for the use of nost standard study skills instruments with those "populations. In this iight, it is informative to discuss one set of preliminary attepts to develop assessient instruments dealing uith these so-called monintellectualm factorse This presentation will focus on the relative predictive value of these factors for black and Hispanic high schoolerse acadenic performance.
conceptual Background of the SAQ and its Developeent.


In the first step in this process, it was recogninzed that the itea pool should be heterogeneous for such an exploratory
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venture. Indiuidual items were therefore created ocording to a broad prior scheme. Roughly half the flegs Included were non-skill-related. Three dieensions vere used to focus these effortse These dimensionsp presented in table it are cognitionsp Tisk skills, and Affect. Eubedded in these dimensions are selfesteen fegeg Rosenberg 4 simmons, 1971 locus of control fegeo Heiner, Heckhausen, Heyer, $c$ cook, 1971) and anxiety (Tobias, 1979 , Variables which have been discussed by many educational resedrchers as being important to determining student acadeaic performance.

Ong area which does someuhat more discussion at this time is the difension labelled maffect." This is used primarily to refer to the emotional value assigned to school-related experiencesp as Well as the learner"s perception of the general value of academic success to significint others in his or her lifee lit has been argued (e.get Kreitier $E$ Kreitier, 1976 that the prediction of behavior from attitudes is enhanced by assessing not only the value of the target behavior to the indiuldual subjecte but also the sibject's perception of the value of the target behavior In the context of the wider society. 0gbu 1978 has ergued that educational ittainment by oinority high school students is often lou because of their accurate perceptions that the barimers to equal opportunity for success are more forindable than can be overcome siaply by academic advancemente since reuard for achievement is low, motivation for achievement naturally
David Rollock $C$ Norris Me Haynes drops cominensurately this position is reminiscent of the findings of Rosenberg 6 slamons 11971 that biack elementary school childreng despite their lower school gradesp did not surfer a corfespondingly louer level of self-esteem wher compared with their higher-achleulng white counterpartse: The inplication draun by Rosenberg and sigmons was that these black students had come to rely less on school success as a mior deterninant of their feelings of self-torthe similarly danksp Hcguaterp 6 Hubbard 119781 , working uith Black High school students, have shoun that achievement aotivation will be evidenced uherever there is sufficient interest in the stimulus task or the reward structure surrounding the task.


In all, 140 s-point Likert scale items vere developede of these, 76 are devotied to Task skills, 41 to cognitionsp and 23 to Affect.

Aduinistration of the sAge

The Self-Assessment questionndire was adainistered to 681
Glack and Hispanic freshien in two New York city and one New Haven high schools. Df this number, approximately two-thirds (435) were female, and approximately one-third 12691 participated in the enrichaent program mentioned above. All but a handful were outside the age range of 12 to 14 years. $A$ sinall but representatioe subsample for whon school grades vere inmediately available bes used to calculate correlations in the next phase of exploration.
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exploratory study. exploratory study.

First GPA alone may not fully tell the story of student achievement, and an expanded notion of what student eperforeancea is gay be important. Secondly, the correlation of 50 betueen iten score and Harlowe-cjowne scores Eay be highto use with respect to Black and Hispanic populations. Trese populations have typisally been found higher in baseline weasures of asocial sensitiuitym as social conforifity situations and witkines field Dependence/independence=-than thelr. non=oinorlify counterparts. High correlations with social Desirability dight therefore pose $\quad$ greater difficulty in predicting actuol performance. Furthersorep a consistent ievel of cignificiance uould also seen appropriate for such an initial investigatione Thereforep in contrast uith veinsteines criteria for lite retention in her scale, the LASSI; items vere selected for the SAO uhich $f$ al correlated at a significance level belou io with at least tuo course grades or grade averageg and ibl correlated at a significance level aboue 10 with the mariouecroune

Using these criteriap interestingiy, most of the Task skill items were rejected from further analysise This was due to consistentiy high correlations vith marloue-croune scores. (The average Marlowe-Crowne score for this saple was 18.79. ulth a
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8 standard deviation of 5.71. Marloue 4 troune, 1964 peported an average bean of 15.94 uith standard deviation of 5.54 for their predoninantiy white standardization samplese Although not significint, this confirmed initial suspicions, that this ethnic -inority sample would have higher scores than the white simplesf and justified some caution in perfaring these explorations.i twenty= tuo iters vere retained.

The third stage of data analysis uas to factor analyze the remaining iteas to develop scalese a factor analysis wace performed on the 22 itess which eet the initial selection criteriae When these were entered into a principal components analysis, 6 factors with eígerivalues greater than leo vere extracted after varimax rotation. These resultscan be seen in iable 2,

The final stage was to take an independent subsample and test the predictive power of the scales derived through factor andivsis. The itens within each factor vere sumbed to give simple scale scores. The means and standard deviations are given in Table 3a. These are broken down by uhat has been termed here merformance Levelem As bentioned beforep straight correlation with GPA dight not be as helpful or useful as knouing the range in which students mity fall. Performance Level i inciudes grade averages, belou. 65, Perforance Level If includes grade averages between 65 and 85 , and Performance level I\&I
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Includes grade averages above 85 . Perusal of the eeans reveals most differences in predicted directionsp uith students in the louest Performance Levels shouing oore discouragenent with their educations, poorer study habits, poorer senses. of control ouer school experfences, lower general botivationg and blocked enotional expression: Although the differences in sal scores betueen students of the 3 performance Levels are cieariy not large, it was decided that $a$ more formal assessment of the predictive value of the scales uas important for this exploratory analysis.

A discriminant function analysis uas computede and subjects classified through use of their computed difenslon weightso These results are in Table $3 b$ oe The overall ciassification uas just ouer 51 correct lconsiderably higher than the 33 ghich yould have been expected by chancel. It can be seen that the Diddle Performance Level was the wost difficult to classify based upat welghted 5 AQ factor scale scores.

Sumary of resultsp with diseussione

Overall thent it seems that, even using preliminary data uith a very heterogeneous item set. sone meaningful nonintellective, non-skill contributors to einority student acaderic performance can be empiricaliy identifiede These early data are of course, far fro conclusive, but they suggest at least three key auenues for further exploration.

First, non-skill. non-intellective factors show surprising strength afong the byriad contributors to performance of minority high school students in school. These results sugest that discouragement with school and the evaluation of the school self are areas which deserve more attention. Alternativedssessment concepts and procedures should be considered critical for those deding with in inority student underachievement.

Second, approdches to deveioping alternative instrumention in this ared ust proceed carefully in the use of valldity criterid. In this prelidinary study the marlowecroune social Desirability scale scores did not come out in raforin or predict iten patterns in quite the way expectede

Third and finally, assessent of itens which can discriminate between the mid-range performers and highe and lowlevel performers would also be of gredt inportance.

Alternative assessments, founded on sound and extensilue research, will be vital for the proper understanding and support of -inority student academic achievement.
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The self Ássessent questionnire: Contributort to Dingrity student Acadegic Performance
Guiding Principles = Factors Affecting motivation and Success

| Cognitions | Task skilis | Affect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| belief in personal control | help-seeking inhibitions | ualue of schoo |
| general belief in control | distractions frow learning | unrealistic go |
| belief in change | note-taking | values of |
| fear of failure | exam-taking | slge others |
| fedr of success | eretion management |  |
| concept of oun abilities | tioe banagement | anxiety |
| negative self-stateenents | task analysis |  |

Table 2 。
Reduced set of self－Assessbent Questionnaire dsAgi items

SAQ factor 1：Discouragement uith education．＊

## factor

Loading Iter
（． 769 I an disappointed in my school performance．
（．634） 1 often think to eyself that in just not goad at schoolvork．
（．619）I feel discourged about by acadenic future．
（．608）i tend to put things off much qure than most studentse
（－532）i need to put in more time on my schooluork．
（．549） 1 think $I$ have trouble studying because $I$ donet knou what in goals are．

```
SAO Factor 2: WPositive evaluation of school self.w
Factor
Loading Item
```

```
(. 620) \(I\) believe that \(I\) can use ey education as a tool against
        racis回。
(.603) Even when \(I\) don't understand the importance of some of
        the things they teach in high school, i still think that
        It
            ull be useful some dayo
l. 5231 a able todo things ds well as other people.
(--56is If I began to do padly in schoolp Iod begin to
        think
            that \(I\) was doing something uronge
```
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## Table 2 Icont'di.

SA日 Factor 35 General study preferences.*
Facter
Loading ites
(.686) tend to study where it Is very quiet.
(.623) Before I go to class, I try to test Eyself to be sure that $i$ know the eaterial $i$ have studied.
I.464) Uhen I don't like a teacher, I find it hard to study for that class.
(.461) The grades $I$ get are due to my oun work and effort.

SAO factor 4 : -Locus of control.*
Factor
Loading Iter

(E586) Hy class notes are usually disorganized, even if the lecture was well organized by the teacher.
(.499) There is ilttle $i$ can do to change the way $i$ ang
I. 441 Iive only done iright in school when the aterial was easy.
(-.637) then $I$ have a problea in schooly i believe that the ansuer is detereined by forces under by control.

Table 2 (cont ${ }^{\circ} d$.

SAG Factor 5: General gotivation."
Factor
Loading
Item
(.723) Uher, 1 am given a very hard homework assignment, I usually don't try to get help ifth it.
(. 468 I skip classes that 1 could just as ensily attend.

SAM Factor 6: Affective expressiveness "m
Factor
Loading Iten
(.708) I aluays show my feelings in class.
(--503) I don't like how sone students distract others in class, but i don't know hou to tell thei how hou ifeel.

Table 3A.
heans and standard beviations of SAQ factors for Successlue Acaderic Performamce Livels

GRADE PERFORHANCE LEYEL

| FACIDR |  | (Average belou 65) (Average bet 65 © 85) (Average above 85! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | Mean | (S.D.) | $N$ | Mean | SS.E.l | N | Hean | (S.0.) |
| Factor | 1 | 43 | 11.33 | (4.45) | 30 | 11.17 | 14.171 | 16 | 9.12 | (2.80) |
| Faitor | 2 | 43 | 9.21 | 12.171 | 30 | 8.80 | 12.04) | 16 | 8.06 | 11.571 |
| Factor | 3 | 43 | 8.98 | 12.571 | 30 | 9.27 | (3.18) | 16 | 9.50 | (3) 14 ) |
| Factor | 4 | 43 | 8.93 | 12.701 | 30 | 8.63 | (2.931 | 16 | 8.06 | 12.541 |
| Factor | 5 | 43 | 3.05 | 11.27: | 30 | 3.40 | (1.50) | 16 | 3.37 | (1.31) |
| factor | 6 | 43 | 4.95 | (1.95) | 30 | 5.00 | 11.64 | 16 | 5.75 | (1.91) |

Table 3Be
Discribinant Analysis clessiflcation Sumary ACTUAL LEVEL

PREDICTED
LEVEL LEVEL I LEVELII LEVEL III TOTAL

| Level | I | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{N} \\ & \mathbf{t} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 53.492 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 20.938 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 25.588 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | II | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathbf{Z} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{13}{43.33 \%}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 26.678 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{9}{30.008}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ 1008 \end{gathered}$ |
| Level | III | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{N} \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ | $6 \cdot \frac{1}{25}=$ | $18.758$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 75.004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 1003 \end{gathered}$ |
| TOTAL |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{N} \\ & \text { 䡆 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ 41.57 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ 22.478 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ 35.96 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ |
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