R 2				U VU & 😤 🚽				
	BD.194 671					SR 03	1 740	instêr Estimation Alian Alian
					ann ann an Anna ann an Anna Anna an Anna Martin ann Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna			
		Tager, Po	tert E. And	Ćthers				
a a la la	TITUS	- The Iova-	OPSTEP Progra	az. Pin	1 Bepo)It. (nee o jace Nate dataan	
		Iowa Univ		Scien	ce Edua	cation (Center.	
	CTO DEMO	, National	Science Found	lation,	Washir	igton, 1	D- C- 👘	
	PUC DATE	ADE RO						, 946.
ىرىيەتلەتلەتلەت بورىغانلاتلەتلەت بورى يولىدى	YOTR .		U-U3374-AJ4		· · ·			
31 (1998)			andra Milan ana an' na sao amin' na sao			1	,	1.10
	EDRS PRICE	8P01/PC10	Pine Postan	ini Bi				8 .
ling allan a sina a baay Alang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	DESCHIPTORS	*Appual R	eports: Elere	antarv. 1	School	Science	an Carta Program	
		Elementar	v Secondary 1	Educatio	n: Ped	eral Pr	- ograns	2.
		Higher Ed	ucation: *Pre	eservice	Teach	er Educ	ation	99 t Ell'INDE
		*Sci ce	Education: Se	condary	Schoo	lScier	ice : #S	state
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	د با	Programs					¥.	
	IRSTRICT		na Agrant me oper peda pre persona e persona e a restricting na da Ramana e na an		- -			
میں 1995ء میں		This fina	Tenert incl	ndoe 4	Formed		• • • • • • •	
2	IOW2-UPSTEP Pr	COTAB. a DT	eservice teac	hot of	Cation	TOTOTOT	¢ernin ¥∵fi≂o	ig∘ti
	funded in 1970	Contents	of this repor	t inclu	ide a d	iscussi	on of	эс +ha
· · ·	initial progra	s outline:	descriptions	of gene	raled	ucation	and	646.
	science progra	n requirement	nts that aros	e out c	fthe	Program	: a hi	stor
	of the salor r	hases of the	e Program; an	d other	infor	mation	concer	nino
	staff, student	s, budget, a	and projected	activi	ties f	or the	Progra	2
-	after 1980. 1h	e Iova-UFST	PP modules ar	e_desci	ibed,	includi	ng_a	
-		*****			- an intera		11 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
	rationale for	cueir devel	opment, the c	utline	tollow	ea ior·	eacn	
	module, and a	listing of a	opment, the c modules with	utline synopse	follow S how	ed for availab	each le or	soon
	nationale for module, and a to be available	listing of a e in element	opment, the c modules with tary and seco	utline synopse ndary e	follow s now ducati	ed for availab on, edu	eacn le or cation	soon al
Ex- 	nationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per	listing of n e in element fsonalized	ppment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and	synopse ndary e learnin	follow s now ducati g, cur	ed for availab on, edu riculum	eacn le or cation resou	soon al rces
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient	listing of p e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in bist	ppment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and furriculum wo	synopse ndary e learnin tkshop	follow s now ducati g, cur and de	ed for availab on; edu riculum sign, i	each le or cation resou rtern	soon al rces
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe	listing of n e in element rsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin	ppment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and curriculum wo prical and ph nal section d	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p	ed for availab on; edu riculum sign; i erspect	each le or cation resou rtern ive, a	soon al rces nd
	nationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public	listing of n e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	opment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and curriculum wo prical and ph nal section d also listed	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph cals wi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on, edu riculum sign, i erspect evalua	each le or cation resou ntèrn ive, a tion o	soon al rces nd f th
	nationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public Program. (CS)	listing of n e in element rsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	ppment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and surriculum wo prical and ph mal section d also listed	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph cals wi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on, edu riculum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	eacn le or cation resou ntern ive, a tion o va-UPS	soon al rces nd f th TEP
	nationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public Program. (CS)	listing of n e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	opment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and curriculum wo prical and ph nal section d also listed	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph cals wi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on, edu ficulum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	each le or cation resou rtérn ive, a tion o wa-0PS	soon al rces nd f th TEP
	nationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public Program. (CS)	listing of n e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	opment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and curriculum wo prical and ph nal section d also listed	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph cals vi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on, edu riculum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	eacn le or <u>cation</u> resou rtërn ive, a tion o va-UPS	soon al rces nd f th TEP
	nationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educa Program. Public Program. (CS)	listing of n e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	opment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and curriculum wo prical and ph mal section d also listed	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph cals wi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on, edu riculum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	each le or cation resou ntèrn ive, a tion o va-UPS	soon al rces nd f th TBP
	notionale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public Program. (CS)	listing of m e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	opment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and furriculum wo prical and ph hal section d also listed	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph cals wi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on, edu riculum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	eacn le or cation resou ntern ive, a tion o wa-UPS	soon al rces nd f th TEP
	nationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public Program. (CS)	listing of n e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	opment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and curriculum wo prical and ph nal section d also listed	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph cals wi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on, edu riculum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	each le or cation resou ttern ive, a tion o wa-UPS	soon al rces nd f th TEP
	nationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educa Program. Public Program. (CS)	listing of n e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	opment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and furriculum wo prical and ph mal section d also listed	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph eals wi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on, edu riculum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	eacn le or cation resou ntern ive, a tion o va UPS	soon al rces nd f th TBP
	notionale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public Program. (CS)	listing of m e in element rsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	opment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and furriculum wo prical and ph hal section d also listed	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph cals wi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on; edu riculum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	eacn le or cation resou ntern ive, a tion o wa-UPS	soon al rces nd f th TEP
	nationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public Program. (CS)	listing of m e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	ppment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and furriculum wo prical and ph nal section d also listed	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph eals wi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on; edu ficulum sign; i erspect evalua the fo	each le or cation resou ttern ive, a tion o wa-UPS	soor al nd f th TEP
	rationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educa Program. Public Program. (CS)	listing of n e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	ppment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and furriculum wo prical and ph mal section d also listed	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph eals vi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on, edu ficulum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	eacn le or cation resou rtërn ive, a tion o va UPS	scon al rces nd f th TBP
	nationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educa Program. Public Program. (CS)	listing of n e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	ppment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and surriculum wo prical and ph hal section d also listed	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph cals wi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on; edu riculum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	each le or cation resou rtern ive, a tion o wa-UPS	soor al rces nd f th TEP
	nationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public Program. (CS)	isting of i e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	ppment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and furriculum wo prical and ph nal section d also listed	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph cals wi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on; edu ficulum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	each le or cation resou ttern ive, a tion o wa-UPS	soon al nd f th TEP
	nationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public Program. (CS)	isting of i e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	ppment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and iurriculum wo prical and ph nal section d also listed	vtline synopse ndary e learniv rkshop ilosoph eals wi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on, edu ficulum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	each le or cation resou ttern ive, a tion o wa-UPS	soon al nd f th TEP
	rationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educa Program. Public Program. (CS)	listing of n e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	ppment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and furriculum wo prical and ph mal section d also listed	utline synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph eals vi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on, edu riculum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	each le or cation resou rtérn ive, a tion o va UPS	scon al nd f th TEP
	rationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educa Program. Public Program. (CS)	listing of n e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	opment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and surriculum wo prical and ph hal section d also listed	utline synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph cals wi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on; edu riculum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	each le or <u>cation</u> resou ttern ive, a tion o va UPS	soon al nd f th TEP
	rationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public Program. (CS)	isting of i e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	ppment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and furriculum wo prical and ph hal section d also listed	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph cals wi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on; edu riculum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	each le or cation resou ntèrn ive, a tion o wa-UPS	soon al nd f th TEP
	rationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public Program. (CS)	isting of i e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	ppment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and furriculum wo prical and ph nal section d also listed	vtline synopse ndary e learniv rkshop ilosoph cals vi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on; edu ficulum sign; i erspect evalua the fo	each le or cation resou ttern ive, a tion o wa-UPS	soon al nd f th TEP
	<pre>rationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public Program. (CS)</pre>	their develo listing of i e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin Cations are	ppment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and iurriculum wo prical and ph nal section d also listed	vutline synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph eals wi that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on; edu ficulum sign; i erspect evalua the fo	each le or cation resou ttern ive, a tion o wa-UPS	soon al nd f th TEP
	<pre>rationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educa Program. Public Program. (CS)</pre>	isting of i e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	prment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and surriculum wo prical and ph hal section d also listed	vutline synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph cals wi that in that in	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on, edu riculum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	each le or cation resou rtérn ive, a tion o wa-UPS	scon al rces nd f th TEP
	<pre>rationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public Program. (CS) ************************************</pre>	isting of i e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are	ppment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and surriculum wo prical and ph hal section d also listed also listed	vutline synopse indary e learnin rkshop ilosoph cals wi that in that in ******* e the bo	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on; edu riculum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	each le or cation resou ntern ive, a tion o wa-UPS	soon al nd f th TEP
	<pre>rationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public Program. (CS) ************************************</pre>	their develo listing of i e in element fsonalized trategies, conce in histon ation. A fin cations are **********************************	prment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and furriculum wo prical and ph nal section d also listed also listed the origina *********	synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph eals wi that in ******* e the bo l docume	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on; edu ficulum sign; i erspect evalua the fo	each le or cation resou ttern ive, a tion o wa-UPS	soon al nd f th TEP
	<pre>rationale for module, and a to be available psychology, per and teaching s teaching, scient inservice educe Program. Public Program. (CS) ************************************</pre>	isting of i e in element fsonalized trategies, c nce in histo ation. A fin cations are cations are	ppment, the c modules with tary and seco teaching and iurriculum wo prical and ph nal section d also listed also listed the origina	vutline synopse ndary e learnin rkshop ilosoph eals wi that in ************************************	follow s now ducati g, cur and de ical p th the volved	ed for availab on; edu ficulum sign, i erspect evalua the fo	each le or cation resou ttern ive, a tion o wa-UPS	soon al nd f th TEP *****

US. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. EDUCATION & WELFARE INSTITUTE OF	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE 1945 MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO- DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-	Mobert E. Yager
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE- SENT OF PICIAL MATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES, INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."
Altonia Juni Milliona Juni Milliona Juni III - Maria I Milliona III - Maria III - Ma Anata III - Maria II Anata III - Maria II Anata III - Maria III -	
The Ioua-UPS	TEP Program
Pinal	Report
NSF Grant, # SE	R 70-03314 A04
August	1980
Ro Vi Jo	bert B. Yager, Principal Investigator ncent N. Lunetta, Project Director hn E. Penick, Associate Project Director
2	Science Education Center The University of Iowa I Come City, Iowa
RIC -	

-

t fi stati

`* .

ERIC .

ć

-

- 22

. . .

OSLIBCE
Iow-UPSTEP was a pre-service teacher education project first funded
In 1970. Haring 1970-75 a model emerged which included several bask components
including:
1) preparation in the sciences that includes depth as well as breadth
(we define depth as preparation comparable to a Bachelor's degree in
science discipline and breath as including about half again as many
experiences in other and/or related fields of science);
2) competencies in the psychological, sociological, and historical
Toursetter in a contraction is the second
classroom teaching/learning modes:
4) personal flexibility that permits coping with change:
5) variety of experience with people of all ages that parallel experiences
leading to greater scientific proficiency;
6) experience with the creative aspects of science and some specific
analysis of the meaning of such experience;
7) an understanding of the philosophy, sociology and history of science and
experience with the interaction of science and society
In 1975 the project was extended with an evaluation and module development
phase. This phase of the project operated from 1975-80. Several evaluation
reports resulted as well as the production of several handbooks and instructional
modules for use in inservice as well as preservice settings. The materials have
been field tested at a variety of other institutions involved with teacher
education.

3

٩

τ<u>ι</u>ί.

.

61224		
مر می اور می مراجع می اور م		
	The Lown-UPSTEP Project: Final Report to NSF	
	Organization	
Ž T.	The Iova-IPSTRP Protect 1970-80	and the second
المراجع من المراجع المراجع من المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع		(a) A set of the
	B. General Education and Science Program Requirements	12
	C. The Major Phases of Iowa-UPSTEP Program	28
	D. The Low-IPSTEP Staff, 1970 80	
	E. Ioua-UPSTEP Students	41-3
	F. The Iowa-UPSTEP Budget	42
	G. Iowa-UPSTEP Beyond 1980	57. H 1977
II.	The lows-UPSTEP Hodules	
	A. Rationsld for Worlds	For the second
	5. UFSIEP ADQULE VIEIINE	59
Adda at fa annaithe Station at at ann an an ann an ann an an an an an an	C. UPSTEP Course Overview Booklet Outline	61
	D. List of Iowa-UPSTEP Modules	62
•	A Synopsis of UPSTEP Modules	66
	7. Module Overview Sheets	71
	G. Teacher Education Centers Using Iowa-UPSTEP Modules	101
- III. - 1	ova-IPSTEP Program Evaluation	
	. The Evaluation Efforts in General	-104
	Self Accorement of Touching Robariora	·
\sim		· 14 3
-	Appendices:	
	 Findings and Recommendations of Two Visiting Professo Evaluations of First Year Teachers by Their Employers 	78 •
1.2.=2	-3. Biographical Form for Cooperating Teachers 4. UPSTEP - Student Assessment, Parts I and II	22
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.5'. Science Teacher Education Program (STEP) Inventory •	
IV. I	ublications Involving Iowa-UPSTEP	151
(Onclusions, Implications, the Buture	-153

A. Program Outlight

The lowa-up of was developed over a five-year period, 1970-75. In many respects the pred during 1975-the last year for developmental support from the Nami, and lence Foundation. The original proposal written in 1969 called for such apport totaling \$380,399 for a five-year period. In 1975 an evaluation and fodular development effort was funded for a total of \$170,679 more support. This evaluation and curriculum development effort was funded for a period of three years; large strawas extended to five years. Iowa-UPSTEP has been funded by NSF for a ten-year period, h970-80, for a total of \$551,678.

Perhaps a review of the model that has evolved is an important place for this report to begin. Figure, 1 provides a graphic portrayal of the program changes that have occurred while Figure 2 proyides a pictorial overview of the professional sequence built within the series of models. The figures show that as the program evolved, the professional education sequence became more thoroughly integrated with the student's total program than it was in the conventional program (prior to 1970).

In the conventional program, which is further elaborated in Figure 3, professional courses in teacher education follow the major portion of the student's college program. The conventional program provided for little, if any, formal interaction between the professional education sequence of courses and the courses in the student's major science area. In fact, students were prevented by the program structure from pursuing these interests concurrently. They could not formally pursue science-related activities with children until late in their college careers, and the full semester of student teaching during their senior year precluded concurrent work in their scientific areas of interest at that

Π'n





FIGURE 2 *

n ninger State













In the current UPSTEP program portrayed in Figures 4A, 4B, and 4C, seminars and coordinated clinical experiences introduce science-oriented UPSTEP students to issues and itrategies in science education early in their college years. These students also enroll in courses in history and philosophy of science which have been designed especially for persons with an interest in science education. Students may now enter the UPSTEP program at the beginning of any semester. Although an optimum four-year sequence has been designed, we want to allow science-talented students to use the program as a vehicle for exploring teaching as a career. We also know that many potent ally talented science teachers do not develop that interest until after their initial college years. Hence, we believe that the UPSTEP program should have entry points for new students each semester. When students do elect to enter the program, they select a clinical experience in cooperation with the staff and enroll in the seminar series. rThe upperclass program is now heavily centered around clinical experiences and coordinating seminars. These clinical experiences include activities related to teaching in a variety of school and community settings. **UPSTEP** students select assignments in college laboratories, in a hospital school, and in elementary, junior high, and high school classrooms. They assist students. reachers, coordinators, and principals in performing specific tasks (Figure 4B). The circle at the center of the model in Figure 4C represents the effort to integrate thoroughly all aspects of the activities in professional education. Concurrent with increased emphasis on clinical experiences throughout the college years, there is a reduction in the student teaching requirements which consumed one full semester in the conventional program. Students in the current program enroll for the same number of credit hours in professional education courses as do students in the conventional program. The new program,

Junior Year. Junior students take two methods-seminar courses in successive semesters. One of these courses includes an array of clinical experiences in the schools including: (1) development and evaluation of a self- instructional module, (2) levels of intellectual development, (3) slow learner/fast learner case studies, (4) individualizing instruction, (5) human relations skill development, (5) inquiry/discovery teaching and learning. Students in this course are involved and progress through a series of experiences in the Self-Instructional Laboratory. (This lab provides models, resources, and assistance for the design and production of self-instructional modules.) The other junior course provides an intensive review of curriculum resources utilizing the new Interactive Curriculatorium. (This laboratory is a center where UPSTEP students and teachers can interact with materials and explore the strategies of new science curricula.) In this course students are involved in simulated teaching experiences, and they perform numerous lab activities their own students will use in the public schools.

Summer Program. The Summer Conference is one of the most valuable features of lowa-UPSTEP. It is designed to break down pre-service/in-service barriers in teacher education. The program provides two major options for junior students: (1) the students can work as teacher-interns and counselors in the various activities of the SSTP program with high school students (teaching on campus or on extended field trips to natural areas such as Yellowstone National Park); or (2) the students can serve as staff in the UPSTEP Summer Curriculum Workshop for teachers. In that capacity, the undergraduate students help the teacher participants review the resources relating to their own curriculum development objectives and to prepare materials and





plans to meet those objectives. Some of these students Entinue to work on these projects in the schools in a student teaching capacity during the ensuing fall semester.

11

Senior Year. Teacher-interns participate in an advanced clinical experience that is similar in some respects to student teaching, though normally the experience does not consume a full time semesfer. Teacher interns assume responsibility for planning and teaching secondary science classes under the supervision of a cooperating teacher. In addition they fulfill a number of "skill" requirements as part of the UPSTEP program. The advanced experience may continue at a reduced pace throughout two semesters, and it may be paired with selected teaching experiences in classes for UPSTEP underclassmen.

The use of clinical experiences in teacher education provides a convenient means to combine the skills and perspective of the university environment with the realism of the public school classroom. The current UPSTEP model has the potential for producing exemplary teachers who have depth in science, breadth in educational competencies, and a variety of experiences with students and teachers in the classroom.

B. General.Education and Science Program Requirements

The science teacher education program consists of three major parts. These are: the science major, the general education requirements, and the professional sequence. Each of these is a part of Iowa-UPSTEP. However, the greatest flexibility (and hence change) has occurred with the professional sequence. At times "Iowa-UPSTEP" becomes synonymous with the professional sequence and how this sequence can (and has) influenced the program in the other two areas.

Iowa-UPSTEP when broadly conceived and defined means the total program. Iowa-UPSTEP has conscientiously moved toward minimizing the somewhat artificial interface separating the three phases of the program. However, there may be merit in specifically describing the general education sequence and the various emphases comprising the science teaching major before looking more carefully at the various facets of the professional sequence which is often termed "Iowa-UPSTEP". (A graphic representation of the various components of the total Iowa-UPSTEP program is displayed in Figures 1 and 4C in the

16

preceding section.)

The General Education Sequence

The student with a science teaching major must complete the same general education sequence as required of all students in the College of-Liberal Arts at the University of Iowa. Since some choice of such courses is a possibility, counseling concerning the most desirable choices is available. In general, all students must complete forty semester hours of credit in the area of general education. One hundred twenty-four semester hours are required for graduation.

Since all science teaching majors complete over fifty semester hours of credit in the sciences in addition to the professional sequence, there is no general education requirement in the sciences. Credit in mathematics, social science, historical/cultural area, foreign language, literature, physical education, and rhetoric are required. Each of these facets of the general education sequence will be discussed.

The specific requirement in mathematics varies depending upon mathematics completed in high school, entrance scores on the American College-Tests, and major field. If a student has completed two and one-half years of high school mathematics and/or scores a minimum of twenty-three on the mathematics section of the American College Tests, there is no further mathematics requirement per se. However, all general science majors are urged to complete mathematics to include pre-calculus (elementary functions). In addition, all chemistry and physics teaching majors must complete one full year of calculus. All biology teaching majors must complete a special precalculus course for students in the biological sciences. All of these requirements usually mean that science teaching majors have more than fulfilled the general education requirements in mathematics automatically.

17

An eight hour block in social science must be elected from a long list of possibilities by all students unless they are excused by special examination. Students planning to teach science are urged to complete this eight hour requirement by completing eight semester hours from introductory courses in political science, sociology, geography, and psychology. All persons applying for a teaching certificate in Iowa must have a course in American Government. The course in political science meets this requirement as well as providing credit toward the social science general education requirement. Students majoring in earth science teaching or teaching of environmental studies must take a course in geography. Again, completion of a geography course satisfies a general education as well as a major requirement for these students. Courses in sociology and psychology are considered of value for teaching science in modern secondary schools.

14

26

As in the case of social science, the electives which will meet the eight hour requirement in the historical/cultural area are numerous: Science teaching majors are urged to complete courses in the following areas to meet this general education requirement in western civilization, problems in human history, and/or philosophies of man. Unlike the social science area, none of these courses is useful in fulfilling dual requirements. Nonetheless, it is believed that the right electives are important in developing the background and the experiences needed for a superior science teacher.

Unless two years of a single foreign language for the Bachelor of Science Degree (or four years of a given foreign language for the Bachelor of Arts Degree) were completed in high school, additional work in the same language or credit in another language is needed. For the student with no

previous work in foreign language in high school, one year or eight semester hours of credit must be completed for meeting the Bachelor of Science requirements or two years (12-16 hours) must be completed for persons desiring the Bachelor of Arts degree. At is recommended that all science teaching majors complete language study in the area of French, German, or Russian.

All students must complete one year (eight semester hours of credit) in literature unless they successfully pass the requirement by examination. It is recommended that students majoring in science teaching complete this requirement by completing two courses (all providing 4 s.h. of credit) from the following list: The Interpretation of Literature; Narrative Literature; . American Lives; and The Classical View.

All students must register for physical education for one year. They may complete credit, by examination or on a pass/fail basis. It is recommended that this requirement be met by conditioning as well as experience, with recreational sports such as golfing, tennis, canoing, bowling, fencing, swimming, hand ball, volley ball, and others.

Unless the scores on the American College Testing Program are shusually high, students must complete four to eight semester hours of credit in rhetoric (writing and speaking skills emphasized). There is no choice concerning alternative courses. The rhetoric requirement is considered basic and must be started at the first registration at the University and continued until it is satisfied.

Most students in science teaching would complete the general education requirements of the University in the following manner: Rhetoric - 8 s.k.; Literature - 8 s.h.; Foreign Language (French) - 8 s.h.; Social Science (Political Science and Georgraphy) - 8 s.h.; Historical/Cultural (Problems of Human History) - 8 s.h.; Physical Education - 2 s.h.



The Science Teaching Major

Ceneral de la major program area in the College of Liberal Arts • at the University of Iowa. It represents one of the largest programs in terms of students enrolled. Basically the major requires forty-four semester hours of credit in at least three departments including biochemistry, botany, chemistry, geology, mathematics, microbiology, physics, and zoology. Twenty f hours of the total must be in one department with additional hours in two other departments.

The general science major was initially established for prospective science: teachers. However, it now is the most popular program for pre-medical students pre-dentistry studients, and students desiring degrees in Liberal Arts in such allied health fields as physical therapy and medical technology. In most of

in these departments.

The science teaching majors all follow the basic plan for the degree in general science. However, as teaching competencies have become more precise in the secondary schools of the sixties and seventies, specific program emphases have been developed to meet these needs. Today there are emphases in biology, chemistry, earth science, environmental studies, and physics. Each of these five programs represent a fifty-six semester hour science teaching major. These five emphases are described as follows:

1) Biology Emphasis. This emphasis consists of introductory courses in botany and zoology with advanced courses in genetics, ecology, physiology, and evolution. Electives in biology are required to provide a minimum total

of twenty-seven hours. In addition, twenty-six semester hours are required in chemistry through organic, historical geology, introductory physics, history and philosophy of science.

18

2) Chemistry Emphasis. The teaching emphasis in chemistry requires courses through physical chemistry, a course which has a course in calculus as a prerequisite. Credit in physics is required. In addition, a course in astronomy is needed for certification in general science, History and philo-, sophy of science courses are required as they are in the other emphases.
3) Earth Science Emphasis. Credit in geology, geography, and astronomy are required. Basic courses in biology, chemistry, and physics are also a part of the program. Balance between historical and structural geology must be attained with supporting courses in physical geography, meteorology, and astronomy. History and philosophy of science courses are also required as part of the total of fifty-three hours.

4) Environmental Studies Emphasis. This is the only emphasis that meets only the minimal requirements in general science. This is because environmental studies is viewed as an interdisciplinary teaching field where special courses in the social studies, the humanities, engineering, environmental health are important. Most of these fields are not usually a part of the general science major. Because of the breadth of the area it is easiest to see and to illustrate the connections among professional, general education, and courses comprising the science major within this emphasis. History and 'philosophy of science is again incorporated into the plan.

5) Physics Emphasis. The physics emphasis consists of a concentration in physics with all basic courses required. All courses, including the most basic ones, require a year of calculus and in most cases two courses beyond. In all cases, students with this major complete all requirements (except for the methods courses in mathematics) for the minor in mathematics teaching. Courses in chemistry are required to meet minimal certification requirements in this field as well. Again history and philosophy of science are required courses.

All five of the science teaching emphases are rigorous programs where students compete and interact with majors in the respective departments. In most cases the general science teaching major is very similar to a major in the department while providing some considerable breadth and preparation in other related areas. At least half of the courses in each of the respective concentrations are at the hundred level which means that graduate students may also be enrolled for credit for graduate degrees.

Although a research project is required only in the environmental studies program in general science, such experience is a required part of the Iowa UPSTEP model. Hence, all science teaching majors are now involved in at least one science department as an undergraduate research student. Many of the teaching majors also gain teaching experience as assistants in elementary laboratories as well. In some cases this experience is counted as academic credit in the particular science department.

Although many courses are required and others have specific prerequisites, there is flexibility in planning programs to meet the specific general. science requirements. Every effort is made to prepare students for specific kinds of teaching assignments in the secondary school (grades seven through twelve). All such programs do meet the basic general science requirements of the College of Liberal. Arts while meeting the fifty-six semester hour level for the science teaching major.

ຸ 19 🦿

The History-Philosophy Sequence,

The history-philosophy component of the Iowa-UPSTEP program stems from a commitment to a broadened conception of the meaning of "science teaching". "Teaching science" is often concerved to be the relaying of an accurate and up-to-date version of the knowledge contained in the natural sciences. • This conception of science teaching is compatible with a conception of science as it was during the Newtonian era. So viewed, science is the product of logical reasoning applied to dispassionate observation of natural phenomena. As such, it is a certain, absolute, and immutable description of Nature. "Change" is a matter of accumulation, resulting in a more complete description. The activity of the scientist is isolated both in the sense of being unique in character and in the sense of being an "intellectual island" insulated from the contemporary culture. If this characterization of science were valid, science teaching could reasonably be conceived as a simple matter of inducing students to absorb the current fund of descriptive information. In fact, to the considerable extent that one!s=view=of=science=teaching=is=conditioned=by=his=image=of=science;=it== would be difficult to envision the teaching of science in any other way.

Within such a narrow conception of science and science teaching the task of teacher-preparation is a relatively simple matter. The teacher education program would need only to provide for mastery of the specific items of information to be taught and of the skills involved in purveying those items. This conception of science, however, is an archaic one. The corollary conception of science teaching is still rather widely held for a variety

of reasons, including lack of familiarity with the nature of modern science, the pragmatic value of such a conception in the case of preparing future scientists, and the burden of unexamined tradition. Teachers prepared by programs based on this conception are trained in "textbook science" and are capable of providing their pre-college students with a similar expertise. Despite wideapread claims concerning the need for this sort of science education in a science oriented culture, honesty forces an admission that the need is a modest one. The life of the average person is such that their ability to function is not seriously affected by a lack of textbook knowledge of science.

From its inception, the Iowa-UPSTEP program has involved what is believed to be a more adequate conception of science teaching. The view of the science teacher as primarily a purveyor of the current paradigms of science has been rejected in favor of a concept both better fitted to the needs of society and in closer agreement with the character of contemporary science. Generally speaking, the science teacher is conceived as not only being <u>trained in</u> <u>science</u>, but <u>educated about science</u> as well. Perpared in this way, the Iowa-UPSTEP graduate is inclined toward a sort of enriched teaching of science for which the more narrowly prepared teacher is totally unprepared.

It would be convenient if the prospective teacher obtained the desired education about science from his courses in science. While those courses do communicate an image of science, it is a grossly distorted image. The major ingredient of the collegiate science course, the textbook, is designed

21

to induce commitment efficiently to current paradigms in the discipline, and not to serve as the source of an accurate conception of science. Unfortunately, the two tasks seem to be inimical to each other and consequently the incidentally created image of science is something to be overcome later. While the future scientist may modify his image of science when he enters research, the future science teacher will very likely never do so unless some special provision is made in his collegiate program.

. The Iowa-UPSTEP program makes this provision in the form of two specially designed science education courses. The experiences provided in these courses are intended to revise and significantly broaden and deepen the student's understanding of science untilait is reasonable to claim that he is educated about science. Given that common goal, these two courses are structured as complements of each other. In one case the focus is on a cultural-philosophical perspective of science. The readings discussed deal with topics such as the scientist's responsibility to society, the debate concerning explanation in science, the relative and pragmatic qualities of "truth" in science, the limitations imposed by the uncertainty principle, the reality status of quacks and other such entities, the role of creativity in science, laws and theories as different kinds of knowledge, and so on. In the second case, the focus is on & sociological-historical perspective of science. Discussions revolve around such topics as textbook vs. historical concepts of development in science, the sociological basis for claims of objectivity, revolutionary vs. evolutionary and other views of change in science, the scientific establishment's reaction of heterodoxical theories, governmental influence on the direction

of research, etc. The research on the effectiveness of these courses which has been completed to date is limited in scope. The results have been positive, however. A three-year longitudinal study is currently in its last semester. ÷, ÷, ÷., 15. 1 . di. 27 -

R.

The Application of Science Sequences

Courses focusing upon the educational and societal applications of biology, chemistry, earth science, environmental science, and physics have been initiated as capstone courses for the teacher education graduate. At least two of these applied courses are required, one of which can be completed with the final internbhip (student teaching) experience in the schools.

The sim of the applied courses is to utilize the knowledge from the core science courses in considering major societal issues that involve science and technology. Every student must become involved with a problem; students must collect data that can provide new information for discussion and problem reso-

lution.

For many students, these courses provide the first experience with <u>using</u> information for something more than mastery for its own sake. Students see a different purpose for studying science; they experience a different kind of teaching and learning.

The courses represent one place in the total program where the experience with college science exemplifies an approach to teaching and learning that can be modeled in the secondary school. The courses emphasize student initiative in identifying problems, collecting direct evidence, developing arguments for

28

¥

given positions, suggesting actions.

			The Small	тария Ресотам	almont size of the second s	1	
ini ya na sana 1910 - Angelan Angelan 1915 - Angelan Angelan		มรัฐสูงสุรัตรรรมการสารกรรม วิธีการสุ วิธีกรรม		<u>I LOYIA</u>	and and a second s	کڑی ڈیڈ کریں میڈ پر ڈیڈ می	n an a' an an Airte
	Iowa-UPSTEP	students hav	e the oppor	tunity to par	ticipate in (one of	
	special exper	lences in th	ê sunner :	un in the second s	्रिये	an an airtean tarta an	
200 - Juanero J 	(1) work as	tescher int	erns and co	unselors in v	arious activ	Itian of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•1•	Canaalaana C.J.						
LDE	Secondary Sci		g Program (SSTP) with hi	gh school stu	idents;	-
	(2) service	as staff me	mbers in th	e UPSTEP Summ	er Curriculum	Workshop	
for	teachers.			Tari Mullarez () - He Lariar el Stri - He Helio (1975)	File Leien Line - Creating - Charach		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				- + -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5	-
			*		-		
(1)	sour: the fli	rst of these	options in	volves work a	s a teacher i	intern and	• /
Ter	sident counseld	or with second	ndary stude	nte participa	ting in SSTP	at the	1
Uni	lversity of low	va. The Scie	ence Educat	ion Center ha	s developed a	u broad	•
861	ies of SSTP ac	tivities ove	er the past	twenty year	s that provid	e en-	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	-ingenic III Che B	sciences ior	nign schoo	l students.	IOWA-UPSTEP 8	tudents	• •••• ••
COU	-course-based prog	rams on camp	renterns and the oge from spe	nd resident co environmenta ecial topics	bunselors in L'programs in Ln molecular	both the field	•
cou The to	orse-based prog -course-based computer scien	grams on camp programs ran ace. The env	reinterns and the oge from sponse of the vironmental	nd resident c environmenta cial topics programs prov	ounselors in l programs in In molecular vide extended	both the the field biology field	•
cou The to tri	pre-based prog course-based computer scien ps to natural	an an camp grams on camp programs ran ace. The env areas such a	reinterns and pus and the nge from spo vironmental as Yellowsto	nd resident c environmenta ecial topics programs prov me National 1	ounselore in l programs in In molecular vide extended Park, the Col	both the the field biology field	•
cou The to tri Roc	computer scien ps to natural kies, and the	an reas such a "Canadian Wi	reinterns and the oge from sponder of the sponder o	nd resident c environmenta ecial topics programs pro- one National 1 Under the su	punselors in l programs in in molecular vide extended Park, the Col upervision of	both the the field biology field orado certified	•
cou The to tri Roc tea	computer scien ps to natural kies, and the schers on the S	an camp grams on camp programs ran nce. The env areas such a "Canadian Wi SSTP staff, t	reinterns and pus and the oge from spo vironmental as Yellowsto ilderness".	nd resident c environmenta ecial topics programs prov one National 1 Under the su Ltiës can prov	punselors in l programs in in molecular vide extended Park, the Col upervision of vide a unique	both the the field biology field orado certified opportuni	• • ty
cou The to tri Roc tea for	computer scien ps to natural kies, and the UPSTEP intern	an an camp grams on camp programs ran ace. The env areas such a "Canadian Wi SSTP staff, t	reinterns and the pus and the pus and the pus from spontation of the provision of the provision of the provision of the provision of the public of the publi	nd resident c environmenta ecial topics programs pro- one National 1 Under the su Lties can pro-	punselors in l programs in in molecular vide extended Park, the Col spervision of vide a unique	both the the field biology field orado certified opportuni	• ty
cou The to tri Roc tea for	computer scien ps to natural kies, and the UPSTEP intern	areas such a "Canadian Wi STP staff, t	reinterns and pus and the oge from spo vironmental as Yellowsto ilderness". these activity supervisor	nd resident of environmental ecial topics programs pro- one National 1 Under the su Lties can pro- cy and teacher	punselors in l programs in in molecular vide extended Park, the Col pervision of vide a unique experience.	both the the field biology field orado certified opportuni The	• ty
cou The to tri Roc tea for pro	computer scien computer scien ps to natural kies, and the chers on the S UPSTEP intern	and an teacher grams on camp programs ran ace. The env areas such a "Canadian Wi STP staff, t is to acquire ides opportu	reinterns and pus and the oge from spo vironmental as Yellowsto ilderness". these activity supervison mity for th	environmenta environmenta ecial topics programs pro- one National 1 Under the su Lties can pro- cy and teacher ne teacher int	punselors in l programs in in molecular vide extended Park, the Col pervision of vide a unique experience.	both the the field biology field orado certafied opportuni The o know	• ty
cou The to tri Roc tea for pro	orse-based prog computer scien ps to natural kies, and the chers on the S UPSTEP intern gram also prov	and an teacher grams on camp programs ran ace. The env areas such a "Canadian Wi STP staff, t is to acquire ides opportu	reinterns and pus and the oge from spe vironmental as Yellowsto liderness". these activity supervisor mity for the ose and int	environmenta environmenta ecial topics programs prov one National I Under the su lties can prov cy and teacher ne teacher int censive daily	punselors in l programs in in molecular vide extended Park, the Col pervision of vide a unique experience. ern to get to contact.	both the the field biology field orado certafied opportuni The o know	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
cou The to tri Roc tea for pro	orse-based prog computer scien ps to natural kies, and the chers on the S UPSTEP intern gram also prov ondary atudent	as teacher grams on camp programs ran ace. The env areas such a "Canadian Wi STP staff, t is to acquire ides opportu s through cl	reinterns and pus and the oge from spe vironmental as Yellowsto ilderness". these activity supervisor inity for the ose and int	nd resident of environmenta ecial topics programs prov one National 1 Under the su Lties can prov cy and teacher ne teacher int censive daily	punselors in l programs in in molecular vide extended Park, the Col pervision of vide a unique experience. ern to get to contact.	both the the field biology field orado certified opportuni The o know	• ty
cou The to tri Roc tea for pro Sec	computer scien ps to natural kies, and the UPSTEP intern gram also prov	and teacher grams on camp programs ran ace. The env areas such a "Canadian Wi STP staff, t is to acquire des opportu s through cl	reinterns and pus and the oge from spe vironmental as Yellowsto ilderness". these activity supervisor inity for the ose and int	nd resident of environmenta ecial topics programs pro- one National I Under the su lties can pro- cy and teacher ne teacher int censive daily	punselors in l programs in in molecular vide extended Park, the Col spervision of vide a unique e experience. tern to get to contact.	both the the field biology field orado certified opportuni The o know	• ty
cou The to tri Roc tea for pro Rec	computer scien ps to natural kies, and the chers on the S UPSTEP intern gram also prov	as teacher grams on camp programs ran ace. The env areas such a "Canadian Wi SSTP staff, t is to acquire ides opportu s through cl	reinterns and pus and the oge from spo vironmental as Yellowsto ilderness". these activity supervison mity for th ose and int	nd resident of environmenta ecial topics programs pro- one National I Under the su lties can pro- ry and teacher ne teacher int censive daily	punselors in l programs in in molecular vide extended Park, the Col upervision of vide a unique experience. contact.	both the the field biology field orado certified opportuni The o know	ty
cou The to tri Roc tea for pro Sec	computer scien computer scien ps to natural kies, and the chers on the S UPSTEP intern gram also prov	as teacher grams on camp programs ran ace. The env areas such a "Canadian Wi SSTP staff, t is to acquire ides opportu s through cl	reinterns and pus and the oge from spo- vironmental as Yellowsto ilderness". these activi- supervison mity for th ose and int	nd resident of environmenta ecial topics programs pro- one National 1 Under the su lties can pro- ty and teacher ne teacher int censive daily	punselors in l programs in in molecular vide extended Park, the Col spervision of vide a unique experience. contact	both the the field biology field orado certified opportuni The o know	• ty
cou The to tri Roc tea for pro	orse-based prog computer scien ps to natural kies, and the chers on the S UPSTEP intern gram also prov ondary atudent	as teacher grams on camp programs ran ace. The env areas such a "Canadian Wi STP staff, t is to acquire ides opportu is through cl	reinterns and pus and the oge from spo- vironmental as Yellowsto ilderness". these activi- supervisor mity for th ose and int	nd resident of environmenta ecial topics programs pro- one National 1 Under the su Lties can prov cy and teacher ne teacher int censive daily	punselors in l programs in in molecular vide extended Park, the Col pervision of vide a unique experience. Forn to get to contact.	both the the field biology field orado certafied opportunit The o know	• • • •
cou The to tri Roc tea for pro Sec	ve been involve processed prog -course-based computer scien ps to natural kies, and the chers on the S UPSTEP intern gram also prov ondary atudent	as teacher grams on camp programs ran ace. The env areas such a "Canadian Wi STP staff, t is to acquire ides opportu is through cl	r interns and pus and the oge from spo vironmental as Yellowsto ilderness". these activity supervisor mity for th ose and int	nd resident c environmenta ecial topics programs prov one National 1 Under the su Ltiës can prov cy and teacher ne teacher int censive daily	punselors in l programs in in molecular vide extended Park, the Col pervision of vide a unique experience. Sern to get to contact.	both the the field biology field orado certafied opportuni The o know	• • • •
cou The to tri Roc tea for pro Sec	computer scien ps to natural kies, and the chers on the S UPSTEP intern gram also prov	as teacher grams on camp programs ran ace. The env areas such a "Canadian Wi STP staff, t is to acquire ides opportu s through cl	reinterns and pus and the oge from spe vironmental as Yellowsto ilderness". these activity supervison inity for the ose and int	nd resident of environmenta ecial topics programs pro- one National I Under the su lties can pro- cy and teacher ne teacher int censive daily	punselors in l programs in in molecular vide extended Park, the Col ipervision of vide a unique experience. ern to get to contact.	both the the field biology field orado certified opportuni The o know	• ty

(2) Summer Curriculum Workshop: The second option for summer activity for Lown-UPSTEP students involves participating as staff members in the UPSTEP Curriculum Workshop for Teachers. Primary objectives of the UPSTEP Summer Workshop are: * (a) to provide experiences for lown-UPSTEP students in the solution

26

of "resl-world" curriculum problems and in communication with teachers about real issues;

(b) to sheist regional school districts and individual teachers in developing effective science curricula.

Teachers and/or school districts are invited to participate in the summer workshop through brochures and other announcements describing the program. The workshop is directed toward the development of plans and materials for local curriculum implementation. Towa-UPSTEP staff and undergraduate interns are assigned to participants to assist with activities focusing upon development of appropriate curriculum materials and strategies to solve problems identified by the teacher participants prior to the summer workshop.

In the workshop application, the teacher participant defines the

curriculum problem to be work on during the 2-3 week on campus phase of the program. While on campus, he works in a team with others who have similar curriculum goals. Activities in the workshop are structured around the goals of the participants. They emphasize the collection of resource materials to assist in addressing the problem that has been defined, and the development of ideas and strategies for local implementation. The undergraduate interns are assigned to specific teams, and they

	27
assist in all phases of team activity. They participa	te in the deliberations
of the team, in the collection and review of resources	s, and in the develop-
ment of curficulum materials. They also are participan	nts in general sessions
of the workshop.	
Summer Curriculum Workshops often begin with gro	oup.activities de-
aigned to facilitate group interaction and to help par	ticipants clarify
and refine their own curriculum objectives. Subsequen	it large group, small
group, and independent activities were designed primar	cily_to_facilitate_the
attainment of those individual objectives. A review of	the curriculum
attainment of those individual objectives. A review o	
development activities of past workshops indicates sev	Veral clusters of
objectives:	
(1) development of individualized modules;	
(2) development of life science mini-courses;	ана та по продати и по продати и Опарти и по продати и по продати Опарти и по продати и по продати и по продати и по по продати и по продати и по продати и по продати и по прода
(3) development of health and family life progr	ans:
(4) development of specific resources and activ	vities to supplement
conventional science curricula.	
Where possible, the UPSTEP staff has sttempted t	o-support-academic
year implementation of the curricula and strategies de	eveloped in the
summer workshop. Such support is not only of value to	the teacher
participants and their respective schools, but it also	nrovides an out-
participants and their respective schools, but we disc	
standing opportunity for the teacher intern to follow	a curriculum
development effort through to the difficulties and cha	illenges of imple-
mentation. Some of the interns have continued to work	with the teachers in
ensuring implementation efforts during the Fall semest	er in a student
	, the UPSTEP intern
is involved in evaluation of the curriculum development	t effort.
na de la construcción de la constru La construcción de la construcción d	19. g.H.
аналаан алан алан алан адаа алан алан ал	
	1 ** 4- 1
IC 31.	uddialaun fa dd annau anall Barla dar bad a an add Ei daid Tar Ia a' da garad a barad a Bhallannanda bhlada.

R David	100	ALC: NO.	200PTC	FRAME:	and the second second	Double to the	Enters TR	No.	- 14 Carl	·~~	1000	Sec. 1	1.01		-4Cn4	i an	ba sa ja	Things A	100.000	F-64 (* - 3)e	115.0	try Chief	R 197 - 44	Na Naja	diaman R	enter in	191.000	200
÷÷.			10 a g	10.287	1.000	5.00 B	COLUMN 1	22 C	のせい	200		9. mar	1.22	1000	- 22	2,62,5	FL (R		202	17. 1	igen e	7040 T	1.5	1.1	1.1	100.00	1.112	105
12.24	1.11.1	diana anna	the state of the		1. Harrison (* 1997)	12.24.222.2	120127	10.00	DI MOL T		in Kently	Achieve.	COMP.	110.00	61.7.2	37.5m 1	N . P.	1000	Call Land		1.1.1	1.25	12 Der 21		<19.101	1.2.	10000	201
6.64	÷.,	-	21.0	1. 1. 1. 1.	100	13. Sec.	20220	A 11-	10.0	1000	2.19.5	3.922		diam'r	5.34	1.1	1000	196.	1.196	1112	1.1.4	<u>(1975)</u>	المغانية	5 C UR.	للاستنبق	تستثل		1.0
2.6	100	1.00	7210 I T	100 2007	500 440	12.0	20. II.C.	- A.O.	10.00	37.1	and in	19,242			120.	1.0	1.12	Sec. 63	1.1	1000	14 C -	t Quali	- H.	1.1	H. Carlo	10.00	0.00	εņ,
	. z	-	120 .		212 6	1000	1.1	-		74	1. 3	·* 07	High-	2.8.1				12 10		1.1	£1.	and the second			-	C 26.	100	÷9
de la	1.1	a x0.4		-	a	2.00	: 	- P -110		3.1.	18 a	1.000	100		÷	- i i i i	ಮರಾಗಿ ಕೆ.		8 A.A	B	1.1	1.64	(B)	سه د	# 40° U	S. 94	والفتاريق	10
	2.2	1000	1.22	(*************************************	1.2	-0-1	2 P 1	-36.8	환 뜻는	202	27.51	温索.	1.17.2	itter i l	- A - A				A	1,222	문부술	504d P.	th.,	100	3.89 T	12.72	1.212	67
	1 A A		- 189 20-1		Arrive and	Sec. 1972			in a state of the	where a	Sec. 1	a setting 1	20.0102	6	مينين زر	19. Page 1	in the second	1000	-1.222	19 . T. 18		14 1 2 14	a	A. in in	as o h			° 14
26.1	94	Acres 2	(1998) (P	100	· · · · ·	6, 577	- L 1	. au	5 [×]	1,012.1	わらいう	Elle.		129 J	18.1	21 등 1	11	- P	- R	Gé Ar	199 - L	- C	a. – a.	64 P.,	1.2	1 C	18121	
192		Contraction of the	1.1							- 31-	10.00	die de	27.52	5	×	3752	=	10.00	t have	£1. 1		1.53	and a second	1.11.	1000	÷	- to	:27
225		1.0	10.274-1	107.004	6 A C	1000	Sec. 1			- C.13		5 G				1.91	- u -	11 2		4.6.6				1.1.1.1		a		
100	100	and or other states	10.000		1.202.0	10.0	100	Sec. 60	10.00	-	é ancê a	at the	1.1		-	1.00	10 C - 1	14,300		1000	10.00			10.00	- 24%		and the second	100
	÷	10776	-	S 1 *				1.1	-				H 6.	and a	Λ.	-					1.1	***			_	1000	- C	÷.
3	<u> </u>		Y		÷, 1		- V	- 19 C			-	-		<u> </u>					_				1.1	1.5				
	· · · · · ·	1. 1 1. TO 10												Mai	N				 A 								_	

	1) The recruitment phase (prior to enrollment as freshmen). Various
i airea Mairtean	recruitment devices have been used in identifying thirty new freshmen
e por se a approximita la station	Disticionte each year. School visifations (many in connerstion with
4000 1000 1000 1000	
	recruitment for Junior Academy and Secondary School Training Program
بدن بید بندن بید بند همان	Participants) continue as a major activity. About twenty-five percent
	of the new UPSTEP students result from previous participation in a
	summer SSTP program usually following the junior year in high school.
	A fall symposium held on the campus each fall also results in a significant
 7	number of new program applicants (about twenty-five percent). Teachers
i	involved in UPSTEP Summer Conferences and/or teachers involved in various
	Project ASSIST activities assist with recruiting another twenty-five,
	percent of the new students. Faculty advisors, student-student contacts,
and a state of	and unsolicited induiries result in the remaining twenty-five percent
	of the new participants. A study of the relative effectiveness in
	terms of completion of the program and quality of participation remains to
	be completed.
, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	2) The freshman experience. The freshman year is now characterized with
	a series of seminars and courses designed to introduce students to the University
;	to leading scientists, to human awareness activities, and to the career field
1	of education. Results from questionnaires, opinionnaires, and other survey
• _`	instruments permit us to conclude that these freshman experiences produce
-	peasurable gains in terms of student-perception-concerning the nature of
а 	science and scientists, concept of self, understanding of basic concepts and
	procedures in secondary education, ability to communicate and to relate
	to others.
	nyanana 6 noongoon nga arawa arawa na a Arawa na banana na arawa na ar

3) The sophomore year. The experiences typically occurring at the sophomore year include early exploratory experiences in schools, special seminar series concersed with learning theory and measurement skills, courses in philosophy and history of science, Data have been collected regarding how the early exploratory experiences affect the philosophy of teaching, views toward such concepts as di cipline, teacher role, curriculum, individualization, and student evaluation, Since the experience with the special seminar concerning learning theory and measurement gkill has been incorporated into the model only recently, there is little information concerning the effects of such experiences upon our participants. In contrast, UPSTEP students have been involved with experiences in philosophy and history of science since the very beginning of the Iowa-UPSTEP In 1973 Robert Boes conducted a major study concerning the impact of program. such courses upon students, especially the pre-service science teacher. The special philosophy and history of science sequence results in student growth in areas of understanding the nature and meaning of science, ther interrelationships of science and society, and science as a human activity and a major area for thought. Further work is needed in terms of measuring the relationships of these experiences to later study of science and later teaching of science. 4)-<u>The junior year</u>. The typical activities for the junior year consist of two methods courses -- one directly related to an initial interpship experience and the preparation of learning materials (especially modules produced in our self-instruction laboratory), and the other related to learning resources (especially) related to experiences in our interactive curriculatorium). Experience to date enables us to report that students can produce usable and Efective_learning_modules; they can analyse their relative worth and redevelop them with improvements; they can work with students in trying new

--34

materials; they do have knowledge of most of the new curricular materials: they can demonstrate their ability to perform laboratories and other activities from the new programs. The attitudes as well as specific skills of these third year studies continue to be more positive concerning science and teaching. The summer program. Between the junior and senior year an opportunity Exists for UPSTEP participants to work with in-service teachers in special curriculum revision projects and/or to be involved as staff members in science programs for the motivated high school student (SSTP) and/or the unmotivated secondary school student (Upward Bound). We have been able to measure student increased awareness of the operation of school programs, problems of in-service teachers, and the nature of secondary school curriculum. Also, the summer program enables students to increase their skills in working with secondary school students and in developing learning materials. Differences can be observed between students involved in such summer experiences and those who have not been involved.

6) The senior internship. This normal experience at the senior year includes extended and advanced experiences as teaching interns in courses in various science disciplines at various academic levels and often involving more than one master teacher. Again, the 1974-75 academic year was our first experience with this part of the model as common practice. Indications are that the resulting teachers are less like the single model that occurs when students are placed with a single cooperating teacher in one school and in one discipline for student eaching. Interns with such variations of experience appear more flexible, more confident, more knowledgeable, and more enthusiastic. We continue to collect information while awaiting the opportunity for measurement of specific differences that new in-service teachers demonstrate over teachers produced with more conventional internship experiences.

34

ι.

Specific competency lists are being formulated for each aspect of the Iowa-UPSTEP model. At this point in time these lists are still incomplete and technique for observing and measuring their attainment remain to be perfected. Such observations and measurements represent major information of our formative evaluation_efforts.__Indeed, they have provided the basis for the development of the model as it exists today. Such evaluation efforts are a fundamental part of the model and will continue to affect, its nature and its form. Although there is much information available regarding the effectiveness of the Towa-UPSTEP model and various features that comprise it, the model has changed significantly since its beginning in 1970. In fact, there are few students that have been produced from a four year program that have experienced the model as it currently exists. Teachers have entered the field as in-service teachers in numbers significant enough to study during the 1977-80 academic years. The following years as well as those of the last three years will result in significant total numbers (and specifically numbers that have experienced the complete current program) that can be studied for continuation of our evaluation efforts. We have followed the work centered in the Association for the Education of Teachers of Science (AETS) as the 1973 In Search of Promising Practices in Science Teacher Education volume prepared and published. We were involved with Ronald Atwood's analysis and synthesis of the information in this volume. We participated and helped conduct the AETS national analysis of competency-based program. We are aware of and have assisted with the AACTE's most recent calls for more cooperative teacher education programs that are fully field-based. In this time of great concern for improved teacher education programs, however, we are reminded of the concerns expressed in the ROSES report in 1968, Newton and Watson indicated then that the courses and programs studied and identified in their major national survey were almost entirely acts of faith. There was little or no feedback or follow-up information to support the practices that any

_31

35

11.

institutions followed. They commented upon the complete and stricking lack of basic and objective evidence concerning effectiveness of teacher education programs. It was in recognition of this major problem that the lowa-UPSTEP plan has been and continues to be predicated upon the position that the model must be submitted to careful scrutiny and continuous study concerning its strengths and weaknesses. This kind of search for information must continue until student graduates who have experienced the current model are employed as teachers and their performance studied in comparison with others if the potential for Iowa-UPSTEP is to be realized. Certainly major study of the Iowa-UPSTEP graduates as in-service teachers will represent the most significant evaluation of the model that is possible. We look forward to a comprehensive study of Iowa-UPSTEP: 1968-70 -- the embryonic phase; 1970-75 -- the developmental phase; 1975-80 -- the formative evaluation phase; and 1989 and beyond -- the continued growth and development of a program. We expect new evaluation efforts to provide focus and insight for science teacher education. It will provide the needed inform tion for establishings the strengths (and weaknesses) of the model based on evidence and direct observations rather than upon faith which has characterized the situation in the past when such evaluation was not conducted.

D. The Iows-UPSTEP Staff, 1970-80

One problem experienced as lows-UPSTEP has matured involves significant staff changes through the years. Also, it has not always been apparent who is primarily (or even partially) involved with UPSTEP as opposed to other activities at the Science Education Center. The current staff involved with the mature model (all University funds include):

Vincent Limetta, Director John Penick, Associate Director George W. Cossman, History and Philosophy of Science Edward L. Pizzini, Recruitment and Capstone Courses Daniel S. Sheldon, Environmental Studies and Capstone Courses Avi Hofstein, Seminar Coordinator, Research and Evaluation Bill Kyle, Teaching Assistant (Sophomore) Antonio Mendez, Teaching Assistant (Junior) John T. Wilson, Capstone Courses

It may be of interest and value to compare the staffing pattern for each of the past "developmental" years (1970-75) and the evaluation/curriculum development years (1975-80). Following is a listing of staff concerned with

Iowa-UPSTEP, 1970-80. (An asterisk indicates salaries provided by UPSTEP grant).
			n an the termination of termination	
1970	The sector product of the product of the sector of the	<u>197</u>	1972 - Antonio Antonio Angeler ante esta esta esta esta esta esta esta es	
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	<u>Central Staff</u>		Central Staff	
	Robert E. Yager, Director		Robert E. Yager, Director	-
inn n i sini ing s i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Ronald D. Townsend, Co-Direc	tor	Renald D. Townsend, Associate Dire	<u>x</u> to
an a far ta an an an a far an an an an an an a far an	Louis A. Gatta, Instructor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	William L. Sharp, Assistant Direct	:OT
	Robert Mitchell, Instructor	₽ * * . <u>#</u>	*Charles L. Frederick, Instructor	••••
	Jean Blungren, Graduate Assi	stant ,	*Eileen Mays, Graduate Assistant	
•	אוואני איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז אי		*Helen-M. Foster, Graduate-Assistan	.t
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Other Professorial Staff	* . 1	*William P. McCall III. Graduate As	81
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	George W. Cossman			
alan anakan ankala anka Alabe	Darrell G. Phillips	• **	Ather Professorial Staff	, , 194 4 - 1
* *		·		•
	· ·	14 · · ·	George w. Cossman	
	Other Graduate Assistants	÷	Darrell G. Phillaps	
z	Melton E. Golman	-	Edward L. Pizzini	
i i j a shormisidantera Jammi Rimo	David L. Camp		Daniel S. Sheldon	nero la un dotac
موتد ، المراجعين.	Jacob A. Saville	π. π	n an an an an an an an an ann an an an a	
•••	William P. McCall		Other Graduate Assistants	
т (Makram I. Himaya	1. p.	Larry Camp	
	James P. Hale	•	Robert Mitchell	
÷	· :		Kenneth Osicki	
**	Recover Applorant		William Boord	4 1 0
	Acceld 1 Prove	.is		-
	DOUMIG 3. DEOWIL			· ·
		· · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
···· •·· •	1	به در می به در می به در می		• •
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 		
				• • • • •

	35
<u>1972-73</u>	1973 Summer Conference Staff
Central Staff	Central Staff
Robert R. Yager Director	Pobert P Veger Director
William T. Sharn Associate Director	*Fileen W. Vaug Associate Director
Winner N Turntth Angistant Director	Parali D. Tarrani Walter Dest
VINCENT N. MINELCA, ABSISCANT DIRECTOR	"Ronald D. lowdsend, Visiting Professo
*Charles F. Philp, Instructor	*Barton K. Phillips, Instructor
*Barton K. Phillips, Instructor	*J. Har y Hensley, Instructor
	*Robert H. Fronk, Instructor
Other Professorial Staff	*Virginia Phillips, Instructor
George W. Cossman	*Gordon E. Odegaard, Teaching Assistan
Darrell G. Phillips	*Charles F. Philp, Teaching Assistant
Gary E. Downs	*Roger L. Child, Teaching Assistant
Edward L. Pizzini	*Charles Frederick, Teaching Assistant
Daniel S. Sheldon	
₹.	Other Professorial Staff
systematikan semenan sekan sekan sekan semeran semeran semeran semeran semeran semeran semeran semeran semeran Semeran semeran semeran Semeran semeran	William T. Shern
Leon J. Zalewski	George w. Cossman
Charles L. Frederick	Edward L. Pizzini
Gerard P. MacMillan	Daniel S. Sheldon
Eileen M. Mays	
Mohammed A. Kishta	Other Graduate Assistants
Barbara C. Brooks	Herbert G. Cohen
Caylen R. Carlson	Marc L. Pelletier
Donna L. Siemro	Susan Haupt
Carole J. Reesink	ا ما بر الما الما الما الما الما الما الما الم
¹⁴ y τ Hang waan kanangaanan karenanan karenanan atau anan digi a kanen tatu anan kanan keesta keta tatu kara keduk digi kata tatu -	
	a na ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang

[973-74] 1974 Summer Conference Staff Central Staff Central Staff Robert E. Teger, DirActor Wobert E. Tager, Director *William L. Sharp, Associate Director Vincent N. Lunetts, Associate Director Vincent N. Lunetts, Assistant Director *W. Tony Heiting, Administrat *Marc L. Felletier, Instructor *Jerry Doyle, Instructor *Leon J. Zalevski, Instructor *Willis Horak, Instructor *Sandra Pellens; Instructor Other Professorial Staff *Vicki Schumann, Instructor George W. Cossman Darrel G. Phidlips Other Professorial Staff Gary E. Downs William L. Sharp Edward L. Pizzini George W. Cossman Daniel S. Sheldon Edward L. Pizzini Daniel S. Sheldon Other Graduate Assistants Darrell G. Phillips Gordon-BWOdegaard Gary E. Downs Kelsey arry A. Jerry Doyle Other Graduate Assistants W. Tony Heiting Classie Hoyle Willis Horak Larry A. Kelsey Sandra Pellens <u>Classie Hovle</u> Vicki Schumann

FRIC

 $M_{\rm c}$

<u> 1974–75</u>

Central Staff

Robert E. Yager, Director Vincent N. Lunetta, Associate Director *Leopold B. Smigelski, Assistant Director *Jerry J. Doyle, Instructor *W. Tony Heiting, Instructor Sandra K. Pellens, Instructor Vicki R. Satern, Instructor

Other Professorial Staff

George W. Cossman Darrell G. Phillips Gary E. Downs / Edward L. Pizzini Daniel S. Sheldon

Other Graduate Assistants

Roger L. Child

David F. Treagust

Charles F. Philp

John Cody

1975 Summer Conference Staff

Central Staff

Robert E. Yager, Director

Vincent N. Lunetta, Associate Director

*Leopold B. Smigelski, Assistant Director

*Vicki R. Satern, Instructor

*Herbert K. Brunkhorst, Instructor

*Sandra K. Pellens, Instructor

*W. Tony Heiting, Instructor-

Other Professorial Staff

George W. Cossman Edward L. Pizzini Daniel S. Sheldon Darrell G. Phillips

Gary E. Downs

. 88

<u>1975-76</u>	<u>197677</u>
<u>Central Staff</u>	<u>Central Staff</u>
Robert E. Yager, Director ,	Robert E. Yager, Director
Vincent N. Lunetta, Associate Director	Vincent N. Lunetta, Associate Director
. John Penick, Assistant Director	John Penick, Associate Director
Herbert K. Brunkhorst, Instructor	*Pinchas Tamir, Research Associate
Ed van den Berg, Instructor	*Robert Hardingham, Research Associate
Sandra Pellens, Instructor	Michael J. Wavering, Instructor
David Treagust, Instructor	Herbert K, Brunkhorst, Instructor
*Pinchas Tamir, Research Associate	Ed van den Berg, Instructor
	Gerry D. Haukoos, Instructor

Other Professorial Staff

George W. Cossman	۱ ′
Darrell G. Phillips	1
Daniel S. Sheldon	*
Edward M. Pizzini	

<u>Grant Assistants</u> *William Kyle *Ronald Bonsetter *Mike Goldberg

*Kathleen D. Filkins

Other Graduate Assistants

17.

Other Professorial Staf
George W. Cossman
Darrell G. Phillips
Daniel S. Sheldon
Edward M. Pizzini

38

<u>Grant Assistants</u> *Kathleen Filkins *Mike Goldberg *William Kyle

*Sandra Pellens

Other Graduate Assistants Richard Huber

Jay Wortman

<u> 1977–78</u>

Central Staff

Robert E. Yager, Principle Investigator Vincent N. Lunetta, Director John Penick, Associate Director *Larry Yore, Research Associate *Shimson Novick, Research Associate *Ralph Plagman, Research Associate Ed van den Berg, Instructor James K. Wooster, Instructor

Michael J. Wavering

Other Professorial Staff George W. Cossman

Darrell G. Phillips Daniel S. Sheldon Edward M. Pizzini

John T. Wilson

Grant Assistants *Bille Kyle *Mike Goldberg *Mike Wavering

*Marlene Fuhrman

Central Staff

1978-79

Robert E. Yager, Principle Investigator Vincent Lunetta, Director

John Penick, Associate Director

*Ralph Plagman, Research Associate

Charles Krueger, Instructor

Michael Wavering, Instructor

Wayne Finkbeiner, Instructor

Other Professorial Staff

George W. Cossman

Darrell G. Phillips

Daniel S. Sheldon

Edward M. Pizzini

John T. Wilson

<u>Grant Assistants</u> *Marlene Fuhrman *Mike Goldberg *Ed van den Berg

Other Graduate Assistants

Other Graduate Assistants

11.

		•
<u>197</u>	<u>9–80</u>	
	Central Staff	
	Robert E. Yager, Principal Investigator	
	Vincent N. Lunetta, Director	
	John Penick, Associate Director	
	Avi Hofstein, Research Associate	
	William Kule Instructor	

Antonio Mendez, Instructor

훞

44

40

Other Professorial Staff

George W. Cossman

14

• ••

Darrell G. Phillips

Daniel-S.-Sheldon-

Edward M. Pizzini

John T. Wilson

Other Graduate Assistants

Becky Priest

Bruce Cook

Tom Azeke

E. The Iowa-UPSTEP Students

Although one important feature of the Iowa-UPSTEP model is early entrance and exit points into and out of the program, relative stability in terms of participants in the program contineus as a problem. Much of the student change has been attributed to staff change. Much too has been the attractiveness of other professions and our own willingness to treat the UPSTEP experience as a general education function. An important effort of Iowa-UPSTEP may be felt in community leadership of persons who enter professions other than education. The number of students enrolled in the Iowa-UPSTEP program during each of the years 1970-80 follows:

		Freshmen	Sophomores	Juniors	Seniors	Graduates	<u>Total</u>	
	1970-71	30	а.,				30	
te the second second	1971-72	32	- 25	- 5 - 5	<u>ara sunte à surveyors</u>		57	
	1972-72	28	24	18	3	12	85	
2	1973-74	31	18	19	13	15	9 6	
	1974-75	29 1	21 -	19	15	18	102	
	1975-76	26	26	19	20	21	112	•
	1976-77	23	21	24	19	18	105	. D
	1977-78	32	20	21	19	20	112	, -
•	1978-79	24	27	26	20	18 🖡	115	
	1979– 80			21	18	_16	_94	
	Totals	276	200	167	127	138	908	· · · ·
	· .					,		

45

F. The Iowa-UPSTEP Budget

The initial grant awarded in 1969 for a three year period totaled \$113,150. The planned extension of the developmental effort (1973-75) resulted in an added \$135,250 grant. As indicated in the previous proposals, the University also supported the development of the UPSTEP model with staff and materials totaling \$199.011

\$199,011.

Since this is the end of the developmental phase, it is deemed appropriate to summarize the expenditures on a yearly basis. The following outline is an attempt to outline the categories of expenditures each year 1970-75.

:	13/0-/1 0	roiter budget			
	· · · ·	- 	NSF Funds	Amount Sp	ent
Administration	<u> </u>	an a ta na sa sa sa sa sa ta	<u></u>	an an tha an the second se	r a la tra tenda
Yager	•		\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	
Instruction					
Townsend Graduate Assistants (2) Instructor		5	\$ 0.00 4500.00 6000.00	\$ 0.00 4544.44 5650.00	
Secretarial			\$4500.00	\$4423.39	,
Fringe	۰. ۲			e	•
Secretarial Graduate Assistants	's	• •	\$ 495.00 525.00	\$ 106.01 673.55	
Travel .			\$2000.00	\$1757.80	
Supplies				1	ſ
Office Instruction A.V.		•	\$1750.00 1000.00 500.00	\$2040.55 1147.80 600.00	
аруын Алау, сулун усын усун усун масалан, <u>түүү</u> үүн маанын аймаан усунуу ус		e	\$21 270 00		

46

11

1970-71 UPSTEP Budget

. 43

1971-72 UPSTEP Budget

		NSF Funds	Amount Spent
Administration			
Yager		\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Instruction / .			•
Townsend		\$ 3,487.00	\$ 3,487.00
New Assistant Professor		10,000.00	10,000.00
Instructor	-	3,000.00	3,666.00
Secretarial	· -	\$ 4,800.00	\$ 4,206.90
Fringe		i	
Staff	: • ·	\$ 1,882.00	\$ 1,968.00
Secretarial		528.00	463.00
Graduate Student	มหารไปที่ประเทศเป็นประการสุดประมาณสมบริษณ์ 	150.00	183.00
Travel		\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,450.81
Supplies			•
Office		\$ 1,000.00	\$ 880.63
Instruction		500.00	1,775.06
A.V.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	500.00	500.00
		\$26,847.50	\$28,580.90

47

Eŀ

س نق

i N		-		•	, ·		44
	•	•.	1972-7	UPSTEP B	udget		
:	1	·				NSF Funds	Amount Spen
Admi	nistration	-	•	*	· · .		· ·
* s * s	Yager	•		- 	ч. ў ,	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Inst	ruction		· 			••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	· • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Townsend		5		-	\$ 3,714.25	\$ 2,500.00
	Sharp	e,		,		10,000.00	10,000.00
•	Instructors (2)	<u> </u>		· · ·		6,000.00	7,200.00
Secr	etarial		· ·	* •		\$ 5,120.00	\$ 4,690,56
Frin	ge		. · · ·	•		a '	
2	Staff					\$-1,920.00	\$ 1,750.00
E	Secretarial	т. Х. ц.			ч. <u>ж</u>	563.20	515.96
1996-1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1 1	Graduate Student	<u>19. (79.01.9. – 40.00. – 40.01. – 40. – 40. – 40. – 40. – 40. – 40. – 40. – 40. – 40. – 40. – 40. – 40. – 40. –</u>	, 	<u> </u>	<u></u>	300.00	360.00
Trave	el 🦄	. *		, . , .		\$ 1,000.00	\$ 445.48
. Suppl	lies	۴			ar K		
	Office					\$ 800.00	\$ 1,440.00
	Instruct Dnal		•			500.00	553.00
Q	A.V.		•			300.00	50.00-
			:	•			
1. 10			•		_	\$30,217,45	\$29 505.00
							•
		, 1	n			بر ، ×۰ ۱	tender i Normaliser Normaliser
			é	,			
			-	•	ч.		
· · ·			• •	- n	÷		
· · · · · · ·						· .	•
				-			લ પ્ર
• .			·····	ء *.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

.

. *

	· · ·	45
	۰ ۲	
Summer 1973	•	• • • •
	NSF Funds	Amount Sper
Participant Costs		ی کار
Stipends: (Six Week Program)	· · ·	• •
30 UPSTEP Participants @ \$60/week	\$ 9,000.00	\$10,800.00
15 Cooperating Teachers @ \$60/week	5,400.00	5,400.00
5 Science Education Graduate Researchers @ \$60/week	3,600.00	1,800.00
		• •
	\$18,000.00	\$18,000.00
Operating Costs		: ·
Director	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 0.00
Co-Director	1,000.00	2,050.00
Secretary	500.00	1,062.00
Staff (2 full time)	6,000.00	6,220.00
Graduate Assistants (2)	2,000.00	2,200.00
Frontiers of Science Speakers (6)	800.00	300.00
Office Supplies	400.00	566.00
Instructional Supplies	a [−] 400₊00	600.00
Fringe Benefits @ 117	1,265.00	491.00
2	\$14,365.00	<pre>{. \$13,498.00</pre>
Overhead @ 15%	2,155.00	\$ 2,155.00
e . • •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total Operating	\$16,520.00	\$15,644.00
		an ann ann an ann ann ann ann ann ann a
Total Requested	\$34,798.00	\$33,644.00
C 49		

1973-74 UPSTEP Budget

	NSF Funds	Amount Spent
Administration	Ŧ	
Yager	\$ 0	\$ 0
Instruction	·	· ·····
Sharp	\$10,600	\$10,650
Lunetta	2,650	2,650 - '
Graduate Assistants	9,200	9,200
Secretarial	\$ 5,300	\$ 3,912
Fringe		
Staff	\$ 1,855	\$ 1,995
Secretarial	,583	587
Graduate Students	460	460
Cooperating Teachers	\$ 0	·\$_0
Travel and Communication	\$ 4,500	\$ 821
Supplies	15	
Office	\$ 800	\$ 2,400
Instructional	1,500	4,703
		·
	\$37,448	\$37,378
		r

- \

50

<u>Summer 1974</u>		
ticipant Costs	<u>NSF</u> Funds	Amount Spe
pends: (Two Week Program)	• ₽	
12 UPSTEP Praticipants @ \$60/week	\$ 2,700	\$ 1,440
25 Former In-Service Participants @ \$60/week	1,800	3,000
5 Science Education Graduate Researchers @ \$60/week	900	0
ð.	\$ 5,400	\$ 4,440
rating Costs		
Director	\$ 1,000	\$ Q
	. 600	600
Secretary	250	1,464
Staff (2 full time)	3,000	3,000
Graduate Assistant	1,000	• 1,000
Office Supplies	250	281
Instructional Supplies	250	282
Fringe Benefits @ 11%	644	667 ⁻
	\$ 6,994	\$ 7,294
Overhead @ 15%	\$ 1,049	\$ 1,049
Total Operating	\$ 8,043	\$ 8,343
Total Requested	\$13,443	\$12,783

51

. د و د .

		*.	•		
and an enter the state of the	1974	-75 UPSTEP	Büdget	S	4 4 -
	e ser e s	ž.	• .	NSF Funds	Amount Spent
Administration				•	. · · ·
Yager		ş	· •	\$ 0	\$ O
Instruction	ат		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1
Smigelski	• •		•	\$11,236	\$10,364
Lunetta	· .			2,809	2,900
Graduate Assistant		* I	•	9,200	8,950
Secretarial				\$ 5,400	\$ 5,682
Fringe	2		- •	* 4	
Staff 🗜		் படித்தைக்கு சுதையில் த	• • • • • • •	\$ 1,966	\$ 1,990
Secretarial	1 <u>8 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </u>	• 	en e	. 594	852
, Graduate Students			-	460	448
Cooperating Teachers	н К. н А.		÷	\$ 0	\$ 0 -
Travel	L		•	\$ 4,500	\$ 666
Supplies	1. 	-		4 · · · ·	•
Office			-	\$ 800 -	\$ 1,026
Instructional				1,500	8,007
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•		•		\$38,465	\$40,885
•					



Summer 1975 Participant Costs Stipends: 9 UPSTEP Participants @ \$50/week \$ 2,700 \$ 900 23 Former In-Service Participants @ \$50/week 1,800 2,300 UPSTEP Awareness Conferences 900 2,200 \$ 5,400 \$ 5,400 Operating Costs 900 2,200 Director \$ 1,000 \$ 0 Co-Director \$ 000 600 Secretary 250 691 Staff (2 full time) 3,000 1,500 Office Supplies 250 400 Instructional Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 15X 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 15X \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049		• • • •	,	, , ,
Summer 1975 NSF Funde Amount Spent Perticipant Costs Stipends: 9 UPSTEP Participants @ \$50/week \$ 2,700 \$ 900 23 Former In-Service Participants @ \$50/week 1,800 2,300 UPSTEP Awareness Conferences 900 2,200 \$ 5,400 \$ 5,400 \$ 5,400 Operating Costs 900 2,200 Director \$ 1,000 \$ 0 Co-Director 600 600 Secretary 250 691 Staff (2 full time) 3,000 1,500 Graduate Assistant 1,000 1,000 Office Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 157 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 15X \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049		•	49	•
NSF Funds Amount Spent Participant Costs Stipends: 9 9 UPSTEP Participants @ \$50/week \$ 2,700 \$ 900 23 Former In-Service Participants @ \$50/week 1,600 2,300 UPSTEP Awareness Conferences 900 2,200 \$ 5,400 \$ 5,400 \$ 5,400 Operating Costs 9 0 2,500 Director \$ 1,000 \$ 0 Co-Director 600 600 Secretary 250 691 Staff (2 full time) 3,000 1,500 Graduate Assistant 1,000 1,000 Office Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 157 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 157 \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049	Summe	<u>r 1975</u>	•	
Participant Costs Stipends: 9 UPSTEP Participants @ \$50/week \$ 2,700 \$ 900 23 Former In-Service Participants @ \$50/week 1,800 2,300 UPSTEP Awareness Conferences 900 2,200 \$ 5,400 \$ 5,400 \$ 5,400 Operating Costs 900 2,200 Director \$ 1,000 \$ 0 Co-Director 600 600 Secretary 250 691 Staff (2 full time) 1,000 1,000 Office Supplies 250 400 Instructional Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 15X 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 \$ 1,049 Overhead @ 15X \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049		. <u>NSF Fun</u>	ds Amount Sper	nt
Stipends: 9 UFSTEP Participants @ \$50/week \$ 2,700 \$ 900 23 Former In-Service Participants @ \$50/week 1,800 2,300 UPSTEP Awareness Conferences 900 2,200 \$ 5,400 \$ 5,400 Operating Costs \$ 1,000 \$ 0 Director 600 600 Secretary 250 691 Staff (2 full time) 3,000 1,500 Office Supplies 250 400 Instructional Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 157 644 569 Øverhead @ 152 \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049	Participant Costs	in a star in the s	,	
9 UFSTEP Participants @ \$50/week \$ 2,700 \$ 900 23 Former In-Service Participants @ \$50/week 1,800 2,300 UPSTEP Awareness Conferences 900 2,200 \$ 5,400 \$ 5,400 Operating Costs \$ 5,400 Director \$ 1,000 \$ 0 Co-Director 600 600 Secretary 250 691 Staff (2 full time) 3,000 1,500 Graduate Assistant 1,000 1,000 Office Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 15X 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 15X \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049	Stipends:			
23 Former In-Service Participants @ \$50/week 1,800 2,300 UPSTEP Awareness Conferences 900 2,200 \$ 5,400 \$ 5,400 Operating Costs \$ 5,400 Director \$ 1,000 \$ 0 Co-Director 600 600 Secretary 250 691 Staff (2 full time) 3,000 1,500 Graduate Assistant 1,000 1,000 Office Supplies 250 400 Instructional Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 15% 644 569 Øverhead @ 15% \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049	9 UPSTEP Participants @ \$50/week	\$ 2,700	\$ 900	
UPSTEP Awareness Conferences 900 2,200 Operating Costs \$ 5,400 \$ 5,400 Director \$ 1,000 \$ 0 Co-Director 600 600 Secretary 250 691 Staff (2 full time) 3,000 1,500 Graduate Assistant 1,000 1,000 Office Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 157 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 \$ 5,310 \$ 0 \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049	23 Former In-Service Participants @ \$50/w	eek 1,800	. ,2,300	2
\$ 5,400 \$ 5,400 Operating Costs Director \$ 1,000 \$ 0 Co-Director 600 600 Secretary 250 691 Staff (2 full time) 3,000 1,500 Graduate Assistant 1,000 1,000 Office Supplies 250 400 Instructional Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 15% 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 15% \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049	UPSTEP Awareness Conferences	900	2,200	•
Operating Costs Director \$ 1,000 \$ 0 Co-Director 600 600 Secretary 250 691 Staff (2 full time) 3,000 1,500 Graduate Assistant 1,000 1,000 Office Supplies 250 400 Instructional Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 15% 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 15% \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049		\$ 5,400	\$ 5,400	*
Director \$ 1,000 \$ 0 Co-Director 600 600 Secretary 250 691 Staff (2 full time) 3,000 1,500 Graduate Assistant 1,000 1,000 Office Supplies 250 400 Instructional Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 15% 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 15% \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049	Operating Costs			к. 1 2
Co-Director 600 600 Secretary 250 691 Staff (2 full time) 3,000 1,500 Graduate Assistant 1,000 1,000 Office Supplies 250 400 Instructional Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 15% 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 15% \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049	Director	\$ 1,000	\$0	-
Secretary 250 691 Staff (2 full time) 3,000 1,500 Graduate Assistant 1,000 1,000 Office Supplies 250 400 Instructional Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 157 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 157 \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049	Co-Director	600	600	*
Staff (2 full time) 3,000 1,500 Graduate Assistant 1,000 1,000 Office Supplies 250 400 Instructional Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 15% 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 15% \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049	Secretary	250	691	•
Graduate Assistant 1,000 1,000 Office Supplies 250 400 Instructional Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 15% 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 15% \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049	Staff (2 full time)	3,000	-1,500	
Office Supplies 250 400 Instructional Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 15% 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 15% \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049	Graduate Assistant	1,000	1,000	* u
Instructional Supplies 250 550 Fringe Benefits @ 15% 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 15% \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049	• Office Supplies	250	400	
Fringe Benefits @ 15% 644 569 \$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 15% \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049	Instructional Supplies	. 250	550	•
\$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 157 \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049	Fringe Benefits @ 15%	644	569	
\$ 6,994 \$ 5,310 Overhead @ 157 \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049		• •		•
Overhead @ 157 \$ 1,049 \$ 1,049		\$ 6,994	\$ 5,310	÷.
	Overhead @ 15%	\$ 1,049	\$ 1,049	
	• •		۰ <u>.</u>	
Total Operating \$ 8,043 \$ 6,359	Total Operating	\$ 8,043	\$ 6,359	•
Total Requested \$13,443 \$11,759	Total Requested	\$13,443	\$11,759	۰ ۲



¶ =.,

٠



In 1975 an additional \$170,670 grant was awarded to complete formative evaluation and to prepare module materials to characterize the lows-UPSTEP model. Included was support for trial of the materials in other teacher education centers. With the amount remaining after the development effort, a total of \$175,608 was available to support this extension of the program (1975-80). The yearly budgets

. F

.

. ħ

, . .

a ie

55

۸.

2

(4)

1.

1 5

1

61109

e

Z

ERĬC

Ð

	<u>September 1, 1975 - June 30, 19</u>	76
		TOTAL IN GRANT: \$175,608
4.	Selaries and Veges	
	1. Principal Investigator	3 0
	2. Research Associates	
	3. Research Assistants	\$ 53,095
	(William Kyle) (Sandra Pellens) (Ronald Bonnstetter)	
/*** /	(Mike Goldberg) 4. Secretary	\$ 0
- Kong o - Kong o 	TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES	\$23,320
C.	TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES, & BENEFITS	<u>\$ 1,002</u> \$24,322
D.	Office Supplies	*\$ 1,303
E.	Evaluation Services	\$ 391
G.	Instructional meterials	\$ 0 \$ 409
<u>H.</u>	Travel: to. Centers	
I,	Travel for Consortium Members	\$ 0
J	Printing and Preparation of Modules	\$ 7,861
K,	TOTAL DIRECT COSTS	\$10,580
_L .	Indirect Costs (57.55% of S&W)	\$13,420
- M.	TOTAL COSTS	\$48,322
		SUBTOTAL: \$127,286



ERIC

۲

. .

*

-

. . .

¥1

۰.

Ż

1

. .

ġ,

<u>July 1, 1976 - June 30, 19</u> 7	a se a constante a constante e constante a co
	Beginning Balance: \$1
A. Salaries and Mages	 A set of the set of
1. Principal Investigator	\$
	è D 820
(Vincent Lunetta)	
(Pinchas Tamir)	na nin andre and an and an
3. Research Assistants	\$11.025
(Kathleen Filkins)	
(Sandra Pellens)	
(2 - 1 t. graduate assistants)	
4. Secretary	\$ 3,000
(Thomas Rogers - hourly)	ninin meğleyine ve araş aralı alı başanı da müşdenin ve anaları alı metara alı dağı bir oldar. Baradan sana a A A <u>1</u> 4
TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES	\$23,864
B Staff Benefits	\$ 304
C. TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES, & BENEFITS	\$24.168
	<u> </u>
	Ŷ 1,200
L. LVALUALION SELVICES	
P. Evaluation Instruments	\$ 2,000
G. Instructional Materials	\$ 1,001
A. Travel to Centers	\$ 1,500
I. Travel for Consortium Members	\$ 4,023
J. Printing and Preparation of Modules	*\$ 4,692
- K TOTAL DIRECT COSTS	<u>415 086</u>
T Talinoit Coota //67 BET -6 CHINA	610 70/
L. IBGIFECT COBEB (57.554 OF Sow)	\$13,734
K. TOTAL COSTS	\$52,918
	END OF YEAR BALANCE: \$
ng n	·····

		ALC: 1. 1. 1.	- en er		÷ • • • •			S		 A second sec second second sec	and the second second	- 2010 (17 STR)		egenden og er er i er		•• •• •• • • • •
		100			-		-	in states of	_		- 11 C		- Albert - A			
	and a second	- T	- 1	100.00							· · · ·		. ER 6			-
-	- C				Property 1	A			0.000	10000		1.000		the second se		100
				- 10		a	-						- 22			-
-							_		Carto and	and the second						_
		_	_			_			1000	A.L. AND ALL		_	_		· ·	_
					and the second se	_		_								_
6 - A	- No	and the second			1.00	An and the barry of	1.1				and an international statements					*****
		- 68 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 1			- C. M. C.			- 51			1 1 1 1 2					
												24			212	

9

	Beginning Balance:	\$74,368
	Naria Mangganes (naria nan 1995) na ang kang sang sang sang sang sang sang sang s	
le Principal Investigator	S 1 - 500	
(Bobert Tager)		
A Research Associates	ST4:056	
(Vincent Lunetts)		
(Shimshon Novick)		
(Ralph Plagmen)		
3. Research Assistants	S-6-400	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(¹ Summer 1977)		<u></u>
Kike Goldberg	אין איז	
Mike Wavering		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
AC. IF Kevin O'Dell ('s Summer 1978)		
Marlene Fuhrman	ng na dikang dikanang sapa di kasar pang dina yang dina sapan na na sapan na pang di sapan na na na na na na na N	un sekter in an an inter fra fra ger an
Mike Wavering		
4. Secretary	\$ 4,845	
(Thomas Rogers)	nadem co. de casa, a casa constructor en casa a casa de construcción de construcción A	
TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES	\$26,801	
R. Staff Benefits	, ,	· · · ·
2. DEGIL DENELILS	\$ 1,488	•
C. TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES, & BENEFITS	\$28,289	
D. Office Supplies	\$ 1.593	Charlan .
R. Evaluation Services		
Presention Jeiviles	\$ 809	n g ar na maga a' ann aite an an an Allana) ait il agu
F. Evaluation Instruments	\$ 1,000	
G. Instructional Materials	\$ 1,196	
8. Travel to Centers	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	\$ 201 °	
I. Travel for Consortium Members	\$ 0	. <u></u>
J. Frinting and Preparation of Modules	\$ 4.227	
TOTAT SEDSON COCHO		
K. IOIRD DERBUI COSIS	\$ 9,326*	
L. Indirect Costs (57.55% of S&W)	\$15,424	
M. TOTAL COSTS	\$53,039	
n na sandha' na san na 🎬 sanaga san sa san san san san san san san san		andar 100 a fan de flansens
58	END OF YEAR BALANCE:	\$21,329

ERIC

54

14 H 31 H 19		1.00	2.14.16.14.14	10		C				1.1.1 Percent	1.11 1.12	. R .35			6.4.4 "			2 1 1			C. 2. C. Mar		5 F. H. 1997	1.11			- 1 C - 1 C -	- 41. 48								
Sector History	1.1	die .	Property Tes	-	THE WAR	Ly . half	1 2 34	10.05	AP 1.24	in here	dever-	·	. later	1.74	LAST &	SHARE!	T	- 19 alter	Land and	A. 2 4	434 YAL.,		, 7-1 at	1.12		d'ree.	- L.	P 144	And the s	an Alert		1.1	att fame	-		- C
1000	199	1.00	7. A.S.	2 C	CAP 7, 63-1	Sector sea	Halle Janes	1.1	122	de 1 3	Q		1000		1000	100 C 100 C 100 C		1.	11. August -				1.0413	1. C.	CORE OF		·	1.0		14 14 1 201	97-1-974	1.1	1.2		- 1M	A.A.
	1	C. 7187.2.	17.00.3570		100 US	1	1965 F =	1.1	1.17	644 - 177 - 78 F. 1	100.00	La Bola		i i i i i i	- statute	5.5.	34			2	n ya mada 15 Maria mata ma	-		Sec. 27 - 27	1,50	Tra .	1.198		5.20	1.5	د می بد بر محمد این ک	100		107	20 - 244 - 1 	6
	2.00 ⁻⁰	er		C.a.ymiliat			5. S. S.	ar all A a	C. di	<i></i>	10 (d	6	3.9			11.		15	4		¥					₽		10						1 19		4. ST
200	- 	1		Lv I.		Tripe:	78	w.					4	÷.	in e	£				U ja			and and	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1$	e de la	ЧÈ.	n an Tha an	-	-		*;;;;?	૽ૼ૽ૺ૾૾ૡ	<u>ک</u> ا	5		ti.
	17 1 3 (V.)	19 C	- 79	6	<u></u>	a de c			67.27	동일문	÷ 24,	124	• .//eq 23	e 199		e e	202	34	1-10	el fres.	6 (NA)	· * * .	*	1. 	1	1.1	9.97	i) ir an	· • • • •		sfis ginn	<u>,</u> 14 4	15 N	T : 1	*	Si sur
	بغني وتكمو		a statt or	in the second	1 1	. ÷ 5. 5. 6		ina chua		ريست الم	1. 1.0.21	بعشائر الاصا		5 <u>6 1 - 1</u> - 1	1.0.00	- 3 - A - A		- 640 - 1 A A		Additional sectors of			1.4.2	5 6 1 1 1	a	12 N A	2.00	28		ه د د س	45. A					
A ANT		N i Springer								1,41 T.		1 e 7	1999 - T	2.000	12 P.T	÷.	. 'e'	1.	004 M		CONT. 1.3	·* · _* ·	- C ***	· · · · · · ·		- 43e -		N. 8.	1.00			1 - T.) i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	- 1 J.		-
	•			× ar =		Notes and			ie ca		بعؤم	يمريقينين	- Etg. (***						atur art	-12H ALTER		en de la composition de la composition En composition de la c	iaantee t	*******	in the second		Birthad	*****	مرجعه م	and or right	- 1 10	101916-0	najiliriyi yar		enne ander	-
	2				- 9 <u>-</u>						-	يەر بېيىن				7	***	87	0				50					******		ی پنوره می ایند م	e filfer of	1459 Aria 14	and the second	_		
- Arr	-			i Pari									J	61	y	1.	1	.97	8		UD	8	30		[9]	79		******		1		1459-14-14 1-1	unitari per Li	*		
- 19 - 19 - 19	1					i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990	-]	0	Y	1,		.97	8	-	UD	8	30		[9]	<u>79</u>		******			- 	ی دیده وی و	najijini je potr V	•	ene i de la de La de la d	-
	^	(1999 1997 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997	atan ing sa						1	0]	y	1 ,]	.97	8		UD	8	30		<u>[9</u>]	<u>79</u>		******		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		144 Arca -				
	Ĵ			ΠĮ L			a far						1	0]	7	1,]	. <u>97</u>	8		UD	8	30	<u>e</u>	[9]	<u>79</u>								•	ç ın i dir.	-
	Ĵ			i Radi									J	0]	5	1,		.97	8		UD	8	30		[<u>9</u>]	<u>79</u>		- 1011						÷	-	

•

		Beginning Balance: \$21,329
	Salarics and Wages	
	1. Principal Investigator	\$ - 750
	2. Research Associates (Vincent Lunetta - I month, 1978) (Balph Plagmen)	\$ 1,500
	3. Research Assistants (4 Summer 1978) Marlene Fubraen	\$ 1,863
	Mike Goldberg Ac. Yr Ed van den Berg	• • • • •
	4. Secretary (temporary)	\$ 2,249
z . Lyhd Billin her fra hif sin fra gebliosie om se	TOTAL SALARIES AND WAGES	\$ 6,362
B	-Staff-Benefits	\$143
С.	TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES, & BENEFITS	\$ 6,505 .
D.	Office Supplies	\$ 582
E.	Evaluation Services	\$ 730
P.	Evaluation Instruments	\$ 421
	Instruction Materials	
H.	Travel to Centers	[♣] \$ 782
I.	Travel for Consortium Members	\$ 408
) 🥷 J.	Printing and Preparation of Modules	\$ 788
ĸ.	TOTAL DIRECT COSTS	\$10,452
L.	Indirect Costs (57.55% of S&W)	\$ \$
. M.	TOTAL COSTS	\$14,689
		END OF YEAR BALANCE: \$ 6,640
5	n an	1
		na na sa na na kaominina na sana na sana na sana sa
ĸĨĊ		

ERIC

n an	nyan nyanya kananya ka Manga kananya ka	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u>July 1, 19/9 - February 29, 19</u>	<u>80</u>	
an a	Beginning Balance:	\$6,640
A. Salaries and Mages		
	2	
2. Bepearch Assoclate		
3. Research Assistants	enna\$r vezt0 tarenar ti ≱ranni tari	
Section allowed and and an in the section of the se	\$ 0 •	
TOTAL SALARTES AND WAGES	\$ 750	
B. Staff Benefits	\$ 143	•
C. TOTAL SALARIES, WAGES, & BENEFITS	алы ана или ули ули ули ули ули ули ули ули ули	
	¢ 0,0	
. Evaluation Services	\$ 930	
. Evaluation Instruments	\$ 451	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
. Instructional Miterials	\$ 868	
. Travel to Centers	\$ 0 **	
. Travel for Consortium Members	\$ 20	
Printing and Preparation of Modules	<u>\$1.777</u>	
TOTAL BIDDOT OCCTO	¢7 190	
	<i>,,,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	* * *
. Indirect Costem(57.55% of S&W)	\$ 432	-
1. TOTAL COSTS	\$7,071	A
	END OF YEAR BALANCE:	\$ -431.00
		by indired
	*	costs)
	4	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
na na na na taona ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana	- , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
and the second Here to be a second		

r Land Martin Court

G. Iows-UPSTEP Beyond 1980

The lows-UPSTEP model is an integral part of science teacher education at the University of Iowa. All of the courses, the recruitment procedures, continuous involvement in the field, the five-year cycle, the special seminars continue to characterize the program as they have beyond 1975. During the past five years, funds have been used to prepare and to distribute modules, to construct and utilize assessment instruments, and to publicize the program. Many of these activities will continue. However, the general expense funds provided by the University are currently 2 limiting factor. Cails from other science educators and other institutions continue to provide evidence of the success and attractiveness of the lowa-UPSTEP model. The NSF funds have permitted us to develop a model that is attractive and transportable to other campuses. Iowa-UPSTEP has also provided an attractive model for other disciplines in secondary education at the University of Iown. Several areas such as language arts, mathematics, foreign language, social studies, and others have adopted certain features of Iowa-UPSTEP for their respective programs. The Iowa-UPSTEP model has been the subject of several sessions at national and regional meetings of the Association of the Education of Teachers of Science (AETS). It is a major source of innovative materials distributed by AETS. The program has also been endorsed by the American Association of Colleges of Teacher Education (AACTE). A summer workshop of teacher education was held during the summer of 1980. Plans call for continued development, evaluation, and expansion of Iowa-UPSTEP. $()_{i}$ 61

TI. The Iowa-UPSTEP Modules

A. Rationale for Modules

The low UPSIEP program for the education of teachers was developed to integrate theory with practice through a series of varied experiences in schools. One purpose of the NSF grant awarded in 1975 has been to prepare a series of modules enabling others in teacher education to understand the model and to utilize appropriate parts of the model. To that end, primary tention was given to the development of modules for the teacher educator that were embodied in the Iowa-UPSTEP program. However, some modules prepared had the potential to enchance the current program, even though they were not in use in 1975. Back module covers a discrete unit of the program and was designed for the primary use of instructors in teacher education. Objectives for each module were delineated, and the module included relevant materials and references for both the instructor and for teacher education students. Each module was designed to stand a set, if necessary, and contains a suggested calendar of activities prepared with the assumption that the module might not be used as part of an existing UPSTEP course. References are provided within each module to other relevant modules and materials. At the University of Iowa related modules are grouped into semester length courses that are offered to pre-service students over a four year period. Overview booklets describe the general goals of specific courses and the modules composing these courses. Instructor's nores will provide comments on issues that need special attention such as placements of students in field experiences. Each course overview booklet also contains a suggested Calendar of Activities for the semester that references specific modules and sessions.

		Part of the state
Enter Constant and the second second second		
	59	in a second s
		· · · ·
and the second s	ိုင်ကြိုင်ကိုန်နှင့်နေကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်းနေကြိုင်ကြောင်းကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်က အာဗီဆိုန်သိန်းအခြင်းသားကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်း ကြိုင်းနေကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင် ကြိုက်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုင်ကြိုက်ကြိုက်ကြိုက်ကြိုက်ကြိုက်ကြိုင်ကြိ	an an an
	ar na ghraidh a' Anna ann an Anna ann a D'Mailte I a' Anna 1 ann an Anna ann an	
₩ġġţen De SVEULE ₩ġġġġ	in a state a st A state a state	1997) - I. I. M. H. 197
Referencies and a second se	i o ostatelenen y som på etterte seden of op terreter trettere transformation ter bener at energie på ettere at Terretere som en som	an an ann an Ann an Anna Ann an Anna an
	a page. module manner, title, author(s), copyright, place of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
र्वस्तिकृतिविद्याः स्त्र ना स्तर्भन्ते न राज्यव		
TT Tabl		
E. L. L. M. S. M. S. L. M. S. M.	ne VI. CVALERICO (n. 1997). 19 de de la companya de la companya 19 de la companya de	<i></i>
TTT. Over	view manage Conta - budad attachment of	
	Betionela - orier statement of goals for the interns.	
	Activities - paragraph summary of module s rationale.	e senare senerar à 🏹
_ #==	Scheduline - paragraph summary of module activities.	
	Scheduling - special requirements including class	•
	time intervals and field assignments.	· · · · · · · ·
la til ta te⊒	Introduction acco	*
	incroduction page - preliminary information for the instructor on	a say in the second
	such items as the structure of the module.	
	suggested calendar of activities - summary of the module's sections	
	Activities (classwork, required assignments, and optional	,
···· 3	assignments) are designated by a capital letter and are listed	·· ··· ··· ··· ······
54 54	sequentially in their respective sections.	
		х *
<u> </u>	Section notes* - each section should contain the following parte:	
an Bring .	an a	
	Description - a brief description of the section's activities.	
	<u>Objectives</u> - performance objectives that apply to specific	
	section activities; these objectives should correlate with	
• •	the Performance Objectives included in the Intern Materials.	
	Prerequisites - a list of activities interns should have com-	
	pleted prior to participation in the section's activities.	
أيود فلاك يمدا والوهم وحير	Materials - materials that the instructor or interns will need	° i variar≜u in
	to complete a section's assignment or activities.	
	Activities outline - class activities for a section are listed	~
n an	and a minimum class time is indicated	
	Suggested approach - class activities listed in the Calendar	·. ·
2	of Activities are exponded and described in greater detail	-
	here. Alternetive wave to run the activities antional	
	activities and relevant successions should be decluded	
	Accivities, and rerevant suggestions should be included.	
	ARE VINEDU – LUN ARKUDURATUN COTTARDODA TA TRADE A UNIVERSIÓN A COTTARDODA	
•	of Astronomic - the astronomic to the state of the state	
	of Activities, but they may be elaborated on here	а (* ж. 1) - 11-е
	of Activities, but they may be elaborated on here	
- 	of Activities, but they may be elaborated on here	ан области и сели. Так области сели.
	of Activities, but they may be elaborated on here	
* Section	of Activities, but they may be elaborated on here	
* Section quires		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
* Section quires May_use		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
* Section quires The	of Activities, but they may be elaborated on here a are the basic units in a module. Each section generally re- between 15 minutes and 14 hours of class time; some instructors the materials in one section over several class sessions. Instructor's, Notes for each section describe moderately structured	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
* Section quires may use The activit	is are the basic units in a module. Each section generally re- between 15 minutes and 14 hours of class time; some instructors the materials in one section over several class sessions. Instructor's Notes for each section describe moderately structured ies and assignments, and provide a view of the way the module is	
* Section quires <u>may use</u> The <u>activit</u> used in	of Activities, but they may be elaborated on here as are the basic units in a module. Each section generally re- between 15 minutes and 14 hours of class time; some instructors the materials in one section over several class sessions. Instructor's Notes for each section describe moderately structured ies and assignments, and provide a view of the way the module is lowa City. It will be necessary for many instructors to modify	
* Section quires may use The activit used in the act	of Activities, but they may be elaborated on here as are the basic units in a module. Each section generally re- between 15 minutes and 14 hours of class time; some instructors the materials in one section over several class sessions. Instructor's Notes for each section describe moderately structured ies and assignments, and provide a view of the way the module is lowa City. It will be necessary for many instructors to modify ivities and assignments to meet the needs of their own programs.	
* Section quires may use The activit used in the act The	of Activities, but they may be elaborated on here is are the basic units in a module. Each section generally re- between 15 minutes and 14 hours of class time; some instructors the materials in one section over several class sessions. Instructor's Notes for each section describe moderately structured ies and assignments, and provide a view of the way the module is lowa City. It will be necessary for many instructors to modify ivities and assignments to meet the needs of their own programs. letters in the Instructor's Notes for each section correspond to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
* Section quires <u>may use</u> The <u>activit</u> used in the act The the let	of Activities, but they may be elaborated on here as are the basic units in a module. Each section generally re- between 15 minutes and 14 hours of class time; some instructors the materials in one section over several class sessions. Instructor's Notes for each section describe moderately structured ies and assignments, and provide a view of the way the module is lowa City. It will be necessary for many instructors to modify ivities and assignments to meet the needs of their own programs. latters in the Instructor's Notes for each section correspond to ters designating the various activities and assignments in the	
* Section quires <u>may use</u> The <u>activit</u> used in the act The the let suggest	of Activities, but they may be elaborated on here as are the basic units in a module. Each section generally re- between 15 minutes and 14 hours of class time; some instructors the materials in one section over several class sessions. Instructor's Notes for each section describe moderately structured ies and assignments, and provide a view of the way the module is lowa City. It will be necessary for many instructors to modify ivities and assignments to meet the needs of their own programs. latters in the Instructor's Notes for each section correspond to ters designating the various activities and assignments in the ed Calendar of Activities.	
* Section quires may use The activit used in the act The the let suggest	of Activities, but they may be elaborated on here as are the basic units in a module. Each section generally re- between 15 minutes and 14 hours of class time; some instructors the materials in one section over several class sessions. Instructor's Notes for each section describe moderately structured ies and assignments, and provide a view of the way the module is Iowa City. It will be necessary for many instructors to modify ivities and assignments to meet the needs of their own programs. latters in the Instructor's Notes for each section correspond to ters designating the various activities and assignments in the ed Calendar of Activities.	

	D. E.	Alustion:
		Totarn - commentary on the sublementary of the
en andre andre so gegennen en angenen an	r phartering and the second	antern - commencary on the evaluation of interns. (instruments
18 - 182 - 1 18 - 182 - 1		Module - commentary and instruments on evaluation of module
- sit and condition	n <mark>a na serie de la ser </mark>	affectiveness,
	9/1.1/.	
vite V • •	-BIDIIC	graphy. Kererences used in preparing the module and for supplemen-
· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	bez	C.
2* • • • •		raamii yaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa aha
¢ ग .	Specia hel tri str	I reference materials. Special materials the instructor may find pful are included here. Materials from this section may be dis- lbuted to interns, but they are primarily intended for the in- ructor.
VTT.	Interr	nsterielst.
a t i	A. Ti	tle page - same as I (above) plus the words "Intern Materials."
		an mar ang
	B. Ov	erview page - same as III (above) minus Scheduling paragraph.
na in anna an	C. Pe	rformance objectives - these objectives should include those
·	n i 2000. Serie de la composición	listed in the various sections of the Instructor's Notes.
, , , ,		
	D 18	troduction - a page or two elaborating upon the rationale for
		Tatern Materials
		THERTY INCLUDE
•	E. Pr	eliminary readings and activities - the body of this section
		should include materials that instructors duplicate for distri-
L	1	bution to interns. Materials in this section should not be too
-	· • · · · ·	specific with regard to assignments or evaluation, because many
in an star an		Instructors will wish to modify parts of the module. Copyrighted
ا تعمر	•	arcicles should not be included in this section unless they are absolutely assential to module activities. A list of suggested
÷		readings should be included here with journals and authors cited.
	e a se a an Reis Rasar nasharan T	Such a list may be amended by instructors and interns in order
		to keep the module current.
	F. C1	ass activities - this section should include materials that are
1	2.1	not to be distributed to interns until class time. Role-play
	11. 14 8.42774 15	instruments are included here.
	de na de 1870 en la seconda el	
-		
	i	
	· • ·	
	· · · · ·	una en
		na an a

,

ERIC Pruit East Provided by EPIC

C. UPSTEP Course Overview Booklet Outline Course Title: Number of Semester Hours: Location in Current Program: Meeting and Field Experience Intervals: (Approximately one General Goals Bationale typewritten page) Component Modules Table of Contents Instructor's Notes (Sections that are referenced should have the same reference numbers used for the activity that appear in the Calendar of Activities.) Administration Materials (Sample form letters to cooperating teachers, administrators, etc.) Evaluation System-(Primarily for students; Intern Materials relevant materials that are not contained in the modules.) (Each section within the Calendar of Activities un nati en millor un discrimente ancer a com sur competentia no e care e comerciano e com sur sur sur sur comer course will be numbered sequentially and will be ž, referenced to sessions of - 1. Š. specific modules.) 65 .

	62
D. Listing of Iown-UPSTEP Modules	
Status 2/80	
Modules	<u>Status (March 1980</u>
Introductory IFCAR Sangar (07:110	
T-4 Comminicating Scientific Tales	Print of mint at 11/20
- What is Science?	
- Who am I, and Where Do I Go From Here?	
	angen 1 - an an anna an an an 1997 Bliann a an an ann an ann an an an an an an
Slementary Teaching Practicum (75:91)	
II-A Understanding the Child	Not available for public di
II-B Activity-Centered Teaching of Science	Trial ed. printed 11/76
Introduction to Secondary Education (75:100)*	
III-A. The Emergence of the Secondary School	Trial ed. printed 2/78
III-B. The Changing Adolescent	Trial ed. printed 2/78
III-C. Goals, Objectives, and Competencies,	Trial ed. printed 3/78
III-D. New Directions for Secondary Schools	Trial ed. printed 5/78
III-E. Preparing to Teach	Trial ed. printed 10/78
III-F. The Teacher at Work	Trial ed. printed 10/78
JII-G. Career Alternatives Within the School	Proposed
III-H. Career Alternatives Outside the School	Proposed
agantagunu ukun unyu taki umu unyu ga dinatuk ung taki uga ga unukunu taki ung kanan ununung pikan unyum gina unu u tunu u ununu u tunu t	······································
Educational Psychology (7P:75)	-
IV-A. Child Growth and Development	Proposed
IV-B. Theories of Learning	Proposed
IV-C. Introduction to Student Evaluation	Trial ed. printed 8/77
IV-D. Social Foundations of Schools & Communities	Proposed
IV-E. Theories of Personality	Proposed
IV-F. The Role of the Teacher	Proposed
en e	<u>.</u>
Permanalisad Teaching and Learning (75:151)	
V-A. Individualizing Instruction	Rev. cd. printed 10/78
V-B. Developing a Self-Instructional Module	Rev. ed. printed 3/78
0.0	
С • • • • • • • • • •	

 K.G. Svaluating Teachers' Classroom Behaviors K.D. Interpersonal Problems in the Classroom K.S. Mastering the Human Relations Stills K.F. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom K.F. Transactional and Conceptual Development K.F. Transactions and Classroom K.F. Teaching Science As Inquiry K.K. Classroom Group Interactions and Behavior WI-A. Teaching the High Sciences WI-A. Teaching the Physical Sciences WI-A. Teaching the Physical Sciences Proposed WI-C. Selecting Program Coals and Materials: Minimodules Sequencing Strategies J. Evaluating and Selecting Curriculus Materials 4. The Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed U1/78 K.F. Pratuating the Inference WI-B. Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed U1/78 K.F. Pratuating the Instructional Potential of Common Objects Z. Frained Learning and Attitudes WI-F. Teaching Information S. Demonstrations in Science Teaching: WI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Skills WI-F. Teaching Adjovisual and Machine Skills WI-F. Evaluating Alternative Futures Proposed WI-F. Evaluating Alternative Futures Minimodules Mi			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
 W.J. Interpersonal Problems in the Classroom Trial ed. printed 2/77 K-DInterpersonal Problems in the Classroom Trial ed. printed 2/77 K-E. Mastering the Human Belations Skills Trial ed. printed 2/76 K-G. Using Case Studies to Understand Students Trial ed. printed 11/76 K-H. Intellectual and Conceptual Development Trial ed. printed 11/76 K-H. Intellectual and Conceptual Development Trial ed. printed 11/76 K-K. Classroom Group Interactions and Behavior Trial ed. printed 11/76 K-K. Classroom Group Interactions and Behavior Under way K-K. Classroom Group Interactions and Behavior Under way K-K. Classroom Group Interactions and Behavior Under way K-K. Classroom Group Interactions and Materials: Trial ed. printed -11/78 K-K. Selecting Program Coals and Materials: Trial ed. printed -11/78 Minimodules J. Content, Themes, and Objectives in High School Science J. Sequencing strategies J. Evaluating and Selecting Curriculus Materials A. The Science Department Came VZ-D. Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed 11/78 J. Evaluating Student Learning and Attitudes Trial ed. printed 11/78 J. Breinstorming Phenomena J. Berlistorming Phenomena J. Berlistorming Phenomena J. Berlistorming Phenomena J. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 WI-B. Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 WI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 WI-M. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability Trial ed. printed 11/78 WI-M. Edus and Anchine Skills Under way WI-F. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed Wi-K. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Under way WI-F. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed Wi-K. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Under way Wi-K. Developing Laboratory Scien	T A		
P-E. Interference Trial ed. printed 2/77 V-E. Hestering the Human Relations Skills Trial ed. printed 2/78 V-F. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom Rev. ed. printed 2/78 V-G. Using Case Studies to Understand Students Trial ed. printed 11/78 V-G. Intellectual and Conceptual Development Trial ed. printed 11/78 V-J. Teaching Science As Inquiry Trial ed. printed 11/78 V-J. Teaching Science As Inquiry Trial ed. printed 11/78 V-J. Teaching Science As Inquiry Trial ed. printed 11/78 V-J. Teaching Science As Inquiry Trial ed. printed 11/78 V-J. Teaching Science As Inquiry Trial ed. printed 11/78 V-K. Classroom Group Interscience and Behavior Under way Chartoulum Resources and Teaching Strategies Proposed VI-A. Teaching the Physical Sciences Proposed VI-C. Selecting Program Coale and Materials: Trial ed. printed 11/78 . Content, Themes, and Objectives in High Science Teaching: Hinisodules . Sequencing Strategies Trial ed. printed 11/78 . Exploring the Instructional Potential	7 7	Interneting lencies Classicos Benaviors	Rev. ed. printed 10/78
F-S. Assisting the magn Relations Skills Trial ed. printed 2/78 V-F. Trainactional Analysis in the Classroom Rev. ed. printed 21/78 V-G. Weing Case Studies to Understand Students Trial ed. printed 11/76 V-J. Intellectual and Conceptual Development Trial ed. printed 11/76 V-J. Teaching Science As Inquiry Trial ed. printed 11/76 V-K. Classroom Group Interactions and Behavior Under way Marticulum Resources and Reaching Strategies (75:152) Under way VI-A. Teaching the Life Sciences Proposed VI-C. Selecting Program Coals and Materials: Trial ed. printed 11/78 Minimodules I. Content, Themes, and Objectives in High School Science 1. Sequencing Strategies Trial ed. printed 11/78 1. School Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed 11/78 2. Strategies for Science Teaching Trial ed. printed 11/78 3. Demon Objects Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-P. Teaching Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-P. Teaching Phenomena Trial ed. printed 11/78 1. Exploring the E	<i>Y-U</i> .	Line Classroom	Trial ed. printed 2/77
 V-G. Transactignal Analysis in the Classroom Rev. ed. printed 5/78 V-G. Using Case Studies to Understand Students Trial ed. printed 15/78 Trial ed. printed 15/76 Trial ed. printed 15/76 V-J. Teaching Science As Inquiry V-J. Teaching Science As Inquiry V-J. Teaching Sciences and Teaching Strategies (78:152) VT-A. Teaching the Life Sciences VT-B. Teaching the Life Sciences VT-B. Teaching the Life Sciences VT-A. Teaching the Life Sciences VT-B. Teaching the Life Sciences VT-C. Selecting Program Coals and Materials: Trial ed. printed 11/78 Hinimodules Content, Themes, and Objectives in High School Science Selecting Strategies V-D. Strategies for Science Teaching: Hinimodules Trial ed. printed 11/78 Materials The Science Department Game VI-D. Strategies for Science Teaching MT-E. Evaluating Studient Learning and Attitudes Trial ed. printed 8/77 VI-F. Teaching Isboratory Science Teaching VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-F. Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-F. Evaluating Studient Learning and Attitudes Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-F. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-F. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed VI-F. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed VI-F. Areshing Laboratory Science Skills Under way VI-K. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Under way VI-K. D	<i>, ¥−8</i> .	Mastering the Human Relations Skills	Trial ed. printed 2/78
V-G. Using Case Studies to Understand-Students Trial ed. printed 11/76 V.J. Intellectual and Conceptual Development Trial ed. printed 10/75 V-J. Teaching Science As Inquiry Trial ed. printed 11/76 V-J. Teaching Science As Inquiry Trial ed. printed 11/76 V-J. Teaching Science As Inquiry Trial ed. printed 11/76 V-J. Classroom Group Interactions and Behavior Under way Ourriculum Resources and Teaching Strategies (78:152) Under way VI-A. Teaching the Life Sciences Proposed VI-A. Teaching the Physical Sciences Proposed VI-A. Selecting Program Coals and Materials: Trial ed. printed 11/78 Minfmodules The Science Department Game Trial ed. printed 11/78 . School Science Trial ed. printed 11/78 . School Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed 8/77 VI-E. Evaluating Phenomena Trial ed. printed 11/78 . Demonstrations in Science Teaching Trial ed. printed 11/78 . School Science Trial ed. printed 11/78 . Demonstrations in Science Teaching Trial ed. printed 11/78	Y-P.	Transactional Analysie in the Classroom	Rev. ed. printed 5/78
V-F. Intellectual and Conceptual Development Trial ed. printed 10/76 revisions under usy V-J. Teaching Science As Inquiry Trial ed. printed 11/76 revisions under usy V-J. Teaching Science As Inquiry Trial ed. printed 11/76 revisions under usy V-J. Classroom Group Interactions and Behavior Under usy Corriculum Resources and Teaching Strategies (78:152) Under usy VI-A. Teaching the Life Sciences Proposed VI-C. Selecting Program Coals and Materials: Trial ed. printed 11/78 Minimodules Trial ed. printed 11/78 . Content, Themes, and Objectives in High School Science Trial ed. printed 11/78 . Sequencing Strategies Trial ed. printed 11/78 . Evaluating and Selecting Curriculus Materials Trial ed. printed 11/78 . Exploring the instructional Potential of Common Objects Trial ed. printed 11/78 . Exploring the instructional Potential of Common Objects Trial ed. printed 11/78 . Exploring Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-F. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78	V-G	Using Case Studies to Understand Students	Trial ed. printed 11/76
 V-J. Teaching Science As Inquiry V-K. Classroom Group Interactions and Behavior Under way Carriculum Resources and Teaching Strategies (75:152) VI-A. Teaching the Life Sciences Proposed VI-G. Selecting Program Coals and Materials: Trial ed. printed 11/78 Minimodules Content, Themes, and Objectives in High School Science Sequencing Strategies Sequencing Strategies Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed 11/78 Materials The Science Department Came VI-D. Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed 11/78 Trial ed. printed 11/78 Materials The Science Department Came VI-D. Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed 11/78 Exploring the Instructional Potential of Common Objects Brainstorning Phenomena Demonstrations in Science Teaching VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Under way VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Under way VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills Under way VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science I. models in an Educational Perspective Are Models and Analogies in Science I. The Classroom as a Biological Cell The Classroom as a Biological Cell 	<u> </u>	-Intellectual and Conceptual Development	Trial ed. printed 10/76 revisions under way
 V.K. Classroom Group Interactions and Behavior Under way <u>Curriculum Resources and Teaching Strategies (78:152)</u> VI-A. Teaching the Life Sciences Proposed VI-A. Teaching the Physical Sciences Proposed VI-C. Selecting Program Coals and Materials: Trial ed. printed 11/78 - Minimodules 1. Content, Themes, and Objectives in High School Science 2. Sequencing Strategies 3. Evaluating and Selecting Curriculus Materials 4. The Science Department Game VI-D. Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed 11/78 1. Exploring the Enstructional Potential of Common Objects 2. Brainstorning Piknomena 3. Demonstrations in Science Teaching VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 8/77 VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-B. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills Under way VI-L. Examing Alternative Futures Proposed VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Insching Minimodules VI-M. Using Models and Analogy Are Models Raif Are Model Science Teaching Are Model Systems Used in Science Teaching VI-M. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching 	₩- J.	Teaching Science As Inquiry	Trial ed. printed 11/76 revisions under way
Corriculum Resources and Teaching Strategies (78:152) VI-A. Teaching the Life Sciences Proposed VI-B. Teaching the Physical Sciences Proposed VI-C. Selecting Program Goals and Materials: Trial ed. printed -U/78 Minimodules Trial ed. printed -U/78 1. Content, Themes, and Objectives in High School Science 2. Sequencing Strategies Trial ed. printed U/78 Materials A. The Science Department Game VI-D. Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed U/78 1. Exploring the Enstructional Potential of Common Objects Trial ed. printed U/78 2. Brainstorning Phenomena Trial ed. printed U/78 3. Demonstrations in Science Teaching Trial ed. printed U/78 VI-F. Teaching Isboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed U/78 VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed U/78 VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed U/78 VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills Under way VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway VI-M. Using Models Real?	V-K.	Classroom Group Interactions and Behavior	Under way
Uterrentities Resources and Teaching Strategies (75:152) VI-A. Teaching the Life Sciences Proposed VI-B. Teaching the Physical Sciences Proposed VI-C. Selecting Program Goals and Materials: Trial ed. printed -U/78 Minimodules 1. Content, Themes, and Objectives in High School Science 2. Sequencing Strategies 3. Evaluating and Selecting Curriculus Materials 4. The Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed U1/78 1. Exploring the Instructional Potential of Common Objects 7. 7. 2. Brainstorning Phenomena 3. Demonstrations in Science Teaching VI-F. Evaluating Student Learning and Attitudes Trial ed. printed U1/78 VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed U1/78 VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed U1/78 VI-F. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability Trial ed. printed U1/78 VI-F. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability Trial ed. printed U1/78 VI-F. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills Under way VI-K. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skill	A		n na mana any amin' amin' amin'ny fany amin' amin' Amin' amin' amin
VI-A. Teaching the Life Sciences Proposed VI-B. Teaching the Physical Sciences Proposed VI-C. Selecting Program Coals and Materials: Trial ed. printed UL/98 Minimodules Trial ed. printed UL/98 1. Content, Themes, and Objectives in High School Science 2. Sequencing Strategies Trial ed. printed UL/98 3. Evaluating and Selecting Curriculus Materials 4. The Science Department Came VI-D. Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed UL/98 2. Breinstorming Phenomena Trial ed. printed UL/98 VI-D. Strategies for Science Teaching Trial ed. printed UL/98 VI-D. Strategies for Science Teaching Trial ed. printed UL/98 VI-E. Evaluating Student Learning and Attitudes Trial ed. printed UL/98 VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed UL/98 VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed UL/98 VI-F. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability Trial ed. printed UL/98 VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Under way	Claric	ulum Resources and Teaching Strategies (75:152)	
 V7-B. Teaching the Physical Sciences "Proposed V7-C. Selecting Program Coals and Materials: Trial ed. printed 11/78 - Minimodules 1. Content, Themes, and Objectives in High School Science 2. Sequencing Strategies 3. Evaluating and Selecting Curriculus Materials 4. The Science Department Game VI-D. Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed 11/78 1. Exploring the Instructional Potential of Common Objects 2. Brainstorming Phenomena 3. Demonstrations in Science Teaching VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills Under way VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway Teaching: Minimodules 1. Models in an Educational Perspective 2. Are Models Real? 3. The Classroom as a Biological Cell 6. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching 	VI-A	Teaching the Life Sciences	Proposed
 VI-C. Selecting Program Goals and Materials: Trial ed. printed 11/78- Minimodules 1. Content, Themes, and Objectives in High School Science 2. Sequencing Strategies 3. Evaluating and Selecting Curriculus Materials 4. The Science Department Game VI-D. Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed 11/78 1. Exploring the instructional Potential of Common Objects 2. Brainstorning Phenomena 3. Demonstrations in Science Teaching VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science VI-M. Using Soft an Analogies in Science Analysis of an Analogy Science Teaching Science Teaching Statusting a Material Model Analysis of an Analogy Science Teaching 	VI-B.	Teaching the Physical Sciences *	Proposed
 I. Content, Themes, and Objectives in High School Science 2. Sequencing Strategies 3. Evaluating and Selecting Curriculus Materials 4. The Science Department Game VI-D. Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed 11/78 1. Exploring the Instructional Potential of Common Objects 2. Brainstorning Phenomena 3. Demonstrations in Science Teaching VI-E. Evaluating Student Learning and Attitudes Trial ed. printed 8/77 VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Trial ed. printed 11/78 revisions under way VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills Under way VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway Teaching: Minimodules 1. Models in an Educational Perspective 2. Are Models Real? 3. The Classroom as a Biological Cell 6. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching 	VI-C.	Selecting Program Goals and Materials:	Trial ed. printed 11/78
School Science 2. Sequencing Strategies 3. Evaluating and Selecting Curriculus Materials 4. The Science Department Game VI-D. Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed UL/78 1. Exploring the Instructional Potential of Common Objects 2. Breinstorming Phenomena 3. Demonstrations in Science Teaching VI-E. Evaluating Student Learning and Attitudes Trial ed. printed 8/77 VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Trial ed. printed 11-78 revisions under way VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-H. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills Under way VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway Teaching: Minimodules 1. Models Composed Science Science Science Science Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway Teaching: Minimodules 1. Models Composed Science		1. Content, Themes, and Objectives in High	
 7. Sequencing Strategies 3. Evaluating and Selecting Curriculus Materials 4. The Science Department Game VI-D. Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed UL/78 1. Exploring the instructional Potential of Common Objects 2. Brainstoraing Phenomena 3. Demonstrations in Science Teaching VI-E. Evaluating Student Learning and Attitudes Trial ed. printed 8/77 VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Trial ed. printed 11-76 revisions under way VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed UL/78 VI-B. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability Trial ed. printed UL/78 VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills Under way VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway Teaching: Minimodules 1. Models fin an Educational Perspective 2. Are Models Real? 4. Analysis of an Analogy 5. The Clussroom as a Biological Cell 6. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching 		School Sclence	
4. The Science Department Game VI-D. Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed UL/78 1. Exploring the Instructional Potential of Common Objects . 2. Brainstorming Phenomena . 3. Demonstrations in Science Teaching VI-E. Evaluating Student Learning and Attitudes Trial ed. printed 8/77 VI-E. Evaluating Student Learning and Attitudes Trial ed. printed 11-76 revisions under way VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills VI-H. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway Taborating : Minimodules . 1. Models fin an Educational Perspective 2. Are Models Real? 3. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching		 Sequencing Strategies Evaluating and Selecting Curricului 	
VI-D. Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules Trial ed. printed U1/78 1. Exploring the Instructional Potential of Common Objects . 2. Brainstorming Phenomena 3. Demonstrations in Science Teaching VI-E. Evaluating Student Learning and Attitudes Trial ed. printed 8/77 VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Trial ed. printed 11-76 revisions under way VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-H. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills Under way VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway Teaching: Minimodules 1. . Models in an Educational Perspective 2. . Are Models Real? . . The Classroom as a Biological Cell . .	•	4. The Science Department Game	•
 1. Exploring the Instructional Potential of Common Objects 2. Brainstorming Phenomena 3. Demonstrations in Science Teaching VI-E. Evaluating Student Learning and Attitudes VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Skills VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills VI-H. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills VI-K. Developing Large Group Teaching Skills VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science 2. Are Models Real? 4. Analysis of an Analogy 5. The Classroom as a Biological Cell 6. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching 		Strategies for Science Teaching: Minimodules	That ad printed 17/20
2. Breinstorming Phenomena 3. Demonstrations in Science Teaching VI-E. Evaluating Student Learning and Attitudes Trial ed. printed 8/77 VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Trial ed. printed 11-78 revisions under way VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-H. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills Under way VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway Teaching: Minimodules 1. Models for an Analogy 5. The Claestrom as a Biological Cell 6. 6. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching 1.		1. Exploring the Instructional Potential of Common Objects	That but princed Lifto
 Jemonstrations in Science Teaching VI-E. Evaluating Student Learning and Attitudes Trial ed. printed 8/?? VI-F. Teaching Laboratory Science Trial ed. printed 11-78 revisions under way VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills VI-H. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability VI-H. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills Under way VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science I. Models In an Educational Perspective Are Models Real? I. Investigating a Material Model Analysis of an Analogy The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching 	113	2. Brainstorming Phenomena	and a second second A second secon
VI-P. Teaching Laboratory Science Trial ed. printed 11-78 revisions under way VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-H. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills Under way VI-J. Developing Large Group Teaching Skills Under way VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway Teaching: Minimodules 1. Models in an Educational Perspective 2. Are Models Real? 1. Investigating a Material Model 4. Analysis of an Analogy 5. The Classroom as a Biological Cell 6. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching Yield	VI-E.	3. Demonstrations in Science Teaching Evaluating Student Learning and Attitudes	Trial ed. printed 8/77
VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-H. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability Trial ed. printed 11/78 VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills Under way VI-J. Developing Large Group Teaching Skills Under way VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway Teaching: Minimodules Investigating a Material Model 4. Analysis of an Analogy S. 5. The Classroom as a Biological Cell 6. 6. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching Ystems		Teaching Laboratory Science	Trial ed. printed 11-76
 VI-G. Developing Laboratory Science Skills VI-H. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills VI-J. Developing Large Group Teaching Skills VI-K. Developing Large Group Teaching Skills VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science VI-M. Using Models Real? Are Models Real? Truestigating a Material Model Analysis of an Analogy The Classroom as a Biological Cell The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching 	· ·		revisions under way
 VI-H. Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills VI-J. Developing Large Group Teaching Skills VI-K. Developing Large Group Teaching Skills VI-K. Developing Large Group Teaching Skills VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Models in an Educational Perspective 2. Are Models Real? 3. Investigating a Material Model 4. Analysis of an Analogy 5. The Classroom as a Biological Cell 6. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching 	VI-G.	Developing Laboratory Science Skills	Trial ed. printed 11/78
 VI-J. Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills Under way VI-X. Developing Large Group Teaching Skills Under way VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway Teaching: Minimodules 1. Models in an Educational Perspective 2. Are Models Real? 3. Investigating a Material Model 4. Analysis of an Analogy 5. The Classroom as a Biological Cell 6. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching 	VI-H.	Laboratory Safety and Teacher Liability	Trial ed. printed 11/78
VI-X. Developing-Large Group Teaching Skills Under way VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures Proposed VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway Teaching: Minimodules Inderway 1. Models in an Educational Perspective Investigating a Material Model 2. Are Models Real? Investigating a Material Model 4. Analysis of an Analogy Inte Classroom as a Biological Cell 5. The Classroom as a Biological Systems Used in Science Teaching Interview	VI-J.	Developing Audiovisual and Machine Skills	Under way
 VI-L. Examining Alternative Futures VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway Teaching: Minimodules 1. Models in an Educational Perspective 2. Are Models Real? 3. Investigating a Material Model 4. Analysis of an Analogy 5. The Classroom as a Biological Cell 6. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching 		Developing-Large-Group-Teaching-Skills-	-Under wav
VI-M. Using Models and Analogies in Science Underway Teaching: Minimodules 1. Models in an Educational Perspective 2. Are Models Real? 3. Investigating a Material Model 4. Analysis of an Analogy 5. The Classroom as a Biological Cell 6. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching	VI-L.	Examining Alternative Futures	Proposed
Teaching: Minimodules 1. Models in an Educational Perspective 2. Are Models Real? 3. Investigating a Material Model 4. Analysis of an Analogy 5. The Classroom as a Biological Cell 6. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching .	VI-M.	Using Models and Analogies in Science	Indeman
 Models in an Educational Perspective Are Models Real? Investigating a Material Model Analysis of an Analogy The Classroom as a Biological Cell The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching 		Teaching: Minimodules	
 Are Models Real? Investigating a Material Model Analysis of an Analogy The Classroom as a Biological Cell The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching 	а Хал а	1. Models in an Educational Perspective	
 4. Analysis of an Analogy 5. The Classroom as a Biological Cell 6. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching 	• .1	2. Are Models Real? 3. Investigating a Material Model	
5. The Classroom as a Biological Cell 6. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching		4. Analysis of an Analogy	
o. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used in Science Teaching		5. The Classroom as a Biological Cell	n an Arnaula Anna Arnaula Anna Arnaula
an ocasine resulting	n i lisalisali (na sa	o. The Basic Molecular Model Systems Used	An and the second s
	-	su seration regentrik	

ക്കുന്നു. പ്രാവുള്ളപ്പെയ്ക്കുന്നത്. പ്രോഗ്തനം തന്ത് സംസ്റ്റ് സംസംബംത്തില്ലാം		ningen en beserver in her begen en in her beste der sone in her bester bester en besterne in her bester bester
Garioul	un Workshop and Design (75:190)	
* VII-A.	Designing and Evaluating Curricula	Proposed
<i></i>	Preparing a Model Learning Unit	Proposed
Talana A	an Shi an 178 - 107/109 -	
VTTT_D	initiating poctession storent reaching	Irtal ea. printea lurre
	Growin and the Process of Teaching	Irral ed. printed 10/78
7111-6. 1/777 o	Section Teaching and Beyond	Under way
VIII-U.	Aumanizing the Science Classroom	Trial ed. printer 9/??
	Resolving Games Students Play	Proposed
VIII-P.	Getting a Teaching Job	Trial ed. printed 3/77
<i>≝ ¥11I→G</i> ,	Evaluating Teaching Success	Under way
VIII-H.	Growing Professionally in Education	Trial, ed. printed 8/77
Science	in Historical and Philosophical Perspective	
IX-A.	Teaching the Nature of Science	Trial ed. printed 4/78
IX-B.	Teaching Science: An Historical Approach	Proposed .
IX-C.	Bridging the Gaps Between Science & Society	Proposed
na ji ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana an	17	will a second second second second
Inservic	e Education	
X-A.	Stimulating Student Learning Outside the Classroom	Proposed
X-B.	Facilitating School-Community Relationships	Trial ed. printed 5/77
	Curriculum Development Workshop	Under way
X-C.		
X-C.	հայիներ հայոր հեր քնարչարը հանձան արդամանում է առանձրուն աստ առանձնուննեներ ամուրանքու է գլու դա արձանուն գատ հարար արդանը։ Առան է դան առանչ առնո	n na st alande de la constante de la La constante de la constante de
X-C. Course O	verview [*] Booklets	
X-C. Course O I-O.	verview Booklets Introductory UPSTEP Seminar Overview	Proposed
X-C. Course O I-O. II-O.	verview Booklets Introductory UPSTEP Seminar Overview Elementary Teaching Practicum Overview	Proposed Trial ed. printed 12/76
X-C. Course O I-O. II-O. III-O.	verview Booklets Introductory UPSTEP Seminar Overview Elementary Ceaching Practicum Overview Introduction to Secondary Education Overview	Proposed Trial ed. printed 12/76 Proposed
X-C. <u>Course O</u> I-O. II-O. III-O. III-O. IV-O.	verview Booklets Introductory UPSTEP Seminar Overview Elementary Ceaching Practicum Overview Introduction to Secondary Education Overview Educational Psychology Overview.	Proposed Trial ed. printed 12/76 Proposed
X-C. <u>Course O</u> I-O. II-O. III-O. IV-O. V-O.	verview Booklets Introductory UPSTEP Seminar Overview Elementary Ceaching Practicum Overview Introduction to Secondary Education Overview Educational Psychology Overview. Personalized Teaching and Learning Overview	Proposed Trial ed. printed 12/76 Proposed Proposed Proposed
X-C. Course O I-O. II-O. III-O. IV-O. V-O. VI-O.	verview Booklets Introductory UPSTEP Seminar Overview Elementary Ceaching Practicum Overview Introduction to Secondary Education Overview Educational Psychology Overview. Personalized Teaching and Learning Overview Curriculum Regources and Teaching Strategies	Proposed Trial ed. printed 12/76 Proposed Proposed Proposed
X-C. Course O I-O. II-O. III-O. IV-O. V-O. VI-O. VII-O.	verview Booklets Introductory UPSTEP Seminar Overview Elementary Teaching Practicum Overview Introduction to Secondary Education Overview Educational Psychology Overview. Personalized Teaching and Learning Overview Curriculum Resources and Teaching Strategies Overview	Proposed Trial ed. printed 12/76 Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed
X-C. Course O I-O. II-O. III-O. IV-O. V-O. VI-O. VI-O. VII-O.	verview Booklets Introductory UPSTEP Seminar Overview Elementary Ceaching Practicum Overview Introduction to Secondary Education Overview Educational Psychology Overview. Personalized Teaching and Learning Overview Curriculum Resources and Teaching Strategies Overview Curriculum Workshop and Design Overview Intern Teaching Overview	Proposed Trial ed. printed 12/76 Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed
X-C. <u>Course O</u> I-O. II-O. III-O. IV-O. V-O. VI-O. VII-O. VIII-O. IX-O.	verview Booklets Introductory UPSTEP Seminar Overview Elementary Ceaching Practicum Overview Introduction to Secondary Education Overview Educational Psychology Overview. Personalized Teaching and Learning Overview Curriculum Resources and Teaching Strategies Overview Curriculum Workshop and Design Overview Intern Teaching Overview Science in Historics and Philosophics	Proposed Trial ed. printed 12/76 Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed
X-C. Course O I-O. II-O. III-O. IV-O. V-O. VI-O. VII-O. VII-O. IX-O.	verview Booklets Introductory UPSTEP Seminar Overview Elementary Ceaching Practicum Overview Introduction to Secondary Education Overview Educational Psychology Overview. Personalized Teaching and Learning Overview Curriculum Resources and Teaching Strategies Overview Curriculum Workshop and Design Overview Intern Teaching Overview Science in Historical and Philosophical Perspective Overview	Proposed Trial ed. printed 12/76 Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed Proposed

2 	
UPSTEP Handbooks	
Elementary Teaching Practicum (75:091)	
II-S. Handbook for the Pre-Education Pra Student	cticum Printed 9/77
II-T. Handbook for the Pre-Education Pra Cooperating Teacher	cticum Printed 9/77
Personalized Teaching and Learning (75:151)	
V-S. Handbook for the Personalized Teach Practicum Student	hing Proposed
V-T. Handbook for the Personalized Teach Practicum Cooperating Teacher	ning Under way
Intern Teaching (75:191/192)	
VIII-S. Handbook for Student Teaching	. Drintad 8/77
VIII-T. Handbook for the Cooperating Teacher	Printed 8/77
VIII-U. Handbook for the University Superv	sor Unden www
Other UPSTEP Publications	in the second
O-A. The Iowa-UPSTEP Model for Science 7 Education	eacher Printed 1/75
O-B. Overview and Policies for Iowa-UPSI Development and Evaluation	EP Module Printed 7/77
O-C. Current Description & Partial Evalu Iowa-OPSTEP (Penick, Lunetta, Ky	ation of <i>Printed 4/77</i> 1c, Bonstetter)
O-D. ' The Iowa-UPSTEP Program in Internat Perspective (Pinchas Tamir)	ional Printed 3/76
O-E. Baseline Date Concerning Science Te Education Programs at the Univer Iowa, 1955-1973 (R. Yager)	acher Printed 1973 sity of
0-F. Iowa-UPSTEP Program Development fro through 1975 (R. Yager)	m 1970 Printed 1975
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

. 69

ERIC Full lext Provided by ERIC

	A	Synopsis	e t	UPSTEP	Modules
--	---	----------	------------	--------	---------

II-A: Understanding the Child

Ý. . .

Ð

Develops awareness of the ways children think and behave; also serves as an introduction to systematic observation.

II-B: Activity-Centered Science Teaching

Introduces reasons, strateging, and resources for activity-centered science teaching at the elementary level; develops skills in teaching science as inquiry.

III-A: The Emergence of the Secondary School

Gives background information on the development of secondary schools in the United States and introduces some contemporary issues involving the secondary schools.

III-B: The Changing Adolescent

Increases students'awareness of the characteristics and values of today's adolescents and tells about the impact of juvenile crime on the secondary schools. Background information on other youthserving agencies is provided.

III-C: Soals, Objectives, and Competencies

Describes some of the goals that have been set for secondary schools and shows students the need for translating goals into instructional objectives. A lengthy "programed minitext" is included to help students understand the relationship between objectives, disgnosis, prescription, and evaluation. Minimum competencies are also discussed.

III-D: New Directions for Secondary Schools

Develops student awareness of recent recommendations for improving secondary education and acquaints students with the concepts of alternative education and action learning.

Helps to make students aware of the tangible and intangible rewards of teaching. Trovides information about job opportunities in education and about procedures for getting a job. Also acquaints students with teachers' professional organizations.

III-F: The Teacher at Work

Preparing to Teach

Introduces students to lesson planning, to strategies for dealing with "problem behavior," and to professional responsibilities teachers have in addition to classroom duties.



III-E:

X		
<u>interna la capa entre de la conce</u> la II d	1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
⁴ IV-C:	Introduction to Student Evaluation	
6 J	Provides an introduction to testing and feedback, and provides ex-	
<i>P</i> y	perience in the design and use of oral questions and paper-and-pencil	
4		۵
🕅 V-A:	Individualizing Instruction	
	Introduces reasons and means for individualizing instruction; gives practice in techniques for individualizing instruction	
V	Developing a Self-Instructional Module	**
83 A.(Provides an opportunity to develop and evaluate a self-instructional module; increases familiarity with such elements of curriculum plan- ning as performance objectives and evaluation.	
V-C:	Evaluating Teachers' Classroom Rehavions	*
۳÷۳		•
- 20 € - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 2	Develops skill in the use of the SATIC teacher interaction assessment system and shows how SATIC can be used to improve teaching behaviors.	•
V-D)	Interpersonal Problems in the Classroom	<u>،</u>
@		•
	constructive teacher response.	
V-E:	Mastering the Suman Rélations Skills	
V-E:	Mastering the Human Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of actending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking	
V-E:	Mastering the Juman Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of actending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking; empathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting.	· · ·
V-E:	Mastering the Human Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of actending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking empathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting.	· · · · · ·
V-E:	Mastering the fluman Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of attending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking empathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom	
V-E:	Mastering the Human Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of attending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking empathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom Introduces Transactional Analysis and shows how it can be used in	
V-E: V•F:	Mastering the Suman Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of attending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking; empathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom Introduces Transactional Analysis and shows how it can be used in the classroom. Helps teachers understand, control, and evaluate themselves in classroom interactions.	
V-E: V-F:	Mastering the Human Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of attending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking; empathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom Introduces Transactional Analysis and shows how it can be used in the classroom. Helps teachers understand, control, and evaluate themselves in classroom interactions.	2 2
V-E: V-F: V-G:	Mastering the Human Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of actending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking; empathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom Introduces Transactional Analysis and shows how it can be used in the classroom. Helps teachers understand, control, and evaluate themselves in classroom interactions Using Chase Studies to Understand Students	
V-E: V-F: V-G:	Mastering the Human Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of attending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking; embathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom Introduces Transactional Analysis and shows how it can be used in the classroom. Helps teachers understand, control, and evaluate themselves in classroom interactions Using Obse Studies to Understand Students Hacreases sensitivity towards students through the development of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
V-E: V-F: V-G:	Mattering the Human Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of attending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking; embathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom Introduces Transactional Analysis and shows how it can be used in the classroom. Helps teachers understand, control, and evaluate themselves in classroom interactions Using Onse Studies to Understand Students Increases sensitivity towards students through the development of case studies; develops awareness of the implication's of student	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
V-E: V•F: V-G:	Mastering the Human Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of attending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking embathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom Introduces Transactional Analysis and shows how it can be used in the classroom. Helps teachers understand, control, and evaluate themselves in classroom interactions Using Case Studies to Understand Students Factering Case Studies to Understand Students Factering sensitivity towards students through the development of case studies; develops awareness of the implications of student characteristics for teaching and learning.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
V-E: V•F: V-G: V-H:	Matering the Human Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of attending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking; empathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom Introduces Transactional Analysis and shows how it can be used in the classroom. Helps teachers understand, control, and evaluate themselves in classroom interactions Using Case Studies to Understand Students Phoreases sensitivity towards students through the development of case sensities; develops awareness of the implication's of student characteristics for teaching and learning. Assessing Students' Intellectual Development.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
V-E: V-F: V-G: V-H:	Mastering the Human Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of actending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking; empathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom Introduces Transactional Analysis and shows how it can be used in the classroom. Helps teachers understand, control, and evaluate themselves in classroom interactions. Using Obse Studies to Understand Students Factears sensitivity towards students through the development of case sublies; develops awareness of the implication's of student characteristics for teaching and learning.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
V-E: V-F: V-G: V-H:	Metering the fluman Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of attending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking; empathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom Introduces Transactional Analysis and shows how it can be used in the classroom. Helps teachers understand, control, and evaluate themselves in classroom interactions Using Onse Studies to Understand Students Perfeases sensitivity towards students through the development of case studies; develops awareness of the implication's of student characteristics for teaching and learning. Assessing Students' Intellectual Development. Develops awareness of differences in students' perceptions and cog- nitive abilities. Introduces some characteristics of concrete and formal levels of intellectual development, and explores the implica- tion's of intellectual development for teaching.	
V-E: V-F: V-G: V-H:	Metering the fluman Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of aftending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking; empathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom Introduces Transactional Analysis and shows how it can be used in the classroom. Helps teachers understand, control, and evaluate themselves in classroom interactions Using Onse Studies to Understand Students Phoreases sensitivity towards students through the development of case studies; develops awareness of the implication's of student characteristics for teaching and learning. Assessing Students' Intellectual Development. Develops awareness of differences in students' perceptions and cog- nitive abilities. 'Introduces some characteristics of concrete and formal levels of intellectual development and explores the implica- tions of intellectual development for teaching.	
V-E: V-F: V-S: V-H:	Mattering the Juman Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of attending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking; embathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom Introduces Transactional Analysis and shows how it can be used in the classroom. Helps teachers understand, control, and evaluate themselves in classroom, interactions. Using Obse Studies to Understand Students Professing sensitivity towards students through the development of case studies; develops awareness of the implications of student characteristics for teaching and learning. Develops awareness of differences in students' perceptions and cog- nitive abilities. Introduces some characteristics of concrete and formal levels of intellectual development for teaching.	
V-E: V-F: V-G: V-H:	Mattering the Juman Relations Skills Provides readings and classroom exercises in the interpersonal skills of attending, paraphrasing, tagging feelings, perception checking; embathy, genuineness, confrontation, and contracting. Transactional Analysis in the Classroom Introduces Transactional Analysis and shows how it can be used in the classroom. Helps teachers understand, control, and evaluate themsalves in classroom, interactions. Using Onse Studies to Understand Students Photes sensitivity towards students through the development of case sendies; develops awareness of the implications of student characteristics for teaching and learning. Assessing Students' Intellectual Development Develops awareness of differences in students' perceptions and cog- nitive abilities. 'Introduces some characteristics of concrete and formal levels of intellectual development and explores the implica- tions of intellectual development for teaching.	

V-J: Teaching Science as Inquiry

Shows how to teach science as inquiry and by imquiry. Provides inquiry teaching experiences and an overview of inquiry resource materials.

VI-D: Strategies and Resources in Science Teaching

A series of 3- to 8-page minimodules dealing with such topics as demonstrations; using common objects; evaluating and selecting curricula; content, theme, and objectives in high school science; and sequencing strategies.

VI-E: Evaluating Student Learning and Attitudes

Provides an overview of evaluation and provides. experience in the analysis, design, and use of non-paper-and-pencil tests, attitude inventories, and various evaluation procedures.

VI-F: Teaching Laboratory Science

Develops awareness of potential and limitations of the laboratory in science teaching, and develops teaching skills.

VI-G: Developing Science Laboratory Skills

Develops competencies in a variety of laboratory skills.

VI-H: Increasing Laboratory Safety

Provides an awareness of safety procedures for laboratories and field trips and gives an introduction to teacher liability.

VIII-A: ,Goals and Expectations for Student Teaching

Introduces the student teaching experience and helps in the development of teaching goals, unit and lesson plans, and performance objectives.

VIII-B: Growth in the Teaching Process

Helps to assess and improve teaching behaviors and to make them compatible with teaching goals.

VIII-C: Student Teaching and Beyond

Helps to evaluate the student teaching experience and to improve teaching behaviors.

VIII-D: Humanizing the Science Clässroom .

Provides an opportunity to improve, or "humanize," a laboratory for classroom, and to assess the effect of the learning environment or the teacher on students' attitudes towards science. VIII-F: Getting a Teaching Job

Develops an awareness of placement office procedures and of the difficulty in finding a teaching job. Provides an opportunity for development of skills for communicating with prospective employers.

VIII-H: Growing Professionally in Education

Develops familiarity with professional organizations and programs, professional journals, and teacher organizations. Provides an opportunity for participation in professional meetings and activities.

IX-A: Teaching the Nature of Science

Develops awareness of philosophies of science and shows how to use both the historical approach and current social and moral issues in the teaching of science. Emphasizes the way scientific knowledge has developed, and points out the difference between science as inquiry and science as a rhetoric of conclusions.

X-B: Facilitating School-Community Relationships

Shows problems in school-community relationships and provides an opportunity for developing and evaluating school-community projects.

X-C: Curriculum Development Workshop

A curriculum workshop for inservice teachers.

UPSTEP Handbooks

II-S: Mandbook for the Pre-Education Practicum Student

Lists requirements, responsibilities, and activities of the Pre-Education Practicum (75:91) and gives the student some guidelines for making the practicum a successful experience.

II-T;

I: Handbook for the Pre-Education Practicum Cooperating Teacher

Gives the cooperating teacher suggestions for making the practicum experience more fulfilling for himself and his practicum students.

VIII-S: Handbook for Student Teaching

Lists requirements, responsibilities, and activities for the Intern teaching genester (75:191/192) and answers questions about student teaching.

VIII-T: Handbook for the Cooperating Teacher

Gives the cooperating teacher suggestions for making the student teaching semester a valuable experience for himself and for his interns.
Other UPSTEP Publications

۹.

ŧ

÷

•

4

۰.,

 \mathbf{C}

÷22,

• .	Describes the genesis and development of Iowa-UPSTEP and tells								
* <u>+</u> + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	about some of the innovations Towa-UPSTEP has brought to the Science								
	Education Center at the University of Iowa (1/75).								
0-B:	Overview and Policies for Iowa-UPSTEP Module Development & Evaluation								
	Tells about the module development program and how the modules fit in with the UPSTEP philosophy of science teacher education. Describes the modular format, and tells what should be included in an UPSTEP. module (7/77).								
0-C:	Current Description and Partial Evaluation of Iowa-UPSTEP (Penick, Lunetta, Kyle, Bonnstetter, 4/77).								
· · · · · ·									
0-D:	The lowa-UPSTEP Program in International Perspective (P. Tamir, 3/76).								
0-E:	Baseline Data Concerning Science Teacher Education Programs at the University of Iowa, 1955-73 (R. Yager).								
0-F:	Iowa-UPSTEP Program Development for 1970 through 1975 (R. Yager).								
, ^l andra terra terestaria en									
۵. ۲									
*									
	نې ۲۰۰۱ کې ۲۰۰۱ کې								
а н а									
. * .									
n. In	• •								
श्च र									
å i									
1	C								
а. Т. с.									
2 	ta <u>n an</u> n								

F. Module Overview Sheets

Module II-A: Understanding the Child

Goals:

1. To increase intern awareness of the ways children think and behave. 2. To introduce interns to methods of systematic observation.

71

Rationale:

The incorrect assumptions that are often made about children can best be corrected by objective observations. The elementary school experience, gives interns a good opportunity for making such observations, since at the elementary level there is less concern about knowledge of subject mather than at the secondary level.

Activities:

Interns identify children's behaviors, identify characteristics of classroom behavior, and use systematic methods for collecting data on classroom behavior. They administer Plaget-type tasks to elementary students. They also discuss the stages of intellectual development and their implications for the teaching of science.

Scheduling:

The module involves about six semigra sessions and an associated practicum of about three hours a week in an upper elementary classroom. The module should be used concurrently with module II-B, Antiput: cantered Science Teaching.

Module II-B: Activity Centered Science Teaching

72

To enable interns to become factular with the reasons, the strategies, and the resources for artivity-centered science teaching at the elementary level.

2. To give interns practice in activity-centered teaching.

3. To familiarize interns with delivities that are process-specific

rather than content-specific ft

Rationale:

Goa

Because of the rapid changes in a cience and society, teachers today should be preparing children for sicchip in a world of unknown dimensions. People who are trained under fact-formed curricula will be less able to adapt to this new world than those the are trained under curricula emphasizing scientific inquiry. The inpulty approach to science feaching is aimed at the development of skill in observing interpreting data, forming concepts, formulating and testing importance, making inferences and generalizations, and communicating ideas. To the set skills, students are given extensive direct contact with materials and phenomena and are encouraged to discover, interpret and generalize for themselves. Feople who are thinking of become trachers should be exposed to such curricula because (among other things) their experience has probably been heavily oriented toward factuli content taught in teacher-dominated classrooms, and they ought to experience other curriculum models.

Activities:

The module begins with at activity that focuses on attitudes toward teaching. Other activities provide an understanding of the goals, the rationale, and the resources of the activity-centered classroom. The teaching/learning methods in these activities can be carried over to activity-centered inquiry-teaching in the classroom. The interns teach and participate in activities that are appropriate for elementary science teaching. Module III-A: The Emergence of the Secondary School

×

Goals:

- 1. To provide background information on the development of secondary schools.
- 2. To increase student awareness of contemporary controversial issues involving the secondary schools.
- 3. To show the importance of evaluation in the evolution of U.S. secondary schools.

Rationale:

A student who is contemplating following a profession should have at least a perfunctory knowledge of the history of that profession and of some of the current issues involving the profession. This is as true of education as it is of law or medicine.

Activities:

Activities include committee investigations, class and small-group discussions, and individual reports. Most of the activities are open-ended and can easily be expanded, changed, or deleted to fit the requirements of a particular instructor:

Scheduling:

The module requires about eight 50-minute class sessions. It is one of a number of modules designed to provide an introduction to education for students who may not yet have decided to enter the teaching profession. A concurrent field experience is desirable, but it is not essential for the effective use of this module.



Module III-B: The Changing Adolescent

Goals:

- 1. To help students to understand the characteristics and values of today's adolescents.
- 2. To increase student awareness of the impact of juvenile crime of secondary schools.
- 3. To provide background information of the role of other youth-serving agencies in the community.

Rationale:

An introductory consideration of secondary schools must include an analysis of the lives of the clients--today's adolescents. This module leads students through an exploration of the characteristics, lifestyles, and values of the contemporary adolescent. Students will also investigate the work of various youth-serving agencies in the community and the interrelationships between those agencies and the secondary schools.

Activities:

Activities include committee investigations, class and smallgroup discussions, and individual reports. Most of the activities are open-ended and can easily be expanded, changed, or deleted to fit the requirements of a particular instructor.

Scheduling:

The module requires about five 50-minute class sessions. It is one of a number of modules designed to provide an introduction to education for students who may not yet have decided to enter the teaching profession. Module III-C: Goals, Objectives, and Competencies

Goals:

1. To provide background information on goals that have been set for secondary schools.

75

- 2. To involve students in the process of setting goals for secondary schools.
- 3. To show students the need to translate goals into instructional objectives.
- To help students understand the relationship between objectives, diagnosis, prescription, and evaluation in the instructional process.
- 5. To show students the advantages and disadvantages of minimum competencies in secondary schools.

Rationale:

A thoughtful introduction to secondary education must include a consideration of the goals of secondary schools--what they have been and what they should become. For would-be teachers, an understanding of the need to translate goals into instructional objectives is particularly important. And a discussion of goals and objectives would be incomplete today without exploring the concept of minimum competencies.

Activities:

Activities include class and small-group discussions, working through a programmed minitext on the systems approach to instruction, and taking a competencies-based "adult proficiency level" test.

Scheduling:

The module requires about five 50-minute class sessions. It is one of a number of modules designed to provide an introduction to education for students who may not yet have decided to enter the teaching profession.

Module III-D: New Directions for Secondary Schools

Goals:

1. To increase student awareness of recent recommendations by national study committees for improving secondary education.

2. To acquaint students with the concept of alternative education and its applications.

• 3. To acquaint students with the concept of action learning and its applications.

To involve students in consideration of some of the more widely publicized problems of secondary education.

Rationale:

A student considering entry into the teaching profession should be aware of the current trends in the profession and aware of proposals for change. He should also understand the implications those trends and proposals may have for his future as a teacher.

Activities:

Activities include committee investigations, class and small-group discussions, and individual reports. Most of the activities are open-ended and can easily be expanded, changed or deleted to fit the needs of a particular instructor.

Scheduling:

The module requires about nine 50-minute class sessions. It is one of a number of modules designed to provide an introduction to education for students who may not yet have decided to enter the teaching profession.

Module III-E: Preparing to Teach

Goals:

1.

2.

3.

To make students aware of procedures that can be helpful in getting a teaching job.

To show students various tangible and intangible rewards of teaching. To acquaint students with teachers' professional organizations and

77

the benefits they can provide.

To provide students with information about job opportunities in education.

5. To involve students in identifying qualities that lead to successful teaching.

Rationale:

Before they get too deeply committed to the teaching profession, students should be made aware of the profession's advantages and its disadvantages. This is one of a number of modules that attempts to give students a rounded view of education.

Activities:

Activities include discussions, written reports, oral reports by members of the class, and discussions with guest speakers. Most of the activities are open-ended and can be changed to fit the needs of the instructor.

Scheduling:

The module requires about five 50-minute class sessions. It is one of a number of modules designed to provide an introduction to education for students who may not yet have decided to enter the teaching profession.

(Z)

81

Module III-F: The Teacher at Work

Goals:

1.

To introduce students to the services of a curriculum laboratory, 2. To acquaint students with the variety of classroom materials available to teachers.

- 3. To introduce students to the process of planning lessons.
 - To involve students in an exploration of the causes of "problem" behavior in the classroom and strategies for dealing with it effectively.
- 5. To acquaint students with the professional responsibilities teachers have in addition to their classroom duties.

Rationale:

This module introduces students to the four most persistent problems of teachers: finding suitable classroom materials, lesson planning, discipline, and the duties and responsibilities of teachers in addition to their classroom teaching. No introductory course can deal thoroughly with these topics; that is, in part, the responsibility of methods classes. Nevertheless, an introductory class would be remiss if it did not raise these crucial topics.

Activities:

Activities include class and small-group discussions, written assignments, oral reports by class members, and a tour of a curriculum laboratory. Most of the activities are open-ended and can easily be expanded, changes, or deleted to fit the needs of a particular instructor.

Scheduling:

The module requires about five 50-minute class sessions. It is one of a number of modules designed to provide an introduction to education for students who may not yet have decided to enter the teaching profession.

Module V-A: Individualizing Instruction

Goals:

1. To develop intern awareness of the rationale, techniques, and resources for individualized instruction

To give interns practice in techniques to facilitate individualized learning. To give interns an in-depth understanding of the strengths and

To give interns an in-depth understanding of the strengths and weakness of one individualized secondary program.

Rationale:

2.

3.

A good teacher should have skills for individualized as well as the instruction, and should be able to make perceptive decisions about the selection of the self-paced versus group-paced curricula. Working with students in an individualized course will give interns a chance to know secondary school students as individuals. It will allow the practice of teaching skills, and it will provide an awareness of the pros and cons of individualized education programs.

Activities

The intern works in a support role in a self-paced classroom and helps the students as they move through the individualized materials. Interns are expected to meet their assigned class each day and to keep a daily log that summarizes activities with students and that records personal reflections on the effectiveness of their teaching. Seminar activities provide background and support for the field experience.

Scheduling:

The module requires occasional seminar sessions throughout the semesterand an associated field experience in an "individualized" classroom. **#**B: Developing a Self-Instructional Module

1. WTo give interns an opportunity to design, produce, and evaluate a self-instructional module To increase intern familiarity with such elements of curriculum planning as performance objectives and evaluation.

Rationale:

2.

Teachers often express dissatisfaction with curricula, but they do not always do all they can to imporve the curricula. The development of self-instructional modules is a good way to begin to modify the curriculum The skills required to develop a good module are those required to develop any good unit of instruction, so this experience should help tempromote a number of teaching skills.

Activities

The intern and the cooperating teacher select a module topic that, will be either remedial or an optional excursion from the Scale course. The intern develops performance objectives, a list of prerequisite skills, a task analysis, pre- and posttests, and so forth. The intern will use evaluations by condary school students, his cooperating teacher, and other interns in deciding what final revisions are necessary in his module.

Scheduling:

Pive 50-minute seminars are described, but that much class time is not necessary for satisfactory use of the module. Extensive intern work outside class is necessary. The development of asself-instructional module should be regarded as a semester-project for the interns,

This module is meant to be used with an associated field experience, but it can be modified for use without the field experience if necessary.

Module V-C: Evaluating Teachers - Classroom Behaviors

Goalss

To enable teachers and teacher interns to understand the value of accurate, self-generated feedback in enhancing the quality of creative, effective teaching.

To develop skill in the use of the SATIC, an effective interaction assessment system for teachers.

- Rationale:

All naturally occurring ecosystems include some form of feedback which helps in restoring and maintaining, an optimal dynamic balance. In some ways the classroom is much like an ecosystem. In order to maintain dynamic balance in the classroom, each member of the system needs accurate, useable information about his performance and functioning in that system. Teachers, in particular, need information which enables them to take appropriate actions in changing and improving their own classroom behavior.

Activities:

This module provides an opportunity to learn a self-assessment system including information on: #

 the mechanics of using the SATIC system, indictape recording as a feedback device, the coding of teaching behaviors, and the computation of various special behavioral indices;
the interpretation of an audiotape sample, the meaning and relationships of behavioral categories;

3. the methods of using the SATIC system to help change teaching behaviors

4. aspects and implications of SATIC and self-assessment for ,

Scheduling:

teachers.

The module contains materials through which interns can learn to code their own teaching behavior from an audiotape with reasonable reliability in under three hours outside the class. Suggestions are included for two one-mour class sessions. The module must be used with an associated field experience (practicum or student teaching). The system provides a particularly useful medium for self-assessment and supervision of student teachers. Cassette recorders and tapes are necessary. Kodule V-D: Interpersonal Problems in the Classroom

 To focus interns' attention on the affective domain and help them to perceive students' feelings, desires, attitudes and values.
To help interns to develop techniques for constructive responses to students' feelings, desires, attitudes and values.

Rationale:

Goals:

Learning to express and direct oneself is part of the process of growing up. To experiment with self-expression and self-direction, students need a classroom atmosphere of trust, respect, and openness. Self-expression. selfdirection and learning in general are best facilitated when the teacher per-' ceives and constructively responds to the students' desires and capabilities. When a teacher is able to offer understanding and empathy to his students, he should be able to deal more effectively with discipline problems, including some that have reached crisis stage. But the great advantage of having some practical knowledge of interpersonal relations is that the teacher may be able to solve or ameliorate such problems before they reach crisis stage.

Activities:

In role plays, the interns go through various student-teacher interactions; the interactions are discussed and alternative teacher strategies are suggested. The interns learn interpersonal skills, and the later sessions require the interns to integrate these skills in practice. The skills are carried over into the classroom by means of a "contract" the interns make with each other for improving some aspect of their classroom performance.

-Scheduling

Six to eight hours of class time are required. The module will be most effective is used in conjunction with a field experience, but it may be used without the field component.

It is recommended that module V-C, Evaluating Teachers' Classroom Behaviors, be used concurrently.

bdule V-B: Mastering the Ruman Relations Skills Goals: To provide an overvice of the human relations skills. To provide a rational for their use. 1. To show how the skills can be effectively employed to improve interpersonal communications. To provide training in: a) attending behaviors, b) paraphrasing, tagging of feelings, c) perception checks, d} e) empathy. £) genuineness, confrontation g) contracting. **h**) To give interns feedback for their use of the skills. 5. Rationale: -Teaching is-basically-a-profession of interpersonal helping. The successful teacher, if not specifically trained in human relations, generally possesses at least an instrinctive familiarity with the basic human relations skills. But not all teachers have such an instinct -- and even for those who dog specific training in the skills can have beneficial results for both the teagher and his students. Activities: For each of the skills, the module contains readings, in-class structured activities," and exercises for practicing the skills with students in Athe intern's practicum setting. The emphasis throughout is on using the skills in real-life situations. Scheduling: λ The module consists of eight two-hour seminars. It is meant to be used in conjunction with a practicum in the intern's junior year. The module can be used without the practicum, but it will not be as effective. that way.

Module V-P: Pacilitating Classroom Interactions

Through Transactional Analysis

Goele

- To-develop an awareness of r
 - professional relationships in teach
- To provide meaningful simulation and iscussion of problematic
- classroom, school, and community interest To introduce Transactional Analysis (.... tions.
- Lits applications. To help teacher interns develop a ratio blem-solving system

in the interpersonal

for classroom, school, and community intern

Rationale:

The political and interped realities of often puzzling for new teacher and they are tool education. This module provides they to recognize wnity are In teacher . ANT y to recognize ential difficulties and a workable system of analysis developing cooponse Categies for those difficulties.

Activities:

The seminar sessions consist primerily of simulations, role plays, and discussions which lead to a conceptual and experiential understanding of the TA ego states and other related information.

. 88

Scheduling:

ises four one-hour seminar This modul essions concurrent asirable, but not essential field experience

Module V-G: Using Case Studies to Understand Students .

Goals;

To increase internal sensitivity towards students. To help interns to understand the implications of student characteristics for meaching and learning.

els 🗰 e 🏙 👘 🖓

Rationale:

Learning is dependent on students' interests, skills, and aptitudes, and teaching can be more effective if the teacher is aware of the specific interests, skills, and aptitudes of his students. The development of case studies should help interns to go beyond superficial observations and, in studying a few students in detail, to increase their understanding of students in general.

Activities:

The interns observe a few selected students in the classroom; they work with them, talk with them, and get enough background information to develop a detailed perception of the students special characteristics. The seminars help to focus attention on televant student characteristics and on techniques for acquiring information. Timplications of the student characteristics for teaching are discussed in seminar.

Scheduling

Two or three 50-minute class, sessions and an associated field, experience (or access to students in a classroom) are required. Concurrent use of module V-H, Assessing Students Antellactual Development, Seprecommended

89



Module V-H: Assessing Students' Intellectual Development

Goals

2.

Lectons L

To provide an awareness of differences in perception and cognitive abilities among students.

86

- To introduce some of the characteristics of concrete and formal
- levels of development.
- 3. To explore the implications of intellectual development for teaching and curricula.

There are large differences in the cognitive abilities of students and in their abilities to process logical thought. Such differences have implications for teaching and for the selection of curriculum materials, and these implications must be explored. In this module, interns have the opportunity to examine intellectual differences through interviews and through the administration of Plagetian tasks.

Activities:

The module begins with an introduction to intellectual development and to the administration of Piaget-type tasks. The interns administer such tasks to secondary school students to gain a firsthand awareness of differences in cognitive skills. The module concludes with an examination of relevant cognitive data, further study of intellectual development, and a review of the implications of intellectual development for teaching.

Scheduling:

Two or three class sessions are required, plus time for the administration of tasks to students in the field. An associated field experience or access to secondary school students for the administration of tasks is essential.

.90

Module V-K: Teaching Science as Inquiry

To show interns how to teach cience as inquiry.
To show interns how to use inquiry techniques in the teaching of science.
To provide teaching experience with inquiry laboratory activities and with "inquiry into inquiry."
To provide an overview of inquiry resource materials.

Rationale:

Goal

Modern secondary science teachers should be competent in the use of inquiry teaching strategies. When teaching is limited to didactic instruction, there is insufficient opportunity for students to develop attitudes of inquiry and to experience some of the processes of scientific thinking that are among the broad goals of science teaching. Students need to be encouraged to think for themselves, to hypothesize, to test their hypotheses, to develop ideas, to gather data, to improve understanding.

Activities:

In this module interns explore the rationale and strategies for inquiry teaching and they plan, teach, and evaluate inquiry activities. The interns also select a conventional, published laboratory activity and modify it from didactic to inquiry form. The new inquiry lab activity is used by students and evaluated. This module includes a review of resources from which appropriate inquiry teaching activities may be developed.

Scheduling:

1

The module will involve five to seven 14-hour sessions. It has been prepared for use with an associated field experience with secondary school students but it may be used without the field component if appropriate arrangements cannog be made.

91: Za

Module VI-E: Evaluating Student Learning and Attitudes

Goals:

- To provide an overview of the purposes, potential, and limitations of evaluation.
- 2. To teach interns basic concepts of evaluation and to give them a chance to apply the concepts.
 - To provide experience in the analysis, design, and use of non-paper and-pencil tests.
 - To provide experience in the analysis, design, and use of attitud inventories.
- 5. To provide experience in the analysis and design of evaluation procedures, including grading and reporting.

Rationale:

ah (

Evaluation is a necessary part of the teacher's duties, but it should be more than just a duty; it should be an integral and supportive part of instruction. The activities in this module are designed to accomplish that end.

Activities:

An earlier module on evaluation (module IV-C: Introduction to Student Evaluation) dealt primarily with paper-and-pencil achievement tests; this module emphasizes other measures which, in spite of their importance, are not widely used by science teachers. Interns learn basic concepts related to testing and evaluation and they deal with grading and reporting of test secults. Throughout the module a variety of measures and techniques are employed to involve the interns in experiences (such as use of the concept inventory) providing a "hidden curriculum" which is mongruent with and supportive of the overt curriculum.

Interns begin by discussing the goals and objectives of evaluation, the difference between measurement and evaluation, and the means by which evaluation can become an integral part of instruction. They respond to an evaluation concept inventory which contains close to 100 concepts and terms related to evaluation. This instrument is an example of a motivating, organizing, and self-evaluation device; similar inventories may be used later by interns in their classes. Interns devise examples on non-paper-and-pencil

- tests and perform a practical laboratory test.' They also respond to an inventory measuring attitudes toward inquiry teaching and become familiar with several forms of attitude inventories. Where feasible, they admissifier nonpaper-and-pencil tests and attitude inventories to students and analyze the results. They deal with grading and other ways of giving students feedback and reporting to parents. Finally, they consolidate what they have learned
- by discussing classroom incidents related to evaluation and by post-testing. themselves with the same concept inventory that was used as a pretest.

Scheduling:

The module requires five 90-minute sessions. Module IV-C or a similar introduction to evaluation should be a prerequisite.

Hodule VI-P) Teaching Laboratory Science

1. To show interns the role, the potential, and the limitations of the laboratory as a teaching device. To help interns develop laboratory teaching skills.

신한

2.

To help interns develop positive attitudes toward laboratory 3.--experiences and laboratory teaching.

Retionale:

The laboratory is a distinct learning environment highly related to scientific inquiry and possessing unique advantages for learning science. In recent years most educators have recognized the value and potential of activity based learning in all areas. The science laboratory offers endless opportunities for the development of a variety of intellectual, inquiry, manipulative and inter-personal skills. In many institutions, including those which train teachers, the science laboratory has not been adequately utilized. If interns use their own laboratory experiences as models, their utilization of the laboratory when they become teachers can be as inadquate as their past experiences. This module will demonstrate how valuable laboratory work can be when properly planned and adequately utilized.

Activities:

The seminars include individual and small group activities. Assignments include reading, reporting, self-learning laboratory activities, field experiences, and planning and analysis of laboratory activities.

Scheduling:

The module requires six 90-minute sessions. No field experience is required.

Module VI-G: Developing Science Laboratory Skills

Goal:

Rationale:

Science teachers should be competent in a pariety of basic laboratory skills in their academic areas, not only for affect reasons, but so that laboratory activities can be done afficiently and effectively. The skills include the use and repair of laboratory equipment as well as the ability to perform chemical and biological techniques.

Activities:

The teacher intern, in self-instructional activities, acquires and demonstrates selected laboratory skills beneficial to effective laboratory teaching. These skills include: preparing standard solutions, glass working, care of plants and animals, maintaining aquaria and terraria, and using and maintaining laboratory equipment.

To show teachers how to make laboratories and field trips safe To give teachers an adequate knowledge of safety procedures. To give teachers the necessary skills for checking laboratory

safaty and correcting potential hazards."

Rationale:

Goal

The mafety of students is a prime responsibility of every teacher, and teachers should be familiar with safety procedures. Since laboratory work is an integral and essential part of science courses, teachers should be able to make the laboratory a safe place in which students tan work. Teachers should also be familiar with state laws regarding safety in the laboratory and on field trips and with the liabilities incurred with unsafe practices. The discussion of liability should not discourage the use of the laboratory and field trips, but it should encourage proper planning and procedures for these scivities.

Activities:

The teacher intern runs a safety check in a college or secondary school science laboratory, notes violations of good safety procedures, and makes recommendations for correction of violations. As an option, the teacher intern can observe a segment of a laboratory activity in progress, note student and teacher behaviors that indicate knowledge or lack of knowledge of good safety practices. The intern reads about state laws that are relevant to laboratory safety and about teacher liability for inattentiveness to safety in the laboratory and on field trips. The intern analyses two case studies in teacher liability and suggests procedures that would enable appropriate activities to proceed so that liability is not incurred.

Scheduling:

The module requires two or three 50-minute class sessions sometime in the third or fourth year of the teacher education sequence. Module VIII-A: Initiating Successful Student Teaching

Goals To make professionslly sound, personally compatible placements for student teaching. -To initiate effective relationships between student teachers, cooperating teachers, university supervisors, and school district and university staffs. To lead interns to an understanding of cooperating teachers and university supervisors' expectations. To help interns discover the structure, functions, and resources of the cooperating school's staff and facilities. To lead interns to an awareness of their cooperating teacher to routines and teaching strategies and of the attributes and needs of the students. To help interns develop goals for student teaching. 6. Rationale: Student teaching involves interactions of people, places, and materials, and these interactions can not always be expected to go smoothly. Therefore it is essential that these interactions be adequately considered during the period of placement for student teaching. This module helps with school placement and helps to initiate a positive atmosphere for student teaching.

Activities:

The activities include conferences among university supervisors, student teachers, school district staff, and cooperating teachers; informal social gatherings; seminars; school visits; and classroom observations.

-Scheduling:

The module is designed to precede an extended student teaching experience. The activities begin about halfway into the semester before student teaching and extend into the student teaching semester. There are only two seminars included; they take place in the first couple of weeks of the student teaching semester. Module VIII-B: Growth in the Teaching Process

1. To facilitate the teaching goals of Module VIII-A.

2. To identify the student behaviors and the teaching behaviors implied by the teaching goals.

. 93

- 3. To assess teaching behaviors and to improve and develop selected behaviors.
- 4. To develop and employ a sensitive evaluation system compatible with the teaching goals and with the constraints of the school and the cooperating teacher.
- 5. To develop and employ a workable system for classroom management compatible with the teaching goals.
- To develop an intern awareness of the variety of science curricula and instruction being used in the cooperating schools.

Rationale:

Geals:

Good teaching does not heppen by accident. It can be developed if teachers assess what they are doing from time to time and if they objectively examine the implications of their own behavior. A good teacher develops and grows in response to his interactions with students and others in the learning environment. The activities in this module are designed to help such development and growth.

Activities:

The primary activities support classroom teaching and occur in the classroom. Interns prepare lesson plans, specifying performance goals that are consistent with the teaching goals already developed, and use them in their teaching. At the end of each day they annotate the lesson plans, asseas the degree to which their goals have been attained, and describe changes they would make in a second round of teaching.

Students behaviors and teaching behaviors implicit in the teaching goals are developed in seminar activities. The interns assess their own teaching behavior by making and analyzing audio- and videotapes. They develop a contract to change ineffective teaching behavior and they monitor their behavior change. Some activities also deal with evaluation and classroom management; each intern develops systems that are compatible with his teaching goals and with the constraints of his school and his cooperating teacher.

Scheduling:

This module provides activities that run for most of the duration of the student teaching experience. Besides the time spent in classroom teaching and preparation, interns will have to attend one liphour seminar every week. Completion of Module VIII-A is a prerequisite.





Module VIII-C: Student Teaching and Beyond

To evaluate the student teaching experience.

To compare the accomplishments of the student teaching experience with the teaching goals defined in Module VIII-A.

3. To develop a new and better list of teaching goals.

To describe how personal teaching behavior should be modified and developed for future teaching.

<u>Rationale</u>:

1.

Evaluation is the first step towards improvement. This module places the student teaching experience in perspective and encourages the intern to look to the future.

Activities:

Interns avaluate the student teaching experience and compare their accomplishments with the teaching goals defined at the beginning of the semester. Differences between hopes and reality are examined. Were the goals unrealistic? How should they be modified? Each intern develops a new set of goals for a new round of teaching in his own classroom and describes how he would modify and develop his teaching behavior in his own classroom. He prepares a position statement on his teaching values and style.

Scheduling:

This module is designed to conclude an extended student teaching exper-. ience. Activities will take place outside of class and in one or two li-hour semimars.

Nodule VIII-D: Humanizing the Science Classroom

Goals:

1. To develop criteria for pleasant and attractive science laboratories and classrooms.

To use such criteris to suggest possible improvements in laboratories and classrooms.

To plan and carry out a project to improve a laboratory or classroom. To determine the effect of the teacher's personality and actions on .

the learning environment.

To determine the effect of the learning environment on students' attitudes towards the study of science.

Rationale:

4----

Science classrooms and laboratories often are either too cold and sterile or else too cluttered and unorganized. Either of these extremes may lead the students to develop negative attitudes towards the study of science. This module has been designed to help interns to "humanize" classroom environments while stressing the importance of the teachers in maintaining such environments.

Activities:

in state i serie

The interns carry out projects to humanize the science classroom/laboratory environment in the schools in which they are teaching, and they determine what effects teachers have on the classroom environment. They evaluate the effects of their improvement projects or the effects of teachers' personalities and actions on students' achievement and attitude. In the introductory sections, interns develop criteria for humanizing the science classroom or laboratory.

Scheduling:

The module covers five 50-minute class sessions. It should be used in conjunction with a field experience. Alternatively, it can be used as part of an inservice education project.

Mödule VIII-F: Get

ching Job

96

Goals:

1. To investigate educational placed procedures

2. To register with an educational placement office.

3. To develop techniques for communicating with potential employers. 4. To develop skills for employment, interviews.

ությունը։ Հայտանի վերջությունը հետությունը էրությունը։ Հայտանի վերջությունը հետությանը հետությունը։ Դրուն էրությունը։

Rationales

Because there are now considerably more qualified teachers than there are teaching positions, employers can be more selective than they used to be. Therefore it is important for students to know how to look for a job and how to sell themselves to a prospective employer. A continuing record of professional experience, such as is kept by a placement service, facilitates gaining new positions. Special skills are

necessary for assembling such a record. Other skills are needed for writing, for telephoning, and for other types of contacts with potential employers: The personal interview is the most important type of contact, and as such it deserves special attention.

Activities:

The seminar activities include a number of exercises in communication skills, such as writing letters, telephoning, and interviewing techniques. Internstegister with an educational placement office, and each intern participates in a mock interview.

Discussions with first-year teachers, school administrators and educational placement personnel offer atlernative views of the problem of getting a job.

Scheduling: •

This module is designed for a seminar that accompanies the intern's final student-teaching experience. It is assumed that some of the activities in the module will be completed before the last semester of the final year of the intern's program. Module VIII-H: Growing Professionally in Education.

1. To become familiar with special professional organizations and programs.

To participate in professional meetings and special activities including firsthand experience with inservice teachers.

To use information from a variety of professional journals.

To investigate the philosophies and programs of teacher organizations.

Rationale:

₹3.

Goals:

The competent teacher finds ways to remain dynamic and professionally alive even in the midst of institutional lethargy. This module introduces preservice teachers to professional organizations and activities that should facilitate understanding and help to initiate continuing professional growth. The module gives preservice teachers firsthand experiences with professional organizations, their meetings, and their journals.

Activities:

Interns explore means for professional growth through a variety of activities. Individual readings and activities within the intern's academic field provide a background for interdisciplinary class discussions, i panels, and role-playing activities.

Optional activities include interviews with inservice teachers about professional organizations, bargaining and inservice activities; participation in professional meetings and inservice activities; and a critical review of professional journals in the intern's major field. Interns will have the opportunity to talk with representatives of educational associations or with people planning to present papers or lead activities at professional meetings. Interns may also choose to prepare a manuscript for publication in a professional journal, to develop and run an inservice activity, or to plan a program for presentation at a professional meeting.

Scheduling:

This module has been prepared for use in a seminar for student teachers, but it can also be used in a methods course before the interns begin student teaching. It can be used over a long period of time since many of the activities are long-range ones.

A concurrent field experience is not required.

Module IX-A: Teaching the Nature of Science

To familiarize interns with conceptions of philosophers of science about the nature of science. 2. To show the meaning of the historical approach and to provide experiences related to its incorporation into science courses. 3. To familiarize interns with basic concepts and processes for the development of scientific knowledge/ To show interns how to use social and moral issues in the teaching of science. 5. To give interns an understanding of the structure of human knowledgein general and of science in particular. To identify the image of science embedded in particular curricula. 6. To show the difference between science as inquiry and science as a rhetoric of conclusions. To acquaint interne with instruments to metsure stritudes kowards. and understanding of, science and scientists. Rationale: Knowledge and understanding of the nature of science is a central aim. of all the new science curricula of the 1960s and 1970s. So far researchshows that a number of potentially effective variables have not been sugcessful in enhancing a higher level of understanding of science. There unfruitful variables include: teaching strategies in the classroom and the laboratory (inductive, open-ended, inquiry, and guided discovery), teacher characteristics (professional training, knowledge of the subject, commitment' to a given philosophy, classroom climate and teaching style), and curricula. However, experimental courses in which learning about science and scientists was made explicit ("Science' and Culture," "History of Science Cases"), signif. icantly increased the students' knowledge and understanding of science. It follows that as far as this important aim is concerned, one cannot count on incidental learning. Specific and explicit efforts by teachers as well as designers of curricula are needed. The purpose of this module is to acquaint prospective teachers with issues and problems related to, this aim. It is realized that a module of this scope. is by no means a substitute for courses in the history and philosophy of science. Nevertheless, the module does provide a selection of valuable experiences. For those who have not taken a course in the history and philosophy of science, it opens the gate to a new realm. For those who are knowledgeable in the area, it provides an integration of ideas' and practical applications which are often missing in regular history and philosophy of science courses. This module should be looked upon as a beginning, a foundation on which prospective teachers can build in the course of their professional development.

ERIC

GOALS

Activities:

Interns begin by considering a new proposed science, umbrellaology. Through this stimulating experience they come to examine the question: What is science? They read a paper by Medawar, "Is the Scientific Paper a. Fraud?," and examine and analyze a scientific paper in terms of Medawar's ideas. By these experiences they tackle the notion of The Scientific Method and find how limited and unrealistic it is. The historical approach is then introduced as a way of providing realistic image of science, scientists and the growth of scientific knowledge. Interns examine curricular materials which follow this approach and try to design their own units and teach them. Additional reading and discussions bring out the role of models and theories in science and the issues involved in their teaching to high school students. Again actual planning and teaching follow the theoretical discussions. New trends in science teaching emphasizing social issues are brought in and the problems of incorporating social issues into science courses are dealt with. The nature and importance of the structure of knowledge, the disciplines in general and science in particular are discussed and illustrated in order to provide a sound basis for making decisions , related to disciplinary versus integrated courses and their implications. The importance of adhering to the notion of science as inquiry as the core of any science course is realized through a series of analyses of teachers! guides and student materials. The module ends with an activity designed to acquaint the interns with instruments that measure knowledge and understand - . ing of, and attitudes towards, science.

Scheduling:

The module involves six 1¹1-hour sessions and an optional follow-up meeting. It has been prepared to use with associated field experiences with secondary school students, but it may be used without the field component if appropriate arrangements cannot be made.

		• • • • •						ar na fan de		
Mahardo, mandre (h. Bandron Funcan Wal	an a sun a da an				en les commensail l'an dans d'un d		**************************************	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
	· · ·	÷			5	•			и	
			•		•		••	**************************************		
C	· · · · · ·	· · ·		103		. /				

Module X-B: Facilitating School-Community Relationshi

To understand the rationale and problems associated with school community relationships. To provide the teacher with an opportunity to outline resources . and goals associated with school-community projects. To examine difficulties associated with teacher-parent communication. To demonstrate the process of consensus decision-making in-groupactivities. 5. To plan activities leading to the development of school-community projects. To design strategies for achieving school-community goals. To evaluate the success of school-community projects. 7. Rationale: As well as being competent in making use of available community resources in their school programs, teachers should be willing and able to v contribute to projects involving the community at large. They should understand the needs of the community and work in harmony with others in satisfying-these-needs. At the school-level, they should actively seek cooperation from parents and other resource personnel in developing programs relevant to the needs of their students. Activities: . In this module teachers explore the rationale and strategies for en-. couraging close cooperation between schools and the community. They are alerted to the range of resources available to both the school and the community and consider a number of objectives that might guide cooperative projects. Opportunities are made available for teachers, to consider difficulties in communicating with members of the community, particularly parents. Some necessary skills for working in groups are developed with emphasis on consensus decision-making. The module concludes by giving interns an opportunity to design porgrams and activities for community participation. Scheduling: The module contains five 12-hour sessions, which can be used together or as individual units. The module can readily be used in association with field experiences with teachers and members of the community, but can also be used without the field component if appropriate arrangements cannot be made. All sections are suitable for inservice activities with practicing teachers. Some sections might be used effectively with other modules. For example, Section 4 on consensus decision-making could be used whenever it is desirable to develop skills for small-group activities.

G. Teacher Education Centers Using Iowa-UPSTEP Modules

Distribution List

<u>7 M</u>1

(Update: 1980) Dr. Lloyd Barrows Dr. Maxine S. Jackson University of Maine at Orono Wisconsin State University Orono, Maine LaCrosse, Wisconsin ana na 🗸 🔤 Dr. Benjamin E. Bandiola Dr. Robert K. James Union College Kansas State University Lincoln, Nebraska Manhattan, Kansas ¥ 1.671.67 Dr. Charles Barman Dr. William G. Lamb University of Wisconsin Delta State University Superior, Wisconsin Cleveland, Mississippi Dr. Herbert Brunkhorst Dr. William LaShier Coe College University of Kansas Cedar Rapids, Iowa Lawrence, Kansas Dr. Betty M. Burchett Vincent N. Lunetta University of Missouri. University of Iowa Columbia, Missouri Dr. LaMonte Lauridsen Dr. Eugene L. Chiappetta Baker University University of Houston Baldwin City, Kansas Houston, Texas ' Dr. J. Benjamin Leake Dr. Robert, E. Cook University of Missouri Southeast Missouri State College Columbia, Missouri Cape Girardeau, Missouri-Dr. Vincent D_ Mahoney Dr. Andrew W. Darton, Jr. Iowa Wesleyan College University of Missouri Mt. Pleasant, Iowa Kansas City, Missouri Dr. Dònald McCurdy Dr. Carl Eisemann University of Nebraska Knox College Lincoln, Nebraska Galesburg, Illinois Mr. John M. Nickel / Dr. Donald Hamilton Wichita State University Western Illinois University Wichita, Kansas Macomb, Illinois Dr. James Okey Benjamin-G. Henry-University of Georgia Murdock Teacher Center Athen, Georgia Withita, Kagsas Dr. Paul Otto Dr. Allen Herrboldt ,-University of South Dakota* Westmar College Vermillion, South Dakota LeMars, Iowa



102 : Mr. Phil Randol Dr. Herb Cohen Murdock Teacher Center Arizona State University Wichita, Kansas. Tempe, Arizona Dr. Donna L. Sienro Robert Hardingham* Governors State University Kelvin Grove College Park Forest South, Illinois Brisbane, Queensland Australia Dr. Leopold B. Smigelski Millikin University Massood Ashrafi Decatur, Illinois Bu-Sina University Iran_ Dr. Robert Snavely Loyola University Dr. Michael J. Wavering Chicago, Illinois Model Laboratory School Eastern Kentucky University Dr. Alan M. Voelker Richmond, Kentucky Northern Illinois University DeKalb, Illinois Dr. Dale Jensen Northern Trails AEA Dr. Russell Yeany Clear Lake, Iowa University of Georgia -Athens, Georgia Philip Horton Florida Institute of Technology Dr. Leon J. Zalewski Melbourne, Florida Governors State University Park Forest South, Illinois A. O. Jackson UNM Bookstore Bill Sharp Albuquerque, New Mexico Jamestown Public Schools Jamestown, New York Department of Education St. Ambrose College Dr. Donald C. McGuire Davenport, Iowa National Science Foundation . Department of Education Dr. Tony Heiting Indiana University Iowa Energy Policy Council Bloomington, Indiana Des Moines, Iowa Dr. M. F. Thomaz Jerry Krockover University of Aveiro Purdue University Aveiro, Portugal West Lafayette, 'Indiana Department of Education John E. Penick University of Maine at Orono University of Iowa Orono, Maine ×., Dr. Ronald Simpson Cowles Library North Carolina State Drake University Raleigh, North Carolina Des Moines, Iowa Dr. William Capie Central Stores University of Georgia University of Akron Athens; Georgia Akron, Ohio

106



103 Dr. Chris Bigun , Dr. Laverne Thelen Melbourne State Collége 101-A School of Education Melbourne, Australia University of Massachusetts Amherst, Massachusetts 01003 Dr. Pamela M. Balch West Virginia Wesleyan College Dr. Gordon Senoff Buckhannon, West Virginia Science Education Faculty of Education Mr. James M. Langford Brandon University 3802 Del Sienno Brandon, Manitoba Wichita, Kansas 67203 CANADA R7A 6A9 Dr. W. D. Samiroden Dr. Paul Blisenherz Department of Secondary Education Curriculum and Instruction Faculty of Education University of New Orleans University of Alberta New Orleans, Louisiana 70122 338 Education South Edmonton, Alberta Department of Education CANADA T6G-2G5 Radford University Radford, Virginia 24142 Kenneth D. Moore Department of Education Patricia Lucido Box 2758 Horace Mann Learning Center University of Science & Arts of Oklahoma Northwest Missouri State University Chichkasha, Oklahoma 73018 Maryville, Missouri 64468 Paul Bisgard Principal Nashua Elementary School Nashua, Iowa 50658 Project RISE Interdistric Committee Halls Hill Road Colchester, Connecticut 06415 Department of Curriculum Studies Education Building Room 3025 University of Saskatchewan Saskatoon, Saskatchewan CANADA S7N OWO G. Gendaro Curriculum and Instruction 148 Peik Hall 159 Pillsbury Drive, S. E. Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455 Mr. Roger Spratt Science Coordinator Ames Community School District 120 S. Kellogg / Ames, Iowa 50010

III. Iowa-UPSTEP Program Evaluation

A. The Evaluation Efforts in General

The set of conditions that initially stimulated the need for Iowa-UPSTEP in 1969 has changed many times in the ten years of development and operation. Although the demand for science teachers changed during the decade, some characteristics remain; namely, 1) the problems related to teacher education programs embedded in a large academic institution in which early identification of potential students is difficult; 2) number of science education students is small; 3) institutionally, undergraduate teacher education has a relatively low priority; 4) the reward system encourages activities other than teacher education program development, implementation, and evaluation; and 5) enrollment in public school science is decreasing and shifting toward junior high school. In such-amilieu, Iowa-UPSTEP has attempted to develop a program model which reflects a variety of external influences and delineates the roles of the players involved.

It was obvious early in the development of Iowa-UPSTEP that the model required a dynamic aspect which would reflect the changing needs of teacher education as well as the futuristic demands of American education. Jensen (1971) found that the traditional program at Iowa lacked 1) integration between courses in the program, 2) concern with the real world--the public school classroom, and 3) contemporary ideas and materials, i.e., new curricula and progressive approaches. Golmon (1971) explored methods courses and student teaching at the University of Iowa prior to the development of UPSTEP and found that preservice experiences affect student attitudes, philosophies, and self-concept. Pizzini (1973) found that UPSTEP students' self-concept and attitude toward science improved considerably more than a similar group of non-UPSTEP students. Phillips (1976) found that after the first freshman clinical experience, UPSTEP students

<u>ه</u>
were more humanistic than other elementary or secondary students after a similar experience.

Since 1975, systematic collection of data from various aspects of the UPSTEP program has been underway. These data, on students and cooperating teachers, include profiles of UPSTEP students, attitude surveys of enrolled students, the Multidimensional Assessment of Philosophy of Education (MAPE), follow-up surveys of past graduates, audio-tapes, video tapes, classroom visits with past graduates, and biographical information on cooperating teachers. Each of these will be dealt with in separate sections of this report.

With all of these data, it must be remembered that Iowa-UPSTEP is an evolving program which produced its first graduates in May, 1975.

Since rapid program evolution continued through 1976, the graduates of 1980 should more clearly reflect the success of the UPSTEP program.

The Biographical Form for Cooperating Teachers (Appendix 3) is distributed to all elementary and secondary cooperating teachers who contribute to the UPSTEP program. This provides information which aids in successfully matching student teachers to the special interests and experiences of the cooperating teachers.

In an effective teacher education program prospective teachers must be provided with the knowledge, expertise, and experience necessary for becoming a successful teacher. Cooperating teachers are essential to the UPSTEP program, and proper communications, are essential to achieve the goals of the program. Optimum communication is necessarily based upon understanding, and the data collected here will help to promote that understanding.

As is apparent from Table 1, the UPSTEP cooperating teachers are mature, well-educated, and represent a variety of experiences. No specific cognitive or philosophical data have as yet been collected from cooperating teachers.

		G. 106	
T	ABLE 1		•
		no na selector de la concelación de la	e?
SUMMA	RY DATA FOR	án -	•
UPSTEP COOP	ERATING TEACHERS	<u>5</u>	*,
		· · ·	•
	·	•	
•	Elementary Teachers	Secondary Teachers	
	. (N=12)	(N=31)	
Average Age	34.7	39.7	
Highest Degree		···	
Bachelors Masters	5 7	4	ĩ
Average Teaching Experience	10 yrs.	15 yrs.	
Percent with experience in:	·	<u></u>	
•••••	- 1		
Elementary	100	6	
Junior High	14	61	
High School	14 _{//} _	, 89	
Average Semester Hours Completed in:		•	1 2
Education	. 54.2	46.8	/
Life Science	16.0	44.7	<i>i</i>
Earth Science	5,7	16.8	, .
Chemistry	3.2	18.8	
Physics	1.2	13.2	

-

ERIC

110

.

The Multidimensional Assessment of Philosophy of Education (MAPE) (Guertin, 1973) has been administered to students at the beginning of the freshman clincal experience since the Fall, 1975 semester. The MAPE instrument was administered to this particular class of students in order to provide them with early feedback regarding their philosophies of education. Beginning with the Fall, 1976 semester, MAPE has also been administered at the end of the student teaching. Ideally, this provides students the opportunity to compare their scores on the MAPE subscales and assess for themselves changes which have occurred.

MAPE supplies scores on six sub-scales representing major dimensions of a person's teaching philosophy. These sub-scales are:

1. CLASSROOM CLIMATE

Unstructured (high score)

Dedicated to flexible and personalized management of the classroom. Liberal in view of what should go on in the classroom. Instead of regimenting a class by improving rules and curriculum procedures, personal skills are employed to maximize pupil expression.

Controlling (low score)

Controlling and punitive in managing the classroom. Views on discipline are conservative. Instead of taking into consideration special circumstances, justice prevails as demanded by a commitment to rules.

2. INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES

Acknowledge (high score)

Full recognition of the individual underlies decisions about people and interaction with them. Liberal in accepting people's unique characteristics and letting those enter expectancies for them. The nature of the individual should establish what is right for him rather than depending upon conventional expectations.



Ignores (low score)

People are expected to come up to fixed standards of excellence. Pressure should be kept on pupils to reach minimum standards rather than let them set their own goals of competence.

3. TEACHING STYLE

Personal (high score)

Dedicated to personalized teaching. Liberal view of education with the teacher as the essential ingredient. Opposed to tutorial materials that relegate the teacher to secondary importance. Rejecting of mechanical techniques.

Impersonal (low score)

Conservative view of education as acquisition of knowledge. Emphasis on learning the three R's. Pupils should be constantly confronted with subject content rather than provided with interesting diversions.

4. LEARNING EMPHASIS

Social (high score)

Course content is regarded as useless unless it has social relevance. A liberal perception of education as the process of pupils exploring their own interests. General curriculum procedures and textbooks are viewed with suspicion because they reduce the pursuit of interests and eliminate interaction of pupils.

Textbook (low score)

Conservative view of education as accumulation of numerous facts. Class time is too valuable to spend on having fun or playing social games. The pupil must be bombarded constantly with facts. Textbooks are all important and the printed word is revered.

5. PROCEDURES AND PLANNING

Utilizes (high score)

Utilizes planning and special procedures as much as possible. Generally supportive of the educational enterprises. Belief that careful preparation and objective procedures are essential for the educational process. Dependent upon structure and benchmarks. Ready acceptance of procedures worked out by others.



Distrusts (low score)

Distrust and reject special educational procedures and planning. Instead of depending upon detailed lesson plans and standardized tests, interpersonal skills should be employed to assess and teach according to the teacher's wishes. Such procedures are regarded as intrusions: into the teacher's domain.

'THEORETICAL BASE

Idealistic (high score) Emphasis on ideals and unrealistic goals. Idealistic principles often impractical but they are adhered to. Principled and self-sacrificing when necessary. Intolerant of those who pursue selfish goals.

A pragmatic approach to life leads to viewing the educational establishment critically. Rather than depending upon cherished beliefs and pettheories of others, there is a clear need to do things his own way. Aware of personal opportunities and ready to do what is necessary to be successful. Administrators and test constructors with behind-the-scenes experience have more reason to be critical of even the very procedures they employ and may score low.

Norms are given which indicate how far each student's scores deviate from the average, but no judgment is implied that the average position is desirable. The authors of MAPE expect teachers and premervice teachers to show individual differences. They are, therefore, not expected to be average in each category.

Each individual student receives a printed output which consists of a profile of percentile scores and a row of corresponding standard scores. Students also receive a statement regarding validity and a computer generated narrative which is printed out according to the information provided by the six sub-scale scores.

The information obtained from the MAPE instrument has provided students with very important and essential information regarding their educational philosophies. It has proven to be beneficial for students encountering their first field experience in education to be provided such information, so that they can evaluate their performance in the classroom as early as is possible. Methods students have been provided with a means of measuring attitudinal changes--something which they often find difficult to assess for themselves. MAPE results seem to reinforce their awareness of the changes which have occurred.

110

Results of the MAPE scoring (Figure 5) indicate that students entering the freshman program have mean scores not appreciable different from students a year later in the second methods course. The range of responses is of importance, though. The beginning students show a wide variety of responses while methods students are more clustered in their beliefs on most of the scales. Personal teaching style scores indicate methods students are also much more aware them-'selves in the classroom and are less concerned with the acquisition of knowledge than are the freshman students. An interesting difference occurs in the socialtextbook learning scale. Methods students somehow manage to cover a broad range from liberal to conservative with the same basic teaching style. This may be an indication of their flexibility or it may reflect their confusion and search for a complete rationale.

While the MAPE is now routinely administered to student teachers, difficulties with external computer scoring have provided us with no data for 1978.

A follow-up study of undergraduates who have participated in the Science Teacher Preparation Program at the University of Iowa was begun in March 1976. The goal was to receive information aimed at improving the current UPSTEP Program through feedback from past graduates. This study was based on graduates from the Class of 1968 through the Class of 1975.

The follow-up study was sent to 161 persons. Thirty-four percent of the forms were returned completed; seven percent of the forms were not able to be forwarded; and, two percent of the recipients of the follow-up study responded with a letter



112 explaining why it would be inappropriate for them to complete the follow-up study. Portions of this follow-up are included in this section. Most of the past graduates indicated a desire to stay in Iowa and felt that teaching allowed them opportunities to use their special abilities while being creative and original. Social status, prestige, and salary were viewed as relatively unimportant (Table 2). Courses in science, experiences in student teaching, and teaching experience were viewed as the most important components of their teacher education. Ratings of specific professional education courses are shown in Table 3. Teacher education was also seen as important outside of the classroom (Table 4). Table '5 indicates how UPSTEP graduates perceive their need for eight specific skills and competencies and the extent to which the UPSTEP program provided these skills. 117

,	FACTORS INFLUENCING	CCEBTANCE	OF CURRENT	EMPLOYMENT	, ' ·	• .
•	Ø	Highly * Important	Somewhat "Important	Somewhat Unimportant	Highly Unimportan	- >Omitted t
	Opportunity to use special abilities of aptitudes	52.1	• 35.4	12.5	0.0	0.0
`b .	Opportunity to earn a · · large salary	16.7	31.3	31.3	20.7	0.0
, C.	Opportunity to be creative and original	47.9. /	31.3	, 20.8	0.0	0.0
d.	Social status and P restige	8.3	25.0	20.8	45.9	0.0
с.	people	47.9	. 37.5 .	12.6	0.0	0.0
1	• Opportunity to work with • things rather than people	0.0	16.7	45.8	33.3	4.2
. 8.	Freedom from supervision by others	14.6	47.9	25.0	10.4	2.1
н.	Greater opportunity for advanc <i>e</i> ment	18.8 ,	39.6	29.1	• 10.4	2.1
1. V	Opportunity to exercise leadership	2 <u>7.1</u> .	41.7	25.0	6.2	.0.0
j.	Opportunity to help and serve others	33.3	50.0	14.6	0.0	2.1
k.	Adventure	22.9	27.1	20.8	27.1	2.1
1.	Opportunity to work with adults rather than children	18.8	10.4	16.7	45.8	8.3
n.	Felt better prepared for current position than teaching	16.7	10.4	6.3	35.4	31.2
<u>,</u> n.	Location of the employer	52.1	29.2	8.3	8.3	2.1
٥.	Dissatisfaction with prior educational experiences	12.5	20.8	18.8	37.5	. 10.4
p.	Retirement, health care, and other benefits	• 14.6	20.8	25.0	37.5	2.1
		118	. * <u>1</u> 	÷	· · ·	•
				· •		

ERIC

×.

) imine di sasa 1		-TABLE -3	<u>- ağı kınışı</u>	27 * <u>)</u>	• 		
2	TEACHER EDUCATION EXPEN	RIENCES USE	EFUL FOR	CURRENT POS	SITION	ł	
	-	Essential	Very Useful	Somewhat Useful	Not Useful	Did Not Have	•
а.	Experiences in Educational Psychology	6.1	20.4	36.7	, 36.7	0.0	
`Ъ.	Experiences in Instrutional Media	16.3	.4	46.9	<u>*</u> 14.3	·2.1	
. c.	Experiences in Social Foun- dations of Education	0.0	10.2 •	30.6	[′] 34.7	24.5	•
d.	Experiences in Teaching Methods	20.4	26.5	36.7	12.2	4.2	•
<u>e.</u>	Student Teaching	38-8		· <u>14</u> .3	18.3	-2.1	<u>_</u>
f.	Field Work, Observation, Practicum (Other than Student Teaching)	• 26:5	· 26.5	22.4	. 16.3	· 8.3	Ŧ
-		.:				. /	
	fan an an Marine ball ann 9,5 art an Mais ann sann shann shann shan fan fan an San Statistic ann saf saffar		aa (in aki	î,	. a f in . Bild a. A	e. 172	
	•			•			
			*	• • • • • • •	$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$	•	
		• •					'
		· · · ·		۰. ۲ <u>۰</u> ۰		1	۰ ۲
	<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	•					

· · · · · ·

. * -----

¥

· 115

1

) harma a namer féngliskar a nár könning na névyen – a sv. – a sv. – d. a mel va ore di nav úraz mez a sv. ú voda va kadán va a vez. K	TABLE 4		a constant operations and the second		
	IMPORTANCE OF TEACHER EDUCA	f IION EXPERIE	NCES IN PÉI	SONAL AND CIV	IC LIFE	•
	۰ ۰	Highly Important	Somewhat Important	Somewhat Unimportant	Highly Unimportant	Omitted
а.	Developing an ability to get along with different types of people	22.4	40.8	24.5	12.3	0.0
b.	Developing social poise	10.2	55.1	26.5	8.2	0.0
с.	Developing a fund of knowld useful in later life	edge 18.4	40.8	, 28.6	12.2	0.0
d.	Preparing for a satisfying family life	6.1	26.5	.30.6	34.7	2,1
е,	Developing better speaking habits	22.4	49.0	20.4	8.2	-
ſ.	Developing moral capacities ethical standards, and value	3, 1es 8.2	38.8 ´	• 22.4	30.6	0.0
g.	Developing leadership skill	Ls 16.3	51.0	18.4	14.3	0.0
h.	Making≠the most out of my potential	22.4	40.8	14.3	20.4	2.1

120

•

ERIC

		Imp	ortance in	Current P	osition	•	Was U	STEP P	rovision
Importance in Current PositionWas UPST AdequaHighly Somewhat Somewhat Highly Import. Import. Unimport. Unimport.OmittedYes <t< th=""><th>No</th><th>, Omitted</th></t<>	No	, Omitted							
a.'	Skill in select-		× · · ·		,	•	,		r
•	ing & organizing materials	55.1	34.7	ż.1	4.1	4.1	57.1	18.4	24.5
ь.	Skill in tech-	$\dot{\smile}$	• • •		·* _				
/	nique of instruction	55.1	26.5	6.1	8.2	4.1	58.1	24.5	20.4
c.	Skill in group management	46.9	32.6	10,2	6.1	8.2.**	28.6	44,9	26.5
d	Skill in develop- ing work habits	30.6	46.9	10.2	8.2	4.1	44.9	32.7	20.4
e,	Skill in develop- ing interpersonal relationships	57.1	34.7	6.1	0.0	2.1	34.7	40.8	22.5
f.	Ability to profit from suggestions	•				<u> </u>		ι	•
<u></u>	for improvement	40.8	46.9	8.2	0.0	4.1	57.1	18.7	24.5
g •	Ability to evalua own performance	te 63.3	24.5	4.1	0.0	4.1	51.0	24.5	24.5
h. 	Ability to evalua the performance	te	:		*				

ý

-2#

ERIC

	17
When asked, "What are useful aspects of the Teacher Education 1	Program with
regards to personal and civic life?", UPSTEP graduates of 1968-197	5 responded
No Response	e useful aspects of the Teacher Education Program with civic life?", UPSTEP graduates of 1968-1975 responded 19% act With and communicate with people 17% c speaking ability and poise 9% experience 8% r methods experiences 6% working with all age levels of children 4% behavioral objectives 4% y; concepts of motivation, reinforcement 4% p qualities and discussion techniques 4% ple; I can't credit anything with the 4% contacts with professors tial interests which have developed from éducation c organized quipment and techniques 4% it to students as individuals - not in eacher-student syndrome Mirectly related 7% suseful aspects of the Teacher Education Program with UPSTEP graduate of 1968-1975 responded with: 9%
. The ability to interact with and communicate with people	17%
An increase in public speaking ability and poise	9%
The student teaching experience	8%
Secondary and seience methods experiences	- 6%
Being exposed to and working wiht all age levels of children	4%
The ability to write behavioral objectives	4%
	3.2.5.1.1.1.4.X.5.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1
Developing leadership qualities and discussion techniques	4\$
No aspect is applicable; I can't credit anything with the	
program	4%
Informal and social contacts with professors	• •
Ability to study special interests	ę
Lasting friendships which have developed from éducation	
program in the second	s, art, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Ability to be and get organized	
Introduction to AV equipment and techniques	
The ability to relate to students as individuals - not in the traditional teacher-student syndrome	
Other responses not directly related	7%
When asked, "What are useful aspects of the Teacher Education P regards to occupation?". UPSTEP, graduate of 1968-1975 responded wit	rogram with
No Response	9%
	۵۰۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰
a <u>12</u> 2	an a sha sha she she she she ha ha she ha she
ч	

ERIC

		118	
and the second s	Student teaching experience	235	۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰
	Kethods .	115	
	Very little from education program-major and minor science areas of much more importance	61	
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Working with and getting along with other people	45	
	Educational Psychology	45	1235 4
	Ability to organize materials and programs	4%	
	Introduction to the use of AV equipment and techniques	4%	
	Knowledge of educational curricular materials 🗰	4%	
killeter normaniji ji postaje se se se se na kalendarska se	No aspect is applicable; can't credit anything to the education program	. 6%	sacanak-sumatory, accounts also ak spelance-andigg
na ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana	Ability to cope with people	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Testing techniques		ſ
ü	Developing leadership qualities	۰ ۵	· · ·
• • •	Ability to relate to students		
پ ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹	Self-confidence in public speaking		-
рен Салана I. С. I. — - — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Knowledge of how to use outside material	<u>ಹೆ</u> ಕ್ ರ್ಯಕರ ಸರ್. ಆರ	алана тупо, тажыла жаз
<u></u>	Emphasis which was given to laboratory use and preparation	nan data atau den yang datau data bas batan makanan	ur ein situar au is an a sigin athairt
	Flexability of UPSTEP Program which allowed me to design programs of interest to me		
2 4 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Pre-education practicum experiences		
12112-1-561-2	1968-1975 graduates were also asked, "What specific aspects	of the Tea	cher
Edu	cation Program should be changed?"		
······································	No Response	21\$	
·	Student teaching experiences should be extended	9%	
-	Extend methods courses and drop the Introduction to Secondary Education course	8%	• •• • • • • • • • • • • •
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
e e			

Change or drop the Educational Devokalogy washington	and a second	
change of drop one budgacional isychology reduitement -	6%	າມ ຊີສະ ສ
More case analysis of disciplinary problems that new te	achers	
are apt to be contronted with		
More instruction is needed recording how to evaluate	the Educational Psychology requirement 65 sis of disciplinary problems that new teachers confronted with 65 is needed regarding how to evaluate 65 in working with low-ability_students d students is necessary 45 lems of small town schools and teaching hould be dealt with 45 in the guidance field is needed working with children in child centered are necessary criticisms to discover weaknesses of the needed prior to entering a classroom on hout being graded) fiences are needed in education inwindividualized instruction is should be placed on child psychology (not directly related) 18.87%	
A THE REAL OF A THE		a construction
More experiences in working with low-ability students		
orfunmotivated students is necessary	4%	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
The special problems of small town schools and teaching	en i ne sin o alemania. An	
situations should be dealt with	47	
More instruction in the midence field is needed	* +.	
the important of an and an	- 	2 5
More knowledge with regards to the types of educational	· · ·	*
media is needed	· · · · ·	an a
	n na se	
More humanizing of course work is needed		
		ł
MORE_experience_working_with_children_in_ <u>child</u> _centered	n In Lee, Australian and Constantianth de Constantes, San Managan, La Link yang Laman manifesi yang mga	in. London et al constant a sind al p
environments are necessary	·	•
More one-to-one criticisms to discover weaknesses of the	6	
· individual is needed prior to entering a classroom or	0	
our own (without being graded)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		· · ·
More early experiences are needed in education		1
More experience inwindividualized instruction		é:
	ň.	17
Greater emphasis should be placed on child psychology	a na sa ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an	A
Other responses (not directly related)	18.87%	
No changes necessary	3.77 %	anan sans aran by a saddfill
The 1075-1078 Creductor		44 14
Inc INC INC OF addates	·	i.
During the 1977-78 year, an additional twenty-nine stude	ents graduating	be-
tween 1975-1978 were interviewed by two visiting professors	(Novick and Yor	е,
TAION. THERE THEELATEMS CENTELED ON TORL WE OL BLERS OL THE	: UFSTEF Frogram	. .
1) The value of experiences, 2) University-field relationshi	ips, 3) Teaching	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
views and practices, and 4) Inservice views.	•	
द्वा अं -	1#1 1 F	
	-	
infige control in the second sec		

ERIC.

Interviewees were asked to respond freely and as extensively as they wished.
Each-sudio-taped interview was 30-6 minutes long. A selection of questions
and responses is provided.
The 1975-78 graduates were asked to rate the value of experience in teacher
education courses: "What specific experiences do you feel were helpful in
developing your potential as a teacher?" "How have they been helpful?" "To
what extent?" (See Table 6 for the percentage of graudates responding.)
It is evident that the field-based aspects of the program are perceived as
the most useful by a majority of the graduates, both past and current. Descriptive
phrases were: variety of classrooms, variety of clinical experiences, multiple
practicums, variety of student teaching experiences, early field experiences,
anything that approaches reality, flexibility.
Other questions asked graduate include the following:
 a. Did the lack of certain experiences limit your abality to solve some problem which you now face or have faced in your teaching? b. Now that you are a practicing teacher, what kinds of new or
different activities would you recommend for our science teacher education program?
C. In retrospect, do you feel the science teacher education program should have placed more emphasis on certain areas or less emphasis on others?
The general pattern of response to these questions revealed that UPSTEP
graduates believe that many practical and traditional teaching skills are missing
from the program. The major clustering of responses were that:
1) more large group strategies should be explored. 45% of all the graduates
Interviewed mentioned the need for consideration of this topic. The responses
were rather evenly distributed between past graduates (41%) and current graduates
(507);
2) more attention to classroom management, control, and discipline. 24% of
the UPSTEP graduates suggested that greater attention needs to be directed toward
125

.

ERIC.

classroom management strategies. The distribution of responses were skewed toward past graduates (35%), with only 8% of the current graduates mentioning this factor; 3) more consideration of lesson planning, comparing curricula, and practical implementation procedures is needed. 24% of the graduates interviewed suggested that actual lesson planning utilizing practical eclectic methods, analysis of curricula and implementation methods were lacking in UPSTEP. These responses were slightly more frequent among past graduates (29%) than current graduates (17%);

4) testing and evaluation was judged lacking by 10% of the respondents; all these responses were from past graduates;

5) All other areas mentioned were less frequent than 10%. Some things mentioned were: children's rights, demonstrations, AV skills, first aid, motivation-techniques, exceptional learners and actual teaching.

The interview revealed that 38% of the graduates believed that too much emphasis is placed on individualization. A slightly higher percentage of current graduates (42%) believed this than past graduates (35%).

7

Other minor trends illustrated were that past graduates believed that UPSTEP was too theoretical (12%), while current graduates expressed some dissatisfaction with the human relations and transactional analysis emphasis (17%).

Graduates also were asked "What did these professional courses provide for you as a teacher: Educational Psychology? Freshman Teaching Practicum? Methods I and II? and Student Teaching?" Responses to this question are classified in a frequency table of positive, indifferent, and negative comments

toward the course (see Table 7). Cogent remarks are noted for each course.

-126

	n er en en sen sen sen en e	TABLE 6		1822 1	
	PERCENTAGE OF	GRADUATES ~	RESPONDING		
	Kind of Experience	ast-Gradua	tes - Cur	rent-Graduate	8 Potel
1		<u>(n=17)</u>		(n=12)	- <u>(n=29)</u>
	Student Teaching	76		58	69 .
	Methods Clinical Experience SSTP Summer Activity	53		67 	59 14
···· ·	Elementary Practicum	24		42	31
	Methods Activities (in general) Microteaching Examination of Curricular Mater	29 12 ials 6	i	33 33 8	31 21 7
	Piagetian Tasks History and Philosophy of Scien Development and Use of Inquiry		2 9 Jan Ma BEER MARK MEN AND LANG AND	8 8	3 3
	Materials Writing a Self-Instructional	6	n an an a		3 ***
	Module	_ ==	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8	• 3
· · ·		nuiseair an su na An Mary an an			
т г			•	 To an intervence To an intervence	· · · ·

ین ایم نے ک 1 2 2 122 .

-<u>1</u>. -

¥

TABLE 7

DISTRIBUTION OF COMMENTS RECARDING UPSTEP COURSES

	•			a fa yaye a	· · · ·	:		
	Course	Posit	ive ·	Indiff	erent.	Negati	vē	
T	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	Gradu	iates	Gradu	tes	Gradus	tes	-,
1	т ¹	Past	Current	Past	Current	Past	Current	· .
	Freshman Clinical Experience [®] Methods I Methods II	6 10 -10	6 3 4	2 1 5 4	-1 7 3 #	Q 0 1	2 2 4	••••
	Student Teaching History/Philosophy of Science	12 8	· S	2	2	3	2 1	
	* New course and not re	quired of	some stude	ents, the	refore res	ponses we	ere limiț	ed.
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			:		. 7
			*	······································		- 		
						•		
()		lanara an an man	127	Arkinelle legens av availabil aleminist d' barr en ar	Militatus ana 1911 - Successional as a statistica data	linki karad Suka Karika (abiana) adalem dike di	delenders dell'As serveral film di s'alcul si con	

(* ; , *

ER

The Freshman Clinical Experience was deemed valuable, but the need for closer integration of goals and the field experience was cited. Some concern was expressed regarding the value of observing elementary children in non-science learning situations.

The methods courses received varied assessment; generally, they were valued, but the graduates believed the courses were too one-sided, i.e., too heavy on individualization. A slight concern regarding the over emphasis of human relations training was apparent with current graduates. The field experiences were consistently mentioned as positive attributes and graduates encouraged the use of varied content, grade level, teaching strategy, etc. in field experiences.

Student teaching comments generally referred to the intern's placement with a suitable cooperating teacher.

History and Philosophy of Science courses received dipolar assessment; generally graduates found that courses interesting as an academic experience, but not directly relevant to teaching. Responses tend to become more positive in teachers with more experience. Obviously, more effort needs to be expended on integrating History and Philosophy into the teaching sequence.

Graduates were also asked to rank-order some aspects of the UPSTEP program which were of greatest value to them (Table 8).

1-28

124

₽.

ligit i Cast Ir

.

1.4.11.14.00

- 11 ga

4

- 4

RANK ASPECTS OF UPSTEP PROGRAM

i sazi

e.

*

• •		Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3.
1.	Student Teaching	12		2
2.	Nethods	. 2 -	- <u>1</u>	<u> </u>
· 3.	88TP		- 2	1
~ 4.	History and Philosophy of Science	2	2	2
-5.	Clinical Experience	- ". 		
6.	Interpersonal relationships between Interns and Instructors	2	•	2
7	Preparation of self-instructional module	<u>1</u>	1	1
8.	Looking at curricula			.2
9.	Flexibility of field experiences	2		
10.	Designing lab experiences	÷	1	
11.	SATIC tapes	•	2.	
12.	Plaget was a set and a set as		aranan da minan ya mina wanda Ara ta gara ara	
13.	Exposure to non-traditional creative ways of teaching science		• 1	۰ ۱۰۰ م
14.	Goal, idealism and philosophy of teaching	2		· · ·
15.	Elementary practicum	، «مر ، . ،		1,
16.	Requirement of broad science background	1		
17.	Lesson planning	1	in later a second s	en den skin og som en skiller skin skin skin som og
18.	Case studies		1	
19.	Inquiry learning	1	·····	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
est	Student teaching and field-based experiences states and field-based experiences states and field-based experiences states and the sample interviewed. A number of glo	nd out as t	he aspects	of great
(6, 12, time	9, 13, 14, 16) should be noted, as well as some s 17, 18, and 19). The History and Philosophy of S s, indicating that they have some impaction the U	pecific mod cience cour PSTEP inter	ules (7, 8 ses were c ns.	, 10, 11, ited six

Co Co	ments regarding the degree of integration between the university-based	•
compone	nt of UPSTEP and the field-based component were varied and appeared to in-	,
dicate	a difference between current and past graduates regarding the integration	*
within	and between courses. The following generalizations appear to be supported	
by UPST	EP graduates:	
.1.	Methods I has sound integration between classroom modules and field ex-	
	periences as judged by both current and past graduates.	
2.	A majority of UFSTEP graduates believe that general program integration	
and a the second constant and a second s	needs to be improved, with current graduates being more negative regar-	
· · ·	ding integration than past graduates.	
· <u>·</u> 3.	A general concern was expressed that Methods did not accurately reflect	
•		
···· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the reality of most science classrooms.	
Sor tion bet	the reality of most science classrooms. me specific comments which provide-insight regarding the degree of integra- tween university classroom activities and field experiences were made by	
Sor tion bet the foll 6:	the reality of most science classrooms. me specific comments which provide-insight regarding the degree of integra- tween university classroom activities and field experiences were made by towing interviewees: In student teaching there was a gap between the real world and the exci-	
Sor tion bet the foll 6:	the reality of most science classrooms. me specific comments which provide insight regarding the degree of integra- tween university classroom activities and field experiences were made by towing interviewees: In student teaching there was a gap between the real world and the exci- things in methods: I believe that the reason for this is not the corpor-	
Sor tion bet the foll 6:	the reality of most science classrooms. me specific comments which provide insight regarding the degree of integra- tween university classroom activities and field experiences were made by towing interviewees: In student teaching there was a gap between the real world and the exci- things in methods; I believe that the reason for this is not the cooper-	
Sortion bet the foll 6:	the reality of most science classrooms. me specific comments which provide insight regarding the degree of integra- tween university classroom activities and field experiences were made by towing interviewees: In student teaching there was a gap between the real world and the exci- things in methods; I believe that the reason for this is not the cooper- ating teacher but rather constraints of facilities and time.	
Sor tion bet the foll 6: 15:	the reality of most science classrooms. me specific comments which provide insight regarding the degree of integra- tween university classroom activities and field experiences were made by towing interviewees: In student teaching there was a gap between the real world and the exci- things in methods; I believe that the reason for this is not the cooper- ating teacher but rather constraints of facilities and time. Yes, at times, particularly in Methods I; but I felt a need for more	
Sor tion bet the foll 6: 15:	the reality of most science classrooms. me specific comments which provide insight regarding the degree of integra- tween university classroom activities and field experiences were made by towing interviewees: In student teaching there was a gap between the real world and the exci- things in methods; I believe that the reason for this is not the cooper- ating teacher but rather constraints of facilities and time. Yes, at times, particularly in Methods I; but I felt a need for more varied exposure.	
Sor tion bet the foll 6: 15: , 17:	the reality of most science classrooms. me specific comments which provide insight regarding the degree of integra- tween university classroom activities and field experiences were made by lowing interviewees: In student teaching there was a gap between the real world and the exci- things in methods; I believe that the reason for this is not the cooper- ating teacher but rather constraints of facilities and time. Yes, at times, particularly in Methods I; but I felt a need for more varied exposure. More in-class follow-up and peer sharing needed.	
Sor tion bet the foll 6: 15: 17: 18:	the reality of most science classrooms. me specific comments which provide insight regarding the degree of integra- tween university classroom activities and field experiences were made by lowing interviewees: In student teaching there was a gap between the real world and the exci- things in methods; I believe that the reason for this is not the cooper- ating teacher but rather constraints of facilities and time. Yes, at times, particularly in Methods I; but I felt a need for more varied exposure. More in-class follow-up and peer sharing needed. Not at all, I was a puppet of the school system and could not apply	
Sor tion bet the foll 6: 15: 17: 18:	the reality of most science classrooms. me specific comments which provide insight regarding the degree of integra- tween university classroom activities and field experiences were made by howing interviewees: In student teaching there was a gap between the real world and the exci- things in methods; I believe that the reason for this is not the cooper- ating teacher but rather constraints of facilities and time. Yes, at times, particularly in Methods I; but I felt a need for more varied exposure. More in-class follow-up and peer sharing needed. Not at all, I was a puppet of the school system and could not apply what I learned at the university.	
Sor tion bei the foll 6: 15: 17: 18: 20:	the reality of most science classrooms. me specific comments which provide insight regarding the degree of integra- tween university classroom activities and field experiences were made by lowing interviewees: In student teaching there was a gap between the real world and the exci- things in methods; I believe that the reason for this is not the cooper- ating teacher but rather constraints of facilities and time. Yes, at times, particularly in Methods I; but I felt a need for more varied exposure. More in-class follow-up and peer sharing needed. Not at all, I was a puppet of the school system and could not apply what I learned at the university. Methods I was very good, but did not match with student teaching.	
Sor tion bet the fol: 6: 15: 17: 18: 20:	the reality of most science classrooms. me specific comments which provide insight regarding the degree of integra- tween university classroom activities and field experiences were made by howing interviewees: In student teaching there was a gap between the real world and the exci- things in methods; I believe that the reason for this is not the cooper- ating teacher but rather constraints of facilities and time. Yes, at times, particularly in Methods I; but I felt a need for more varied exposure. More in-class follow-up and peer sharing needed. Not at all, I was a puppet of the school system and could not apply what I learned at the university. Methods I was very good, but did not match with student teaching.	



126 22: Most closely as a freshman, but professors could be more involved in the field based experiences. 23: No, except for microteaching in Methods II. No. university program and expectations did not match reality. 24: 26: Not much integration, too stuch on fixed modules; in order to integrate clinical experiences special seminars are needed. 28: Not aligned well; Methods did not give mechanics of teaching; but were designed to define our thoughts on what kind of teacher we want to be. Analysis of the interviews regarding the relative contributions of the Methods instructors, university supervisors and cooperating teachers yielded that twenty seven of the twenty nine graduates had formulated an opinion. Of the twenty seven statements three graduates equated some contributions rather than ranking the con-Table 9 presents a summary of the relative rankings. tributions completely. 131

								* *	
Arra a Antonio de Constante de Co	= ; ; ;		•		1999 - 1997 - 19	. .		•	*
engeliker in der Franzelsen und der Können in der Können der Können der Können der Können der Können der Können Mit Mehr Können der Könn	· 5 ····	a d □ ↓ .	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	TABLE	9	A	*. 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	,	13		an a	یں ۔ ۔ سالیہ مارور اور دیار اور مار			· • · ·	
nen and an	FREQUE	NCY DIS	TRIBUTI	ON OF THE	RELATIVE	ONTRIBUT	TONS	a i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
MADE BY MET	HODS IN	STRUCTO)RS, UNI	VERSITY S	UPERVISORS,	-AND COO	PERATIN	G TEACH	ERS
	_		Pole	tino Post	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		and the second		
in an an an an air a		na an a			<u>i matikana</u> u	e di nem ne	n, Éuropana 	in an an an an	
		n . Dámes	· · · ·			ي. مۇ		2 ° .	
4 - 415a - 1117 - 111 - 11	1	FIFSL		Sec	ond	Thi	rd		
	De	-+^*	-	Pest	Current	Past		ent	*
<u>91 8</u> 108658	A @			<u> </u>					
Methods	ະ	·			*		£ .		
Instructor	5	4	3	9	.4	. 2			-
University	•	÷		·· · ·		, ,	*	*=:	r .
Supervisor	3	3		1			4		້. ເຊິ່ງແມ່ນເພື່ອງຫຼາງປະເທດເອີ້ອງແມ່ນກາງການແຮງແຜນແຮງ
· · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				 * 	مر. ه. سه س		, 	. <u></u>
Cooperatin Teachers		0	7		2	2			
2 ÇUÇMCI V			•						
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction construction of supervisions made the supervision of the supervi	of the f le by co risors l utions o h the ge	table in coperati least. of the u contribut	dicates f Ing teacher Closer in miversity tions of f	that UPSTEP ers most an nspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co <u>f the</u> re rs has b instruc	es genen ntributi cent gru een ass: tors.	rally va lons ma aduates igned s	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction constraint supervisiontribu	of the f le by co risors : utions c n the go	table in coperati least. of the u contribut	dicates T Ing teacher Closer in niversity tions of t	that UPSTEP ers most an nspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es gener ntributi cent gra een ass: tors.	rally vi ions ma aduates igned s	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction constraint supervision tribulue than	of the f le by co risors : ations c a the go	table in coperati least. of the u contribut	dicates T Ing teache Closer in niversity tions of 1	that UPSTEP ers most an nspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es gener ntributi cent gra een ass: tors.	rally vi ions ma aduates igned s	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction of ons mad superv contributue than	of the f le by co risors : ations c a the go	table in ooperati least. of the u ontribut	dicates T Ing teacher Closer in niversity tions of 1	that UPSTEP ers most an nspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es genen ntributi cent gru een assi tors.	rally vi ions ma aduates igned s	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction construction of supervision tribution of the second	of the f le by co risors : ations o a the go	table in coperati least. of the u contribut	dicates T Ing teacher Closer in miversity tions of 1	that UPSTEP ers most an nspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es genen ntributi cent gri een assi tors.	cally vi ions ma aduates igned s	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction construction of supervision tribulate that	of the f le by co risors : ations c a the go	table in ooperati least. of the u ontribut	dicates T Ing teache Closer in iniversity tions of t	that UPSTEP ers most an nspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es genen ntributi cent gra een ass: tors.	rally vi ions ma aduates igned s	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction of ons mad superv contributue than	of the f le by co risors : ations co a the go	table in ooperati least. of the u ontribut	dicates T Ing teacher Closer in iniversity tions of 1	that UPSTEP ers most an nspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es genen ntributi cent gra een ass: tors.	cally values in the second sec	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction construction of supervision tribulation of the supervision of th	of the f le by co risors l ations o h the go	table in ooperati least. of the u ontribut	dicates T Ing teache Closer in miversity tions of t	that UPSTEP ers most an nspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es genen ntributi cent gra een assi tors.	rally vi ions ma aduates igned s	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction constraint supervision contributue than	of the f le by co risors : ations co h the go	table in operation least. of the up ontribut	dicates T Ing teache Closer in iniversity tions of t	that UPSTEP ers most an nspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es genen ntributi cent gri een ass: tors.	ally vi ions ma aduates igged s	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction of ons mad supervisontributue than	of the f le by co risors : ations co a the go	table in ooperati least. of the u ontribut	dicates T Ing teache Closer in iniversity tions of 1	that UPSTEP ers most an nspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es gener ntributi cent gru een ass: tors.	ally vi ions ma aduates igged s	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction constraint supervisontribu ue than	of the f le by co risors l ations c h the go	table in ooperati least. of the u ontribut	dicates T Ing teache Closer in miversity tions of t	that UPSTEP ers most an nspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es genen ntributi cent gra een assi tors.	rally vi ions ma aduates igged s	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction constraints and supervision tribulate that	of the f le by co risors I ations o a the go	table in ooperati least. of the u ontribut	dicates T Ing teache Closer in iversity lons of t	that UPSTEP ers most an inspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es genen ntributi cent gra een ass: tors.	rally vi ions ma aduates igned s	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction of ons mad supervisontribu ue than	of the f le by co risors - ations co a the go	table in poperati- least. of the u ontribut	dicates T Ing teache Closer in miversity tions of t	that UPSTEP ers most an nspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es genen ntributi cent gri een ass: tors.	ally vi ions ma aduates igned s	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction constraint supervision ue than	of the f le by co risors : ations c a the ga	table in ooperati least. of the u ontribut	dicates T Ing teache Closer in iniversity tions of t	that UPSTEP ers most an nspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es genen ntributi cent gra een ass: tors.	rally vi ions ma aduates igged s	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction of ons mad supervisontribu ue than	of the file by consistence of the file by consistence of the file	table in ooperati least. of the u ontribut	dicates T Ing teache Closer in iversity tions of 1	that UPSTEP ers most an nspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es gener ntributi cent gra een ass: tors.	ally vi ions ma aduates igned s	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction constraints and supervision tribulue than	of the file by consistent of the file by consistent of the file by constant of the file of	table in operati- icast. of the u ontribut	dicates T Ing teache Closer in iversity tions of 1	that UPSTEP ers most an nspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es genen ntributi cent gra een assi tors.	rally vi ions ma aduates igged s	alue the de by indicate lightly
Inspe contributi university that the c higher val	ction of ons mad superv contributue than	of the file by consistence of the file by consistence of the file	table in ooperati least. of the u ontribut	dicates 1 Ing teache Closer in iversity lons of 1	that UPSTEP ers most an inspection o y superviso the Methods	graduat d the co f the re rs has b instruc	es gener ntributi cent gru een ass: tors.	ally vi ions ma aduates igged s	alue the de by <u>indicate</u> lightly

		*
Two gf the pas	t graduates (12%) and t	hree of the current graduates (25%)
interviewed express	ed an overall negative :	reaction to the student teaching exper-
ience.		
While a number	of-interviewees-were-s	stisfied-with-supervisor-student-teache
relationship, a sig	mificant number (38%) fo	elt the frequency of the supervising
activity was inadeq	uate. Perception of the	e cooperating teacher-student teacher
relationship was mi	xed and seemed to depend	i mainly on the degree of personal
rapport generated.		
The following	summarizes the various y	perceptions of the desired roles of
the personnel invol	ved in the student teach	ning experience:
Cooperating te	acher: classroom manage	ement and resources; control
Methods instru	ctor: provides realist	ic picture of today's classrooms; research base for enlightened teaching;
	concrete, practic techniques; teach	cal resources; control and motivation hing skills and strategies
· . •		
University sup	ervisor: liaison betwee trouble shoote problems, play	er; advisor to student teacher re: nning, ideas; evaluator
Generally, an	adult open relationship	with all involved is desired, with
increased freedom o	of action for the student	t-teacher. There seems to be little
consistency in term	s of which personnel she	ould be responsible for the develop-
ment of teaching an	d managment skills. The	ere is a general perception of a gap
between what is lea	rned in Methods seminar:	s and the demands of the real school
situation and to so	me of the interviewees,	this has been a source of Trustration
during student-teac	hing. One measure of st	uccess may be the student's commitment
am		to continue their own science educa-
to education as evi	denced by their desire	
to education as evi tion, (See Table 1	denced by their desire (
to education as evi tion. (See Table 1	denced by their desire	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
to education as evi tion. (See Table 1	denced by their desire (
to education as evi tion, (See Table 1	denced by their desire (
to education as evi tion. (See Table 1	denced by their desire (

_129 TABLE 10 PERCENTAGE OF INTERVIEWEES HAVING PLANS . FOR POST-GRADUATE WORK IN EDUCATION Past Graduates 247 67% Current Graduates Total 417 _**)** , ž . ÷ 1. <u>ور ا</u> 134 , á

One past graduate has plans for post-graduate work in a science contentarea. Two past graduates wish to gain qualification for teaching at the junior college level.

Two of the current graduates explicitly stated they plan to do a doctorate in science education.

Opinions as to where in-service work should be conducted were divided and showed no clear pattern.

Generally UPSTEP Graduates' descriptions of ideal learning situations were oriented toward varying degrees of individualization (self-paced, open inquiry, student centered). These views are generally tempered by an awareness of classroom constraints and the need for some degree of structure. The generally progressive bent of the descriptions would seem to indicate that most of the interviewees concur with the philosophy of teaching stressed in UPSTEP, despite their

criticism about the lack of exposure to a variety of teaching strategies.

Ideal Strategies as Expressed by UPSTEP Graduates

•Conventional responses with varied degrees of perception; pre-post labs not clearly related to inquiry approach; do not in general view demonstrations as a valuable teaching tool; no extensive use of inquiry--appear to have very limited working definitions of inquiry which involves individualized studentcentered learning; frequently cite large classes and kids' demands for answers

as reasons for set using. Do not lecture frequently--chief purpose to convey information developmentation, frequently conducted as lecture-discussion; loops

infritently used-films more frequent-but not, in general, as an integral part of the curriculum; most have some form of project work--varying from extra credit reports to investigating phenomena; very few things considered to be innovative. Generally, a mis of standard techniques and kinds of examination questions. Not rigid in criteria for evaluation in the lab (interest, lab reports); not Figid in overall evaluation criteria; do not see degree of involvement of students as feedback information on the quality of their teaching.

What Curriculum Are You Using?

Generally using traditional or "alphabet course" texts and not unhappy with them. A number produce additional materials. One had developed own self-paced materials on large scale. Generally, not content knowledge bound--have broader goals including process and affective areas. Generally do not suggest salient areas of difficulty in student understanding. Most do not use the idea of a model as a central idea in their science teaching.

Other Measures

Several standardized measures were administered to 1977-78 UPSTEP Graduates at the completion of student teaching. These included the <u>Test on Understanding</u> <u>Science</u> (Tous, 1961), <u>Science Attitude Inventory</u>, (SAI, 1970), and <u>Tennessee</u> <u>Self-Concept Scale</u> (1965).

An inspection of the TOUS averages (Table 11) indicates that they are acceptably high; and when compared to the norms provided, the total TOUS mean (48.57) ranks at the 99th percentile (based on grade 12 norms). The UPSTEP Graduates understanding about the scientific enterprise (scale 1) is reasonable as they scored on the average 15.36 out of a possible 18; while their understanding about scientists (scale 2) was slightly lower, averaging 14.21 out of a possible f 18. The graduates averaged 19.00 out of a possible 24 on understanding about the methods and aims of science (scale 3).

\$4.<u>\$</u>

132 ·

TOUS DESCRIPTIVE DATA AND STATISTICS ON 1977-78 IOWA-UPSTEP GRADUATES

	• •	Understanding About The Sci- entific Enter- prise	Understanding About Scientists	Understanding About Methods and Aims of Science	Total Understand- ding of Science	
:	Mean	15.36	14.21	19.00	48.57	
£	Standard Deviation	2.30	1.72	3.31	5.26	
•••	Highest Pos- sible Score	18	18	24	.,	-

6

137

٠,

ERÌ

Table 12 illustrates that current UPSTEP Graduates have a positive attitude toward science on intellectual, (knowledge about nature of science), emotional (reaction to science), and total scales demonstrating average scores of 70.0 out of 90, 65.2 out of 90 and 135.7 out of 180.

⁴ The Tennessee Self-Concept data (Table 13) indicated that the average values on each scale for the current graduates of UPSTEP fall below the reported means (Fitts, 1965). The UPSTEP average for self-identity falls more than two standard deviations below the reported mean. Likewise these values are noticeably lower than reported by Pizzini (1973) for both UPSTEP students and a control group

SAI DESCRIPTIVE DATA AND STATISTICS ON 1977-78 IOWA-UPSTEP GRADUATES

. .

۹. ۱.		· ·	Īr	ntellectu	al Șcale	Emoti	onal Sca	le Tot	Total Scale 135.7		
	Mean			70,	0		65.2	1			
	Standa;	rd Deviati	.on ·	7.	82	•	8.50		14.47		
	Total]	Possible	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	90	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		90]	80		
· · · •					TABLE 13			·	• • • • • •	•	
		TENNES	SEE SELF-C 1977-	ONCEPT DE 78 IOWA-U	SCRIPTIV PSTEP GR	E DATA AND ADUATES	STATIST	ICS ON	······································		
	Physical Self	Moral- Ethical	Personal Self	Family Self	Social Self	Self Identity	Self- Satis- faction	Self- Behavior	Self- Criti- cism	Self- Esteen	
Mean	58.86	54.07	58.43	60.14	60.00	100.79	95.14	93,57	30.14	319:64	
Stan- dard	ŧ.,	*		•	, ,		A	· · ·	· •	a 	
tion	11.05	11.21	5.98	10.70	- 6.40	19,71	11.99	12.06	5.08	44.53	

ERIC Proitskt Provided by ERIC

In April, 1976, students currently enrolled in the UPSTEP program were requested to complete an UPSTEP Assessment Questionnaire. Information obtained for this Assessment Questionnaire is reported for all students enrolled in the UPSTEP program and has been subdivided into three categories representing those students who have not yet taken a methods course; those students who have taken, or who were currently enrolled in a methods course during the Spring, 1976 semester; and those students who were enrolled for student teaching during the Spring 1976 semester. (See Tables 14 and 15.)

140



UPSTEP STUDENT 1976 AND 1977 ASSESSMENT

			IMPORT	TANT	L		•
		Α.	Β.	1		C.	
	1976	1977	1976	1977	1976	1977	
(a) Developing an ability to get along with different types of people	88	85	100	100	92	100	r
<pre>(b) Developing a fund of knowledge useful in later life</pre>	100	78	100	86	67	50	
(c) Developing a sense of responsibility to participate in community and public affairs		- 10	ГЭ 				
 (d) Developing an ability to develop and evaluate moral capacities, ethical standards, and values 		70	57	/y -	50		I
(e) Developing self confidence	· · · · · · 63	53 85	62 93	79 100	67 100 -	50 100	
(f) Making the most out of my potential	• • • • 88	<u>. 90'</u>	· 93 ·	100 .	100	100	
(g) Developing communication skills.		79	100	93	100	100	· ·
(h) Developing moral and ethical standards and values	53	.53	50	78	67	83	. T
(i) Developing leadership skills	100	69	75	100	100	100	136
A There are taken wert at					1		

- A. Have not taken methods.
- B. Have taken at least one methods course.
- C. Current student teacher.



STUDENT ASSESSMENT

Indicate whether the UPSTEP teacher education program adequately provided for each.

Is Provision . Adequate?

	•	н.	, ' 1		D.	\$	(**
· 	1976	1977		976	1977	· ••	1976	1977
	yes	yes		yes	yes		yes	yes
(a) Skill in selecting and organizing materials	31%	53%		88%	57%		50%	33%
(b) Skill in technique of instruction		63%		.75%	867	! 	67%	17%
(c) Skill in group management	50%	53%	÷	75%	36%	ā	58%	0%
(d) Skill in developing work habits	29%	47%		50%	36%		58%	0%
(e) Skill in developing interpersonal relationships	82%	79%		88%	100%		100%	1002
(f) Ability to profit from suggestions for improvement	94%	89%	- -	00%	100%	• ,	92%	100%
(g) Ability to evaluate own performance	88%	89%	· · ·	88%	93%	ŧ	92%	83%
(h) Ability to evaluate the performance of others	76%	84%	1	88%	86%		50%	67%

- A. Have Not Taken Methods.
- B. Have taken at least one methods course.
- C. Current Studenty eachers:



The UPSTEP Student Profile Report is a summary of selected information regarding the abilities of students who were enrolled in the UPSTEP Program for the academic years 1975-76 and 1978-80 in relation to the University of Iowa, nationaland state norms for the ACT Battery. The data in this report were collected in order to evaluate the caliber of students drawn to the UPSTEP Science Teacher Education Program. These data are being analyzed to assess the changes that occur during the UPSTEP years and to develop ways in which the UPSTEP Program can be more effectively tailored to fit the needs and interests of science students entering the University of Iowa.

Where available, national and Iowa results have been included to enable comparisons with students enrolled at other colleges and universities throughout the nation.

The national data cited in this report are for all new students enrolling at colleges and universities throughout the nation in the Fall of 1975 and 1978. This information was obtained through the Evaluation and Examination Service, the University of Iowa. References to "state" data have been obtained through the same source and are results based on all college-bound students in the state of Iowa who took the ACT Battery between October, 1974 and April, 1975 and in 1976-78. References to percentile ranks on ACT Battery for the University of iowa are based on students entering the University of Iowa in September 1973 through 1978. The data presented here regarding the UPSTEP Program are an update of previously reported ACT scores and student enrollment. The earlier data may be found in Technical Report 8, Baseline Data Concerning Science Teacher Education Program at the University of Iowa, Table 45, page 54.

As is evidenced by this update, the UPSTEP Program continues to attract

145

exceptional students. The fact still remains that due to the high caliber of participants in the UPSTEP Program, the students involved have had and continue to have a wide range of professional choices available to them. This is one of the factors influencing the drop out rate as the science education sequence progresses. Comparison ACT scores for all students in the UPSTEP.Program and new freshmen are shown in Table 16. Relative rankings of UPSTEP students for 1975-76 and 1978-80 are shown in Figures 6 and 7.

146

139

÷ŧ

TABLE 10	
----------	--

ŕ

148

Standard Scores on ACT Battery A = 1975-76 B = 1976-78 *

1	ENGLISH				MATHEM	IATICS	S	SOCIAL STUDIES				NATURAL SCIENCE			
4 4 4	:	۱.	B		Å	B	; ži	A	В	•	A	₿ ₿		/ A	B
New Freshman Liberal Arts Students	Mean	22	21	4 4	24	23		24	.22		26	25		24	23
N _A =7640, N _B =8047	S.D.	4	<i>r</i> 4	 ,	.6	, 7	•	6	6	-	5	6	* *	4	.5
New Freeman Liberal Arts with indicated	Mean	22	22	۰,	28	27	•	25	25	ب ب	29	28		.26	26
<pre>pre-medicine major N_=613, N_=494 A</pre>	S.D.	4	4	9	- 5	5	yaki ^k aka nakon sa k	5	6		14	5		4	4
ing <u>, </u>	<u>erth ann fibhabha</u>	<u>. 17</u>	<u></u>	<u>, 1978</u>	iden na infile	<u></u>		. <u># 2</u> .		<u></u>	1.5 ⁻ 0-1	- <u></u>	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	<u>et der Kodus der</u>	
All UPSTEP Students	Mean	23	21		27	28	3	25	24	а ав. 1. а	► 28	27		26	24
A ⁻⁰³ , B ⁻⁰⁴	S.D.	4'	4 	ï	5	4 1	۰,	4	3	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4	4´		4	``````````````````````````````````````

* for UPSTEP Students; A = 1975-76, B = 1978-80

147

at at som


ŵ.

. •



Comparison of the Mean ACT Scores with Means of Other Groups



B. Self Assessment of Teaching Behaviors

SATIC (Figure 8) (Schlitt and Abraham, 1973) is a checklist instrument which measures the type and amount of verbal behaviors exhibited by a teacher during a lesson. It provides no value judgments in itself but can be interpreted in light of teaching goals. SATIC was designed solely to provide feedback and not as a research instrument.

In the UPSTEP program, SATIC is introduced via a self-instructional module and students are expected to use SATIC during every clinical experience. During early clinical activities, students are encouraged to work on changing the frequency of only one behavior at a time. Later, a full analysis of each lesson is expected.

Bince SATIC is a meaure of verbal behavior, behaviors are easily coded from tapes of a students lessons. UPSTEP students code themselves, determine what they are doing in a classroom, and then compare this activity with their stated goals. Such feedback and self-evaluation should be very effective in changing teacher behaviors. The ability to use SATIC is developed most fully during Methods I. In Methods II, students approach teaching behaviors' from a research base. Desirability of specific behaviors on the SATIC instrument are evaluated in light of research evidence as to their effect on students and compared to UPSTEP student goals. From this, Methods II students develop a desired pattern of behaviors and attempt to implement it. (While SATIC does not retain information about sequence of behaviors, it does allow some idea of sequencing since, typically, a given lesson contains only a fraction of the toal number of different SATIC categories.)

Since UPSTEP students are frequently attempting to alterispecific behaviors

or patterns of behavior, the SATIC results of those lessons become difficult to interpret. The data in Table 17, for instance, indicate that 1976-79 graduates are just as directive while student teaching as they were a year earlier in Methods I. They also ask about the same number of questions but do have a higher Interaction Index, an indication that they are responding to students

more.

The present analysis is very incomplete because of the lack of compilation of data for 1980 and the overall small numbers. Efforts are also being made to look at specific behaviors wather than groups of behaviors. Students have additionally been encouraged to modify the SATIC to suit their own needsa situation that does not make group analysis any easier.

While students have not always felt positive about SATIC (Table 18), we feel that as a result of using SATIC, fur students have become more capable of objective self-evaluation of verbal behavior and can better suggest changes and improvements. Since self-evaluation is an important goal of the UPSTEP program, this allows us to better model our goals while still insuring that students

are getting feedback on their teaching. This modeling has caused some of our UPSTEP students to try various forms of self-assessment and evaluation with their students, providing a cooperative venture rather than a unilateral decision on the part of the teacher.

144

	ander and a second s	PATT VADVE			TUTALS	PERCENT	MES -	
9						t.		
Sama Pernet	Initiatory (talking)		بدایا می درون بیران بست مواد ماند مواد ماند با می درون می درون ا			<u> </u>	anana ang pagan 1235 - Pagingan 1255 - Pagingan	and a constant
	. Lectures or gives directions.					╞╼╎╸		
<u> </u>	. Nakes statement or saks	n Nytrana ana amin'ny firana amin'ny firana Amin'ny firana amin'ny firana amin'ny firana amin'ny firana amin'ny firana amin'ny firana amin'ny firana amin'n						÷
8 -						±====₹	0	
	instatory (questioning)					<u>ا هم</u>	5	
n I	. Asks short-answer question.						<u> </u>	
Š. T	. Asks extended-answer question.							\$ \$
A. 85 -								TIC
	n na sana ang kang kang kang kang kang kang ka	* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	e as contecat S	Total I +		м данала — - 		
а 2	Responding (teacher-centered)							oding
8-3	. Rejecta student comment, answer						·	She
5 6	Accepts student comment or ensuer.	a nity circulation in the Circum			-			<u>ц</u> .,
3 1	. Confirms student comment or answer.	÷						<u>.</u>
<u>8</u>	- Repeats student comment or answer.			สามารถการที่สามารถสายการการการการการการการการการการการการการก		af the formation and		·
<u> </u>	. Clarifins or interprets what							
u =	student said.						,	ristingi vgi≒ ≉ i ≉
g 10	. Answers student question.							
₽. ≩)				Į	r :		i †
	<pre>sponding (student-centered) . Asks student to clarify or</pre>							8 8 8
12	. Uses student question or idea.	non constante en seus constituires en la sur-	aan ah	n in in internet	internationalista		neen service and the service a	
A					-	'	/	156
2 · · ·	.		. <u> </u>				• •	ц, О.«
1 II	yang iyunan ayy kunganaka ay ang pagina iyo na pana nangan lika sapan nangan lakan ana yang kasa ani nyinya nya K	following all distributions are done on a statement on the con-	a na na sana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana	}		ada ana ang panananan		اليجيدينينين ا

	an a		5			an (≊) Suite S Suite Sanatan Suite Sanatan (no suite suite	م ب : ۲۰ میں میں کا میں میں کا میں میں میں میں میں میں میں م		
				TABLE	2 17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ana ana any ana	nin a da ka di sa ka	
i îreal	SATI	C SCO	RES (IN	PERCENT	S) AN	D INTER	ACTION IND	EX	
ធ្វើស្វាភីកម្មសំពុះ ដែលក្រោះសេរាក់ ខេត្តស្វាល់ ស្រុក រូបក្នុងការសេរ អំពីស្វាភិក្សាភូមានស្វាក្សាស្វាក់ សេរា ដែលក្លែង ដែលក្លែង ដែលក្លេង ដែលក្លេង នេះ ក្លើងស្វាក់ ស្រុកស្វាក់ អំពីស្វាក់ ស្រុកស្វាក់ សេរា សេរា សេរា សេរា ស្វាក់ ស្វាក់ ស្វាក់ សេរា សេរា សេរា សេរា សេរ ស្វាក់ ស្វាក់ ស្វាក់ ស្វាក់ សេរា សេរា សេរា សេរា សេរា សេរា សេរា សេរា	an a		Y CLASS	AND GRA	DUATT	ON DATE	inan imatis unita strant n≢unisuk guatitus unit Annotae nationalista	andry of Sharmon (1999) ay 2 ao amin'ny solon ana ana amin' amin'ny solona amin'ny solona amin'ny solona amin'ny solona amin'ny	i nanisiana ang kabagai Salat ang kata sa Salat Salat ang kata sa Salat ang kata sa Salat ang kata sa Salat ang Salat ang kata sa Salat ang kata sa Sal
	- 								
				ार्ट प्रतितिति स्थिति स्थित स्थिति स्थिति स	n	1994 - 1995 - 1994 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		an a
	Net	bods	1	·Met	hods	II	Studen	t Teaching	ی ایجاد در ایجاد (۱۹۹۵) ۱۹۹۹ - ۲۰۰۹ ۱۹۹۹ - ۲۰۰۹ - ۲۰۰۹ ۱۹۹۹ - ۲۰۰۹ - ۲۰۰۹ ۱۹۹۹ - ۲۰۰۹ - ۲۰۰۹ ۱۹۹۹ - ۲۰۰۹ - ۲۰۰۹
₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩	• • •	3*	C	₹ -Х ,	* B	C		-B C	
X 1977		÷ •		т. 	= ¹¹ ,				- مرتبع (۲۰۰۵) مرتبع (۲۰۰۵) مرتبع (۲۰۰۵)
(N-11)	28	33	0.59	11	49	0.84	15	32 0.84	**************************************
X 1978 -					,c =	•	-		••• • • •
(N=6)	19	47	0.55	8	46	0.93	20	38 0.77	,
X 1979	23	36	0.61	8	44	0.95	7 17	40 0.82	
(N=11) ·	. F		alan generalak tenjagana i Aneman			1 1 1 1 1	an a		
x 1976-79	24	36	0.58	10	· 45	0.81	23	36 0.75	
(N=32)	· · · ·							<u> </u>	2 * * * * 2 * *
	ро) А.	* i .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······································		*		
	1978) 1978 197			T# #			й <u>-</u> #	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+
*A - Te	acher.	dize	ctions a	nd-evalu	uation	(Cate s	ories 1.	;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•			L.		د د. د د.	•	· · · · · · ·	

*B = Teacher Questions (SATIC Categories 3, 4, 11, 12)

*C = Interaction Index (Categories $\frac{5-12}{1-4}$), a measure of

157.

Teacher Response versus Teacher Initiation

147 ž TABLE 18 ١ PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONSES TO: thas the use of SATIC proven to be valu-able for you (if the instrument was used in any of your courses)? Past Current Total Graduates Graduates (n=11) (n=12) (n=23) 1⁻¹ Positive 55 . . 43 33 Qualified Positive 9 17 13 Negative. 36 43 50 * Same ÷., 7 158

FRIC

alar da sa sa sa	
	Summary and Conclusions
The	e Iowa-UPSTEP Model has grown and developed over the last nine years. In
nany re	spects the model matured in 1975the last year for developmental support
from th	e National Science Foundation. Currently, support is provided by a grant .
pick-up	program from the Iowa Board of Regents and an additional five year grant
from NS	F emphasizing the development and formative evaluation of teacher education
modules	
Th	e evolution of Iowa-UPSTEP has been fairly rapid with significant changes
each ye	ar through 1977. What began as a relatively conventional program is now
a four-	year, clinically oriented science teacher preparation program providing
undergr	aduates with early opportunities to deal with realities of science tea-
ching.	These early opportunities allow an equally early commitment to science
	ion or change career goals.
eaucaci	ton of change safet. Bearing
The	e descriptive evidence indicates a number of strengths of the Iowa-UPSTEP
The progrem: l.	e descriptive evidence indicates a number of strengths of the Iowa-UPSTEP Cooperating teachers have extensive and varied teaching experience
The progrem: l.	e descriptive evidence indicates a number of strengths of the Iowa-UPSTEP Cooperating teachers have extensive and varied teaching experience and strong content preparation;
The program: 1. 2.	e descriptive evidence indicates a number of strengths of the Iowa-UPSTEP Cooperating teachers have extensive and varied teaching experience and strong content preparation; A nucleaus of nearly 18 cooperating teachers view themselves as "UPSTEP
The program 1. 2.	e descriptive evidence indicates a number of strengths of the Iowa-UPSTEP Cooperating teachers have extensive and varied teaching experience and strong content preparation; A nucleaus of nearly 18 cooperating teachers view themselves as "UPSTEP Cooperating Teachers" and specifically request UPSTEP students;
The program 1. 2.	e descriptive evidence indicates a number of strengths of the Iowa-UPSTEP Cooperating teachers have extensive and varied teaching experience and strong content preparation; A nucleaus of nearly 18 cooperating teachers view themselves as "UPSTEP Cooperating Teachers" and specifically request UPSTEP students; UPSTEP students seem to be developing a common orientation in their
The program 1. 2. 3.	e descriptive evidence indicates a number of strengths of the Iowa-UPSTEP Cooperating teachers have extensive and varied teaching experience and strong content preparation; A nucleaus of nearly 18 cooperating teachers view themselves as "UPSTEP Cooperating Teachers" and specifically request UPSTEP students; UPSTEP students seem to be developing a common orientation in their philosophies of education, indicating that the program is having
The progrem 1. 2.	e descriptive evidence indicates a number of strengths of the Iowa-UPSTEP Cooperating teachers have extensive and varied teaching experience and strong content preparation; A nucleaus of nearly 18 cooperating teachers view themselves as "UPSTEP Cooperating Teachers" and specifically request UPSTEP students; UPSTEP students seem to be developing a common orientation in their philosophies of education, indicating that the program is having
The program 1. 2. 3.	e descriptive evidence indicates a number of strengths of the Iowa-UPSTEP Cooperating teachers have extensive and varied teaching experience and strong content preparation; A nucleaus of nearly 18 cooperating teachers view themselves as "UPSTEP Cooperating Teachers" and specifically request UPSTEP students; UPSTEP students seem to be developing a common orientation in their philosophies of education, indicating that the program is having more than a cognitive impact;
The program: 1. 2. 3.	e descriptive evidence indicates a number of strengths of the Iowa-UPSTEP Cooperating teachers have extensive and varied teaching experience and strong content preparation; A nucleaus of nearly 18 cooperating teachers view themselves as "UPSTEP Cooperating Teachers" and specifically request UPSTEP students; UPSTEP students seem to be developing a common orientation in their philosophies of education, indicating that the program is having more than a cognitive impact; Student do see teaching as providing opportunities to be creative and
The program: 1. 2. 3.	e descriptive evidence indicates a number of strengths of the Iowa-UPSTEP Cooperating teachers have extensive and varied teaching experience and strong content preparation; A nucleaus of nearly 18 cooperating teachers view themselves as "UPSTEP Cooperating Teachers" and specifically request UPSTEP students; UPSTEP students seem to be developing a common orientation in their philosophies of education, indicating that the program is having more than a cognitive impact; Student do see teaching as providing opportunities to be creative and original;
The program: 1. 2. 3.	e descriptive evidence indicates a number of strengths of the Iowa-UPSTEP Cooperating teachers have extensive and varied teaching experience and strong content preparation; A nucleaus of nearly 18 cooperating teachers view themselves as "UPSTEP Cooperating Teachers" and specifically request UPSTEP students; UPSTEP students seem to be developing a common orientation in their philosophies of education, indicating that the program is having more than a cognitive impact; Student do see teaching as providing opportunities to be creative and original;
The program: 1. 2. 3. 4.	e descriptive evidence indicates a number of strengths of the Iowa-UPSTEP Cooperating teachers have extensive and varied teaching experience and strong content preparation; A nucleaus of nearly 18 cooperating teachers view themselves as "UPSTEP Cooperating Teachers" and specifically request UPSTEP students; UPSTEP students seem to be developing a common orientation in their philosophies of education, indicating that the program is having more than a cognitive impact; Student do see teaching as providing opportunities to be creative and original;
The program: 1. 2. 3.	e descriptive evidence indicates a number of strengths of the Iova-UPSTEP Cooperating teachers have extensive and varied teaching experience and strong content preparation; A nucleaus of nearly 18 cooperating teachers view themselves as "UPSTEP Cooperating Teachers" and specifically request UPSTEP students; UPSTEP students seem to be developing a common orientation in their philosophies of education, indicating that the program is having more than a cognitive impact; Student do see teaching as providing opportunities to be creative and original;

149
5. Experience in professional education courses of the UPSTEP program Tare
viewed as important by the students;
6. What they learned in the UPSTEP program is lieved by graduates as being
Important outside the classroom;
7. Field experiences of the UPSTEP program are perceived as valuable by
students and cooperating teachers;
8. The program is seen as flexible, humanistic, and experiential;
9. History and philosophy of science components are seen by past graduates
as useful;
10. Methods I and classroom modules are seen as integrated;
11. Students express more holistic, humanistic views of science and tea-
12. Most students flan on some form of graduate program; 13. Students want to implement individualized programs; 14. (UPSTEP, graduates rank very high on their understanding of science;
14. UPSNEP graduates rame very mega on energy and science:
15. UFSIEF Students have a high positive could be and be ACT seened
16. The program is attracting high-caliber students as evidenced by ACT scores;
17. Students are competent at systematic, objective self-evaluation;
18. Teaching behaviors are approaching the norms desired by the UPSTEP staff;
in importants do develop a research-based rationale for teaching;
19. UPSTEF Students to develop a resource function of the students of
20. UPSTEP students do feel a lot of comradeship with other students as
vell as with staff;
21. UPSTEP has an early, varied, and extensive field experience;
22. Capstone courses in Socio-Biology, Applied Chemistry and Physics,
field experiences in Earth Science and Environmental-Education are pro-
viteri.
23. UPSTEP graduates are in demand by school systems.
160

Weaknesses in the UPSTEP program include: 1. A higher dropout rate (about 50%) than we would like; 2. Not all students view the Methods experience and its components as yaluable: Students feel that some critical teaching skills are insufficient in the program. These include: large group strategies and management; classroom control and discipline;. Ъ. c. lesson planning; test design; how to lecture; Students feel too much emphsis is placed on individualization; UPSTEP students are not as positive about self-evaluation as we would like: 6. History and Philosophy of Science are not seen as integral components of the program and are not always viewed as having direct application in the classroom; 7. Equipment use and maintenance skills are not adequately stressed; Staff always seem to be "two years" ahead of what they have recorded on paper and this is frustrating to all concerned. Overall, we feel the Iowa-UPSTEP program has been highly successful and is a model teacher education program. Current students feel relatively positive about the program and their experiences and post graduates seem to be highly competent teachers. The UPSTEP program will continue to respond to students whil striving for excellence and positive growth--just as we hope UPSTEP students will.

APPENDIX 1

Findings and Recommendations of Two Visiting Professors

Based on our direct experience with UPSTEP, reading research studies, articles, modules, publications, and grant proposals related to UPSTEP; sampling data from UPSTEP teaching assistants and graduates, and discussions with UPSTEP staff and cooperating teachers, the following findings and recommendations were generated.

Field Experiences

The early, varied, and extensive field experiences of Iowa-UPSTEP seems to be the program's strongest and most unique attribute. Maintaining the variety of grade levels and teaching strategies to which UPSTEP interns are exposed is of prime importance. The inclusion of the SSTP, elementary school, junior high school, and senior high school practica. provides an experiencial spectrum which may be unique to Iowa-UPSTEP. Several refinements of these experiences may increase their effectiveness: 1) assuming that each intern encounters diverse teaching strategies in the field; 2) enlisting a cadre of supportive and illustrative cooperating teachers; 3), reorganizing the time schedule so that each clinical experience falls early in the term. If additional clinical experiences become feasible, an experience with retarded children, in cooperation with the University Hospital School should be considered.

Interpersonal Relations

The positive rapport between UPSTEP staff and internsis a character,

istic too often missing in academic institutions. It is encouraging to

162

note that interns have developed a similar rapport in their classrooms.

Informal discussion, generally open office doors, and casual socials should be continued and facilitated whenever possible.

Development of Personal Goals for Science Teaching

A distinct thread woven through the fiber of Iowa-UPSTEP is the effort to encourage and facilitate the development by interns of their own personal goals for science instruction.; Although this effort may not win immediate acceptance, it is valued by the interns later. Several factors appear to contribute to this success: 1) the exposure to various strategies, grade levels, type of students; 2) significant concern for this issue across courses, including the History and Philosophy of Science component of the program. Possible extension to the elementary practicum is worthy of exploration. The History and Philosophy of Science Courses could have greater impact if they were to explore practical classroom applications of their content, ideas, and processes. Interns generally believe that exploring the nature of science, the scientific enterprise, and contemporary science issues are interesting and of value to themselves; however, many do not see any direct classroom application in this area.

Survival Skills

Summary observation reports by student teaching supervisors, together with interview responses of UPSTEP graduates, strongly indicate a need to strengthen the UPSTEP program in the general science area of large-group teaching, which is the predominant instructional mode of student teachers

and recent graduates.

Consideration should be given to the following areas (the order is not intended to convey relative importance):

163.

Group questioning skills and strategies. Student teaching supervisors consistently cited this area as weak. Group discussion strategies. These should include pre-lab, lab, post-lab discussion; lecture demonstration; Ъ. large group inquiry discussion. c : Classroom management-discipline. Interview responses strongly . indicate that this area should be the primary mesponsibility of the cooperating teacher in the student teaching experience. Audiovisual machine skills. At present these are not adequately covered in any of the university-based experiences. Some interns, acquire audiovisual skills during their student-teaching experience. However, we recommend that a one or two semester hour course in the media department be incorporated in the UPSTEP program. This course should deal with machine skills, material selection and production, and classroom uses. Selection and adaptation of widely used curriculum programs and materials. There are two points of view regarding a rationale for instructional planning activities. The first empahsizes the development of fairly original materials, while the second emphasizes the selection and adaptation of commercial and curriculum project materials. We feel that most beginning teachers will use the latter and should therefore be at least knowledgeable about the strengths and weaknesses of the major curricular programs in their teaching field. Practical evaluation techniques. Interview responses indicate 6. that most UPSTEP graduates concentrate on routine paper-and-pencil testing. Activities should be generated which emphasize non-conventional evaluation techniques (such as observational checklists, lab practicals, problem situations), as well as simplified statistical techniques for analyzing classroor tests.

University Field Integration

6.

UPSTEP graduates value the contributions made by the field experiences significantly more than any other component of the program; likewise they

value the contribution made by the cooperating teachers more highly than the university professors and significantly more than the university supervisors Informal observations indicate that the student-teaching field experience involves minimal professorial time commitment. Interview responses also indicate that the university supervisor's role, other than as 1 an evaluator, is generally perceived to be a minor one. In view of these observations, it appears to us that Iowa-UPSTEP should take steps to clarify the roles and responsibilities of the field experiences supervisors. We propose the following suggestions for consideration: - The university-based-UPSTEP activities need to more accurately reflect the realities of today's public schools, while striving to change them. The present UPSTEP program tends to over-emphasize a single intructional strategy which is not frequently used in many of our public schools. Increased attention to other instructional strategies, i.e., group inquiry; pre-lab, lab, post-lab; lecture; multi-media and demonstration-discussion, and specific survival skills will increase the credibility of university experiences and personnel 🎝 2., The identification, delection, and development of a cadre of diverse and effective cooperating teachers is needed to increase . the effectiveness and compatibility of clinical experiences placements. At present UPSTER does not devote enough effort to achieve optional clinical placements; inappropriate experiences and personality conflicts have occurred too frequently. An effort to locate, educate, and reward pecific cooperating teachers as UPSTEP graff associates in key schools has potential., " The development of regular workshops and socials with cooperating teachers may improve the harmony and effectiveness of university-<u>á 1.</u> school interaction. At present many of the cooperating teachers do not know one another or UPSTEP staff members. Also many cooperating teachers are uncertain of their responsibilities and lack skills and techniques appropriate to effective supervision. UPSTEP needs to allocate more professorial time to direct supervision 3.

of the field experiences. Ideally the role of university supervisor should be eliminated and the duties reallocated to the university professor and cooperating teacher, thus simplying the



1

156

The simpler model would have fewer and shorter lines of communications, thus improving the integration and rapport between university and field. Closer association with the field experience would facilitate meaningful follow-up and sharing of experiences.

EVALUATIONS OF FIRST YEAR TEACHERS BY THEIR EMPLOYERS

The following are follow-up reports of the progress of recent Iowa graduates currently teaching. They were written by school officials, principals, department chairpersons, and administrative assistants. They offer a glimpse of how a school administrator perceives first year teachers and what they view to be important criteria of success--read them and profit.

1968-1975 Graduates

6

We have found Mr. A to be every bit as capable as wet-thought that he might be at the time that we hired him. Very concerned about his responsibilities to the youngsters and the Science program that he is involved in. He is somewhat reserved, has a good professional attitude and is dedicated to improving the total teaching environment. He is doing fine and we are quite pleased with him.

B is doing a very fine job here at NN High School. He has developed excellent rapport with the students and staff and I see him developing into a very excellent teacher.

C is doing an average job. He has improved considerably, but still has a ways to go in regard to student control. He is pleasant, however, and has a fine attitude.

Mr. D came to our school system about one year ago now-he came into a difficult situation and has done an excellent job. He is interested in young people, has good rapport with them, is willing to give of his time, and in general takes steps to be effective with Junior High students. He sponsors and helped organize two new clubs this year. We feel Mr. D is an asset to our faculty.

E is doing a fine job--with experience he will be a top notch teacher.

Mr. F is teaching two classes of biology, one class of physics, one class of chemistry and is assistant basketball coach. His schedule is somewhat overloaded and this has reduced his overall effectiveness. Next year his schedule will have to be reduced. His relationship with students is excellent and he has a good professional attitude. I would Tate him above average in his first year of teaching.

Mr. G has been teaching High School Physics and Chemistry in the FM Community High School since the beginning of the school

term in 1972. He is very well prepared and certainly does understand these two teaching fields. He approaches classroom assignments with a positive assurance that he knows what he is teaching. His introductions to lessons are very well done. I have been informed that he makes excellent use of audio visual materials. He is most cooperative with the other teachers at the high school level and with the administration. He is concerned about students and tries to do all he can to motivate them and to meet their needs.

We have found Mr. G to be very enthusiastic about teaching, very dependable, and I rate him as excellent.

Mr. H has been a fine first-year teacher. He has replaced a teacher who retired after 30 years of teaching. Mr. H has been well accepted by the faculty and students and has become totally involved in EP Community High School, We are pleased to have him as a faculty member and feel that each year he will continue to contribute towards a better science department.

Mr. I is currently teaching Chemistry and Physics at NC High School. Mr I is very conscientious, spends a good deal of time in classroom preparation, and is striving to master the overall needs of a classroom teacher. However, he is in need of improvement in motivation and direction in instructional learning activities, rapport with students, and needs to strengthen his voice presentation in the classroom.

I have personally visited Mr. I's classes on two different occasions and feel that with additional experience he will become a productive classroom teacher.

Mr. J is progressing very well for a first year teacher. As with most beginning teachers he hesitates to take a firm enough position on discipline. However, his attitude is excellent toward seeking and accepting suggestions for improving his teaching. He is doing an excellent-job of working with the boys as wrestling coach.

Mr, K is an excellent teacher with good student relationship. K has served here for five months, so it is a little difficult to ascertain success in teaching eath pupil the entire course. Mr. K is friendly, cooperative, and is an asset to the community.

1

1976 Graduates

An appraisal of teacher service completed in May of 1977 by the administrative staff at NWHS indicated that Mr. S's performance was generally satisfactory.

Comments from that evaluation include: ".... Mr. S has improved since his January appraisal but is still short of being satisfactory in several categories." The "categories" considered "short of satisfactory".were: Knowledge of Subject Matter, Teaching Techniques, Classroom Organization, Classroom Atmosphere, and Appearance

D is a dedicated young man. Works well with students and mossesses excellent ability. He has given of his time most generously and I am happy to have him on the H staff.

Mr. S appeared to lack those skills necessary to be a successful teacher in our school. His attitude, both professionally and individually as a person was excellent although still marginal an an instructor; he has shown growth this year. His high spirit of cooperation seems to indicate that he will continue to improve his expertise in teaching. It is indeed, unfortunate that an individual could progress through his advance education courses and student teaching and not gain those skills necessary to be a teacher. His knowledge of course work is adequate.

Ms. U teaches individualized science. I have been extremely pleased with her efforts as a first year teacher. She is the internet and knowledgeable in her subject area. She also is the life and shows a tremendous willingness to commit the necessary time and effort to expand and improve our individualized program. The life adopted a new experimental science program called ISIS and implementing the program this semester.

One of her strongest assets is her empathy with the loss chiever. She cares about those kids and they know it.

B is doing a fine job as a first year teacher. The particularly strong teaching and working with low-ability stormts. He has the ability to use terms that make understanding selence much easier for them. He also recognizes that there are limitations, is on the kind and complexity of the concepts taught to these students.

B's weakness would seem to be that he is somewhat of an individualist and consequently is criticized, sometimes unjustly, for his "Kotter" image.

mrs. J has proved herself to be a very proficient teacher. We are happy with her progress and performance here in S school.

Mr. M is well prepared and qualified. He lacks personal confidence which is sometimes sensed by students and parents. His strongest field is chemistry in which he source than adequately prepared. His lack of confidence comes from within himself not from his lack of knowledge.

In Physics he is less well prepared and therefore more uncertain because of this.

He works well with the students and is most senerous in giving extra time.

He is gaining confidence as he gains experience, however. His intensiveness is lessening, also. We will offer him a contract next year.

Mr. H has done a fine job as a first year tracher in this system. I have seen signs of great enthusiasm and ability and the works well with youngsters. I will certainly recommend his for reemployment.

G has been employed in the 6 area school of the fine since September, 1976, as a high school physics and mathematics beacher. Although I have not had a great deal of direct contact of thim, the reports from his supervisor, including the building principal, have been very sprong. He appears to be doing a very fine job during this, his initial year.

1977, Graduates

Mrs. E has been an excellent addition to our science staff. Her assignment is seventh grade ISCS science and one period of ninth grade life science.

Mrs. E has spent a great deal of extra time preparing for her classes and this is very apparent in the steady development of her good success with pupils and very little in the way of discipline problems. She is definitely student oriented and has gotten to know her students, as people, in great depth.

F has make improvements in his teaching during the course of the year. His main strengths center around dependability, knowledge of subject matter, and interest in the school. His major weaknesses include inability to communicate with students so as to best benefit the educational process. He also has trouble lowtring instruction to the learning level of the students.

Excellent teacher -- doing a fine job!

Gaptain M has done a very satisfactory grade of work at the Missouri Military Academy thus far in 1977-78 as mathematics instructor, coach, and dormitory resident. We feel he has a very great future at our institution.

 $\mathbf{I}_{i}()$

Doing quite well for a first year teacher. Very enthusiastic toward her work - good rapport with students. Learning lesson plan preparation rather slowly but "coming around". Needs to improve in disciplinary field. Needs to learn to teacher 50 minutes in a 50 minute class period. Personal dress, grooming, etc, very good.

This is M's first year on the staff of AC Elementary school. She is teaching 7th and 8th grade science--earth and life sciences. I have been very impressed with M's teaching ability and, more expecially, with her character and her rapport with junior high students. I feel she is very well qualified, knows her materials thoroughly and by using varied techniques, makes her classes interesting and profitable for the students. In the area of discipline M has shown continuing improvement. At no time was her discipline poor, but, like most first year teachers, she has had to learn to achieve a balance of structure and freedom. She has been very easy to work with--asking for help when it's needed, accepting suggestions but not becoming too dependent on anyone else. Her rapport with other teachers and students is, I feel, her greatest asset. She really likes and respects the students. This has, in turn, earned their trust and respect. She is an asset to the #taff--generous and positive. We are privileged to have M on our staff.

J had good laboratory exercises, thus making biology interesting for his students. Students responded well to J's efforts to educate them. Class was always under control and yet the atmosphere open.

J was active in many of the extra curricular programs. He assisted in our successful annual spring musical. J appeared to have a genuine concern for students both during school and after school hours.

I believe that J is a better than average teacher with very good student rapport. J will continue to improve and should be quite successful in education.

C is doing an outstanding job as a Biology and Chemistry teacher in the I-35 high school.

She knows her materials well and has a way of putting it across to the students. She willingly accepts and conscientiously performs the extra duties assigned to her. She demands a great deal from her students. We are well pleased with the job she is doing.

Mr. P is doing an exemplary job of teaching 7th and 8th grade science. He is quiet, thorough, and very conscientious. He is also very creative in the classroom situation, and searches for ways to make the class pleasant for the students. He is always well-groomed and is a fine example to our students. Mr. P relates well both to faculty and students, and is highly respected by both.

REFERENCES

Abraham, M.R. and Schlitt, D.M. Verbal interaction: a means for self-evaluation, <u>School Science and Mathematics</u>, November, 1973, Vol. 73, (8), 478-486.

Fitts, W.H. Tennessee Self-Concept Scale. Nashville, Tennessee, 1965.

Golman, M.E. Selected teacher traits characteristic of inquiry science teachers and an analysis of the development of these traits in science methods students. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Iowa, 1973.

Guertin, W.H., Litcher, J.H. and Hedges, W.D. <u>Multi-Dimensional Assessment of</u> <u>Philosophy of Education</u>, copyright by authors, 1973.

Phillips, D.K. Pre-education practicum: it's influence upon the students pupil control ideology. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, University of Iowa, 1976.

Pizzini, E.L. An analysis of the effect of an undergraduate preservice teacher education program on selected personal characteristics. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Iowa, 1973.

Test on Understanding Science, Harvard University, 1971.

Yager, R.E. NSF Proposal for Iowa Undergraduate Preservice Teacher Education Program, Unpublished, March, 1970.

÷,

APPENDIX 3

Biographical Form for Cooperating Teachers

During each Spring semester, biographical information is obtained on the secondary and elementary cooperating teachers who contribute to the science teacher education programs at the University of Iowa. Ninety-five percent of the secondary teachers have responded to the <u>Biographical Form</u> for <u>Cooperating Teachers</u> while only seventy-two percent of the elementary teachers have completed the form.

The purpose of the Biographical Form for Cooperating Teachers is to obtain information which will aid in successfully matching student teachers to the special interests and experiences of the cooperating teachers in the future.

In an effective teacher education program, prospective teachers must be provided with the knowledge, expertise, and experience necessary for becoming a successful teacher. Cooperating teachers are essential to the UPSTEP program, and proper communications are essential to achieve the goals of the program. Optimum communication is necessarily based upon understanding, and the data collected here will help to promote that understanding.

This report is a summary of the information contained within the Biographical Form for Cooperating Teachers.

q,

,

Elementary Cooperating Teachers

1975-79

* 3	Α.	Avera	ge age of element	ary cooperat	ing teachers	36.8	· ,		
	В.	All c 6th g	f the elementary rade. Many of th	cooperating ne elementary	teachers tea cooperating	ach in the g teachers	4th, 5th, teach all	or	
	٠. ١	acade	mic subject areas	•	•			e	
an a	C.	Areas 1.	of concentration Major areas of c Biology	for Bachelo concentration	r's Degrée :	ţ		•	
	*,		Elementary Edu English and Pl Political Scie	cation astic Art	₩	- 4		• -	· · · · ·
•		2.	Minor areas of c Bible Studies Botany Barabalaan	oncentration	:		• •	ŕ	•
			Social Science		· · · ·	<u></u>		,	
		• .*	Social Studies		•				
			Zoology						* *
	, •		Engilian						
	۰D.	Sixty	-one percent of t	he elementar	y cooperatin	ig teacher	s have,	ĩ	
· · ·		earne	d a Master's Degr	ee				1. T #94 	
an una suttitidade	• 25.=	• 1 	Major areas of c	oncentration	• •	n na san san san san san san san san san	a in china a contra cui		
			Elementary Agu Elementary Édu	cation					
	-		Reading and La	nguage Arts		4			
·* ,			Special Educat	ion				·	
		2.	Minor areas of c Elementary Edu	oncentration cation	•	۲ ۲	·	z	
	E.	Twent	y-four percent of	the element.	ary cooperat	ing teach	ers are		
		inyol	ved with extra-cu	rricular act	ivities	,			*
•		1.	Outdoor Educatio	n Program		*			
		3.	Safety Patrol	IVICIES					• .
				,					*
	F.	Insti	tutes from which	elementary c	poperating t	eachers h	ave earned		•
•		,degre	es or have done a	dvanced work	are:		ъ с	•	
			Colorado State	•	÷		ι.		
58			Kansas State Te	achers Colle	78	•	•		4.
			University of I	owa	,		2		
÷.,	, ,	4 . A	University of V	ermont			, 		
			Winona State Un	iversity					•
	1		United Stry of N	orthern.Iowa	•	1	•		· .
•		1 e 1	Uentral Trollege				=, . ,		1 - E
					; •		,	* <u>~</u>	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
e.		· ·	e	•				ŕ.	,

174

۹

aut d N

<table-cell>

mitiinen elle proveri		tilgatatum erganaciental -	an a				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			33	-	Ĵ.	
	E1	ementa	ry Coopen	rating T	eachers (c	ontinu	ed) [.]			-			
	G.	Year	s of tear	ching ev	Derience				· · ·				. '
		1.	On the	average	, the elem	entaru	C000070	· tina ta	aabarr	L	.		
			teachir	ng for 1	l years	y		ernê ret	achers	nave t	oeen	•	
		. 2.	Twelve	percent	of the el	ementar	ry goo per	rating a	teacher	shave	2		•.
			had hig	gh school	1 teaching	experi	Lender (Of these	e teach	ers th	ney 🖓		
	. •	3.	Eleven	percent	of the el	ht high ementar	n schodl	for one	e year.		(.,
			had jun	ior high	h school to	eaching	t experie	ence. (ceacher Of thes	s have e teac	hore	•	
•		â	they ha	ive on th	he average	taught	junior	high so	chool f	or fiv	re	**	
		4.	yearg, The ele	man	6000.000.00				•	۰.	. ·		
		- · ·	teachin	ng elemer	tarv schoo	ng teac ol for	ten vear	e on th	ne aver 1	age be	en	, and the second se	-
t,				0			cen yest	, 3 , 7	· ·				
ı	H.	One h	undred p	ercent o	of the elem	nentary	coopera	ting te	eachers	have '	worked	ŧ	
		with	scudent	teachers	s prior to	thịs y	'ear.					`	, b,
	I.	Numbe	r of sem	lester ho	ours comple	et [#] ed in	the fol	lowing	areas			•	
		1.	Educati	on;	Average	. 56.2	semester	hours	areas	·			
	1	2.	Life Sc	ience:	Average	16.0	semester	hours			x	•	
		. 4.	Chemist	rv:	Average	3.0	semester	hours	•				
		5.	Physics	:	Average	1.0	semester	hours	۲				
							,						
	٦.	None (of the e	lementar	y cooperat	ing te	achers h	ave bee	n affil	liated	with		
		OIDIL	I SUMMEL	contere	inces.	•	.*	ŧ			۰.		
n Maria ang Katra Ataon													
		. 1971 - 1987 - 1987 - 1987 - 1987 - 1987 - 1987 - 1987 - 1987 - 1987 - 1987 - 1987 - 1987 - 1987 - 1987 - 198 1987 -			- 1.6	piti näistuvaliin A	na i ellettenan latin	. artisti - A.		elejian o		Line s tie	ato site in
		an de reconstruiser d'anne	<u></u>	аландау с калан Х	n 1969 - 20 ale a fai fain ann 1977 1	pite nametakaka n	nii - eliiz∮isiat (1200)			**************************************	، به نیز این		at chi a
		21 Jun - 1997 - 1997 - 1997	2.1 de 1 due 12.1	. 6.8.2122 g 682526 }	9 Taki - 1 ada - Kan Kamanan Ingg	titi samtikoksA			.a.a	* * * * *	**************************************		ati s in
	,	19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 -	1	 Actual (1)²/₂ y = 1 - 1.642/Taw Actual (1)²/₂ y Actu	* 113	piti (Amerikaki (A	7.3 . m			هد مرتبست در ۲۵ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲	* , , ,	1899 19 156.	ati shi u
	,	11 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 24 - 24 - 24 - 24 -	1) 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	- 13.53) y 51354 } -	• 14	putu nemitikaku nA	na - 9112, 1920, 1920, 1920, 1920, 1920, 1920, 1920, 1920, 1920, 1920, 1920, 1920, 1920, 1920, 1920, 1920, 1920			eretuut in 10 	8	- 1999 - 5 Tel	ati shi u
• •	,	41 a. 100 11 a 480	1) 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		* 111	pilo sentineko / A	141 - 8114 (mart) 141 - 8114			на на Ганил III (1) 2. – 1. – 1 6. 6. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.			ati sin
• •	,	21 - 22 - 12 - 17 - 2 67	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	. 63.032 y6856	· 14	piti - Amerikaaki / A	сы , ве лымс		1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	6.	***************************************	Weiger in Take	ati shi
· ·	,	41 - 20 - 10 - 17 - 2 00	1) 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	. 63.632 y	* 113	pite sentimeters A	1 al 1 81.1 a 91.1 a	1. 12772 A.I.	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	κ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		adi, o ku v. .e
	,	1	1 ,			piti - Amerikaan (A	сы , ве, лай			9 - 9 - Latin (2 - 13 	a		19
	,	41 - 20 - 10 - 17 - 1997	1 () 1 + 1 (1) − 1 () − (pite temperature de	1 al . Bills 2 (bills 2) (bills 1) (ж. э. ¹ 	≥		artista -
	,		∎1` ⊒ * <u>=</u>	A.		piti - demonder (A	1			на в слад на 19 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4			er 19 19 19 19
	,	41 van 1919 - 1997 	1		* 1.1.*	poto - Americando - 2 A	1 al . Bills 2	1. 12 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	но в Гололо () - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1			ністін н 17 г 2 8 8 9 2 8 8 9 2 8 8 9 2 8 8 9 2 8 8 9 2 8 8 9 2 8 8 9 9 9 9
	, ,	41 van 100 117 v 1482	∎() _ = + = _=	A. (2)		pti i mentionali i A	сы , ве, лай			ο το			ны страна 19 19 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
	, ,		1			pito - Amerikanska s A	"	1. 12 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22					14 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		•			pt. t Wentlands, J. A	1 al - 8			на на слад на 10 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7			ин () () () () () () () () () () () () ()
	, , ,		1			peter semitorekers A	1.4	1. 12 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22					14 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	*		•			pt. t	*** • ******			на на слад на 10 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6.			
			1 1 2 4 1 2 4 4 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4			pite terminente e A	1.4	1. 12 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22					
			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			pite terminande de A	1 al - Bills 2 (Bills 2)						
						pit - denticado 2 A							
						p. 1							
						p. 1							
•						p. 1 Mentovski - / /	*						

Secondary Cooperating Teachers 1975-1979 Average age of secondary cooperating teachers: 41.2 The following courses are taught by cooperating teachers affiliated with the UPSTEP Program: Advanced Biology Animal Behavior Astronomy **Biological Problems** Cell Biology Chemistry Comparative Vertebrate Zoology Earth Science Environmental Studies Evolution and Variety of Life Field Biology. Human Anatomy and Physiology Introductory Biology PACE Chemistry Physical Science Physics C. Areas of concentration for Bachelor's Degree 1. Major areas of concentration: Physical Education Biology Physics Engineering General Science Social Science Geology 2. Minor areas of concentration: 14 - 14 A History Biology. Mathematics Chemistry Physical Education Education Social Studies English General Science Eighty-nine percent of the secondary cooperating teachers have D. earned a Master's Degree Major areas of concentration: 1. Physiology Biology Secondary School Administration Botany Science Education .General Science 20010gy Geology Minor areas of concentration: Chemistry -Geology Mining Physics Zoology History **ŧ**., 76

Secondary Cooperating Teachers (continued)

E. Fifty-four percent of the secondary cooperating teachers are involved with coaching or extra-curricular activities 1. Coaching: Basketball, Football, Golf, Track 2. Extra-Curriculars Honor Society, I'll Never Smoke Club, Iowa Naturalist Club, Volleyball Institutes from which secondary cooperating teachers have earned degrees or have done advanced work are: Atlenta University Stanford University Buena Vista College University of Iowa Drake University University of New Mexico - Grinnell Collegel Iowa State Teachers College University of Northern Lowa Iowa State University University of South Dakota Loras College University of Wisconsin Princeton University Wartburg Collège South Dakota School of Mining & Technology Years of teaching experience: teaching for sixteen years 2. Eighty-nine percent of the secondary cooperating teachers have had high school teaching experience. Of these teachers they have on the average taught high school for fourteen years. 3, Sixty percent of the secondary cooperating teachers have had junior high school teaching experience. Of these teachers they have on the average thight junior high school for seven YEATS. 4. Six percent of the secondary cooperating teachers have had elementary teaching experience before becoming secondary teachers. Of these teachers they have on the average taught elementary school for one year. H. Ninety-one percent of the cooperating teachers have worked with student teachers prior to this year. I. Number of semester hours completed in the following areas 1.Education:Average47.5 sémester hours2.Life Science:Average46.5 semester hours3.Earth Science:Average16.8 semester hours4.Chemistry:Average8.6 semester hours5.Physics:Average13.0 semester hours المستشينة فيكعب بيغانية Twenty-two percent of the secondary cooperating teachers have been affiliated with UPSTER summar conferences.

UPSTEP - Student Assessment, Parts I and II

bex.

4 🤹 ---

1.3

for all stude	nts enrolled i	n the UPSTE	sesament Qu P Program a	estionnai and has be	re is repo \ en subdivi	erted ap ded into	
three categor course; those method cours	ies representi students who é; and, those	ng those st have taken, students wh	udents who or who wer o were enro	have not e current lled for	yet taken ly enrolfe student te	a methods d in a aching	
Table 19	-22 provide da	ta on stude	nt percepto	rs of the	effect of	various	****
components of	the UPSTEP pr	ogram.					·
and feelings	in regard to t	he. program.	e aneuviai	uata 804U	t atuutit	Accede to	•0
	0						
			<pre>fmmthe ten on out or space finite out out out of the finite out of the finit out of the finite ou</pre>	and a second		а на станија и на	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• 9		N.		
				ţ.		P	· ·
		<u> </u>	 		<u> </u>		
		Ro .		· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- • •••••• • •	

					THE C.			-						
× .	Transition .	2		٩.	Per ser se	· · · · · · · ·	n 🕐 🛱 than	and another	10.12	antigene	- 1 mil 1	$A=A^{-1} + 1$	an	~
48.1 - 144	2 001-00a 4		ine .	4	Allow Service	 A 1 		10.000	All all a la	ور د ۱۹۸۹ ک	an contract of	1.11.0	fal a wall man	
		э,	LU	u	EILE	AS	乐户女	8 6 4	PM.	* 5		-	100.00	•
				_					-					

Students were asked to indicate the importance of their teacher education experiences in preparing them for each of the following statements. The following results represent all students in the UPSTEP Program.

e e ta la seconda

	Developing an shility to get	Highly Important	Somewhat Important	Somewhat Un- Important	Highly Un- Important
	along with different types of	 a reconcil for a Ministrator recorregionale a concession of multi-field of developed (of terms of a statistic development of the statistic development of the statistic development of a statistic development of the statistic development	Birdemander redorment ger annunsken hogen en stad 198 20 annun - Stad Stad Stad Stad Stad Stad Stad Stad	noverski seni storenovali se in storenovali se	nemen filmer som hann med diffication och de skonska som kan mannarer fora enderer for andre som andre som
	people	· · · 68 <u>7</u>	267	67	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(Ъ)	Developing a fund of knowledge)			- - -
	useful in later life	19 K .	717.	· 02 · ·	02
(c)	Developing a sense of responsib	111ty			
	public affairs	• • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ē	•
			- 58%	28%	
(a)	nd evaluate moral capacities.	P	5 7 B		
aliteration de particular de la constante en partico. 2	sthical standards, and values	•••• 29%	39 2	307	and a land a second as a s
(e)	Developing self confidence	564			- U4
بىرىكىتى بېتىكە 1400-ي			<u>3</u> 97		
(<u>r</u>)_	potential	<i>Erv</i>	257		
1.5		25%	• •	16	37
(8)	Developing communication skills	827 🥳	202 .		0 X
(h)	Developing moral and ethical st	andards		•	E
	and values	• • • 172	407	392	47,
(1)	Developing leadership skills .	• • • 37%	° 55% *	72	17
Indi	cate whether the UPSTEP teacher	education prog	ram adequat	ely provided	for
each			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Is Provi Adequat	sion e?
			•	yes	Ŧ
(8)	Skill in selecting and organizin	ig materiæis .	• • • •	607	
(b)	Skill in technique of instruction	m	• • • •	75%	₹
(c)	Skill in group management		•.•.•	577	rañan oparan de responsable assesse f
	6		ι.		
(a)	Skill in developing work habits	1	• •	- 44%	
(e)-	Skill-in-developing-interperson	l-relationship	9-,-,-,		
(f)	Ability to profit from suggestion	ma for improve	ment .	-937	
			· · · ·	1376	
(8)	Allity to evaluate own perform	mce y	• • • •	917	
(h)	Ability to evaluate the perform	ance of others	• • • •	817	
		. .	· · · ·	- *	1. / 1
() <u>ani ang an</u>		1 4 5		a ka sa	······································

TABLE 19

- 255

. <u>4</u>

TABLE 20

Student Assessment - Pre-methods The following results represent those UPSTEP students who have not taken a methods course.

		Highly Important	Somethat Important	Somewhat Un- Important	Highly Un- Importan
(a)	Developing an ability to get along with different types of people	• • • 67% -	247	92	- 07
(b)	Developing a fund of knowledge useful in later life	197 `	80%	17	07
(c)	Developing a sense of responsib to participate in community and	flity I	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	107	
	public affairs	152 - See 152 - See 1		. 107	
(b)	Developing an ability to develo and evaluate moral capacities,	277 ·	102 .	337	07 -
	-Developing self confidences.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* • • • • • • • • • • • • •	52	02
(4)	Waking the most out of my	1			
- (1)	potential		247	107	≤ 5 % *
(8)	-Developing-communication_skill	1 807	197	227	0 % 57
(b)	Developing moral and ethical a and values	tandards107	527	334	
(±)	Developing leadership skills .	402	512	97	07
Ind	licate whether the UPSTEP teache	r education pr	ogram \adequ	is Provide	id for
			k.	Adequi yes	ite?
(.)	Skill in selecting and organiz	ing materials	• • • • •	30%	
* (b)	Skill in technique of instruct	10n	• • • •	/9A	
) Skill in group management			312	
) Skill in developing work nabit	mal relational	1108	892	
۵۲۰۳ ۱۹) Skill in developing interperso	ions for impro	ovement .	937	1
5 (I) Ability to profit from suggest	mance		87%	
() ()	A Ability to evaluate the performance	mance of othe	rs	807 ``	
8 (C	By RULLEY CO CONTRACT CONTRACT			аранан сайна с Сайна сайна сайн	· · · ·

Student Assessment

s∰ ÷

. بلغة : عمد . مرجع : عمد .

澧

TABLE 22

÷. Student Teschers

The following results represent those UPSTEP students who were enrolled for - -- -**Ø**, • * * student teaching. •

	Highly Important	Somewhat Important'	Somewhat Un- Important	Highly Un- Important	
(a) Developing an ability to get					
people	- 837	XXX	7%	02	1
(b) Developing a fund of knowledge useful in later life	18%	517	30 7	iz .*	3
(c) Developing a sense of responsible	Liity		<u> </u>		
to participate in community and public affairs	17	457	497	5 %	*
(d) Developing an ability to develop and evaluate moral capacities, ethical standards, and values	417	277	• 307	* 22	n - Li - Adapta
(e) Developing self confidence	60%	397	17. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	02	
(f) Making the most out of my .		• * 414			
(g) Developing communication skills	937	÷ 72	02	02	3.
(h) Developing moral and ethical sta and values	enderds ••• 40%	212	237	. 107	· · · · ·
(1) Developing leadership skills	education pr	ogram adequa	itely provid Is Pro Adequ	ed for vision(
(a) Skill in selecting, and organizi	ng materials	· • • • • •	51%) 	r
(b) Skill in technique of instructi	on	• • • • •	717		<u>}</u>
(c) Skill in group management	V • • • • •	• • • • •			······
(d) Skill in developing work habits	*	• • • •	57%		1 🕌 -
(e) Skill in developing interperson	al relationsh	1ps	100%		. · ·
(f) Ability, to profit from suggesti	ons for impro	venent	937		a.
(g) Ability to evaluate own perform	Mance	• • • •	937	0	17
(b) Ability to evaluate the perform	nance of other	9			. ون
	181	مرد بالمرد المرد الم المرد المرد الم المرد المرد الم	Roman hara an de an	۲۳ ۱۹۳۵ ۲۰۰۵ ۲۰۰۵ ۲۰۰۵ ۲۰۰۵ ۲۰۰۵ ۲۰۰۵ ۲۰۰۵ ۲۰	

FR

WESTEP Assessment Part 11 1976-77

n y nationi The second 4-6

Students were asked to indicate which courses they had taken prior to the Spring 1976 seventer and which courses they were enrolled in during the Spring 1976 seventer

. . . .

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	97:110	Seminar Research in Science Education
na star e d'ar a g	75:100	- Introduction to Secondary School Teaching
	75:91	- Pre-Education Practicum
	7 R : 75	- Educational Psychology and Measurement
	78:151	- Mathods Physical Science
4	75:152	- Methods Biological Science
	75:190	- Observation and Lab Practice
	78:191	- Student. Teachar
	78:192	- Student Teacher

Students were asked to give their perceptions of the present UPSTEP Prog

What do you feel are some unique points of the UPSTER morran? Why are these unique?

See Section A.

.

What are your general feelings about the UPSTEP Program?

See Section B.

How does UPSTEP compare with or teacher preparation programs with which a you are acquainted?

See Section C.

List any suggestions you may have for program improvement.

See Section D.



the	UPSTEP Primran.
٨.	Students who have not had a methods courses
	I want to be an elementary teacher.
	The way we are started out in the elementary school first impressed me.
	Pre-ed Practicum is an innovative program.
	So rar 1 have seen norning unique in the proter program.
	student.
	The openess of the staff
	The instructors are incredibly helpful to the individual.
	Helps you learn what teaching really is by starting early with
	An atmosphere of cooperation
•	The ability of UPSTEP to focus directly on science education.
	A lot of emphasis on taking courses with relevance rather than
	Min INSTRO Human to human to the
	Now I know I want to be a pharmacist.
1 Popular	
≥B.	Students who have had or are currently enrolled in a methods course
	but have not atudent taught.
A	They seem to have a specific philosophy in mind.
	The development of teaching and interacting with students on an
	Individual basis.
	It is a chance to get to know the professors and Ta's quite well'
·	Tou can be open in your ideas and problems:
	Get to know other students in UPSTEP quite Well.
	The program is flexible enough so that it can fir your needs.
anen, en de maratera e	The early experience working with kids in School.
	The general atmosphere is very enjoyable.
	Teachers really seen to value your feelings as wellings your performance.
ali agraf, Sources, 24 Status (Sources) (Sources) Status (Sources)	The porgram seems more student-oriented than most such programs.
1	Methode beloed me null a lot of pieces together.
C.	Students who were enrolled for student teaching
	The instructors are great to talk to you individually and offer praise
. .	and criticism.
-	I know most of the people in the department and they know me by mame.
	It's nide to know our comments are respected and wanted.
	I nave nat twice as much experience in the classroom than wener
	Early experience in schools allow you to see if you really want to be
	a teacher.
्म हुई है। हर्ग के प्रायमित क	I think it has made me more creative by making me less inhibited about
- Sati	- Utilizing various methods not normally used.
na internationale Alternationale	T can really see how to do it now.
ar iarendedad	an a
· .	and the second

. (**† ...**.

.

	ne statistik sen i ter en en en en en en en en ter beste beste en statistik i statistik i de statistik i de sen	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
	B - General Feelings	
م معقبة وحيد		47
		c .
		** "
	Sudent Tresponses Teveril no that a second	
	a general recling sout the UPSTEP Progra	an. * j \
	Students who have not had a methods course	······································
	It shows me what h missed.	
1.00 C	ter posicine	
15	I like it. There is a good familiarity between staff and students	
5	wood program in that it opens up the classroom to you.	
	way should be run the same	
	T think it is a good program for finance	
1.13	Legioy UPSTEP courses.	
劉法	UPSTEP allows you to familiarize vourself and a	
	you may have to confront.	
8	WESTEP has helped me in determining if I want to harons h	
and the second se	d UPSTEP lets you see what classroom situations are all about	6
	COMINICADIC.	
A Contraction of the second se		-
* * B	2 Students who have had or are currently enrolled	- an a same the fact water at a
all	but have not student taught	
15		1
8 41	I like it.	a ₂ ,
1	It provides a variant of	-
-	Materials we will use with many of the	
-1-		
17 14	I'm glad I'm in the program	
	I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program hus life	
	I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few	
	I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvements.	· • : · · ·
	I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvementa. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only as included.	· · · · · ·
0	I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvements. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvementa. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvementa. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile.	
	I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvementa. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile.	
	I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvementa. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile.	
с,	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvementa. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile.</pre>	
Ç,	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvementa. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile. Students who were enrolled for student_teaching.</pre>	
G.	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvementa. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile.</pre>	
G.	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvementa. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile.</pre>	<u> </u>
с.	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvements. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile.</pre> Students who were enrolled for student teaching.	
G.	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvements. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it it likes me</pre>	
G.	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvements. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it- it likes me. I tie transnown</pre>	
C.	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvementa. 'I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it- it likes me. I is tremendous.</pre>	
G.	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvements. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile.</pre> Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it- it likes me. It is tremendous. Too much emphasis is placed on interpersonal relationships.	4
G.	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvementa. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it- it likes me. It is tremendous. Too much emphasis is placed on interpersonal relationships. UPSTEP provides a valuable service and I like it.</pre>	<u> </u>
C.	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvements. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it- it likes me. It is tremendous. Too much emphasis is placed on interpersonal relationships. UPSTEP provides a valuable service and I like it. Good cooperating teacher.</pre>	
G	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvements. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it- it likes me. It is tremendous. Too much emphasis is placed on interpersonal relationships. UPSTEP provides a valuable service and I like it. Good cooperating teacher. I appreciate the feedback I get.</pre>	
C.	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvements. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it- it likes me. It is tremendous. Too much emphasis is placed on interpersonal relationships. UPSTEP provides a valuable service and I like it. Good cooperating teacher. I appreciate the feedback I get.</pre>	
C.	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I mjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvements. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it- it likes me. It is tremendous. Too much emphasis is placed on interpersonal relationships. UPSTEP provides a valuable service and I like it. Good cooperating teacher I appreciate the feedback I get.</pre>	
G	 I'm glad I'm in the program. I mjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvements. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it- it likes me. It is tremendous. Too such emphasis is placed on interpersonal relationships. UPSTEP provides a valuable service and I like it. Good cooperating teacher. I appreciate the feedback I get. 	
G.	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like sny other program there could be a few improvementa. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile.</pre> Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it- it likes me. It is tremendous. Too much emphasis is placed on interpersonal relationships. UPSTEP provides a valuable service and I like it. Good cooperating teacher. I appreciate the feedback I get.	
G	 I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like sny other program there could be a few improvementa. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it- it likes me. It is tremendous. Too much emphasis is placed on interpersonal relationships. UPSTEP provides a valuable service and I like it. Good cooperating teacher. I appreciate the feedback I get. 	
G	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I'm glad I'm in the program. improvements. I the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it- it likes me. It is tremendous. Too much emphasis is placed on interpersonal relationships. UPSTEP provides a valuable service and I like it. I appreciate the feedback I get.</pre>	
G	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I'm glad I'm in the program. improvements. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile.</pre> Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it- it likes me. It is tremendous. Too much emphasis is placed on interpersonal relationships. UPSTEP provides a valuable service and I like it. Good cooperating teacher. I appreciate the feedback I get.	
C.	<pre>I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvements. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile.</pre> Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it- it likes me. It is tremendous. Too much emphasis is placed on interpersonal relationships. UPSTEP provides a valuable service and I like it. I appreciate the feedback I get.	
C	I'm glad I'm in the program. I enjoy the program, but like any other program there could be a few improvements. I like the program and the assistance I receive. UPSTEP gives me a chance not only to look at teaching, but science teaching specifically. I feel like I'm finally doing something worthwhile. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. Lately it doesn't have the zip it had a few years back. I like it- it likes me. It is tremendous. Too much emphasis is placed on interpersonal relationships. UPSTEP provides a valuable service and I like it. Good cooperating teacher. I appreciate the feedback I get.	

\$ _= r

مىلىدۇر تورىغان بالغان بالغان بىلىرى بىر بەركىدىرىكى بىلەر بالغان بىلى	
.4. И	
· · ·	
621	udent responses regarding how the UESTEP Program compares with other
	scher preparation programs with which they are acquainted.
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
٨,	Students who have not had a methods course.
··· -	'I'm not acquainted with any other teacher education programs
0 a	Much better overall- treats people as individuals and as friends,
	not numbers to be eliminated from the classes.
jë ¹	Much more personal
· • • ·	More interaction in classroom at earlier time.
	UPSTEP is better because it provides classroom involvement along
-	with student discussions. It is nice to share experience with others.
in suma tra simularia	Better organized and more responsive towards individual student needs.
	We get some supervision.
Las de la francisca de las seconas	
B.	Students who have had or are currently enrolled in a methods course
	but have not student taught.
	Not securized with any other program
1 1	AGE BECURATIERE AATE BETA GEDET DIGTIER.
•	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier
	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most.
	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs.
	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" sype experience.
	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" type experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs.
	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" type experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal.
æ	<pre>This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" type experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough.</pre>
	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" type experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough.
	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" type experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough.
с.	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" type experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough.
• C.	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" stype experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough.
е .	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" type experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough. Students who were enrolled for student teaching.
• C.	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" type experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough. Students who were enrolled for student teaching.
¢.	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" type experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. It is very individualized. Not acquainted with any other program. Better staff-student interaction.
	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" teacher programs. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. It is very individualized. Not acquainted with any other program. Better staff-student interaction.
¢.	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" type experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. It is very individualized. Not acquainted with any other program. Metter staff-student interaction. Superior Itgot more visits than my friends in English.
С.	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" type experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. It is very individualized. Not acquainted with any other program. Better staff-student interaction. Superior Ligot more visits than my friends in English. Other student teachers can't even talk about their rationale. I.
¢.	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier Than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" stype experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. It is very individualized. Not acquainted with any other program. Better staff-student interaction. Superior It got more visits than my friends in English. Other student teachers can't even talk about their rationale. I don't think they have one!
С.	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier Than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" stype experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. It is very individualized. Not acquainted with any other program. Better staff-student interaction. Superior ligot more visits than my friends in English. Other student teachers can't even talk about their rationale. I. don't think they have one!
с.	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" type experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough. Students who were engdled for student teaching. It is very individualized. Not acquainted with any other program. Better staff-student interaction. Superior Ligot more visits than my friends in English. Other student teachers can't even talk about their rationale. I. don't think they have one!
С.	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students than elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on "stype experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. It is very individualized. Not acquainted with any other program. Better staff-student interaction. Superior Itgot more visits than my friends in English. Other student teachers can't even talk about their rationale. I dog't think they have one!
С.	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students then elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" stype experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough. Students who were engdled for student teaching. It is very individualized. Not acquainted with any other program. Better staff-student interaction. Superior ligot more visits than my friends in English. Other student teachers can't even talk about their rationale. I. dog't think they have one!
С.	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students then elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" stype experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough. Students who were engdled for student teaching. It is very individualized. Not acquainted with any other program. Better staff-student interaction. Superior ligot more visits than my friends in English. Other student teachers can't even talk about their rationale. I. dog't think they have one!
	This program gets you experience with the kids and schools earlier than most. Seems much closer to the students then elementary teacher programs. It's different, less writing, more "hands on" stype experience. UPSTEP people seem more friendly and helpful than people in other programs. Much more personal. Takes longer, but is more thorough. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. It is very individualized. Not acquainted with any other program. Metter staff-student interaction. Superior Itgot more visits than my friends in English. Other student teachers can't even talk about their rationale. I don't think they have one!

D - Suggestion*

Student suggestions for program improvement. Students who have not had a methods course There should be more courses like 75:91. 75:100 I think is of time. More involvement in practicum in the class and the students themselves. That the UPSTEP student start at a higher grade level if they are going to teach in the higher grades in the future. More experience in classroom management is needed. Practicum students should be required to make up a simple educationalunit with lectures, multimedia presentations and an evaluation with the help of participating teachers. I'dolike to do more teaching. B. Students who have had or are currently enrolled in a methods course but have not student taught. I can't really think of any. It's pretty good the way it is now. A little less "busy type" work, such as encountered in the methods courses. More tize could be spent on developing skills. More talking about specific teaching techniques. More involvement in various classrooms throughout the lowa City area. My teacher kept mentioning the importance of student discussion and participation yet all he did was lecture. (75:100) Needs more credit.' More time in schools (75;152) C. Students who were enrolled for student teaching, Student teaching needs more supervision. Need more chances for/promoting discipline without being evaluated. Would like more information about advantages and disadvantages of different methods. More practical information about resources and curriculum. Need time to allow student teacher to plan for student teaching A experiences. Syudent meaching goals can be accomplished in 12 weeks instead of . 17 veeks. Get rid of evaluating by tape. Less talk in 73:152 and greater emphasis, on tachniques of teaching difficult topics and the second secon Expand it and make more students aware of its existence. Sometimes they are too critical.

an a	and the second sec	an state a second participation of	amilianan ge seni		anter en anter a server en anter en an	in the second second	4-11	at source and a star way way.
	المينية (مينا) المينية الجينية (مينا)	in de la composition de la composition Composition de la composition de la comp Composition de la composition de la comp	UPSTEP A	ssessient	Part II -	1978-79		•
* Studen	ts vere ask	ed to ind	icate whi	ich cours	es they ha	d taken.		
	n geographication interfallen interesting and	1999 - 2000 - 20			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			and and a second se A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
······································	97:110 -	Seminar	Research	in Scie	nce Educăt			ngan sa
	75:100 -	Introdu	ction to	Secondar	y School Te	aching	an a	
	<u></u>	Educatio	<u>cation Pr</u>	acticum-				
	78:151 -	Hethods	Physical	Science	10			
	7S:152 -	Mathoda	Biologic	al Scienc	18			
	75:190 -	Student	Teacher	Lab Pract	lice	1.4		
	75:192 -	Student	Teacher			· · · ·		
Student	a vere seks	al to atua						
terns o	f the follo	Wing ques	tions.	arcab riou	s of the p	resent U	PSTEP Progr	am in 👘
	Landa dan Prany meru du anjaran aran ara 1977 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 -	5					un ausgun ann grun rauna a amn amgn. F	and an american and an an an angle and an angle and an
these u	nique?"	ite some u	mique po:	ints of t	he UPSTEP	Program?	Why. are	
maqidddiaa afy carronaeth ann 196	New York and the Law Statement of Control of	uber Aureur schutzu underfeitung aus b t	, immunitationen er an anderen and a	andri i tre sance i se real constants	apanananan na matanan na ara ang matanan na matanan na matanan na matanan na matanan na matana na matana na mat Mananananananananananananananananananan	иналисска инсланицательных ин	Les anneas a crass or all grad to an and crassing of calls	na malaan ay amaa ay ay ah oo amaa faanaa ka wa
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in 25 The second second	·····••. ·			· ·	····	tire i ser. Sin in ingetiren
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							. <u> </u>
	. *	- <u></u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· · · · · ·	•	* -		*	• • •		•
đr.	See	A	-	·,	1 <u>1</u>	· ·		
	ann a an ann an ann an an an Araichtean		1	**************************************		3-80-1201-80-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-		
	4. A. A. A. A.			•	• • •	- -	# *	:
What ar	. your gene	ral feeling	ngs about	the UPS	TEP Program		an Angan angan ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a	• ; •
		1 F 14 / 1 - 2 R				<u>*</u>		
÷.	ية ب <u>الم</u>	· •	7	<i>i</i> .		•		*
8 g = 1 militare approximation for the form on a set array of a second secon	See	в.	n voudengent i alto vo. i F	ويتبينه بلينيهم محايمه ح	initian in mode and manipul revi		b contract and contract of the second s	י אור איז
How does	PSTEP con	mare with	àther t	escher pi				
you are	ecquainted	?		eecuer hr	ALALACION	hiograma	WICH WHICH	k tari kar
	2	k T	۰. ۲		a a stational a		hinger a	
			· · ·	·· · ·				
	See	<u> </u>			t and an an an Administration of the address of the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	
List any	suggestion	NON MAN	heve for	F ofortag	1		. ≩	월 ·
7	<u>ر د المحمد ا</u>			, brogram	· TabloAene	ας.	8	
	·			н с кола — Ца. Пам	ند <u>شد م</u> رب م	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ð.			•	·,	'-·	<u> </u>	- <u>-</u>	
	See	<u>D.</u>	- 		1		· ·	
Ê.	4			- · ·	t s t s t st	F at	•	· · ·
142		n n i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	an a		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	د. میں محمود روز	- ····
	4 s		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	5	
	` , `		-	1			-	
			• •		······································		-	
A - Unique Points

Student responses regarding what they fell to be unique points regarding the UPSTEP Program. 4 Students who have not had a methods course -£* Having seminars in practicum rather than just going to the school are beneficial. 1. Contraction of Contract a 10^{6 - 1}...... Allows for contact with students of all age groups--not just at the secondary level. The Pre-Education Practicum in the elemenatry schools was a reat idea. I like the idea of experience elgentary, junior high and high achool education even though I will be teaching at the secondary level. It gives me a good idea of the exposure the kids have had to science before high school I think the availability of materials for the learning activities was a · 2 🛛 very good point. 🦄 Although I am not familiar with the program so much as the teacher within the program, I can safely say that it is designed to allow the individual the freedom to develop his/her own philosophy of education. We're exposed to a lot of ideas and allowed to set out own standards. The idea of placing students into classrooms before they student teach-this gives the student the opportunity to find out if he or she is really interested in teaching before it is too late, Being in smaller classes and you have a chance to question and express feelings. Given direction but given no real answers. The modern teacher is a facilitator--not a teacher. It seems fairly progressive. It is much better than I expected. I am happy with it so far. It stresses the teacher as facilitator and not dictator. It allows experimentation and inquiry with guided teaching assistance. It exposes the potential teacher to education at all levels. That is unique in that the teacher will know not only what point the students ar at, but will have some thea of how they got there and * where they are coming from. The program presents a lot of different ideas and tries (I believe) to help the students see the advantages and disadvantages of different teaching methods. Also lets them make up their own mind. The fact that it combines education and my major instead of trying to, fight with two different colleges in accomplishing by major. I feel I've learned a great deal in my experiences here this semester. I'm in this for exploratory reasons, and I've found the answers to my questions. More individualized attention to each student. τ.

138

Students who have had or are currently enrolled in a methods course but have not student taught. Having students involved in the UPSTEP Program, working in schoolskeeping in contact with a school environment. Also being introa duced to different types of curriculum and teaching methods. laving such a close knit group to work with Everyone in the same area and knowing who can help you. The experiences that you receive outside at the schools. The diff. ferent grades that the methods classes expose you to give you a chance to interact with the students of different grades and see which students, if any, you can work with. It's consolidated to a handy area and the poeple let one get to know the program and get involved, unlike other departments I've been in: I feel it provides a wide range of experiences which may be helpfullater. It exposes the student to many aspects of teaching I we never thought of before. It gives the student a wider perspective of education. The emphasis on interpersonal skills is very important for the relatively new individualized programs where the interaction is on more of a one-to-one basis and there are specific skills such as questioning techniques which can be very beneficial to learn. The modules generally provided awareness of the student as an individual, which makes the teacher-to-be more tuned in to these differences. Constructing a self-instructional unit was also unique--I didn't expect to actually be able to do something like it in a , teacher-ed program. Informal learning environment for students. I have never been in classes where personal feelings and opinions are discussed. I like the interaction between students and teachers. Good practical experience--where else could you get it unless you had a full or part time job. bool experience for secondary teachers in unique--I don't think Grad d the non-science people do it with the seminal part. The continued actual classroom participation is unique, Student teaching is not all in one 15 bour block. It is broken up to allow student teaching in various situations and to make it possible to experience teaching before the mest, semester of the 4th year. Duly science ed does this. Emphasis on human relations training as part of teacher training. Dif-= fers from the traditional teaching of content only. Pre-ed-practicum with elementary students. I know of no other secondary ed department that does this. An excellent idea in finding out where our secondary students "are coming from." I like the splitting of student teaching into 2 gemesters. What if a prospective teacher dame to his final semester and found he couldn'tes ----stand teaching? It's unique as far as I know--no one clse seems to be doing it. Relationship between class and field practice Allowing students to interject their own feelings and ideas. The student feels like he/she is a part of the program. In other areas of ... -curraculum at the University of Iowa the programs are very impersonal.

4-14 I have the feeling of being part of a group or team, and I know there is always someone willing to talk and offer help if I want it. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. The individual attention you get from the staff. Anytime there is a problem you can find someone to talk to ? The way your are started as a freshman is good. Gets you in a program Fin. the beginning. The student teaching opportunities segments-gets you into a school . early to be sure teaching is what you want. Individual attention by teachers and staff--honest concern about your your situation, your learning, your problems, etc. You get to know wost of the staff and feel friends with them. These are unique because I have friends in other departments who have expressed feelings of being "lost in the crowd" in large lecture rooms and of net being able to find someone to help them out with scheduling or problems. There is a great deal of involvement in classrooms as part of the teacher preparation program with the practicum; field work in methods (Lunetta) and the 15 weeks of actual student teaching. This gives more exposure. to different classroom climates and styles. The staff generally seens very enthusiastic, and interested in finding the best ways to teach .9A.⁼

B - General Feelings

·4-15

ŧ.,

1

Student responses regarding their general feelings about the UPSTEP Program.

A. Students who have not had a methods course.

, '

ŧ

Ŷ

	Alls is my first equcation course; I don't know enough about the over-
	tion programs however.
	The pits. The seminar had its less than outstanding moments.
	-I like it, but there were a few things that bother me. Each teacher con-
i i ti	veys his own personal view as to what form of teaching he believes most effective. While not forcing these views upon everyone, I stfl
•	The classes T have taken so far were not very interesting.
·	So-so.
,	Great program, and I plag to continue in it.
•	Good program.
÷	I think it is helping people to become better teachers than were pro-
	duced 10-20 years ago.
· •	I feel it is one of the best programs available to any college student
	in the country.
	At this point I'm in the program I don't think I'm qualified to make
	any real judgments. The feeling I have about it now however is
	basically good.
	In general I'm really pleased with it.
,	There are always materials available for you to useand we've read of .
.	many peoples ideas and beliefs on thingswhich has been helpful. The people are very helpful and friendly which impressed me as early as registration.
n Talah at Ta	
3,	Students who have had or were currently enrolled in a methods course, but have not yet completed their student teaching.
	I feel it is definitely on the right track and is becoming a highly com- mendable program.
	I like the atmosphere of knowing the staff. I didn't have this feeling
	during my pre-UPSTEP days at the University. Very favorable.
• •	I have enjoyed UPSTEP and feel it has been valuable in preparing me to
•	teach science.
	The experiences provided through UPSTEPare generally very good and
	most of all worthwhile.
	So far I think it is good.
	Satisfyingwell equipped.
100 million (100 million)	I have no negative criticism of the program in general.

-191

It's very helpful in preparing a student for teaching. For myself, the program (this methods course) "fills in the gaps" and has helped me to feel more capable of functioning as a teacher. Feeling is good. Opportunity for improvement is available, so no · problem that cannot be analyzed if not solved. Pretty good-seems (at times) to be a lot of "busy work." Practical experience is good--too much paper and language, C. Students who were enrolled for student teaching. I have enjoyed it very much, even going through it as quickly as I did. I felt I was exposed to a lot of new ideas. There are a few weaknesses though, with perhaps some more realistic activities and approaches needed in some of the pre-student teaching courses. I felt Herb Brunkhorst was a very helpful and encouraging supervisor. I feel that is is a program of people who care, but very little if anything can be done to really get us ready for student teaching. You" can help us develop certain talents for teaching, but you cannot connect them up into a satisfied, effective teacher in our student time. This must come from experience, The methods classes should provide the student teacher with useful information (testing, grading, etc.) instead of useless questions and noble ideologies about what we think we'd do in or with the child that you'll have one of in 15 years of teaching." I think it's a good program. 192



	4-10	
	C - Comparison	
	사실은 사실에 가장 같은 것을 가장 있는 것을 가장 있는 것을 가장하는 것은 것을 가장하는 것을 가 같은 것은	
	가는 것이 있는 것이다. 같은 것이 있는 것 같은 것이 있는 것	ىتشيىچە
Student		
Dreparat	tion programs with which they are acquisized	- 51
	Fregrams area march they die dequalited.	
A. Stud	dent who have not had a methods course.	
Earl	lier contact level with students.	- Î
	Courses I have beard shout This access to he is the	
12	sonal department and a student doesn't so easily become lost in	
	the shuffle as those in secondary or elementary education do	
Fron	m what I have heard this is much better.	من .
It p	provides more opportunities as far as interactions with specific	
	teaching experiences are concerned.	
,Sα f	far with my little experience it is the first class I have ever	
at i tangan se Derina tangan tang	taken where I didn't feel like a nobody and was not here just for	
	allendance reasons but because I felt I was getting something out	
	to more turned to tenchar student behavior in the second state of the	
	is more contened to ceacher-scudent benaviors and interaction.	is maa kanpiana
. : B. Stud	dents who have had or were currently onrolled in a matheda tours	
	but have not yet student taught	
at at a subscription of the subscription of th		
Seen	ms to have more obligations and earlier in-the-schools parctice.	e e e
More	e publicity of the UPSTEP program to graduate students. (Not	
·	familiar with any other teacher programs.)	
lts	emphasis is very different from the teacher ed program I had pre-	
	viously gone through, which was oriented toward more practical	
	aspects of teaching, and the student teaching experiences were	
•	student as a person, but not on an individual dark instruction on	•
*	curricula.	
More	e open to new ideas, somewhat more real-world oriented. Though some	
•	times a bit too confusing in what courses need to be taken and not	
a séalasses e albe as ales as	a good enough explanation of what the course will be about before-	
	hand.	•
Very	y favorably, judging from conversations I have had with people in other	
	programs.	
More	programs.	-
More	programs. e practical.	
More	programs. e practical.	-
More	programs. e practical.	-****
More	programs. e practical.	
More	programs. e practical.	-
More	programs. e practical.	
More	programs. e practical.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
More	programs. e practical.	
More	programs. e practical.	
More	programs. e practical.	

193

÷



, **,** ,

\$

C. Student who were enrolled in student teaching.

From the war stories of the other student teacher preparation programs, it is a great improvement, but I am of the unshakable belief that we need more large class experience before beginning teaching. We do get more pre-student teaching experience than the other programs, but it is too much of one kind: the easy part of teaching, which is 1 on 1 to 1 on 5 discussions.
The only other one I know about is Coe's which just has you student teaching 's the semester. I think teaching the full semester is better.

inter estates and the second s

۲

ha contra

ł.

. . i..

4



	 .		
PS110/000		-	
ALC:		10 Mar 10 Mar 10	

Student suggestions for progr

Students who have not had a course.

-More chance for experience

More contact with more credition time spent doing so.

Working with and planning the sectivities were the best part . If we could do more of this I think the program could be improved. The 75:100 class is pretty bat. Any change would probably be an im-provement. I realize this is part of the education department but perhaps some type of arrangement could be made to have the science education department teach what they want and test how they like instead of following the objectives of the present 754100.

Less B.S. and busy work. .

More teacher student, person-to-person emphasis. How to generate interest on part of students. Developing a teaching personality.

Students who have had or were currently enrolled in a methods course, but who have not yet completed their student teaching. A CAR THE ME

75:151 should meet twice a week for a shortes period of time.

Not enough time was given to the idea of management in the classroom. Not just one kid but when 30 kids decide to play. How do you gain control and keep it. Being an intern leaves you mainly on with a lot of frustrating questions and a lot of paper work from the methods class.

75:151 needs better organization; i.e., more realistic goals where amount of work is concerned; more realistic pacing of modules. There were too many modules towards end of semester.

More time spent on discussing problems faced out in your classes. Not so much module work,

Where do interns learn how to cope in large-group situations? How do they become acquainted with making up and scoring tests (i.e., evaluas tion) 2nd efficient use of time (lesson plans) in a non-individualized situation? It seems UPSTEP focuses on the ideal teacher (2nd sem.) on a lst teaching job. (Or ARE these more practical things incorporated Into other UPSTEP courses I'm unfamiliar with?)

More publicity of the UPSTEP Program to graduate students. I think a whole semestes (3hr./wk.) in one elementary school was not at all

helpful. I was not exposed to any science. Perhaps other elementary schools could be part of the semester instead of just one.

Ed. Psych. had nothing to say about test design 75:152 dealt with it only briefly.

Students who were enrolled for student teaching.

s see a s

The supervisor for discussions in our student teaching seminar dominates the discussions to such an extent that we have difficulty expressing

	4-20
	our own feelings towards what is happening in the schools. As with all student teaching discussions, it is a waste of them because I feel that
	school-community packet) rather than for him to work on our side to
	us go teach for Malcolm Gore with his Pace Chemistry. I feel/that a half
	a semester in there will help us develop our 1 on 1 on 3 skills, but
	situations.
	Vas up to me to do everything. He didn't know what to evnect of me
	and I didn't know what to do. It should be thoroughly explained in
	S.T. seminar was a waste of time. We have too much to do at night to sit
	around and chat for 2 hours.
	(i.e., A.V.)
	Eliminate 79:190 as a 3-hr. course; and combine T.W. & TH. student teach- ing. To do this, you must have some one that will accept a curriculum
	· that could be used during your student teaching. The way it is now,
	afraid to let as use, since they must ultimately answer to the parents
	of kids who are suddenly flunking.
	measurement attached, and that is one area in which I feel I have
	little preparation, especially since my cooperating teacher leans strongly toward only multiple choice. It could perhaps be discussed
	more in methods. (See attached sheet.)
	and self-evaluation. While I think self-evaluation is important I feel
	that I need more experience inta repertoire of techniques before self-
	teaching seemed to be without much direction. I think we could have
	benefited more by doing even just one module as it was meant to be done, and discussing it (it's good to allow the student teachers to talk more
	to each other about experiences) than to be critiquing all the modules
	I felt the supervision was good, Herb always offered helpful suggestions.
	'I wish there had been more time to talk to him about different points because I was always baying to back to a class, maybe once every two
	weeks the supervisor could sit down with each student teacher after
	I felt I gained a lot of new insights into both science and education.
	• Better selection of cooperating teachersI realize this is a difficult task but I think improvement could be made in this areaPerhaps you
	could sit down with cooperating teacher and student teacher prior to
	student teaching and discuss and work out certain things like what exactly (?) is expected of cooperating teachers and student teachers
	in terms of responsibility or guiding of the classes, time spent
	all through the UPSTEP program the staff took a lot of time to work
	with me; etc., and that they really cared about me and then when student teaching started I felt like I was just thrown out on my own
	100
ed by ERIC	

4-21 I think the student teacher seminars need improvement-I'm not sure what the purpose of them was or how much I gained from them-sometimes they seemed irrelevant to me. Perhaps meetings at the school with student , teachers from that school would be enough. ' I don't think its a good idea to have student teachers waking that curriculum project course at the same time as student teaching. There just isn't time. Make sure the student teachers are getting the fair amount of credit for . student teaching in relation to amount of classes they re teaching etc, I don't think perhaps they should take it the semester prior to student teaching and use it during student teaching: More preparation on the more "gratical aspects of teaching" prior to student teaching such as test writing, lesson plans, organization, giving. lectures, leading discussions, etc. I didn't feel adequately prepared in these areas (i.e., developing ideas for "units," leading field trips). I felt like I was high idealistic and not enough pratical when I came into student teaching. Maybe it was just me. But it's kind of disillusioning and has left me a bit bitter. There should be a better blend of idealism and theory, and practical aspects. ž. 1

ERĬC

	_						_
AP	P	EN.	D	ĽΧ	à	5	

APPENDIX 5 Science Teacher Education Program (STEP) Inventory

which may be incorporat	ed in a scrence teacher education program. For	
each statement, mark th	e number to the left which best matches the degree	e -
to which the specific p	rogram incorporates this particular component.) بر این
The bishest level of a		
The highest level of ag	reement will de marked three (5) while a lack of	
the specific component	will result in a mark of zero (0) with varying	
degrees in between. No	te that in some items only two (2) options are	
provided. In these ite	ms: sone (1) = yes; zero (0) = ho.	•
		.2
Distribution of	I. Integration and Sequence of Academic Program	and .
Teaching Assistants responses located just	below <u>Educational Experiences</u>	•
()	Duration of professional education component:	and a second
10 1	. One year following graduation.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(4)	. Two years beginning in the senior year.	
	. Two year beginning in the junior year.	
(7 2) 1 6 4 (3 1)	. More than two years.	
анионалиянанын каланан калан кал В.	Sequence of experiences:	
3210	. There is a definite specified sequence of acti	vities and
	courses in the program.	international formation of the formation and the second second second second second second second second second
3210-2	The program provides a number of optional and	alternative
	courses and activities that provide flexibil	ity and help
	to meet the needs of individual pre-service	teachers.
C.`(bservation of teaching prior to the teaching ex	periences:
10.1.	Pre-service students spend up to two weeks in a	observations 🗠
(<u>3 1</u>)	in school.	
. 10 2.	Pre-service students spend more than two weeks	in observation
(3 1)		· · · · · · · · ·
*		
		· · · ·

ERIC

5-2

	D. Time for teaching experiences in schools:
	. I. Une block of practice teaching for several weeks in the
	final year.
(3)	2. Two blocks of practice teaching in the final year.
(3)	3. Practice teaching spread over two years or more.
	E. Teaching experience-organization:
3210	1. Individual preservice student works with one primer
(1.2) (1)	comparating teacher
3210	2. The or three pro-course of the park of a form wheth
(1) (2)	2. Iwo of three pre-bervice brudents work as a team with
- 1 2 1 0	Due cooperating teacher.
(1) (1 1)	5. Fle-service students work with more than one cooperating
	F. Integration of university-based and school-based experience:
3210	1. There is carefully planned integration.
3210	2. Many activities have integrated experiences.
(1 1 1) 3 2 1 0	G. Cooperating teachers, participate in special instruction or-
(2 2)	ganized by the teacher education institution.
	H. Science courses
$\frac{3}{1} \frac{2}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{0}{1}$	1. Pre-service teachers take all science courses at the
	university science departments.
3210 (4)	2. Pre-service teachers take all their science courses in
a na a marg <mark>en</mark> ena a na 1970 a araa Mila	the science education department.
* '2 2 1 0 (1 1 1 1)	3. There is a planned sequence of science courses, some of
```````	Kwhich are taken in science departments and some in
. ٢.	science education.
• • • •	I. Education courses. Pre-service teachers take education
•	courses that treat the following topics in depth:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(2 2)	1. listory of education.
(2 2)	Z. Philosophy of education.
-1.0	3. Educational psychology.
······································	
× .	

٠ 1.

ERIC

 1 0. 4. Socialogy of education. 5. Educational technology. 6. Developmental psychology. 7. Moral educational and human values. 10 9		
 5. Educational rethnology. 6. Developmental psychology. 7. Moral educational and human values. 8. 10 9. 32 10 10. There is close coordination of topics and courses offered (1) (2) in science education with other professional education courses. 32 10 J. Pre-service teachers study education in courses with peers majoring in a broad stray of academic disciplines. 10 1. One science discipline (e.g., physics, biology). 10 2. Different science disciplines. (2) (1 1) majoring in: 10 1. One science discipline (e.g., physics, biology). 2. Different science disciplines. (3) 3. Science and mathematics. (4) 4. A broad array of disciplines. (5) 3. Science and mathematics. (6) 4. A broad array of disciplines. (7) 4. A broad array of disciplines. (8) 4. A broad array of disciplines. (9) 3. Science and mathematics. (10) 2. Hore than one instructor, all university professors. (2) 10 1. One instructor, a university professors. (3) 2. Hore than one instructor, all university professors. (3) 2. Differents can dim-service programs are coordinated. (2) 2. On N. The professional education program includes relevant extra-curricular social and professional growth activities. 11. Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service-teachers to: A Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methods of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies: 	19:	4. Sociology of education.
 6. Developmental psychology. 7. Moral educational and human values. 7. Moral educational and human values. 8	i d St	5. Educational technology.
 7. Horal educational and human values. 7. Horal educational and human values. 8. 9. 3210 10. There is close coordination of topics and courses offered (1) (2) in science education with other professional education courses. 3210 J. Fre-service teachers study education in courses with peers (2) (11) majofing in a broad array of academic disciplines. K. Fre-service science teachers participate in "methods" courses with peers majoring in: 10 1. One science discipline (e.g., physics, biology). 10 1. One science discipline (e.g., physics, biology). 10 2. Different science disciplines. (10 3. Science and mathematics. (10 4. A broad array of disciplines. (3) 4. A broad array of disciplines. (3) 1. Pre-service teachers participate in "methods" courses taught by: 12 10 1. One instructor, a university professor. (3) 1. One thar one instructor, all university professors. (3) 2. More than one instructors who are practicing teachers. (4) 4. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. (4) 4. Pre-service and in-service program includes relevant extra-curricular social and professional growth activities. II. Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies: 	ĪṒ	6. Developmental psychology.
 10 9		7. Moral educational and human values.
 1'0 9	۴۵٬۰	8
 3 2 1.0 10. There is close coordination of topics and courses offered (1) (2) in science education with other professional education courses. 3 2 1 0 J. Pre-service teachers study education in courses with peers (2) (1 1) majoring in a broad array of academic disciplines. K. Pre-service science teachers participate in "methods" courses with peers majoring in: 0 1. One science discipline (e.g., physics, biology). 2. Different science disciplines. 3. Science and mathematics. 4. A broad array of disciplines. 3. Science and mathematics. 4. A broad array of disciplines. 3. Pre-service teachers participate in "methods" courses taught by: 3 2 1 0 3. Pre-service teachers participate in "methods" courses taught by: 3 2 1 0 2. Hore than one instructor, all university professors. (3) 2. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3 2 1 0 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3 2 1 0 M. The professional education program includes relevant extra- (1 1 1) curricular social and professional growth activities. 11. Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and sethodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies: 	1 0	9
 (1) (2) in science education with other professional education courses. 3 2 1 0 J. Pre-service teachers study education in courses with peers majoring in a broad array of academic disciplines. (2) (1 1) majoring in a broad array of academic disciplines. (3) T. Pre-service science teachers participate in "methods" courses with peers majoring in: 0 1. One science discipline (e.g., physics, biology). 2. Different acience disciplines. 3. Science and mathematics. 3. Science and mathematics. 4. A broad array of disciplines. 3. Science teachers participate in "methods" courses taught by: 3 2. 10 1. One instructor, a university professor. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3. 2710 3. The professional education program includes relevant extra-curricular social and professional growth activities. 1. Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodogy of one science discipline achieving the follow 	321.0	10. There is close coordination of topics and courses offered
 courses. 3 2 1 0 J. Fre-service teachers study education in courses with peers majoring in a broad array of academic disciplines. (2) (1 1) majoring in a broad array of academic disciplines. K. Fre-service science teachers participate in "methods" courses with peers majoring in: 0 1. One science discipline (e.g., physics, biology). 2. Different science disciplines. 3. Science and mathematics. 4. A broad array of disciplines. 4. A broad array of disciplines. 3. L. Pre-service teachers participate in "methods" courses taught by: 3 2 t 0 1. One instructor, a university professor. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing (1) (2) teachers. 3. The professional education program includes relevant extra-cifi 1 1 1) curriculum Beyond the Scparate Science Disciplines The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow 	(1) (2)	in science education with other professional education
 3 2 1 0 J. Pre-service teachers study education in courses with peers majoring in a broad array of academic disciplines. K. Pre-service science teachers participate in "methods" courses with peers majoring in: 0 1. One science discipline (e.g., physics, biology). 2. Different science disciplines. 3. Science and mathematics. 4. A broad array of disciplines. 4. A broad array of disciplines. 3. Ere-service teachers participate in "methods" courses taught by: 3 2 t 0 1. One instructor, a university professor. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3 2⁵10 M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. 3 2⁵10 N. The professional education program includes relevant extra-(1 1 1) curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines I. Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines 		COUTSES.
 (2) (1 1) majoring in a broad array of academic disciplines. K. Pre-service science teachers participate in "methods" courses with peers majoring in: 0 10 0 0. Different science disciplines. 3. Science and mathematics. 4. A broad array of disciplines. 3. A broad array of disciplines. 4. A broad array of disciplines. 1. Pre-service teachers participate in "methods" courses taught by: 2. More than one instructor, all university professors. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3. University professional education program includes relevant extra-curricular social and professional growth activities. I. Curriculum Beyond the Scparate Science Disciplines The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies: 	3210	J. Pre-service teachers study education in courses with peers
 K. Pre-service science teachers participate in "methods" courses with peers majoring in: 10 1. One science discipline (e.g., physics, biology). 2. Different science disciplines. 3. Science and mathematics. 4. A broad array of disciplines. 3. L. Pre-service teachers participate in "methods" courses taught by: 3 2 t 0 1. One instructor, a university professor. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3. University professional education program includes relevant extra-curricular social and professional growth activities. I. Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the following competencies: 	(2) (1-1)	majoring in a broad array of academic disciplines.
 with peers majoring in: 10 One science discipline (e.g., physics, biology). Different science disciplines. Science and mathematics. A. A broad array of disciplines. B. Pre-service teachers participate in "methods" courses taught by: 2 t 0 One instructor, a university professor. More than one instructor, all university professors. More than one instructor, all university professors. More than one instructors who are practicing teachers. 3 2 f 0 University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3 2 f 0 University professors are coordinated. M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. M. Pre-service and in-service program includes relevant extra-(I I I 1) Curriculum Beyond the Scparate Science Disciplines The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow 		K. Pre-service science teachers participate in "methods"
 1 0 1. One science discipline (e.g., physics, biology). 2. Different science disciplines. 3. Science and mathematics. 4. A broad array of disciplines. 3. Fre-service teachers participate in "methods" courses taught by: 3 2 t 0 1. One instructor, a university professor. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3. 2⁻¹ 0 M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. 4. A broad and professional growth activities. I. Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the following competencies: 		with peers majoring in:
 1. Une science discipline (e.g., physics, biology). 2. Different science disciplines. 3. Science and mathematics. 4. A broad array of disciplines. 4. A broad array of disciplines. 1. Pre-service teachers participate in "methods" courses taught by: 3 2 t 0 1. One instructor, a university professor. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3. University professional education program includes relevant extra-(I I I 1) curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies: 	* 1 A	
 10 2. Different science disciplines. 10 3. Science and mathematics. 10 4. A broad array of disciplines. 10 4. A broad array of disciplines. 11 4. A broad array of disciplines. 12 10 4. A broad array of disciplines. 13 1. Pre-service teachers participate in "methods" courses taught by: 14 10 1. One instructor, a university professor. 10 2. More than one instructor, all university professors. 10 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 10 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 10 4. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. 11 (2) teachers. 12 10 N. The professional education program includes relevant extra-curricular social and professional growth activities. 11. Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies: 	,(3)	1. One science discipline (e.g., physics, biology).
 1.0 3. Science and mathematics. 1.0 4. A broad array of disciplines. (3) Pre-service teachers participate in "methods" courses taught by: 3.2 t 0 One instructor, a university professor. (3) One instructor, a university professor. (3) One instructor, all university professors. (3) One than one instructor, all university professors. (3) One than one instructor, all university professors. (3) One instructor, all university professors. (4) One than one instructor, all university professors. (1) (2) University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. (4) (2) Curricular social education program includes relevant extra- Curricular social and professional growth activities. II. <u>Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines</u> The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies: 	(4)	2. Different science disciplines.
 A. A broad array of disciplines. (3) L. Pre-service teachers participate in "methods" courses taught by: 3 2 t 0 1. One instructor, a university professor. (2) (3) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (3) (1) (2) (4) (4) (5) (6) (7) (7) (8) (9) (1) (2) (2) (3) (1) (2) (4) (4) (5) (6) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (5) (4) (5) (5) (6) (7) <li< td=""><td>. (3)</td><td>J. Sclence and mathematics.</td></li<>	. (3)	J. Sclence and mathematics.
 L. Pre-service teachers participate in "methods" courses taught by: 3 2 1 0 1. One instructor, a university professor. (3 1) 0 (2. More than one instructor, all university professors. (3 2 1 0 (3 2 1 0) (4) (4) (5) (7) (8) (9) (9) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (5) (6) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (9) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (5) (4) (5) (6) (7) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (9) (1) (1) (1) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) (4) (5) (6) (7) ((3)	4. A broad array of disciplines.
taught by: 3210 1. One instructor, a university professor. (3) 2. More than one instructor, all university professors. 3210 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3210 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3210 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3210 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3210 M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. (4) 4 3210 N. The professional education program includes relevant extra- (1111) curricular social and professional growth activities. II. Curriculum Beyond the Scparate Science Disciplines The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the following competencies:		L. Pre-service teachers participate in "methods" courses
 3 2 1 0 1. One instructor, a university professor. (3 1) 10 2. More than one instructor, all university professors. 3 2 1 0 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3 2 1 0 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3 2 1 0 3. University end in-service programs are coordinated. (4) (4) 3 2 1 0 N. The professional education program includes relevant extra- (1 1 1) curricular social and professional growth activities. II. <u>Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines</u> The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follows ing competencies: 	*	taught by:
 (3 1) 10 2. More than one instructor, all university professors. 3 2 1 0 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3 2 1 0 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3 2 1 0 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing teachers. 3 2 1 0 3. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies: 	3210	1. One instructor, a university professor.
3 2 10 3 2 10 3. University professors and instructors who are practicing (1) (2) teachers. 3.2 ⁻¹ 0 M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. (4) 3 2 1 0 N. The professional education program includes relevant extra- (1 1 1) curricular social and professional growth activities. II. <u>Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines</u> The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies:	$(\frac{2}{3})_{10}$	2. More than one instructor, all university professors.
 (1) (2) teachers. 3.2⁻¹0 M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. 3.2⁻¹0 N. The professional education program includes relevant extra- (4) curricular social and professional growth activities. II. <u>Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines</u> The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service-teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies: 	3210	3. University professors and instructors who are practicing
 3 2⁻¹ 0 M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. 3 2 1 0 N. The professional education program includes relevant extra- (1 1 1) curricular social and professional growth activities. II. <u>Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines</u> The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies: 	(1) (2)	teachers.
 (4) 3210 N. The professional education program includes relevant extra- (1111) curricular social and professional growth activities. II. <u>Curriculum Beyond the Scparate Science Disciplines</u> The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies: 		
 VIU N. The protestional education program includes relevant extra- (III) curricular social and professional growth activities. II. <u>Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines</u> The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies: 	3 2 ⁻¹ 0 1	M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated
<pre>curricular social and professional growth activities. II. <u>Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines</u> The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and</pre>	3 2 1 0 1 (4)	M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated.
 II. <u>Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines</u> The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the following competencies: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the following competencies: 	3 2 1 0 1 (4) 3 2 1 0 1 (1 1 1 1)	M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. N. The professional education program includes relevant extra-
II. <u>Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines</u> The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies:	3,2*10 1 (4) 3,210 1 (1111)	M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. N. The professional education program includes relevant extra- curricular social and professional growth activities.
The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies:	3 2 1 0 1 (4) 3 2 1 0 1 (1 1 1 1)	M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. N. The professional education program includes relevant extra- curricular social and professional growth activities.
A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies:	3 2 1 0 1 (4) 3 2 1 0 1 (1 1 1 1) II.	 M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. N. The professional education program includes relevant extra- curricular social and professional growth activities. Curriculum Beyond the Scparate Science Disciplines
methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow ing competencies:	3 2 1 0 1 (4) 3 2 1 0 1 (1 I I 1) II. The program	 M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. N. The professional education program includes relevant extra- curricular social and professional growth activities. Curriculum Beyond the Scparate Science Disciplines m provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to:
ing competencies:	3 2 1 0 1 (4) 3 2 1 0 1 (1 I I 1) II. The program	 M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. N. The professional education program includes relevant extra- curricular social and professional growth activities. Curriculum Beyond the Scparate Science Disciplines m provides regular opportunities for pre-service-teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content. conceptual framework and
	3 2 1 0 1 (4) 3 2 1 0 1 (1 I I 1) II. The program	 M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. N. The professional education program includes relevant extra- curricular social and professional growth activities. Curriculum Beyond the Scparate Science Disciplines m provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow
	3 2 1 0 1 (4) 3 2 1 0 1 (1 1 1 1) II. The program	 M. Pre-service and in-service programs are coordinated. N. The professional education program includes relevant extra- curricular social and professional growth activities. <u>Curriculum Beyond the Separate Science Disciplines</u> m provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: A. Penetrate deeply into the content, conceptual framework and methodology of one science discipline achieving the follow

 3210 1. Explain and interfetate specified fundamental module, concepts, principles and experiments in the field. 3210 2. Describe historical development of significant concepts and the relationships of these concept developments to society, to 'technology and to scientific thought generally. 3210 3. Analyze specified problems or systems quantitatively and apply the principles of the field to discover solutions. 3210 4. Design and conduct original experiments that develop knowledge that is new or at least new for the studget. 3210 5. Use measuring techniques and procedures with units, equipment and standards appropriate to the field. 3210 5. Use measuring techniques and procedures with units, equipment and standards appropriate to the field. 3210 5. Frocess and interpret data using a broad range of techniques and instrumentation characteristic of the field. a. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3210 1. Biology. 3210 2. Chemistry. 3210 3. Physics. 3210 4. Earth science. 3210 2. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies.in: (Biological science major) 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3210 4. Rowbability and statistics. 3210 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3210 4. Jigebra. 3210 5. Jigeonmetry. 3210 5. Jingeonmetry. 3210 6. Study astatistics. 3210 7. Trigonometry. 3210 7. Jigeonmetry. 3210 8. Jingebra. 3210 9. Jifferential and integral calculus. 3210 1. Algebra. 3210 1. Jigebra. 3210 1. Jigebra. 3210 2. Trigonometry. 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 		
 concepts, principles and experiments in the field 210 2. Describe historical development of significant concepts and the relationships of these concept developments to society, to technology and to scientific thought generially. 3. Analyze specified problems or systems quantitatively and apply the principles of the field to discover solutions. 3. Analyze specified problems or systems quantitatively and apply the principles of the field to discover solutions. 3. Use measuring techniques and procedures with units, equipment and standards appropriate to the field. 3. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3. Chemistry. 3. Physics. 3. D. Chemistry. 3. D. Artynentatical competencies.in: (Biological science major). 3. Anguire mathematical competencies.in: (Biological science major). 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3. Frobability and statistics. 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3. Staps. 3. Differential and integral calculus. 	3210	- 1. Bunlain and intervalate enactified fundamental
 3210 2. Describe historical development of significant concepts and the relationships of these concept developments to society, to technology and to scientific thought generially. 3210 3. Analyze specified problems or systems quantitatively and apply the principles of the field to discover solutions. 3210 4. Design and conduct original experiments that develop moveledge that is new or at least new for the studgat. 3210 5. Use measuring techniques and procedures with units, equipment and standards appropriate to the field. 3210 4. Person distribution of the studgat of the field. 3210 5. Use measuring techniques and procedures with units, equipment and standards appropriate to the field. 3210 6. Process and interpret data using a broad range of techniques and instrumentation characteristic of the field. a. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3210 1. Biology. 210 210 3. Fhysics. 3210 3. Chemistry. 3210 4. Earth science. 3210 5. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies.in: (Biological science major) 3210 1. Algebra. 3210 2. Trigonometry. 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 4. Probability and statistics. 3210 4. Probability and statistics. 3210 4. Probability and statistics. 3210 5. Jifferential and integral calculus. 3210 4. Probability and statistics. 3210 5. Jifferential and integral calculus. 		concepts whinciples and experiments in the fight
 and the relationships of these concept developments to society, to technology and to scientific thought generally. 3210 3. Analyze specified problems or systems quantitatively and spply the principles of the field to discover solutions. 3210 4. Design and conduct original experiments that develop knowledge that is new or at least new for the studget. 3210 5. Use measuring techniques and procedures with units, equipment and standards appropriate to the field. 3210 6. Process and interpret data using a broad range of techniques and instrumentation characteristic of the field. B. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and proceeses from: 3210 1. Biology. 3210 2. Chemistry. 3210 4. Earth science. 3210 5. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies.in: (Biological science major) 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. (Physical science major). 3210 4. Report programming. (Physical science major). 3210 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3210 1. Algebra. 3210 2. Trigonometry. 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 	3210	2. Describe bistorical development of significant concerts
 society, to 'technology and to scientific throught generally. 3210 3. Analyze specified problems or systems quantitatively and apply the principles of the field to discover solutions. 3210 4. Design and conduct original experiments that develop knowledge that is new or at least new for the student. 3210 5. Use measuring techniques and procedures with units, equipment and standards appropriate to the field. 3210 5. Process and interpret data using a broad range of techniques and instrumentation characteristic of the field. b. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3210 1. Biology. 3210 2. Chemistry. 3210 3. Physics. 3210 4. Earth science. 3210 4. Earth science major) 3210 1. Algebra. 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 4. Probability and statistics. 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 		and the relationships of these concept developments to
 erally. 3210 3. Analyze specified problems or systems quantitatively and apply the principles of the field to discover solutions. 3210 4. Design and conduct original experiments that develop incomplete that is new or at least new for the student. 3210 5. Use measuring techniques and procedures with units, equipment and standards appropriate to the field. 3210 .5. Process and interpret data using a broad range of techniques and instrumentation characteristic of the field. 5. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3210 1. Biology. 3210 2. Chemistry. 3210 3. Physics. 3210 4. Earth science. 3210 6. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies.in: (Biological science major). 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3210 4. Probability and statistics. 3210 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3210 1. Algebra. 3210 2. Trigonometry. 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3210 4. Probability and statistics. 3210 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3210 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3210 1. Algebra. 3210 2. Trigonometry. 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 		Bociety, to technology and to scientific thought con-
 3210 3. Analyze specified problems or systems quantitatively and spply the principles of the field to discover solutions. 3210 4. Design and conduct original experiments that develop knowledge that is new or at least new for the student. 3210 5. Use measuring techniques and procedures with units, equipment and standards appropriate to the field. 3210 5. Use measuring techniques and procedures with units, equipment and standards appropriate to the field. 3210 5. Process and interpret data using a broad range of techniques and instrumentation characteristic of the field. b. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3210 1. Biology. 3210 2. Chemistry. 3210 3. Physics. 3210 4. Earth science. 3210 4. Earth science insight courses such as environmental science. b. Acquire mathematical competencies.in: (Biological science major). 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3210 4. Probability and statistics. 3210 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3210 1. Algebra. 3210 2. Trigonometry. 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 		erally.
 apply the principles of the field to discover solutions. 4. Design and conduct original experiments that develop inculate that is new or at least new for the student. 3.210 5. Use measuring techniques and procedures with units, equipment and standards appropriate to the field. 3.210 4. Process and interpret data using a broad range of techniques and instrumentation characteristic of the field. 8. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3.210 1. Biology. 3.210 2. Chemistry. 3.210 4. Earth science. 3.210 C. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies in: (Biological science major) 3.210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3.210 4. Probability and statistics. 3.210 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3.210 1. Algebra. 3.210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3.210 4. Probability and statistics. 3.210 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3.210 1. Algebra. 3.210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 	3210	3. Analyze specified problems or systems quantitatively and
 3210 4. Design and conduct original experiments that develop knowledge that is new or at least new for the studges. 3.210 5. Use measuring techniques and procedures with units, equipment and standards appropriate to the field. 3.210 5. Process and interpret data_using a broad range of techniques and instrumentation characteristic of the field. 8. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3.210 1. Biology. 3.210 2. Chemistry. 3.210 3. Earth science. 3.210 3. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies in: (Biological science major) 3.210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3. Trigonometry. 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3. Study action major 3. Study action major 3. Study action major 3. Study action major 3. Differential and integral calculus. 		apply the principles of the field to discover solutions.
 knowledge that is new or at least new for the student. 3.210 5. Use measuring techniques and procedures with units, equipment and standards appropriate to the field. 3.210 6. Process and interpret data using a broad range of techniques and instrumentation characteristic of the field. B. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3.210 1. Biology. 210 2. Chemistry. 3.210 3. Physics. 3.210 4. Earth science. 3.210 3. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies in: (Biological science major) 3.210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3.210 4. Probability and statistics. 3.210 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3.210 3. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 	3210	4. Design and conduct original experiments that develop
 3 2 1 0 5. Use measuring techniques and procedures with units, equipment and standards appropriate to the field. 3 2 1 0 6. Process and interpret data using a broad range of techniques and instrumentation characteristic of the field. B. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3 2 1 0 1. Biology. 3 2 1 0 2. Chemistry. 3 2 1 0 3. Physics. 3 2 1 0 4. Earth science. 3 2 1 0 5. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies in: (Biological science major). 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3 2 1 0 4. Jagebra. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3 2 1 0 7. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 7. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 7. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 7. Jagebra. 	1	knowledge that is new or at least new for the student
 ment and standards appropriate to the field. 3210 6. Process and interpret data using a broad range of techniques and instrumentation characteristic of the field. B. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3210 1. Biology. 210 2. Chemistry. 3210 4. Earth science. 3210 4. Earth science. 3210 C. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies in: (Biological science major). 3210 2. Trigonometry. 3210 Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3210 Algebra. 3210 Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3210 Algebra. 3210 Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3210 Algebra. 3210 Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3210 Algebra. 3210 J. Algebra. 3210 J. Differential and integral calculus. 	3,210	5. Use measuring techniques and procedures with units, equin-
 3210 6. Process and interpret data using a broad range of techniques and instrumentation characteristic of the field. a. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3210 1. Biology. 3210 2. Chemistry. 3210 3. Physics. 3210 4. Earth science. 3210 C. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies in: (Biological science major) 3210 2. Trigonometry. 3210 3. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3. Algebra. 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3. Trigonometry. 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3. Trigonometry. 3. Differential and integral calculus. 		ment and standards appropriate to the field.
niques and instrumentation characteristic of the field. B. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3 2 1 0 1. Biology. 3 2 1 0 2. Chemistry. 3 2 1 0 3. Physics. 3 2 1 0 4. Earth science. 3 2 1 0 C. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies in: (Biological science major). 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus.	~3,210	-6. Process and interpret data using a broad range of tech-
 B. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3210 1. Biology. 3210 2. Chemistry. 3210 3. Physics. 3210 4. Earth science. 3210 C. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies.in: (Biological science major). 3210 1. Algebra. 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3210 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3210 1. Algebra. 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3210 4. Probability and statistics. 3210 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3210 1. Algebra. 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus. 		niques and instrumentation characteristic of the field.
 B. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3 2 1 0 1. Biology. 3 2 1 0 2. Chemistry. 3 2 1 0 3. Physics. 3 2 1 0 4. Earth science. 3 2 1 0 4. Earth science. 3 2 1 0 C. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies in: (Biological science major). 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 		
<pre>teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and processes from: 3 2 1 0 1. Biology. 3 2 1 0 2. Chemistry. 3 2 1 0 3. Physics. 3 2 1 0 4. Earth science. 3 2 1 0 4. Earth science. 3 2 1 0 C. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies.in: (Biological science major) 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major). 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus.</pre>		. Study science broadly by having taken, regardless of the
 processes from: 3 2 1 0 Biology. 2 1 0 Physics. 3 2 1 0 Physics. 2 1 0 Earth science. 3 2 1 0 Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. C. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies in: (Biological science major) Algebra. 1 0 Algebra. 2 1 0 Differential and integral calculus. 2 1 0 Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) Algebra. 1 0 Algebra. 2 1 0 Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) Algebra. 2 1 0 Algebra. 3 2 1 0 Differential and integral calculus. 	na normana ne na lonzen son krene. 2 - E	teaching major, laboratory courses involving concepts and
 3 2 1 0 Biology. 2 1 0 Chemistry. 3 2 1 0 Physics. 3 2 1 0 Earth science. 3 2 1 0 Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies in: (Biological science major) Algebra. 1 0 Algebra. 3 2 1 0 Algebra. 3 2 1 0 Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) Algebra. 2 1 0 Algebra. 2 1 0 Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) Algebra. 2 1 0 Algebra. 3 2 1 0 Algebra. 	• 5 •	processes from:
 3 2 1 0 3. Physics. 3 2 1 0 3. Physics. 3 2 1 0 4. Earth science. 3 2 1 0 4. Earth science. 3 2 1 0 5. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies in: (Biological science major) 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 2 1 0 3. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3 2 1 0 	32-10 .	l. Biology.
 3 2 1 0 3 2 1 0 4. Earth science. 3 2 1 0 4. Earth science. 3 2 1 0 5. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. (Biological science major) 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3 2 1 0 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 3 2 1 0 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3 2 1 0 3 2 1 0 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3 2 1 0 3 2 1 0 4. Differential and integral calculus. 	3210	2. Chemistry.
 3 2 1 0 4. Earth science. 3 2 1 0 C. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies.in: (Biological science major) 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 	3210	3. Physics.
 3 2 1 0 C. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science. D. Acquire mathematical competencies.in: (Biological science major) 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 	3210	4. Earth science.
D. Acquire mathematical competencies in: (Biological science major) 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major)= 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus.	3210 0	. Study interdisciplinary courses such as environmental science
 (Biological science major) 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major)= 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 	·	Acquire mathematical compotonoiae in:
 (Biological science major) 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 	يند ب م	A ACQUITE Mathematical Competencies, In.
 3 2 1 0 4 Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 5 Simple computer programming. (Physical science major)= 3 2 1 0 1 Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2 Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3 Differential and integral calculus. 		(Biological science major)
 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) 3 2 1 0 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 	3210	1. Algebra.
 3 2 1 0 3 2 1 0 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major)= 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 	3210	2. Trigonometry.
 3 2 1 0 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 3 2 1 0 3 2 1 0 4. Probability and statistics. 5. Simple computer programming. 5. Probability and statistics. 5. Probability and statistics. 5. Probability and statistics. 6. Probability and statistics. 6. Probability and statistics. 7. Probability and statistics. 8. Probability and statistics. 	3210	3. Differential and integral calculus.
 3 2 1 0 5. Simple computer programming. (Physical science major) = 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 	3210	4. Probability and statistics.
(Physical science major) 3 2 1 0 1. Algebra. 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus.	3210	5. Simple computer programming.
 3 2 1 0 3 2 1 0 3 2 1 0 2. Trigonometry. 3 2 1 0 3. Differential and integral calculus. 	mr R-	(Physical science major)
3210 2. Trigonometry. 3210 3. Differential and integral calculus.	3210	1. Algebra.
3210 3. Differential and integral calculus.	3 2 1 0	2. Trigonometry.
	3210	3. Differential and integral calculus.
	· · · ·	

(1) Control and the set of the	
3210	4. Probability and statistics.
3210	5. Simple computer programming.
3210	1. Humanities.
3210	2. Social sciences.
3-210	3. Engineering and technology.
	III. <u>Nature of Science in Historical, Philosophical</u>
	and Social Perspective
Ine program	provides regular opportunities for pre-service science
, reachers to	
$\binom{3}{(2)^2}$ 10 A	. Take courses in the history and philosophy of science.
<u>3210</u> B	Study interrelationships among science, technology and society,
32'10 c	. Study various definitions of science.
$\frac{3^{2}2}{10}$ D	. Study the methodologies, logical procedures and explanatory
	systems that characterize the natural sciences.
3210 B	. Compare and differentiate among concepts, problems; data,
	interpretations, and the nature of evidence in the different,
	science disciplines.
$-\frac{3210}{(11)}$. See the power of a conceptual model for organizing thought
	about known phenomena (e.g., evolution in biology, kin-
N AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	etic.molecular model in chemistry).
3210 G.	. Study examples which show how scentific ideas play a role
	. in shaping a person's view of himself in the world (e.g.,
د ا	earth-centered cosmos vs. expanding universe or the con-
	trast between evolutionary development and special creation).
З 2 1 0 H. (1 1)	Read relevant publications such as:
•••	1. Kuhn, T. The History of Scientific Revolutions.
۰ .	2. Schwab, J. J. Enquiry, the Science Teacher and the Educator,
	3. Connelly, et al. Science Enquiry and Science Instruction.
<u> </u>	Apply the analytical methods of science in multidisciplinary
	approaches to studying and solving societal problems.
(2) (2) (2) (3) (2) (3)	Discuss and develop goals and objectives for teaching science.
(1)	Discuss and develop goals and objectives for teaching specific
-	Science disciplines.
<u> </u>	202

ER

Objectives, Competencies and Instructional Skills The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service science teachers to: A. Acquire basic understandings in: 3210 1. Learning and learning theories (Ausubel, Skinner, Gagne, $(1 \ 1 \ 1)$ et al.). Developmental psychology (Piaget). 2.1 0 $(1 \ 2)$ 2 1 0 3. Different learning modes such as rote reception, discov (2 1) ery and inquiry (Ausubel, Bruner, Schwab). 4. Retention and transfer. 3210 39 Po 5. Motivation. . (1) (1 i) B. Become knowledgeable about some of the major modern science curricula such as BSCS, ISIS, CHEMS, HPP, ESS, SCIS, SAPA, 5-13, ASEP, etc., by: $\begin{array}{c} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ \end{array}$ 1. Reviewing selected units. 2. Teaching selected units. (2 1) C. Classify and develop educational objectives: 321-0 1. Cognitive domain. 2. Affective domain. 3. Psychomotor domain. 3 2 1 ٥ 4. Inquiry skills. (21) 3210 D. Write performance objectives. 3210 E. Identify objectives in different lessons. $(1 \ 1 \ 1)$ 3210 F. Identify objectives in different curricula. (12) 3210 G. Analyze textbooks and other curriculum materials in terms of (1) (1 1)

5-6

their educational philosophy, subject matter adequacy, conception of the nature of science, (nature of laboratory activities, etc.

:203

H. Select and use resources suitable for achieving particular 3210 (1 2) aims and goals.

(3)

I. Select and use resources suitable for particular students. 3210 (1 1 1)

Prepare and construct teaching materials such as: Pictures. Slides 3. Transparencies. 4. Audiotapes. 5. Three-dimensional models. 6. Simple apparatus. 7. Tape slide presentations. Teach with: 1. Pictures. 0 2. Slides. 3. Transparencies and television. 4. Models. 5. Super 8 loops. 6. Sixteen-mm. films'. 7. Tape slide presentations. L. Acquire and use laboratory techniques and skills. M. Acquire and use field study techniques and skills. 2) 3210 N. Acquire and use communication skills. (1) (1 1) 0. Develop skills in laboratory safety including: 3210 1. Practicing spotting safety hazards. 2. Identification of laboratory experiments requiring safety $(1 \ 1 \ 1)$ precautions. 3210 3. Becoming familiar with school emergency procedures, safety (1) (2) regulations and safety recommendations. 3210 4. Avoiding experiments and activities that will endanger $(1 \ 1 \ 1)$ students. 3210 5. Making safety checks of a science laboratory, and correc-(1) (2) ting deficiencies. 3210 P. Team plan and team teach. (12) 3210 Q. Improve teaching skills such as questioning techniques. (3)

5-7

204

3210	R. Improve problem solving and inquiry skills.
(2) (1)	S. Participate in a variety of instructional situations including:
3-2-1-0	1. Heaningful laboratory lessons.
3210	* 2. Class discussion.
$\binom{2}{3}_{2}$	3. Small group activities.
(2) (1) (1) (1)	4. Field trips and other out-of-school activities.
3 2 1 0	5. Extended periods of outdoor camping.
3210	6. Narrative of inquiry.
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{D} \\ $	7. Invitations to inquiry.
3210	8. Single topic inquiry films or slides.
G 2 Po	9. Analysis of original research papers (inquiry into in-
(1) (2)	quiry).
3210	10. Investigative laboratory activities.
3210	11. Student research projects.
3210	12. Activities promoting creativity and divergent thinking
(1 12)	and exploration.
3210	13. Activities that promote the application of knowledge and
(1) (1 1)	skill to real world problems.
3210	14
3210	15
<u></u>	V. Communication and Interpersonal Relations
The progr	am provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to:
3210 (1)	A. Suggest ideas and activities which are seriously considered and utilized.
3210	B. Study and work in an atmosphere conducive to spontaneity,
(1)	creative thinking, intellectual honesty and trust.
3210	C. Utilize various modes of instruction most suited to individ-
(1)	ual student needs and modify the structure in order to
k 1	develop student self confidence and independence.
3210	D. Attend to what students say and how they say it.
3210	E. Attend to what students write and how they write it.
3210	F. Attend to the effect of teaching style on student learning,
(1)	thinking, and attitudes.



G.	G. Be sensitive to student needs	, feelings, and backgrounds.
н.	. Estimate student skills and a	bilities (manipulative, intel-
	lectual, etc.).	
1.	. Identify the approximate leve	l of intellectual development of
	children in terms of Piaget:	ian stages of development
J.	. Interview individual students	to obtain different kinds of
i	information about them.	
κ.	. Help students in their individ	dual projects.

5-9

 $(1)_{210}^{\cdot}$ L. Facilitate student involvement in different activities in (1) the classroom.

M. Maintain trustful and friendly relations with students. 3210

(1) 3 2 1 0¹ N. Deal with simulated critical incidents involving a variety (2) of situations and different kinds of students.

0. Establish and maintain discipline. 3 2 1 0

 $3(\frac{1}{2})_{10}$ P. Motivate and interest students.

3Y) 1 0 Q. Observe and record student-teacher interactions.

VI. Experiences in Teaching

The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service tea ers to: 3 2 1 0 A Observe and teach stude

ĆŽ	2) Č		observe and ceach seddents in an elementary school.
3	$\frac{1}{2}$ 1 0	в.	Observe and teach students in grades 7-9.
	(2	C.	Observe and teach students in grades 10-12.
(3) (2	2 1 0 1)	D,	Observe and teach students with a wide range of intelligence
,			Sand aptitude.
3	210	Ε.	Observe and teach students with a wide range of interests and
\4	1)		career goals.
. 3	210	F.	Observe and teach students individually.
3	2 1 0	, G.	Observe and teach students in small groups.
_ G	$2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ (2 & 1) \end{pmatrix}$	н.	Observe and teach students in large groups.
.3	2`1 0'	I.	Practice and improve specific teaching skills with students
(3	1)		in the classroom.
3	2 1 0	J.	Individualize instruction.
- 3	2,1 0	ĸ.	Organize and teach a self-paced course utilizing:
3	2 1 0		1. Audiotutorial materials.
3	2'f d'		2. Programmed learning materials.
3	210		3. Keller plan or similar management system.

 $2\Omega c$

(2 1)

3210

 $\frac{(1)}{3210}$

321Ö

3210

3210

(1)

(2)

(1 1)

<u>(1 1</u>)

5-10	
3.210 4. Open space classroom	
(1 2) 3 2 1 0 L. Manage multimedia instruction	,
$3(2)_1(2)$ M. Select and use teaching strategies suitable for point other	
(1) (2) Roals, in a particular school oputropport with another lar	
students.	
3210 N. Analyze lesson plans for achieving particular goals in a (1) (2) particular school environment with particular students.	•
3210 0. Prepare lesson plans for achieving particular goals in a (111)	7
2210 P Menseet the 1 students.	
(21) modifications.	
3210 Q. Teach the planned lesson in school, obtain feedback and sug- (21) gest modifications.	i.
3210 R. Analyze a unit or a module in terms of achieving particular	
(1 1 1) goals in a particular school environment with particular	
students.	
- 3.2 1 0. S. Prepare a unit or a module for achieving particular goals in	<u> </u>
(1 1 1) a particular school environment with particular students.	
3210 T. Microteach the unit or module, obtain feedback and suggest	
(1 1 1) modifications.	
3210 U. Teach the unit or module in school, obtain feedback and sug- (111) gest modifications.	
3210 V. Experience the need to modify objectives as the instructional (12)	
3 2 1 0 W. Participate in school faculty mastimes	
$3 2 1 0 \times$ Participate in Statute meetings.	~
3 2 (1 2)	
(1 2) 3 2 1 0 Z. Lead a field trip.	
3 2 10 AA. Participate as an instructor in an outdoor comp	
(1 2)	
VII. Evaluation and Application of Research	
The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to:	
32.10 A. Discuss the purposes and limitations of testing.	
3 2 1 0 B. Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of different types	
(1 1 1) of tests in terms of validity, reliability and useability.	
*3210 C. Write test items to assess particular objectives.	

207

. ع

5.

٠,

.

	5-11
3 2 1 0 1 1	Correction to analysis
(3)	Analyze tests in terms of format cognitive levels, validity
(3)	Analyze testa in terms of format, cognitive fevers, validity
	Experience and administer an open book test.
$\int_{-2}^{2} (2) (1) $	Experience and administer a laboratory practical test.
3 2 1 0 H.	Experience analysis of scientific research test.
(2) (1)	unit.
3210	2. Administer the pretest and posttest for that unit.
3210	3. Evaluate the test instruments and the instructional unit.
/ 321 0 -J.	Conduct a posttest class discussion.
3 2 1 0 K.	Obtain and interpret results of standardized tests.
3210 ·L.	Design, administer, and evaluate some instruments which as-
	sess atudent interests and attitudes.
3 2 1 0 M.	Obtain fèedback from students' behavior in class.
3 2 1 0 N.	Observe and analyze the teaching of experienced teachets.
3210 0.	Make and analyze audiotapes and videotapes of lessons using
(2.1)	a number of interaction analýsis systems.
3210 P.	1. Contract to change or develop a specific personal skill in
(21)	teaching utilizing audiotapes and interaction analysis.
3210	2. Change of develop the skill in accord with contract.
32'10 Q.	Report what research says about learning and demonstrate how
(1) (2)	research results dan be applied in the teaching of science.
3210 R.	Design and conduct a research study with students on the ef-
	fects of instruction.
43210 S.	Participate in the evaluation of the teacher education pro-
(21)	gram.
•	
	VIII. Continuous Professional Growth
The program	provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to:
3210 A.	Observe the teaching of experienced teachers, analyze their
(2)	teaching and model appropriate behavior.
3210 B.	Make audiotapes or videotapes of real teaching episodes and ,
(2)	analyze them with the aid of an instructor and/or an inter-
· ·	Action analysis system.
_ •	
47	* *
/°	208

ERIC

· · · •	-5-12
· · · · ·	
· 3210 · (11)	C. Make appraisal of their own teaching and attitudes toward
. (* *)	students.
	D. Splicit thoughtful feedback on teaching from:
	l. Peers.
3210	2. Students.
3 2 1 0	E. Become familiar with the professional services that are
(1 1)	normally provided by the schools.
3210	F. Participate in simulations requiring professional decision
(1) (1)	making.
3210	G. Study in an atmosphere conducive to independent self-directed
(1 1)	learning.
3210	H. Become student members of a professional association.
-3210	I. Read professional journals in science.
$3^{(1)}_{21}$	J. Read professional journals in science education.
$3^{(1)}_{210}$	K. Carry out limited research in science.
3210	L. Carry out limited research in science education.
3 2 1(2)	M. Participate in professional conferences
3210	N. Contribute papers to professional conferences
3210	O. Participate in courses and workshops for in-courses
321(2)	P. Aggume many voles in the teaching lossing survive reachers.
(1 1)	it income many lotes in the century testing environment in-
· (1 1)	cluding aroun tandar aroun analatanan manual
. (1 1)	cluding group leader, group participant, resource person,
3210	cluding group leader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator.
3°2 1 0 (1 1)	cluding group leader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro-
3 2 1 0 (1 1)	cluding group leader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro- gram.
3 ² 10 (11)	cluding group leader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro- gram.
3 ² 1 0 (1 1)	 cluding group leader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro- gram. IX. Assessment of Skills and Program Evaluation
3 ² 10 (1 1)	 cluding group leader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro- gram. IX. Assessment of Skills and Program Evaluation A. The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service
3 ² 10 (11)	 cluding group leader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro- gram. IX. Assessment of Skills and Program Evaluation A. The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to:
3 ² 10 (11)	 cluding group leader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro- gram. IX. Assessment of Skills and Program Evaluation A. The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: I. Identify personal educational objectives
$3^{\circ} 2 1 0$ (1 1) $3^{\circ} 2 1 0$ (2) $2^{\circ} 1 0$	 cluding group Teader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro- gram. IX. Assessment of Skills and Program Evaluation A. The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: I. Identify personal educational objectives. Participate in planning their or program.
(1 1) 3 2 1 0 (1 1) (1 1) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	 cluding group leader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro- gram. IX. Assessment of Skills and Program Evaluation A. The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: I. Identify personal educational objectives. Participate in planning their own program.
$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (1 & 1) \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (1 & 1) \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (1) & (1) \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (2) & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{array}$	 cluding group leader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro- gram. X. Assessment of Skills and Program Evaluation A. The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: Identify personal educational objectives. Participate in planning their own program. Evaluate their own program.
$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline (1 & 1) \\ \hline 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline (2) & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline (1) & (1) \\ \hline 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline (2) & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline (2) & 1 & 0 \\ \hline (1 & 1) & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$	 cluding group leader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro- gram. IX. Assessment of Skills and Program Evaluation A. The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: Identify personal educational objectives. Participate in planning their own program. Evaluate their own program. Receive continuous feedback on their program.
$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (1 & 1) \\ \hline 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (2) \\ (1) & (1) \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (2) \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (2) \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (1 & 1) \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (2) \\ \end{array}$	 cluding group leader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro- gram. IX. Assessment of Skills and Program Evaluation A. The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: Identify personal educational objectives. Participate in planning their own program. Evaluate their own program. Receive continuous feedback on their program. Criticize the program.
$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline (1 & 1) \\ \hline 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline (2) & 1 & 0 \\ \hline (1) & (1) \\ \hline 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline (2) & 1 & 0 \\ \hline (3 & 2) & 1 & 0 \\ \hline (3 & 2) & 1 & 0 \\ \hline (2) & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline (1) & (1) \\ \hline \end{array}$	 cluding group leader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro- gram. IX. Assessment of Skills and Program Evaluation A. The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: Identify personal educational objectives. Participate in planning their own program. Evaluate their own program. Receive continuous feedback on their program. Criticize the program. Make suggestions to improve the program.
$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (1 & 1) \\ \hline 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (2) & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (2) & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (1) & (1) \\ \hline 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (2) & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (2) & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (1) & (1) \\ \hline \end{array}$	 cluding group IEader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro- gram. IX. Assessment of Skills and Program Evaluation A. The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: Identify personal educational objectives. Participate in planning their own program. Evaluate their own program. Receive continuous feedback on their program. Criticize the program. Make suggestions to improve the program.
$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (1 & 1) \\ \hline 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (2) & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (1) & (1) \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (2) & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (1 & 1) & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (2) & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (1) & (1) \end{array}$	 cluding group leader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro- gram. IX. Assessment of Skills and Program Evaluation A. The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: Identify personal educational objectives. Participate in planning their own program. Evaluate their own program. Receive continuous feedback on their program. Criticize the program. Make suggestions to improve the program.
$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (1 & 1) \\ \hline 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ (1 & 1) \\ \hline (2) \\ (1) \\ (1) \\ (1) \\ (1) \\ (1) \\ (1) \\ (2) \\ (2) \\ (1) \\ (1) \\ (1) \\ \end{array}$	 cluding group leader, group participant, resource person, listener, and experienced investigator. Q. Evaluate their own progress in the teacher education pro- gram. X. Assessment of Skills and Program Evaluation A. The program provides regular opportunities for pre-service teachers to: Identify personal educational objectives. Participate in planning their own program. Evaluate their own program. Receive continuous feedback on their program. Criticize the program. 200

ERIC

5-13 7. Evaluate performance and progress of their peers. 7 \setminus 8. Evaluate the effectiveness of their courses and assign-9. Evaluate the effectiveness of their instructors. B. Instruction is based upon: 1, The needs and interests of individual pre-service teachers. The individual behaviors of individual pre-service teach-C. Individual evaluation As based upon: 1. Progress during the program. 2. Attainment of specified competencies. 3. End-of-course performance in comparison with peers. 4. Long-term fat least one year after graduation) perfor-D. Competence is assessed on the basis of: 1. Written examination. 2. Written reports and papers. 4/ Performance in class. 5. Teaching skills. 64 Teaching behaviors. 7. Personality inventories. 8. Attitude inventories. 9. Reports provided by cooperating teachers. 10. Reports provided by university supervisors. 11. Self evaluation.

12. Peer evaluation.

E. Program evaluation is based on data provided by

3210 3210

10

(1 1)

(1 1)

1Q, ۲°

Ο

39 10

(I I)

2 1 0

210 210

2,10

(2)

(1-1)

ments.

ers.

mance.

3. Projects.

2. Cooperáting principals. 3. Cooperating teachers.

1. Pre-service teachers.

4. Cooperating students in the schools

of performance. examinations. ofessionals. oliment fluctuations. ዏ Instructional staff. Specially designed evaluation instruments. 11. On-the-job peopermance of program graduates. 12. Specially designed studies, including comparative studies. Over the past years, the role of the teacher as a transmitter of know ledge has been evolving. Teachers are now presumed to fulfill a variety, of roles requiring a variety of competencies. Schools, students, teachers, the social milieu, and our views on a wide range of issues relevant, to tesching and learning have also changed. These changes are reflected in various ways in teacher education programs. The purpose of this paper has been to identify recent trends in the education of science teachers and to develop an assessment inventory that would facilitate communication and change. " Nine principles describing new directions have been delineated and used as a basis for developing the STEP Inventory. The STEP Inventory has been designed to facilitate dialogue among science teacher educators. The Inventory should be of value in describing and evaluating individual teacher education programs. It should also be helpful in the effort to develop more explicit objectives for teacher education. Science educators are urged to use the STEP Inventory in the examination of their own programs and in reporting them publicly. Individuals may wish to modify the instrument and to further elaborate specific sections to make the Inventory a more useful communications medium.

÷

and the second	, Ç		
			•
:			•
	REFERENCES		
	•••	•	
Atwood, Ronald K., "Eler	ments of Science Teacher	Education as Abstracted	
from the ERIC-AETS: In	n Search of Promising Pra	actices in Science	/
Teacher Education", pa	aper presented at 1974 AE	TS annual meeting.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
) Bruner, Jerome, "The Pro	ocess of Education Revisi	ted", Phi Delta Kappan,	
19/1, <u>53</u> , 18-21.	•	\mathbf{V} (*	
) Capie, William, ed., "So	tence Teacher Education	1974: Toruge and Post-	
tions", 1975 AETS Year	book, ERIC Information A	nalveis Center for	
Seience, 'Mathematics's	and Environmental Educati	on. / Columbus: _Ohio	
State University, Dece	ember, 1975.		
	· •		•
) Fox, Fred W., ed., /'Scie	ence Teacher Education 19	74: Issues and Post-	-
F tions", 1975 AETS Year	book, ERIC Information A	nalysis Center for	-
State University Octo	Ind Environmental Educati	on. Columbus: Ohio	
office oniversity, occo	JUEL, 17/4.	, * 4 , ,	
) "In Search of Promising	Practices in Science Tes	ober Education"	,
ERIC Information Analy	sis Center for Science.	Mathematics and En-	
• vironmental Education.	Columbus; Ohio State U	niversity, March 30.	
1973.	•		
) Meyer, R., "Development	of the Training and Retr	aining of School	
Biology Teachers", pap	er presented at the Inte	rnational Congress	
on the improvement of	Biology Education, Upsal	a, Sweden, September,	
	2	ė	
		•	
) National Science Teacher	s Association. Guideline	For Self-Assessment	
) National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc	s Association, Guideline ience-Programs	▶ for Self-Assessment	•
) National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc	s Association, Guideline ience-Programs. Washing	■ for Self-Assessment ton,-D.=C.,-1975	•
) National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc) Newton, David E., and Fl	s Association, Guideline ience-Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The R	▶ for Self-Assessment ton,-Ð.=C., 1975.	
) National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc) Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The R Status of Teacher Educat	➡ for Self-Assessment ton, -D.=C., 1975. ■ search on Science ton Programs in the	<u> </u>
) National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc) Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Sciences, 1965-1967",	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The R Status of Teacher Educat Harvard Graduate School	for Self-Assessment ton,-D.=C., 1975. esearch on Science ion Programs in the of Education, 1968.	
National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Sciences, 1965-1967",	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The R Status of Teacher Educat Harvard Graduate School of	for Self-Assessment ton,-D.=C., 1975. esearch on Science lon Programs in the of Education, 1968.	
 National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Sciences, 1965-1967", Ost, David H., ed., "Guid Secondary School Teach 	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The Re Status of Teacher Educat Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mathem	for Self-Assessment ton,-D.=C.,-1975. esearch on Science lon Programs in the of Education, 1968. The Education of	
 National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Sciences, 1965-1967", Ost, David H., ed., "Guid Secondary School Teach laneous Publication 71 	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The R Status of Teacher Educat Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mather -9. Washington, D. C.:	for Self-Assessment ton,-D.=C.,-1975. esearch on Science lon Programs in the of Education, 1968. the Education of matics", AAAS Miscel-	, ,
National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Sciences, 1965-1967", Ost, David H., ed., "Gui Secondary School Teach laneous Publication 71 for the Advancement of	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The Re Status of Teacher Educat Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mather -9. Washington, D. C.: A Science, 1971.	for Self-Assessment ton,-D.=C.,-1975 esearch on Science fon Programs in the of Education, 1968. The Education of matics", AAAS Miscel- American Association	
 National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Sciences, 1965-1967", Ost, David H., ed., "Guid Secondary School Teach laneous Publication 71 for the Advancement of 	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The Re Status of Teacher Educat Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mather -9. Washington, D. C.: A Science, 1971.	for Self-Assessment ton,-D.=C., 1975. esearch on Science ion Programs in the of Education, 1968. The Education of matics", AAAS Miscel- imerican Association	, ,
 National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Sciences, 1965-1967", Ost, David H., ed., "Guid Secondary School Teach laneous Publication 71 for the Advancement of Tamir, Pinchas, "The Ion 	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The R Status of Teacher Educat Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mather -9. Washington, D. C.: A Science, 1971. wa-UPSTEP Program in Inte	for Self-Assessment ton, D.=C., 1975. esearch on Science fon Programs in the of Education, 1968. the Education of matics", AAAS Miscel- merican Association	, ,
 National Science Teacher of Secondary School=Sc Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Sciences, 1965-1967", Ost, David H., ed., "Guid Secondary School Teach laneous Publication 71 for the Advancement of Tamir, Pinchas, "The Ion Technical Report 9, Sciences 	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The Ro Status of Teacher Educat Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mather -9. Washington, D. C.: A Science, 1971. Wa-UPSTEP Program in Inte ience Education Center	for Self-Assessment ton,-D.=C.,-1975. esearch on Science lon Programs in the of Education, 1968. The Education of matics", AAAS Miscel- merican Association ernational Perspective", the University of Iowa,	, ,
 National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Sciences, 1965-1967", Ost, David H., ed., "Guid Secondary School Teach laneous Publication 71 for the Advancement of Tamir, Pinchas, "The Ion Technical Report 9, Sci March, 1976. 	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The R Status of Teacher Educat Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mather -9. Washington, D. C.: A Science, 1971. Wa-UPSTEP Program in Inte ience Education Center	for Self-Assessment ton, D.=C., 1975. esearch on Science ion Programs in the of Education, 1968. The Education of matics", AAAS Miscel- merican Association ernational Perspective", the University of Iowa,	, ,
 National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Sciences, 1965-1967", Ost, David H., ed., "Gui Secondary School Teach laneous Publication 71 for the Advancement of Tamir, Pinchas, "The Ion Technical Report 9, Sci March, 1976. 	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The R Status of Teacher Educat Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mather -9. Washington, D. C.: A Science, 1971. wa-UPSTEP Program in Inte ience Education Center	for Self-Assessment ton, D.=C., 1975. esearch on Science lon Programs in the of Education, 1968. The Education of matics", AAAS Miscel- merican Association ernational Perspective", the University of Iowa,	, , ,
 National Science Teacher of Secondary School-Sc Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Sciences, 1965-1967", Ost, David H., ed., "Gui Secondary School Teach laneous Publication 71 for the Advancement of Tamir, Pinchas, "The Iou Technical Report 9, Sc: March, 1976. 	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The Ro Status of Teacher Educat: Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mather -9. Washington, D. C.: A Science, 1971. wa-UPSTEP Program in Inte ience Education Center	for Self-Assessment ton,-D.=C.,-1975. esearch on Science lon Programs in the of Education, 1968. The Education of matics", AAAS Miscel- merican Association enation enational Perspective", the University of Iowa,	• • •
 National Science Teacher of Secondary School-Sc Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Sciences, 1965-1967", Ost, David H., ed., "Gui Secondary School Teach laneous Publication 71 for the Advancement of Tamir, Pinchas, "The Ion Technical Report 9, Sci March, 1976. 	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The R Status of Teacher Educat Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mather -9. Washington, D. C.: A Science, 1971. wa-UPSTEP Program in Inte ience Education Center	for Self-Assessment ton,-D.=C.,-1975. esearch on Science lon Programs in the of Education, 1968. The Education of matics", AAAS Miscel- merican Association ernational Perspective", the University of Iowa,	•
 National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Sciences, 1965-1967", Ost, David H., ed., "Gui Secondary School Teach laneous Publication 71 for the Advancement of Tamir, Pinchas, "The Ion Technical Report 9, Sci March, 1976. 	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The R Status of Teacher Educat Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mather -9. Washington, D. C.: A Science, 1971. wa-UPSTEP Program in Inte ience Education Center	for Self-Assessment ton, D.=C., 1975. esearch on Science lon Programs in the of Education, 1968. The Education of matics", AAAS Miscel- merican Association ernational Perspective", the University of Iowa,	•
 National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Science's, 1965-1967", Ost, David H., ed., "Gui Secondary School Teach laneous Publication 71 for the Advancement of Tamir, Pinchas, "The Ion Technical Report 9, Sc: March, 1976. 	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The Ro Status of Teacher Educat: Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mather -9. Washington, D. C.: A Science, 1971. wa-UPSTEP Program in Inte ience Education Center	for Self-Assessment ton, -D.=C., -1975. esearch on Science lon Programs in the of Education, 1968. The Education of matics", AAAS Miscel- merican Association ernational Perspective", the University of Iowa,	
 National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Science's, 1965-1967", Ost, David H., ed., "Gui Secondary School Teach laneous Publication 71 for the Advancement of Tamir, Pinchas, "The Iou Technical Report 9, Sci March, 1976. 	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The R Status of Teacher Educat Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mather -9. Washington, D. C.: A Science, 1971. wa-UPSTEP Program in Inte ience Education Center	for Self-Assessment ton, -D.=C., -1975. esearch on Science Ion Programs in the of Education, 1968. The Education of matics", AAAS Miscel- merican Association ernational Perspective", the University of Iowa,	,
 National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Sciences, 1965-1967", Ost, David H., ed., "Gui Secondary School Teach laneous Publication 71 for the Advancement of Tamir, Pinchas, "The Ion Technical Report 9, Sci March, 1976. 	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The R Status of Teacher Educat Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mather -9. Washington, D. C.: A Science, 1971. Wa-UPSTEP Program in Inte ience Education Center	for Self-Assessment ton, D.=C., 1975. esearch on Science ion Programs in the of Education, 1968. The Education of matics", AAAS Miscel- merican Association ernational Perspective", he University of Iowa,	•
) National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc) Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Sciences, 1965-1967",) Ost, David H., ed., "Gui Secondary School Teach laneous Publication 71 for the Advancement of) Tamir, Pinchas, "The Ion Technical Report 9, Sci March, 1976. 	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The Ro Status of Teacher Educat Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mather -9. Washington, D. C.: A Science, 1971. wa-UPSTEP Program in Inte ience Education Center	for Self-Assessment ton, -D.=C., -1975. esearch on Science lon Programs in the of Education, 1968. The Education of matics", AAAS Miscel- american Association ernational Perspective", the University of Iowa,	,
) National Science Teacher of Secondary School Sc) Newton, David E., and Fl Education Survey: The Science's, 1965-1967",) Ost, David H., ed., "Gui Secondary School Teach laneous Publication 71 for the Advancement of D) Tamir, Pinchas, "The Iou Technical Report 9, Sc: March, 1976. 	s Association, Guideline ience Programs. Washing etcher G. Watson, "The Ro Status of Teacher Educat: Harvard Graduate School of delines and Standards for ers of Science and Mather -9. Washington, D. C.: A Science, 1971. Wa-UPSTEP Program in Inte ience Education Center	for Self-Assessment ton, -D.=C., -1975. esearch on Science lon Programs in the of Education, 1968. The Education of matics", AAAS Miscel- merican Association ernational Perspective", the University of Iowa,	•

ERI



OP STUDENT TEACHING	beervor:	Class & tonic: Physics
hecklist 16appropr	lato inriate	Comments
Trailcation drills		was placed in Physics classes at Iowa City West
Voice tone - Voice vars Voice volume - to erhal	stion -	Physics materials. took full responsibility for grading homework and tests for three physics classes from the second-
Minds Eyo contro Vacial expression + Hovement + Movement +	-	week of student teaching until the final day. We also assisted ted with an electronics class which was self-paced. During class time Rom made himself available to answer ques-
Vocabulary selection + Projection	<u>'erbalı'</u> t	tions and to assist students with problems and set up of laboratories. developed an ontional activity about astre
At unge written o Handwriting Spelling -	<u>386 +</u>	omy and made himself available evenings to groups and indivi- duals to perform some telescope observations. In the middle of his student teaching experience. worked.
Larity Grammar T Layout Mutioning	. f	for two weeks with an earth science class of He presented an astronomy section to a group of slover stu-
Levels Form Probing Prompting directing Chaining Haine/Wait-time Accepting	P E	and discussions of factors to consider.
Using student ideas ideas Distribution Handling s minforcement questi	tudent ons	
Chalkboard Slides Omerhead projector Film strip		
expersonal skills eacher-student rapport +		
Cooperative Cooperative Trusting + Trusting + Engaging +	· / /	
Polite en bnal qualities		
Enthusiasm + Emotional c Sincerity + Forcefulnes Sincerity + 1/145 7204 hud	ontrolt-	
paration in the former of the second	<u>kun</u>	
topic knowledget	siated se	
Clis/objectives+ rollow-up + Herials Evaluation ; Introduction + Safety cons Activities tions	plan+ idera-	
ntroduction 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	autions	
Clarity - Clarity -	Ev	is considered by and to be knowledgeabl
Care of cqui Servision and faci ollow-up	pment an litics nc	nd of having the potential to be a very good teacher. He eeds to have some more experience in dealing with slower rouns of students.
Closure Synthesizing String Applying Deepreting Homework		
Compatible with - Variety of a Compatible with - Variety of a Objectives Return tica DDICto-students-Interpretati	ources, + E.ACLUM	214
Adda data	м-Фт	

FOR STUDENT TEACHINC Observer ~~ Chemistry Class & topic: Time in: Time out: appropriate klist = = inappropriate Comments maioation chills f Ve <u>n 1</u> was a half-time student teacher in Chemistry with ice tone + Voice variation as cooperatin_teacher at Iowa City West High Schoo Voice volumat She had primary responsibility for two chemistry classes. erbal wrote and used several laboratory exercises. hda-f-Zye-contract + One of tial expression+ them dealt with combining numbers of atoms to make molecules Hovement + Posture +and another dealt with precipitate formation. atibility of verbal and nonverball also student taught in mathematics half-time-with . uage -- oral taught a geometry and an algebra class. ocabulary selection + rojection + Pronunciation + chemistry classes consisted of lectures, problem + Eorrect usaga+ unge -- vritten solving, and laboratory exercises. The text for the course ndwriting was Chemistry by Toon, et. al. Spelling+ Clarity+ Grammar + Layout + cioning. **els** †. Form Probing +" Frompting+ Redirecting + . Chaining using/wait-time Accepting student ing student ideas idezs Distribution Handling student Reinforcement questions ovisual materials ud 1kboard ---Slides Overhead projector+ Film strips Mation pictures Other proonal exillo sener-student rapport --VATE Cooperative repting Trusting ouraging ability to Engaging xible-relate to open, . Police + students as individuals is nal qualities hu**si**asm — Emotional control Sincerity + Forcefulness Sense-of-humorrtion pd ontent background Seicific to science + Education-related + knowledge topic eral knowledge + Strongest arealanning very well prepared. l#/objectives 1' Follow-up + erials-f Evaluation plan + roduction + Safety considera-Activities tions on performen duction Motivation . Safety precautions-Problem focust-Prerequisite skillsections of-Clarity Evaluative comment and summary: ltina in her Sid hour class - four Organization Management -Control -Care of equipment brvision and facilities + is very strong in background and preparation. She v-up 11م was unable to establish rapport with her stolents and many Closufe Synthesizing times had outside interests that were more important than Sharing Applying teaching. erpreting Honevork ation Compatible with + Variety of sources a moals/objectives Return time +to students Interpretation of ERICATENLO daca + **Excellent** Satiafactory Honnader

OR STUDENT TEACHING Class & topic: Intro. Biology ime in: Observer: Timo out:<u>|</u> appropriate hecklist Inappropriate Comments ication chills Ve al lachage adages A arras 4. ą, Voice tone Usill' Voice variation + Voice volume ist Art (please see below handwriting) , Ko orbal nda Zye contact /-Facial expression + Movement + Losture 🕂 atibility of verbal and nonverball uage -- oral · Vocabulary selection + Projection + sometimes problems w/biology vocabulan ponunciation — _ Correct usara + uage -- written hdwriting / Spelling - + 20-44-4 Clarity + Grammar Layout .L sometimes will rephrase a question tioning **levels** + Form Probing + before giving student ch Prompting +to ansider. directing + Chaining #ing/vait-time Accepting student ing student ideas+ ideas +-Distribution+ Handling student Halorcezent-f. questions + was placed with in his introductory Biology classes at Iowa City Mest High School, Wisual materials was allowed to. Chalkboard 4 design her own laboratory experiments and present lecture Slides 🚣 Overhead projector+ Film strips-material by her own methods after consultation with ion pictures 1 Othor She tried a variety of approaches to experiments. In one ersoral skills she posed a problem and allowed the students to design ways eacher-student rapport ---to solve the problem with materials available in the labor-4 Cooperativeepting+ High Trusting + atory roon. ouraging + Engaging + was also concerned with her habit of saying OK and polit Viexible + Open 🕂 with not asking open-ended questions at appropriate times. Polite + She worked hard to eliminate the excessive OK's and was mal qualities successful in doing this. The open-ended questioning tech Enchusiasm +-Emotional control+ Sincericy_f____ nique still needs some work. Forcefulness ee of humor+ does not get nt out of snape life paration tru ontent background cific to science /- Education-related topic knowledge + General knowledge+ lanning G ls/objectives + Follow-up + erisle + Introduction + Evaluation plan +-Safety considera-Activities tions ? on performence duction Kotivation + Safetysprecautions Problem focus -Prerequisite skills tetions + Clarity Evaluative comment and summary: iting is acknowledged by her cooperating teacher and other Organization + Hanagement + . visitors to be a very warm and interested teacher. #ro1 🕂 Care of equiptent. f rvision She should be a very good teacher. Iov-up Closure ---Synthesizing ting 21 Applying rpreting Honevork Aluntion Compatible with -VARIELY OF BOUTCES 216 Roals/objectives Ketuin time-/to Bludente Interpretation of prenta -/data +

Intro. Biology & TURE STUDENT TEACHING Observer: Class & topic: Genetica____ Time in: Time out: appropriate hecklist ' - - inappropriate Comments student taught at Cedar Rapids Mashington High School .ication skills She was assigned to ľe. **a1** :: TIMA YORGET LOUGE as a cooperating teacher. Volce variation Voice tone -The first senster taught Introductory Biology classes Voice volume Coulds and the time she was there the second semester, she taught erbal ads Genetics classes. The Introductory Biology classes were Lye contect organized according to departmental objectives for each unit Vacial expression-Movement they Posture Adunci alu 1 same and departmental tests for each section. The teachers in the Biology department, including the student teachers, determine stibility of verbal and nonverbally these objectives and designed tests at numerous meetings Vocabulary selection Projection throughout the first semester. Mundlation Correct usage On Labor Dav was thrown from a horse which caused her uage -- vritten to miss many days of school during the next few weeks. This. ndwriting. Spelling contributed to difficulties she had with most of her classes Clarity + Grannary during the first semester. The time she was there during the Layout + second semester went better but it, too, was not without tioning vela Tors some difficulties. There were also some conflicts with Probing Prompting. the cooperating teacher over style of teaching, methods of directing Chaining presentation, scope, and pacing of material. **wing/vait-time** Accepting student sing student ideas ideas Distribution Bindling student **inforcement** questions ovisual materials lo Chalkboard-Siidee Cantulthe **Overhead** projector Pilo etripe muchinis net tion pictures nave then well coordinated ersonal skills le: W/matrials presidention leacher-student rapport Cooperstive tepting Trusting+ couraging Engaging Projects concernion student onal qualities ፇ thusfasm Emotional control Sincerity Torcefulness se of humor paration Enerds to beef up background-Shas narrow beology background ontent background cific to science -Education-related topic A knowledge General knowledge lanning ls/objectives Follow-up erfale. Evaluation plan Introduction Safety considera-Activities tions on rerformance improved toward and of teaching experience **bduction** Notivation + Safety precautions blem focus t Prerequisite skills Clarity Evaluative comment and summary: ections vitics Organization Management" is marginally satisfactory. She has potential to be ICTOI -Care of equipment a good teacher. She needs some positive experiences ervision and facilities yorking with colleagues. Tow-up Closure Synthesizing Weeds much work in this area Applying Ilog ergreting. Honevork . Aluntion # Compatible vich --Variety of sources 217 () i/objectives Return time.4 RIC to sculence Interpretation of virence data +

OR STODERT TEACHING Cheermer:	Class & topic:_Intro. Biologyme in: Time out:
becklist Inappropriate	Comments
rest fation shills	student taught at Cedar Rapids Washington High School
Voice tone / Voice variation	ductory Biology classes both the first somestor and for
ion irbal	long as he was at Washington during the second semster.
Tetial expression [Movement] Posture - Movement]	mental objectives for each unit of instruction and department
mibility of verbal and nenverbal	wide tests for each section.
Vocabulary selection Projection +-	teachers, met often to define objectives and design racks
Prepunciation / Correct usage	participated in all of these meetings.
Enderiting Spalling Clarity Grammar	Note should be made of the enthusiasm with which tackled this assignment. He volunteered his services to help on a field trip the semaster prior to his services
ue ioning	- teaching and helped with coaching football during the summer.
Levels + 7ors Probing + Promotion	
Firecting Chaining Sing/wait-time Accepting student Using student ideas ideas T	
-Distribution- Handling student Beinforcement- questions-	samen en l'anno asserant la mager, en la record de la record La record de la record
Delkhoard + Slides	
Overhead projector + Film strips - Wion pictures + Other	
Escher-student rapport + 1	
Verm/ Cooperative	-
Engaging + Flexible + Polite + Open +	
IT nal quilities	
Encrity + Emotional control+ Forcefulness+	
Shangest	
intent background	
Scific to science - Education-related topic knowledge -	and to bear and the lade
anning	recas to beef up contest knowinge
Gele/objectives + Foilow-up +- Merials + Evaluation plan + Introduction + Safety considera-	
Activities tions	
Kotivation - Safety precautions	
Preplem focus + Prerequisite skills Prections + Clarity	Evaluative comment and aurrary:
the steer of the barry string and	
Gate of equipment f-	learn, and is able to make adjustments to things which or
How-up-	iginally he found frustrating.
Stosure + Synthesizing	
in arpreting Honework	
Sumpatible with J- Variaty of sources	
to students Interpretation of	218
ERICATENCE + data +	rvinlinne)

STUDENT TEACHING Cheerver:	Class & topic: Biolory Time in: Time out;
Checklist - inappropriate	Comments
Finication arilla	usually has very interesting classes. They are well rea
Yebal -	= researched and well executed. He has tried a variety of
Voice tone Voice variation	strategies and handled them all very well. He is not afraid
Verbal	to tackle classroom problems immediately and with appropriat
Eve contect	action. has an abundance of self-confidence and this ha
Techl expression Novement	enabled him to handle several very tough situations which
	occurred in the study hall that he proctoredOn-two occasi
Languare oral	- dente. In his last for works of any dent to set in the
Vocabulary selection Projection	his classes with students doing individual teaching as run
Conunciation Correct usage	- cerning pollution. Students which hadn't been involved all
andwritting Sould far	year, except as troublemakers, were actively participating
Clarity Grammar	in some of the available activities.
Layout	
Levels for	
- Drobier Proupting	
directing Chaining	
Using student ideas ideas -	
Distribution Handling student	
dinforcement questions	
Addiovisual materials	
• Averhead projector Film strips	
Other	and a second
terpersonal skills	
Teacher-student rapport	Ą.
cepting Trusting	
Therible Open	
P lite	
Perional qualities	
Lathusiasm Emotional control	
Sincerity Forcefulness	
Excellent	διαστορικό του το δεν το που το του το του του του του του το το που τον το πλογιστικού του το το που που που που που που που που που πο
eparation	
Content background	
topic knowledge	i na
General knowledge	÷
Lening	
terials follow-up	
Introduction Safety considera-	
tivities tions	
1 00% performance	
Hotivation Safety precautions	
Toblen focus Prerequisite skills	
fections Clarity	Evaluative comment and summary:
Organization Hanagement	will make an excellent teacher. He has confidence in
ntrol Care of equipment	what he does and who he is. He is unatraid to face up to
pervision and facilities	situations which are potentially dangerous. He has a fine
Closure Senthestates	sense of numor. He is a tremendous prospect.
aring Applying	
terpreting Howevork	na en
valuation +	₩
apatible with Variety of sources	210
- FRIC-to-scudence Interpretation of	~1.9
Autor Marine data	

		Class & topic: Time in: Time out:
Umçkiist inappropr	Inte	Comments
mication stills	<u> </u>	content background and planning are his two strongest
Velce tone Woice varia	<u> </u>	areas. He is always well prepared for a class and has thor-
Weice volume + ramoto	nc	In general. lectures and door not around a state
ands Eye Contact	<u>!! </u>	in his teaching approaches. He has apent most of this some
Pacial expression Hovement Posture		becoming comfortable with the role of the teacher and has no
C patibility of verbal and nonve	tbali(%	and time to try out any new teaching strategies. Another
L Ruage oral		is slowly becoming more skilled. No still peeds
Pronvncietion Correct use	<u></u>	with management in order to be more effective.
L gunge written	TOK	
Clarity Grammar Layout	ti s timi s	
S stioningnand much up paces	Un1A+	
Probing Not Chill Proportion		
- Chaining Chaining		
susing student ideas - ideas	udent 2	
Nandling stu	dent	มหาสมของการการการการการการสมการสมของการการการการการการการการการการการการการก
A iovisual marerials	+	
Chalkboard Slides		
Everbead projector Film strips Motion pictures Other		
at personal skills	····	an a
Teacher-student rapport	D K	
ccapting Trusting	·····	
The sible Open		
Polite		•
I sonal qualities nulles impart	mint	
Sincerity Forcefulness	trol	
fease of humor - Needs a co	ruse	
reparation in assistius	enesso	and a second and a s Second and a second a
Content background	TE	
topic knowledge	ated a	•
Ceneral knowledge		
pals/objectives Follow-up		
terials Evaluation pla	en.	
Activities tions	u.u→	
a room performance		
Notivation Safety precaut	ions	×
Problem focus Prerequisite a	ikille, 🗍	Nation and the second
Adviting		valuative comment and summary:
Organization Management -		ased on five visits and many conversations, I would say that
pervision 1 and facili	tico D	ositive environment in which to learn
Por-low-up		
Experience Synthesizing Applying		
Aterprating Howevork		
Compatible with Variety of sou	<u> </u>	
Boals/objuctives Return time		220
ERIC parents data	01	
Pearland Presidenting EBIC	4 - 1E3	

	Coerver:	Class & topic: Metcorology Time in: five out:
Checklist.	+ - appropriate - = inappropriate	Comments
minication chills-	มาการสุขรับสินสินส์ หรือสีมีการแรงของกระยะการการสารสารสารสินส์ และสาร เป็น สารสารสุขรับสินสินส์ หรือสีมีการแรงของกระยะการการการสารสารสารสารสารสารสารสารสารสารสารสารสา	brings much enthusias and talant to
lice tone	+	He prepares and plans extremely thoroughly. He is very seld
Voice volume	Voite Variation	caught without a backup plan. He reacts well to classroom
Perbal	1+	disturbances from outside sources, such as the p.a. or assem
Tacial expression	Lya contact Novement	teaching strategies. His discussion and quastizates teaching
Posture		were initially weak but improved tremendously over the semes
Lang oral	That and nonverball T	ter. He still needs a little work in that the also
Vocabulary selection	m Projection	- involved himself in extracurricular activities. He helped
L mage - written	torrece usage	- sentations.
Clavies	Spelling Spelling	
Layour - hand	ver i alittle	
Quitioning	ICK	
Probing	7orm	ې د. ۱۹۹۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰
idirecting -	Chaining	
Wing student ideas	ideas -	
Pistribution Spinforcement	Randling student questions	
Au lovisual material	Is IF	
Chalkboord	Slides	
tion pictures	File strips Other	
temersoral skills	<u>i</u>	
Verm	Cooperative	1
cepting	Trusting	
Tiexible	Open	
Polite	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Perional qualities	Footional control	
Sincerity	Forcefulness	
	ollest	
sparation	enni	· · ·
Content background	Vdu-atton-related	
topic	knowledge	
Ceneral Knowledge		
als/objectives	Follow-up	
Introduction	Evaluation plan Safety considera-	
Activities	tions	i de la companya de l
is oduction		
Notivation	Safety precautions	
rections	Clarity	Evaluative comment and supposite
ci vitien	<u> </u>	and 11 he an analyze history 11 14the and 4
Cattol	Care of equipment	Young adults. He also likes to try different approaches
Pervision	and facilities	and is willing to try conventional strategies if they work.
Closure	Synthesising	
- Tring	Applying	a 🗯 a contration
VALUATION	Rosework	
Competible with	Variety of mousces	0 0 .
to students	Return time	421
ERIC	daca k	Frankland A
Full Text Provided by ERIC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i

	Oscroer.	Class & topic: Gr. 10 Science in: Time out:
and fist	+ - appropriate inappropriate	Comments
accortion stills		large group provintations was saved
		effective, but the individualized instruction lacked a decree
Volea volume	voice variation-	of commitment. Generally, the lack of advance preparation are
tbal		planning limited the teaching success below his potential.
Tocial expression-	Kovenest +	ing time, so little extra consideration and alternatives were
Pesture		apparent. The lateness of final plans effected the acquisi-
m lane oral	Wal and nonverball +	- tion of materials and support media.
Vocabulary selection	rrojection	Teacher-student rapport needs further consideration, student:
m lage vritten	enisett usage	Lesson presentation lacked polish resulting from the question
Clarity	Spelling + F	able planning. Pre-lab, generally established attending be-
ioning		- sideration of student motivation. Laboratories were well
Livels	Tors -	supervised, but materials could have been better organized.
Probing-	Prompting	Post-lab discussions attended to closure, but under-developou-
Fing/vait-time -	Accepting student	planning and questioning technique rendered this phase broken needs to attend to details in teaching anth
Distribution	Ideas Handling student	as, student feedback, materials acquisition and management.
Relaforcement	questions	
wisual materials		
Overhead projector +	- Tila strips	
100 pictures	Other	
scher-student rappo	rt	
A entire-	Cooperative T	
ouraging	Engaging	
Viexible Polite	Open +	
r nal qualities		
Sinceries 1	Emotional control+	
Sease of humor		
20. oo ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa	nga jone or no no jonnano on I	ing san ban ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a
atent background		
Seific to science	Education-related	
General knowledge	TDOATE0 25	
erials	Evaluation plan	на н
Atroduction Ativities	Safety considera- tions	
on performance		
duction	Salaty procrutings	an tha an
roblem focus	Prerequisite skills	
ections	Clarity	tvaluative comment and summary:
Franization	Management -	Performance indicated constant improvement, but lack of
crvision 1	Case of equipment and facilities	committment limited the success to a degree less than his
losure —	Synthesizing Applying	
erpreting	Lonework	
Muntion	Name and a second se	
empatible with	variety of sources Return time	222
	Interpretation of	
	····	Excellent (Satisfactory) HanardaGastory

TO STUDENT TEACH	ING Obertar:	Class & topic: Blokory Time in:
Mecklist .	+ = appropriate - = inappropriate	Comments
loation of 113		is knowledgable and prepared for his tooching. To inde
Verbal	Voice Variation-	of this, he is usually somewhat hesitant and unsure of him-
for the!		towards the end of the semester. He usually does a good job
Tocial expression	Eye contact Novement	presenting his lessons and is willing to accept constructive criticism to improve his lesson. He is not afraid to tackle
So stihility of veri	bal and monverball +	controversial subjects, even though he is not always sure how to tackle them or what his responses should be
Tersbulary Belection	Trojection	out a variety of strategies and showed a talent for being
Armage written	Correct usage	able to adapt to the needs of each strategy.
Starity Section	Spelling S Grammar	
Lectioning	ituint heins tillim	
bing J.	- Form	
Firecting	Chaining Accepting student	6
Distribution + Distribution + Disforcement +	ideas Handling student questions	
Matoviaual-caterials	popels turk	
	Film strips Other	international de la construcción de la construcció
erpersoral skills		
Van	Cooperative	
A septing	Trusting Engaging	
-Inter		
er mal qualities	ICK	
Inthusiagn Sincerity	Emotional control Torcefulness	
Tomus of humor-		n menen menen en
paration I		
tific to science topic	Education-related knowledge	•
Ceneral knowledge		*
C 1s/objectives	Follow-up	
Materials Introduction	Evaluation plan Safety considera-	
A lvitics	tions	N#
atroduction		
Notivation '	Safety precautions Prerequisite skills	
Dections	Clarity	Evaluative comment and summary:
Organization	Hanagement	will be a very good teacher. He is very interested in
C trol	Care of coulpment	how students learn and what they learn. This enables him to
>1)ow-11p		find appropriate means to accomplish learning.
Cheure Staring	Synthesizing Applying	المربق المربق مستقد المربق ا
Interpreting	Ronework	
Copatible with	Variety of sources	222
TERIC to students	Interpretation of	~ ~ ~ ~ / .
Full Text Provided by ERIC		
O STUDENT TEACH	Coarver:	Class & topla: General Sci & Time in: Time out:
----------------------------------	---	--
Becklist	inapyropriate	. Comments
loation prills	аран 2. сара сла да министрадија у серона у Карански и Карански и Карански и Калански. Карански серона се селот и пред серона се селот и карански и селот и карански селот. 18.	
Volce tone	Voice variation	spent the first month of her student teaching handling
Volune.	1 1	der of the remain-
	Eve contact	Her time with the general science classes was mont motion
Tacial expression	Noveneat	familiar with and comfortable with teaching. As a result.
or itshility of ver	bal and nonverbali	she did not try any methods other than lecturing or showing
andage oral	<u> </u>	she tried locturing for a couple of market his back
Prouncistion	Correct usage	trated because she did not have enough background to deal with
an page - vritten	ICA	Students' questions. Many student were not paying attention
Clarity	Spelling Grammar	to the lecture anyway. For the remainder of the semester
ayout		- number of chapters with deadlines for assignments and toose
Levels low terei	In Internett	- This seemed to work well for most students.
Tooling not nuch	Prompting	(Note: did not turn in any audiotapes)
Maing/vait-tibe	Accepting student	
Distribution limiti	Handling student	
Inforcement	questions Nech	
devisual materials	KCK	-
Chalkboard Omerhead projector	Slides Film strips	•
M ion pictures	Other .	
schor-student rappo	ŕr 17.8	
Vin	Cooperative	
Enouraging	Trusting Engaging	
Tlexible Police	Open	
	- Trik	
Zathusiasm	Emotional control	
Fincerity	Torcefulness	
	12	
aration		
S cific to science	Education-related	
Ceneral knowledge	knowledge	
enging	DK	
ls/objectives Marials	Follow-up Evaluation plan	
Introduction	Safety considera-	
	tions	
Induction	ICK	
Cotivation	Safety precautions Prorequisite skills	
ections	Clarity	Evaluative comment and summary:
IVities	ICK	could be a cood actome to show Show we have to see
Tol	Care of equipment	familiar with popular science magazines in order to expand
Triston	and ficilities =	her background of general knowledge. She -teo needs to get
Tow-nb	Synthesizing	some variety in the science courses she takes.
ing	Applying	and the second
lustion	Vi	
opatible with	Variety of sources	
ERIC: students	Interpretation of	
	data	··· ··

Coserver:	Class & topic: Biology Time in: Time out:
Checklist - Inappropriate	Comments.
Cation of Ils	has very positive percent qualities the
Ye al	- his likes and dislikes and is not effected to the bit
Voice volume Voice variation	be known. His personal qualities and ability to assure that
Ro erbal	with students are his strengths but these are not the to
Eye contact	overcome weaknesses in other areas which are important to be
Tocial expression Movement	a teacher in a public school classroom. planning and
Constibility of verbal and nonverball ?	- classroom performance are areas which could be his downfall-
language oral	as a teacher. Both his cooperating and his supervising
Terapulary selection Projection	Teacher asked him of at least two occasions for written plan-
La sage written	Juing and evaluation of lessons in advance of the Aesson. The
Stindwriting Spelling	tions for activities and the turner of anticided
Clarity Grammer	sing and did not appeal in some cases to all members of the
Do timine Wirds & Course on Ot and words	class. did not seem to be warried about this
Levels OL Torn	
Troup ting	
Chaining Chaining	
Wing student ideas + ideas	enne en la nerie e en
Pistribution+ Handling student	
Caliboard Sides	
Comerbead projector Film strips	•
tion pictures Other	
terersonal skills	and aligner a communication and gradient and an organization of the company of the second second company on the
tooperative	
tepting + Trusting +	
*Tlexible Open	
Police	
Per onal qualities	
Enthusiasn + Emotional control	
The of hunor +	
	n nin i i i i nin e trae calfi A
sparation	
content background	
topic knowledge	
General knowledge	
ala/objectives - 7 Falloumin -	
terielsOK Evaluation plan -	
Introduction OK Safety considera-	
Report performed	
lateoduction (
Notivation + Safety precautions /	
rections - / Clarity -	Evaluative comment and automatic
lectvities [2]	and the second considered and substances
-Osganization Henegenent	-Gertain-aspects-oflack-of-concern-about-routine and
Care of equipment ?	written preparation would not make him a good candidate at
ollow-up	this time for a teaching position. could be a very
Closure _ Synthesizing -	good teacher, it he wanted to be.
Applying	annan an a
Valuation (ar	
Compatible with Variety of sources	
goels/objectives Return time	295
ERICPArents data	
Automation Provided by EBC	Trailort Enterfactories (1/3) 11

STUDENT TEACHING Oberroer: Chemistry Class & topic: Time in: Time out: Appropriate hecklist · Inappropriate Comments leation chills Volce tone Voice variation Host areas of performance are adequate or above avera; alce volume As time goes on, she will be better able to know what chemist erbal de students are able to do and better able to deal with their Tye contact Vacial expression individual needs. Right now, she needs to work on group Movement Fture discussion and questioning techniques. Her questioning stidility of yerb and monverball skills with groups and lab situations are still mainly direct Enzuage -- eral at the recall level. Another area of concern to her should Cocabolary selection Trojectica be her content background. She needs to be more aware of the munciation Correct usage "facts" of her field versus the "inferences and interpreta-Mage - written Mandwriting tions", She also needs to use OK and alright not as often. Spelling Clerity-Grammar syour tioning nuchs Improvemen المعتدة المحجمة Torm Probing low Prompting lirecting ow Chaining sing/wait-time4 -Accepting student Veing student ideas ideas -Matribution -Handling student aforcesest questions + ovisual-magerials Chalkboard + Silded scheed projector+ Film strips ion piccures + Other rooral skills eachez-stwient rappor m -F Cooperative epting-Trusting+ couraging+ Engaging + Vlexible. Open ditesonal qualities 37-· Lathusieca † Emotional controlt Sincerity + Torcefulness t se of humort paration ontent background cific to science Education-related topic knowledge needs vieting up General knowledge ning 10 1#/objectives Follow-up leriale Evaluatión plan Introduction Safety considera-Ambivities tions on reportance TT. ntroduction ĿĿ Notivation Safety precautions Prorchulaita skills blem focus ections Clarity Evaluative comment and summary: TŦ tivities Organization Management trol **Care** of equipment has shown that she will be an excellent teacher with more and facilities ervision experience. Her natural enthusiasm and pleasant personality, 0110-up make her a person students will want to have around. Clonute Synthesizing ring-Applying Honevork erpreting valuation matible with Variaty of sources 226 a-' 1/objactives Return-time to students Interpretation of ERICIArenta data

STUDENT TEACH	ING Clearver:	Class & topic: Earth/Life Scine in:
hecklist	inappropriate	Comments
Contion cv:11:	*	
Veice tone :	Voice variation +	verbal and other supportive media for her classroom communi-
Not erbal	4	cations. The use of print and visual materials generally
Lands	Eye contact +	supplemented the classroom activities. Ouestionning tech-
- Techi cipresilog-	Novement	niques skill need further consideration, i.e., chaining,
Constibility of ver	bal and nonverbali +	Wait-time, using and accepting student ideas and handling
Language oral		- student questions.
Torsbulary selaction	A Projection +	actual teaching thus allowing for interaction with atteact
Let unge written		Prior to teaching. Materials and activiting your colocted for
Boodvriting +	Spelling +	a variety of sources and vere generally supported the AV
flarity +	Grannar +	materials. All student materials were neatly and interesting
her inter	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	designed, typed and generally worthwhile. Somewhat creater
Levels +	Tora +	attention to manipulative activities would be advisable.
mbing+	Proopting +	teaching image is professional, concurned and
-Incling+	Chaining	business-like. Her consideration of detail and presentation
Vaing student ideas	ideas	generally convey to be students an open sincerity learning
Metribution+	Handling student	atmosphere. Greater attention to adding open enthusiasm and
	quescions	avnamic showmanship will continue to increase the teaching
Chalkboard dt	<u> </u>	Lossons generally develop logically from our lab to lab to
Thead projector+	711s strips	Design generally develop logically from pre-lab to lab to
_lon_pictures	Other	focus and direction. Attending behavior use well established
erpersonal shills		by a variety of means. Laboratory phase of lacone war
etcher-student_rappo	Cooperative +	supervised and materials and students were managed effectively
repting	Trusting	Follow-up discussions generally attended to surfacing the
Incouraging .	Engaging-	major concepts under consideration.
Bite+		
en bnal qualities		4
Enthusiaso	Eagtional control+	
Macerity -	Torcefulness+	an de dimension metalemente en estate estate en entre estate estate estate estate estate en la denir de la destate estate estate estate estate de la ministra de de la destate estate esta
		en e
paration	· · · ·	
of ent background		
Secific to science	Education-related	
General knowledge	KUOWIEGEG	
1. hing	·	
Gils/objectives t	Follow-up	
· Introduction +	Safety considera-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
.ivitica	tions	±=
a por performance	• •	
ntroduction		
blen focus +	Prerequisite skills	
Tections -	Clarity -	Evaluative comment and cummary:
reivieles		Very effective imperation and developed a personal trachter
trol 1-	Care of covincent a	matule in a rather short time
pervision	and facilities	
>llow-up		
P eure	Synthesizing	1
Repreting	Homework	
valuation		
metible with 4-	Variety of sources	
	Roturn time	~27

IV. Publication Involving Iowa-UPSTEP

Following is a listing of publications that have resulted from the Iowa-UPSTEP Trogram. Several other reports are in various stages of progress. We expect the Iowa-UPSTEP model to continue as a major source of research in

science teacher education for the foreseeable future.

Lunetta, V. N., and Sharp, W. L., "Secondary Science Preservice Teacher Education," <u>In Search of Promising Practices in Science Teacher Education, March, 1973.</u>

Lunetts, V. N., and Zalewski, L. J., "Interactive Incidents," The Science Teacher, April, 1974.

Lunetta, V. N., "Continuing Clinical Experiences as Part of a Four-Year Teacher Education Program," ERIC SMEAC, April, 1974.

Lunetta, V. N., Gore, M., and McLaughlin, D., "Some Thoughts on PACE," Iowa Science Teachers Journal, 1974.

Lunetta, V. N., Yager, R. E., and Shafp, W. L., "Needed: New Models for Science Teacher Education," <u>Science Education</u>, October/December, 1974:

Lunetta, V. N., "Effective Curriculum Diffusion and Implementation," Proceedings of the AAAS Conference on Science Curriculum in Elementary and Junior High Schools, April, 1975.

Lunetta, V. N. "Computer in the Classroom: A Unit in Teacher Education," Journal of Educational Technology Systems, Spring, 1975.

Lunetta, V. N., "Field-Based Clinical Experiences in Science Teacher Education," Science Education, 1975.

Lunetta, V. N. "Iowa-UTSTEP: A Program Overview," The Iowa Science Teachers Journal, December, 1976.

Yager, R. E., and Lunetta, V. N., "Comment on 'Can Science Education Mass Produce Super Teachers,'" <u>Science Education</u>, 1977.

Tamir, P., Lunetta, V. N., and Yager, R. E., "Science Teacher Education: An Assessment Inventory," Science Education, 1978.

Tamir, P. and Lunetta, V. N., "Analysis of Laboratory Inquiries in the Third Edition of the BSCS Yellow Version," The American Biology Teacher, September, 1978.

Yager, R. E., Lunetta, V. N., and Tamir, P. "Trends in Science Teacher Education: """ 1967-1977," School Science and Mathematics, April, 1979.

Lunetta, V. N., McCurdy, Morris D., and Shymansky, J. A., <u>The Status of</u> <u>Competency Based Teacher Education Programs for Secondary School Science</u> <u>Teachers</u>, 1973-74, Association for the Education of Teachers in Science, March 1974.

 Lanatta, V. K., ed., The Iowa-UPSTEP Model, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, January, 1975 Linetta, V. N., Overview and Policies for Iowa-UPSTP Module Development and Evaluation, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, July, 1977. Puhrman, M., Lunetta, V. N., Novick, S., and Tamir, P., The Laboratory Structure and Task Analysis Iowentory (IAI): A User's Handbook, August, 1978. Shymansky, J. A., Penick, J. E., and Wortman, J. D., "A Computer Program Designed to Identify Behavior Patterns in Observational Date;" Journal of Classroom Interaction, 1976. Penick, J. E., and Lunetta, V. N.; "Iowa-UPSTEP: A Dynamic Modelvin Science Teacher Education Now, Journal of Teacher Education, pending. Penick, J. E., Lunetta, V. N.; "Iowa-UPSTEP: A Dynamic Modelvin Science Teacher Education Now, Journal of Teacher Education, pending. Penick, J. E., Lunetta, V. N.; "Iowa-UPSTEP: A Dynamic Modelvin Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, April, 1977. Penick, J. E., Formative, Descriptive Evaluation of the Iowa-UPSTEP Model. Technical Report 17, University of Iowa, 1979. Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of an Component of Iowa-UPSTEP on Self-Concept." Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 1376. Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of an Undergraduate Pre-Service Teacher Education Report and Selected Personal Characteristics, Ph.D. dissertation, May, 1373. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1974. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Iowa-UPSTEP: Program Development from 1970 through 1375." Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 'Science Education Center, Th		and a state of the other and the state of th
 Lunetia, V. N., ed., The lowa-UFSTEP Model, Science Education Center, The University of Jows, January, 1975. Lanetta, V. N., Overview and Policies for Jowa-UFSMEP Module Development and Evaluation, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, July, 1977. Fuhrman, M., Lunetta, V. N., Novick, S., and Tamir, P., The Laboratory Structure and Task Analysis Inventory (LAD): A User's Handbock, Technical Report 14, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, August, 1978. Shymansky, J. A., Penick, J. E., and Wortman, J. D., "A Computer Program Designed to Identify Behavior Patterns in Observational Date," Sourcal of Classroom Interaction, 1976. Penick, J. E., and Lunetta, V. N., "Iowa-UPSTEP: K Dynamic Model's in Science Teacher Education Now, Journal of Teacher Education, pending. Penick, J. E., Lunetta, V. N., Kyle, W. C., Jh', and Bomistetter, R., Current Description and Partial Evaluation of Iowa-UPSTEP, Science, Education Center, The University of Iowa, April, 1977. Penick, J. E., Formatiye, Descriptive Evaluation of the Iowa-UPSTEP Model. Technical Report 17, University of Iowa, 1979. Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of a Component of Iowa-UPSTEP on Self-Concept," Journal of Tescience Teaching, 1376. Pizzini, E. L., An Analysis of the Effects of an Undergraduate Pre-Service Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics, "Ph.D. dissertation, May, 1973. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National S	A	анан ана - чал эна от цетеран ули ули таката ули
 Lunetta, V. N., Overview and Policies for Iowa-UPSHT Module Development and Evaluation, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, July, 1977. Puhrman, M., Lunetta, V. N., Novick, S., and Tamir, P., The Laboratory Structure and Task Analysis Inventory (LAD): A User's Handbook, Technical Report 14, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, August, 1978. Shymansky, J. A., Penick, J. E., and Wortman, J. D., "A Computer Program Designed to Identify Behavior Patterns in Observational Date," Journal of Classroom Interaction, 1976. Penick, J. E., and Lunetta, V. N., "Iowa-UPSTEP: A Dynamic Model'in Science Teacher Education Now, Journal of Teacher Education, pending. Penick, J. E., contative, V. N., Wile, W. C., Jt', and Boombtetter, R., Current Description and Partial Evaluation of Iowa-UPSTEP, Science, Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1977. Penick, J. E., formative, Descriptive Evaluation of the Iowa-UPSTEP Model, Technical Report 17, University of Iowa, 1979. Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of a Component of Iowa-UPSTEP on Self-Concept," Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 1376. Pizzini, E. L., An Analysis of the Effects of an Undergraduate Pre-Service Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics, Ph.D. dissertation, May, 1973. Yager, R. E., "Science Education at the University of Iowa, 1974. Yager, R. E., "Science Education at the University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Science Education center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Gummar Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, 1975." Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Fou	Lunetta, V. N., ed., The Iowa-UPSTEP Model, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, January, 1975.	7) 1 1 1 2 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 1 2
 Fuhrman, M., Lunetts, V. N., Novick, S., and Tamir, P., The Laboratory Structure and Task Analysis Inventory (LAI): A User's Handbook, Technical Report 14, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, August, 1978. Shymansky, J. A., Penick, J. E., and Wortman, J. D., "A Computer Program Designed to Identify Behavior Patterns in Observational Date," Journal of Classroom Interaction, 1976. Penick, J. E., and Lunetta, V. N., "Iowa-UPSTEP: A Dynamic Modelvin Science Teacher Education Nov, Journal of Teacher Education, pending. Penick, J. E., Lunetta, V. N., "Iowa-UPSTEP: A Dynamic Modelvin Science Teacher Education Nov, Journal of Teacher Education, pending. Penick, J. E., Lunetta, V. N., Kyle, W. C., Jt', and Bombtétter, R., Current Description and Partial Evaluation of Iowa-UPSTEP, Science, Education Center, The University of Iowa, April, 1977. Penick, J. E., Formative, Descriptive Evaluation of the Iowa-UPSTEP Model. Technical Report 17, University of Iowa, 1979. Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of an Undergraduate Pre-Service Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics, The D. dissertation, May, 1973. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. 	Lunetta, V. N., Overview and Policies for Iowa-UPSTEr Module Development and Evaluation, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, July, 1977	je konstantojna 19. stolatantojna 19. stolatantojna 19. stolatantojna
 Technical Report 14, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, August, 1978. Shymansky, J. A., Penick, J. E., and Wortman, J. D., "A Computer Program Designed to-Identify Behavior Patterns in Observational Date;" Journal of Classroom Interaction, 1976. Pemick, J. E., and Lunetta, V. N., "Iowa-UPSTEP: A Dynamic Model*in Science Teacher Education Now, Journal of Teacher Education, pending. Penick, J. E., Lunetta, V. N., Kyle, W. C., Jt., and Bounstetter, R., Current Description and Partial Evaluation of Iowa-UPSTEP, Science, Education Center, The University of Iowa, April, 1977. Penick, J. E., Formative, Descriptive Evaluation of the Iowa-UPSTEP Model. Technical Report 17, University of Iowa, 1979. Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of a Component of Iowa-UPSTEP on Self=Concept." Journal of Hesesciench in Science Teaching, 1976. Pizzini, E. L., an Analysis of the Effects of an Undergfaduate Pre-Service Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics, Th.D. dissertation, May, 1973. Yager, R. E., "Science Education at the University of Iowa, 1976. Yager, R. E. "Science Education at the University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E. "Iowa-UPSTEP: Program Development from 1970 through 1975." Science Education Center, The University of, Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the Mational Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. 	Fuhrman, M., Lunetta, V. N., Novick, S., and Tamir, P., <u>The Laboratory</u> Structure and Task Analysis Inventory (LAI): A User's Handbook.	
 Shymansky, J. A., Penick, J. E., and Wortman, J. D., "A Computer Program Designed to Identify Behavior Patterns in Observational Date;" Journal of Classroom Interaction, 1976. Penick, J. E., and Lunetta, V. N., "Jova-UPSTEP: A Dynamic Model'in Science Teacher Education Now, Journal of Teacher Education, pending. Penick, J. E., Lunetta, V. N., Kyle, W. C., Jt, and Bonnstetter, R., Current Description and Partial Evaluation of Iowa-UPSTEP, Science, Education Center, The University of Iowa, April, 1977. Penick, J. E., Formative, Descriptive Evaluation of the Iowa-UPSTEP Model. Technical Report 17, University of Iowa, 1979. Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of a Component of Iowa-UPSTEP on Self-Concept," Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 1976. Pizzini, E. L., an Analysis of the Effects of an Undergraduate Pre-Service Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics, Th.D. dissertation, May, 1973. Yager, R. E., "Science Education at the University of Iowa, Iventy-five Yeafs, 1950-1975," Science Education at the University of Iowa, Twenty-five Yeafs, 1950-1975," Science Education at the University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E. "Iowa-UPSTEP: Program Development from 1970 through 1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. 	Technical Report 14, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, August, 1978.	
 of Classroom Interaction, 1976. Penick, J. E., and Lunetta, V. N., "Iova-UPSTEP: A Dynamic Modelvin Science Teacher Education Now, Journal of Teacher Education, pending. Penick, J. E., Lunetta, V. N., Kyle, W. C., Jt, and Boundstetter, R., Current Description and Partial Evaluation of Iova-UPSTEP, Science, Education Center, The University of Iowa, April, 1977. Penick, J. E., Formative, Descriptive Evaluation of the Iowa-UPSTEP Model. Technical Report 17, University of Iowa, 1979. Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of a Component of Iowa-UPSTEP Model. Technical Report 17, University of Iowa, 1979. Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of an Undergraduate Pre-Service Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics, Ph.D. dissertation, May, 1973. Yager, R. E., "Science Education at the University of Iowa, 1974. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, Science Education	Shymansky, J. A., Penick, J. E., and Wortman, J. D., "A Computer Program	•
 Penick, J. E., and Lunetta, V. N., "Iowa-UPSTEP: A Dynamic Model'in Science Teacher Education Now, Journal of Teacher Education, pending. Penick, J. E., Lunetta, V. N., Kyle, W. C., Jt, and Bonnstetter, R., Current Description and Partial Evaluation of Iowa-UPSTEP. Science, Education Center, The University of Iowa, April, 1977. Penick, J. E., Formative, Descriptive Evaluation of the Iowa-UPSTEP Model, Technical Report 17, University of Iowa, 1979. Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of a Component of Iowa-UPSTEP on Self-Concept," Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 1376. Pizzini, E. L., An Analysis of the Effects of an Undergraduate Pre-Service Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics, Ph.D. dissertation, May, 1973. Yager, R. E., "Humanism Beyond the Classroom," proceedings of Annual Meeting National Science Education at the University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E. "Iowa-UPSTEP: Program Development from 1970 through 1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. 	of <u>Classroom</u> Interaction, 1976.	
 Penick, J. E., Luneita, V. N., Kyle, W. C., Jf., and Bonnstetter, R., <u>Current Description and Partial Evaluation of Iowa-UPSTEP</u>, Science, Education Center, The University of Iowa, April, 1977. Penick, J. E., Formative, Descriptive Evaluation of the Iowa-UPSTEP Model. Technical Report 17, University of Iowa, 1979. Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of a Component of Iowa-UPSTEP on Self-Concept," Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 1976. Pizzini, E. L., An Analysis of the Effects of an Undergraduate Pre-Service Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics, Ph.D. dissertation, May, 1973. Yager, R. E., "Humanism Beyond the Classroom," proceedings of Annual Meeting National Science Teachers Association, March, 1974. Yager, R. E., "Science Education at the University of Iowa, Twenty-five Yeafs, 1950-1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, 1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center The University of Iowa, 1976. 	Penick, J. E., and Lunetta, V. N., "Iowa-UPSTEP: A Dynamic Modelvin Science Teacher Education Now, Journal of Teacher Education pending	
 Penick, JE., Lunetta, VN., Kyle, W. C., Jf., and Bonnytétter, R., Current Description and Partial Evaluation of Iowa-UPSTEP, Science, Education Center, The University of Iowa, April, 1977. Penick, J. E., Formative, Descriptive Evaluation of the Iowa-UPSTEP Model. Technical Report 17, University of Iowa, 1979. Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of a Component of Iowa-UPSTEP on Self-Concept," Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 1976. Pizzini, E. L., An Analysis of the Effects of an Undergraduate Pre-Service Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics, Ph.D. dissertation, May, 1973. Yager, R. E., "Bumanism Beyond the Classroom," proceedings of Annual Meeting National Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, Twenty-five Yeafë, 1950-1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E. "Iowa-UPSTEP: Program Development from 1970 through 1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. 	S der	
 <u>Autrent Depertyption and Partial Evaluation of Towa-UPSTEP</u>, Science, Education Center, The University of Towa, April, 1977. Penick, J. E., Formative, Descriptive Evaluation of the Iowa-UPSTEP Model. Technical Report 17, University of Towa, 1979. Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of a Component of Towa-UPSTEP on Self-Concept," Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 1976. Pizzini, E. L., An Analysis of the Effects of an Undergraduate Pre-Service Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics, Ph.D. dissertation, May, 1973. Yager, R. E., "Humanism Beyond the Classroom," proceedings of Annual Meeting National Science Teachers Association, March, 1974. Yager, R. E., "Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, Twenty-five Yeafs, 1950-1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E. "Iowa-UPSTEP: Program Development from 1970 through 1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. 	Penick, J. E., Lunetta, V. N., Kyle, W. C., Jt, and Bonnstetter, R.,	n an an an air an
 Penick, J. E., Formative, Descriptive Evaluation of the Iowa-UPSTEP Model. Technical Report 17, University of Iowa, 1979. Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of a Component of Iowa-UPSTEP on Self-Concept," Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 1976. Pizzini, E. L., <u>An Analysis of the Effects of an Undergraduate Pre-Service Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics</u>. Ph.D. dissertation, <u>May</u>, 1973. Yager, R. E., "Humanism Beyond the Classroom," proceedings of Annual Meeting National Science Teachers Association, March, 1974. Yager, R. E., "Science Education at the University of Iowa, Twenty-five Yeafs, 1950-1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E. "Iowa-UPSTEP: Program Development from 1970 through 1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, Science Education 	Education Center, The University of Iowa, April, 1977.	·
 Technical Report 17, University of Iowa, 1979. Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of a Component of Iowa-UPSTEP on Self-Concept," Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 1976. Pizzini, E. L., <u>An Analysis of the Effects of an Undergraduate Pre-Service Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics</u>, Ph.D. dissertation, <u>May</u>, 1973. Yager, R. E., "Humanism Beyond the Classroom," proceedings of Annual Meeting National Science Teachers Association, March, 1974. Yager, R. E., "Science Education at the University of Iowa, Twenty-five Yeafs, 1950-1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E. "Iowa-UPSTEP: Program Development from 1970 through 1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. 	Penick, J. E., Formative, Descriptive Evaluation of the Iowa-UPSTEP Model.	
 Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of a Component of Iowa-UPSTEP on Self-Concept," Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 1976. Pizzini, E. L., <u>An Analysis of the Effects of an Undergraduate Pre-Service</u> Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics, Ph.D. dissertation, <u>May</u>, 1973. Yager, R. E., "Humanism Beyond the Classroom," proceedings of Annual Meeting National Science Teachers Association, March, 1974. Yager, R. E., "Science Education at the University of Iowa, Twenty-five Yeafs, 1950-1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E. "Iowa-UPSTEP: Program Development from 1970 through 1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. 	Technical Report 17, University of Iowa, 1979.	
 Pizzini, E. L., <u>An Analysis of the Effects of an Undergraduate Pre-Service Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics</u>, Ph.D. dissertation, <u>May</u>, 1973. Yager, R. E., "Humanism Beyond the Classroom," proceedings of Annual Meeting National Science Teachers Association, March, 1974. Yager, R. E., "Science Education at the University of Iowa, Twenty-five Yeafs, 1950-1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E. "Iowa-UPSTEP: Program Development from 1970 through 1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yagér, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. 	Pizzini, E. L., "An Analysis of the Effects of a Component of Iowa-UPSTEP on Self-Concept," Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 1976.	
 Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics, Ph.D. dissertation, May, 1973. Yager, R. E., "Humanism Beyond the Classroom," proceedings of Annual Meeting National Science Teachers Association, March, 1974. Yager, R. E., "Science Education at the University of Iowa, Twenty-five Yeafs, 1950-1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E. "Iowa-UPSTEP: Program Development from 1970 through 1975," Science Education Center, The University of Lowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. 	Pizzini, E. L., An Analysis of the Effects of an Undergraduate Pre-Service	•
 Yager, R. E., "Humanism Beyond the Classroom," proceedings of Annual Meeting National Science Teachers Association, March, 1974. Yager, R. E., "Science Education at the University of Iowa, Twenty-five Yeafs, 1950-1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E. "Iowa-UPSTEP: Program Development from 1970 through 1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. 	<u>Teacher Education Program on Selected Personal Characteristics,</u> Ph.D. dissertation, May, 1973.	
 Yager, R. E., "Science Education at the University of Iowa, Twenty-five Yeafs, 1950-1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yager, R. E. "Iowa-UPSTEP: Program Development from 1970 through 1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yagér, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. 	ager, R. E., "Humanism Beyond the Classroom," proceedings of Annual Meeting National Science Teachers Association, March, 1974.	
 Yager, R. E. "Iowa-UPSTEP: Program Development from 1970 through 1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975. Yagér, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported.by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. 	ager, R. E., "Science Education at the University of Iowa, Twenty-five Years 1950-1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975.	۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۱۰ ۱۰ ۱۰
 Yager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976. Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center The University of Iowa 1976. 	ager, R. E. "Iowa-UPSTEP: Program Development from 1970 through 1975," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1975.	e.
Yager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education Center The University of Tours 1976	ager, R. E., "Summer Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa," Science Education Center, The University of Iowa, 1976.	1
CORFOF THE HEIVOPEIFY AT LANG JU/A	ager, R. E., "In-Service Institutes for Science Teachers Supported by the National Science Foundation at the University of Iowa, Science Education	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Yager, R. E., "Effects Upon Students of Today's Science Education," <u>The Journal</u> of Educational Leadership, March, 1977.	ager, R. E., "Effects Upon Students of Today's Science Education," The Journe	, 1
¥Yager, R. E., and Stodghill, R., "School Science in an Age of Science," Journal of Educational Leadership, March, 1979.		
229	ager, R. E., and Stodghill, R., "School Science in an Age of Science," Journa of Educational Leadership, March, 1979.	<u>1</u>

Conclusions, Implications, the Future Following are specific conclusions following the development, production and evaluation efforts related to lova-UPSTEP, 1970-80: A .- An-integrated-teacher_education-program-is-possible--even multi-purpose major university. B. It is possible to develop modules for science teacher education; several modules are transportable to other campuses. C. A science major can be developed specifically for the preparation of science teachers; such programs can include philosophy/history/sociology of scilice as well as application-type courses in science. D. A variety of interesting experiences can be successfully incorporated into the science teacher seducation program. E. Evaluation can be developed as an integral part of the program; self-assessment strategies are particularly important. F. A dynamic teacher education program involves in-service teachers for recruitment, curriculum development, and internship development. G. It is possible to open lines of communication and to maintain cooperative-efforts the program planning, execution, and development Following are some implications for mounting a teacher education program ike the Iowa-UPSTEP model: Communication with other teacher educators (on-campus, off-campus other teacher education centers) is time-consuming, demanding, and a continuing need. There is a critical mass in terms of students, a staff, and administration B. and financial support; energy and effort must be spent to assure that such a ritical mass is maintained A dynamic program is a constantly changing one; evaluative informations

must be used for program improvement.

D. Teacher education remains an art at many institutions; there is hesitancy among teacher educators for sharing philosophies, approaches, and problems.

E. A model such as Iowa-UPSTEP requires an institutional commitment that is greater than often is found in teacher education programs; UPSTEP approaches and procedures can be used in a variety of disciplines at a given

universitý.

F. As teacher supply increases, there is greater interest in quality programs and cooperation; there is more interest in 1980 than in 1970 for

input from schools and in-service teachers.

G. The Iowa-UPSTEP model has attracted considerable international determined international determined in the second state of t

Some of these are:

A. There appears to be a genuine shortage of science teachers, especially in the physical sciences and in the area of science and society; more effort in terms of recruitment and cooperation with in-service teachers is needed.

B. Interest in Iowa-UPSTEP modules and evaluation efforts is significant; the Iowa-UPSTEP program and staff continues central in promoting communication, mutual efforts, and evaluation of teacher education nationally.

C. The Iowa-UPSTEP model continues to influence teacher education practices and programs at the University of Iowa; as interdisciplinary approaches become more attractive; Iowa-UPSTEP modules have more general applicability.

D. Maintaining current staff is a necessity for maintaining a quality program that has received such national and international attention; when the

program funds were depleted in 1975, the University of Iowa committed itself to the staff and its maintenance of the model. With such commitment this program can continue to evolve and to provide a model.

E. More coordination with high school student program (SSTP) and with in-service workshops (ASSIST) is needed and is occurring; the coordination promises continuing growth, evaluation, and study of the model.

F. If means can be found for continuing the dissemination phase of the program, the impact of the Jowa-UPSTEP material across the U.S. is likely to increase and to expand.

G. New formulae for determining staff loads are needed; the added field experiences, advising (over a six year period), seminars, program coordination, evaluation efforts are significantly greater than for teaching standard courses ' to groups of enrollees; mechanisms must be found for communicating these special needs locally and nationally.

232

į,