

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 193 619

CS 005 699

AUTHOR Tirre, William C.: And Others  
TITLE Achievement Outcomes of Two Reading Programs: An Instance of Aptitude-Treatment Interaction. Technical Report No. 174.  
INSTITUTION Bolt, Beranek and Newman, Inc., Cambridge, Mass.: Illinois Univ., Urbana. Center for the Study of Reading.  
SPONS AGENCY National Inst. of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C.  
PUB DATE Jun 80  
CONTRACT 400-76-0116  
NOTE 34p.  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS Academic Ability: Academic Aptitude: \*Comparative Analysis: Coordination: Elementary Education: Grade 6: Interaction: \*Program Evaluation: Reading Achievement: \*Reading Programs: \*Reading Research: Student Characteristics

ABSTRACT

The reading achievement test performance of 180 sixth grade students in contrasting reading programs was examined. One program (Matteson) was an explicitly sequenced, behaviorally oriented skills program; the other (Scott Foresman) placed more emphasis on rich language experience and less emphasis on specific skill development. An aptitude-treatment interaction was found: higher ability students in the Scott Foresman program outperformed those in the Matteson program, while the lower ability students in the Matteson program scored higher than those in the Scott Foresman Program. (Author/EL)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF READING

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-  
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM  
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-  
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS  
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT  
OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF  
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

Technical Report No. 174

ACHIEVEMENT OUTCOMES OF TWO READING PROGRAMS:  
AN INSTANCE OF APTITUDE-TREATMENT INTERACTION

William C. Tirre and Peter Freebody  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Kenneth Kaufman  
Bensenville School District

June 1980

University of Illinois  
at Urbana-Champaign  
51 Gerty Drive  
Champaign, Illinois 61820

Bolt Beranek and Newman Inc.  
50 Moulton Street  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

The research reported herein was supported in part by the National Institute of Education under Contract No. US-NIE-C-400-76-0116. The authors express their appreciation to the staff and students of the Bensenville, Illinois school district for their friendly cooperation. We also thank Richard E. Snow and Robert L. Linn for their helpful comments on an earlier draft.

ED193619

25085699

**Abstract**

The reading achievement test performance of sixth-grade students in contrasting reading programs was examined. One program (Matteson) is an explicitly sequenced, behaviorally oriented skills program; the other (Scott Foresman) places more emphasis on rich language experience, and less on specific skill development. An aptitude-treatment interaction was found: higher ability students in the Scott Foresman program outperformed those in the Matteson program, while lower ability students in the Matteson program scored higher than those in Scott Foresman. Explanations and implications are discussed.

Achievement Outcomes of Two Reading Programs:  
An Instance of Aptitude-Treatment Interaction

Recently, several educational researchers have been attempting to examine a phenomenon that teachers have observed for some time: the fact that different instructional programs have quite different effects on students of differing aptitudes. Some time ago, Cronbach (1957) argued for the coalition of correlational and experimental psychology through the study of interactions between instructional treatments and student aptitudes. Such interactions have been termed by Cronbach and others aptitude-treatment interactions (ATI). Cronbach and Snow (1977) have defined aptitude and treatment in very broad terms. Aptitude can refer to personality and strategy preferences as well as to ability measures; treatment covers any aspect of the instructional environment, including such variables as pace and method of presentation, as well as teacher characteristics.

Cronbach and Snow's (1977) review of ATI research shows, however, that a combination of trivially brief treatments, inadequate design and analysis techniques, and general uncertainty about how to study the phenomenon have all led to many disappointingly doubtful and inconsistent results. There were, nonetheless, enough clear instances of ATI for Cronbach and Snow to conclude that more careful and relevant work could yield significant findings for researchers, curriculum designers, and educational policy makers. To enhance future research, Cronbach and

Snow suggested three issues for concentration: (a) the examination of the most plausible ATI hypotheses in long-duration, real-school studies, (b) the development of designs and analytic methods capable of handling such complex studies, and (c) the development of a laboratory science for the analysis of aptitude tests and learning tasks, and the ATI constructs based on them.

The goal of the present study is to contribute to the first-mentioned effort, that is, the examination of a plausible ATI in a real-school setting, as a result of long-term instructional programs. The programs to be examined are two fourth-grade to sixth-grade reading programs. The aptitude construct is represented by two distinct scores--an overall (verbal plus nonverbal) intelligence test score, and a reading pretest score, given two years prior to the testing session, immediately prior to the students' entry into the separate reading program.

The ATI hypothesis to be considered may be stated in general terms as follows: Instructional methods differ in the amount of information processing effort they demand of, or provide for, the learner. The greater the information processing burden on the learner in a given program, the more the high-ability student benefits, and the less the low-ability student gains. Conversely, the more the instructional format relieves the learner of difficult processing, the smaller is the difference in the performance of high- versus low-ability students (Snow, 1978). This issue has often appeared in educational debate in varying terminology--the optimal degree of structure, the benefits of discovery versus traditional versus programmed methods, and so on. All these terms, including

information-processing burden, gloss over the issue of precisely where the critical differences lie. They may reside largely in differences in the degree to which strategies are trained, sequenced, or accessed for particular tasks, or in the degree of explicitness of expected responses, or in the amount of clear signaling of relevant knowledge.

While there has been little progress in analyzing how the above factors contribute to the information-processing burden, there has been some agreement across a range of studies that this global instructional characteristic does affect students of different aptitudes differently. This effect has been shown to hold in the teaching of arithmetic procedures (Thiele, 1938) and introductory probability theory (Greeno & Mayer, Note 1). In both cases, "meaningful" or discovery methods were found to enhance the performance of high-ability students, while drill and rule-learning methods led to improved performance among lower ability students. On a broader scale, Sharps (cited in Cronbach & Snow, 1977) examined differences for fifth-grade students on a number of achievement measures arising from two long-term programs: a conventional teaching program and an Individually Prescribed Interaction (IPI) program. The IPI program entailed pretests, specified objectives and sequences leading to these objectives, with frequent mini-tests for feedback and branching purposes. The results showed a strong interaction between verbal ability plus achievement and the two instructional programs. The general ATI hypothesis was confirmed: for the lower ability students, the IPI method was more beneficial; for the higher ability students, IPI was less effective than the traditional program.

As Cronbach and Snow (1977) emphasized, the area of reading has received a lot of attention from researchers, but most comparisons of reading programs have not been designed to examine long-term ATI effects. There are few clear findings. Bond and Dykstra (1967) reported results from the massive Co-operative Reading Program study of first-grade reading programs. They reported no discernible ATI effects. Some questions about sample groupings and data analysis, however, led Cronbach and Snow and Lo (cited in Cronbach & Snow, 1977) to reanalyze portions of the data. In these reanalyses, some ATI effects were found. Language-experience programs, for instance, led to more striking differences between high- and low-ability girls than did whole-word approaches. The fact that this relation did not hold for boys illustrates Cronbach and Snow's conclusion that, while several results were suggestive of ATI, the effects were by no means convincing.

The aim of this study is to examine the possibility of ATI, at a broad level, in two reading programs. Current programs differ in the degree to which they explicitly sequence and structure tasks. Some programs explicitly train, sequence, and maintain skills and knowledge such as letter-sound correspondence, new word meanings, disambiguation skills, cross-sentence integration, and inferencing. We might hypothesize, then, that in the comparison of two long-term reading programs, lower ability students will show better performance in the program which provides more explicit and detailed direction than they will in less directive programs. Higher ability students will show the reverse trend, if the hypothesis holds.

### Method

#### Subjects

The students tested comprised all those sixth-graders ( $N = 180$ ) in a large school district in the greater Chicago area who had been in their present reading program for two years or longer. The area is, for the most part, middle-class residential. There were 96 students in the Matteson program and 84 in the Scott-Foresman program.

#### The Reading Programs

The Matteson Four-Dimensional Reading Project. The Matteson Program is a behaviorally oriented skills program. As stated in the Teacher's Guide (Schlenker, 1978) the program includes: (a) a list of behavioral objectives for grades three through eight, (b) individualized learning packages covering 108 behavioral objectives in the major skill areas (word recognition, vocabulary development, literal comprehension, interpretive comprehension, analytical comprehension, study skills, and composition), (c) criterion-referenced pretests, posttests, and subskill tests for each level of the program, (d) individual pupil progress charts and classroom progress charts, and (e) commercial materials to be used with learning cards.

The program entails branching and repetitions when test scores dictate such. The behavioral objectives are often stated in quantitative terms. Some examples are:

1. Word discrimination: Given a list of 44 Dolch sight words, the student will pronounce correctly the words.

2. (47) Analogy: Given a pair of words that are analogous, the student will identify correctly another pair of words that are related in the same way.

The Matteson program is strictly sequenced and closely monitored. In Snow's (1977) terms, the program seems to be one which, relative to other programs, relieves the learner of much difficult and unspecified processing. In theory at least, subskills are mastered before dependent higher-order skills are developed.

The Scott Foresman Reading System. While the Matteson program includes in its overview some general suggestions for free reading and supplemental language experiences, the Scott Foresman program has as its central goal the student's interaction with the concepts and ideas put forth by an author. The emphasis is on rich language experience and frequent discussions aimed at the literal and inferential significance of discourse. A paragraph from the introduction to the Teacher's Guide to Level 13 (Windows 1972) gives the flavor of the place of specific skills in this program:

For the few pupils who may need detailed guidance as they read, suggestions are given within particular selections. Other guidance points up a specific skill or gives help with concepts and words or phrases that may be unfamiliar. The latter might be used with pupils who read independently as well as those who read under guidance. (p. 1)

After each passage, students complete test questions which relate to vocabulary and literal and inferential comprehension, but the program itself contains no strict sequencing or criteria for progress.

Two points need to be made here. First, as already stated, both programs entail both skill building and rich language experience. It is at the level of the central goals of the programs that clearly differing emphases can be detected. These differences relate to sequencing, feedback to student and teacher, and degree of specificity of the skill and the expected performance. It is these aspects which, it is hypothesized, characterize the difference in processing burden on the learner. Second, the teacher's guides are not a direct measure of what actually goes on in the classrooms when these programs are used. It is possible, though unlikely, that some teachers use the Scott Foresman program in a strictly sequenced and monitored fashion, making very explicit the goals in each section. Similarly, the Matteson program could be used merely as a remedial adjunct to an experientially oriented program. These modifications would, however, be contrary to the spirit and the letter of the guides. In addition, we were assured that the teachers in this district used the programs as the guides indicate.

#### The Ability and Reading Tests

The students' verbal and non-verbal abilities were measured with the elementary level, form R of the Educational Development Series (Scholastic Testing Service, 1976). Form R included non-verbal (figure matching) and verbal (word knowledge and use) measures of ability.

The reading tests given were the appropriate level reading subscales of the Educational Development Series. The pretest used was that administered to the students at the end of grade four. The posttest was

the appropriate form administered at the end of grade six to the same 180 students. The reading tests entailed literal, inferential, and main idea comprehension questions, in multiple choice format, following brief passages and poems. The passages consisted of narrative and expository discourse. In the sixth-grade test, for instance, there were five passages (two narrative, three expository) and one poem and 50 multiple choice questions in all.

### Results

Before presenting the major findings concerning the effects of these two programs, the initial comparability of the two groups needs to be established, since, for some unexplained reasons, the interaction between aptitude and program might have existed at the point of entry to the program. Any interactions between aptitude and program on posttests would, in this case, be artifactual.

Means and standard deviations for the two groups are presented in Table 1. The only contrasting point is the slightly larger standard

-----  
Insert Table 1 about here.  
-----

deviation on ability scores among the Scott-Foresman students. It is also important to establish the similarity of correlations among the measures for the two groups. Tables 2 and 3 show that, with two exceptions, these correlations do not differ significantly. The two exceptions are the

-----  
Insert Tables 2 and 3 about here.  
-----

correlations between pretest and number of years in the programs ( $z = 3.539$ ), and the correlations between posttest and ability ( $z = 2.197$ ). We have no neat explanation of the first difference. The second, however, strongly suggests an interaction between aptitude and programs on posttest scores.

Since there was a slight difference in ability variances for the two groups, the regression slopes for ability on pretest scores were computed. For the Matteson group, the slope was .57 and, for the Scott-Foresman group, it was .54. This difference was judged to be trivial. Any interaction between ability and program on posttest scores could not be attributable to an interaction existing prior to the instructional treatment.

Tables 2 and 3 show that sex did not correlate highly with any other measures. In a regression analysis, sex was found not to be a significant predictor. It did not interact significantly with course or ability, nor were there any significantly different correlations between the measures when the coefficients were computed separately for boys and girls. Thus sex was dropped from the remaining analyses.

The aptitude-program interaction was confirmed using multiple regression analysis. Posttest scores were predicted for each reading group separately from the equations presented in Tables 4 and 5. It can be

-----  
Insert Tables 4 and 5 about here.  
-----

seen that, for the Matteson students, posttest is predicted by both pretest and ability. For the Scott-Foresman group, on the other hand, the only significant predictor of posttest performance is ability.

A significant aptitude-treatment interaction was found and is presented in Tables 6 and 7. From Table 6 it can be seen that ability, pretest, and the course by ability interaction contributed significantly to the prediction of posttest scores. In total, over 50% of the variance on the posttest

-----  
Insert Tables 6 and 7 about here.  
-----

was predicted. Of particular interest here is the course by ability interaction. Table 7 indicates this effect. Mid-points along both the ability and pretest continua were selected, and the predicted posttest scores for Matteson (M) and Scott Foresman (SF) students are presented for purposes of illustration. These predicted scores were computed using the equations presented in Tables 4 and 5. It is the case that lower-ability students who perform as well as or better than their ability scores would predict on the pretest, perform better in the Matteson program. It is equally clear that higher-ability students who perform as well as or worse than their ability scores would predict, perform better in the Scott Foresman program. Interestingly, the medium-ability student who performs poorly on the fourth-grade pretest is predicted to do better in the Scott Foresman program, while the medium-ability student who performs particularly well on the pretest is predicted to do better in the Matteson program.

Discussion

The hypothesis of interaction between ability and instructional condition is confirmed. Lower ability students benefited from the Matteson program, higher ability students from the Scott Foresman program. We infer that this finding represents an affirmation of the general hypothesis that a program which relieves the learner of much of the burden of processing, by assuming the acquisition, maintenance, and checking of important subskills, will be of greater benefit to lower ability students than will a less strictly organized program emphasizing higher-order skills and more conceptual interaction with reading materials. Higher ability students, on the other hand, will benefit more from the latter program. Thus, as Snow (1977) suggested, a highly structured program tends to "flatten out" the relationship between performance and ability.

Some speculation about why this occurs is called for. An explanation may lie in the construct of "metacognition" that has been developed in recent theory (see Flavell, 1976). It has been suggested that ability test performance does not relate only to the amount of information possessed by a student but also to the student's ability to organize knowledge and skills. Sensitivity to one's errors while reading, knowing when to use certain strategies to enhance recall, locating gaps in knowledge while studying, and so on, are all executive processes rather than knowledge about reading per se.

If a large portion of the differences between high- and low-ability students is thought to entail deficits in executive functions, then a

program that takes on itself responsibility for many of these functions would lessen the differences between students of different abilities. The low-ability students would be helped, and it may be that higher ability students, who have developed their own ways of organizing their reading and learning, find the explicit and ubiquitous organizational aspects of the highly structured program an interference. A program which takes such executive skills for granted and concentrates on language enrichment enhances the learning of the high-ability students and deprives the lower ability students of the organizational supports they need.

Some predictable qualifications need to be made to these interpretations. First, while the sample is large, there may be something distinctive about this district or these students which would detract from the replicability of such a result. Second, the notion of aptitude needs closer examination. There may be certain skills not assessed by the pretests which render the samples different in an important way at their time of entrance into these instructional programs. Third, to reiterate an earlier point, we need to know more precisely how strictly the program specifications were adhered to. There may have been some mixing of techniques along an "experience-based/skill-based" continuum. These qualifications amount to suggestions for further research to clarify and detail the relationships found here.

These findings have implications for reading educators: Both types of programs should be available to a student, and initial placement should depend upon entering ability. The obvious danger of such a policy is

that this placement can become incarceration. This can be avoided by careful and frequent monitoring of reading performance and flexibility in the movement of students between programs.

Researchers and evaluators of reading instruction will also find implications in these results. Much research on reading instruction is of the horse-race sort (see, for instance, Bowers, 1974, and the review by Samuels & Schachter, 1978). The overall means of two programs are compared. These results strongly suggest the soundness of Cronbach and Snow's (1977) advice to regard separately the performance of students of different aptitudes.

Reference Note

1. Greeno, J. G., & Mayer, R. E. Structural and quantitative interaction among aptitudes and instructional treatments. Unpublished paper, University of Michigan, 1976.

## References

- Bond, G. L., & Dykstra, R. The cooperative research program in first-grade reading instruction. Reading Research Quarterly, 1967, 2, 5-142.
- Bowers, J. E. Final report identifying, validating and multi-media packaging of effective reading program. Palo Alto, Calif.: American Institute for Research, 1974.
- Cronbach, L. J. The two disciplines of scientific psychology. American Psychologist, 1957, 12, 671-684.
- Cronbach, L. J., & Snow, R. E. Aptitudes and instructional methods: A handbook for research on interactions. New York: Irvington, 1977.
- Flavell, J. H. Metacognitive aspects of problem solving. In L. B. Resnick (Ed.), The nature of intelligence. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1976.
- Samuels, S. J., & Schachter, S. W. Controversial issues in beginning reading instruction: Meaning versus subskill emphasis. In S. Plaum-Connor (Ed.), Aspects of reading education. Berkeley, Calif.: McCutchan, 1978.
- Schlenker, J. Matteson four-dimensional reading project, Matteson, Ill.: Reading Service Center, 1978.
- Scholastic Testing Service. Educational development series: Reading test. Bensenville, Ill.: Scholastic Testing Service, 1976.
- Scott Foresman. Windows (Teacher's guide). Glenview, Ill.: Scott Foresman, 1972.

- Snow, R. E. Research on aptitudes for learning: A progress report. In L. S. Schulman (Ed.), Review of research in education (Vol. 4). Itasca, Ill.: Peacock, 1977.
- Snow, R. E. Aptitude processes. In R. E. Snow, P. A. Federico, & W. E. Montague (Eds.), Aptitude, learning and instruction (Vol. 1): Cognitive process analyses of aptitude. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1978.
- Thiele, C. L. The contribution of generalization to the learning of the addition facts. Teachers College Contributions to Education (No. 763). New York: Columbia University, 1938.

Table 1  
Means and Standard Deviations

	Matteson Group <u>N</u> = 96		Scott-Foresman Group <u>N</u> = 84	
	<u>M</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>SD</u>
Ability	114.61	14.42	113.74	15.27
Pretest	23.27	13.15	24.92	12.99
Posttest	30.53	10.01	31.02	10.21

Table 2  
Correlations for the Matteson Group

	1 Y.I.P.	2 Sex	3 Ability	4 Posttest	5 Pretest
Years in Program					
Sex	.04				
Ability	.10	-.03			
Posttest	.10	.04	.58		
Pretest	.20	-.02	.62	.61	

Table 3  
Correlations for the Scott-Foresman Group

	1 Y.I.P.	2 Sex	3 Ability	4 Posttest	5 Pretest
Years in Program					
Sex	.00				
Ability	.33	.18			
Posttest	.18	.20	.76		
Pretest	.63	.13	.64	.50	

Table 4  
 Regression Weights, Partitioning of Variance,  
 and Significance Tests for Posttest  
 (Matteson,  $N = 96$ )

Variable	B Weight	% Variance	F
Ability	.35	34.08	55.81*
Pretest (gr. 4)	.31	10.13	16.59*
Years in Program	5.62	.02	< 1
Y.I.P. x Ability	-.05	.13	< 1
Intercept	-16.39		
Residual		55.56	

$$\underline{R} = .666; \underline{F}(4,91) = 18.14; p < .01$$

$$* p < .01$$

Table 5  
 Regression Weights, Partitioning of Variance,  
 and Significance Tests for Posttest  
 (Scott-Foresman,  $N = 84$ )

Variable	B Weight	% Variance	F
Ability	.28	57.16	108.57 <sup>**</sup>
Pretest (gr. 4)	.08	.03	< 1
Years in Program	-11.44	1.08	2.05
Y.I.P. x Ability	.07	.14	< 1
Intercept	5.77		
Residual		41.59	

$$\underline{R} = .764; \underline{F}(4,79) = 27.74; p < .01$$

$$* p < .01$$

Table 6  
 Regression Weights, Partitioning of Variance,  
 and Significance Tests for Posttest (N = 180)

Variable	B Weight	% Variance	F
Ability	.23	44.36	155.33 <sup>*</sup>
Pretest (gr. 4)	.32	3.29	11.52 <sup>*</sup>
Years in Program	-1.05	.12	< 1
Course	-22.48	.22	< 1
Course x Pretest	-.28	.33	1.16
Course x Ability	-.27	2.27	7.95 <sup>*</sup>
Intercept	-.47		
Residual		49.41	

$$\underline{R} = .711; \underline{F}(6, 173) = 29.53; \underline{p} < .01$$

$$^* p < .01$$

**Table 7**  
**Predicted Means for Students at Various Combinations**  
**of Pretest and Ability in the Two Reading Programs**

Pretest Grade 4	Verbal and Non-Verbal Ability Combined		
	90	110	130
12	22.00 <sup>a</sup> (M) <sup>b</sup> 19.39(SF) <sup>c</sup>	26.44(M) 28.80(SF)	This combination not likely to occur.
24	25.74(M) 20.38(SF)	30.18(M) 29.80(SF)	34.62(M) 39.22(SF)
36	This combination not likely to occur.	33.92(M) 30.80(SF)	38.36(M) 40.21(SF)

Note. Figures are based on the student with the average stay in either program: 2.6 years.

<sup>a</sup> Standard error of estimate (Sest) was 7.47 for the Matteson group and 6.59 for the Scott-Foresman group.

<sup>b</sup> Matteson

<sup>c</sup> Scott-Foresman

## CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF READING

### READING EDUCATION REPORTS

- No. 1: Durkin, D. *Comprehension Instruction—Where are You?*, October 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 566, 14p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$0.83)
- No. 2: Asher, S. R. *Sex Differences in Reading Achievement*, October 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 567, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$0.83)
- No. 3: Adams, M. J., Anderson, R. C., & Durkin, D. *Beginning Reading: Theory and Practice*, November 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 151 722, 15p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$0.83)
- No. 4: Jenkins, J. R., & Pany, D. *Teaching Reading Comprehension in the Middle Grades*, January 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 151 756, 36p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$0.83)
- No. 5: Bruce, B. *What Makes a Good Story?*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 158 222, 16p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$0.83)
- No. 6: Anderson, T. H. *Another Look at the Self-Questioning Study Technique*, September 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 163 441, 19p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$0.83)
- No. 7: Pearson, P. D., & Kamil, M. L. *Basic Processes and Instructional Practices in Teaching Reading*, December 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 118, 29p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$0.83)
- No. 8: Collins, A., & Haviland, S. E. *Children's Reading Problems*, June 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 172 188, 19p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$0.83)
- No. 9: Schallert, D. L., & Kleiman, G. M. *Some Reasons Why Teachers are Easier to Understand than Textbooks*, June 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 172 189, 17p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$0.83)
- No. 10: Baker, L. *Do I Understand or Do I not Understand: That is the Question*, July 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 948, 27p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$0.83)
- No. 11: Anderson, R. C., & Freebody, P. *Vocabulary Knowledge and Reading*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 470, 52p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$0.83)
- No. 12: Joag-dev, C., & Steffensen, M. S. *Studies of the Bicultural Reader: Implications for Teachers and Librarians*, January 1980.
- No. 13: Adams, M., & Bruce, B. *Background Knowledge and Reading Comprehension*, January 1980.
- No. 14: Rubin, A. *Making Stories, Making Sense*, January 1980.
- No. 15: Tierney, R. J., & LaZansky, J. *The Rights and Responsibilities of Readers and Writers: A Contractual Agreement*, January 1980.

## CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF READING

### TECHNICAL REPORTS

- No. 1: Halff, H. M. *Graphical Evaluation of Hierarchical Clustering Schemes*, October 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 926, 11p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 2: Spiro, R. J. *Inferential Reconstruction in Memory for Connected Discourse*, October 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 187, 81p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 3: Goetz, E. T. *Sentences in Lists and in Connected Discourse*, November 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 927, 75p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 4: Alessi, S. M., Anderson, T. H., & Biddle, W. B. *Hardware and Software Considerations in Computer Based Course Management*, November 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 928, 21p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 5: Schallert, D. L. *Improving Memory for Prose: The Relationship between Depth of Processing and Context*, November 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 929, 37p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 6: Anderson, R. C., Goetz, E. T., Pichert, J. W., & Halff, H. M. *Two Faces of the Conceptual Peg Hypothesis*, January 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 930, 29p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 7: Ortony, A. *Names, Descriptions, and Pragmatics*, February 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 931, 25p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 8: Mason, J. M. *Questioning the Notion of Independent Processing Stages in Reading*, February 1976. (*Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1977, 69, 288-297)
- No. 9: Siegel, M. A. *Teacher Behaviors and Curriculum Packages: Implications for Research and Teacher Education*, April 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 932, 42p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 10: Anderson, R. C., Pichert, J. W., Goetz, E. T., Schallert, D. L., Stevens, K. C., & Trollip, S. R. *Instantiation of General Terms*, March 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 933, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 11: Armbruster, B. B. *Learning Principles from Prose: A Cognitive Approach Based on Schema Theory*, July 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 934, 48p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 12: Anderson, R. C., Reynolds, R. E., Schallert, D. L., & Goetz, E. T. *Frameworks for Comprehending Discourse*, July 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 935, 33p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 13: Rubin, A. D., Bruce, B. C., & Brown, J. S. *A Process-Oriented Language for Describing Aspects of Reading Comprehension*, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 188, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 14: Pichert, J. W., & Anderson, R. C. *Taking Different Perspectives on a Story*, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 936, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 15: Schwartz, R. M. *Strategic Processes in Beginning Reading*, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 937, 19p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 16: Jenkins, J. R., & Païny, D. *Curriculum Biases in Reading Achievement Tests*, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 938, 24p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 17: Asher, S. R., Hymel, S., & Wigfield, A. *Children's Comprehension of High- and Low-Interest Material and a Comparison of Two Cloze Scoring Methods*, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 939, 32p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 18: Brown, A. L., Smiley, S. S., Day, J. D., Townsend, M. A. R., & Lawton, S. C. *Intrusion of a Thematic Idea in Children's Comprehension and Retention of Stories*, December 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 189, 39p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 19: Kleiman, G. M. *The Pralinguistic Cognitive Basis of Children's Communicative Intentions*, February 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 940, 51p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 20: Kleiman, G. M. *The Effect of Previous Context on Reading Individual Words*, February 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 941, 76p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 21: Kane, J. H., & Anderson, R. C. *Depth of Processing and Interference Effects in the Learning and Remembering of Sentences*, February 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 942, 29p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)

- No. 22: Brown, A. L., & Campione, J. C. *Memory Strategies in Learning: Training Children to Study Strategically*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 234, 54p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 23: Smiley, S. S., Oakley, D. D., Worthen, D., Campione, J. C., & Brown, A. L. *Recall of Thematically Relevant Material by Adolescent Good and Poor Readers as a Function of Written Versus Oral Presentation*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 235, 23p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 24: Anderson, R. C., Spiro, R. J., & Anderson, M. C. *Schemata as Scaffolding for the Representation of Information in Connected Discourse*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 236, 18p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 25: Pany, D., & Jenkins, J. R. *Learning Word Meanings: A Comparison of Instructional Procedures and Effects on Measures of Reading Comprehension with Learning Disabled Students*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 237, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 26: Armbruster, B. B., Stevens, R. J., & Rosenshine, B. *Analyzing Content Coverage and Emphasis: A Study of Three Curricula and Two Tests*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 238, 22p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 27: Ortony, A., Reynolds, R. E., & Arter, J. A. *Metaphor: Theoretical and Empirical Research*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 137 752, 63p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 28: Ortony, A. *Remembering and Understanding Jabberwocky and Small-Talk*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 137 753, 36p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 29: Schallert, D. L., Kleiman, G. M., & Rubin, A. D. *Analysis of Differences between Oral and Written Language*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 038, 33p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 30: Goetz, E. T., & Osborn, J. *Procedures for Sampling Texts and Tasks in Kindergarten through Eighth Grade*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 565, 80p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 31: Nash-Webber, B. *Anaphora: A Cross-Disciplinary Survey*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 039, 43p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 32: Adams, M. J., & Collins, A. *A Scheme-Theoretic View of Reading Comprehension*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 971, 49p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 33: Huggins, A. W. F. *Syntactic Aspects of Reading Comprehension*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 972, 68p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 34: Bruce, B. C. *Plans and Social Actions*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 149 328, 45p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 35: Rubin, A. D. *Comprehension Processes in Oral and Written Language*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 550, 61p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 36: Nash-Webber, B., & Reiter, R. *Anaphora and Logical Form: On Formal Meaning Representation for Natural Language*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 973, 42p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 37: Adams, M. J. *Failures to Comprehend and Levels of Processing in Reading*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 410, 51p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 38: Woods, W. A. *Multiple Theory Formation in High-Level Perception*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 020, 58p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 40: Collins, A., Brown, J. S., & Larkin, K. M. *Inference in Text Understanding*, December 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 547, 48p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 41: Anderson, R. C., & Pichert, J. W. *Recall of Previously Unrecalleable Information Following a Shift in Perspective*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 974, 37p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 42: Mason, J., Osborn, J., & Rosenshine, B. *A Consideration of Skill Hierarchy Approaches to the Teaching of Reading*, December 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 549, 176p., PC-\$12.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 43: Collins, A., Brown, A. L., Morgan, J. L., & Brewer, W. F. *The Analysis of Reading Tasks and Texts*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 404, 96p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 44: McClure, E. *Aspects of Code-Switching in the Discourse of Bilingual Mexican-American Children*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 975, 38p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 45: Schwartz, R. M. *Relation of Context Utilization and Orthographic Automaticity in Word Identification*, May 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 137 762, 27p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)

- No. 46: Anderson, R. C., Stevens, K. C., Shifrin, Z., & Osborn, J. *Instantiation of Word Meanings in Children*, May 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 976, 22p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 47: Brown, A. L. *Knowing When, Where, and How to Remember: A Problem of Metacognition*, June 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 562 152p., PC-\$10.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 48: Brown, A. L., & DeLoache, J. S. *Skills, Plans, and Self-Regulation*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 040, 66p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 49: Goetz, E. T. *Inferences in the Comprehension of and Memory for Text*, July 1977 (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 548, 97p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 50: Anderson, R. C. *Schema-Directed Processes in Language Comprehension*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 977, 33p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 51: Brown, A. L. *Theories of Memory and the Problems of Development: Activity, Growth, and Knowledge*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 041, 59p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 52: Morgan, J. L. *Two Types of Convention in Indirect Speech Acts*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 405, 40p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 53: Brown, A. L., Smiley, S. S., & Lawton, S. C. *The Effects of Experience on the Selection of Suitable Retrieval Cues for Studying from Prose Passages*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 042, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 54: Fleisher, L. S., & Jenkins, J. R. *Effects of Contextualized and Decontextualized Practice Conditions on Word Recognition*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 043, 37p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 55: Jenkins, J. R., & Larson, K. *Evaluating Error Correction Procedures for Oral Reading*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 158 224, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 56: Anderson, T. H., Standiford, S. N., & Alessi, S. M. *Computer Assisted Problem Solving in an Introductory Statistics Course*, August 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 563, 26p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 57: Barnitz, J. *Interrelationship of Orthography and Phonological Structure in Learning to Read*, August 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 546, 62p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 58: Mason, J. M. *The Role of Strategy in Reading in the Mentally Retarded*, September 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 406, 28p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 59: Mason, J. M. *Reading Readiness: A Definition and Skills Hierarchy from Preschoolers' Developing Conceptions of Print*, September 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 403, 57p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 60: Spiro, R. J., & Esposito, J. J. *Superficial Processing of Explicit Inferences in Text*, December 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 545, 27p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 65: Brewer, W. F. *Memory for the Pragmatic Implications of Sentences*, October 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 564, 27p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 66: Brown, A. L., & Smiley, S. S. *The Development of Strategies for Study Prose Passages*, October 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 371, 59p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 68: Stein, N. L., & Nezworski, T. *The Effects of Organization and Instructional Set on Story Memory*, January 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 149 327, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 69: Stein, N. L. *How Children Understand Stories: A Developmental Analysis*, March 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 153 205, 68p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 76: Thieman, T. J., & Brown, A. L. *The Effects of Semantic and Formal Similarity on Recognition Memory for Sentences in Children*, November 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 551, 26p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 77: Nash-Webber, B. L. *Inferences in an Approach to Discourse Anaphora*, January 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 552, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 78: Gentner, D. *On Relational Meaning: The Acquisition of Verb Meaning*, December 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 149 325, 46p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 79: Royer, J. M. *Theories of Learning Transfer*, January 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 149 326, 55p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 80: Arter, J. A., & Jenkins, J. R. *Differential Diagnosis-Prescriptive Teaching: A Critical Appraisal*, January 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 578, 104p., PC-\$7.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 81: Shoben, E. J. *Choosing a Model of Sentence Picture Comparisons: A Reply to Catlin and Jones*, February 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 577, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)

- No. 82: Steffensen, M. S. *Bereiter and Engelmann Reconsidered: The Evidence from Children Acquiring Black English Vernacular*, March 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 153 204, 31p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 83: Reynolds, R. E., Standiford, S. N., & Anderson, R. C. *Distribution of Reading Time When Questions are Asked about a Restricted Category of Text Information*, April 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 153 206, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 84: Baker, L. *Processing Temporal Relationships in Simple Stories: Effects of Input Sequence*, April 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 016, 54p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 85: Mason, J. M., Knisely, E., & Kendall, J. *Effects of Polysemous Words on Sentence Comprehension*, May 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 015, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 86: Anderson, T. H., Wardrop, J. L., Hively W., Muller, K. E., Anderson, R. I., Hastings, C. N., & Fredericksen, J. *Development and Trial of a Model for Developing Domain Referenced Tests of Reading Comprehension*, May 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 036, 69p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 87: Andre, M. E. D. A., & Anderson, T. H. *The Development and Evaluation of a Self-Questioning Study Technique*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 037, 37p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 88: Bruce, B. C., & Newman, D. *Interacting Plans*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 038, 100p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 89: Bruce, B. C., Collins, A., Rubin, A. D., & Gentner, D. *A Cognitive Science Approach to Writing*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 039, 57p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 90: Asher, S. R. *Referential Communication*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 597, 71p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 91: Royer, J. M., & Cunningham, D. J. *On the Theory and Measurement of Reading Comprehension*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 040, 63p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 92: Mason, J. M., Kendall, J. R. *Facilitating Reading Comprehension Through Text Structure Manipulation*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 041, 36p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 93: Ortony, A., Schallert, D. L., Reynolds, R. E., & Antos, S. J. *Interpreting Metaphors and Idioms: Some Effects of Context on Comprehension*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 042, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 94: Brown, A. L., Campione, J. C., & Barclay, C. R. *Training Self-Checking Routines for Estimating Test Readiness: Generalization from List Learning to Prose Recall*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 158 226, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 95: Reichman, R. *Conversational Coherency*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 658, 86p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 96: Wigfield, A., & Asher, S. R. *Age Differences in Children's Referential Communication Performance: An Investigation of Task Effects*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 659, 31p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 97: Steffensen, M. S., Jogdeo, C., & Anderson, R. C. *A Cross-Cultural Perspective on Reading Comprehension*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 660, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 98: Green, G. M. *Discourse Functions of Inversion Construction*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 160 998, 42p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 99: Asher, S. R. *Influence of Topic Interest on Black Children and White Children's Reading Comprehension*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 661, 35p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 100: Jenkins, J. R., Pany, D., & Schreck, J. *Vocabulary and Reading Comprehension: Instructional Effects*, August 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 160 999, 50p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 101: Shoben, E. J., Rips, L. J., & Smith, E. E. *Issues in Semantic Memory: A Response to Glass and Holyoak*, August 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 662, 85p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 102: Baker, L., & Stein, N. L. *The Development of Prose Comprehension Skills*, September 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 663, 69p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 103: Fleisher, L. S., Jenkins, J. R., & Pany, D. *Effects on Poor Readers' Comprehension of Training in Rapid Decoding*, September 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 664, 39p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)

- No. 104: Anderson, T. H. *Study Skills and Learning Strategies*, September 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 161 000, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 105: Ortony, A. *Beyond Literal Similarity*, October 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 166 635, 58p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 106: Durkin, D. *What Classroom Observations Reveal about Reading Comprehension Instruction*, October 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 162 259, 94p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 107: Adams, M. J. *Models of Word Recognition*, October 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 163 431, 93p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 108: Reder, L. M. *Comprehension and Retention of Prose: A Literature Review*, November 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 114, 116p., PC-\$7.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 109: Wardrop, J. L., Anderson, T. H., Hively, W., Anderson, R. I., Hastings, C. N., & Muller, K. E. *A Framework for Analyzing Reading Test Characteristics*, December 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 117, 65p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 110: Tirre, W. C., Manelis, L., & Leicht, K. L. *The Effects of Imaginal and Verbal Strategies on Prose Comprehension in Adults*, December 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 116, 27p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 111: Spiro, R. J., & Tirre, W. C. *Individual Differences in Schema Utilization During Discourse Processing*, January 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 166 651, 29p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 112: Ortony, A. *Some Psycholinguistic Aspects of Metaphor*, January 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 115, 38p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 113: Antos, S. J. *Processing Facilitation in a Lexical Decision Task*, January 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 129, 84p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 114: Gentner, D. *Semantic Integration at the Level of Verb Meaning*, February 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 130, 39p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 115: Gearhart, M., & Hall, W. S. *Internal State Words: Cultural and Situational Variation in Vocabulary Usage*, February 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 131, 66p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 116: Pearson, P. D., Hansen, J., & Gordon, C. *The Effect of Background Knowledge on Young Children's Comprehension of Explicit and Implicit Information*, March 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 169 521, 26p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 117: Barnitz, J. G. *Reading Comprehension of Pronoun-Referent Structures by Children in Grades Two, Four, and Six*, March 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 731, 51p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 118: Nicholson, T., Pearson, P. D., & Dykstra, R. *Effects of Embedded Anomalies and Oral Reading Errors on Children's Understanding of Stories*, March 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 169 524, 43p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 119: Anderson, R. C., Pichert, J. W., & Shirey, L. L. *Effects of the Reader's Schema at Different Points in Time*, April 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 169 523, 36p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 120: Canney, G., & Winograd, P. *Schemata for Reading and Reading Comprehension Performance*, April 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 169 520, 99p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 121: Hall, W. S., & Guthrie, L. F. *On the Dialect Question and Reading*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 169 522, 32p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 122: McClure, E., Mason, J., & Barnitz, J. *Story Structure and Age Effects on Children's Ability to Sequence Stories*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 732, 75p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 123: Kleiman, G. M., Winograd, P. N., & Humphrey, M. M. *Prosody and Children's Parsing of Sentences*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 733, 20p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 124: Spiro, R. J. *Etiology of Reading Comprehension Style*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 734, 21p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 125: Hall, W. S., & Tirre, W. C. *The Communicative Environment of Young Children: Social Class, Ethnic, and Situational Differences*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 788, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 126: Mason, J., & McCormick, C. *Testing the Development of Reading and Linguistic Awareness*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 735, 50p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)

- No. 127: Brown, A. L., & Campione, J. C. *Permissible Inferences from the Outcome of Training Studies in Cognitive Development Research*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 736, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 128: Brown, A. L., & French, L. A. *The Zone of Potential Development: Implications for Intelligence Testing in the Year 2000*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 737, 46p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 129: Nezworski, T., Stein, N. L., & Trabasso, T. *Story Structure Versus Content Effects on Children's Recall and Evaluative Inferences*, June 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 172 187, 49p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 130: Bruce, B. *Analysis of Interacting Plans as a Guide to the Understanding of Story Structure*, June 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 951, 43p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 131: Pearson, P. D., Raphael, T., TePaske, N., & Hyser, C. *The Function of Metaphor in Children's Recall of Expository Passages*, July 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 950, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 132: Green, G. M. *Organization, Goals, and Comprehensibility in Narratives: Newswriting, a Case Study*, July 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 949, 66p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 133: Kleiman, G. M. *The Scope of Facilitation of Word Recognition from Single Word and Sentence Frame Contexts*, July 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 947, 61p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 134: McConkie, G. W., Hogaboam, T. W., Wolverton, G. S., Zola, D., & Lucas, P. A. *Toward the Use of Eye Movements in the Study of Language Processing*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 968, 48p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 135: Schwartz, R. M. *Levels of Processing: The Strategic Demands of Reading Comprehension*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 471, 45p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 136: Anderson, R. C., & Freebody, P. *Vocabulary Knowledge*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 480, 71p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 137: Royer, J. M., Hastings, C. N., & Hook, C. *A Sentence Verification Technique for Measuring Reading Comprehension*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 176 234, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 138: Spiro, R. J. *Prior Knowledge and Story Processing: Integration, Selection, and Variation*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 176 235, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 139: Asher, S. R., & Wigfield, A. *Influence of Comparison Training on Children's Referential Communication*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 493, 42p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 140: Alessi, S. M., Anderson, T. H., & Goetz, E. T. *An Investigation of Lookbacks During Studying*, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 494, 40p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 141: Cohen, P. R., & Perrault, C. R. *Elements of a Plan-Based Theory of Speech Acts*, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 497, 76p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 142: Grueneich, R., & Trabasso, T. *The Story as Social Environment: Children's Comprehension and Evaluation of Intentions and Consequences*, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 496, 56p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 143: Hermon, G. *On the Discourse Structure of Direct Quotation*, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 495, 46p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 144: Goetz, E. T., Anderson, R. C., & Schallert, D. L. *The Representation of Sentences in Memory*, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 527, 71p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 145: Baker, L. *Comprehension Monitoring: Identifying and Coping with Text Confusions*, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 525, 62p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 146: Hall, W. S., & Nagy, W. E. *Theoretical Issues in the Investigation of Words of Internal Report*, October 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 526, 108p., PC-\$7.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 147: Stein, N. L., & Goldman, S. *Children's Knowledge about Social Situations: From Causes to Consequences*, October 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 524, 54p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 148: Hall, W. S., & Guthrie, L. F. *Cultural and Situational Variation in Language Function and Use: Methods and Procedures for Research*, October 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 179 944, 49p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 149: Pichert, J. W. *Sensitivity to What is Important in Prose*, November 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 179 946, 64p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)

- No. 150: Dunn, B. R., Mathews, S. R., II, & Bieger, G. *Individual Differences in the Recall of Lower-Level Textual Information*, December 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 448, 37p., PC-\$3.32, MF\$.83)
- No. 151: Gentner, D. *Verb Semantic Structures in Memory for Sentences: Evidence for Componential Representation*, December 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 424, 75p., PC-\$4.82, MF\$.83)
- No. 152: Tierney, R. J., & Mosenthal, J. *Discourse Comprehension and Production: Analyzing Text Structure and Cohesion*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 179 945, 84p., PC-\$6.32, MF\$.83)
- No. 153: Winograd, P., & Johnston, P. *Comprehension Monitoring and the Error Detection Paradigm*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 425, 57p., PC-\$4.82, MF\$.83)
- No. 154: Ortony, A. *Understanding Metaphors*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 426, 52p., PC-\$4.82, MF\$.83)
- No. 155: Anderson, T. H., & Armbruster, B. B. *Studying*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 427, 48p., PC-\$3.32, MF\$.83)
- No. 156: Brown, A. L., & Campione, J. C. *Inducing Flexible Thinking: The Problem of Access*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 428, 44p., PC-\$3.32, MF\$.83)
- No. 157: Trabasso, T. *On the Making of Inferences During Reading and Their Assessment*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 429, 38p., PC-\$3.32, MF\$.83)
- No. 158: McClure, E., & Steffensen, M. S. *A Study of the Use of Conjunctions across Grades and Ethnic Groups*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 182 688, 43p., PC-\$3.32, MF\$.83)
- No. 159: Iran-Nejad, A. *The Schema: A Structural or a Functional Pattern*, February 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 449, 46p., PC-\$3.32, MF\$.83)
- No. 160: Armbruster, B. B., & Anderson, T. H. *The Effect of Mapping on the Free Recall of Expository Text*, February 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 182 735, 49p., PC-\$3.32, MF\$.83)
- No. 161: Hall, W. S., & Dore, J. *Lexical Sharing in Mother-Child Interaction*, March 1980.
- No. 162: Davison, A., Kantor, R. N., Hannah, J., Hermon, G., Lutz, R., Salzillo, R. *Limitations of Readability Formulas in Guiding Adaptations of Texts*, March 1980.
- No. 163: Linn, R. L., Levine, M. V., Hastings, C. N., & Wardrop, J. L. *An Investigation of Item Bias in a Test of Reading Comprehension*, March 1980.
- No. 164: Seidenberg, M. S., Tanenhaus, M. K., & Leiman, J. M. *The Time Course of Lexical Ambiguity Resolution in Context*, March 1980.
- No. 165: Brown, A. L. *Learning and Development: The Problems of Compatibility, Access, and Induction*, March 1980.
- No. 166: Hansen, J., & Pearson, P. D. *The Effects of Inference Training and Practice on Young Children's Comprehension*, April 1980.
- No. 167: Straker, D. Y. *Situational Variables in Language Use*, April 1980.
- No. 168: Green, G. M., Kantor, R. N., Morgan, J. L., Stein, N. L., Hermon, G., Salzillo, R., Sellner, M. B., Bruce, B. C., Gentner, D., & Webber, B. L. *Problems and Techniques of Text Analysis*, April 1980.
- No. 169: Green, G. M., Kantor, R. N., Morgan, J. L., Stein, N. L., Hermon, G., Salzillo, R., & Sellner, M. B. *Analysis of Baber Loses His Crown*, April 1980.
- No. 170: Green, G. M., Kantor, R. N., Morgan, J. L., Stein, N. L., Hermon, G., Salzillo, R., & Sellner, M. B. *Analysis of "The Wonderful Desert,"* April 1980.
- No. 171: Zehler, A. M., & Brewer, W. F. *Acquisition of the Article System in English*, May 1980.
- No. 172: Reynolds, R. E., & Ortony, A. *Some Issues in the Measurement of Children's Comprehension of Metaphorical Language*, May 1980.
- No. 173: Davison, A. *Linguistics and the Measurement of Syntactic Complexity: The Case of Raising*, May 1980.
- No. 174: Tirre, W. C., Freebody, P., & Kaufman, K. *Achievement Outcomes of Two Reading Programs: An Instance of Aptitude-Treatment Interaction*, June 1980.