



DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 283 094	CG 019 983				
AUTHOR	Matthews, Doris B.				
TITLE	The Effect of Relaxation Training on Test Behavior in a Thinking Skills Program.				
INSTITUTION	South Carolina State Coll., Orangeburg.				
SPONS AGENCY	Cooperative State Research Service (DOA), Washington, D.C.				
PUB DATE	Apr 87				
GRANT	SCX-206-04-84				
NOTE	127p.				
PUB TYPE	Reports - Research/Technical (143)				
	Tests/Evaluation Instruments (160)				
EDRS PRICE	MF01/PC06 Plus Postage.				
DESCRIPTORS	Anxiety; Black Students; Cognitive Ability;				
	*Cognitive Measurement; *Critical Thinking; Junior				
	High Schools; *Junior High School Students;				
	*Relaxation Training; Skill Development; *Stress				
	Management; Stress Variables; *Test Anxiety				
IDENTIFIERS	*Thinking Skills				

ABSTRACT

Anxiety and tension are two affective factors which seem to interfere with measurement of instructional effects. Test anxiety respresents a possibly confounding effect in the measurement of any given knowledge. A study was conducted to examine the effect that relaxation training administered immediately prior to testing would have on academic achievement and to discern the consequences of such a program in today's schools in their efforts to mitigate the detrimental effects of test anxiety. Predominantly black seventh and eighth graders (N=67) were assigned to one of four groups. Group 1 was pretested on the Cognitive Abilities Test (CAT) and received relaxation training; group 2 received relaxation training and took the CAT as a posttest; group 3 was pretested and untrained; and group 4 was untrained and posttested. The relaxation training consisted of guided imagery and deep breathing techniques. Although no effect was observed, data analysis revealed an optimum level of stress, below or above which test performance tended to suffer. The failure to observe a relationship between relaxation training and test performance seemed to originate when some students with initial low stress were relaxed beyond the optimum level so that their performance suffered, while other students with high initial stress moved toward an optimum level and improved their performance. Comparisons between relaxed experimental students and their controls revealed that experimental students outperformed control students on some subsets of the Cognitive Abilities Test. (NB)



Published as a Technical Contribution From: South Carolina State College Orangeburg, South Carolina April, 1987

2

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY żŚ R. Hatthows

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

THE EFFECT OF RELAXATION TRAINING ON TEST BEHAVIOR IN A THINKING SKILLS PROGRAM

Doris B. Matthews, Ph.D. Principal Investigator Project Relaxation II South Carolina State College Orangeburg, South Carolina 29117

Research funded by: Office of 1890 Research South Carolina State College In Cooperation with: Cooperative State Research Service U. S. Department of Agriculture Grant SCX-206-04-84



LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	vii
ABSTRACT	ix
INTRODUCTION	1
Related Literature	3
Hypotheses	8
Variables	8
METHOD	10
Sample	10
Procedure	12
Instruction	15
Relaxation Training	15
Critical Thinking Skills	15
Instrumentation	16
Monitoring Instruments	16
Cognitive Abilities Test	18
Academic Achievement Tests	20
Field-Testing	20
Sample	21
Results	21
Data Analysis	25
RESULTS	28
Hypothesis 1	28
Hypothesis 2	30

:



Hypothesis 3	35
Hypothesis 4	38
Hypothesis 5	40
CONCLUSIONS	45
REFERENCES	51
APPENDIX A: Relaxation Exercise	58
APPENDIX B: Weekly Achievement Tests	64
Thing-Making	65
Qualification	79
Classification	89
Operation Analysis	98
Seeing Analogies	105
Structure Analysis	114

.



,

iv

LIST OF TABLES

1.	Curriculum Schedule	17
2.	Samples for Field-Testing	22
3.	Field-Test Item Difficulties	24
4.	Field-Test Item Discrimination Values	26
5.	Item Deletions from the Field-Testing	27
6.	Weekly Wrist Temperatures Associated with the Relaxation Training	29
7.	t Tests on Weekly Wrist Temperature Gains	31
8.	<u>t</u> Tests between Preassessment and Postassessment Groups on the <u>Cognitive Abilities Test</u>	34
9.	t Tests between Experimental and Control Groups on the <u>Cognitive Abilities Test</u> (Postassessment Only)	36
10.	t Tests between Experimental and Control Groups on the <u>Cognitive Abilities Test</u> (Preassessment Only)	37
11.	Results of the Analysis of the Achievement Test Series	39
12 .	Means and Standard Deviations on the Achievement Tests	41
13.	Correlations between Weekly Test Score and Peripheral Temperature (Total Group)	42
14.	Correlations between Peripheral Temperature and Achievement Test Scores by Groups	43



LIST OF FIGURES

1.	A Comparison of Pretemperatures and Posttemperatures	32
2.	Pre-Post Gain in Temperature	33
3.	Plot of Mean Test Performance, Expressed as <u>z</u> Scores, by Wrist Temperature Aggregated at Intervals of One Fahrenheit Degree	46

,

ERĬ

vi

7

.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Principal Investigator acknowledges with grateful appreciation the contributions of those persons who made the present study possible. The faculty and staff of Felton Laboratory School worked Containingly to assure that all of the project's requirements wore met. Mrs. Oscarola Pitt, Director, was most helpful in planning and coordinating the study. Mrs. Carolyn J. Woodbury, Counselor, and Mrs. Juliet M. Floyd, Administrative Assistant, were always available to assist with scheduling. The teachers, Mrs. Janie P. Burgess and Mrs. Joann R. Berry, who worked their lesson plans around the study's schedule, deserve special thanks. Also, the Principal Investigator acknowledges the role of the students and their parents in this study. The consent of the parents and the willing participation of the students were vital to the study.

Mrs. Beulah El-Amin and Mrs. Barbara Lin Odom, Research Assistants, worked to collect data during the field testing to determine items to be used in the weekly achievement tests. Mrs. El-Amin did an excellent job of mimeographing and organizing materials for use in the entire study. She assisted, also, with the control group by taking peripheral temperature readings, administering the weekly achievement tests, and supervising the "free reading" period. In addition, she coded the final data for input into the computer for analysis. Mrs. Barbara Lin



vii

Odom developed the handouts to supplement the instruction and taught the program of critical thinking skills to the students. In addition, Mrs. Odom, by utilizing her skills in word processing and her knowledge of grammar, aided in the production of the final report.

Mr. Jim Casteel analyzed the data for this study. The Principal Investigator relied heavily on his expertise and statistical knowledge for correct data analysis.

Dr. Jimmy L. Quinn, Evaluator, rendered expert guidance in the planning and implementation stages of the study. He assisted the Principal Investigator with the preparation of the final report.

The staff of the Miller F. Whitaker Library at South Carolina State College worked tirelessly to locate library materials. Needless to say, their efforts were essential to the writing of this document.

. The Office of 1890 Research provided a most necessary component. This office, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, funded the study.



ABSTRACT

The researcher conducted this study to test the effect of relaxation exercises on test performance following instruction in cognitive thinking skills in middle school. Although no effect was observed, data analysis revealed an optimum level of stress, below or above which test performance tends to suffer. The failure to observe a relationship between relaxation training and test performance seemed to originate when some students with initial low stress were relaxed beyond the optimum level so that their performance suffered, while other students with high initial stress moved toward an optimum level and improved their performance.

Pretest to posttest scores on a summative cognitive abilities test showed that students' performance improved following instruction in critical thinking skills. Comparisons between relaxed experimental students and their controls revealed that experimental students outperformed control students on some subtests of the <u>Cognitive</u> <u>Abilities Test</u>.

іx

INTRODUCTION

Affective components influence cognitive performance measures. Anxiety and tension are two affective factors which seem to interfere with measurement of instructional The variable of test anxiety represents a possibly effects. confounding effect in the measurement of any given knowledge. Test anxiety is a variable and its effects need to be diminished in order to assess a student's true achievement. On the other hand, test anxiety seems to be "part of the territory" in these days of concern regarding basic skills and scores on minimum competency tests; therefore, a strategy which diminishes feelings of anxiety in a group of students allows the teacher to assess the "real" knowledge of students. Many features of regular psychometric practice relate to students' being "test anxious." These features include the difficulty of tests, frequency of testing, time limits of test administration, reports of test scores to parents and students, and the impact of tests on the students' evaluations. The researcher conducted this study to examine the effect that relaxation training administered immediately prior to testing would have on academic achievement and to discern the consequences of such a program in today's schools in their efforts to mitigate the detrimental effects of test anxiety.

Relaxation training is the administration of a group of techniques in an attempt to reduce anxiety and tension and, thus, to promote clarity of thinking. The effect of



1

relaxation training on various cognitive outcomes is one which has been documented in research (Matthews, 1984, 1986). Although relaxation training includes a variety of techniques, the training in this study specifically incorporates the techniques of guided imagery and deep breathing because these techniques are simple to use and appeal to children.

Measurement of changes in peripheral temperature allows examination of the level of anxiety and tension of individual students. Research indicates that as humans relax and anxiety diminishes, there are physiological changes which cause a person's wrists and fingers to become warmer (Matthews & Quinn, 1986). Test anxiety is a variable which differentially affects test performance; therefore, a physiological measure of tension and anxiety may be considered more objective and reliable than the paper-and-pencil self-report type of instruments which typify this area of research.

In the present study, the content domain which defines the area for testing of academic achievement is high order thinking skills and problem solving. Although higher order thinking skills seem forgotten in the rush of the public education movement of "back to the basics," there is a reemergence today of a concern for students to be able to analyze, synthesize, and evaluate. There appears to be an increasing popularity of programs which purport to teach these skills. Thinking skills curricular packages may be

2



domain-specific (i.e., definitely tied to a particular subject matter, such as language arts or mathematics); but nondomain-specific programs attempt to teach generic strategies in the context of any school subject or life situation. Because the subject matter of these generic programs is nonspecific, such programs are readily adaptable to incorporation into an established curriculum; thus, the instructional program in this study utilizes a nondomainspecific package as the primary content base. There appears to be a link between these nondomain-specific programs and academic achievement in traditional subjects (DeBono, 1982; Sternberg, 1986). Additionally, these programs seem to influence scores on aptitude measures which are constructed to be relatively impervious to instructional intervention.

Related Literature

The effects of anxiety on classroom performance and achievement are a major concern of educators and researchers. One study (Stevenson & Odom, 1965) revealed a significant negative correlation between test anxiety and test performance. Sarason (1966) noted that "low-anxious" students had higher achievement test performance than "high-anxious" students when I.Q. was held constant. Other research showed that highly anxious students had lower reading scores, slower reading rates, and reduced comprehension in comparison to less anxious students (Gifford & Marston, 1966; Colter & Palmer, 1970). A study

ERIC Prulitiext Provided by ERIC 3

of fourth grade students in both formal and informal classroom settings showed that achievement of students experiencing low anxiety was better in both classroom settings than that of students who were highly anxious (O'Tuel & Terry, 1979). Individuals who were highly anxious exhibited low competence in test performance in the areas involving paired associates (Stevenson & Odom, 1965) and anagram learning (Goldlang, 1982; Stevenson & Odom, 1965). Sieber (1969) reported that test anxiety interfered with short-term memory. Also, Zatz and Chassin (1985) noted that test-anxious elementary children displayed task-debilitating cognitions while being tested.

Worry and arousal are two dimensions of test anxiety. Wine (1970/1971) concluded that worry interfered directly with performance and affects physiological arousal. Worry is a cognitive concern about one's performance, whereas arousal (emotionality) is a self-perceived physiological state in which autonomic reactions tend to occur under examination.

Eaton (1980) stated that defensiveness, a stable personality trait, had causal effects on anxiety, especially for boys (Eaton, 1979). According to Eaton (1978), situational factors affected anxiety, but defensiveness was a function of organismic factors. Holmes (1972) reported that anxiety and defensiveness related inversely to reading achievement. Robinson (1983) discussed a level of anxiety



4

which was considered a productive level rather than a harmful one and suggested that performance on examinations taken by students whose test anxiety levels were within this productive realm would be better than the performance of highly anxious students.

Various test conditions affect anxiety. Forhetz (1969) reported that ordering of tests by difficulty changed students' anxiety levels. Hill (1975) stated that extremely anxious students performed poorly on basic mathematical skills when conditions involved time pressure and failure, but these students did better when performance was not timed and fear of failure was reduced. French and Greer (1964) suggested that arrangement of test items was not a critical factor in the behavior of similar groups of first grade children who were responding to similar tasks; however, test item arrangement could have been a factor for some persons within the groups. Goldlang (1982) stated that highly anxious students who were given control over choosing between tests which contained items of easy, medium, or difficult status tended to choose tests of medium difficulty.

Some studies showed that test anxiety depressed learning of learning-disabled children in the areas of reading (Kirk, 1981; Bryan, Sonnefeld & Grabowski, 1983) and mathematics (Bryan, Sonnefeld & Grabowski, 1983). Rubenzer (1984) noted a negative effect of anxiety on intellectual performance for gifted students. Little research exists pertaining to

5

15

ERIC

the second second

the relationship of test anxiety on achievement of a group of students having heterogenous ability, as is the case in an average classroom.

Some researchers organized subjects by race (Houck, 1983; Solkoff, 1971), sex (Houck, 1983; Rodgers, 1979/1980; Wade, 1981), or socio-economic status (Dunn & Shanks, 1967) to study the possible confounding effects of these variables on test performance or anxiety. Dunn and Shanks (1967) reported that children from low socio-economic classes manifested a higher degree of test anxiety than children from the upper socio-economic classes, especially in elementary school. Other research showed no significant effect of sex or race on test performance (Houck, 1983). Wade (1981), however, reported higher correlations between anxiety, motivation, and ability for girls than the equivalent correlations for boys. Rodgers (1979/1980) stated that female students scored higher on the Sarason Test Anxiety Scale than did males. Solkoff (1971) reported that black children generally scored lower than white students on a test anxiety questionnaire.

Research reflected the use of a variety of techniques to reduce test anxiety. Relaxation-desensitization exercises (Robinson, 1983), self-coping cognitive techniques (Crowley, 1982), self-correction psychotherapy (Kirk, 1981), reduction of the formality of the task and deemphasis of its importance (Hill, 1980), strategy training (Walters & Tobias, 1985), and guided imagery (Anderson, 1980; Deffenbucher & Kemper,

6



1974) were methods which had been reported as being successful in reducing test anxiety, thus increasing performance. Ayers (1981) used cognitive modification counseling successfully to reduce test anxiety but reported no significant increase in performance as a result of lowering anxiety. Another study reported no increase in academic achievement resulting from the use of relaxation training, in particular, progressive relaxation (Spillios & Janzen, 1983).

The majority of the research that appeared in the literature employed an intervention program over a period of time to reduce test anxiety in persons identified as test anxious. Studies showing the effects of an intervention procedure immediately before test administration with the normal population seemed nonexistent. Although one may anticipate that relaxation training transfers to the test setting, that expectation remains suspect without empirical evidence.

Specifically, this study addressed the following research inquiries: How can relaxation training influence test performance? How do physiological measures of anxiety and tension respond to relaxation training? How are physiological measures of anxiety and tension related to test performance? What effect does a thinking skills curriculum have on children's scores on a cognitive abilities test?

7

ERIC

Hypotheses

The researcher tested the following hypotheses:

1. Students who undergo relaxation training will tend to exhibit higher peripheral temperatures following the training each week than they did just prior to each relaxation training session.

2. Students who take the <u>Cognitive Abilities Test</u> after an instructional course in critical thinking skills will tend to score higher than similar students who take the test prior to the instruction.

3. Students who receive relaxation training will tend to score higher on the <u>Cognitive Abilities Test</u> on postassessment than similar students who receive no relaxation training.

4. Students who receive relaxation training immediately before testing will tend to score higher on a series of achievement tests than a similar group of students who receive no relaxation training.

5. Achievement test scores will be positively related to the degree of relaxation as measured by peripheral temperature.

Variables

In this section the researcher identifies the variables for each hypothesis of the study. Further description of the time and circumstances of their observation appears subsequent to this part.

8.

1.8

In Hypothesis 1, the independent variable was the time of wrist temperature measurement, before a relaxation exercise began (prerelaxation) and after a relaxation exercise ended (postrelaxation). The dependent variable was peripheral temperature observed at the wrist via a Bio-Temp wrist band which used liquid crystal technology to measure temperature. The researcher conceived this variable to be a measure of the degree to which a student was relaxed, free of anxiety or tension.

The purpose of Hypothesis 2 was to measure the effect of instruction in critical thinking skills as scores on the Cognitive Abilities Test, an instrument with nine subtests. The researcher operationalized the dependent variable as scores on <u>eight</u> of the <u>nine</u> subtests: (1) verbal classification (vocabulary), (2) sentence completion, (3) verbal analogies, (4) figure classification, (5) figure analogies, (6) figure analysis, (7) quantitative relations, and (8) number series. In effect, the researcher might have regarded the hypothesis as eight hypotheses. For each hypothesis, the researcher operationalized the independent variable as two groups of students, one which marked the test prior to instruction in thinking skills (pretest group) and one which marked the test subsequent to instruction (posttest group). These groups constitued of approximately equal numbers of students in each of the two levels of the independent variable in Hypothesis & (brained and untrained students).

19

In Hypothesis 3, there was an expressed comparison between the performance of the trained students and the performance of the untrained students in the posttest group on the <u>Cognitive Abilities Test</u>. The test scores constituted the dependent variable. The independent variable consisted of (1) the half of the posttest students who received relaxation training and (2) the half of the posttest students who received no relaxation training.

The researcher used the same independent variable in Hypothesis 4 as in Hypothesis 3, the trained versus untrained groups. Operationalization of the dependent variable was via student scores on six researcher-made timed achievement tests, the field-testing of which is described subsequently. The researcher used the six test scores, one for each week of the study, as repeated measures in a repeated-measure analysis of variance, testing for a group (trained v. untrained) effect.

In Hypothesis 5, the observed wrist temperature was the independent variable. This variable was the <u>dependent</u> variable in Hypothesis 1, also. The dependent variable for Hypothesis 5 was the same as the dependent variable for Hypothesis 4, the sum of weekly test scores by student expressed as \underline{z} scores.

METHOD

Sample

The 67 participants in the study comprised the seventh (34 students) and eighth (33 students) grades of Felton

20

10

٠. .

Laboratory School at South Carolina State College, Orangeburg, South Carolina. The distribution of students was approximately equal in terms of sex (33 males and 34 females). Race was predominantly black (63 black, 2 white, and 2 Indian). Students' ability levels ranged from low to high; however, the majority of the students had average or above average ability because the school's population was drawn mainly from the children of faculty and staff of South Carolina State College. One child (male) transferred to another school after the study began; therefore, the sample was reduced by one toward the end of the instructional phase.

The researcher randomly assigned students to two groups, a relaxation group and a control (no relaxation) group. Each of these groups consisted of two randomly assigned subgroups, one to be pretested on the <u>Cognitive</u> <u>Abilities Test</u> before instruction in critical thinking skills and the other to be posttested using the same instrument after instruction. Thus, the sample consisted of four groups: (1) a pretested, trained group; (2) a posttested, trained group; (3) a pretested, untrained group; and (4) a posttested untrained group. The researcher chose this four-group design for two reasons: (1) to control for retest bias on the cognitive ability test for Hypothesis 2 and (2) to enable the comparisons between trained and untrained students for testing of Hypotheses 3 and 4.

11

ERIC.

Procedure

Prior to the commencement of instruction, one half of the students in both the trained and untrained groups marked the <u>Cognitive Abilities Test</u> to provide preinstruction test scores. The remaining students marked the <u>Cognitive Abilities</u> <u>Test</u> only after the end of all instruction, thus providing postinstruction measures.

Students met for instruction in critical thinking skills on Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday mornings during the weeks of October 6 through November 12, 1986. This instruction took place during two sessions each day in the time slots that normally comprised the language arts block for each student. During each session, one seventh and one eighth grade class met together. Instruction on each Monday and Tuesday consisted of a 60-minute teaching program. Wednesday's instructional period lasted only 20 minutes to allow time for recording peripheral temperatures, instructing the experimental group in relaxation exercises, administering the achievement test which pertained to that particular week's study, and supervising the "free selection" reading activities for the control group.

On Wednesday, students placed a temperature indicator on their wrists prior to instruction in critical thinking skills. The reason for placing the indicator on the wrists before instruction was to allow time (Matthews & Quinn, 1986) for the students' peripheral temperatures to adjust to room (ambient) temperature before taking temperature

12

Full Text Provided by ERIC

readings. All children recorded their temperature readings after instruction, as well as before and after testing.

To control for teacher blas, the instructor left the room prior to the separation of students into control and experimental groups and had no involvement in the relaxation training or testing procedure. After the teacher exited, pupils divided according to their membership in either the experimental or control group. A research assistant took the control group (half of the students from each grade) to another classroom and immediately administered the 15-minute test of academic achievement to that group. Upon completion of the test, students in the control group engaged in 10 minutes of "free selection" reading activities which afforded the students the opportunity to read at leisure or to work crossword puzzles and anagrams. While the control group (untrained) was being tested, the researcher instructed the experimental group (trained) in relaxation exercises for 10 minutes. After relaxation training, the experimental group received testing.

To insure that students were placed under stress, the researcher incorporated certain stressful elements into the testing situation. Each test contained more test items than even the fastest student could complete in the allotted time. Item difficulty contributed to the inducement of stress in that some items were too difficult for students to answer without more extensive training than the instruction

given in the present study. In addition, students' scores on these tests served as a part of their language arts grades. Also, the researcher emphasized the importance of competition with one's previous performance. Every week, each student, as well as parents and school administrators, received a report of achievement (ranking with other students) on that particular week's test. Ranking of scores was from the highest to lowest score, and the score of the student receiving the report was circled.

Because peripheral temperature was a possible indicator of the degree of relaxation induced by the experimental component of relaxation training (Matthews, 1982; 1984; 1986), students recorded peripheral temperature readings several times on Wednesday. All students reported peripheral temperature measures before and after each Wednesday's instruction in critical thinking skills and again before and after each testing session. In addition, all experimental subjects reported their peripheral temperature measurements immediately prior to and following the relaxation training. Because evidence existed that peripheral temperature was not only reflective of a person's internal psychological state but also was a function of environmental factors (i.e., ambient temperature of the room and the circadian thermal cycle), the researcher adjusted the peripheral temperature readings as suggested by Matthews and Quinn (1986).



Instruction

Relaxation Training

Relaxation training consisted of relaxation exercises of approximately 10-minutes duration on audio-cassette The exercises, developed by the researcher tapes. specifically for use with young people, incorporated the techniques of guided imagery and deep breathing. Guided imagery is a technique which integrates cognitive with experiential learning and involves focusing, passivity, and receptivity. The technique enhances the development of the imagination. Guided imagery utilizes both the mind and the emotions as tools for exploring and objectively understanding oneself. The titles of the six tapes used in this study were: (1) "Test Anxiety," (2) "Island Tour," (3) "A Hike to a Waterfall," (4) "A Visit to the Zoo," (5) "Swamp Trip," and (6) "Animal Friends." An example of one of the relaxation exercises before production onto cassette tape comprises Appendix A.

Critical Thinking Skills

The content of classroom instruction was critical thinking skills as described by the nondomain-specific curricular package, <u>Strategic Reasoning</u> (Glade & Rossa, 1985), which is published by Innovative Sciences, Inc. The skills included in this program were thing-making, qualification, classification, operation analysis, seeing analogies, and structure analysis. Thing-making, or vocabulary building, is the mental process of becoming aware of a "thing" and

15



naming it. Qualify cation denotes the skill used in recognizing unique attributes (sensory, logical, or emotional) of an object or person; whereas, classification is the sorting of things into groups according to common qualities. Operation analysis involves the thought sequencing that is necessary to be able to recognize the relationship between an event, operation, or transformation and the stages and substages that constitute it. Seeing analogies is the series of mental operations required to recognize the similarity between relationships. Structure analysis, the highest skill, is the process of acquiring an awareness of the relationship between a whole structure and its parts.

Students received instruction in one skill per week. Du ing instruction, the teacher encouraged students to think and to verbalize their ideas, especially if they perceived a problem in a manner different from that which had been discussed. Handouts supplemented the oral instruction and prepared the students for testing because the items on the handouts were similar to the items on the tests. The instructor discussed each item on every handout, giving detailed explanations about why a particular response was or was not appropriate. The dates on which specific skills were taught and tested appear in Table 1.

Instrumentation

Monitoring Instruments

The Biotic-Band, manufactured by Bio-Temp Products, Inc., served as the measuring device for peripheral

ERIC

16

Table 1

Curriculum Schedule

Week	Date	Activity	Test
1	October 6	Thing-Making	
	October 7	Thing-Making	
	October 8	Thing-Making	1
2	October 13	Qualification	
	October 14	Qualification	
	October 15	Qualification	2
3	October 20	Classification	•
	October 21	Classification	
	October 22	Classification	3
4	October 27	Operation Analysis	
•	October 28	Operation Analysis	
	October 29	Operation Analysis	4
5	November 3	Seeing Analogies	
	November 4	Ceeing Analogies	
	November 5	Seeing Analogies	5
6	November 10	Structure Analysis	
	November 11	Structure Analysis	
	November 1?	Stature Analysis	6

÷

.



27

temperature. The wrist band contained liquid crystals and resembled an ordinary wrist watch. Each student placed the portion of the band containing the liquid crystals against the inside of the wrist on the dominant hand, thus allowing easy digital readout. A change in the digital readout, as well as a change in the color of the digits, reflected a change in peripheral temperature. The temperature range of the wrist band was 72° Fahrenheit to 100° Fahrenheit. Cognitive Abilities Test

The Cognitive Abilities Test by R. L. Thorndike and Elizabeth Hagan is a standardized measure of mental aptitude. The researcher used levels E-G of the multilevel edition of the test in this study. The multilevel edition contains 8 overlapping levels for grades 3-12: A (3), B (4), C (5), D(6), E(7), F(8-9), G(10-11), and H(12) (Mitchell, 1985). The test consists of three batteries: verbal, quantitative, and nonverbal. The verbal battery is made up of three 👘 🧌 subtests: verbal classification, sentence completion, and verbal analogies. The quantitative battery consists of quantitative relations, number series, and equation building subtests. The nonverbal battery, which is entirely pictorial and diagrammatical, has three parts: figure classification, figure analogies, and figure analysis. In this study students took tests on the verbal and nonverbal batteries. and two of the subtests in the quantitative battery (Test 1 and Test 2).

18



Ansorge (1985) in his review in <u>The Ninth Mental</u> <u>Measurements Yearbook</u> stated that the Kuder-Richardson 20 (K-R 20) reliability estimate for the multilevel edition was .96. Retest reliability after a period of six months proved to be .94. There was a reported median correlation of .78 between the verbal and quantitative battery, as well as between the nonverbal and the quantitative, across all grades. The median verbal and nonverbal correlation was r = .72.

Correlational studies proved that the Cognitive Abilities Test (CAT) had both content and construct validity. Correlations between the Cognitive Abilities Test and both the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS--grades 3-8) and the Tests of Achievement and Proficiency (TAP--grades 9-12) proved high, particularly in the verbal area. The Cognitive Abilities Test proved to have construct validity when the multilevel batteries were correlated with the Stanford-Binet Test in the 1971-72 school year. The correlations were .65-.75 (Ansorge, 1985). Additionally, Lee and Karnes (1983) provided other evidence of validity. When the test was administered to 79 elementary gifted students in grades 4-6, significant positive correlations appeared between scores on verbal and nonverbal batteries of the Cognitive Abilities Test, Form 3 and the Ross Test of Higher Cognitive Processes. Using the Pearson r, the correlations were .44 and .22, respectively.

19



Academic Achievement Tests

The researcher composed six academic achievement tests, one for each skill being taught. Items from the <u>Strategic</u> <u>Reasoning</u> materials (Glade & Rossa, 1985) comprised the tests and came from all levels of difficulty included in the series (very easy, easy, easy-medium, medium, medium-difficult, difficult, and very difficult). After identifying the difficulty level of each test item, the researcher constructed tests by ranking items from very easy to very difficult, according to the difficulty levels assigned each item by the authors of the <u>Strategic Reasoning</u> materials (Glade & Rossa, 1985). The researcher omitted any item which had more than one correct answer or which proved difficult to score for coding to facilitate the computer data analysis.

<u>Field-Testing</u>. Prior to the beginning of treatment, the researcher field-tested five of the instruments (thing-making, qualification, classification, operation analysis, and seeing analogies). The field-test samples were convenience samples of middle school children from local public schools. Composition of field-tested instruments was as follows: thing-making, 30 items; qualification, 43 items; classification, 38 items; operation analysis, 25 items; and seeing analogies, 31 items. Because the instrument for structure analysis had only 7 items, the researcher retained all 7 items without field-testing this instrument. There were two purposes for pilot-testing the five instruments:

20

30



.

(1) to determine any items which should be removed from the tests and (2) to determine the order of the items on each test. For appropriate use in the study, the tests needed to be difficult enough to be very demanding for middle school students because the intent was to place students in a pressure situation. The researcher discarded all items on the field-tested instruments that were easy or that discriminated in the wrong direction. Because every test should contain some easy items, the researcher removed only items which 90 percent or more of the students answered correctly. The remaining items comprised the weekly achievement tests.

To intensify the testing effect, the researcher reordered the items on each test from easiest to most difficult, according to item difficulty as ascertained from the field-testing. Removing the items that were easy or that discriminated in the wrong direction made the items more sensitive to instruction.

<u>Sample</u>. The sample for the pilot-testing included average seventh and eighth grade students in three schools in the midlands of South Carolina. There was a different sample for each test, and both seventh and eighth graders were included in each sample. Table 2 is a summary of the five samples.

<u>Results</u>. All five tests contained multiple-choice items, except thing-making, which included matching items,

21



Table 2

•

	Seventh Grade		Eighth Grade		
Test	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
Thing-Making	15	14	1.4	10	53
Qualification	16	11	14	18	59
Classification	13	12	12	19	56
Operation Analysis	16	13	11	16	56
Seeing Analogies	10	13	16	• 14	53

Samples for Field-Testing



and classification, which included some short-answer and diagrammatical items. The researcher based item difficulty computation on the percent of students getting each item correct. For items having multiple answers, such as the matching items, the researcher computed item difficulty based on the percent of correct single responses out of the possible number of correct answers (somewhat analogous to the average performance on the multiple item as a whole). A list of item difficulties appears in Table 3.

Further analysis included computation of an item discrimination index (an index reflecting whether each item discriminated between high and low scoring students and, thus, added to the variance of the test as a whole). These. point biserial correlations indicated the degree to which each item was related to the test total score. For the purposes of these tests, it was not essential that every item be highly discriminating because the items were intended to range from easy to difficult, with the extremes likely having poorer discrimination values. However, negative item discrimination values indicated that the item measured something other than that which was intended. Perhaps negative values indicated problems with the item, such as misleading answers or questions. For whatever reason, removal of such items from the tests was necessary as these items were not as difficult as they were confusing. For multiple answer items, the researcher reported the

23



Item	Thing-	Qualifi-	Classifi-	Operation	Seeing
Number	Making	cation	cation	Analysis	Analogies
12345678901123456789012345678901234567890123345678901222222222222333333333333334424	.98* .96 .79 .66 .64 .51 .30 1.00* .89 .25 .93* .39 .59 .82 .19 .34 .53 .32 .61 .45 .66 .47 .17 .36 .38 .04 .36	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00 \\ .98 \\ .98 \\ .98 \\ .98 \\ .93 \\ .97 \\ .97 \\ .97 \\ .64 \\ .97 \\ .97 \\ .64 \\ .03 \\ .68 \\ .97 \\ .97 \\ .64 \\ .03 \\ .68 \\ .97 \\ .97 \\ .64 \\ .97 \\ .97 \\ .97 \\ .64 \\ .97 \\ .97 \\ .97 \\ .64 \\ .97 $	1.00* .91* 1.00 1.00 .98* .29 .91* .88 .96* 1.00* .23 .50 .79 .89 .66 .86 .91* .52 .29 .54 .77 .86 .64 .38 .91* .23 .79 .73 .20 .79 .73 .23 .79 .73 .23 .79 .73 .23 .79 .73 .23 .79 .73 .23 .79 .73 .20 .66 .55 .11 .30 .13	.77 .80 .96* .75 .75 .41 .43 .84 .59 .50 .84 .32 .23 .29 .71 .32 .79 .46 .29 .27 .18 .09 .16 .55 .20	.94* .52 .85 .44 .87 .62 .27 .37 .56 .71 .87 .29 .54 .52 .77 .46 .25 .83 .59 .54 .52 .77 .46 .25 .83 .59 .04 .81 .46 .48 .71 .71 .33 .44 .12

Field-Test Item Difficulties

[#]Items subsequently removed from their respective tests for being too easy (having item difficulties of .90 or higher).

24

34

RIC

Table 3

average discrimination of the subparts of each item, although each subdiscrimination value was examined. Item discrimination values for the items on each test appear in Table 4.

After field-testing the instruments, composition of the five tests administered to students each week was as follows: thing-making, 21 items; qualification, 32 items; classification, 26 items; operation analysis, 23 items; and seeing analogies, 27 items. A summary of the deletions due to item difficulty and item discrimination appears in Table 5. The researcher omitted one item on the qualification test due to both difficulty and discrimination. See Appendix B for copies of tests.

Data Analysis

To test Hypothesis 1, the researcher used a series of six dependent \underline{t} tests (one for each week of relaxation training). By using the \underline{t} test, the researcher studied differences between the mean wrist temperatures prior to the relaxation training and the mean wrist temperatures immediately following the training, expecting to note significantly higher posttreatment temperatures.

The researcher tested Hypothesis 2 by examining the results of the acores on the <u>Cognitive Abilities Test</u> to determine differences between the pretest and posttest scores. Because students taking the test prior to instruction were a different group than the group taking the test after the instruction, the researcher used independent \underline{t} tests in

25


Item	Thing-	Qualifi-	Classifi-	Operation	Seeing
Number	Making	cation	cation	Analysis	Analogies
1234567890112345678901234567890123456789012334567890123 11111111111112222222222333333333333	$ \begin{array}{c} 13 \\ 13 \\ 20 \\ 47 \\ 16 \\ 25 \\ - 15^{\oplus} \\ 02 \\ 00 \\ 29 \\ - 22^{\oplus} \\ 36 \\ -21 \\ - 36 \\ -21 \\ - 36 \\ -27 \\ - 31 \\ - 09^{\oplus} \\ - 27 \\ - 31 \\ - 09^{\oplus} \\ - 27 \\ - 31 \\ - 09^{\oplus} \\ - 27 \\ - 31 \\ - 09^{\oplus} \\ - 27 \\ - 31 \\ - 09^{\oplus} \\ - 27 \\ - 31 \\ - 09^{\oplus} \\ - 27 \\ - 31 \\ - 09^{\oplus} \\ - 27 \\ - 31 \\ - 09^{\oplus} \\ - 34 \\ - 09^{\oplus} \\ - 34 \\ - 38 \\ - 34 \\ - 09^{\oplus} \\ - 38 \\ - 34 \\ - 34 \\ - 38 \\ - 34 \\ - 38 \\ - 34 \\ - 38 \\ - 34 \\ - 38 \\ - 34 \\ - 38 \\ - 34 \\ - 38 \\ - 34 \\ - 38 \\ - 34 \\ - 38 \\ - 34 \\ - 38 \\ - 34 \\ - 34 \\ - 38 \\ - 34 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} 00\\ 39\\ 19\\ 20\\ -17^{2}\\ 26\\ -05^{2}\\ -05^{2}\\ -07^{2}\\ -36\\ 09\\ 27\\ -16^{2}\\ 41\\ 18\\ 14\\ 33\\ 16\\ 20\\ 18\\ 24\\ 05\\ 11\\ 05\\ 29\\ 34\\ -35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 35\\ 00^{2}\\ 13\\ 22\\ 18\\ 36\\ 10\\ 03\\ 21\\ 38\\ 21\\ 19\\ 23\\ 38\\ 05^{2}\\ 03\\ 03\\ 04 \end{array} $.00 .24 .00 .00 .28 .11 .17 .20 .00 .19 .56 .31 .31 .13 .07 .11 .27 .06 .09 .26 .47 .42 03 .26 .21 .18 .21 .47 01 .29 .34 .24 .39 .09 .19 .14	.29 .26 .21 .47 .43 .33 .44 .44 .44 .44 .19 .00 .24 .31 .35 .24 .08 .13 .21 .08 .28 08 * .12 .21 .09	.41 .07 .55 .24 .43 .35 .30 .28 .59 .67 .42 .10 .37 .23 .24 .50 .11 .22 .44 .50 .11 .24 .50 .11 .24 .50 .11 .24 .50 .11 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .50 .12 .24 .24 .24 .24 .24 .24 .24 .24 .24 .2

Field-Test Item Discrimination Values

Table 4

Denotes items subsequently removed from respective test

because of low or negative item discrimination.

ERIC Pruil Text Provided by ERIC 26

Test	Difficulty	Discrimination	Total Number Deleted
Thing-Making	5	4	9
Qualification	6	6	11*
Classification	10	2	12
Operation Analysis	1	1	2
Seeing Analogies	1	3	4.

Item Delectors from the Field-Testing

*Number is less than the sum of the two columns since one

item is duplicated.

(

the analysis. The random assignment of students to groups for preassessment and postassessment meant that higher scores in the postassessment group would indicate that the instruction was effective in raising test scores.

Examination of the results of the <u>Cognitive Abilities</u> <u>Test</u> in relation to Hypothesis 3 was by independent <u>t</u> tests, also. The investigator measured differences using the postassessment scores and examined preassessment scores to ensure that there were no initial differences.

There were two ways in which the achievement test series was examined to test the fourth and fifth hypotheses. Hypothesis 4 received testing via a two-way repeated measures analysis of variance and the analysis of Hypothesis 5 was via the Pearson product moment correlation coefficients.

RESULTS

Hypothesis 1

The reported results came from the study of the following hypothesis: Peripheral temperature measures taken following relaxation training will be significantly higher than peripheral temperature measurements taken prior to relaxation training. The investigator examined the means and standard deviations of the wrist temperature measurements that were taken immediately before and after the relaxation training, as well as the difference (gain), for each of the 6 weeks of the training (see Table 6). Over the 6 weeks, there were weekly gains in wrist temperature measurements. These gains ranged from 0.4 degrees to 1.0



28

ERI

<u>Weekly Wrist</u>	Temperatures	Associated	with	_Uh	*	<u>ai ion</u>
Training						

		Prerel	laxation	Postre	laxation	<u>Differ</u>	<u>ence (Gain)</u>
Week	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Mean	St. Dev.	Mean	St. Dev.
1	33	90.2	1.87	91.0	2.27	0.7	1.29
2	34	90.9	2.18	91 .3	2.16	0.4	1.68
3	34	91.1	1.94	91.5	2.11	0.5	1 .3 5
4	33	91.5	1.77	92.5	1.99	1.0	1.53
5	32	93.1	1.97	94.0	1.71	0.9	1.61
6	33	91.7	1.63	92.7	2.11	1.0	1.66
						•	

;

.

29

degrees Fahrenheit. Although the gains were small, they were consistent and indicative of the fact that the relaxation training was effective. The peripheral temperature increases were significant 5 out of the 6 weeks (see Table 7); thus, the hypothesis that peripheral temperature increases with relaxation training was supported.

Also, the temperature gains were generally higher toward the end of the 6 weeks than they were at the beginning of the training, as were temperature readings in general, both pre and post measures (see Figures 1 and 2). This practice effect, noted in prior research by Matthews (1984), seemed to indicate that relaxing is an ongoing learning process . with a cumulative effect.

Hypothesis 2

Hypothesis 2 was: Students who take the <u>Cognitive</u> <u>Abilities Test</u> after an instructional course in critical thinking skills will score higher than similar students who take the test prior to the instruction. Table 8 is a summary of the results. The means of the postassessment group were higher on all subtests, with one exception. There was a significant difference (probability < .05) on three of the subtests. Thus, there was support for the hypothesis for verbal analogies, number series, and figure analysis, but not for verbal classification, sentence completion, quantitative relations, figure classification, and figure analogies.

30

40

ERIC

		Differe	ence (Gain)		
Week	<u>N</u>	Mean	St. Dev.	<u>t</u> Value	Probability
1	33	0.7	1.29	3.30	.0012*
2	34	0.4	1.68	1.38	.0885
3	34	0.5	1.82	1.97	.0286*
4	33	1.0	1.53	3.75	•0004 [*]
5	32	0.9	1.61	3.03	•0025 [*]
6	33	1.0	1.66	3.36	.0010*
6	33	1.0	1.66	3.36	.0010*

t Tests on Weekly Wrist Temperature Gains

#Significant at the .05 level of significance (one-tailed test).

31

41

:







³² 42

 $\{ x_i \}$



Figure 2. Pre-Post Gain in Temperature.

33

43



.

t Tests between Preassessment and Postassessment Groups on the

Cognitive Abilities Test

Subtest	Group [*]	N	Mean	St. Dev.	<u>t</u> Value	Probability
Verb al Classification	n E L	34 33	14.18 14.45	5.11 4.47	-0.2368	0.4068
Sentence Completion	E L	34 33	19.44 20.94	6.32 5.09	-1.0670	0.1450
Verbal Analogies	E L	34 33	10.00 12.09	4.79 3.17	-2.1004	0.0198**
Total Verbal	E L	34 33	43.62 47.48	14.66 11.12	-1.2136	0.1147
Quantitative Relations	E L	34 33	17.59 18.18	6.20 4.24	-0.4527	0.3261
Number Series	E L	34 33	8.15 11.18	4.81 5.29	-2.4581	0.0083**
Total Quantitative	E L	34 33	25.74 29.18	9.81 8.31	-1.5495	0.0631
Figure Classification	E n L	34 33	13.71 13.61	3.40 4.24	0.1065	0.4578
Figure Analogies	E L	34 33	13.74 15.27	5.50 5.95	-1.0988	0.1380
Figure Analysis	E L	34 33	6.06 7.52	2.99 2.61	-2.1190	0.0190 ^{&&}
Total Nonverbal	E L	34 33	33.50 37.03	9.63 12.74	-1.2934	0.1003
Total Test	E L	34 33	102.85 113.70	30.99 25.69	-1.5567	0.0622

* E = Preassessment and L = Postassessment

** Significant at the .05 level (one-tailed test)

34

Hypothesis 3

Hypothesis 3 pertained to the cumulative effects of relaxation training. Specifically, the hypothesis was: Students who receive relaxation training will score higher on the <u>Cognitive Abilities Test</u> on postassessment than similar students who receive no relaxation training.

The researcher examined the aspect of intervention, relaxation training, using only the postassessment group. Fifteen of the postassessment participants were members of the control group, those students receiving no relaxation training. Eighteen of the participants were members of the experimental group, the group that received relaxation training. Table 9 is a summary of the results.' In every case, the experimental group scored higher, as hypothesized, than the control group; however, only three of the subtests were significantly different: verbal analogies, figure classification, and figure analysis. The total test score difference was not significant.

While the experimental and control groups were randomly assigned and, thus, were presumed to be similar, the researcher, to bolster the evidence of actual group differences, examined further the scores on the <u>Cognitive Abilities Test</u> by conducting \underline{t} tests between the experimental and control groups' scores from the preassessment. There were no significant differences between the two groups (see Table 10). Because students were randomized to form preassessment and postassessment groups, students in the preassessment group

t Tests between Experimental and Control Groups on the

Subtest	Group [*]	<u>N</u>	Mean	St. Dev.	<u>t</u> Value	Probability
Verbal Classification	n C	15	13.80	4.51	-0.7636	0.2255
Sentence	E C	18 15 18	15.00 20.07 21.67	4.49 5.44 4.81	-0.8959	0.1886
Verbal Analogies	C E	15 15 18	11.00 13.00	3.09 3.01	-1.8769	0.0350**
Total Verbal	C E	15 18	44.87 49.67	11.84 10.32	-1.2447	0.1113
Quantitative Relations	C E	15 18	18.07 18.28	4.96 3.89	-0,1370	0.446o
Number Series	C E	15 18	10.00 12.17	5.71 4.85	-1.1791	0.1237
Total Quantitative	C E	15 18	27.67 30.44	9.62 7.08	-0.9546	0.1736
Figure Classification	C n E	15 18	12.00 14.94	4.49 3.62	-2.0868	0.0226**
Figure Analogies	C E	15 18	14.33 16.06	6.28 5.73	-0.8232	0.2084
Figure Analysis	C E	15 18	5.80 8.94	2.11 2.10	-4.2731	0.0001 **
Total Nonverbal	C E	15 18	33.53 39.94	14.71 9.95	-1.4876	0.0735
Total Test	C E	15 18	106.07 120.06	28.38 22.03	-1.5944	0.1210

Cognitive Abilities Test (Postassessment Only)

* C = Control Group and E = Experimental Group

****** Significant at the .05 level (one-tailed test)

36

t Tests between Experimental and Control Groups on the

Subtest	Group*	<u>N</u>	Mean	St. Dev.	<u>t</u> Value	Probability
Verbal Classification	n C E	18 16	15.28 12.94	4.06 5.98	1.3184	0.1990
Sentence Completion	C. E	18 16	19.67 19.19	5.20 7.55	0.2129	0.8330
Verbal Analogies	C E	18 16	10.83 9.06	4.48 5.11	1.0695	0.2934
Total Verbal	C E	18 16	45.78 41.19	11 .57 17 . 58	0.8873	0.3832
Quantitative Relations	C E	18 16	18.44 16.63	4.96 7.40	0.8312	0.4136
Number Series	C E	18 16	7.94 8.38	4.61 5.18	-0.2549	0.8006
Total Quantitative	C E	18 16	26.39 25.00	8.31 11.50	0.3992	0.6930
Figure Classification	C n E	18 16	13.94 13.44	3.23 3.66	0.4255	0.6736
Figure Analogies	C E	18 16	14.50 12.88	4.11 6.77	0.8334	0.4128
Figure Analysis	C E	18 16	6.50 5.56	2.28 3.65	0.8850	0.3848
Total Nonverbal	C E	18 16	34.94 31.88	7.30 11.77	0.9007	0.3766
Total Test	C E	18 16	107.11 98.06	23.45 38.00	0.8234	0.4184

Cognitive Abilities Test (Preassessment Only)

* C = Control Group and E = Experimental Group

37

were not the same students who were in the postassessment group; however, there was no reason to believe that the groups differed initially.

The cumulative effect of relaxation training was effective for performance in some of the subtests of the <u>Cognitive Abilities Test</u>. There was support for Hypothesis 3 for verbal analogies, figure classification, and figure analysis. There was no support for the hypothesis for verbal classification, sentence completion, quantitative relations, number series, and figure analogies.

Hypothesis 4

The researcher anticipated that relaxation training would affect both learning and test-taking ability, as was stated in the hypothesis: Students who receive relaxation training immediately before testing will tend to score higher on a scries of achievement tests than a similar group of students who receive no relaxation training. Scores on a series of weekly achievement tests which were administered following the instructional period provided data for testing of the hypothesis. The researcher used weekly test scores as repeated measures for a two-way repeated measures analysis, where grade (7 and 8) and group (trained v. untrained) were fixed factors. There were no significant differences between the scores of the control group and the experimental group, as can be seen in Table 11. The experimental group scored slightly higher on three of the six tests; however, no significant differences were



38

_				
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	<u>F</u> Value	Probability
Group	1 .	. 37.18	0.48	0.4934
Grade	1	33.56	0.43	0.5152
Group *Grad e	1	120.49	1.54	0.2198
Error	5 5	4301.19		•

Results of the Analysis of the Achievement Test Series



found. Thus, the researcher rejected Hypothesis 4. The means for the experimental and control groups appear in Table 12.

Hypothesis 5

The last hypothesis was: Achievement test scores will be positively related to the degree of relaxation as measured by peripheral temperature. The six weekly correlation coefficients for the linear association between test score and wrist temperature appear in Table 13. Only once, in Week 6, was the association significant; thus, the hypothesis was not supported.

A detailed examination of the data revealed unusual differences between the control and experimental students. Correlations between peripheral temperatures taken immediately prior to testing and weekly test scores for the two groups are in Table 14. The most obvious trend was the negative correlations for the experimental group versus positive ones for the control group. Students in the control group who had high temperatures tended to score higher on the ¹ achievement tests than students who had low temperatures. In the control group, students who had low peripheral temperatures tended to score higher on the tests than students who had high peripheral temperatures.

Assuming that peripheral temperature was an appropriate measure of the background stress level, the researcher suspected that the more relaxed a student was,

40

EF

		Experim	Control			
Week	<u>N</u>	Mean	St. Dev.	<u>N</u>	Mean	St. Dev.
1	34	32.15	9.16	33	33.45	7.49
2	34	15.88	3.99	32	15.88	4.30
3	34	26.09	4.70	31	24.94	4.8"
4	33	12.24	3.12	34	12.71	2.98
5	33	18.76	3.24	33	17.88	2.96
6	33	15.94	5.70	33	14.42	4.42

Means and Standard Deviations on the Achievement Tests

51

ł

Week	N	Pearson's <u>r</u>	Pr > <u>r</u>
1	67	-0.12	0.32
2	66	-0.01	0.90
3	65	-0.10	0.42
4	67	0.08	0.52
5	65	0.17	0.17
6	65	0.28	, 0.02 [*]

Correlations between Weekly Test Score and Peripheral

Temperature (Total Group)

*Indicates significant Pearson's r at 0.05 level of

significance.

•

ERIC

Correlations between Peripheral Temperature and Achievement

Week	Control	Experimental
1	0.07	29
2	0.04	01
3	0.15	⁴ 2
4	0.41	08
5	0.26	05
6	0.41	09
•		

43

53

n an an an Arrange ann an Arrange a Ann an Arrange ann an

Test Scores by Groups

the better he or she would score on a test. This assumption appeared to be true for the control group; however, data from the experimental group seemed to indicate the opposite. For the experimental group, it was as if the relaxation training caused the relaxed students to score poorly. The general levels of relaxation in the two groups needed to be examined further.

Each student received testing six times on six different weekly tests, with a concomitant wrist temperature, measured just prior to testing. Because the weekly test score distribution differed from week to week, having differing weekly means and variances, it was impossible to compare directly scores from one week with scores from another week. The researcher transformed the scores of each of the six weekly test to z scores by subtracting the weekly mean and dividing the difference by the standard deviation 🐨 the weekly score distribution. The researcher constructed a new data set in which all pairs of scores (weekly z scores and wrist temperature) were considered. Thus, a student who answered each of the six tests contributed six pairs of measures to this new data set. Aggregation of these six pairs of data was by (1) sorting the pairs by wrist temperatures within intervals of one Fahrenheit degree and (2) computing the mean test z score within that interval. The researcher ignored any temperature interval with fewer than five pairs of scores; therefore,

44

temperatures less than 86° Fahrenheit and greater than 97° Fahrenheit were eliminated, as was the 96° Fahrenheit temperature interval.

The results of this analysis appear in Figure 3. Because the method of aggregation created dependencies among the data (six scores from a single student, for example), standard statistical tests had limited utility here. A curvilinear trend seemed strongly suggested by the data in Figure 3, however. There was an observable tendency for the highest test performance to occur between 90° Fahrenheit and 93° Fahrenheit. As wrist temperature became more extreme from this optimum wrist temperature range in either direction, test performance tended to suffer.

A possible explanation for the curvilinear trend was as follows: When students relaxed slightly, their anxiety levels dropped, but remained strong enough to motivate concentration and to keep the students hard at work. It is possible that relaxing beyond that point may have discouraged concentration and work. Relaxation, like so many other useful activities, may be overdone.

CONCLUSIONS

Poised, calm reflection, freed from anxiety, fortified against distracting fragments of variant thought, seems unarguably associated with powerful, effective thought, learning, and test performance. The argument appeals not only for its grounding in learning theory but also from subjective mental experience, as well. Serene concentration



45





is the ideal mental environment for any cognitive work, or people in such work would not avidly pursue it. Why, then, do studies such as this one produce such a mixed bag of results? Should not students who undergo relaxation exercises prior to a test outperform a comparable group who go without the exercises? Results of this study allow insight into the failure of relaxation exercises to improve immediate test performance and suggest a more sophisticated view of relaxation as a concept.

The experimental group's lackluster performance on the weekly tests could be attributed to ineffective relaxation strategies which left students untouched. That this view is wrong is clearly evidenced by the support given for Hypothesis 1: Students' wrist temperatures warmed under relaxation training, an outward sign of an inward change.

Maybe the experimental group's failure to outperform the control group is attributable to the relatively high level of relexation which <u>all</u> students brought to the testing situation. Clearly, relaxation exercises would make more of a difference for tense, anxious students than for relaxed, carefree students. The relatively high mean wrist temperatures of both groups tend to foster this theory. If the sample were limited to students with higher than normal levels of stress, the outcome likely would have been quite different; however, that conclusion must await further study. By studying Figure 3, which is suggestive that relaxation can be overdone, one notes the revelation



47

1 of a major finding of this research. The danger of relaxing a student beyond an optimum level is particularly likely to happen in a situation where relaxation occurs in a group which is directed by a leader. The relaxation response is pleasurable at whatever level of relaxation it is invoked. In a group, the inferred goal would seem to be: "Relax as thoroughly as possible." While such a goal may improve the test performance of anxious students, it may relax others to sluggishness. Anyway, it is not the goal one would prefer to communicate. Instead, a better goal is: "Search yourself for troublesome signs of stress and, on the lang them, relax until they become manageable." In this context, elimination of stress, or its management, becomes the goal, not the invocation per se of the relaxation response. Relaxation is seen as a means to foster performance, not an end in itself. Subsequent study in this series should have two objectives: (1) to adopt methods which pursue relaxation as an occasional skill to be used when stress intrudes upon efficiency and (2) to develop simple tools for identifying students under debilitating levels of stress. By achieving these objectives, researchers could focus their efforts on students in greatest need of help and could avoid problems of over-relaxation which appear in the present study.

The partial support given for Hypothesis 3, even though the relaxed group tended to be over-relaxed for weekly tests, is indicative of the fact that the experimental group underwent changes which caused improved



48

performance in three areas of the <u>Cognitive Abilities Test</u>. While the exact mechanism of this change is open to conjecture, probably the skill they learned in the relaxation exercises transferred to better stress management in the coursework for the experimental group. Of course, the improved performance could be another case of the infamous Hawthorne Effect, against which the experimental design of this study is ineffective. The researcher was unable to design a study which would generate the necessary data at an acceptable cost while keeping subjects blind to their participation in an experiment; therefore, the Hawthorne Effect must be considered as a possibility, however improbable.

Because of the results of this study, there must be considerable doubt on the use of whole-class relaxation exercises before test administration for average, not particularly anxious, students. Whole-class relaxation exercises should be reserved for:

1. groups of stressed, anxious students;

2. groups learning to achieve the relaxation response as opposed to groups whose primary objective is otherwise (e.g., improved test performance or learning); and

3. groups who need to be "settled down," after heavy exercise, stimulation, or play, with the <u>caveat</u> that students can become too relaxed to be good learners.

49



Instead of using relaxation as a group activity, students should learn to recognize signs of stress and invoke strategies for its management. Neither relaxation nor the management of stress seems a valid ultimate objective for educators. Rather, each is a strategy by means of which broader educational goals, such as improved learning and increased performance on educational tasks; may be realized.



REFERENCES

- Anderson, R. F. (1980, October). Using guided fantasy with children. <u>Elementary School Guidance and</u> Counseling, 15(1), 39-47.
- Ansorge, C. J. (1985). Review of cognitive abilities test, form 3. In Mitchell, J. V., Jr. (Ed) (1985), <u>The Ninth Mental Measurements Yearbook, Volume I</u>.

Lincoln, NE: The University of Nebraska Press.

- Ayers, A. B. (1981). The relationship between test anxiety and standardized test performance among college students of varying ability levels. (Doctoral dissertation, Ball State University, 1981). <u>Dissertation Abstracts</u> International, <u>42(4)</u>, 1538A.
- Bryan, J. H., Sonnefeld, L. J., & Grabowski, B. (1983, Spring). The relationship between fear of failure and learning disabilities. <u>Learning Disability</u> Quarterly, 6, 217-222.
- Colter, S., & Palmer, R. J. (1970). The relationship among sex, sociometric self, and test anxiety factors and the academic achievement of elementary school children. Journal of Personality, <u>38</u>, 216-234.
 Crowley, C. D. (1982). The effects of a self-coping cognitive treatment for test anxiety delivered in a one-day workshop and in six one-hour sessions. (Doctoral dissertation, Indiana University, <u>2082</u>). <u>Dissertation Abstracts International</u>, <u>43</u>(12), <u>38124</u>.

ERIC

51

- DeBono, E. (1982). <u>Teaching thinking</u>. New York: Penguin Books.
- Deffenbucher, J. L, & Kemper, C. C. (1974). Counseling test-anxious sixth graders. <u>Elementary School</u> <u>Guidance Counseling, 9</u>, 30-34.
- Dunn, J. A., & Shanks, P. F. (1967). <u>Children's attitudes</u> <u>toward school and their relationships with school</u> <u>anxiety, study I. School anxiety and cognitive</u> <u>functioning--Exploratory studies</u>. Ann Arbor, MI: Michigan University, Midwest Research Center. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED.014 769)
- Eaton, W. O. (1978, March). <u>Cohort effects in children's</u> <u>test anxiety and defensiveness</u>. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 158 153)
- Eaton, W. O. (1979, May). <u>Defensiveness effects on sex</u> <u>differences in children's test anxiety</u>. Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago, IL. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 185 081)
- Eaton, W. O. (1980, June). Cross-lagged analysis of children's test anxiety and defensiveness. <u>Journal</u> <u>of Educational Psychology</u>, <u>72</u>(3), 404-407.

52



- Forhetz, J. E. (1969). <u>Anxiety differences in difficult</u> <u>and easy test conditions</u>. Edwardsville, IL: Southern Illinois University. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 053 421)
- French, J. L., & Greer, D. R. (1964). <u>Effect of test</u> <u>items arrangement on the physiological and psychological</u> <u>behavior in primary school children</u>. Columbia, MO: Missouri University. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 002 342)
- Gifford, B. M., & Marston, A. R. (1966). Test anxiety reading rates and task experience. <u>Journal of</u> Educational Research, 59, 203-306.
- Glade, J. J., & Rossa, F. (1985). <u>Strategic reasoning</u>. Stamford, CT: Innovative Sciences, Inc.
- Goldlang, S. C. (1982). The relationship between test anxiety, control over task difficulty levels, and
 causal attributions among high achievers. (Doctoral dissertation, New York University, 1982).
 <u>Dissertation Abstracts International</u>, 43(6), 1890A.
- Hill, K. T. (1975, June). Relationships among test anxiety, evaluative experiences, and achievement motivation of children in grades 2 through 6. <u>Journal of Experimental Psychology</u>, <u>17</u>(3), 152-160.
- Hill, K. T. (1980). Motivation, evaluation, and educational testing policy. In L. J. Fyan, Jr. (Ed.), <u>Achievement motivation: Recent trends in</u> <u>theory and research</u>. New York: Plenum Press.

ERIC THILE MAY PROVIDENCE 53

- Holmes, C. C. (1972). <u>Specific effects of test anxiety on</u> <u>reading comprehension as measured by the cloze</u> <u>procedure</u>. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Georgia, Madison, GA. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 079 673)
- Houck, M. T. (1983). The effects of sex, race, and test anxiety on standardized test performance of middle school pupils. (Doctoral dissertation, Texas Southern University, 1983). <u>Dissertation Abstracts International,</u> <u>45</u>(1), 70A.
- Kirk, R. D. (1981). The effects of children's (K-6) test anxiety, attention span, oral reading rate and school grades of a short term, individual technique in self correction psychotherapy utilizing parents as monitors. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Massachusetts, 1981). <u>Dissertation Abstracts International, 42</u>(2), 613A.
- Lee, L. A., & Karnes, F. A. (1983). Correlations between the cognitive abilities test, form 3, and the Ross test of higher cognitive processes for gifted students. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills, 56</u>, 421-422. Matthews, D. B (1982). <u>Super power for effortless and</u> <u>efficient digest of language for expression with alpha</u> rhythms in nature. (Research Bulletin No. 24).

Orangeburg, SC: South Carolina State College. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 217 713)

ERIC ERIC 54

Matthews, D. B. (1984). <u>A study of academic and</u> <u>psychosocial effects of relaxation training on rural</u> <u>preadolescents</u>. (Research Bulletin No. 34). Orangeburg, SC: South Carolina State College. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 252 801)

- Matthews, D. B. (1986). <u>The effect of timed relaxation on</u> <u>keyboarding achievement</u>. (Research Bulletin No. 46-B). Orangeburg, SC: South Carolina State College. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 269 710)
- Matthews, D. B., & Quinn, J. L. (1986, April). <u>A study of</u> <u>repeated wrist temperature of sixth, seventh, and eighth</u> <u>graders</u>. Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the American Association of Counseling and Development, Los Angeles, CA. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 269 680)

Mitchell, J. F., Jr. (Ed.). (1985). The ninth mental

- . <u>measurement yearbook, volume I</u>. Lincoln, Nebraska: The University of Nebraska Press.
- O'Tuel, F. S., & Terry, D. (1979, September). <u>Achievement</u>, <u>anxiety and self-concept in formal and informal settings</u>. Paper presented at the 87th Annual Meeting of the American Psychological Association, New York, NY. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 194 182)
- Robinson, P. D. (1983). The use of relaxation as a treatment for test anxiety. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Arkansas, 1983). <u>Dissertation Abstracts</u> <u>International, 44(6), 1697A.</u>

55



- Rodgers, A. C. (1980, April). The relationship between general anxiety, test anxiety, race, sex, and grade level. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign, 1979). <u>Dissertation Abstracts</u> <u>International, 40(10), 5326A.</u>
- Rubenzer, R. L. (1984, September). The effects of biofeedback-induced relaxation on test/math anxiety and related test performance for children in a special elementary school for the gifted. (Doctoral dissertation, Columbia University Teachers College, 1984). <u>Dissertation</u> Abstracts International, 45(3), 1042B.
- Sarason, S. B. (1966). The measurement of anxiety in children: Some questions and problems. In C. D. Speilberger (Ed.), <u>Anxiety and behavior</u>. New York: Academic Press.
- Sieber, J. E. (1969). <u>The effect of memory support on the</u> <u>problem-solving ability of test-anxious children</u>. (DHEW Research and Development Memorandum No. 53). Washington, D.C.: Office of Education.
- Solkoff, N. (1971). <u>Race of experimenter as a variable in</u> <u>research with children</u>. Buffalo, NY: State University of New York. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 056 328)
- Spillios, J. D., & Janzen, H. L. (1983). Anxiety and learning disabilities. <u>School Psychology International</u>, <u>4</u>, 141-152.





- Sternberg, R. J. (1986). <u>Intelligence applied</u>. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers.
- Stevenson, H. W., & Odom, R. D. (1965). The relation of anxiety to children's performance on learning and problem-solving tasks. <u>Child Development</u>, <u>36</u>, 1003-1012.
- Wade, P. E. (1981). Highly anxious pupils in formal and informal primary classrooms; the relationship between inferred coping strategies and: I--cognitive attainment. <u>British Journal of Educational Psychology,</u> <u>51</u>, 39-49.
- Walters, J., & Tobias, S. (1985, March). <u>The effect of</u> <u>anxiety and strategy training on learning</u>. Paper presented 'ne 69th Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, IL. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 249 016)
- Wine, J. D. (1971). Investigation of an attentional interpretation of test anxiety. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Waterloo, 1970). <u>Dissertation Abstracts</u> <u>International, 32(2), 1199-1200B.</u>
- Zatz, S., & Chassin, L. (1985). Cognitions of test-anxious children under naturalistic-test-taking. <u>Journal of</u> Consulting and Clinical Psychology, <u>53</u>(3), 393-401.



57

APPENDIX A

1

,



•

Test Anxiety

Each of us, at some time in life, fears something or possesses a built up anxiety towards performing a certain task. The fear or anxiety may be the result of a prior bad experience or may be a reflection of an inner self-conflict which may manifest itself in feelings of inadequacy. The anxiety may be caused, also, by the fact that one has never been exposed to the specific circumstance; therefore, as is often the case, one fears the unknown.

It is possible to overcome these feelings of fear, anxiety, and inadequacy. One can change one's self-concept and feelings which may cause inner conflicts by using a relaxation technique known as guided imagery. The relaxation exercise you are about to hear uses this technique.

In order for any relaxation technique to be effective, one must prepare oneself for the relaxation experience. Sit erect in the chair with your feet flat on the floor and a few inches apart. Close the eyes. You can relax easier and clear the mind of outside disturbances better if the eyes are closed rather than opened. Practicing deep breathing is an excellent way to prepare oneself for the relaxation experience. Inhale, pulling air into the lungs with a long, slow breath. Hold the breath for just a second. Become aware of the way the rib cage has risen and expanded to accomodate the air you inhaled. Now, exhale, pushing the air out of the lungs until you feel that the lungs are completely void of air. Try taking

ERIC.

59

another deep breath. Inhale, pulling the air into the body through imaginary holes in the feet. Experience the calming sensation as the air forges upward through the legs to the body. Feel the muscles of the legs begin to relax as the air travels through the calves and thighs. Pull the air further upward into the body cavity until it reaches the lungs and fills the lungs to capacity. Again, hold the breath for a short time. Now, exhale, using all the force of your muscles to push the air out of the lungs. Feel the relaxing sensation that comes over you as the air is forced downward, out of the body, into the thighs and calves, and out of the imaginary holes in the bottom of the feet. While listening to the music, breathe in and out, remembering to take deep breaths. (Music--approximately 1 minute) At this time, your body should be relaxed, not tense. You are ready to begin the guided imagery exercise.

Think of an instance in your life when you were afraid to attempt a specific task. Perhaps, you were unprepared for a test and afraid to enter the classroom to take the test. You can overcome your fear or anxiety, no matter what it is, through the use of relaxation techniques. Now that you are prepared to use guided imagery, let's do just that and begin to combat those fears and anxieties.

Some people are "test shy". This means that these people become tense when taking tests and, as a result, often freeze and forget the material they have studied.

60



an you think of an instance when you were really anxious about taking a certain test? Listen to the music for a moment while you try to picture yourself taking the test you were afraid to take. (Music) Try to analyze the situation. Why are you anxious about taking the test? Are you improperly prepared for the test? Do you not fully understand the material being covered on the test? Or, are you simply feeling a little insecure and afraid you may not perform up to the expectations of others? If either of these reasons is why you fear the test, what can you do to alleviate the problem? In either of these instances, the best possible solution is to come to the test prepared. Be sure that you have studied sufficiently and are knowledgeable in the subject on which you are going to be tested. If you are not able to comprehend the material, perhaps a friend could help you study. Or, surely, your teacher would be willing to give you some extra help if she knew you wanted it. Befare you even seek help, though, you must make up your mind that you can learn the subject matter and can do well on the test. Now, relax. Breathe deeply. Inhale while counting, "One, two, three...." Exhale, "Four, five, six...." Relaxing prior to studying will help the mind retain information. Listen to the music while you breathe deeply and relax. (Music) Study in a quiet place where you feel secure and comfortable. See how easily you retain the material studied when you are calm and relaxed. (Music)



61
The time of trial is here--today is the day you will take the test for which you have been preparing. As you approach the classroom, you are a little apprehensive even though you know you have prepared yourself to the best of your ability. Get rid of the tension you are feeling. Sit in your desk and concentrate on relaxing for a minute or two. Remember that deep breathing can help you relax and regain your composure. Forget about the test and just concentrate on relaxing. Listen to the music while you practice deep breathing and relax. (Music) Inhale. Pull air into the lungs until the rib cage has expanded to its fullest capacity. Hold the breath for a second. Now, exhale. Force the air slowly and deliberately out of the lungs. Repeat this process one more time. This time try blowing the air out of the lungs. Can you feel yourself becoming calm and relaxed? Feel the tension subsiding? Yes, you are calm and confident. Look at the first page of the test. Do you see any questions that you are sure you can answer? If so, answer those first. Proceed in this manner through the whole test, answering the questions that you are confident you can answer correctly. Are you surprised at the number of questions you know? Taking tests seems easier when you are relaxed. While remaining calm and confident, go back through the test and try to answer the questions that you omitted the first time. Do not let yourself become unnerved. You have prepared yourself

62



both mentally and physically for this test. If you feel yourself becoming tense, stop for a minute and practice your deep breathing exercises. Remember, you are in control and function at your best when you are calm and relaxed, and you can practice your breathing exercises at any time and in any place. When you finish the test, hand it to the teacher with confidence, assured by the fact that you have performed to the best of your ability. You prepared yourself properly and remained calm while taking the test. You are sure that you have done well on this test. Before leaving the classroom, practice your deep breathing once more so that you can maintain the air of confidence and pride in achievement that you feel toward yourself right now. (Music)

As you open your eyes and become acclimated to your surroundings, always remember that you have the power within you to control excessive amounts of anxiety.



APPENDIX B

.

\$



•

Nome	Date
Grade	Score

THING-MAKING

1. Motch each use of cog with the appropriate meaning at right.



There are twenty-four teeth on this <u>cog</u>. b

Too often, the bright college graduate becomes a mere <u>cog</u> in the machinery of business,

С



.





 Match each use of the word <u>volume</u> with the corresponding meaning at right.





3. Match each use of jet with the correct meaning at right.



4. Motch each use of the word <u>radiant</u> with the corresponding meaning at right.





5. How does the meaning of <u>mother</u> shift from the first sentence to the second?

John's mother is fifty-three years old.

Where is the colt's mother?

- (a) by operation analysis (stage to operation)
- (b) by structure analysis (whole to part)
- (c) by classification (species to genus)

6. What do the lines below represent? Can you make an object "pop" into view?





7. Match each use of the word <u>space</u> with the proper meaning at right.





8. Match each use of the word <u>flower</u> with the proper meaning at right.





- 9. Albert kissed Jan seven times, Jan kissed Albert five times. How many times did they kiss each other? If the answer is five, which of the following is the most probable meaning of <u>kiss</u>?
 - (a) Coress with the lips (by one person)
 - (b) Reciprocal caress with the lips between two people
 - (c) A gesture with the lips, not involving physical contact with another person, as "to throw a kiss"
 - (d) A trace or small amount of something, as "a kiss of lemon"
- 10. How does the meaning of <u>stroke</u> shift from the first sentence to the second?

He took a short stroke, then turned for the last lap.

His best stroke is the Australian Crawl.

- (a) by operation analysis (operation to part)
- (b) by operation analysis (stage to operation)
- (c) by classification (species to genus)



11. Match each use of the word train with the proper meaning at right.





12. Motch each use of the word <u>plant</u> with the proper meaning at right.





13. Match each use of the word moon with the proper meaning at right.



"You saw the moon from Sussex Downs,
A Sussex moon, untravelled still,
I saw a moon that was the town's,
The largest lamp on Campden Hill."
G. K. Chesterton,
<u>The Napoleon of Notting Hill</u>, dedication





74

С

14. How does the meaning of <u>bowl</u> shift from the first sentence to the second?

His toe crossed the line as he <u>bowled</u>.

He <u>bowled</u> a good game.

- (a) by qualification (quality to thing)
- (b) by operation analysis (stage to operation)
- (c) by analogy
- 15. How does the meaning of <u>church</u> shift from the first sentence to the second?

"This <u>church</u> was built in 1923."

"She is active in the <u>church</u>."

- (a) by classification (genus to species)
- (b) by qualification (thing to quality)
- (c) by structure analysis (part to whole)



16. Match each use of the word <u>face</u> with the proper meaning at right.

She made a <u>face</u> .	A	8	Front surface of a person's head
"And the Spirit of God moved upon the <u>face</u> of the waters." <u>Genesis</u>	B	b	A grimace; an expressive facial distortion
<u>Face</u> me when you speak!	C	C	Countenance; expression of emotion
"Bowed by the weight of centuries he leans Upon his hoe and gazes on the ground, The emptiness of ages in his <u>face"</u> Edwin Markham, <u>The Man with the Hoe</u>	D	đ	Symbolic or marked surface, as of a Glock or playing card
Challenges never evaporate; they must be <u>faced</u> .	E	Ø	A thing's prominent surface, whether upper, front, or outer
Turning the card's <u>face</u> up, he revealed a queen.	F	ſ	To confront a situation with courage and fortitude
"I have heard of your paintings too, well enough. God hath given you one <u>face</u> , and you make yourselves another." William Shakespeare, <u>Hamlet</u>	6	g	To be positioned with the face toward someone or something



.

17. Is state a synonym of nation?

(a) yes (b) no (c) sometimes

18. Match each use of the word <u>man</u> with the proper meaning at right.





19. How does the meaning of <u>mushroom</u> shift from the first sentence to the second?

Is that a poisonous <u>mushroom</u>?

An atomic <u>mushroom</u> does not always accompany a nuclear explosion.

- (a) by thing-making (thing to similar thing)
- (b) by qualification (thing to quality)
- (c) by structure energies (part to whole)
- 20. "Words often grow new senses. For example, the word <u>squeak</u> comes from the word <u>mouse</u>." Is this an accurate statement?
- 21. One of the words in the box is the most ombigate in the English language. Which word?

THING	LOVE
GOD	SET
POINT	FREEDOM
IN	SPACE



Name	 Date
Seada	Score

QUALIFICATION

1. Which object (a, b, c, or d) is the same as the one at left?



2. Which item (a, b, c, or d) is the same as the one at left?



3. Which word (a, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the first?

OPPOSITE	SAME	DIFFERENT	QUALITY	ABSTRACT
	ð	b	C	đ



4. Which item (a, b, c, or d) is the same as the one at left?



5. Which drawing (a, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the one at left?



6. Which object (c, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the one at left?



7. Which drawing (a, b, c, or d) is the same as the one at left?





8. Which drawing (a, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the one at left?



9. Which drawing (a, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the first?



10. Which pair (a, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the one at left?





91

:

11. Which drawing (a, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the one at left?



12. What type of quality does such box show? Sensory (S), emotional (E), or logical (L)?



S, E, or L?



S, E, or L?



S, E, or L?



S, E, or L?



S, E, or L?



S, E, or L?



13. Which word (a, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the first?

RED	YELLOW	BLUE	COLOR	VIOLET
	ð	đ	С	đ

14. Which object (a, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the first?



15. Pick the object (a, b, c, or d) which is the opposite of the first.



16. Pick the letter (a, b, c, or d) which is the opposite of the first letter.



.

17. Which term (a, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the one at left? Why?

ABRAHAM Lincoln	PENCIL	EIFFEL To₩er	TORONTO	NORTH POLE
	8	b	С	đ

18. Which object (a, b, c, or d) is the same as the one at left?



19. Which drawing (a, b, c, or d) is the same as the one at left?



20. Which item (a, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the one at left?

ANIMAL	DAY	QUALITY	PARAGRAPH	I KEE
MAMMAL	MONTH	COLOR	SENTENCS	BRANCH
DOG	YEAR	GREEN	WORD	T₩IG
1	8	b	C	d



21. Which pair of words (a, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the pair at left?

WHITE	нот	BAD	CAUTIOUS	FAST
BLACK	COLD	EVIL	BOLD	SLOW
	8	b	C	đ

22. Which set of words (a, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the set at left?

TOE	T∀IG	PLANET	WORD	EARTH
FOOT	BRANCH	SOLAR SYSTEM	SENTENCE	UNITED STATES
LEG	TREE	GALAXY	PARAGRAPH	TEXAS
	8	b	C	đ

- · .

12

23. Which item (a, b, c, or d) is the same as the one at left?





•

24. Which drawing is (a, b, c, or d) the same as the first?



25. Which letter (a, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the one at left?



26. Which drawing (a, b, c, or d) is the same as the one at left?



27. Which drawing (a, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the one at left?





96





28. Which drawing (a, b, c, or d) is the opposite of the first drawing?



29. Which drawing (a, b, c, or d) is the same as the one at left?



30. Which object (a, b, c, or d) is the same as the first?





31. Which item (a, b, c, or d) is the same as the one at left?



32. Which item (a, b, c, or d) is the same as the first?











Name	Date
Grade	Score

CLASSIFICATION

1. Which drawing (a, b, or c) belongs with the two at left?



2. Which object (a, b, or c) belongs in the same class with the two at left?



3. Which object (a, b, or c) belongs with the two at left?





.

4. Which item (a, b, or c) belongs with the two at left?



5. Which drawing (a, b, or c) belongs with the two at left?



 Make a classification diagram of the circles below.
 For example, if you were to classify men, you might make a diagram such as the one shown.





7: Which object (a; b, or c) goes in the same class with the two at left?



8. Which picture belongs with the two at left?



9. Which answer (a, b, or c) belongs in the same class with the first two groups?



10. Which drawing (a, b, or c) belongs in the same class with the two at left?



12. Which answer (a, b, or c) goes with the two objects at left?









13. Complete the classification diagram begun below. In what ways might the items be classified other than sex and age?



14. Which drawing (a, b, or c) belongs with the two at left?



15. How does the meaning of <u>fish</u> shift from the first sentence to the second?

A whale is a mammal, not a fish.

A whale is an air-breathing fish.

- (a) by operation analysis (part to operation)
- (b) by classification (species to genus)
- (c) by structure analysis (part to whole)



16. Which object (a, b, or c) belongs in the same class with the two at left?



17. Which object (a, b, Θr c) belongs with the two at left?



18. All things (whether people, automobiles, or mollusks) can be classified according to sorting factors--named qualities. Can you name the qualities by which things are sorted into classes, or types? In the diagram below, what is the sorting factor at X? At Y? What quality is ignored?





19. Which object (a, b, or c) belongs in the same class with the two at left?



20. Which circles go in which boxes? One circle has already been correctly pigeonholed.



21. Pick the drawing (a, b, or c) which is most similar to the two at left,





95

22. Which pictures go in which boxes? Can you think of adequate words to replace the question marks?



23. Which object (a, b, or c) goes in the same class with the two at left?



24. Which object (a, b, or c) belongs with the two at left?






26. Which sentence (a, b, or c) belongs with the two drawings at left? Why?



- a. No man hath seen God at any time.
- b. The Lord is my shepherd.
- c. In the beginning, God created the heaven and the earth.



Name	Date
Grade	Score

OPERATION ANALYSIS

1. Pick the answer (a, b, or c) which goes in the blank space of the sequence.

Ł

121212ABABAB1212BAB	12ABC	12ABA	ABABA
	. 8	b	C

2. Which answer (a, b, or c) goes in the blank space? Try dividing the sequence into "stages," treating it as if it were an operation.

ABACAABACAABACAABA	AB	CA	CB
•	8	· D	C

3. Which answer (a, b, or c) belongs in the blank space of the sequence?

AAaaBBbCcc	bB	сC	bC
	8	þ	C

ī.

4. Town X is east of town Y. Town Y is east of town Z. Tom, who lives in town X, has two girl friends: Mary, who lives in town Z, and Nancy, who lives in town Y. Which is Tom likely to visit more often?





Магу

Nancy



5. Which word (a, b, c, or d) belongs in the blank space of the series?

SECOND, M	INUTE, HOU	R, DAY,	, MONTH
WEEK	YEAR	SATURDAY	NIGHT
8	b	C	d

6. What number comes next in the sequence?

19, 17, 15, 13, 11, 9, 7, _____

7. Which drawing (a, b, c, or d) comes next in the sequence?



8. Which answer (a, b, c, or d) completes the box at left?

1234				
3456				
56	67	78	78	54
7	8 9 10	8 9 10	679	643
	8	b	С	đ



9. Which set of letters (a, b, or c) belongs in the blank space of the series?

123454321ABCD___BA EDC C EC a b c

10. Which answer choice (a, b, c, or d) comes next in the series? Try dividing the sequence into stages, as if it were an operation.

X0X0X0XX0X00X0X0XXX0X0X0XXX0X0X0
xxoxooxoxxxxoxoxxxxoxoxxxxox
XOXOXXXOXOXXXXOXX

XOOXO	XOXOX	XXOXX	OXXOX
8	D	С	đ

11. Which number (a, b, or c) comes next in the series?

1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 16, 19, 20 _____

22	21	23
8	b	C

12. Which answer (a, b, c, or d) continues the sequence?







13. White squares eat more than white circles. Black squares eat more than white squares. Black squares eat less than black circles. Which would make the most economical pet? Circle your answer.







Rover

Minerva

Pogo

Snoopy

14. Which answer (a, b, or c) comes next in the sequence?

XOXOXXOXXOXOXXOXXOXXOXXO				
XOX	XXO		OXC	
8	b	•	С	

15. Which answer (a, b, c, or d) comes next in the sequence?





GUERNSEY, COW, MAMMAL, VERTEBRATE, ____

MARSUPIAL	CALF	ORGANISM	ANIMAL
8	b	C	đ

17. If the present sequence continues, what will the remainder of the graph look like?



18. Which approximation (a, b, c, or d) belongs in the blank space of the cories?

XX1X5XX2XXX4X3XXX3X____X2XXXX5XX1XXX

X5X	4XX	XXX	XX6
8	b	C	d

19. Which drawing (a, b, c, or d) comes next in the sequence?







20. Which answer (a, b, c, or d) goes in the blank space of the sequence?



21. Which answer (a, b, c, or d) continues the sequence? L03,501-33C; L05,504-99999;

L12,509-2389HM98904B; L02,521-21; L06,523-54987K; L19,529-47H3912457773225789_____

L07,536-046375N 8 L07,548-923847E c

L08,548-5848372 b L20,520-HALT d



22. Which drawing (a, b, c, or d) comes next in the series?



23. Which answer (a, b, or c) continues the series?



b

104

8



С

Name	Date
Grade	Score

SEEING ANALOGIES

1. Which item (a, b, or c) belongs in the blank space?



2. Which drawing (a, b, or c) belongs in the blank space?



3. Pick the answer (a, b, or c) which belongs in the blank space.





115

4. Pick the word (a, b, or c) which completes the analogy.

RENT	GASOLINE	GALLON	VOLUME	VOLATILE
MONTH		8	b	С

5. Which word (a, b, or c) completes the analogy?

COIN		QUARTER	MONEY	BILL
DIME	DOLLAR	8	b,	C

6. Pick the drawing (a, b, or c) which best completes the analogy.





7. Can you make up a phrase to replace the question mark?



8. Which drawing (a, b, or c) completes the analogy?



9. Which word (a, b, or c) completes the analogy?

HOUR
DAY=HORSES
HORSESMARES ANIMALS CARNIVORES
bBbc

10. Which item (a, b, or c) completes the analogy? Why?







11. Which answer (a, b, or c) completes the analogy?



12. Can you make up two different phrases to replace the two question marks?



13. Pick the answer (a, b, or c) which best completes the analogy.

ELECTRON	PLANET		CIIM	SOLAR
ATOM		CAKIN	อบเข	STOLEII
		8	b	C

¹⁰⁸118



14. Which drawing (a, b, or c) goes in the blank space?



15. Which square (a, b, or c) goes in the blank space of the analogy?



16. Which answer (a, b, or c) best completes the quotation?

"I do not know what I may appear to the world, but to myself I seem to have been only a boy playing on the seashore, and diverting myself in now and then finding a smoother pebble or prettier sea shell than ordinary,

Brewster's <u>Memoirs of Newton</u>

- (a) Whilst the great wave of Einstein's theory prepared to engulf my petty discoveries.
- (b) Whilst the great ocean of truth lay all undiscovered before me.
- (c) Whilst the bigger boys discovered boulders of infinite truth.



17. Which item (a, b, or c) goes in the blank space of the analogy?



18. Which item (a, b, or c) completes the analogy?



19. Pick the drawing (a, b, or c) which best completes the analogy.





20. Choose the object (a, b, or c) which completes the analogy.



21. Which item (a, b, or c) completes the analogy?





! . . .

:

+ + +

•

111

22. Which item (a, b, or c) completes the analogy?



23. Which word completes the analogy?



24. Which answer (a, b, or c) completes the analogy? Why?



25. Which word (a, b, or c) completes the analogy?





26. Which drawing (a, b, or c) completes the analogy?



27. Which answer (a, b, or c) best completes the analogy?





<i>i</i>		
Nome		Date
Grade		Score
9	STRUCTURE AN	ALYSIS
1. Which line (a	a, b, or c) best	completes the poem? \
One, two,	, three	a. Tom Mix
What do y	you see?	b. The river Styx
Four, five	e, six	c. Pipers three
2. Which words	; go in which sp	aces of the diagram?
2. Which words	; go in which sp Blode	aces of the diagram?
2. Which words	; go in which sp Blade Knife	aces of the diagram?
2. Which words	; go in which sp Blade Knife	aces of the diagram?
2. Which words	go in which sp Blode Knife Handle	aces of the diagram?
 Which words Which wo	go in which sp Blode Knife Handle Handle the second?	aces of the diagram?
 Which words Which words How does the sentence to to	go in which sp Blode Knife Handle Handle the second?	aces of the diagram?
 Which words How does the sentence to formation of the sentence to formation of the sentence. Which <u>ocean</u> consurface. 	go in which sp Blade Knife Handle Handle the second? overs more than is larger, the a	aces of the diagram?
 Which words How does the sentence to the sentence to	go in which sp Blode Knife Handle Handle the second? overs more than is larger, the a ration analysis (eces of the diagram?
 Which words How does the sentence to the sentence to	go in which sp Blode Knife Handle Handle the second? overs more than is larger, the a ation analysis (cture analysis (eces of the diagram?

114

ERIC Prail face Provided by EDIC 4. Which words go in which spaces of the structure analysis diagram? Take the words from the drawing at right.



5. Make a structure analysis diagram of the circle at right. Below is a sample structure analysis diagram of another figure. (Construct your diagram on the back of this page.)







6. Which words go in which spaces of the structure analysis diagram? Take the words from the map. One of the words has already been correctly placed.



 Make a structure analysis diagram of the map below. For example, if you were to analyze the structure of the solar system, you might make a diagram such as the one shown. (Construct your diagram on the back of this page.)







U.S. DEPT. OF EDUCATION OFFICE OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND IMPROVEMENT (OERI)



DATE FILMED

