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## ABSTRACT

PASS (Positive Alternatives to Student Suspensions) is a Portland Public Schools program which provides a sequence of intervention strategies to improve attendance and progress of "high risk" high school students. The goal of its student, staff, parent, and community components is the reduction in the number of students suspended, or excluded, from school. This report provides summary results of the fourth year pilot PASS programs at Grant and Lincoln High Schools and presents conclusions and recommendations for the 1984-85 school year. As a result of the PASS program student suspensions at Grunt and Lincoln are at an all-time low. Minority suspensions, specifically black suspensions, have decraased noticeably, but the problem of a greater percentage of minority suspensions continues. It is recommended that the PASS Program be continued and supported at the same level at these schools. Further refinement of program goals and desired outcomes is recommended. Three appendices contain 10 figures and 12 tables with descripive statistics and diagrams. (BS)
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## INIRODUCTION

This evaluation of the PASS pilot project conducted at Grant and Lincoln High Schools is completed at the request of the Office of Student Services.

The purpose of this document is to:

- provide summary results of the fourth year of operation of the pilot programs conducted at Grant and Lincoln High Schools;
- update data provided in the comprehensive Evaluation Report on PASS (Positive Alternative to Student Suspension) produced by the Research and Evaluation Department of Portiand Pubiic Schools in January of 1984;
- present conclusions and recommendations that will assist Portland District staff in making decisions about the 1984-85 school year.

Relevant questions to be considered are:

- What effect has the impimentation of the PASS Program had on student suspensions at Grant and Lincoln High Schools?
- Are the number of suspensions at Grant and Lincoln High Schools significantly lower than at other Portland Public Iigh Schools?

The description of the PASS Program, statement of findings, conclusions and recommendations presented in the 1983-84 year-end report are based on meetings with the PASS coordinators and the administrator responsible for overall implementation of PASS from the Office of Student Services; analysis of data from monthly PASS room record sheets; and year-end reports submitted by the PASS Coordinators.

Because of the thoroughness of the 1984 mid-year report (January 1984), the main body of this evaluation will be short and to the point. For those who would like to review more data, Appendices A, B, and C provide updated tables and figures on PASS services and results attained. For those who seek additional information (e.g., history of PASS in the Portland District, more details on the first three years of operation), it is recommended that they obtain a copy of the January 1984 svaluation from the Research and Evaluation Department.

## DESCRIPITION OF PROGRAM

PASS (Positive Alternatives to Student Suspensions) is a program designed to provide a sequence of intervention strategies that result, in inmroved attendance and progress for high school students--specifically those individuals identified as "high risk" students. The four components of PASS are designed to develop positive approaches for:

1. preventing and resolving behavior problems;
2. providing support that will keep students working productively in the school setting.

It is expected that the implementation of the student, staff, parent and commity components will result in a reduction in the number of students suspended or excluded from school.

The PASS Program at Grant and Lincoln High Schools is administered by a full-time coordinator who is responsible for:
o supervising the PASS room

- planning, coordinating and in some instances implementing activities and events related to the four program components
- working with the program aide to ensure that recordkeeping and reports are completed monthly and submitted to the Office of Student Services

The PASS coordinator is assisted by a full-time aide. A room, generally known as the "PASS room," with office space is used by the coordinator and aide for the coordination and delivery of services.

Grant and Lincoln staff work toward the attainment of the same general goals; however, the focus and details of the program are adapted to meet the needs of the student population as well as the school staff and the community. Both schools implement all four components of the PASS Program.

## Student Component

General support is availible to students at all grade levels through the PASS room. Stulents can be referred for time-out of the regular classroom or for "in-school" suspension. (The student is assigned to, the PASS room to complete regular classroom assignments.)

A special effort is made to provide services to freshman students through a student survival course. In the fall of the school year, the PASS coordinator (with administrative and teacher support) provides information about student success--strategies for survival--and explains the services provided by PASS and other studert programs to all freshman.

Special seminars, incentives for improved student behavior, counseling and in some instances tutoring are provided by the program to studerts at all grade le rels.

## Staff Component

Support by the PASS coordinators to the staff of $\mathrm{Gran}^{\prime}$ and Lincoln High Schools is provided through:

0 in classroon observations - observation of $r$ sematic behavior followed by a presentation reinforing appropriate classroom behavior;

- regular commication with staff regarding siudents whon iave been referred to the PASS Program;
- staff development workshops or seminars;
o teacher/staff conference initiated by PASS staff;
- parent/staff conferences initiated by PASS staff; and
- regular reports of PASS room and other program statistics.

Parent Component:
Coordinators serve parents by scheduling conferences (or phone calls) with parents concerning student behavior; monitoring student attendance; hosting meetings, including an open house to explain and familiarize parents with the school and the goals of the PASS Program; providing parenting classes; and providing printed information on subjects of concern to parents (e.g., drugs, sex, etc.).

## Commmity Component

Depending on the circumstances, PASS ccordinators make appropriate referrals of student and families to agencies and other service providers in the community. Coordinators may initiate visitations to service agencies within the school community.

The Effect of the PASS PROGRAM on Student Suspensions at Grant and Lincoln High Schools

- Total student suspensions have been reduced during the 1983-84 school year at both Grant and Lincoln High Schools. Student suspensions for both schools are the lowest they have been for the 1978-79 through the 1983-84 school years. (See Tables 1, 4, and 5 in Appendix B.)

0 The PASS Program serves "high risk" students. The majority of students suspended during the 1983-84 school year had at least one contact with the PASS Program during the school year. Many students had multiple contacts. (See Tables 6 and 7 in Appendix B.)
o Minority--specifically Black--student suspensions have been reduced. The percentage of minority and specifically Black students was the lowest it has been at Grant and Lincoln High Schools. (See Figure 3.3 in Appendix C.)

1983-84 Student Suspensions at Grant and Lincoln High Schools Compared to the District Áverage
o Grant and Lincoln High Schools have the lowest student suspension rates in the District for 1983-84.

| Deviation | School | Interpretation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -1.38 | Grant | Student suspensions over one standard <br> deviation below the District average. |
| -1.62 | Lincoln | Student suspensions over one and one- <br> half deviations below the District <br> average. |

- With the exception of Cleveland High School, Grant and Lincoln have the lowest percentage of minority students suspended during the 1983-84 school year. Suspensions for both schools are nearly one deviation below the District average ( -0.89 ).
- Grant has the second highest Black student population in the District (second only to Jefferson High School); however, Black student suspensions were one deviation belor the District average ( -1.04 ).

Program Administration and Implementation in 1983-84
The management model for the PASS pilot program promotes communication. Consistent data reporting and monthly meeting of the administrator of the Office of Student Services with Phōs coordinators provides information necessary to monitor progress and report results of the PASS Program to District staff and the community.

There is evidence that all four components of the Portland Public Scnool PASS Program were implemented at both Grant and Lincoln High Schools during the 1983-84 school year.
o The Grant PASS Program was generally directed toward the prevention and reduction of students suspensions through the implementation of a strong student component, staff support and parent communication. (See Figure 1 in Appendix A, PASS coordinator year-end report).

- The PASS Program at Lincoln included a strong student component with a special emphasis on substance abuse and individual student counseling, staff development, and parenting classes with a student/family referral system. (See Figure 2 in Appendix A, PASS coordinator year -end report).

Information from school staff (teachers and administrators), attendance data and evaluation reports have been used by the PASS administrator and cnordinators to continue to modify the program in an effort to better meet the needs of students, staff, and parents.

## CONCLUSIONS

## Students Suspensions at Grant and Lincoln High Schools at an All-Time Low

The extent to which the PASS pilot project has positively impacted student attendance and progress at Grant and Lincoln High Schools is not totally apparent in areas where program support centers on prevention; however, it is clear that the percentage of students suspended at Grant and Lincoln High Schools for 1983-84 school year are lower than the national average (1981-82) and lower than the 1983-84 District average. Several pieces of data lead to the conclusion that the implementation of PASS has effected lower suspension rates in the pilot schools:

- Grant and Lincoln High Schools' suspension statistics show student suspensions to be over one standard deviation below the District average-the lowest in the District.
o Minority suspension at both pilot schools are nearly one deviation below the District average.
- Black student suspensions at Grant High School for 1983-84 are over one standard deviation below the District average and Lincoln High School has the third lowest suspension rate of Black students in the District.
- PASS Room statistics show an increasing number of teacher, administrative, parent and self-referrals which supports the contention that these groups see the program as a positive alternative.
- One-third (377) of the student referrals at Grant and 10\% (164) of the referrals at Lincoln are coded as "in-school" suspensions. If the PASS Program were not operating, many of these students would be excluded from school.

Progress in Reducing Minority Student Suspensions is Noteworthy But Concern Continues

Minority suspensions--specifically Black suspensions--have dec reased noticeably at the Grant and Lincoln High Schools; they are below the national and District average. However, the problem of a greater precentage of minority students being suspended continues. While suspensions for all ethnic groups have been reduced, at both schools, the statistics on Black student suspension suggest that the program though successful in reducing Black student suspensions may not be capable of bringing about parity.

## RECOMENDATIONS

PASS Program at Grant and Lincoln High Schools Should be Continued.
The PASS Program being implemented at Grant and Lincoln High Schools should be continued and supportec at the same level with a full-time coordinator, full-time aide and adequate space to: allow students to study and complete classwork; counsel students individually and in small groups; meet with staff or parents as necessary; and carry out other duties and responsibilities related to the PASS Program.

Goals of the PASS Program( s) Should be Further Refined.
While the overall goal--reduction of student suspensions by preventing and resolving behavior problems--is stated, the desired outcomes for specific program components should be more clearly stated. Outcomes should be stated for each program component. The treatments or activities (related to each program component) should have a rationale that is based on quantitative or descriptive data (e.g., a needs assessment that reflects concerns of staff, students, parents/community). Criteria for measuring success should be included in yearly program plans.

Long-term goals for the PASS Program should be developed in cooperation with counselors, vice-principals, department heads, and others. The relationship of the PASS Program to other support services for students should be clearly defined.

## APPENDIX A

- PASS Room Statistics
o Grant 1983-84 Program Report .
- Lincoin 1983-84 D•.ggram Report
o September to May : S Room Data Analysis,

FIGURE 1
Positive Alternatives to Student Suspension
PASS Room Statistics 09/83 thru 05/84

Total Number of Student Contacts 2,703

- Grant 1,099
. Lincoln 1,604

GRANT LINCOLN TOTAL

## Ethnic Group

Indian
White
Black
Asian
Hispanic
Other
Grade Level
Freshman
$50.5 \%$
Sophomore
Junior
28. 69

Senior
Other
Sex
Male
69.89
$30.2 \%$
Referred by
Adminisirator
62.48

Teacher
$25.5 \%$
Self
Counselor
Parent
PASS Staff
Other
Reasons Referred
Substance Abuse
School Attendance
Student Verbal Conflict
Student Physical Conflict
Staff Verbal Conflict
Staff Physical Conflict
Detention
Problems
Class Disruption
Other
0.4
46.5
49.3
2.5
1.0
0.3

$50.5 \%$
$28.6 \%$
$13.3 \%$
$7.4 \%$

| 1.6 | 1.1 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 73.4 | 62.4 |
| 19.9 | 31.9 |
| 2.1 | 2.3 |
| 0.8 | 0.9 |
| 0.2 | 0.3 |


| $27.1 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $21.5 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ |
| $25.2 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ |
| $18.6 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| $0.2 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |

38.2\%
51. 08
$60.6 \%$
48.2\%

| $33.7 \%$ | $45.4 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $10.7 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ |
| $14.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| $2.3 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $7.9 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| $23.9 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ |
| $4.9 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |


| $3.2 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $17.0 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ |
| $3.8 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| $5.4 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| $13.6 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| $0.3 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| $0.7 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| $1.8 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ |
| $38.0 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ |
| $16.2 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |

A review of the data collected by PASS Room staff reveals the following:

- 738 different students were served by PASS during 1983-84.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text {-- } 351 \text { students at Grant } & (20.0 \% \text { of the enrollment) } \\ --387 \text { students at Lincoln } \quad(27.9 \% \text { of the enrollment) }\end{array}$
- All grade levels are served by the PASS Program.
o "School Attendance" and "Classroom Disruption" were the primary reasons students were referred to PASS.
- The majority of the students served by PASS were referred more than one time during the school year.
-- Approximately 33.04 were referred one time.
-- 50.04 were referred between two and five times.
-- $10.0 \%$ were referred between six and ten times.
-- $10.0 \%$ were referred more than ten times.
- Students' parents were contacted regarding the referral to the PASS. Program about one-third of the time.
o "In-School Suspension" accounted for $20.0 \%$ of the total number of students contacts.
-- $34.3 \%$ at Grant
-- $10.2 \%$ at Lincoln
o "Conference" accounted for $30.3 \%$ of the total number of student contacts.
-- 1.8\% at Grant
-- 49.9\% at Lincoln

FIGURE 2

## GRANT HIGH SCHOOL

1983-84 PASS PROGRAM REPORT

Individual students referred to PASS
(20.0\% of the enrollment)

Total number of student contacts
1,099
The percentage given below reflect the total number of student contacts.

Grade Level
Freshman 50.5
Sophomore 28.6
Junior $\quad 13.3$
Senior $\quad 7.4$

Referral Status
Time Out
*In-School Suspension Conference
Out of Range

Ethnic Make-up
Asian 2.5
Black 49.3
Hispanic . 1.0
Indian . 4
White 46.5
Other

Sex
Male
69.8

Female $\quad 30.2$
*In-School Suspension could be either one or more school days being spent in the PASS room, or a single class suspension which might have resulted in as much as a week's removal from that class.

## Reasons Referred

Substance Abuse 3.2
School Attendance 17.0
Student Verbal Conflict 3.8
Student Physical Conflict 5.4
Staff Verbal Conflict 13.6
Staff Physical Conflict 0.3
Detention 0.7
Problems 1.8
Class Disruptions 38.0
Other 16.2

## Student Component

A) Student Success Program - During the first weeks of school, PASS Staff (Joe Simpson) visited all freshman Global Studies classes. Presentations regarding student success and PASS Program functions were made.
B) Regular visits were made to Chapter I classes in the fall to reinforce school survival skills and appropiate behavior in class.
C) In the fall, complimentary tickets (Portland Trailblazer games and Burgerville U.S.A.) were used as student incentives for improved behavior and performance in Chapter I classes.
D) Student Survival classes - Thirteen students participated in one of two 5 -week sessions. Kermit Washington was available as a guest during the first session. Students were selected who were experiencing behavior and attendance problems.

## Staff Component

A) In Classroom Services - Observation of problematic behavior followed by a presentation reinforcing appropriate classroom behavior was provided at teacher's request.
B) Follow-up Contact, including notes from PASS staff regarding students visit were made to the referring teacher with copies sent to parents, counselor, dean/vice principal.
C) Parent/Staff conferences were arranged at either parent or teacher request. Conferences often resulted in attendance monitoring or referral to community agencies for counseling (i.e., drug/alcohol assessment and family services).
D) Teacher/Student conferences were held at teachers request prior to students return to classroom after having been referred to PASS. Conference function was to reinforce classroom expectations or to resolve teacher/student conflict.

## Parent Component

A) Parent Contact - Phone calls to parents were made as necessary regarding student referrals. After Spring Break approximately 99.9 percent of the referrals were sent home, along with a letter inviting parents to contact PASS staff or the referring teacher if they had questions.
B) At parent request, the PASS staff monitored studen'cs' daily attendance.
C) Open House - The PASS staff hosted an Open House the evening of April 24, 1984, for parents of students seen in PASS. We provided information on summer school, night school, credit by exam, Up-Ward Bound, and tutoring resources. Also, staff contacted teachers to find out how students were progressing into the fourth quarter. This information was relayed back to parents.

## Community

Referrals were made as deemed appropriate.

LINCOLN HIGH SCHOOL
P.A.S.S. PROGRAM 1983-84 ANNUAL REPORT

A summary and evaluation of P.A.S.S. services in the program's 4 components from September 1983 to May 1984.

TO: Lincoln High School Staff
FROM: Diane Smith, P.A.S.S. Coordinator

## DATE: .June 1984

can you expect to govern a country that has 246 E. End A. Services

1. A 2-day student survival course held in 16 social studies classes during September by P.A.S.S., I.S.C., and I.S. coordinators.
2. Total number of student contacts: 1,604
a. 48 students were monitored and counseled for "time out." b. 164 students were monitored and counseled for "in school suspension."
c. 955 individual student conferences were held.
3. Student reason for P.A.S.S. service:
a. substance abuse: 138 students
b. school attendance: 425 students
c. student/student verbal or physical conflict: 114 students
d. student/staff verbal or physical conflict: 81 students
e. Individual problems: 499 students
f. class disruption: 191 students
g. other: 156 students
4. 26 faculty-identified students were involved in a six-week support group.
5. 8 out of 25 th graders attended an orientation support session
6. 12 students received individual tutoring for competency exams from 2 retired teacher tutors for the entire year.
7. 1,804 students served time in after-school detention (Card Room) monitored by P.A.S.S. aide. (average 13.8 dally)
8. 38 students attended 2 support seminars on Death \& Dying presented by Paula Cash, R.N.
B. Summary: The faculty identification process of selecting students with special concerns or needs is an excellent process that should be continued. An earlier identification would allow more time to develop smaller support groups of common concerns. The freshman survival course was successful and could be improved by adding senior students, counselors, and administrators in the presenttalon. To eliminate support sessions taking students' classroom time, sessions could be scheduled during Monday late opening, lunch, and after school. The high numbers of individual conferfences indicate a need for small group support sessions in the areas of individual problems (family problems, drugs and alcohol, and human sexuality, etc.)

FLirukn 5 (Lontinuea)
II.

STAFF COMPONENT
P.A.S.S. Program Annual Repnrt. Page 2
A. Services

1. Administrators, counselorn, program leaders and department haeds attenced a l-day staff development workshop in Aigust 1983 presented by Vern Jones.
2. 11 lunch meetings were held for new teacher support
3. 36 teacher-requested classroom observations were made to observe and deal with individual student behavior
4. 14 student/teacher/G.A.S.S. coordinator conferences were held to resolve atudent/teacher conflict
5. 11 teacher-requested classroom observations were made t.o work with teachers on total class behavior
6. P.A.S.S. coordinator covered class while teacher dealt with individual or group behavior
7. resnurces were made available to staff on student needs
8. a half-day seminar was held with counselors, P.A.S.S. coordinator, and integration eervices specialist to strengthen and coordinate our services.
B. Sumary: Working with teachers in solving student behavinr is more successful than working with just the student. Teacher information on students' behavior is vital in determining the student need or concern behind the discipline probiem.

## III. PARENTING COMPONENT

A. Services

1. 594 conferences or phone calls with parents concerning atudent behavior
2. Six 2-hour parenting classes held by West Side Youth Service Center
3. Elght ${ }^{132}$-hour parenting classes held by Morrison Center
4. 65 students were monitcred by parents using attendance verification process
5. Printed information was made available to parents on various subjects of concerns: drugs, sex, ecc.
6. Community help and agency information was made available to parents
B. Summary: Parenting classes will be scheduled earlier in the year and continue all year. Placing the attendance verification monitoring with the parents has resulted in more effective consequences and better atudent attendance. Parent contact and cooperation is an important factor in working with atudent behavior.
IV. COMMUNITY COMPONENT
A. Services
7. 319 students were referred to commuity agencies

- a. 73 students referred for alcohol or drug agency
b. 48 studenta referred to agency dealing with human sexuality (pregnancy, rape, sexual abuse)
c. 208 other agencies, i.e., family counseling, paychiatric

2. 106 students referred to alternative schools
3. 12 visitations to community agencies to gain information
B. Summary: Gaining a knowledge of available community services this year will enable the P.A.S.S. program to better use thore services in next years support groups and seminars.

Any comments, concerns, or suggestions in helping me plan for next year would be greatly appreciated. Thank you.

$$
1 \text { a } 19 \text { ntane Smith }
$$

## SCHOOL

- 1604 entries for Lincoln
- 1099 entries for Grant

$$
N=1099
$$

$N=1604$

## BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ETHNIC

- Indian

| $1.1 \%$ | . Indian | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $62.4 \%$ | . White | 511 |
| $31.9 \%$ | . Black | 542 |
| $2.3 \%$ | . Asian | 28 |
| $0.9 \%$ | . Hispanic | 11 |
| $0.3 \%$ | . Other |  |
| $1.1 \%$ |  |  |


| 0.4\% | Indian | 26 | $1.6 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46.5\% | . White | 1177 | 73.48 |
| $49.3 \%$ | . Black | 319 | 19.98 |
| $2.5 \%$ | - Asian | 34 | 2.18 |
| $1.0 \%$ | - Hispanic | 13 | 0.8\% |
| 0.38 | - Other | 4 | 0.27 |
|  | - Out of range | 31 | 1.9\% |
| 69.8\% | . Male | 612 | 38.2\% |
| 30.2\% | - Female | 972 | 60.6\% |
|  | - Out of range | 20 | $1.2 \%$ |

GRADE

```
- Freshman
```

- Sophomore989
- Sophomore 659
- Junior 550
- Senior
- Other
- Out of range
$51.0 \%$
$48.2 \%$. Female
$0.7 \%$

| $36.6 \%$ | . Freshman |
| ---: | :--- |
| $24.4 \%$ | . Sophomore |
| $20.3 \%$ | Junior |
| $14.0 \%$ | . Senior |
| $0.1 \%$ | Other |
| $4.6 \%$ | - Out of range |355 146

81
${ }^{-}$
$50.5 \%$
$28.6 \%$
$13.3 \%$
$7.4 \%$
-
0.38

- Freshman

434 345 404 298 3 120

- Resident

2055
Administrative
Transfer
Jut of range
613
35
$76.0 \%$

- Resident
- Administrative

Transfer
236
$77.5 \%$

- Resident 1203
$75.0 \%$
$22.7 \%$
- Out of range

11

| CONTACT STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Initial | 918 | 34.08 | - Initial | 386 | 35.1\% | - Initial | 532 | 33.2\% |
| - Continuing | 425 | $15.7 \%$ | - Continuing | 340 | 30.9\% | - Continuing | 85 | 5.3\% |
| - Repeat | 918 | 34.2\% | - Repeat | 299 | 27.2\% | - Repeat | 619 | 38.64 |
| - Ongoing | 425 | 15.7\% | - Ongoing | 74 | $6.7 \%$ | - Ongoing | 351 | 21.98 |
| - Out of range |  |  |  |  |  | - Out of range | 17 | 1.1\% |
| TIME SPENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 1 to 20 minutes | 677 | $25.0 \%$ | - 1 to 20 minutes | 149 | 13.6\% | - 1 to 20 minutes | 528 | 32.9\% |
| - 1 period | 1693 | $62.6 \%$ | - 1 period | 811 | $73.8 \%$ | - 1 period | 882 | $55.0 \%$ |
| - 2 periods | 119 | 4.4\% | - 2 periods | 34 | $3.1 \%$ | - 2 periods | 85 | 5.3\% |
| - 3 periods | 41 | 1.5\% | - 3 periods | 12 | 1.18 | - 3 periods | 29 | $1.8 \%$ |
| - 4 periods | 39 | 1.4\% | - 4 periods | 14 | $1.3 \%$ | . 4 periods | 25 | $1.6 \%$ |
| - 5 periods | 31 | 1.18 | - 5 periods | 19 | $1.7 \%$ | - 5 periods | 12 | 0.7\% |
| - 6 periods | 53 | $2.0 \%$ | - 6 periods | 18 | 1.6\% | - 5 periods | 35 | 2.28 |
| - 7 periods | 50 | 1.8\% | - 7 periods | 42 | $3.8 \%$ | . 7 periods | 8 | $0.5 \%$ |
| REFERRED BY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Administrator | 1227 | 45.4\% | - Administrator | 686 | 62.4\% | - Administrator |  | 33.7\% |
| - Teacher | 447 | 16.5\% | - Teacher | 280 | 25.5\% | - Teacher | 167 | $10.7 \%$ |
| - Self | 271 | 10.0\% | - Self | 46 | 4.28 | - Self | 225 | 14.0\% |
| - Counselor | 81 | 3.08 | - Counselor | 44 | 4.0\% | - Counselor | 37 | 2.3\% |
| - Parent | 154 | $5.7 \%$ | - Parent | 27 | 2.5\% | - Parent | 127 | 7.9\% |
| - PISS Stafí | 395 | 14.6\% | - PASS Staff | 11 | 1.0\% | - PASS Staff | 384 | 23.9\% |
| - Other | 81 | 3.0\% | - Other | 3 | 0.3\% | - Other | 78 | 4.9\% |
| - Out of range | 47 | 1.7\% | - Out of range | 2 | 0.2\% | - Out of range | 45 | 2.8\% |

BEST COPY AVAILABLE23

| Grant and Lincoln |  |  | Grant High School |  |  | Linzoln High School |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REASON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Substance Abuse | 173 | 6.4\% | . Substance Abuse | 35 | 3.2\% | . Substance Abuse | 138 | 8.6\% |
| - School Attendance | 612 | 22.6\% | - School Attendance | 187 | 17.0\% | . Schoc! Attendance | 425 | 26.9\% |
| - Student Verbal | 68 | 2.5\% | - Student Verbal | 42 | 3.8\% | - Student Verbal | 26 | 1.68 |
| - Student Physical | 147 | 5.4\% | - Student Physical | 59 | 5.4\% | - Student Physical | 88 | $5.5 \%$ |
| - Staff Verbal | 219 | 8.1\% | - Staff Verbal | 149 | 13.6\% | . Staff Verbal | 70 | 4.48 |
| - Staff Physical | 14 | 0.58 | - Staff Physical | 3 | 0.3\% | Staff Physical | 11 | 0.78 |
| - Detention | 17 | $0.6 \%$ | . Detention | 8 | 0.78 | Detention | 9 | 0.68 |
| - Problems | 519 | 19.2\% | - Problems | 20 | 1.8\% | - Problems | 499 | 31.18 |
| - Class Disruption | 609 | 22.5\% | - Class Disruption | 418 | 38.0\% | - Class I)isruption | 191 | 11.98 |
| - Other | 325 | 12.0\% | - Other | 178 | 16.2\% | . Other | 147 | 9.28 |

REFERRAL STATUS

| - Time Out | 1107 | $41.0 \%$ | . Time Out | 622 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| - In-School |  |  | Suspension | 541 |
| S | $20.0 \%$ | . In-School | Suspension | 377 |
| - Conference | 820 | $30.3 \%$ | . Conference | 20 |
| - Out of range | 235 | $8.7 \%$ | . Out of range | 80 |


| $56.6 \%$ | - Time Out | 485 | $30.2 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - In-School |  |  |
| $34.3 \%$ | Suspension | 164 | $10.2 \%$ |
| $1.8 \%$ | - Conference | 800 | $49.9 \%$ |
| $7.3 \%$ | - Out of range | 155 | $9.7 \%$ |

RETURNED TO CLASS

- Yes
- No
- Out of rang
ARENT CONTACT

| - Yes | 909 | 33.6\% | - Yes | 315 | 28.7\% | Yes | 594 | 37.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . No | 1764 | 65.3\% | - No | 772 | $70.2 \%$ | . No | 992 | 61.8\% |
| - Out of range | 30 | 1.18 | - Out of range | 12 | 1.18 | - Out of range | 18 | 1.1\% |
| 24 |  |  | BEST COPY | BL |  |  | 25 |  |

24

| 1895 | 70.1 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 719 | 26.6 |

- Y

| 580 | 52.8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 444 | 40.4 |

- Yes

1315
82.0\%
17.1\%
$0.9 \%$

PARENT CONTACT

| CONFERENCE WITH STAFF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Yes | 1278 | 47.3\% | . Yes | 410 | 37.38 | . Yes | 868 | 54.66 |
| - No | 1397 | 51.78 | . No | 675 | 61.48 | . No | 722 | 45.0\% |
| - Out of range | 28 | 1.0\% | . Out of range | 14 | 1.3\% | . Out of range | 14 | 0.98 |
| STIJDENT CONTRACT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Yes | 727 | 26.9\% | . Yes | 110 | 10.0\% | . Yes | 617 | 38.5\% |
| - No | 1939 | 71.78 | . No | 979 | 89.1\% | . No | 960 | 59.9\% |
| - Out of range | 37 | 1.48 | . Out of range | 10 | 0.9\% | - Out of range | 27 | $1.7 \%$ |
| STUDENT REFERRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Agency | 325 | 12.0\% | - Agency | 6 | 0.5\% | - Agency | 319 | 19.9\% |
| - Alternative Program | 117 | 4.3\% | - Alternative Program | 11 | 1.0\% | - Alternative Program | 106 | $6.6 \%$ |
| - Other |  |  | - Other | 1 | $0.1 \%$ | . Other | 45 | $2.8 \%$ |
| - Out of range | 46 | 1.7\% | . Out of range | 1081 | 98.4\% | - Out of range | 1134 | 70.78 |

## BEST COPY AVAILABLE

## APPENDIX B

| Table 1 | High School Suspensions Deviation from District Average 1980-81 through 1983-84 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Table 2 | Enrollment, Suspension Ratio and Deviation by School |
| Table 3 | Minority/Black Suspensions and Black Enrollment Deviations by School |
| Table 4 | Grant Student Suspensions |
| Table 5 | Lincoln Student Suspensions |
| Table 6 | Number of Contacts Students Suspended in 1983-84 had with Grant High School PASS Program |
| Table 7 | Number of Contacts Students Suspended in 1983-84 had with Lincoln High School PASS Program |
| Table 8 | Number of Contacts Students Suspended in 1983-84 had with the Grant High School PASS Program |
| Table 9 | Number of Contacts Students Suspended in 1983-84 had with the Lincoln High School PASS Program |
| Table 10 | 1983-84 Early Leaver Rate |

TABLE 1
HIGH SCHOOL SUSPENSION RATE DEVIATION FROM DISTRICT AVERAGE

| SCHOOL | 1980-81 | 1981-82 | 1982-83 | 1983-84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benson | -1. 51 | -0.88 | -0.24 | -0.03 |
| Cleveland | -0.16 | -1.17 | +0.25 | -0.48 |
| Franklin | +0.29 | +0.23 | -1.98 | +0.87 |
| Grant | +0.79 | +0.16 | -0.10 | -1.38 |
| Jefferson | +1. 54 | -0.14 | +0. 85 | +0.66 |
| Lincoln | -0.19 | -0.98 | +1.19 | -1. 62 |
| Madison | -0.80 | +0.49 | +0.36 | +1.23 |
| Marshall | +0.09 | +1.89 | +0.19 | +1.36 |
| Roosevelt | -0.70 | +1. 71 | +1.75 | +0.21 |
| Wilson | -1.35 | -0.31 | -0.75 | -0. 81 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & =16.52 \\ & =6.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { Mean } & =15.50 \\ S D & =8.64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { Mean } & =13.23 \\ S D & =5.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { Mean } & =6.18 \\ S D & =2.45 \end{aligned}$ |

. Grant and Lincoln suspension -1.38 to -1.62 standard deviations below District average.

TABLE 2
ENROLLMENT, SUSPENSION RATIO AND
DEVIATION BY SCHOOL

| School | Enrollment | Suspension | Ratio* | Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benson | 1,583 |  |  |  |
| Cleveland | 1,395 | 97 | 6.1 | -0.03 |
| Franklin | 1,501 | 71 | 5.0 | -0.48 |
| Grant | 1,756 | 125 | 8.3 | +0.87 |
| Jefferson | 1,427 | 112 | 2.8 | -1.38 |
| Lincoln | 1,385 | 31 | 7.8 | +0.66 |
| Madison | 1,561 | 144 | 2.2 | -1.62 |
| Marshall | 1,190 | 114 | 9.2 | +1.23 |
| Roosevelt | 1,142 | 77 | 9.5 | +1.36 |
| Wilson | 1,880 | 80 | 6.7 | +0.21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3
MINORITY/BLACK SUSPENSION AND BLACK ENROLLMENT RATIO AND DEVIATION BY SCHOOL

| School | Minority Suspension Ratio* | Minority Suspension Deviation | Black Suspension Ratio* | Black Suspension Deviation | Black Enrollment Ratio* | Black Enrollment Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benson | 5.6 | -0. 50 | 8.1 | -0.78 | 13.9 | -0.04 |
| Cleveland | 4.0 | -1.07 | 8.8 | -0.69 | 5.7 | -0.72 |
| Franklin | 4.3 | -0.96 | 17.0 | +0.42 | 2.7 | -1.00 |
| Grant | 4.5 | -0. 89 | 6.2 | -1.04 | 25.9 | +1.15 |
| Jefferson | 11.7 | +1.68 | 14.2 | +0.04 | 39.9 | +2.44 |
| Lincoln | 4.5 | -0. 89 | 8.3 | -0.76 | 11.3 | -0.20 |
| Madison | 10.3 | +1.18 | 18.8 | +0.66 | 14.6 | +0.10 |
| Marshall | 8.1 | +0.40 | 14.9 | +0.14 | 6.1 | -0.69 |
| Roosevelt | 10.6 | +1.29 | 32.6 | +2. 52 | 7.8 | -0. 53 |
| Wilson | 6.3 | -0.25 | 10.5 | -0.46 | 7.1 | -0. 59 |
| Mean $=6.99$ |  |  | Mean $=13.9$ |  | Mean $=13.5$ |  |
| $S D=2.80$ |  |  | $S D=7.4$ |  | $S D=10.8$ |  |

TABLE 4

## GRANT STUDENT SUSPENSIONS



TABLE 5
LINCOLN STUDENT SUSPENSIONS

| Category | Years Prior to PASS |  | PASS Years |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 78-79 | 79-80 | 80-81 | 81-82 | 82-83 | 83-84 |
| School Attendance | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | 7 |
| Behavior with Students | 21 | 12 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 6 |
| Behavior with Staff | 14 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 18 | 1 |
| Unacceptable |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individual Behavior | 11 | 31 | 13 | 19 | 12 | 13 |
| Criminal Behavior | 22 | 3 | 12 | 6 | 16 | 4 |
| Total | 73 | 65 | 49 | 49 | 64 | 31 |

NUMBER OF CONTACTS STUDENTS SUSPENDED IN 1983-84 HAD WITH THE GRANT HIGH SCHOOL PASS PROGRAM

o $58 \%$ ( 29 out of 50 ) of the students stispended had at least one contact with the
PASS Program.
o $22 \%$ ( 11 out of 50 ) students had more than one contact with the PASS Program.

TABLE 7

NUMBER OF CONTACTS STUDENTS SUSPENDED IN 1983-84 HAD WITH THE LINCOLN HIGH SCHOOL|PASS PROGRAM


TABLE 8

## NUMBER OF CONTACTS STUDENTS SUSPENDED IN 1983-84 HAD WITH THE GRANT HIGH SCHOOL PASS PROGRAM



- $8 \%$ of the students suspended had over 5 contacts with the PASS Program during the 1983-84 school year.


## TABLE 9

NUMBER OF CONTACTS STUDENTS SUSPENDED IN 1983-84 HAD WI'TH THE LINCOLN HICH SCIOOL PASS PROCRAM


- Nearly 30 of the students suspended had more than 5 contacts with the PASS lrogram during the 1983-8:1 school year.


## BEST COPY AVALLABLE

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { в-5 } & 35
\end{array}
$$

TABLE 10
1983-84 EARLY LEAVER RATE

| SCHOOL | Early Leaver <br> Rate | Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Benson | 0.1 | -1.52 |
| Cleveland | 5.3 | -0.50 |
| Franklin | 8.6 | +0.14 |
| Grant | 8.2 | +0.06 |
| Jefferson | 7.4 | -0.09 |
| Lincoln | 4.3 | -0.70 |
| Madison | 8.8 | +0.18 |
| Marshall | 12.2 | +0.84 |
| Roosevelt | 20.0 | +2.36 |
| Wilson | 3.9 | -0.78 |
|  | Mean | $=7.88$ |
|  | SD | 5.13 |

6115E

## BEST COPY AVAILABLE

## APPENDIX C

1983-84 Update of Tables and Figures Appearing in January 1984 PASS Evaluation

TABLE 4.1
GRANT STUDENT SUSPENSIONS BY REASON


TABLE 4.2

## LINCOLN STUDENT SUSPENSIONS BY REASON

YEAR/COUNT

| REASON | YEAR/COUNT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CATEGORY | CODE | 78-79 | 79-80 | 80-81 | 81-82 | 82-83 | 83-84 |
| SCHOOL ATTENDANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truancy | 10 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 7 |
| Cutting Class | 12 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 7 |
| Forged Signature | 14 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tardiness | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leaving Class W/O Permission | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leave Campus W/O Permission | 15 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Other | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEHAVIOR WITH OTHER STUDENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assault (Unprovoked) | 20 | 7 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Profanity at Other Student | 22 |  |  | j | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Destruction Student Property | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fighting | 21 | 14 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 4 |
| Improper Handling | 23 |  | 1 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 4 |
| Threat-Intim-Harass | 25 |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |
| Other BEHAVIOR WITH STAFF | 29 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Assault (Unprovoked) | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abusive Language-Profanity | 32 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Insubordination | 34 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 17 | 1 |
| Destruction Staff Property | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Threatening Language | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disrespect-Harassment | 33 |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |
| Disruption on Bus | 35 |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |
| Disruption of Class | 37 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Other | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UNACCEPTABLE INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drugs (Use-Possession) | 40 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Alcohol (Under Influence) | 42 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 7 |
| Profanity | 44 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |
| Disruptive Behavior | 46 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  | 1 |
| Drugs (Sale-Distribution) | 41 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Cigarettes/Smoking | 43 | 3 | 13 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Loss of Self-Control | 45 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| In Off-Limits Area | 47 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Other | 49 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Theft/Robbery | 50 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 3 |
| Extortion | 52 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Vandalism | 54 | 5 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 1 |
| Weapons | 56 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Gambling | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trespassing | 53 | 12 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Arson | 55 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Firecrackers | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 59 |  |  | 4 |  | 7 | 1 |
| TOTAL |  | 73 | 63 | 49 | 49 | 64 | 31 |
| RIC $7 \mathrm{E} / 1-17-83$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

FIGURE 4.1
PERCENTAGE OF HIGH SCHOOL POPULATION VS. PERCENTAGE OF STUDENT SUSPENSION POPULATION


-     -         - $=$ of High School Suspended Population
$\qquad$ $=$ of the Total High School Population
2 = White High School Students
3 = Black High School Students $4=$ Asian High School Students

FIGURE 4.2
PERCENTAGE OF GRANT HIGH SOHOOL PQPULATION VS. PERCENTAGE OF STUDENT SUSPENSION POPULATION

... . $\quad$ \% of Grant High School Suspended Population
$\longrightarrow=\%$ of Grant High School
3 = Black Students
2 = White Students

## FIGURE 4.3

percentage of lincoln high sohool papulation vs. percentage of STUDENT SUSPENSION POPULATION

$\cdots=-\quad \%$ of Lincoln High School Suspended Population
$=\quad \%$ of Lincoln High School
$3=$ Black Students
$2=$ White Students

Figure 3.1
Number of Schools Falling in Percentage of Students Suspended Category

Total Population

| P | 29-30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 27-28 - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 25-26- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 23-24 - |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E | 21-22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 19-20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17-18- | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | 15-16 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| U |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D | 13-14 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| N | 11-12+ |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9-10- | 2* | 3* |  |  |  |  |
| S | $9-10-$ | ${ }^{2 *}$ | 3* | $\sqrt[?]{ }$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 2 | 2 |
| S | 7-8- | (2) | 3 | 2* | $4 *$ | 5* |  |
| U |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{5}$ | 3 |
| S | 5-6- | 3 | (3) | 4 | 1 | $2$ | 2* |
| P |  |  |  |  |  | $4$ |  |
| $\stackrel{\mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{N}}$ | 3-4- | 1 |  | 2 | (1) | 1 | 2 |
| N | 1-2- |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| E |  |  |  |  | I |  | (1) |
| D |  | 78-79 | 79-80 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 80-81 | 81-82 | 82-83 | 83-84 |



Figure 3.2
Number of Schools Falling in Percentage of Students Suspended Category

Minority Population



Figure 3.3
Number of Schools Falling in Percentage of Students Suspended Category

Black Population
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