DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 259 448	EA 017 908
AUTHOR TITLE	Ellis, Thomas I. Dismissing Incompetent Teachers. ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management: ERIC Digest, Number
INSTITUTION	Five. ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management, Eugene, Oreg.
SPONS AGENCY PUB DATE Contract	National Inst. of Education (ED), Washington, DC. 84 400-83-0013
NOTE AVAILABLE FROM	3p. Publication Sales, ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management, Center for Advanced Technology in Education, University of Oregon, 1787. Agate Street, Eugene, OR 97403 (free).
PUB TYPE	Information Analyses - ERIC Information Analysis Products (071)
EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS	Ad. Astrator Responsibility; *Due Process; Elementary Secondary Education; Teacher Administrator Relationship; *Teacher Discipline; *Teacher
IDENTIFIERS	Dismissal; *Teacher Evaluation; Teacher Improvement ERIC Digests

ABSTRACT

ERIC

Dismissing incompetent tenured teachers is a difficult and time-consuming task. Contrary to popular opinion, however, that task is not impossible. Effective dismissal of incompetent teachers requires thorough, valid, and well-documented evaluation procedures, appropriate remediation efforts, and a fair hearing prior to dismissal. (TE)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.



000

4

025941

ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management

ERIC DIGEST

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reprorluced as received from the person or organization originating it.

[] Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

DISMISSING INCOMPETENT TEACHERS

Dismissing incompetent tenured teachers is a difficult and time-consuming task. Contrary to popular opinion, however, that task is not imposable. The key to efficient identification and dismissel of incompetent teachers lies in (1) a thorough, valid, and well-documented evaluation procedure; (2) appropriate remediation efforts; and (3) a fair heating prior to dismissel.

How pervasive is the problem of teacher incompetence?

According to surveys of parents and administrators alike, incompetence in the teaching profession has become a major concern. On one occasion 45 percent of polled public school parents feit that there were teachers in the local schools who should be fired. In another survey, school administrators, when asked to estimate the proportion of unsatisfactory teachers, gave figures ranging from 5 to 15 percent. Yet dismissal of tenured teachers for incompetence is atili relatively rare.

What is tenure?

EA 017 908

ERIC

Tenure is not a lifetime contract that guarantees teachers permanent employment. It does, however, guarantee due process for teachers facing dismissel cases. Originating around the turn of the century as a way of protecting teachers from arbitrary dismissels by school boards, tenure laws are intended to regulate, rather than prevent, the dismissel of incompetent teachers.

What constitutes incompetence?

Courts have not been inclined to specify standards for evaluating teacher competence. They have, however, defined four broad areas where unsatisfactory performance can be grounds for a teacher's dismissal: subject matter, teaching methods, effects on pupils, and personal attitudes. Most dismissal cases of tenured teachers involve multiple sources of failure and one or more of the other legal grounds for dismissal such as neglect of duty, unbecoming conduct, and other good and just causes. Dismissal rarely stems from a single egregious error; rather, termination is must often based on a persistent pattern of mistakes and failures."

How hard is it to diamiss an incompetent teacher?

Regardless of the character of the teacher's failure, the success of a school board that attempts to dismiss tenured teachers is by no means assured. The frequency with which dismissai decisions are upheld by an impartial third party depends partly on the type of adjudicator--commission on professional competence, court judge, or hearing officer. In 1982, a California Commission on Professional Competence upheld seven out of nine dismissal cases. Court judges are less supportive, upholding roughly two-thirds of the cases brought before them. Hearing officers render the less favorable decisions, sustaining school board actions in only 37 percent of their judgments.

The key to success in any attempt to have a ' teacher dismissed appears to be thorough documentation of the teacher's shortcomings, Principals should put everything in writing, keeping full, detailed records of a teacher's performance, supported by evidence of the specific times and dates of key incidents and amplified with direct, syewitness reports from outside observers.

What steps should be followed in identifying and dismissing incompetent teachers?

The first essential step is to adopt and publish reasonable criteria for teacher evaluation. Not only do such criteria encourage teachers to excel, but they also provide a legal basis for dismissal. For a criterion to be of practical value in evaluating and dismissing tenured teachers, there must be valid ways of determining whether a teacher has satisfied it.

Once a teacher is identified as deficient in

2

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

one or more criteria, fairness and due process demand efforts at remediation. After problems have been defined according to specific standards of acceptable performance, teachers and principals should work together to establish objectives for improvement and strategies for meeting these objectives. Teacher peformance is then carefully monitored and measured against objectives, with the use of observation, regularly scheduled evaluations, and continuing feedback to the teacher.

If remediation fails, what then?,

Success in dismissing incompetent teachers then depends entirely on adequate preparation for hearings and acrupulous respect for due process. Teachers should be duly notified of a recommendation for termination. The necessary components of a fair hearing, as delineated by state statutes, include (1) a statement of charges and the materials on which they're based; (2) a timely written notice of the date, time, and place of the hearing; (3) an opportunity to be represented by counsel and to call witnesses on the teacher's behalf; (4) an opportunity to cross-examine witnesses; (5) a written decision containing specific findings; and (6) the right to appeal.

RESOURCES

Bridges, Edwin M., with Barry Groves. <u>Managing</u> <u>the Incompetent Teacher.</u> Eugene, Oregon; and Stanford, California: EKIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management, University of Oregon; and Institute for Research on Educational Finance and Governance, Stanford University, 1984. ERIC/CEM, University of Oregon, 1787 Agate St., Eugene, OR 97403. \$4.25 plus \$1.50 handling. Dolgin, Ann B. "Two Types of Due Process: The Role of Supervision in Teacher Dismissal Cases." <u>NASSP Bulletin</u> 65 (February 1981): 17-21. EJ 240 463.

Erickson, Ralph. "How Firm Are Teacher Tenure Laws?" <u>Keppa Delta Pi Record</u> 17 (April 1981): 114-16. EJ 245 197.

Frels, Kelly, and Timothy T. Cooper. A <u>Documentation System for Teacher Improvement</u> <u>or Termination: Practical Concise Guide for</u> <u>Legal Consideration in Teacher Evaluation.</u> <u>NOLPE Mini-Monograph.</u> Topeka, Kansas: <u>National Organization on Legal Problems of</u> Education, 1982. 24 pages. ED 228 725. <u>Publications, NOLPE, Southwest Plaza Building,</u> 3601 W. 29th, Suite 223, Topeka, KS 66614. \$4.95; include \$1,00 for postage and handling.

Larson, David H. "Dismissing Incompetent Staff." School Administrator 40 (February 1983): 28, 35, 37. EJ 276 318.

- MacNaughton, Robert, and Victor J. Ross. "With Preparation, You Can Clear the Teacher Termination Hurdles." <u>American School Board</u> Journal 169 (April 1982): 32-34. EJ 261 542.
- Munnelly, Robert J. "What You Should Know When Your Staff Asks You for a Teacher Dismissal Hesring." <u>American School Bosrd Journal</u> 170 (May 1983): 22, 26. EJ 280 897.
- Peterson, Donovan. "Legal and Ethical Issues of Teacher Evaluation: A Research-Based Approach." Educational Research Quarterly 7 (Winter 1983): 6-16. EJ 284 820.
- Peterson, Jerry D. "Teacher Termination Hearings: The ABCs of Survival." <u>NASSP Bulletin</u> 66 (December 1982): 83-89. EJ 274 288.

ERIC DIGEST, Number Five

Prepared by Thomas I, Ellis, Research Analyst

This publication was prepared with funding from the National Institute of Education, U.S. Department of Education under contract no. 400-83-0013. The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of NE or the Department of Education.

A product of the ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management College of Education, University of Oregon Eugene, Oregon 97403 1984

