#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 203 799

HE 014 067

TITLE

Guaranteed Student Loan Program 1981. State Agency

Survey.

INSTITUTION

New York State Higher Education Services Corp.,

Albany.

SPONS AGENCY

National Council of Higher Education Loan

Programs.

PUB DATE

**∠81** 

NOTE

62p.

AVAILABLE PROM

Research Division, New York State Higher Education

Services Corporation, 99 Washington Avenue, Albany,

NY 12255.

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

\*Administrative Organization: Banking: Federal Aid:

\*Federal Programs: Federal State Relationship:

\*Financial Services: Higher Education: Loan

Repayment: Money Management: National Surveys: \*State Agencies: \*Statewide Planning: Student Financial Aid:

\*Student Loan Programs

IDENTIFIERS

\*Guaranteed Student Loan Program

#### ABSTRACT

Pesponses to a questionnaire circulated in March 1981 are summarized. Data were gathered from all states regarding the federal Guaranteed Student Loan Program (GSL) and the state guarantee agencies on: guarantee agency organizational types: dates of GSL agreements with the Department of Education: states that guaranteed loans before GSL: distribution of lending institutions: services provided by guarantee agencies: lender promotion activities: agency reserve funds, sources of agency funds: student insurance premium: quarantee agencies: direct lending agencies, and secondary market agencies listed by name: direct lending activities: secondary market activities: revenue bond issuance: lending issues and research: recent figures on loans guaranteed and outstanding, defaults purchased and collections (expressed in numbers, dollars, and percentage of the U.S. total): and addresses of each guarantee agency. These highlights are extracted: (1) the GSL program has expanded rapidly, with over half the states guarantee agencies coming into existence since 1976, although a number of states had agencies even before the federal program began: (2) there are nearly as many state arrangements (financial and organizational) as there are states, implying great program diversity: and (3) several of the new agencies have had dramatic growth in the volume of loans quaranteed, presaging a more widely-established, broad-based student loan program with a truly national consistency. It is noted that factors not surveyed here, including state banking laws and agency regulations, historical development, state postsecondary financing patterns, industrial activity, and competing credit demands, also contribute to diversity in the GSL program and the national student loan situation. (MSE)



# Guaranteed Student Loan Program U.S. DEPAR NATIONAL IN EQUICATIONAL IN FOLIAN IN OUR PROBLEM TO THE OLIVERING IT OUR PROBLEM TO THE OLIVER TO THE O

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFOHMATION
CENTER (FRICE)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Prints of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official ME position or policy

1981

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Services Corp.

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

National Council of Higher Education Loan Programs

> NEW YORK STATE HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES CORPORATION

99 WASHINGTON AVENUE ALBANY, NEW YORK 12255

46014 062

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Status of Responses as of May 1, 1981	3
Guarantee Agency Organization and History	٠
Guarantee Agency Organizational Types	6 7 7
Guarantee Agency Functions	
Other Types of Financial Aid Administered	8 9 11 13
Güarantee Agency Finances	
Guarantee Agency Reserve Funds	1 <i>7</i> 19 20
Related Agencies and Activities	
Guarantee Agencies, Direct Lending Agencies, and Secondary Market Agencies Direct Lending Activities Secondary Market Activities Sallie Mae Services Used by Guarantee Agencies Revenue Bond Issuance	22 26 28 29 30
Leading Issues and Research	33
Data on Loans Guaranteed, Outstanding, and Defaults	36
Directory of Guarantee Agencies	45

For further information or additional copies, contact:
Jane L. Johnson, Research Division
New York State Higher Education Services Corporation
99 Washington Avenue, Albany', New York 12255 (518/474-3144)



#### Introduction

This 1981 report on the Federal Guaranteed Student Loan (GSL) Program summarizes the responses to a questionnaire circulated in March 1981 by the New York State Higher Education Services Corporation (NYSHESC), on behalf of the National Council of Higher Education Loan Programs (NCHELP). The report follows the 1980 State Agency survey, also compiled by NYSHESC for NCHELP.

Many of the data summaries are similar to those of the 1980 report, although some topics were deleted and others added for the 1981 survey, to reflect changing concerns and issues in the GSL Program. The report has been prepared for distribution at the Spring 1981 NCHELP conference.

## <u>Historical</u> Background

The GSL Program was established by the 1965 Higher Education Act, Title IV, which also authorized such forms of student aid as Basic Educational Opportunity (now designated Pell) Grants. Title IV emphasized state guarantees of student loans: the federal government was either to (1) reinsure loans guaranteed by states or by private nonprofit corporations, or (2) provide direct federal guarantees in cases in which students were unable to obtain loans guaranteed through state agencies or nonprofit corporations.

The former program has become known as the guarantee agency program and the latter as the Federal Insured Student Loan Program (FISLP), often referred to simply as the federal program. In the former program, state agencies or private nonprofit corporations guarantee loans and are reimbursed by the U.S. Department of Education (ED) for part of all of the insurance claims they pay to lenders. The program is subsidized by the government, operated at the state level, relying on private capital from the many banks and other financial institutions that offer student loans. Although the program is ultimately controlled through federal regulations issued by ED, guarantee agencies vary considerably among states.

FISLP operates in states not served by guarantee agencies, and in areas where a guarantee agency program does not serve all eligible students in the state. ED directly insures lenders against losses on FISLP loans. Although in theory both the guarantee agency program and FISLP may operate side by side within a state, in practice those states with guarantee agencies have come to be dominated by the agency programs, while the remaining few states without guarantee agencies have continued to offer only the federal program.

In 1974 the GSL program represented 46.3% of total loan volume, and FISLP accounted for 53.7%. By 1980 the GSL program had grown to represent 89.6% of total loan volume.



## The Data Summaries

The summarized information in this report reveals several significant facts about the GSL Program, and about state guarantee agencies.

First, although the Program is relatively new, it has expanded rapidly. As the upper table on page 7 indicates, over half of the state guarantee agencies have come into existence since 1976, when the Higher Education Amendments of that year offered significant incentives for states to establish GSL agencies if they had not already done so. Between the 1980 and 1981 editions of this report, five new guarantee agencies were established in states that previously offered only FISL loans: Alabama, Arizona, Montana, Texas, and West Virginia.

On the other hand, the lower table on page 7 indicates that a number of states, primarily in the Northeast, had guarantee agencies before the federal program began in 1966. Thus, the breadth of age and experience varies considerably across the nation.

Second, the diversity of GSL program operation among the states is significant, and there are nearly as many different arrangements as there are states participating in the GSL program. For example, agency structural arrangements differ, with three predominant structural configurations: the state may be designated as the guarantor, with program administration contracted out to a nonprofit corporation; the state may be designated as the guarantor, and a state agency, board, public corporation, commission or department administers the program; or all responsibility for guarantee and administration may be assigned to a nonprofit corporation. The table on page 6 demonstrates these variations.

Financial arrangements also differ, as shown in the tables concerning other aid administered, sources of funds, reserve funds, student insurance premium, and revenue bonds. Unfortunately no data are available to describe differences in the student-borrowers--for example, their income distribution and the range of postsecondary institutions they attend. (Previous data from ED indicate that approximately 7,500 institutions are eligible to participate in the GSL program, 3,500 of which are collegiate degree-granting institutions and 4,000 of which are vocational, nursing and other non-degree granting institutions. About 800 foreign schools are eligible to participate in G\$L).

The table on page li indicates diversity in arrangements with lending institutions. While the majority of states indicated that commercial financial institutions provide all or most of the GSL loan capital, in several states all capital is supplied by one central state lender. A number of states have established a lirect lending agency and/or secondary market agency, in addition to the guarantee agency, as the tables on pages 22 through 29 indicate.

Third, the data contained in the final tables (page 36-44) show that several of the new guarantee agencies have experienced dramatic growth in the volume of loans guaranteed. As they have done so, loans guaranteed by the older agencies have declined as a proportion of the national total. For example, the largest state's share of the national total declined from 29% to 18% between 1977 and 1980, while the share of one new agency increased over six-fold (from 0.15% and 0.94% of the national total). This shift in relative loan volumes among guarantee agencies presages a more widely-established, broad-based student loan program with a truly national constituency.



This report focuses on state guarantee agencies. But differences in state banking laws, regulation of financial institutions, historical development, postsecondary financing patterns, industrial activity and competing credit demands also contribute to diversity in the GSL program and the student loan situation across the nation. In the words of one state guarantee agency executive, "Analyzing these numbers alone in insolation is always insufficient to fully understand the total environment in which a guarantee agency delivers its services to the people".

The following table indicates the status of responses through May 1, 1981. At this time, 47 of the 48 states with guarantee agencies had responded to the questionnaire. The table also indicates those states that contract with a non-profit servicing corporation (United Student Aid Funds or Higher Education Assistance Foundation) for GSL guarantee and/or administrative functions.

	STATUS OF RE	Guaranteed/		
	Response to Questionnaire*	Included In Report	No State Guarantee Loan Agency	Serviced by Non profit Corporation**
Alabama	1	X		
Alaska	1	Х		Х
Arizona			Operational May 1, 1981	Х
Arkansas	1	X		
California	1	X		- · X
Colorado	1	. X		X
Connecticut	1	X		

#### \*Response Codes:

\*\*United Student Aid Funds, Inc. services loans for these states: Alaska, Arizona (operational May 1, 1981), California, Colorado, Delaware, Indiana, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, Utah, and Virgin Islands. USAF guarantees and services loans for Hawaii.

Higher Education Assistance Foundation guarantees and services loans for: District of Columbia, Kansas, Minnesota, Nebraska, West Virginia, and Wyoming.



<sup>1 =</sup> Responded, data included in report.

<sup>2 =</sup> Responded, has no GSL agency.

<sup>3 =</sup> No response received.

	Response to Questionnaire*	Included In Report	No State Guarantee Loan Agency	Guaranteed/ Serviced by Non profit Corporation**
Delaware	1	X		X
District of Columbia	1	Χ.	<u> </u>	X
Florida	1	·X		
Georgia	1	X		•
Hawaii	1	X		X
Idano	11	Х		
Illinois	1	Х		
Indiana	<u> </u>	Х		· X
Iowa	1	X		Х
Kansas	1 ′	X		X
Kentucky		Х		<del></del>
Louisiana	1	Х		
Maine	1	Х		Х
Maryland	3			X
Massachusetts	1	Х		
Michigan	1	X		
Minnesota	1	Χ.		Х
Mississippi	3		FISL only	
Missouri	1	Х		X
Montana	]	Х		
Nebraska	11	X		X
Nevada	11	X		Х
New Hampshire	1	Х		

	Response to uestionnaire*	Included In Report	No State Guarantee Loan Agency	Guaranteed/ Serviced by Nonprofit Corporation**
New Jersey	1	X		
New Mexico	1	X		
New York	1	. <b>X</b>		
North Carolina	1	X		· 
North Dakota	2 ·	·	FISL only	
Ohio	1	X	<u> </u>	·
Oklahoma	1	X		
Oregon	1	X		
Pennsylvania	1	X		· 
Rhode Island	1	X	·	<u> </u>
South Carolina	T	X	·	
South Dakota	1	X	·	
Tennessee	1	X		
Texas	1	X		
Jtah	1	X	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Х
/ermont	1	Х		<u> </u>
/irginia	1	X		,
Nashington	1	X		•
Vest Virginia	1	X		X
disconsin	1	X		
Nyoming	1	X		X
TOTALS: Respon	se code 1: 47 2: 2 3: 2	- 47	3	19

## GUARANTEE AGENCY ORGANIZATIONAL TYPES

# State Department of Education

Colorado Maine

Montana Nevada

Michigan Missouri

New Jersey · New York

Utah

State Postsecondary Coordinating Board

Alabama

Alaska New Jersey

Missouri Oklahoma

# Public Authority (Not State Agency)

Georgia Rhode Island

Texas Vermont' Separate State Agency

Alaska

California Delaware

North Carolina North Dakota

New Mexico

Florida

Ohio Oregon

Illinois Indiana

Pennsylvania

Iowa Kentucky

South Carolina Tennessee

Louisiana Michigan

Utah Virginia Wisconsin

Nevada New Jersey

# Private Nonprofit Agency

Arkansas

District of Columbia

Hawaii Idaho

Kansas Massachusetts

Minnesota

New Hampshire South Dakota Washington

Nebraska

West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming

# Nonprofit Agency/Corporation Chartered by State Statute

Connecticut

Tennessee

\*States are listed in several categories if more than one applies.



#### DATES OF GSL AGREEMENTS WITH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

<u>Year</u>	Section 428(c)* Reinsurance	Section 428A** 100% Reinsurance	Date First GSL Loan Approved
1981 1980 1979 1978 1977 1976 1975 1974 1973 1972 1971 1970 1969 1968 1966 1966	1 4 7 7 7 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 4 8 10 18 4	2 4 8 7 3 - - 1 - 2 1 4 8 8

# STATES THAT GUARANTEED LOANS BEFORE GSL BEGAN IN 1966-67

<u>State</u>		Year
Connecticut Georgia	ν,	1966 1965
Louisiana		1964
Massachusetts		1956
· Michigan		1962
New Hampshire		1962
New Jersey	•	1960
New York		1958
North Carolina	•	1963
<b>Ohi</b> o		1962
Pennsylvania		1964
Rhode Island	•	1930
Tennessee		1963
Vermont		1964
Virginia	Ì	1961

<sup>\*80%</sup> Federal reinsurance, enacted into statute in 1968.



<sup>\*\*</sup>Supplemental Guaranty Agreement, effective with the Education Amendments of 197

# OTHER TYPES OF FINANCIAL AID ADMINISTERED

## State Scholarships/Fellowships

## State Grants

			<del>_</del>
Alabama Alaska California Florida Illinois Indiana Iowa Louisiana Massachusetts Michigan Missouri	Montana New Mexico New York North Carolina Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island Tennessee Vermont	Alaska California Florida Illinois Indiana Iowa Kentucky Louisiana Michigan	Montana New Mexico New York North Carolina Oklahoma Rhode Island Tennessee Vermont Wisconsin

#### BEOG

# State College Work-Study

Pennsylvania

Kentucky Montana Pennsylvania Rhode Island

# Federal College Work-Study

# Pennsylvania

State Loans	(Number an	d Total FY 1980)	(Student Population Served)
Alaska	(3,918	\$9,373,949)	(Comprehensive)
New Jersey	(1,001	3,795,709)	(Health Professions students)
New Mexico New York	(3,458) (10,143 (8,995	32,038,229) 11,083,868)*	(Medical and Osteopathic students) (Health Professions students) (Students in non-OE-approved
Oregon	( 182	225,052)	<pre>vocational schools) (Medical and Dental students) (Comprehensive)</pre>
Oklahoma	( 8,446	8,960,018)	

<sup>\*</sup>Program terminates July 1981.

#### <u>Other</u>

Michigan

- private college degree reimbursements

North Carolina

- private funds

Pennsylvania

- institutional grants

Vermont Wisconsin - Talent Search, Education Information Centers - reciprocity agreements with other states



# PARENT LOAN PROGRAM

(Note: "Required" indicates proposal not yet presented to relevant body.)

			•	•	
	Agency Currently	Legislative	Board	Earliest	Projecte
	Has Authority To Guarantee Parent	Action Required/	Action Required/	Operational Date	First-Ye Volume o
<u>State</u>	Loans	Presented	Presented	Anticipated	Parent L
	V. V				
Alabama (	Yes		,	July 1931	\$2.5 mil
Alaska	Yes			Sept 1981	\$200,000
Arkansas	* *	2	Required	Unsure	Unknown
California	Awaiting Attorney (				
	opinion on action r	ieeded	•	Unsure	Unknown
Colorado	\ \ \	Presented		Dec 1981 🕖	\$35 mill
Connecticut	*,	Presented	•	July 1931	\$150 mil'
Delaware	Yes			Sept 1981	Unknown
D.C.		•	Required	May 1981	Unknown
Florida		Presented		Sept 1981	Unknown
Georgia 🔍	Yes	<u>,                                    </u>		Sept 1981	\$2 millic
Hawaii	Yes-can begin 60 da	ys after final	regulations	approved	\$1.5 mill
Idaho	Yes		1	June 1981	\$450,000
Illinois		Presented		Fall 1981	\$100 mill
Indiana .	*	Presented		_July 1981	Unknown
Iowa		Presented		July 1981	\$15 milli
Kansas		· \	Required	May 1981	Unknown
Kentucky	•	Required	N N	July 1982	\$10 milli
Louisiana		Required	Required	Unsure	\$4.5 mill
Maine		Presented	¥.	'July 1981	Unknown
Maryland			• •		
Massachusetts	Yes		\		\$3.5 mill
Michigan		Presented	* *	Aug 1981	\$100,000
Minnesota	•	•	Required	May 1981	Unknown
			/ 3		



<u>State</u>	Agency Currently Has Authority To Guarantee Parent Loans	Action	Directors Action Required/ Presented	Earliest Operational Date Anticipated	Projected First-Year Volume of Parent Loans
Missouri	•	Presented		July 1981	\$30 million
Montana	Yes			Unsure	Unknown
Nebraska	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Required	May 1981	Unknown
	leed Nevada Depar	tment of Education	•	Sept 1981	\$150,000
New Hampshir	•			Sept 1981	\$3 million
New Jersey		Presented		June 1981	Unknown
New Mexico		Presented	•	July 1981	\$1 million
New York		Presented	Required	Unsure	Unknown
North Caroli	na .	Required	Required	Jan 1982	Unknown
North Dakota	Yes Yes		·	Feb 1982	Unknown
Ohio		Presented		Oct 1981	\$6 million
Oklahoma		Required	<b>t</b>	Unsure	Unknown
Oregon		Presented		July 1981	\$12 million
Pennsylvania	L	Presented	Required	June 1981	\$100 million
Rhode Island	l	Presented		June 1981	\$500,000
South Caroli		Legislative & Dire action required	ctors	Unsure	\$3-4 million
South Dakota	Yes	المسترجين		July 1981	Unknown
Tennessee		Required	Required	No plans to i	mplement
Texas	Yes	<b>\</b>		Sept 1981	\$50 million
Utan	•	Required		Unsure	Unknown
Vermont	Yes			July 1981	\$5 million
Virginia	Yes			Unsure	Unknown
Washington		Need agreemen	t with ED	Sept 1981	\$4 million
West Virgini	a		Required	May 1981	Unknown
Wisconsin	Seeking At	ttorney Genèral's	opinion	Unsure	Unknown
Wyoming			Required	May 1981	Unknown

1 3





# DISTRIBUTION OF LENDING INSTITUTIONS

Breakdown of types of lenders, state by state, on a percentage basis:

<u>State</u>	Commercial Financial Institutions	State or Private Non-Profit	Eligible Postsecondary Institutions	Other Institutions Or Agencies
Alabama	100%	, <b>-</b>	-	· ·
Alaska ;	. 7	93%	-	•
Arkansas	85	15	-	-
California	100	-	 -	- '
Colorado	100	!_	-	-
Connecticut	99.67	-	0.16%	0.17%
Delaware	95	-	<b>-</b>	` 5
D.C.	12.7	87.3	-	<b>-</b> ,
Florida	99	-	0.8	0.2
Georgia	, 87	10	1	2 ,
Hawaii	100	-	-	-
Idaho	100	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	•
Illinois	100	<u> </u>	<b>-</b>	
Indiana	100	-	-	-
Iowa	100	-	· -	-
Kansas	-	52.8	47.2	-
Kentucky	97	` 2	0.5	0.5
Louisiana	100	• -	, <del>-</del>	-
Maine 🦯	100		-	-
Maryland	,			
Massachusetts	97.8	-	2.2	
Michigan	69	30	0.1	0.9
Minnesota	41.7	54.8	0.4	3.1
Missouri	97.8	0.4	1.8	-
Montana	100	<del>-</del> ·	- ,	<del>-</del>
Nebraska	98.8		1.2	-
Nevada	100			
New Hampshire	99.95	<u> </u>	0.05	

<u>State</u>	Commercial Financial Institutions	State or Private Non-Profit	Eligible Postsecondary Institutions	Other Institutions Or Agencies
	;			
New Jersey	99.86	0.11	0.03	-
New Mexico	-	· -	100	•
New York	98	-	. 1	1.
North Carolina		der (College Fo Ondary institu		represents financial
North Dakota	30	70	-	. •
Ohio	99.5	-	0.5	-
Oklahoma	55	44	1	
Oregon	100	-	-	<b>-</b> ,
Pennsylvania	99.986	-	0.014	-
Rhode Island	98.77	-	0.31	0.92
South Carolina	<b>-</b>	100	•	<b>-</b> .
South Dakota	100	-	-	•
Tennessee	95	-	5	-
Texas	98.21	0.22	0.89	0.67
Utah	100	-	-	-
Vermont	100	-		-
Virginia	33	67	•	-
Washington	97	-	3	•
West Virginia	83.4	16.6	-	-
Wisconsin	82	18	-	-
Wyoming	100	-	<b>-</b>	-

40

12 .

# SERVICES PROVIDED BY GUARANTEE AGENCIES

# Number of Agencies Providing the Service

Type of Service	By the Agency	On Contract With Servicer
<del></del>	<del></del>	
Loan application processing and approval notice production	29	18
Student status certification	23	. 19
Promissory note production	18	g
Financial aid "packaging" for students	2	. 2
Conduct training programs for schools, lenders, students, etc.	40	6
Billing of interest on non-sub- sidized loans	2	4
Interest/special allowance billing to Federal government on behalf of lenders	7	7
Pre-claims assistance	, <b>35</b>	11
Coordination of USOE/DE 1166 Call Report for lenders	10	· <b>7</b> '
Sallie Mae servicing	7	<b>3</b>
Portfolio servicing for lenders	4	\
Portfolio servicing for other state agencies	., 5	
On-line computer support for institutions	4	2
School audits	22	3
Lender audits	24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Secondary market	2	
Portfolio reviews, administrative training	2	

## LENDER PROMOTION ACTIVITIES

ALABAMA: New agency, beginning to plan activities. Currently doing monthly lender/school workshops.

ALASKA: Lender workshops, educational institutions are invited to advisory council meetings, telephone calls, memoranda and personal letters as needed.

ARKANSAS: Personal visits by Director to the lenders, small meetings, attendance at Banker's conventions, responsiveness to lender needs, brochures, manuals, annual lender seminars, and this year a joint seminar with schools and lenders.

<u>CALIFORNIA:</u> Annual lender workshops, individual lender training sessions as needed, lender calling program, informational bulletins periodically, participation in lender association meetings.

<u>COLORADO:</u> Monthly newsletter, semi-annual workshops, three field managers covering one-third of the state each, working with lenders, attendance at professional lending organization meetings.

CONNECTICUT: Lender workshops as needed (generally a minimum of 3 or 4 per year), newsletter (quarterly), conferences with individual lenders, attendance at all lender association meetings, conduct lender/school workshops as needed.

<u>DELAWARE:</u> Workshops with lenders and school financial aid officers four times a year, usually including a representative from USAF; also planning to issue a newsletter.

<u>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:</u> Biennial lender workshops, frequent periodic bulletins, quarterly newsletter, regular visitation by a guarantee agency representative with lender and school representatives, rejection processing, informational brochures.

GEORGIA: Quarterly newsletter, regional lender workshops at least annually, employment of two field lender representatives, individual lender contacts and program reviews, to be initiated in 1981.

HAWAII: Lender and school workshops three times a year, periodic newsletters, quarterly advisory council meetings, close coordination with Financial Aid Association, miscellaneous workshops at invitation of various groups.

<u>IDAHO:</u> Semi-annual Board of Participants meetings, individual training sessions as needed, presentations at lender association meetings, personalized mailings to known lenders on new events.

ILLINOIS: Monthly newsletter, 14 training sessions for approximately 420 new lender personnel held in two locations, lender advisory committee meetings, lender association functions for speaking, exhibitions, public relations activities which include attendance at consumer credit, marketing, public relations conferences and conventions of Illinois lender associations, annual seminar series conducted in 20 cities throughout the state, courtesy field visits for on-site promotion and training, maintenance of branch office in central Illinois.



1

INDIANA: Monthly newsletter, semi-annual seminars, periodic regional manager contact, participation in lender association conferences and seminars as necessary regarding changes in the law.

<u>IOWA:</u> Lender workshops (semi-annual), newsletter, advisory council meetings, participation in lender association conferences (about six times per year).

KANSAS: Biennial lender workshops, frequent periodic bulletins, quarterly newsletter, regular visitation by a guarantee agency representative with lender and school representatives, rejection processing, informational brochures.

KENTUCKY: Periodic lender workshops, quarterly newsletter, joint meetings with State Lenders Association

LOUISIANA: Bulletins, as needed

MAINE: Semi-annual lender workshops, quarterly newsletter, advisory council meetings quarterly, one USAF consultant in the state.

MASSACHUSETTS: Semi-annual regional seminars, monthly newsletter, quarterly advisory meetings, joint meetings with Financial Aid Association, attendance at State Bankers Association functions.

MICHIGAN: Fifteen all-day workshops, ten newsletters annually, 425 lender visits to resolve problems or to promote lender participation, five presentations to lender associations.

MINNESOTA: Biennial lender workshops, frequent periodic bulletins, quarterly newsletter, regular visitation by a guarantee agency representative with lender and school representatives, rejection processing, informational brochures.

MISSOURI: Lender workshops conducted in the spring and fall, monthly lender newsletter, quarterly lender advisory committee or as needed, three field staff who conduct in-house training for lenders and other lender servicing.

MONTANA: Semi-annual workshops, meetings as needed, newsletters (approximately every two months).

NEBRASKA: Biennial lender workshops, frequent periodic bulletins, quarterly newsletter, regular visitation by a guarantee agency representative with lender and school representatives, rejection processing, informational brochures.

<u>NEVADA:</u> Semi-annual lender workshops, newsletters, coordination with secondary school counselor workshops.

NEW HAMPSHIRE: Semi-annual lender workshops, newsletter to be instituted in 1981, participation in conferences with lender association.

<u>NEW JERSEY:</u> Annual spring workshops, special seminars (legislative changes, Sallie Mae, new loan officers), student loan memoranda (16 per year), meet with lender associations.

NEW MEXICO: None--no commercial lenders in program at present time.



<u>NEW YORK</u>: Student loan bulletin, brochures, consumer credit group meetings, advisory council, workshops, interest billing.

NORTH CAROLINA: Distribution of printed materials, information sheets, newsletters, committee meetings and direct appeals, involvement with the Student Loan Committee of the N.C. Bankers Association

OHIO: Lender training seminars (about 15 across the state), eight field representatives assigned to locations, to assist lenders, schools and students, newsletter published 5-6 times annually.

OKLAHOMA: Quarterly news memoranda, one state-wide and four regional lender workshops, mini-lender workshops conducted in-house.

<u>OREGON:</u> Monthly newsletter, semi-annual lender and financial aid administrators workshops.

<u>PENNSYLVANIA:</u> Lender reviews, regional director workshops, lender advisory committee, loan division telephone inquiry service.

RHODE ISLAND: Bulletins as required, advisory committee meetings as required.

SOUTH CAROLINA: No special activities, since there is a single state-wide lender.

<u>SOUTH DAKOTA:</u> Semi-annual lender workshops, monthly lender newsletter, attendance at lender meetings, WATTS line service.

TENNESSEE: Annual lender seminar, lender site visits, information brochure.

TEXAS: Workshops, newsletters, advisory council meetings, toll-free number for lenders, student information on prospective lenders, assisting and encouraging secondary market activity in state.

<u>VERMONT:</u> Annual conference, semi-annual or more frequent workshops, joint meetings of financial aid and lender communities.

<u>VIRGINIA:</u> Training workshops for lenders and school financial aid officers, newsletter, lender advisory council, attendance at meetings of lender associations and other affected constituent groups.

<u>WASHINGTON:</u> Workshops, quarterly newsletter, presentations to trade association, promotional sessions jointly sponsored by schools, monthly lender servicing advisory council meetings.

<u>WEST VIRGINIA</u>: Biennial lender workshops, frequent periodic bulletins, quarterly newsletter, regular visitation by a guarantee agency representative with lender and school representatives, rejection processing, informational brochures.

<u>WISCONSIN:</u> Annual lender seminars, administrative bulletins as needed, lender advisory council meetings 3-4 times annually.

<u>WYOMING:</u> Biennial lender workshops, frequent periodic bulletins, quarterly newsletter, regular visitation by a guarantee agency representative with lender and school representatives, rejection processing, informational brochures.



16

# GUARANTEE AGENCY RESERVE FUNDS

			Reserve	Requirement	Defined
<u>State</u>	Has Reserve Fund	Reserve Fund Ratio as % of Outstanding Loans	State Law	Lender Agreement	Agency Policy
Alabama	X	None Defined			
Alaska	Χ '	1%		X	
Arkansas	X	. 2%			
California	<b>X</b> .	1%			X
Colorado	X	1%	X	•	
Connecticut	X	1.6%	X	•	X
Delaware	X	1%		X	
District of Columbia	X	Variable (1.86-2.	79)	X	
Florida	X	2.5%	X	X	X <sub>.</sub>
Georgia	X	4 %	X		
Hawaii	<b>X</b> .	2%		X	•
Idaho	X	1.6%		X	X
Illinois	(none)	•	•		
Indiana	X	1%		<b>X</b> ,	
Iowa	X	2%		X	, X
Kansas	X	Variable (1.86-2.	79)· /	X	** *
Kentucký	X	1.33%	<b>x</b> /		
Louisiana	X	1.33%	X		
Maine	X	1% (expe	cted to be	e) X	• •
Massachusetts	X	3%		X	
Michigan	X	2%	•	X	· <b>X</b>
, Minnesota	X	Variable (1.86-2	.79)	X	, :

<u>State</u>	Has Reserve Fund	Reserve Fund Ratio as % of Outstanding Loans	Reserve State Law		efined Agency Policy
Missouri	X	1%	X		
Montana	Χ.	1%		. <b>X</b>	X
Nebraska	X	Variable (1.36-2.	79)	χ	:
Nevada	X	2%		X	
New Hampshire	X	5%	Ву	-laws of agency	
New Jersey		ntly none-Authority o s of funds)	chairman a	nnually evaluat	es
New Mexico	· X	2%	•		X
New York	(none)				
North Carolina	X	10%		X	X
Ohio	X	6.6 %	X		
Oklahoma	X	2%	X	X .	X
Oregon	X	2%	· · · · · ·		X
Pennsylvania	. <b>X</b>	2%		X	•
Rhode Island	X	1%		X	
South Carolina	X	5%		X	Χ.
South Dakota	X	2%	State	secondary marke	t agreement
Tennessee	X	2%	X		
Texas	Χ,	(to be determi	ned)		
Utah	X	1%	<b>X</b>	•	
Vermont	X	1.6%	<b>X</b> .		
Virginia	X	1%	X		Χ.
Washington	X	1%			Χ



State	Reserve Fund	Reserve Fund Ratio as % of Outstanding Loans	Reserve State Law	Requirement Lender Agreement	Defined Agency Policy
West Virginia	X	Variable (1.86-2.79	<del>)</del> )		•
Wisconsin	X	2%			X
Wyoming	X	Variable (1.86-2.79	)	X	1

# SOURCES OF FUNDS FOR GUARANTEE AGENCIES

Number of States	
41	
35	1
39	
32	
13	
43	
1	
. 1	
5	
1	
1	
	41 35 39 32 13 43 1

# STUDENT INSURANCE PREMIUM

	•		
<u>State</u>	Current Rate	Period Covered	Changes in Past Year, If Any
•			•
Alabama	1%	In-school + 6 months	•
Alaska	None		Discontinued in FY 80
Arkansas	1%	Life of loan	As of 1-81, fee only for interim period
California	1%	<pre>In-school + 12 months</pre>	•
Colorado	1%	Interim	., •
Connecticut	None	-	•
Delaware	None	-	-
D.C.	1%	<pre>In-school + 12 months</pre>	•
Florida	1/2%	<pre>In-school + grace</pre>	-
Georgia	1%	In-school + grace	•
Hawaii	1%	In-school + grace .	-
Idaho	1%	Until repayment begins	•
Illincis	None	-	-
Indiana	1/2%		<b>-</b> ,
Iowa	1%	Disbursement to 10th mont	th after graduation/ withdrawal
Kansas	1%	<pre>In-school + 12 months</pre>	-
Kentucky	1%	Disbursement through grad	e -
Louisiana	None	<u>-</u>	•
Maine	1%	•	-
Maryland		<b>₹</b> 3	
Massachusetts	3/4%	Interim only	Reduced from 1%
Michigan	1%	Life of loan	•
Minnesota	1%	In-school + 12 months	-
Missouri	1%	In-school + grace	•
Montana	1%	In-school + grace	•
Nebraska	1%	In-school + 12 months	•
Nevada	1%	<pre>In-school + grace</pre>	•



<u>State</u>	Current Rate		Charges in Past Year, If Any
	• ,		•
New Hampshire	1%	In-school + grace	-
New Jersey	1%	One-time charge only	-
New Mexico	1%	Five years	-
New York	1/2%	<pre>In-school + grace</pre>	-
North Carolina	1/2%	Life of Loan	-
North Dakota	1%	In-school + grace	•
Ohio	1/2%	Disbursement through grace	Decrease from 1% to 1/2%
Oklahoma	1%	Disbursement through grace	-
Oregon	1%	Disbursement through grace	•
Pennsylvania	1/2%	In-school + grace	<b>-</b>
Rhode Island	3/4%	In-school + grace	Was 1% in-school through assumed 5-year repayment period.
South Carolina	3/4%	<pre>In-school + grace</pre>	-
South Dakota	1%	In-school + 12 months	•
Tennessee	1%	1 year	•
Texas	1%	In-school + grace	•
Utah	1%	Intérim	-
Vermont	1%	Interim through grace	Increased from 1/2% to 1%
Virginia	1%	In-school + one year	Fee previously covered repayment period
Washington	1%	Interim + grace	•
West Virginia	1%	In-school + 12 months	-
Wisconsin	1%	One Year	Renewed 1% fee; subsequent increase likely
Wyoming	1%	In-school + 12 months	-

# GUARANTEE AGENCIES, DIRECT LENDING AGENCIES AND SECONDARY MARKET AGENCIES

The following information has been provided by the states that have separate guarantee and direct lending and/or secondary market agencies. Asterisk indicates the agencies are governed by the same board or commission.

#### \*ALASKA:

Alaska Commission on Postsecondary Education (guarantee agency and direct lender)

Alaska Student Loan Program (division of Alaska Commission on Postsecondary Education) (state direct student loan program)

#### ARKANSAS:

Guarantee Student Loan Foundation of Arkansas (guarantee agency)
Arkansas Student Loan Authority (direct lending agency and secondary
market agency)

#### CALIFORNIA:

California Student Aid Commission (guarantee agency)
California Student Loan Authority (secondary market agency)

#### COLORADO:

Colorado Guaranteed Student Loan Program (guarantee agency)
Colorado Student Loan Obligation Bond Authority (direct lending agency
and secondary market agency)

#### CONNECTICUT:

Connecticut Student Loan Foundation (guarantee agency)
(also has authority to function as a direct lender, but has never
exercised this authority)
State Treasurer - Susie Mae Program (provides secondary market)

#### DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:

Higher Education Assistance Foundation (guarantee agency)
Higher Education Loan Program of Washington, D.C., Inc. (direct lending agency)

#### GEORGJA:

Georgia Higher Education Assistance Corporation (guarantee agency)
Georgia Student Finance Authority (direct lending agency and secondary
market agency)

\*Indicates the agencies are governed by the same board or commission.



#### IDAHO:

Student Loan Fund of Idaho, Inc. (guarantee agency)
Student Loan Fund of Idaho Marketing Assn., Inc. (secondary market agency)

#### \* ILLINOIS:

Illinois State Scholarship Commission, Illinois Guaranteed Loan Program (guarantee agency)
Illinois Designated Account Purchase Program (secondary market agency)

INDIANA:

State Student Assistance Commission of Indiana (guarantee agency)
Indiana Secondary Market for Education Loans, Inc. (secondary market agency)

#### IOWA:

Iowa College Aid Commission (guarantee agency)
Iowa Student Loan Liquidity Corporation (secondary market agency)

#### KANSAS:

Higher Education Assistance Foundation (guarantee agency)
Higher Education Loan Program of Kansas, Inc. (direct lending agency)

#### KENTUCKY:

Kentucky Higher Education Assistance Authority (guarantee agency)
Kentucky Higher Education Student Loan Corporation (direct lending agency
and secondary market agency)

#### MICHIGAN:

Michigan Higher Education Assistance Authority (guarantee agency)
Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority (administers State Direct
Student Loan Program)

#### MINNESOTA:

Higher Education Assistance Foundation (guarantee agency)
Minnesota Higher Education Coordinating Board (direct lending agency)

#### MONTANA:

Montana Guaranteed Student Loan Program
Montana Higher Education Student Assistance Corporation (secondary market agency)

#### **NEBRASKA:**

Higher Education Assistance Foundation (guarantee agency)
Nebraska Higher Education Loan Program, Inc. (direct lending agency and secondary market agency)

#### \*NEW JERSEY:

New Jersey Higher Education Assistance Authority (guarantee agency and functions as direct lending agency)



#### \*NEW MEXICO:

Board of Educational Finance (guarantee agency and direct lending agency)

#### NORTH CAROLINA:

North Carolina State Education Assistance Authority (guarantee agency)
College Foundation, Inc. (direct lending agency, functioning as central
lender for all investors wno participate in program)

#### \* NORTH DAKOTA:

Industrial Commission of North Dakota (operates state-owned Bank of North Dakota)

Bank of North Dakota (direct lending agency and secondary market agency)

#### OKLAHOMA:

Oklahoma State Regents for Higher Education (guarantee agency)
Oklahoma Loan Authority (direct lending agency)

#### SOUTH CAROLINA:

South Carolina State Education Assistance Authority (guarantee agency) South Carolina Student Loan Corporation (direct lending agency)

#### SOUTH DAKOTA:

South Dakota Education Assistance Corporation (guarantee agency)
South Dakota Student Loan Assistance Corporation (secondary market agency)

#### TEXAS:

Texas Guaranteed Student Loan Corporation (guarantee agency)
Coordinating Board, Texas College and University System (direct lending agency)
Panhandle-Plains Higher Education Authority; South Texas Higher Education
Authority; Brazos Higher Education Authority; Abilene Higher Education
Authority; North Texas Higher Education Authority; Central Texas Higher
Education Authority (secondary market agencies)

#### \* UTAH:

State Board of Regents of the State of Utah, Utah Higher Education Assistance Authority (guarantee agency)
State Board of Regents of the State of Utah (direct lending agency and secondary market agency)

#### VIRGINIA:

Virginia State Education Assistance Authority (guarantee agency)
Virginia Education Loan Authority (direct lending agency and secondary market agency)

#### WEST VIRGINIA:

Higher Education Assistance Foundation (guarantee agency)
Higher Education Loan Program of West Virginia, Inc. (direct lending agency)

#### WISCONSIN:

Wisconsin Higher Education Corporation (guarantee agency)
Higher Educational Aids Board (administers State Direct Student Loan Program)

#### WYOMING:

Higher Education Assistance Foundation (guarantee agency)
Wyoming Student Loan Corporation (direct lending agency and secondary
market agency)

Number of states with direct lending agencies: 21

Number of states with secondary market agencies: 17

# DIRECT LENDING ACTIVITIES

The following information has been provided by the states that have direct lending agencies:

<u>State</u>	Student Qualifications For Direct Loans	Source of Funds For Direct Loans
Alaska	2-years of State residency, full-time enrollment	Legislative appropriation
Arkansas	Refusal by private lender 2.0 GPA, residency	Revenue bonds
Colorado	Refusal by private lender	Line of credit with private lender
Connecticut	Guarantee agency has authority lender but has not exercised it	to function as direct
D.C.	Meeting Federal GSL requirements	Revenue bonds
Georgia	Refusal by private lender, study in health fields	State appropriation
Kansas	Meeting Federal GSL requirements	Revenue bonds
Kentucky	Refusal by private lender	Revenue bonds
Michigan	Refusal by private lender	Revenue bonds
Minnesota	Meeting Federal GSL requirements	Revenue bonds
Nebraska ,	Meeting Federal GSL requirements	Revenue bonds
New Jersey	Refusal by private lender, supplemental loans for med-ical students	Income to Agency fund
North Carolina	Central lender for all investors bond sale proceeds	scommercial lenders,
New Mexico	Commercial lenders do not participate in program	State appropriation



26

State	Student Qualifications For Direct Loans	Source of Funds For Direct Loans
North Dakota	State residençy	Revenue bonds
Oklahoma	Refusal by private lender	Revenue bonds
South Carolina	Single statewide lender	Revenue bonds
Texas	Refusal by private lender	Revenue bonds
Utah	(Established, but not implemented)	·
Virginia	Refusal by private lender	Revenue bonds
West Winginia	Meeting Federal GSL requirements	Revenue bonds
Wisconsin	Refusal by private lender under \$25,000 income	Revenue bonds
Wyoming	(None specified)	(None available at this time)

# SECONDARY MARKET ACTIVITIES

The following information has been provided by the states that have secondary market agencies:

	e e e	<u> </u>	ypes of L	oans Purchas	ed	
<u>State</u>	Source of Funds For Secondary Market Purchases	Any GSL	FISL Loans	Delinquent Loans	<u>Other</u>	
Arkansas	Revenue Bonds	X	X			
California	Revenue Bonds (to be issued)	X	X	:	Loans from Scho	ools
Colorado	Revenue Bonds (to be issued)	<b>X</b> .		: '		
Connecticut	State Treasury short-term invest- ment fund	X				e."
Georgia	Revenue Bonds (to be issued)	Second	ary marke	t activity n	ot yet implement	ted.
Idaho	Revenue Bonds	· <b>X</b>				
Illinois	Revenue Bonds			X	Hardship deferments	-
Indiana	Revenue Bonds	X	(from	Indiana Lendo	ers)	,
Iowa	Revenue Bonds (to be issued)	X	X			
Kentucky	Revenue Bonds	X	X (From	n Kentucky Le	enders)	
Montana	Revenue Bonds (to be issued)	X	X			
Nebraska	Revenue Bonds	X	<b>X</b>		•	
North Dakota	Bank of N.D., Revenue Bonds		X			



# Types of Loans Purchased

<u>State</u>	Source of Funds For Secondary Market Purchases	Any FISL Delinquent GSL Loans Loans Other
South Dakota	Revenue Bonds	x x
Texas	Revenue Bonds	X
Utah	Revenue Bonds	X X
Virginia	Revenue Bonds	GSL loans for consolidation purposes
Wyoming	Revenue Bonds	X X

# STUDENT LOAN MARKETING ASSOCIATION (SALLIE MAE) SERVICES USED

Type of Service	Number of Guarantee Agencies, or Separate Agencies or Cor- porations in the State, that Have Used the Service During the Past Calendar Year		
Loan Purchase Program	٨	13	<b>~</b> .
Warehousing Advance Program	;	5	
Loan Purchase Commitment		13	•
Lines of Credit	!	0	



# REVENUE BOND ISSUANCE

The following information was provided by the states that have issued student loan revenue bonds:

Type of	Agency
---------	--------

	State/Agency	Direct Lender	Secondary <u>Market</u>	Volume Issued FY 80 (millions)	Limit On Aggregate Outstanding (millions)	Volume Currently Outstanding (millions)	Projected Bond Financing (millions)
	Arkansas Student Loan Authority	X	X	\$3	\$15	\$10	Unknown
	California Student Loan Authority	/	X	- <b>∫</b> -	\$150	-0-	Unknown
30	Colorado Student Obligation Bond Authority	X	X	-0-	<b>\$</b> 50	- <b>0-</b>	1981, \$50
	Higher Education Loan Program of Washington, DC., Inc.	X	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$30.5	None	<b>\$30.5</b>	Unknown
	Georgia Student Finance Authority	,	χ	-0-	<b>\$</b> 50	-0-	Unknown
	Student Loan Fund of Idaho Marketing Assn., Inc.	1	χ .	-0-	None	\$20	Unknown
ľ	Illinois Designated Account Purchase Program	•	X	-0-	\$100	\$48.845	1981, \$75
	Indiana Secondary Market for Education Loans, Inc.		X	-0-	None	-0-	1981, \$50
<b>)</b> .	Iowa Student Loan Liquidity Corp.		X	<b>~0-</b>		-0-	1981, \$40

# Type of Agency

<u>State/Agency</u>	Direct Lender	Secondary Market	Volume Issued FY 80 (millions)	Limit On Aggregate Outstanding (millions)	Volume Currently Outstanding (millions)	Projected Bond Financing (millions)
Higher Education Loan Program of Kansas, Inc.	X		\$40	None	\$61.5	Unknown
Kentucky Higher Education Student Loan Corp.	X	X	-0-	\$150	\$30	1981, \$140
Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority	X		\$64	None	\$128	1981, \$50
Minnesota Higher Education Coordinating Board	<b>X</b> .		<b>\$</b> 55	\$300	\$193.25	Unknown
Nebraska Higher Education Loan Program, Inc.	X	Х Х	\$23.88	None '	\$47 <b>.</b> 92	Unknown
North Carolina State Education Assistance Authority	-	ge Foundation ntral lender)	\$45	None	\$92.705	Unknown
North Dakota Indistrial Commission	<b>X</b>	X	-0-	None	<b>\$</b> 62	1981, \$70
Oklahoma Student Loan Program	X		\$2	None	\$30	Unknown
South Carolina State Education Assistance Authority	(guara	ntee agency)	\$23.86	\$25	\$23,86	1981, \$25
South Dakota Student Loan Assistance Corp.	<b>~</b>	<b>X</b>	\$30.85	None	\$83.02	1981, \$62.5

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

 $J_0$ 

State/Agency	Direct Lender	Secondary <u>Market</u>	Volume Issued FY 80 (millions)	Limit On Aggregate Outstanding (millions)	Volume Currently Outstanding (millions)	Projected Bond Financing (millions)
Central Texas Higher Education						\
Authority; North Texas HEA		X	\$20	None	Unknown	1981, \$104.159
Utah State Board of Regents		X	· -0-,	None	\$44.45	Unknown
Virginia Education Loan Authority	X	<b>X</b>	\$60	None	\$151.7	1981, \$65
Higher Education Loan Program of West Virginia, Inc.	X	;	\$8	None /	<b>\$</b> 8	Unknown
Wisconsin State Building Commission	•	bonding		401-		
	age	ncy)	-0-	\$215	\$215	1983, \$25
Wyoming Student Loan Corp.	X	X	-0-	None	-0-	\$13.5

. 32

#### LEADING ISSUES AND RESEARCH

Guarantee agencies indicate that these are the major issues to be faced within the next 12 months:

ALABAMA: Lender promotion.

ALASKA: Changes in the GSL Program that would make it economically unattractive for lenders to remain in the program, computer changes to comply with current regulatory changes.

ARKANSAS: Proposed Federal budget cuts.

CALIFORNIA: Uncertainty of the possible changes to the GSL Program --- changes that can affect lender participation, income and expenses.

<u>COLORADO:</u> Installing data processing system, completing student expense survey, implementing PLUS Program, revising program to comply with Federal regulatory changes.

CONNECTICUT: Legislative changes, servicing, changes in automation.

<u>DELAWARE:</u> Regulations for PLUS Program, changes in the program due to changes in legislation.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Implementation of PLUS program, multiple disbursement for lenders, in-house data processing.

<u>GEORGIA:</u> PLUS Program, implementation, regulations procedure manuals, form revisions, field service recruitment and training of lender personnel.

<u>HAWAII:</u> Meeting requirements established by reauthorization, including forms revision, informing lenders of Reagan administration plans for budget cuts and impact on GSLP, providing secondary market availability and servicing.

IDAHO: Possibly Federal statutory changes, availability of loan capital.

ILLINOIS: State legislation for PLUS Program and implementation of program, implementation of an insurance premium for the student loan program.

<u>INDIANA:</u> Proposed Reagan administration changes, development of state secondary market.

<u>IOWA:</u> Research on probable impact of Reagan administration proposals for revisions to GSL Program.

KANSAS: Implementation of PLUS Program, multiple disbursement for lenders, in-house data processing.

KENTUCKY: Implementation of PLUS Program, impact of administration proposals on state guarantee agencies.



33 *(3)* 

MAINE: Congressional budget cuts, secondary market considerations.

MICHIGAN: Coping with proposed GSL reductions, increasing inter-agency communications.

MINNESOTA: Impelmentation of PLUS Program, multiple disbursement for lenders, in-house data processing.

MONTANA: Creating secondary market.

NEBRASKA: Implementation of PLUS Program, multiple disbursement for lenders, in-house data processing.

NEVADA: Continued Federal support for GSL Program.

NEW HAMPSHIRE: Implementing an automated system, PLUS loans, encouraging lender participation when faced with major changes in GSL program.

<u>NEW JERSEY:</u> Completion of new on-line data processing system, beginning research program on issues related to GSL, examining alternate source of funds for operating costs if ACA is eliminated, out-of-state collections.

NEW MEXICO: Inclusion of commercial lenders in program, to provide access.

NEW YORK: Anticipating and contending with Federal budget cuts.

NORTH CAROLINA: Adjusting to a return to pre-MISAA days.

NORTH DAKOTA: Uncertainty of GSL Program now under consideration in Congress, inflation and interest rates.

OHIO: Implementation of PLUS Program and the design, development and implementation of a complete data processing package, development of a new Policies and Procedures manual to implement the 1980 Amendments.

OKLAHOMA: Automation.

OREGON: Preparation of a lender/school GSL manual.

PENNSYLVANIA: Implementation of the provisions of the 1980 Amendments, developing the operational capabilities for the PLUS Program, revising GSLP application to conform to ED common form, while facing the probability of additional program changes and funding limitations due to Federal budget cuts.

RHODE ISLAND: Automating loan division, locating new site, developing experience in PLUS Program.

SOUTH CAROLINA: Budget reconciliation, PLUS Program.

SOUTH DAKOTA: Proposed GSL Program cuts.

TENNESSEE: Changes in GSL Program proposed by Reagan administration.

34

TEXAS: Proposed Congressional cuts.

UTAH: Servicing improvements and financing.

VERMONT: Establishing a secondary market.

<u>VIRGINIA</u>: Proposed changes in Federal legislation, agency regulations of schools and lenders.

<u>WASHINGTON:</u> Providing last-resort lending, servicing, development of program review procedures, automation of internal procedures, automation of communications with lenders and schools.

<u>WEST VIRGINIA:</u> Implementation of PLUS Program, multiple disbursement for lenders, in-house data processing.

<u>WISCONSIN:</u> Implementation of whatever changes in GSL are approved by Congress in response to Reagan administration proposals.

WYOMING: Implementation of PLUS Program, multiple disbursement for lenders, in-house data processing.

### Total number of agencies citing the following issues:

Accommodating Federal budget cuts:	23
Implementation of PLUS Program:	16
Automation/data processing:	13
Development of state secondary market:	5
Development of internal agency procedures:	5
Accommodating Federal regulatory changes:	3
Availability of loan capital:	1
ACA elimination:	. 1
Last-resort lending:	اً.
Inclusion of commercial lenders	1





					•	1 1014 11 1111	00911 1 1 1 1 2 0 0	01						
	<u>State</u>	•	Number of Loans Guaranteed	% of U.S. Total**		Dollar Value	% of U.S. Total**	-	Value of Loans Outstanding At End of FY		faul <u>ircha</u>	sed	Coʻ	efaulted Loans Nections
	Alabama	1980-81	2,400	•	\$	5,000,000	•	R)	5,000,000	(New A		VALUE V)		VALUE
	Alaska	1976-77	52	•	\$	96,582	•	\$	612,096	26	Ş	47,039	\$	2,737
		1977-78	110			202,849	-	,	747,913	31		56,180		4,739
		1978-79	208	•		334,548	•		929,879	44		72,513		9,824
		1979-80	4,883	0.24		12,011,230	0.28		12,910,473	13		24,553		•
		1980-81	6,000	•		15,000,000	•		16,000,000	16	•	30,400		•
	Arkansas	, 1976-77	3,048	0.47	\$	4,378,134	0.42	\$	22,628,432	•		•	\$	17,882
	•	1977-78	3,680	0.45		6,008,929	0.40		26,551,669	-		-		22,276
		1978-79	5,633	0.46		10,130,434	0.41		34,448,122	-				41,043
9		1979-80	9,530	0.46		18,463,021	0.43		50,185,980	316		394,209		32,287
	4	1980-81	12,500	-		22,000,000	•		000,000,88	240		250,000		75,000
	California	1979-80	96,104	4.62	\$	246,800,000	5.69	\$	275,100,000	15	\$	30,857		-0-
		1980-81	197,665	-		480,000,000	•		750,000,000	150		375,000		5,000
	Colorado	1979-80	19,721	0.95	\$	42,873,454	0.99	\$	42,873,454	-		·•		
		1980-81	52,000			110,000,000	<b>-</b> , .		109,840,000	67		140,000		6,000
	Connecticut	1976-77	41,120	6.32	\$	52,953,644	5.11	\$	293,721,332	2,070	•	4,764,370	\$	695,803
		1977-78	54,211	6.64		98,192,922	6.61		374,016,381	1,737		3,877,703		819,672
		1978-79	54,632	4.43		100,430,051	4.44		458,669,167	2,070		4,754,904		957,632
		1979-80	81,008	3.90		174,778,606	4.03		675,396,894	2,225		5,611,652		969,452
		1980-81	90,000	•		200,000,000			800,000,000	2,700		6,200,000	1,	300,000
	A 5 4													

<sup>\*</sup> Data as reported by GSL agencies, based on State fiscal year if federal fiscal year data is unavailable.



۸ س

<sup>\*\*</sup> Denominator values are U.S. totals.

				FY 1	976-77 Through 1	FY 1980-81*		Value of				Dofoulted
<u>State</u>		Number of Loans Guaranteed	% of U.S. Total**	-	Dollar Value	% of U.S. Total**		Loans Outstanding - At End of FY	_	Pu	faults rchased	Defaulted Loans Collections
Delaware	1978-79	5,257	0.43	\$	9,842,291	0.40				<u>NO.</u>	VALUE -	VALUE
	1979-80	7,451	0.36		14,634,298	0.34	\$	33,857,461		-		\$ 1,506,958
	1980-81	7,500/	•		15,000,000	•				-	-	2,000,000
District of Columbia	1979-80	7,955	0.38	\$	23,197,786	0.54	\$	23,197,786		-		
Florida	1977-78	46	•	\$	113,968	•	\$	113,968		•		- -
	1978-79	12,846	1.04		32,705,669	1.34		32,683,012		•	•	· <u>-</u>
	1979-80	38,921	1.87		98,290,466	2.27		125,581,034		6	\$ 10,608	\$ -
Georgia	1976-77	9,700	1.49	\$	11,567,582	1.12	\$	68,846,749		1,019	\$ 1,849,826	\$ 474,146
	1977-78	10,630	1.30		15,499,754	1.04	٠	74,358,307		962	1,918,706	625,681
	1978-79	13,275	1.08		22,356,357	0.92		B4,343,003		1,017	2,086,313	881,547
•	1979-80	23,428	1.13		37,600,000	0.87		151,300,000	•	1,114	2,382,000	903,000
	1980-81	26,000	•		. 53,000,000	-		168,464,000		1,550	3,200,000	1,200.000
llawa i i	1979-80	2,376	0.11	\$	5,886,252	0.14	\$	8,328,941		-	-	•
	1980-81	5,800	•		14,366,600	•		20,242,852		-	-	. <b>-</b>
ldaho '	1978-79	1,541	0.13	\$	2,348,543	0.10	\$	2,317,158		•	- <b>-</b>	
	1979-80	2,282	0.11		5,626,096	0.13		8,029,903	¥	3	4,376	<del>,</del> ,
	1980-81	000,8	-		12,000,000	•,		20,000,000		•		•
Illinois	1976-77	36,619	5.62	\$	60,951,358	5.88	\$	317,866,555		2,746	\$ 6,140,016	\$ 1,467,098
·	1977-78	44,476	5.44		83,367,415	5.61		381,046,437		2,811	6,614,910	2,125,252
	1978-79	68,776	5.58		145,240,813	5.95		499,393,113		3,232	7,677,203	2,348,441
										_		

	<u>State</u>		Number of Loans Guaranteed	% of U.S. Total**		Dollar Value	% of U.S. Total**	_	Value of Loans Outstanding At End of FY	Pu	faults rchased	Defaulted Loans Collections
٠	Illinois	1070.00	105 054	4.44						<u>NO.</u>	VALUE	VALUE
	(cont'd.)	1979-80	125,854	6.06	. }	284,724,114	6.57	\$	751,338,036	3,759	\$ 9,860,423	\$ 2,775,085
		1980-81	139,630	•		340,000,000	. •		965,020,542	4,311	11,095,160	3,639,073
	Indiana	1977-78	6,788	0.83	\$	12,163,186	0.82	\$	<sup>26</sup> 12,026,532	•	•	•
		1978-79	21,946	1.78		40,149,534	1.64		50,976,567	. 1	\$ 1,034	•
		1979-80	39,345	1.89		84,245,954	1.94		134,036,948	59	92,488	4,734
		1980-81	100,000	• .		115,000,000	•		200,000,000	124	186,000	10,540
	lowa	1978- <b>79</b>	13,532	1.1	\$	24,824,301	1.02	\$	24,660,133	•	•	-
		1979-80	42,057	2.02		74,067,299	1.71		98,396,461	2	1,617	•
38		1980-81	44,000	•		80,000,000	•		178,000,000	20	36,000	3,600
	Kansas	1977-78	14,006	1.72	\$	24,116,609	1.62	, \$	15,289,001	-		•
		1978-79	23,056	1.87		42,630,619	1.74		63,347,096	21	34,210	•
		1979-80	25,689	1.24		55,085,109	1.27		116,813,787	545	911,781	1,217
	Kentucky	. 1978-79	10,221	0.83	\$	21,330,548	0.87	\$	20,493,372	•	<b>.</b>	-
		1979-80	19,366	0.93		43,505,786	1.00		43,412,678	10	21,651	0 .
		1980-81	26,086	•		60,000,000	•		57,720,000	84	146,292	14,692
į	Louisiana	1976-77	5,620	0.86	\$	7,115,101	0.69	\$	40,804,855	1,316	\$ 1,112,306	\$ 263,102
		1977-78	6,471	0.79		8,508,282	0.57		45,082,813	1,359	1,123,253	332,047
		1978-79	8,888	0.72		15,273,747	0.63		54,891,971	1,508	1,347,232	326,000
•		1979-80	14,647	0.70		29,600,000	0.68		79,800,000	758	1,300,000	291,970
	40	1980-81	18,253	•		37,010,000	-		116,800,000	705	1,500,000	390,000

47.

<u>State</u>		Number of Loans Guaranteed	% of U.S. Total**		Dollar Value	% of U.S. Total**	. t	Value of coans Outstanding At End of FY	Pui	aults chased	Defau Loar <u>Collec</u>	ns tions
Maine	1976-77	5,106	0.78	\$	6,445,482	0.62	\$	40,436,574	NO. 528	VALUE \$ 603,502	VALI	<u>ut</u> 1,207
	1977-78 /	6,266	0.77	•	8,559,508	0.58	•	45,379,227	523	641,799		6,037
	1978-79	7,856	0.64		11,755,446	0.48		53,359,341	492	634,864	,	7,675
	1979-80	11,563	0,56		19,300,000	0.45	٠	68,800,000	478	696,500		7,062
	1980-8)	18,000	•		32,000,000	•		100,000,000	225	500,000		0,000
Maryland	1976-77	6,737	1.03	\$	9,653,327	0.93	\$	43,115,994	621	\$ 743,059		6,828
	1977 <sub>-</sub> /18	8,335	1.02		4,256,965	0,29		52,705,614	727	990,271	•	9,663
•	1978-79	11,999	0.97		13,874,964	0.57		72,053,978	637	917,393	192	2;970
	1979-80	23,179	1.12		51,884,225	1.20		120,709,858	669	987,056	321	,695
Massachusetts	1976-77	37,680	5.79	\$	61,847,218	5.96	\$	209,938,502	•	· <b>.</b>	\$ 250	0,000
•	1977-78	43,944	5.38		81,061,896	5.46		264,574,555	•		438	,000
	1978-79	73,556	5.97		151,564,903	6.20		394,351,041	-	•	1,052	,000
	1979-80	112,611	5.42		252,962,020	5.83		594,742,390	2,410	29,424,331	3,693	,787
	1980-81	150,000	-		330,000,000	•		770,000,000	3,000	35,000,000	5,000	,000
Michigan	1976-77	29,924	4.60	\$	45,408,768	4.38	\$	151,284,134	2,517	\$ 2,212,606	\$ 483	,167
	1977-78	34,671	4.25		61,834,432	4.16		199,871,818	2,687	2,565,597	669	,014
:	1978-79	53,077	4.31	r	101,785,113	4.17		283,250,615	3,378	3,723,654	753	,653
	1979-80	88,003	4.24	٠,	177,603,288	4.10	,	438,032,859	2,377	4,707,920	936	,899
	1980-81	113,000	•		225,000,000		•	637,384,455	2,500	5,000,000	1,171	,124

(Includes State direct loan program )

43

	<u>State</u>	,	Number of Loans Guaranteed	% of U.S. Total**		Dollar Value	% of U.S. Total**		Value of Loans Outstanding At End of FY		aults chased		iauited Loans lections
	pill 4-dis-d /-				_		<u>,                                    </u>		1	NO.	VALUE		VALUE
	Minnesota	1976-77	8,852	1.36	-\$	16,154,826	\1.56		•	•	<b>-</b>		•
		1977-78	36,194	° 4.43	•	62,986,271	4.24		51,149,576	2	3,472		
	•	1978-79	51,044	4.14		93,181,481	3.8	٠	172,722,314	51	77,846		333
	•	1979-80	67,207	3.23		131,818,971	3.04		291,463,377	1,585	2,754,693		16,551
	.Missouri	1979-80	16,036	0.77	\$	33,680,622	0.78	\$	36,836,176	. 33	\$ 34,192	\$	63,499
	,	1980-81	49,492	-		106,141,004	•		142,886,873	50	· 71,994		80,736
	Montana	1980-81		-	\$	14,000,000			•	•	(New Agency)		
/.	Nebraska	1979-80	19,409	0.93	\$	43,806,520	1.01	- \$	43,806,520	. •	-		
: 3	Nevada	1979-80	1,910	0.09	\$	4,138,835	0.10	\$	12,775,543	221	\$ 297,118	\$	89,449
0	•	1980-81	3,284	-		8,000,000	• .		19,700,000	250	300,000		90,000
	New Hampshire	1976-77	4,009	0.62	\$	5,865,971	0.57	\$	20,578,658	92	\$ 107,733	\$	67,034
		1977-78	6,819	0.84		10,137,354	0.68		25,454,977	100	136,561	e,	78,626
		1978-79	6,430 .	0.52	,	10,503,567	0.43		33,835,508	128	211,266		71,862
	·	1979-80	10,284	0.49		18,662,014	0.43		50,859,214	1119	226,517		78,181
	, '	1980-81	21,750	•		30,000,000	-		66,000,000	136	273,111		80,000
	New Jersey	1976-77	55,357	8.50	\$.	103,023,876	9.94	\$	450,332,175	3,414	\$ 8,166,698	\$	999,913
		1977-78	61,084	7.48		122,509,770	8.25		537,943,808	3,866	9,386,836	1	,228,242
		1978-79	84,944	6.89		182,044,110	7.45		685,368,527	4,462	11,700,456	1,	,638,608
	<b>-</b> -	1979-80	108,611	5.23		246,920,890	5.70	ŧ	902,688,261	10,649	15,860,748	2	,012,557
	ป็ป	1980-81	147,000	-		343,000,000	•		1,245,680,261	12,500	19,500,000	2,	,500,000

	State		Number of Loans Guaranteed	Z of U.S. Total**	_	Dollar Value	% of U.S. Total**		Value of Loans Outstanding At End of FY		faults ochased VALUE	Defaulted Loans Collections VALUE
٠.	New Mexico	1978-79	3,434	0.28	\$	4,968,085	0.20	\$	4,923,892	3	2,419	INTOL
		1979-80	8,317	0.40		6,744,550	0.16		13,292,268	n	8,771	
	A*	1980-81	14,901	•		13,000,000	•		25,500,000	63	69,525	500
	New York	1976-77	181,891	27.94	\$	299,608,174	28.89	\$	1,067,420,924	16,349	\$34,354,634	\$ 6,919,227
		1977-78	217,269	26.61		408,004,897	27.47		1,289,229,459	17,701	36,829,204	7,954,385
		1978-79	264,450	21.45		534,747,833	21.89		1,579,457,065	23,921	49,765,208	10,715,165
		1979-80	364,818	17.56		769,854,706	17.76		2,540,482,038	19,984	46,664,016	9,949,098
		1980-81	415,000	-		975,000,000	•	•	3,200,000,000	24,000	57,000,000	13,500,000
	North Carolina	1976-77	8,401	1.29	\$	10,927,463	1.05	\$	26,369,972	295	\$ 512,178	\$ 518,944
11		1977-78	10,913	1.34		16,975,057	1.14		32,800,373	301	550,375	682,092
		1978-79	16,241	1.32		27,124,364	1.11		44,199,217	299	588,854	726,225
		1979-80	19,949	0.96		37,611,042	8.87		66,189,679	386	753,788	248,000
		1980-81	25,000	-		44,000,000	<b>-</b> .		105,189,679	425	866,856	350,000
	Ohio	1976-77	22,632	3.48	\$	34,772,345	3.35	,\$	172,445,959	645	\$ 941,760	\$ 136,187
		1977-78	29,839	3.65		54,045,055	3.64		209,748,185	912	1,733,883	294,868
	ι	1978-79	39,720	3.22	•	74,283,468	3,84		274,478,888	917	1,924,453	527,914
		1979-80	78,676	3.79	•	180,662,551	4.17		493,885,629	764 .	1,612,170	526,620
	ı	1980-8)	102,279	• •		265,393,287	-		670,202,799	840	1,772,400	631,944
	Oklahoma	1976-77	5,598	0.86	\$	5,774,550	0.56	\$	2,873,856	336	\$ 328,638	\$ 126,479
		1977-70	7,030	0.86		9,642,205	0.65		6,719,278	519	348,943	149,999
		1978-79	9,045	0.73		13,640,510	0.56		9,948,339	543 .	375,926	138,744
	<i>"</i> )											



	<u>State</u>		Number of Loans Guranteed	% of U.S. Total**		Dollar Value	% of U.S. Total**			Value of Loans Outstanding At End of FY		aul cha:			efaulted Loans llections VALUE
ı	Uklahoma (cont'd.)	1979-80	10,978	0.53	\$	18,848,065	0.43		\$	57,620,686	273	\$	593,478	\$	63,974
	(cont d.)	1980-81	17,495	-		29,367,569	-			84,179,495	620		510,000	•	138,800
	Oregon	1976-77	7,961	1.22	\$	9,856,887	0.95		\$	49,514,556	446	. \$	642,600	\$	262,416
		1977-78	8,108	0.99		12,317,552	0.83			56,642,685	558	·	741,927	•	273,422
		1978-79	10,311	0.84		15,955,879	0.65			67,066,932	665		971,200		386,383
		1979-80	16,324	0.79		27,410,676	0.63			89,213,981	523		727,621		495,761
		1980-81	22,690			41,890,000	•			118,064,883	630		952,000		514,000
	Pennsylvania	1976-77	94,212	14.47	\$	168,671,352	16.27		\$	682,692,022	7,363	\$1	6,743,538	\$ 2	,790,210
42		1977-78	106,956	13.10		208,426,186	14.03	1		806,607,272	7,793		7,935,998		,285,568
1.0		1978-79	150,784	12.23		309,535,609	12.67			1,009,263,480	8,780		1,170,092		,050,118
		1979-80	201,101	9.68		436,885,915	10.08		J.	1,485,060,850	10,420	•	5,672,386		,118,454
	•	1980-81	237,000	-		500,000,000				1,950,000,000	11,400		0,000,000		,500,000
	Rhode Island	1976-77	6,922	1.06	. \$	8,916,633	0.86		ş.	42,900,902	854	ţ.	774,357	£	(Not
		1977-78	7,851	0.96		10,703,393	0.72		•	48,335,132	703	•	636,793	T Ava	(Not iflable)
		1978-79	9,342	0.76		13,614,720	0.56			54,992,862	1,015		966,051	nvi	
		1979-80	13,481	0.65		26,784,652	0.62			20,327,906	1,004		921,503		158,884
		1980-81	18,873	-		37,498,513	-			28,000,000	1,255	١	,059,728		
	South Carolina	1978-79	2,692	0.22	\$	2,964,382	0.12		\$	5,848,599	- 1123	'	,009,720		182,717
		1979-80	5,892	0.28		7,733,000	0.18		•	13,560,694	2		1,843		-
		1980-81	6,700			9,000,000	•			22,300,000	20		25,000		-0- 2,500

ERIC .

	<u>State</u>		Number of Loans Guaranteed	% of U.S. Total**		Dollar Value	% of U.S. Total**	1	Value of Loans Outstanding At End of FY		faúil ( chas			efaulted Loans llections	
									e v	NO.		VALUE		VALUE	
	South Dakota	1978-79	9,956	0.81	\$	18,432,086	0.75	\$	18,130,307	1	\$	2,597		-	
		1979-80	19,166	0.92		36,322,503	0.84		53,344,648	3		6,500	\$	<b>-0-</b>	
		1980-81	21,100	•		39,954,700	-		90,000,000	75		150,000		10,000	
	Tennessee	1976-77	6,576	1.01	\$	11,753,919	1.13	. \$	55,355,212	334	\$	449,637	\$	38,186	
		1977-78	7,153	0.88		13,849,911	0.93		67,683,081	376		605,275		171,857	
		1978-79	11,078	0.90	t	23,752,288	0.97		86,810,996	491		878,011	•	236,751	
	•	1979-80	18,239	0.88		42,273,091	0.98		124,535,269	490	•	1,077,742		195,019	
	<i>!</i>	1980-81	25,000	<u>-</u>		50,000,000	•		173,000,000	490		1,077,742		195,019	
•	Texas	1980-81	2,500	•	\$	5,750,000	•	\$	5,750,000			(New Agency	)		
,	Utah	1977-78	1,773	0.22	\$	4,647,964	0.31	\$	4,644,357			<b>-</b> .			
		1978-79	9,657	0.78	. ,	22,593,973	0.92		26,957,402	1		2,035		•	
		1979-80	10,731	0.52	·	35,715,390	0.82		61,400,884	3		7,198		525	
		1980-81	25,000	-		45,000,000	-		105,000,000	<b>25</b> ·		50,000		1,500	
	Vermont	1976-77	3,647	0.56	\$	4,878,719	0.47	\$	17,397,903	212	<b>\$</b> -	220,488	\$	21,975	
	•	1977-78	5,248	0.64	`\	8,027,915	0.54		23,883,734	328		327,350		52,909	
		1978-79	6,455	0.52		11,146,916	0.46		33,207,870	348		360,855		47,284	
		1979-80	8,660	0.42		16,320,093	0.38	·	47,219,638	212		500,212		87,108	
	Ţ	1980-81	12,000	•		24,000,000	-		59,000,000	250		625,000		185,000	
						\									

	<u>Sta te</u>	N.	Number of Loans Guaranteed	% of U.S. Total**	 Dollar Value	% of U.S. Total**	_	Value of Loans Outstanding At End of FY	Pur	aults chased	Defaulted Loans <u>Collections</u>
,							•		lo.	VALUE	VALUE
	Viryinia	1976-77	9,474	1.46	\$ 13,567,616	1.31	\$	67,998,000	254	\$ 450,770	\$ 166,210
		1977-78	11,285	1.38	17,424,079	1.17		80,066,870	232	481,006	170,612
		1978-79	24,143	1.96	43,843,733	1.79	,	114,226,308	240	527,577	188,309
		1979-80	48,170	2.32	103,599,720	2.39		252,269,416	528	1,119,239	241,006
		1980-81	55,395		119,139,687	•		290,109,828	1,250	1,800,000	360,000
	Washington	1978-79	1,772	0.14	\$ 3,564,477	0.15	\$	3,564,477	-	-	, -
	٠.	1979-80	18,306.	0.88	40,641,939	0.94		43,960,697	1	5,044	-0-
		1980-81	21,000	• 0.0	50,000,000	•		93,960,697	15	37,500	10,000
<b>‡</b> .	W. Virginia	1979-80	4,357	0.21	\$ 9,249,977	0.21	\$	9,249,977	(New	Agency)	
1	Hisconsin	1976-77	40,444	6.21	\$ 37,230,500	3,59	\$	152,697,050	1,048	\$ 1,380,886	(Nat
, f :	1-1-9	1977-78	41,848	5.12	50,848,807	3.42		195,171,424	1,245	1,840,063	Available)
		1978-79	52,041	4.22	67,991,522	2.78		249,255,970	1,877	2,733,006	
		1979-80	88,000	4.23	146,113,000	3.37		403,300,000	2,854	4,956,207	513,529
1		1980-81	97,000	-	176,500,000	•		500,000,000	3,385	6,600,000	620,000
	Wyoming	1979-80	. 1,431	0.07	\$ 3,335,185	•		3,335,185		-	

ÜÜ

#### DIRECTORY OF GUARANTEE AGENCIES

John F. Porter Alabama Commission on Higher Education One Court Square Montgomery, AL 36197

Ronald L. Nichoalds Student Loan Guarantee Foundation of Arkansas 1515 West 7th Street Little Rock, AR 72202

Douglas R. Seipelt Colorado Guaranteed Student Loan Program 7000 Broadway Denver, CO 80221

Bernard J. Daney
Delaware Higher Education
Loan Program
P.O. Box 7139
Wilmington, DE 19803

Ernest E. Smith
Florida Student Financial
Assistance Commission
Knott Building
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Lorraine Teniya Hawaii Education Loan Program 1314 South King Street Honolulu, HI 96822

Carol Wennerdahl Illinois Guaranteed Loan Program 102 Wilmot Road Deerfield, IL 50015

Willis Ann Wolff Iowa College Aid Commission 201 Jewett Building Des Moines, IA 50309 Kerry Romesburg Alaska Commission on Postsecondary Education Pouch F, State Office Building Juneau, AK 99811

Arthur S. Marmaduke California Student Aid Commission 1410 Fifth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Vincent J. Maiocco Connecticut Student Loan Foundation 25 Pratt Street Hartford, CT 06103

Jacqueline Daughtry
District of Columbia Higher
Education Assistance Foundation
1001 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

Donald E. Payton Georgia Student Finance Commission 9 LaVista Perimeter Park Tucker, GA 30084

Carrol Lee Lawhorn Student Loan Fund of Idaho 200 North Fourth Street Boise, ID 83702

James E. Sunday State Student Assistance Commission of Indiana 219 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46202

Robert Payton
Iowa Guaranteed Student
Loan Program
904 Grand Avenue
Des Moines, IA 50309





Richard C. Hawk Higher Education Loan Program of Kansas 10950 Grandview Drive Overland Park, KS 66210

Richard W. Petrie Governor's Special Commission on Education Services P.O. Box 44127 Baton Rouge, LA 70804

James A. Leamer
Maryland Higher Education
Loan Corporation
2100 Guilford Avenue
Baltimore, MD 21218

Ronald J. Jursa Michigan Higher Education Assistance Authority P.O. Box 30047 Lansing, MI 48909

Richard C. Stillwagon Missouri Guaranteed Student Loan Program P.O. Box 1438 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Donald A. Aripoli University of Nebraska - Lincoln 113 Administration Building Lincoln, NE 68588

Mildred L. Bilodeau New Hampshire Higher Education Assistance Foundation P.O. Box 877 Concord, NH 03301

John Merrett
New Mexico Guaranteed Student
Loan Program
Bendelier West
Albuquerque, NM 87131

Paul P. Borden
Kentucky Higher Education
Assistance Authority
691 Teton Trail
Frankfort, KY 40601

Calvin P. Boston Maine Guaranteed Student Loan Program State House Station #23 Augusta, ME 04333

Joseph M. Cronin Massachusetts Higher Education Assistance Corporation 1010 Park Square Building Boston, MA 02116

David Laird
Minnesota Higher Education
Coordinating Board
550 Cedar Street
St. Paul, MN 55101

Ed Nelson Montana Guaranteed Student Loan Program 33 South Last Chance Gulch Helena, MT 59601

Dillie Kelly Nevada Guaranteed Student Loan Program 400 West King Street Carson City, NV 89710

William C. Nester
New Jersey Higher Education
Assistance Authority
P.O. Box 1417
Trenton, NJ 08625

Peter J. Keitel
New York State Higher Education
Services Corporation
99 Washington Avenue
Albany, NY 12255

Stan C. Broadway
North Carolina State Education
Assistance Authority
P.O. Box 2688
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Robert P. Zeigler Ohio Student Loan Commission P.O. Box 16610 Columbus, OH 43215

Jeffrey M. Lee Oregon State Scholarship Commission 1445 Willamette Street Eugene, OR 97401

John E. Madigan
Rhode Island Higher Education
Assistance Authority
274 Weybosset Street
Providence, RI 02903

James Bishop
South Dakota Education
Assistance Corporation
105 First Avenue, S.W.
Aberdeen, SD 57401

Joe L. McCormick
Texas Guaranteed Student
Loan Corporation
400 East Anderson Lane
Austin, TX 78752

Ronald J. Iverson
Vermont Student Assistance
Corporation
Five Burlington Square
Burlington, VT 05401

Carl Donovan
Washington Student Loan
Guaranty Association
100 South King Street
Seattle, WA 98122

James A. Jung Wisconsin Higher Education Corporation 137 East Wilson Street Madison, WI \$3702 Martin E. Stenehjem Bank of North Dakota Lock Drawer No. 1657 Bismarck, ND 58505

Chancellor E. T. Dunlap
Oklahoma State Regents for
Higher Education
500 Education Building
Oklahoma City, OK 73105

Kenneth R. Reeher Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency 408 Towne House Harrisburg, PA 17102

William M. Mackie South Carolina Student Loan Corporation P.O. Box 21337 Columbia, SC 29221

Kenneth Barber
Tennessee Student Assistance
Corporation
B-3 Capitol Towers
Nashville, TN 37219

Leon McCarrey Utah Higher Education Assistance Authority 807 East South Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84102

Jane Chittom
Virginia State Education Assistance
Authority
Six North 6th Street
Richmond, VA 23219

Ben L. Morton
West Virginia Higher Education
Assistance Foundation
723 Kanawha Boulevard East
Charleston, WV 25322

Delbert Smith
Wyoming Student Loan Program
University Station Box 3335
Laramie, WY 82071

