ED 231 886   TM 830 506

AUTHOR   Mathews, Walter M.; Wolf, Abraham W.
TITLE   Measuring Attitude toward Computers: The Computer
                Appreciator-Critic Attitude Scales.
PUB DATE   11 Apr 83
NOTE   9p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the
                American Educational Research Association (67th,
                Montreal, Quebec, April 11-15, 1983).
PUB TYPE   Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Reports -
                Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE   MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   *Attitudes; *Computers; *Factor Analysis; Higher
                Education; Psychological Patterns; Sex Differences;
                *Test Construction; *Test Validity; *Undergraduate
                Students
IDENTIFIERS   Likert Scales

ABSTRACT
         The purpose of this study was to develop a reliable
and valid instrument that conveniently measures a person's attitude
toward computers. The final version of the instrument is composed of
40 items on a Likert-type scale which assign scores to subjects on
their "appreciative" and "critical" attitude toward computers. The
sample included 410 undergraduate students at four universities (in
Arkansas, Mississippi, Tennessee and Pennsylvania) enrolled in
education, liberal arts or computer science courses. They were
primarily 18-22 years of age (67 percent) and female (60 percent).
The responses were initially factor analysed by the principal
components method. Since pilot research suggested that a two-factor
solution provided the most homogeneous grouping of items, rotational
procedures employed only the first two factors which accounted for
one third of the total variance. The two factors were rotated to both
varimax and oblique solutions. The pattern of item-to-factor
correlation suggested that all the items tended to be associated with
one and only one factor. An examination of the item loadings
suggested that the instrument possesses high face validity.
Reliability was assessed by means of split-half correlation and
internal consistency. (Author)

MEASURING ATTITUDE TOWARD COMPUTERS:
THE COMPUTER APPRECIATOR-CRITIC ATTITUDE SCALES

Walter M. Mathews, Ph.D.
Department of Educational Administration
Hofstra University
Hempstead, NY 11550

Abraham W. Wolf, Ph.D.
Department of Psychiatry
Cleveland Metropolitan General Hospital
3395 Scranton Rd.
Cleveland, OH 44109

Presented at:
American Educational Reasearch Association.

April 11, 1983
Montreal, Quebec
CANADA

Attitudes are evaluative beliefs. They are likes and dislikes. They are our affinities for and our aversion to situations, objects, persons, groups or any identifiable aspects of our environment. Our likes and dislikes have roots in our cognition, in our emotions, in our behavior and in the social influences upon us (Bem, 1970). Attitudes first were formally studied in 1918 when Thomas and Znaniecki defined social psychology as the study of attitudes. People have attitudes for many reasons. Attitudes help us understand our world by organizing and simplifying input from our environment, and they allow us to express our fundamental values (Triandis, 1971).

The year 1946 was the beginning of the Computer Age. It was then that the first electronic computer was built by Mauchley and Eckert at the Moore School of Engineering of the University of Pennsylvania. In the beginning of the Computer Age most human attitudes toward this new technology ranged from the naive to the irrational: "It's an amusing toy", "a mechanical man", "a superhuman brain", "It's God-like", "a tool of the devil" (Mathews and Reifers, in press). But in 1977, just six years ago, computers really did become amusing toys when the Tanoy Corporation marketed the first complete personal computer, the TRS-80, for under $600. Today there are millions of computers in use in the United States alone. As IBM reminded us: "It was to have been the Nuclear Age. It became the Computer Age."

During the three dozen years of the Computer Age we have witnessed the progressive computerization of our society. Our world

is dependent upon computers, with little chance of trend reversal. The Industrial Revolution provided technology that supplemented the muscles of humankind--and brought with it enormous problems. The Computer Age is providing technology that supplements the mind of humankind--and it too brings social problems...problems of a magnitude previously incomprehensible: problems concerning individuality, privacy, power and automation. And with the computer becoming ubiquitous (not just an identifiable entity, but also as an invisible component of other technology) it has become the prime symbol of our rapidly changing world. Without being given a choice, many people have computers thrust on them in the workplace, the marketplace and even the home. But how do these individuals feel? What is their attitude toward computers?

The pervasiveness of computers today demands that we study societal attitudes towards computers for at least two reasons: First, to better understand and correct the fallacious and often irrational attitudes toward this integral component of modern life, and second, to better understand the rational attitudes against computers and their uses so that the individual and society may be protected.

The purpose of this study was to develop a reliable and valid instrument that conveniently measures a person's attitude toward computers. The final version of the instrument is composed of 40 items on a Likert-type scale which assign scores to subjects on their "appreciative" and "critical" attitude toward computers.

The sample included 410 undergraduate students at four

universities (in Arkansas, Mississippi, Tennessee and Pennsylvania)
enrolled in education, liberal arts or computer science courses.
They were primarily 18-22 years of age (67%) and female (60%).

The responses to the 40 items of the instrument were
initially factor analysed by the principal components method using
the criterion of eigenvalues greater than unity to extract factors
for rotation. Nine factors were extracted in this manner. Since
pilot research suggested that a two-factor solution provided the
most homogeneous grouping of items, rotational procedures employed
only the first two factors. The two unrotated factors accounted for
one third of the total variance.

The two factors were rotated to both varimax and oblique
solutions. The pattern of item-to-factor correlation suggested that
all the items tended to be associated with one and only one factor
when an absolute value cutoff of 0.35 was employed. The correlation
between the two factors on the oblique solution was -0.40.

An examination of the item loadings suggested that the
instrument possesses high face validity. All items on which
agreement would suggest a positive or appreciative attitude toward
computers loaded on the 20 items of the first factor. Items on which
agreement suggested a negative or critical attitude toward computers
loaded on the 20 items of the second factor. Table I groups the
items according to their major loadings which are indicated in
parentheses.

Reliability was assessed on the two factor scales by means
of split-half correlation and internal consistency . The correlation

between split halves of the first factor (Appreciative attitude) was
0.74. The Spearman-Brown coefficient which predicts the increase of
reliability from a hypothesized increase in the number of items was
0.85. Coefficient Alpha for the first factor was 0.88. Split-half
correlations on the second factor (Critical attitude) was 0.78 which
increased to 0.87 with the Spearman Brown formula. Coefficient Alpha
was 0.89 for the second factor.

Scale scores for the factors were obtained by summing the
unweighted responses of the five point Likert-type scale items. On
Factor I (Appreciative) the mean scale score was 48.00 with a
standard deviation of 10.59. The average item mean on this factor
was 2.40 with a range of 1.79 to 2.98. The mean scale score for
Factor II (Critical) was 65.70 with a standard deviation of 11.44.
The average item mean was 3.29 with a range of 2.62 to 3.90.

To evaluate the effect of sex on attitudes toward computers,
females and males were compared on the scale scores. On Factor I
females responded in a manner that suggested a more appreciative
attitude (females=49.00+9.19, males=46.44+12.28, t=2.28, df=284.57,
p 0.05). No sex differences were observed on Factor II suggesting
that males and females did not differ in terms of a critical
attitude (females=66.36+11.10, males=64.97+11.80, n.s.).

REFERENCES

Bem, Daryl. Beliefs, Attitudes, and Human Affairs. Brooks/Cole, Belmont, CA, 1970.

Mathews, Walter M. and Reifers, Kaye. "The Computer in Cartoons: A Retrospective from the Saturday Review", Communications of the ACM (in press).

Thomas, W.I. and Znaniecki, F. The Polish Peasant in Europe and America. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1918.

Triandis, C. Attitude and Attitude Change. New York, NY: Wyle, 1971.

TABLE I

FACTOR I-APPRECIATIVE ATTITUDE

13. Our lives will continue to be better because of computers. (0.73)
12. The world is better because of computers. (0.72)
17. Computers mean progress. (0.68)
40. Computers are really necessary. (0.67)
31. Computers are making our lives better. (0.67)
29. Computers are improving out lives. (0.66)
 4. Computers help us achieve what we want. (0.61)
 2. Computers really help us. (0.61)
 1. Life would not go as well without computers. (0.60)
 9. We need computers. (0.58)
16. I appreciate computers. (0.58)
11. The solution to our problems lies in improved technology. (0.55)
33. The solution to our problems lies in more technology (0.54)
25. My knowledge of what is going on in the world is more up-to-date because of computers. 0.66)
20. By doing tedious tasks computers allow people to do more creative work. (0.47)
23. Technology has solved some of the world's major problems. (0.47)
39. Large computerized information files enable businesses to run more effectively. (0.45)
24. Computers simplify life. (0.44)
32. Many of the services we take for granted would not be possible without computers.(0.43)
19. Computers have helped improve the quality of products. (0.40)

FACTOR II-CRITICAL ATTITUDE

27. Computers are decreasing our freedom. (0.70)
22. Computers have too much control over peoples lives. (0.69)
37. We are becoming too dependent on computers. (0.67)
14. The amount of control computers have over our lives leaves me with a feeling of powerlessness. (0.64)
15. Computers allow businesses to take advantage of us. (0.63)
30. Our freedom is being limited by computers. (0.63)
21. Technology is changing our lives too rapidly. (0.62)
28. People are becoming too dependent on computers (0.60)
18. Computers represent a real threat to privacy. (0.60)
36. Sometimes I feel I have no more meaning too society than a pack of computer cards. (0.60)
35. My life has been over-complicated by computers. (0.57)
10. Computers reduce people to "numbers". (0.54)
 3. Technology will cause the destruction of the human race. (0.50)
34. Because of computerized information files, too many people have information about other people. (0.50)
26. Because of technology I have less time to do the things I enjoy. (0.57)
 6. Technology has complicated my life needlessly. (0.47)
38. The number of "computer errors" is larger than most people think. (0.44)
 7. Technological advancements are spoiled by the social problems they create. (0.43)
 8. We no longer completely control computers. (0.40)
 5. Computers in the home will create problems. (0.39)

MEASURING ATTITUDE TOWARD COMPUTERS:
THE COMPUTER APPRECIATOR-CRITIC ATTITUDE SCALES

The purpose of this study was to develop a reliable and valid instrument that conveniently measures a person's attitude toward computers. The final version of the instrument is composed of 40 items on a Likert-type scale which assign scores to subjects on their "appreciative" and "critical" attitude toward computers.

The sample included 410 undergraduate students at four universities (in Arkansas, Mississippi, Tennessee and Pennsylvania) enrolled in education, liberal arts or computer science courses. They were primarily 18-22 years of age (67%) and female (60%).

The responses were initially factor analysed by the principal components method. Since pilot research suggested that a two-factor solution provided the most homogeneous grouping of items, rotational procedures employed only the first two factors which accounted for one third of the total variance.

The two factors were rotated to both varimax and oblique solutions. The pattern of item-to-factor correlation suggested that all the items tended to be associated with one and only one factor.

An examination of the item loadings suggested that the instrument possesses high face validity.

Reliability was assessed by means of split-half correlation and internal consistency.

Walter M. Mathews
Department of Administration
 and Policy Studies
Hofstra University
Hempstead, New York 11550

Abraham W. Wolf
Department of Psychiatry
Cleveland Metropolitan General
 Hospital
3395 Scranton Road
Cleveland, Ohio 44109