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## INTRODUCTION

The Cumprehensive Child Day Care Prigram for the Atlanta Public School Sys:em is contracted through the Croogia Department of Human Resources, Contract Services Div,..., This propram, in operation since December 1972 under Tille 将 $-\therefore \therefore \cdots 1967$ amendment io the Social Security Act, was funded effective Octoier i, 1976, under the 1974 amendment and became known as a Title XX program. The basic objective of the probran, to provide quality day care to childoren in low-income tamilies, semains unchanged.

Title $X X$ day care service is provided for children of clients in the following categories:

1. $\lambda_{1}$ Income Maintenance
2. Recipients of Aid to Fanilies with Dependert Children(AFDC)
3. Recipients of Supplemental Security Income (SSI - Aged, Blind, Disabled)
'B. Income Eligible - Based on \{amily montily gross income
4. Recipients ol Medical Assistance Orly (MAO)
5. Recipicnts of Cointy General Assistance (GA)
6. Nonrecipients (who fall within a prescetermined incone range)

## C. Without Regard to income

Protective Services las decerwind by the Departrent of Fimsily and Children Secvices (DFCS) only) are provided to all individuals who request or require them without regard to incorne.

The eligibility determination process also invoives setting a goal for the client which establishes the need for the service. The three goals that apply to day care arc:

Goal 1-Achieving or maintaining eccononic self-suppor: to prevent, reducc, or eliminate dependency.

Sutrved under this goal would be persons who are employed in job training, in school (directed roward vocition), or persons seeking employment.

Coal II - Achieving or maitisuining sell-sufficiency, including reduction or prevention of dependmesy.

Persons assigned this goal ninht be temporatily incapacitated or unemplayable because of age or inental and/or physical andition.
Goal III-Preventing or cemedying neglect, abuse, or exploitation of clildron and adults unable to protect their own interests or preserving, rehabilitating, or reuniting fanilies.

This goal is assigned by DFCS only,
These sta:cwide goals for Titic XX service shifted the ernphasis, in the case of day care, from the direct recipicnt of the service (the child) to the parent who now is designated as the piinary client. While this clange basically has not aftected the day care program, it does require a reemplasis on parental involvement.

## Advisory Ceuncil

The lour ared-wide advisory councils, organized daring the 19:4-75 contract yeir, continued operation in! 1976. The initial mectings were devoted to orientation and clection of olficers as required by State: guidelines. Menbers returning from the previous year were able to give some continuity and to speed up the reorginizattion process.

Attendance at council meetings was much improved in Areal as ione meetings weee changed irim evening to atternoon. Interest was high in center activities, and consumer menbers of the council experssed satisfaction with the growth patterns exvibited by their children.

A representative from the Allanta Office of Consumer Alfars, 解med Smith, spoke to the group at one mecting on jelective Buying. Plays for Living presentation on child abure was one of the special fentures for the group during the year, and Ms. Emma Soott delighted the group at onc gathering with pictures of children in action at Bankhead Center. A parent lending library suggessed by the council last year was started at Carter Day Care Center and was ssed by a number of pireents.

After the organizational meetings by the Arcall Council, an "Introduction to Title XX and Its Implications for the Day Care" wos explained by the Titte XX Cirector, Emmalean Bonds. A special ferture Ior
the year induled a representative from the University of Ceorgia Cooperative Extension Service who presented a session on "Hut Tips tor the Hoine Scanstress." "Art Experiences for Parents" was the tupit for the July mecting.

The oryanizational inecting for the Area Ill Council influded discussions on: state guidelines for the advisory commillee, Title $X X$ puidelines, attendance and health problens of clildren in the centers, ind parental involvermen:. Plans were also made for other nectings during, the yeor.

In May, the play, The Inner Tiger, was presented by the Uaited Way Agency, (hild Services and Fornily Counseling. Other mectings concentratid on: (1) the importance of parents keeping childen in day care centers during the sunmer mouths and on regular schuol holidays and (2) artivitirs to inprove parental involvenent and public relations.

The A ea IV Advisory Council continued its serond year of operation still under the direction of Walter Dixon. The most positive aspect of the council wsi the growth of the counail officers even thoubh attendarce remsines a problem. Council officers had a greater understanding of the role of the council and its leaderstip task. The council also assumed more responsilility for planning the five major mectings held during the year.

The yrar began with a get-scquainted meating for inerubers where Titte XX fruidelines and council operations were discissed. An exibibit prepared b. Fountain's extended day sturcults was avaliable for members to view.

At (w.) separate mectings, the tocus was on cornmunity fesources. Stimulating presentations were made by Eliner Rush, Assistan: Direcior, Samuel in Jones Boys Club; Officer Terry Parks from Community Pride; Calvin Cas'i, Fulión County Juvenile Court; Investigators P. H. Cassier and Larry Willker, Dekalb County Police Departinent; and a representative from THOR.

The el:ction process was completed at the :hird menting as required by State gridullines, and officers wore installed by the Title XX Director, Emmalean Jonds. At the final meeting, the Hubert Day Care stall involved the council menbers in "A Potpourri of Children's Activitics for Rarents." The adull farticipants were led through a simulared experience oi a typical child's diy st the center,

Staff Devedopnent
In-service trating for twielers ind paraprotessionts, as refarted below, wiss supervised and platued on the area leved by ares resurfer teachlers during the 1975-76 contract year. Teaclers continued to offer inservice to pariprolessionals at the locil scivol level. A tecord ot the locil in-service was reported cach month to the Division of Ressiurch and Evaluation for perinanent filing.
-Area 1-Helen Hill, Resource Tescher

1. Language IVoristiop

Consultant - Helen Hill
2. Speech Development of Young Children Consultin! - Octivia Milion, Coordinator of Pupil Services.
3. Math Wiorkshop

Coissulaint - Helen Hill
4. Ait Workstop

Consultant - Helon Hill
9. 'Parent Involveinen:

Consultant - Ruth Blair, Title XX Resource Teacher, : Aress 111 ancily

Area II-Millicen Wright, Revource Teachur

1. The Use ind Care of Aurtio-Visual Equipument

Consultant - Pegge Mapp, Audio-Visual Coordinaior
2. Titte $X X$ with Emparas un Jobo Descriptions Consultant - Millicent Wright.
3. Preparing Learning Centers

Consulant - Betty Blasingane, Elementary Resource Teacher, Area II
' Area III and Area IV - Ruth Blair, Resource Teacher

1. Paretital lavolvement Can Make a Difference

Specific ains - io help staft with leciniques in achiwhing good parent paricipation in the centers. To help stafl realize that parental involvement does not necesssaily, meke hinoss. easier for parent or staff, but that it docs make things better for childten.
2. Aides in Storyteiling for the Storyeller

Specitic ailns - to help inspire staff to share storics with chikiten to help inprove storyelling techaiques (prepreparation, presentation, and iumanistic approach).
3. Puppet Making

Specific airns - to help stafl find ways of working with children to encourage free exprecsion. To show that the use of puppets is one way ol tinding out at child's thoughts and feelings about himself.
4. "A Little Bit About a Lot ol Thing."

Aim - to provide parents with activitics that they cin use at 'home with their children. A booklet of ideas was given weach parent present.
Arca IV, Patricia Summers, Resource Teacher
Art Activities for the Preschool Child

Science Autivities for Preschool Children

Music Activities for Young Children

Art Activities for School-Age Children

Title XX Eligibility: Follow Up

Arca IV I, dvisory Council Planning with Finnily Service Workers

Small Group Conierences
Stallin', Expanded Day, General
Progran Operation, Licenses

Water Plyy Workshop<br>Books for Young Children Exhibit<br>James Long<br>Childcraft Educational Corp.<br>Wike J. Alex<br>- Western Publishing Co.

iir. David Walker
Resource Teacher, Area IV
Dr. Juanita Whatloy
Operations Amalyst, Title XX
Atlanta Public Schools
Miss Sara Strong
Resource Teacher, Area IV
Mr. David Walker

- Resource Teacher, Area IV

Mrs. Betty Bartling
Contract Services Representative
Patricia C. Summers

Patricia C. Summers

Fall in revic :or Expunded ind ixtenuled hay Stall
 Mce:ll sthul for the expunded day and the extended day stafl. An aice Iron eavin expanded day center, aloug with the extenced day icacther and a'des, were invited. The overall purpose of the wor'stiop was to inprove the fuality of the expanded and extended day programs. The specific oijectives were to: (1) review progeram goals and objectives, (2) review general operation procedures, and (3) introduce new naterials for use with expanded and extended day students.

The workshop consultants, June A. Sinnfson from the American Guidance Services and Stan Glassinan froin Great Ideas, inc., introduced the kits pirchased for cach program and lead simall gruips through lessons and suggestions lor using the inaterials. Eachi extended day center was provided the Manipulative Place Value kit from Great Idens and either Developin": Understanding of Self and Qithers (DUSO), Level Il, or Toward Affective Developnent (TAO) distritured by Ameritian Guidance." Ench expanded day. center was given a Peabody Language Developnent Kit, Level I, and DUSSO, Level I.

The Peabody kit was to be used in e eypunded diy to give cririchament activities in the langurge arts. The Manipulative Place Value kit offered fascinating activities for strengthening math concepts taught in the upper elenentary grade. DUSO and ThD are promann of activities designed to strengthen social and emocional deveiopment. DUSO, Levell, is tor lowe: primary, K-3, while DUSO, Level Ii, and TAD are for upper primary, 4-6. The evaluation results from the workslop are given in Table!. The workshop was well received, and no participant lett withisut some useful information. The session rated the highest was the two-hour matin session for extended day, No major weaknesses were reported, and most participants felt more workstiops along the same lines were needed.

In-service for expanded and exiended day staff is the most difficult to plan since this stafl works cirectly with students the full time the centers are open. Saturday sessions are difficult to arrange since stipenos are not always available. More attention will be given to this area of staff developmine in the future.

## EIALUATION OF EXPANDEDIEXTENDED DAY IN-SERVICE <br> SEPTI:MIIEN 25, 1976 <br> MCGILL E LEMENTARY SCHOOL

I. On a scale of 1.S, how would you rate the overall effectiveness of the wortshop? One is the lowes: rating and five is the highest. Number of participants rasponding - 81 .

Nutrober of
Sale Responses

| 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 6 |
| 4 | 38 |
| 5 | 35 |

2. What do you feel was the most bencicicial part of the workshop? Town number ol repsonses - 93 .

| $\therefore \quad \therefore$ | Number o! |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\therefore \quad$ Responsses |  |

3. What was the weakest part of the workshop? Totil number of responses -71 .

Number of Ressonses
Introductory session 100 long ..... 2
Too much for one day ..... 2
Gruyps too Inrge ..... 2
No break except tor lunch ..... 2
Being in one clusterTAi) scssion3
Woikslop too longProprum Operations sessionMath session too longSaturday sessionDU5O session7
Nor enough time in sessionsNo weaknesses33

|  | Number of Responses |
| :---: | :---: |
| Introduciory session too long | 2 |
| Too much for one day | 2 |
| $G$ Grups too hrge | 2 |
| No break except tor funch | 2 |
| Bsing in one cluster | 2 |
| TAl) scssion | 3 |
| Worksliop too long | 4 |
| Praprum Operations session | 4 |
| Math session too long | 4 |
| Saturday session | s |
| DU50 session | 7 |
| Nor enough time in sessions | 11 |
| No weaknesses | 23 |

Wore of tere came dirdidadd new kits 17
Fullow ta for naterials introduted I!
Art, mursic, crama, dance 10
No coulunen! \&
Lidenentiond fillice 4
Language ardreading "
Filtns, filmustrijss/using machines 3
Teacher-mathe materials 3
Proprimn Operations 3
Reperit wortislop fer day care tcacliers and principals
Mect to sharc ideas 2
hivite manufacturers to show new products 2
How to use materials already a wailable 2

Topics sugested by at least one participant
Firss ainc
Scieduling aid mianging a classroom
Muintuirimp intercist of students
Crowning suatronts
Fundrieningh ictivitics
Workrig with childeren who need social guidance .
Adrunced muteriads for childeren
Merric system
Opportunity advarcement
Positive relations berween stati, parents, and chiltoren
Fuppets, role playing

## 

بо

Numbler of Rewandes

The ;rogratin goils for the $1975-76$ contract year were geared toward the Title XX service objective of providing sumprelensive day fare to encompas; social services to the lamily and developmental activitics for the children. Specific behavioral objectives were included in the propossal for each goal, but as activitics for the year materialized, some of these objective were replaced or eliminated. The evaluation, therefore, will be in terins of the general tasals, and objectives will be referred to only where data werr maintained by enough centers to give a reliable sanpling.

Program Goal I - Te provide for the social, physical, and cognitive growth of pupils :nrolled.

The valluation for this goal is divided inio lour areas:
$i$

1. :ilot study of a System for Tencher Evaluation of Prereading Skills (STEPS) and Circus, a scries of assessinent measures used to ;dentily cognitive developmental needs of preschool stuten;s.
2. Performance of Title XX students on the Cooperative Preschiool :avenory, a screening device administered to all students entering kindergarten.
3. Performance of tormer day care students (in grades one and two) on the lowa Tests of Basie S'kills (ITBS'.
4. ?rogram goais and special activities ior day care, expanded day, and extended day.

The fiscoussion of the evaluative data for each area is presented below.

1. Pilot Study of STEPS and Circus

In an effort to make a decision is to the type of sygtematic vallution instrunco: to use in the day care protrann, upilut study sas conducted on the use of STEPS and Circus. Children who would be cligible for kindergarten in the fall of 1976 were used in the study. The purpose was io gathiner data as to which type of assessment would be most bencficial to the teacher in helping her to plan a program to meet the needs of the individual children. Since the major objective was not to compare instrumenis, but to cc:npare use of information provided from the assessments, the result of the study will be discussed and analyzed separately for each instrument.

## STEPS

The STEPS cullisiss of 37 dijectives arranged arrording to developmental sepuence for lour areas: Vizual Perception, Auditory Percepsion, Spatial Relationships, and Cognitive Sxills. The teacher, after estilizating the child's level of achievernent in an area, administers $3^{n}$ assessincult activity to deternine if the clild can perforin the specific shill. The Suvient Assessinent card provides all "information neccesary for completion of the assessment.

If a student is not able to accomplish the objective, the Teacher Resource carr is available which gives activities thit will provide the pupia with the experierces needed for the approppriate objective, and leadlers can use other activities not provitiad in the kit. The Parent pssistance card that accompanies each objective gives activities that can be initiated by parents to reinforce instruction given in class. It was left to the ciscrection of the teacher to decide whel her or nitt to use these cards.
 May 197\%. Periodic mectings ci teaclers involved were condinted to discuss commori problems and to make sure the esssessinents were administered unilormly.

In May, Group Record Shects were forwarded to the Disision of Research and Evaluation or compilation to decernine haw many objectives the dy care students were able to conplete. The results are reporied in Table 2. The numbers in arach column to: each objective represent the number of studenis that cornpleted all the objectives up through that point. For example, at Entish Avenue, 24 stugents conpletod anl cight obectives in Yisalal Perception while at F. Le saanton, threc completed $1-5$, nine compleied I-6, jald deleven coinpleted 1-7.

Since STEPS is concerined with prereading scililis, it wis not expected that ine day care pupiils would accoinplish ell objectives. Witn no comporative data available, the performance of the students, therefofe, cannot be rated as good or bad. The dati can only be interpreesd in terms of trends.

SYSTEMS FOR TEACHER EVALIIATION OF PREREADING SKILLS NUMBER OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING EACH OBJECTIVE DURING STUDY PERIOD NOVE.MBER 1975 - MAY 1976


As a group, the students perforned best in the Visual Perception area. This was experteo's since the teachers had discussed the heayy emplasis in this area and since this is the first area of concentration on the vertical plane of development. In other words, a student is expected to complete several sbjectives in this area before he is introduced to objectives in Aurlitury

- Perception and Spatial Relationships. r

Initial perforinance in Audilory Perception was slow. Teachers were quick to realize this was an area that had been neglected. A child, for exannie, could "sec" that hippopotamus was a longer word than eleghant, but he had not been taught to "lieir" which was

- longer. Sunie teachers. felt the sound discrimination could not be made bectause hippopotumus was not a lamiliar word, but a recxamination of what is involved in auditory perception holped many to understund that word lamiliarity was not a prerequisite to sound discrimination.

The rate of introduction to Spatial Relationships and Cognitive Skills was not as rapid as the introduction to Visual and Auditiory Perception. Teachers were cautioned not to rush or lead students through a mechanical completion of assessments in these areas since internalization of skills learned carlier was necessary before conplete understanding could be expected. Horizontal and vertical developinent had to be watched carefully. One-danger in using sequential objectives is for a teacher to use one assessment to determine the child's understanding of a concept. It must also be understood that fariliar objects should not always be needed in assessinents if true understanding tas been accomplished.

At the end of the study, teachers were asked to comment on the use of the STEPS instrument. Their Connnents are summarized below.
a. The assessment activities were given by the teächer, group leader, or aide. Once group leaders and aides were given instructions on administration, they had little difficulty in working with the children.
b. Weak points of the program
(I) Too much time was required for individual assessinent.
(2) Assessment required very little verbal response.
(3) Some of the language used in the assessment activities was difficult for the paraprofessionals.
(4) All the materials needed for each assessment were not in the kit.
(5) Parent response to parent cards was poor.
c. Strong points of the prugram
(I) Required individual assessinent.
(2) Introduction of auditory otjectives.
(3) Assess - teuch - reassess strategy.
(4) Emphasis on sequential developinent.
d. How program was used
(l) In some schools, students were evaluated once a week and retested after instruction; average span of assessing, teachingt and reassessing was about two weeks.
(2) In othei schools, objectives incorporated into regular progran. (Assessment done after teaching had been completed.) Reassessment was often not needed.
e. Reconnmendations for teacliers planniing to use STE.PS
(I) Become thoroughly lamiliar with the entire progran and make the objectives a part of the regular instructional prograin.
(2) Collect all materials before the assessinent is started. Becone very familiar will complete assessment activity before beginning the activity.

Teachers were also asked to keep records of problems encountered in completing the activities from the Teacher Resource Card and to report other activities used in connection with each objective. The reports subrnitted are available through the Division of Research and Evaluation.

One weak area in the use of the program was with the Parent Assistance cards. Response from parents was poor, and many teachers did not use the many teachers felt the language on the cards was too difficult for the parents and were reluctant to send thein out. Others waited until they were certain a child could successfully complete an assessinent before it was given, thus eliminating the necessity for sending the card home. Much retraining on the use of the cards is neceded.

Teachers were generally enthusiastic about STEPS but soon discovered it was not designed as an all-inclusive prereading skills curriculum. Many had difficuity with the required classroom management and record keeping. Successful use of STEPS for another year will require improved integration into the total curriculum and assistance with planning necessary for teaching and classroom management guided by objective.

## Circus

Circus is a corrprehensive wssessment program that can be used to identify the instructional needs of preschool students. Instruments are available in 17 areas which inake it possible to assess those areas of special interest to the user. The pupil's periormance is given in sentence reports that indicate the competence level of the student for each measure used. These reports can then be used by the teacher to plan the level of instruction for each student.

Four Circus measures were used in the study: How Much and How Many, How Words Sound, Look Alikes, and See and Remember. The first three measures were given to the four-year olds in 17 schools during the Eirst two weeks of January 1976. Sec and Remember was given during the first two weeks of May 1976.

The results from the measures are reported in Table 3 and Table 4: The raw scoies obtained on cach measure were used to deternine a student's competency on a particular measure, and a sentence report for each child was returned to the center. For example, if a student received a total score between 17 and 21 on How Much and How Many and a score between 0 and 7 on the counting section, his sentence report would read, "Probably needs further instruction and practice with quantitative concepts, especially counting."

The data in Table 3 and Table 4 represent the per cent of students within each competency range for each school and for the day care centers throughout the Systen. The Aillanta Public Schools' students, as a whole, did not perforin as well as the national sample on any of the subtests. However, it should be noted that in all cases excepted for How Murch and How Many, the Atlanta Public Schools' sample size was larger than the national sample size. It should also be noted that the national sample was basically white and of middle to high socioccononic status (SESS).

The data reported in Table $S$ show the comparative inean scores by race and SES. The Atlanta Public Schools' day care population, which is primarily black and in the low SES, compared favorably to the national sample. For the subtest, How Much and How Many, which pertains 'to quantative concepts, the Atlanta Public Schools' mean was the same as for the white sample and was between the middle and high SES inean. The Atlanta Public Schools' mean for Look Alikes (visual discrinination) was between the low and middle SES mean and lower than the white mean. No mean was given for the black national sample. The lowest performance was on How Words Sound, the subtest for auditory discrimination. The Atlanta Public Scliools' mean was below the national mean in all areas. Students periormed best on See and Remember, the subtest for visual and associative memory. The Atlanta Public Schools' meean was above the national mean in all areas. However, it should be kept in mind that this subtest was given in May 1976, while all other tests were administered in January 1976. All schools should carefully examine the data in Table 5 to deternine areas of weakness.

TABLE 3
CIRCUS SUBTESTS
PER CENT OF STUDENTS WITHIN EACH RANGE


CIRCUS SUBTESTS
PER CENT OF STIDENTS WITHIN EACH RANGE

|  |  | How Much and How Many |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Look Alikes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Composite |  |  |  |  | Counting |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Relational } \\ & \text { Terms } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Numerical Concept |  | Conposite |  |  |  |  | Complex Matching |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reverse! } \\ & \text { Eroors } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| School | N | I | $\underline{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 |  | $\underline{?}$ | 3 | I | $\underline{2}$ | T | ? | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\underline{2}$ | 3 | $\underline{\square}$ | 3 |
| National Sample | 934 | 18 | 64 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 62 | 38 | 70 | 30 | 1 | 93 | 19* | 51 | 27 | 2 | 1 | 57 | 43 | 22 |  |
| Systern | 476 | 12 | 61 | 18 | 9 | 0 | 49 | 51 | 48 | 52 | 6 | 94 | 13 | 44 | 39 | 4 | 0 | 43 | 57 | 92 | 8 |

Area 1
$\begin{array}{lrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}\text { Bankhead } & 23 & 4 & 35 & 43 & 17 & 0 & 52 & 48 & 22 & 78 & 4 & 96 & 4 & 39 & 52 & 4 & 0 & 35 & 65 & 83 & 17 \\ \text { Kennedy } & 91 & 21 & 59 & 14 & 6 & 0 & 41 & 59 & 55 & 45 & 16 & 84 & 30 & 54 & 16 & 0 & 0 & 74 & 26 & 100 & 0 \\ \text { Cideons } & 37 & 0 & 49 & 30 & 21 & 0 & 30 & 70 & 19 & 81 & 3 & 97 & 10 & 18 & 62 & 10 & 0 & 23 & 77 & 92 & 8\end{array}$

## Area II

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { Joncs, J. M. } & 23 & 13 & 79 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 78 & 22 & 57 & 43 & 13 & \$ 7 & 9 & 39 & 39 & 13 & 0 & 35 & 65 & 83 & 17\end{array}$
Area III
Ardter $\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccc}8 & 25 & 75 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 100 & 0 & 87 & 13 & 0 & 100 & 13 & 81 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 87 & 13 & 100 & 0 \\ 23 & 0 & 61 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 70 & 26 & 74 & 0 & 100 & 9 & 35 & 56 & 0 & 0 & 26 & 74 & 91 & 9\end{array}$ Area IV

| Burgess | 27 | 4 | 89 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 41 | 74 | 26 | , | 100 | 0 | 42 | 55 | , | 0 | 29 | 71 | 97 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dunbar | 38 | 0 | 50 | 26 | 24 | 0 | 29 | 71 | 18 | 82 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 50 | 39 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 88 | 9. | 5 |
| Foumain | 42 | 21 | 64 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 69 | 31 | 69 | 31 | 12 | 88 | 31 | 43 | 24 |  | 0 | 6) | 31 | 45 | 5 |
| Cordon | 25 | 28 | 52 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 36 | 52 | 48 | 8 | 92 | 12 | 64 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 44 | 35 | 12 |
| Jones, M. A. | 20 | 10 | 75 | '1.5 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 40 | 65 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 38 | 10 | 0 | 43 | 57 | 36 | 14 |
| Kirkwood | 27 | 4 | 67 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 41 | 59 | 37 | 63 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 29 | 64 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 82 | 8 | 13 |
| Mccill | 21 | 0 | 86 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 33 | 62 | 67 | 33 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 58 | 29 | 13 | 0 | 42 | 33 | 9 | 4 |
| Slaton | 16 | 25 | 56 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 56 | 44 | 63 | 37 | 13 | 87 | 31 | 31 | 31 |  | 0 | 63 | 37 | \$7 | 13 |
| Toumer | 23 | 0 | 35 | 25 | 39 | 0 | 4 | 96 | 13 | 87 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 26 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 93 | 17 |
| Wesley | 31 | 0 | 68 | 19 | 13 | 0 | 42 | 58 | 29 | 71 | 0 | 100 | 19 | 55 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 53 | 100 | 0 |
| Whiteford | 41 | 34 | 61 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 22 | 83 | 17 | 15 | 85 | 9 | 56 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 57 | 96 | 4 |

T- lery coinpetent
2-Generally competent
3-Probably needs further instruction
4-Appears to lack coripetence
S-Instrument may not have provided appropriate measurement
*Sarnple size-250
N -Number of participants

TABLE 5
TIFLE XX CIRCUS SUBTESTS MEANS

| School | How Much and How Many |  | Look Alikes |  | How Words Sound |  | $\frac{\text { See and }}{N}$ | Remembe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Mean | N | Mean | N | Mean |  | Mean |
| National Sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black | 105 | 24.95 | 23 | * | 66 | 36.17 | 113 | * |
| White** | 808 | 28.59 | 221 | !9.5 | 222 | 37.99 | 243 | 14.70 |
| High SES | 413 | 29.71 | 117 | 20.6 | 128 | 37.85 | 127 | 14.47 |
| Middle SES | 375 | 27.59 | 84 | 18.8 | 130 | 37.37 | 92 | 14.98 |
| Low SES | 146 | 24.49 | 49 | 16.1 | 42 | 36.21 | 41 | 14.51 |
| Atlanta Public Schoo.s | 477 | 28.14 | 490 | 17.89 | 477 | 35.32 | 428 | 15.19 |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bankhead | 23 | 21.61 | 23 | 16.65 | 23 | 33.13 | 19 | 11.53 |
| Kennedy | 51 | 27.92 | 54 | 20.89 | 54 | 35.67 | 4\% | 16.58 |
| Area 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gideons | 38 | 21.29 | 39 | 15.31 | 35 | 37.63 | 38 | 15.21 |
| Jones, J. M. | 23 | 23.78 | 23 | 16.17 | 23 | 37.39 | 25 | 12.16 |
| Area 1II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Archer | 8 | 33.13 | 8 | 20.63 | 8 | 41.13 | 8 | 18.00 |
| Pitts | 23 | 23.74 | 23 | 16.43 | 23 | 36.13 | 20 | 11.30 |
| Area IV ....rnammor. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burgess | 27 | 28.59 | 31 | 15.81 | 27 | 40.15 | 1. | 15, 3 |
| Dunbar | 38 | 25.76 | 38 | 17.13 | 38 | 36.21 | 38 | 13.21 |
| Fountain | 42 | 29.57 | 42 | 20.52 | 42 | $38.8 \%$ | 37 | 16.76 |
| Gordon | 25 | 23.40 | 25 | 18.96 | 24 | 40.04 | 18 | 17.33 |
| Jones, M. A. | 20 | 27.85 | 21 | 17.14 | 20 | 36.25 | 19 | 13.63 |
| Kirkwood | 27 | 23.70 | 28 | 14.96 | 27 | 36.78 | 27 | 13.15 |
| McGill | 21 | 24.48 | 24 | 17.38 | 23 | 33.91 | 24 | 15.33 |
| Slaton | 16 | 23.38 | 14 | 19.06 | 16 | 40.06 | 17 | 14.88 |
| Toomer | 23 | 18.39 | 23 | 13.74 | 23 | 35.09 | 22 | 15.27 |
| Wesley | 31 | 24.32 | 31 | 19.00 | 31 | 39.39 | 28 | 18.71 |
| Whitefoord | 41 | 32.97 | 43 | 20.05 | 40 | 40.47 | 24 | 15.21 |

*Numbers too small to yield meaningful data.
"*"Spanish" and "Other" not given separately because of small numbers, but are included in appropriate SES.
.-11-

Conments of teachers using Circus measures are suminarized below:
a. The instruments were administered by boith teachers and aides to mall groups ranging in size from three to five inembers.
b. Weak points in the administration of the measures
(I) Group testing was difficult lor this age. Chiidren had difticuliy working independently.
(2) Measures were too long and required too much time to administer.
(3) Children had difficulty handling booklets and keeping up with the testor.
(4) Brown background was objectionable to the teachers.
(5) Soine pictures were complex and, in sone instances, too cluttered, particularly in the measure How Much and How Many.
c. Strong points
(I) More than one area was evaluated.
(2) Children enjoyed the assessinent exercises.
(3) Directionis were easy, and the pictures were familiar.
(4) Repretition o! pictures and terminology made the administraticn easier.
(s) A high level of observation was required.
(6) The results could be used as a guide for planning detuvities.
(7) Scoring of measures gave immedate feedback to teachers.

Teachers were asked to submit an outtine of activities planned ior children alter the scores were tabulated for all subtests and sentence reports returned to the schools. These outlines are on file in the Division of Research and Evaluation.

The major drawoick en the continued ise of Circus. neasures is the cost per chilo and ties rine inolved in giving each measure. Also, Circus is designed primarity for kindergarten ochildoen and does not include mensures for social and physical development which are so imporiant in the trainimg the terekindergarten child.

To determine it the kind of assessinent instruniens used inade a difference in student achievenent, the day care schools were divided into three groups, and scores obtained on the Coonerative Preschool Inventory (CPSI) were cornpared. (Details on the use of the CPSI are given in the next section of the report.)

CPSI Mean Scores

| STEPS Schools | 47 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Circus Schools | 49 |
| Others |  |
| 1 |  |

The grouping was based on the assessmna: instrument used. The "other" group included those schools that participated in the National Day Care Study from October 1975 through May 1976. This study is designed to deternine the elfects of stafl/child ratios and professionalisis on the development of day care thildren. During the year, the children were adninistered the CPSt, Matching Familiar Figures, and McCarthy Scalcs of Children's Abilities-Verbal Mernory,

The differences in mean scores for each groulp are not statisticaily significant, and the differences that do cxist camnot be attributed to the assessment instrument since: the inean scores for the three groups did not change from the previous yest.

Obvisusly, a decision on what assecsstien instrumber :would ite best to use could not be made on the basis of the pilot study; therefore, ihe director, resource personnel, and represcntative day care teachers are working with the Early Childiood Curriculum Committec to refine and conplicte a Skills and! Concepts Continuum tiat can be used as a better basis for deciding on the tupe of assessinent that will be used. The STEPS kit will continue to be used as a part of the curriculum in 12 centers during the upcoming year, and some Circus measures will be used if funds are available.
2. Cooperative Preschool Inventury

One of the objectives for the year was that day care students entering kindergarten would score higher on the CPSI than students who had not attended day care. The CPSI was chosen for comparison siace it was to be adninistered in Septenber 1976 to all students entering kindergarten in the Atlanta Public Sctiools. This instrument is used to determine the level of a child's learning experience prior io entering kindergarten.

The areas covered on the CPSI are shown in Table 6 and Table 7 by the categories shown below. Table 6 gives data for Title XX Students, and data for non-Title XX students are given in

## Table 7.

1. Personal - Social Responsiveness
A. Sell-Awareness
B. Aware of parts of body
C. Following one-order instructions
D. Forming position concepts (prepositions)
II. Associative Vocabulary
A. Identifying relationships
B. Directional concepts
III. Activation of Numerical Concepts
A. Number concepts
B. Comparing concepts
C. Ordinal concepts
D. Number conservation
IV. Activation of Sensory Concepts
A. Geometric configurations
B. Visual-motor tasks
C. Culor and shape attributes

The performance for both Title $X X$ and non-Title $X X$ students in Title XX schools is suminarized in Table 8. In this table, data are given for the fryt mojor studidistions along with the total score for each school. Data are compared only for schools with Title XX programs since the students in these schools would come from families of conparable incurne levels and would be from similar cuminunities.

Of the 29 schools for which comprative data were available, the "ïtle XX student performance was higher than that for the nonTitle XX students in 25 schools. The average toral score for Title XX students was 49.3, and for non-Title XX students it was 43.2. Studerits periormed highest in sensory concepts and lowest in nuiserical concepts for hoth groups.

The scores for both groups of students changed very little from 1974-75 when the average score was 49.2 for Title XX students and 43.3 tor non-Title $X X^{\prime}$ students.' Day care students from Towns continued to be the highest perforning yroup with average scores of 56.0 and 57.3 for $1974-75$ and $1975-76$, respectively.
3. Follow-Up Study of Day Care Porticipants

In previous years, all kindergarten stwoents were adminitered the Basecheck at the beginning of kindergarten to deternine pupil readiness and again at the end of the year to deternine pupil growth. For the two years that data were gathered, it was determined that sudents who had been enrolled in day care scored significantly higher on Basecheck than those who had no day care experience, However, it was lound that the differense was canceled by the end of the kindergarten year in all but a very few cases.

A concerted effort was launched, as a resull, to go bark and locate as many sturdents as possible who had becen in the diay care program since the 1972-73 school year and to conpare the performance of these students with that of their peers. Four-yearold students who were enrolled in day care in 1972-73 would have been in the second grade during 1975-76. Those enrolled in day care in 1973-74 would have been in the first grade in 1975-76. The

#  



 wow-ttite xa students

| 1 PFRSTMAL-SOCIAL | If associative | ifi activation op | iv mentuatioy on | TfST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| resporstyenfs | vecabliary | NUMEYTCNL COMCEPYS | sfysmy cowcrama | TOTAL |
| gufs, 1-18 | OUES. 19-30 | DIIFS. 31.48 |  |  |


\# W:I lizy DATA


| Sin:4n | {Tut. $\$ viedents} & \multicolumn{6}{\|c|}{Non-Title II veluents} \hline & Nuniser & $\begin{gathered} \text { nesonl } \\ \text { nncial } \end{gathered}$ & Viectrill ir & $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nont } \\ & \text { Comicepts } \end{aligned}$ & 禺 & Tots & Nurnber & $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cew. } \\ & \text { Suc.,.11 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ &  Vociabulary & $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. irionit } \\ & \text { comespets } \end{aligned}$ & Comaryconcents & Tutal \hline Total fonvible Store & & 13.0 & 12.1 & 18.0 & 10.0 & 64.0 & & 13.5 & 12.0 & 18.0 & 16.0 & 64.0 \hline \multicolumn{13}{\|l|}{Ared 1} \hline Banthesad & 16 & 13.1 & 7.4 & 10.2 & 11.8 & 42.4 & - & -- & -- & 10. & 119 & - \hline Bethune & 23 & 15.2 & 8.6 & 11.1 & 14.2 & 49.1 & 23 & 14.3 & 8.0 & 10.9 & 11.9 & 43.3 \hline Carter & 19 & 13.3 & 0.1 & 11.1 & 12.0 & 43.0 & 42 & $12 . ?$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5.2 | 9.8 | 11.1 | 38.3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16 | 14.9 | 6.8 | 10.3 | 12.4 | 44.9 | $2 ;$ | 13.9 | 7.1 | 11.6 | 12.3 | 44.9 |
| English Avenus | $: 3$ | 13.7 | 7.3 | 10.0 | 12.7 | 43.7 | 69 | 12.3 | 5.6 | 9.5 | 11.4 | 38.3 |
| Kennedy | 4 | 14.2 | 3.0 | 12.3 | 13.4 | 47.3 | $\cdots$ | --9 | -7 | - | 13.0 | 44.5 |
| Stanton, F. L. | 21 | 16.4 | 9.2 | 12.1 | 14.0 | 52.1 | 31 | 14.9 | 6.6 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 44.5 |
| Towns | 14 | 16.4 | 10.2 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 97.3 | 30 | 14.7 | 8.5 | 12.0 | 12.5 | 47.8 |
| Ware | 31 | 13.9 | 9.2 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 47.6 | 29 | 12.1 | 7.9 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 41.7 |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Campbell | 12 | 14.3 | 6.3 | 10.9 | 12.5 | 44.5 | 52 | 13.1 | 5.4 | 9.8 | 11.1 | 39.4 |
| Crogman | 20 | 16.6 | 9.3 | 13.0 | 14.3 | 53.9 | 13 | 15.2 | 7.5 | 13.5 | 13.2 | 49.5 |
| Gideuns | 37 | 15.8 | 8.3 | 11.6 | 14.1 | 51.9 | 46 | 15.9 | 8.1 | 11.7 | 12.5 | 47.3 |
| Jones, J. M. | 19 | 14.4 | 7.6 | 10.7 | 12.5 | 45.6 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 50.- |
| Pryor | 9 | 14.5 | 7.6 | 11.4 | 13.9 | 47.7 | 27 | 15.9 | 8.0 | 13.0 | 13.6 | 50.5 |
| Slater | 39 | 16.1 | 9.3 | 12.2 | 13.8 | 32.4 | 64 | 14.9 | 7.5 | 10.0 | 12.1 | 44.4 |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Archer | 7 | 14.3 | 9.1 | 12.7 | 14.9 | 51.0 | -- | -- | -9 | 110 | 13 | 45. |
| Hill | 18 | 13.3 | 5.9 | 10.6 | 13.5 | 43.9 | 31 | 13.8 | 6.9 | 11.0 | 13.6 | 43.4 |
| Pitts | 19 | 13.4 | 7.3 | 11.4 | 13.2 | 45.9 | 92 | 11.7 | 6.4 | 8.5 9.4 | 11.2 | 37.8 45.3 |
| Wiltians | 22 | 15.2 | 8.2 | 10.7 | 13.6 | 47.7 | $20^{\circ}$ | 14.9 | 8.0 | 9.4 | 13.0 | 45.3 |
| Area IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burgess | 13 | 15.2 | 9.1 | 12.6 | 15.1 | 51.9 | - 3/1 | 14.0 | 7.1 | 10.8 | 11.6 | 43.4 |
| Cook | 35 | 15.9 | 9.0 | 10.8 | 14.4 | 50.1 | 44 | 14.8 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 12.9 | 44.7 |
| Duribar | 32 | 16.9 | 9.2 | 15.1 | 14.9 | 56.1 | 63 | 13.9 | 7.0 | 10.2 | 11.7 | 41.9 |
| Eas: Lake | 15 | 14.1 | 10.2 | 12.1 | 13.1 | 49.4 | 42 | 11.9 | 6.4 | 10.3 | 11.8 | 40.4 |
| Fountain | 29 | 14.3 | 7.7 | 11.9 | 13.7 | 48.1 | 61 | 12.9 | 7.2 | 10.1 | 13.0 | 43.2 |
| Gordon | 25 | 15.4 | 8.8 | 12.3 | 13.2 | 49.7 | 34 | 12.3 | 7.3 | 10.4 | 11.1 | 41.1 |
| Grant Park Prinnary | 6 | 15.2 | 16.2 | 11.8 | 15.0 | 52.2 | -- | 14.2 | 7.5 | 10.1 | 12.8 | 44.7 |
| Hubert | 13 | 15.8 | 9.7 | 13.2 | 14.0 | 52.6 | 25 | 14.2 | 7.5 | 10.1 | 12.8 | 44.7 43.7 |
| Jones, M. A. | 16 | 16.8 | 8.9 | 13.6 | 14.9 | 54.1 | 32 | 14.0 | 7.5 | 10.2 | 12.0 13.8 | 43.7 53.5 |
| Kirkwood | 25 | 15.7 | 9.4 | 12.7 | 14.2 | 52.0 | 39 | 16.7 | 9.7 | 13.4 | 13.8 | 53.5 |
| McGill | 25 | 16.2 | 9.0 | 12.2 | 14.6 | 52.0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Reynoids | 12 | 17.1 | 10.1 | 14.6 | 15.3 | 57.0 | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | 11.5 | 42.0 |
| Slaton | 19 | 14.9 | 7.7 | 10.5 | 13.1 | 46.1 | 27 | 14.4 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 11.5 | 42.0 |
| Stanton, D. H. | 17 | 15.4 | 7.9 | 13.4 | 14.7 | 51.4 | 43 | 13.2 | 7.4 | 9.2 | 12.3 | 42.1 |
| Toomer | 17 | 14.1 | 8.2 | 10.0 | 13.6 | 45.9 | 47 | 13.4 | 7.3 | 10.1 | 11.9 12.0 | 42.6 |
| Tesley | 24 | 15.3 | 3.0 | 10.3 | 14.2 | 47.8 | 19 | 14.9 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 12.0 | 42.5 |
| Whitefoord | 26 | 14.6 | 6.9 | 1:.2 | 13.8 | 46.5 | $\cdots$ | -1 | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Total | 758 | 15.2 | 8.4 | 12.0 | 13.8 | 49.3 | 1,214 | 13.6 | 7.2 | 10.3 | 12.1 | 43.2 |

Lowa Tests of Basic Skills (TTBS) scores for these itudents are given
in Table 9. The first and second grade scores are given for the 1972-73 day care participants and the first grade score for the 1973-74 day care participan:s.

The data have been presented in several different groups to get a comparative analysis of the performance of the Atlanta Public Schools' day care students who have remained in the School System. In 1974-75, there were scores lor 5,924 lirst grade students and tor 5,899 second grade students. In 1972-73, 491 ot these first graders and 417 of the secomd graders were in day care. The grade equiralent and the age aclievernent quotient for the day care and non-day care students are the same for both grades one and two. This represen's the basic group of students that has been divided into dillerent categories for further analysis.

Group 1. These sudents were in scliools with Title XX centers. The assumption was made thai these stovents wer: loora sinilar socioeconomic backgrounds and from similar communities. Although those students who were in day care scored higher than those who were nut, the difference was not statistically significant.

Group 11. Students who were in schools without day care centers. (Group I plus Group II equal the basic group.) The difference between day care and non-day care participants is not signilicant, but it is of interest to note that the day care participants did perforin slightly lower when placed in different environments.

Group til. The scores of day care participants who have remsined in day care schools are corripared with the scores of day care participants who have translerred to non'-day care schools. This group is extracted froin Group I and Group II. Although the scores for the students who are no longer in day care schools are lower, the differencee is not signilicani.

Group IV. Similar comparisons were made for non-day care participants who translerred to day care schools. The scores of the non-day care participants who translerred to Title XX sctools did not change.

Group V. A further division was made of the basic group to identify those students whose fanilises were recciving Nid for Families with Dependent Children (AFDC). Unlortulutely, AFDC rolls for 1975 had to be used, which ineans that a sizedble portion of the sample could liave been lost. In other words, it was not possible to identify which students were on welfare in 1972. Only those fanilies that were on wellare in 1975 could be identified. The AFDC recipients who were in day cate soned hifther than those not in day care although the difference was nor statistically significant.

On the right-hand side of Table 9 , the same kind of data are presented for students who were in diay care in 1973-74. This group would lave been in the first grade in 1975-76. The comparisons are the same in the basic group where scores for the students who were in day care are the same as for those who did not attend day care. Any differences that appear in further divisions of this basic group were not significant.

Two impertant factors should be considered before the data are discarded as useless. First of all, it has been possible to only separate those students who have been in the Atlanta Public Schools' day care. It was not possible to take out students who have had other day care since prekindergarten experience is not recorded on the student's master file.

Secondly, it must be remenbered that all Titte $X X$ ssudents started out at a low sociscconomic level since this is a condition lor eligibility. Since these sluderits pertorin as well as other students at a higher SES, nore reseroch is mexded to de: rume if day care has inade the difference, or it inust be deternined if SES is a lactor that affects achievement at the lower grade levels.
4. Monthly Progran Coals and Special Activities

Each month the day care, expanded day, and extended day teachers are required to submit nonthly goals and special activities planned to meet these goals. The activities reported are not the regular instructional activities but supplemental or enrichment activities such as field trips, special art projects, or visits to the center of community workers like officer Friendy.

TABI.E 9

## !OWA TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS (ITBS) RESULTS

## FOR TITLE XX DAY CARE PARTICIPANTS

|  | 1972-73 Participants |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { 1973-74 Participants }}{\text { Grade }}$$1975-76$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grade I } \\ & 1974-75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grade } 2 \\ & 1975-76 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N | GE | AAQ | N | GE | AAQ | N | GE | $\overline{A Q Q}$ |
| Day Care Participants | 491 | 1.8 | 104.5 | 417 | 2.4 | 98.4 | 601 | 1.9 | 106.0 |
| Non-Day Care Participants | 5,433 | 1.8 | 104.2 | 4,482 | 2.4 | 97.8 | 4,444 | 1.9 | 105.3 |

I. Title XX Schools

Day Care Participants
Non-Day Care Participants

| 383 | 1.9 | 105.1 | 293 | 2.4 | 98.6 | 465 | 2.0 | 106.9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1,418 | 1.8 | 103.6 | 1,109 | 2.3 | 96.6 | 1,180 | 1.8 | 103.1 |

1. Non-Title XX Schools

Day Care Participants
Non-Day Care Participants
hay Care Participants
Day Care Schools
Non-Day Care Schools
V. Non-Day-Care Participants

Day Care Schools
Non-Day Care Schools
AFDC Recipients
Day Care Participants
Non-Day Care Participants

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
1,418 & 1.8 & 103.6 & 1,109 & 2.3 & 96.6 & 1,180 & 1.8 & 103.1 \\
4,015 & 1.8 & 104.4 & 3,073 & 2.4 & 98.2 & 3,264 & 1.9 & 106.1
\end{array}
$$

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}214 & 1.8 & 104.1 & 185 & 2.2 & 96.4 & 306 & 1.8 & 105.0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}1,711 & 1.6 & 100.5 & 1,471 & 2.1 & 93.3 & 1,497 & 1.7 & 101.5\end{array}$

The goals and auwivities submitted by the day care teachers are being cornpiled by the Rlescarch and Evaluation Division of the Atlanta Public Schools for detailed study by the day care staff. This compilation should serve as a basis lor the examination of curriculum practices and problems. It can be used to answer specific questicns such as:

1. Are the day care centers, in general, working toward the same curriculum goals?
2. Are the desired skills being included?
3. Is there heary enphasis in one area to the exclusion of another? (i.e., heavy cognitive emphasis versus weak social development)
4. Are, special activities correlated with goals?

If this examination of day care goals and activities proves effective, the study will be continued to include expanded and extended day. A sample of the extended day goals and activities is included later in this report under Progran Goal IV.

Program Coal II- To enhance positive attitudes toward school attendance.
In urder for the State to reimburse the Title XX Progran for its full expendi'ures, the per cent of attendance inust be maintained at 85 per cent or higlier. Since the attendance forwarded to the State can include excused absences, no center has ever reported attendance below 85 per cent for payment purposes.

The per cent of attendance shown in Table 10 was conputed without including, excused absences to give a more accurate picture of the actual number of students present each day. The average daily attendance (ADA) represents the average nuinber of students present each day, and the average daily membership (ADM) is the average enroliment lor the day.

Twenty-twe of the 36 day care centers had an attendance below 85 per cunt, and tiree of the 30 expanded day centers were below 85 per cent. A high abience rate is not unusual for this age group, but attention should be called to the six centers where the average number of absences par day is nine or more. This absence rate is the equivalent of one staff person who would be needed and is provided for in the budget to maintain a required $1: 9$
stait/child ratio. Centers are now bcing required to study carefully the attendance patterns so that stafl adjusturents can be made in the Dudget.

To deternine if the day care studd have developed a nore positive attitude toward school attendance, the daily attendance for the older students in extended day was examined and cornpared to the attendance of the non-Title XX students in Table 11. The per cent of attendance for the extended day centers listed below was equal to or greater than that for the regular school.

| Area I | Area Il | Area III | Area IV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bethune | Campbell | Pitts | Cook |
| Catter | Slater | Williams | Dunbar |
| Craddock |  |  | Fountain |
| Stanton, F. L. |  |  | Hubert |
| Ware |  |  | Kirkwood |
|  |  |  | McCill |
|  |  |  | Slaton |
|  |  |  | Stunton, D. H. |

Even nore important than the attendance is the average daily membership or enrollment which gives the average number of slots filled. If the enrollment is below 85 per cent, adjustunent will be needed in slot allocations and will result in staff and budget reductions. The following centers had difficulty maintaining the requested enrollment during the year.

| Day Care |  | Expanded Day |  | Extended Day |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoo! | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per Cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Enroll- } \\ \text { ment } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | School | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per Cent } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Enroill- } \\ & \text { ment } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Schisol | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per Cont } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Enroll- } \\ & \text { nent } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| East Lake | 86 | East Lake | 75 | Craddock | 80 |
| Grant Park Primary | 83 | Fountain | 80 | Ware | 82 |
| Kirkwood | 79 | Ware | 83 | Jones, J. M. | $7!$ |
| Williams | 86 | Wesley | 62 | Williams | 85 |
|  |  |  |  | Hubert | 82 |

TABLE 10

## attendance for title xx day care and expanneld day

OCTOEER 1，1975－SE［TLE：UER 30， 1976

| Echool | Day Care |  |  |  |  | Expanded Day |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Slots Allocated | ADA | ADM． |  | Average No． of Excused Absences／Day | Slots Allocated | ADA | ADM | Per Cent Atten－ dance | Average iso． of Excused Absences／Day |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bankhead | 34 | 28 | 34 | 82 | 6 | － | $\overline{17}$ | － | $\overline{85}$ | － |
| Bethune | 55 | 42 | 53 | 79 | 11 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 85 | 3 |
| Carter | 34 | 29 | 34 | 86 | 5 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 85 | 3 |
| Craodock | 32 | 24 | 30 | 81 | 6 | 17 | 13 | 15 | 88 | 2 |
| English Avenue | 40 | 32 | 39 | 82 | 7 | 24 | 18 | 24 | 76 | 6 |
| Kennedy | 100 | 78 | 98 | 79 | 20 | － |  | 21 | 87 | － |
| Stanton，F．L． | 36 | 33 | 36 | 91 | 3 | 21 | 18 | 21 | 87 | 3 |
| Towns | 37 | 32 | 37 | 87 | 5 | 25 | 21 | 25 | 86 | 4 |
| Ware | 72 | 62 | 68 | 91 | 6 | 35 | 27 | 29 | 92 | － 2 |
| Total | 440 | 360 | 429 | 84 | 69 | 162 | 131 | 154 | 85 | 23 |
| － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Campbell | 40 | 35 | 40 | 86 | 5 | 30 | 24 | 28 | 86 |  |
| Croginan | 24 | 21 | 24 | $\because 87$ $\because \quad 83$ | 3 | 25 | 21 | 25 | 86 | 4 |
| Gideons | 45 | 38 | 45 | $\therefore 83$ | 7 | 33 | 27 | 33 | 82 | 6 |
| Jones，J．M． | 40 | 28 | 37 | 76 | 9 | 19 | 13 | 18 | 76 83 | 5 |
| Pryor | 40 | 32 | 38 | 85 | 6 | 30 | 23 | 28 | 83 | 5 |
| Slater | 74 | 64 | 74 | 87 | 10 | 40 | 36 | 40 | 90 | 4 |
| Total | 263 | 218 | 258 | 84 | 40 | 177 | 144 | 172 | 84 | 28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hill | 38 | 30 | 37 | 80 |  | 22 | 19 | 22 | 88 | 3 |
| Pitts | 38 | 34 | 38 | 89 | － 4 | 35 | 23 | 35 | 79 | 7 |
| Williams | 43 | 30 | 37 | 8 ？ | 7 | － | － | － | － | － |
| Archer | 23 | 21 | 23 | $\underline{92}$ | 2 | 二 | 二 | $=$ | 二 | － |
| Total | 142 | 115 | 135 | 85 | 20 | 57 | 49 | 57 | 82 | 10 |
| Area IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burgess | 44 | 36 | 44 | 79 | 8 | $\overline{40}$ | 35 | 39 |  | 4 |
| Cook | 90 | 82 | 90 | 91 | 8 | 40 31 | 35 29 | 39 31 | 91 93 | 2 |
| Dunbar | 43 | 38 | 43 | 89 | 5 | 31 16 | 29 11 | 31 12 | 93 88 | 1 |
| East l．ake | 35 | 26 | 30 | 87 | 4 | 16 | 11 | 32 | 88 88 | 4 |
| Fountain | 59 | 52 | 59 | 89 | 7 | 44 | 316 | 19 19 | 88 86 | 3 |
| Gordon | 34 | 28 | 33 | 35 | 5 | 20 | 16 | 19 | 86 86 | 2 |
| Grant Park Primary | 29 | 21 | 24 | 84 | 3 | 14 | 10 | 12 | 86 84 | 4 |
| Hubert | 29 | 22 | 29 | 78 | 7 | 27 | 20 | 15 | 88 | 3. |
| Jones，M．A． | 38 | 33 | 37 | 88 | 4 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 88 89 | 2 |
| Kirkwood | 47 | 31 | 37 | 83 | 6 | 20 | 15 | 19 17 | 88 | 2 |
| McGill | 29 | 25 | 29 | 85 | 4 | 18 | 15 | 18 | 87 | 3 |
| Reynolds | 28 | 23 | 27 | 85 | 4 | 20 | 15 | 19 | 87 | 3 |
| Slaton | 29 | 20 | 23 | 89 | 3 | 20 | 16 | 30 | 85 | 4 |
| Stanton，D．H． | 34 | $2!$ | 21 | 83 | 3 | 30 | 26 | 3 ？ |  |  |
| Toomer | 41 | 35 | 41 | 85 | 6 | － | 14 | 16 | 38 |  |
| Wesley | 74 | $\bigcirc$ | 74 | 33 | 12 | 26 30 | 14 | 16 | S3 | 4 |
| Whitefoord | 60 | 6 | 54 | s！ | 10 | 30 | $\underline{22}$ | $2{ }^{2}$ | S3 | 4 |
| Total | 743 | S99 | 698 | 3 St | －サ | 372 | 290 | 332 | 870 | 42 |
| Total 111 centers | 1，538 | $1.2 \% 2$ | 1，520 | 35 | 5228 | 768 | 612 | 715 | 86 | 103 |

ADA－Average Daily Attondance．
ADM－Average Daily Nembership．
Centers without data do not have expanded day．

TABLE 11
ATTENDANCE FOR TITLE XX EXTENDED DAY SEPTEMBER 2, 1975 - JUNE 8, 1976

| School | Slots Allocated | ADA | ADM | Title XX Per Cent Attendance | Non-Title XX Per Cent of Attendance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bethune | 45 | 39 | 41 | 96 | - 93 |
| Carter | 30 | 30 | 30 | 94 | 93 |
| Craddock | 35 | 27 | 28 | 95 | 92 |
| English Avenue | 30 | 24 | 29 | 82 | 93 |
| Kennedy | 50 | 45 | 50 | 89 | 91 |
| Stanton, F. L. | 40 | 38 | 40 | 94 | 93 |
| Towns | 40 | 36 | 38 | 93 | 95 |
| Ware | 50 | 40 | 41 | 98 | 95 |
| Total | 320 | 279 | 297 | 94 | 93 |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Campbell | 30 | 27 | 30 | 91 | 91 |
| Crogman | 40 | 33 | 40 | 81 | 93 |
| Gideons | 40 | 36. | 40 | 89 | 92 |
| 'Jones, J. M. | 52 | $31^{\circ}$ | 37 | 84 | 92 |
| Pryor | 80 | 71 | 79 | 90 | 92 |
| Slater | 70 | 66. | 70 | 95 | $\underline{94}$ |
| Total | 312 | 264 | 296 | 89 | 92 |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hill | 30 | 26 | 29 | 91 | 93 |
| Pitts | 30 | 29 | 30 | 96 | 90 |
| Williams | 40 | 32 | 34 | 95 | 92 |
| Total | 100 | 87 | 93 | 94 | 92 |
| Area IV |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cook | 30 | 27 | 29 | 92 | 92 |
| Dunbar | $61^{\circ}$ | 59 | 61 | 97 | 93 |
| Fountain | 30 | 28 | 29 | 98 | 93 |
| Gordon | 30 | 24 | 26 | 93 | 94 |
| Hubert | 60 | 47 | 49 | 95 | 94 |
| Jones, M. A. | 30 | 25 | 27 | 92 | 93 |
| Kirkwood | 40 | 37 | 38 | 96 | 95 |
| McGill | 50 | 43 | 46 | 93 | 92 |
| Reynolds | 40 | 35 | 40 | 88 | 93 |
| Slaton | 80 | 69 | 73 | 95 | 92 |
| Stanton, D. H. | 100 | 83 | 89 | 93 | 93 |
| Toomer | 40 | 34 | 37 | 91 | 93 |
| Wesley | 50 | 43 | -47 | $\underline{92}$ | 93 |
| Total | 641 | 554 | 591 | 94 | 93 |
| Total All Centers | 1,3,3 | 1,184 | i,27\% | 93 | 93 |

ADA - Average Daily Attendance.
ADM - Average Daily Nombership.

TABLE 12
ATTENDANCE FOR TITLE XX EXPANDED DAY
JUNE 9, 1976 - AUGUST 27, 1976


Area II

| Campbell | 30 | 18 | 25 | 83 | 69 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crogman | 25 | 17 | 24 | 96 | 71 |
| Gideons | 33 | 19 | 33 | 100 | 57 |
| Jones, J. M. | 19 | 5 | 14 | 74 | 34 |
| Pryor | 30 |  | 12 | 22 | 73 |
| Slater | $\underline{40}$ | $\underline{33}$ | $\underline{40}$ | $\underline{100}$ | 56 |
| Total |  | 177 | 104 | 158 | 89 |



ADA -- Average Daily Attendance.
ADN - Average Daily ivemberslip.

Sum ner anroliments for expanded day were another source of concern. Twelve cl the 30 centers had enrollments below 85 per cent, and 11 of these were bel.3w 75 per cent." Not only was the enrollment low, but so was the attendan:e. A comparison of the ADA and ADM in Table 12 shows very few schools with acceptable attendance. Only Cook and Dunbar had enrollinents and attendance above 85 pei cent. These data on enrolliment and attendance patien ndicated that expanded day service was not a critical nevd during the sumner mionths when older brothers and sisters were home to care for the youn jer cliildren.

Program Cool III - To To extend the day oi the school-aged child with relaxing activitie; that reinforce the academic school day, to provide training in constructive use of leisure tine, and to pronote the inprovemelit of both adult anl. peer interaction.

Program Coal IV - To acquaint the participarits with 'ree and inexpensive commun ty resources which can add an extra dimension to his education and help the lamily plan constructive use of leisure time.

The ie two goals are directed primarily toward expanded and extended day whith involve the school-aged child. It is a challenge to the staft in these pr grams to provide the children with learning but relaxing activities. The act vities are either related specifically to the goal of the month or to Gosl IV nentioned previously.

Eari) month, the tencher is required to report the goals set for the month and the special activities planned to help mect these goals. A sampie of the kinds of goals and activitics completed in extenced day are summar zed below. Similar activities are reported ior expanded day. No method has yut been devised to determine how successiul the programs are. Comple'e recorts are on file in the Division of Reseaich and Evaluation.

SAMPLE OF FXTENDED DAY PROCRAM ;OALS AND ACTIVITIES

Goals . Activities
Area I Schools
Bethune Arts and Craits - Making pocketbooks, doll clothing and napkins Iroin burlap cloth. Special attention to homework assistance.

Carter To strengthen math and reading skills through tutoring and games. To assist in development of good study habits.

Craddock To tirect activities in the areas of reading, puppet show, and arithmetic gannes. To motivate pupils in meaningful social activities and singing games.

English Ave. Identilying and naming different Atlinta landmarks with the use of maps.

Stanton, F. L. To continuer to emphasize the Biemtemial through reading and nath activities, as well as field trips. To demonstrate responsibility and tair play during recreational activities.

Towns To provide enrichnent in arts, handicrafts, garnes, and reading.

Preparation for Halloween by making masks and building "Spook House" for day care children.

Class presented puppet show to day care and expanded day classes. Previous days were spent learning to manage puppets, decorate props, and construct the stage.

Parents visited the Center. for the Special May Day Progran.

Field Trip - Tour of Alanta: The Omni Inerrational Hotel; Martin L. King, Jr. Yemorial; Atlanta University Corter; Piedinont Parki and the Stace Capitol.

Field trip to the Cyclorania and Underground Atlanta.

The childeren wers introduced to collages and inontages. Students Irom Atlenta Ares Terchical School insiructed the class.

Campbel!

Gideors
'Area Ill 'ichoo's

Pitts

Williams To work closely with slow to one hundred.
learning experience wlether
it is utoring in an acidernic
subject or reinforcing aca-:
denic skills in gannes or free
play.

To make each experience a learning experience whether it is tutoring in an aciderinc subject or reinforcing aci-a play.

Snarks to fulfill the nutritional needs of the child. Arts and cralts to motivate creativity for the child. Quiet games to give relixution and pleasure plus to increase vocabulary in word ganes and to increase concept several walks in the cornimunity of numbers and place value and discussed safety procedures in math games. Homework for field trips. assistance to help extend the concepts that were taught . . in tlie classitoom.

Hill Recognition of various Walks through the cormunity seasonal activities. Filin strips and inovies, bookis, etc. as follow up. Appreciation ot various quick (oods in cooking class. learners. In reading on initial and latal consoluants; and in arithnetic using flash cards with numbers from one

Lieut. Batey Iroin the Atlanta Fire Department cane and talked to the children about fire prevention. For Halloween, there was a "scary house."

Older pupils were taken to the bookmotile in the connnunity to see how it lunctions and the types of book they had. They xere given 3pplications to fill out to get library cards. We went for and discussed saiety procedures cich weck. Recognizing and discussing where various children live and ttees, birds, ctc.

Emphasizing satety in emer- Practicing various emergency gency weather situations such drills such as: tire, civil as: :ornados, thunderstorms, defense, and tormado. A civil and floods.
defense representaive visited the center and discussed the inportance of drills and their relationship to our reactions in case of a true ennergency.

There wete two roung adellts who canne in to cad the children about voting, They want the kids to speak to their parents as a reminder. devclop positive seli-cont:ol To help studenss continues to develop the ides of sharing and being kind to each other.
Cook To develop skills in reading Field Trip - Tiny Tots Concerk. and math through games. To develop skills in art and crafts. To improve skills in physical health through physical education.

Dunbar To teach and reemphasize the inportance of personal hygiene. To expose pupils to the culture and art of the Africin people.

Fountain To enhance the teeling of seli-pride and to increase the pupils' ability to follow directions (specilic) by having thern work to their fullest capacity on individual projects (leather crait, ornaments, wooden boxes, and bird houses) to prepare them for exlibition.

Gordon Did work daily and methodically in basic skills in readoing, att, science, numbers, physical eduration, and creative activities.

Hubert Our goal was to provide a weal th of concrete experiences that are interesting and stinulating and which pronoted cunversation whereby the cliild is not inhibited in his thinking, acquisition of knowledge, communication of thoughts, and exchange ol ideas.

To help students continuc to

Movies shown on cleanliness and personal hygiene. Filmstrips shown on Africen culture and art. Arricin makss made by students in art class.

The participants had a special acti, ity for Thunksgiving. They learned songs or poetry. The older pupils gave a brief historical sketch of the meaning of Thanksgiving.

Had a dynamic progra: in schuol auditorium with nore than I 150 parents and other cornmunity people present: to see arts and cralts display and to see pupils perforn in a grand manner, showing the skills they had mastered in the progran.

A field trip to Mathis Dairy.

Community Nature Tour for winter signs.

Reynoks Tatelp pupils improve math Trip to Cyclorama and Crant and reading skills. To help Parik Zoo. pupils control ennotions and inprove disc.aline.

Stanton, D. H. Primary students - To reinforce and strengthen acadernic skills by leting them demunstrate through writing and orally their understarding of reading, math, and spelling skills. Internediate students- To anhance ind improve their physical and artistic ablities by engeging thein in sports, art, and ceranics.

Toumer To develop eye-hand cuordination. To provide a mears of self-expression. To promote comnnunication in the lamily unit. To allow children to create gifts lor tamily members using valentincs as a theme.

Wesley To develop skill in working with collections of pemies, niccelts, and currency ip is \$5,000. To provide experientes in budgeting and spending nunley wisely. To provide activites in creative art.

Story hour every Friday conducted by a visiting patron. Ceramiss for clilderen conducted by a visiting partron. Suftball gane - volunteer leader.

Students made a colloge entitled "What :merica Means to Wes" $^{\prime \prime}$ (this is iny countity) and displayed it in the auditorium during the celebration of the Bicentennial.

Trip to the circus. Valentine heart fold ca:cs. Linear string project. Physical education (rope skills).

Regular, indivioual contacts with parents are recorded as required by State regulations on a Recording lifiormation Sheet which must be maintained in the file of each prinary client. Contacts with parents through group participation are reported monthly to the Division of Research and Evaluation. The reports, as summarized below, give a brief glinpse at the more formalized group parent involvernent.

## title xx parfnt involvement activities <br> REPORTED BY FAMLLY SERVICE WORKERS Octuber 1, 1975-September 30, 1976

Activities
Areal
Bankhead Took children for physicals and inmunizations: Christmas progran.

Bethune No formal meetings reported.
Carter Project - wedding of the Flowets; school carnivel; tamily trip to World of Sid \& Marty Kroit.

Craddock Parent meeting - planning for activities.
English Ave. Parent meetings - planning for activities; ha:loween carnival.

Kearicdy Newsletters; parent mecting; - orientation; worishicp on discipline; classroom visitations.

Stanton, F. L. Newsletters; parent meetings.
Towns Christinas progran; parent ineeting - planning for sumnener.
Ware Parent inectings each month, prinarily for planning and coordinating projects and other activities.

Projects - Halloween carnival; Thannisgaving grocery baskent raffle; "Parent ul the Year" dinner; tree branch decoration contest; Christinas party; health hair for parents; fashion
to show tea; candy sale; family picnic at Kinglomes Three. Regular newletters and news releases.
responitility ol the family service worker, This responsibility might be net througl dice: t, one-10-one contact with the parent; through referal service; througl workshops; or indirectly through group activities involving all interes ed parents.

Campoell
Crogman

Cideons

Jones, J. M. Assisted family service worker in taking chiidren to health center and for immunization updare, attlanta Council on Plays presented "Mirror - Mirror," problems of unwed mothers.

Archer No tormal meetings reported.
Hill Parent conierences; workshop; parent library used regularly. Parent meetings - orientation; health habits for young children.

Parents participatev in Armal Harvest Tea sponsored by the school; Christmas party.

William: Valentine party; newsletter.
ArcalV
Burgess No formal meetings reported.
Cook Attended parent mecting with Cook Elementary Schocl. Vo formal metigig.

Dunbar Parent meectings - planning for project; basic Disaster Plan. Projects - Hallowcen bags; rafile, King and Queen Activity (school project with day care parricipation).

East Lake Projects - participated in schuol's Halloween carnival. Parent mecting - comnuriity resources, guest from Human Resources (EON) to discuss agency services.

Fountain Parent meetings - role playing - . how to teach cliildren at home; nutrition consultant.

Gordon Parent mectings - day care policies; parents dessigned games to he'p teach clivildren alpliabet $(A-H)$ at horne; Title XX orientation, "Cinderella's Valeatiice Day" presented by extended day. Assisted with taking ctildtern to clinic for dentals.

Grant Park Projects - Thanksgiving progran (school-wide); Christrnas Primary par\% family picaic. Parent mectings - ciscussion of planned day care activities, election of Advisory Counc:il representative; preparation for moving center.

Hubert Parent mecting - planning for Christmas activity. Center has had four lanily service workers this year.

Jones, M. A. Parent mecting - election of olficers, plaming parent involvenent in day care, suggested activities for the year; Christnas activities und ploming sessions. Commuity Resources listing distributed. Project - bake salc.

Kirkwood Parent meetings -- orientation; "What To Do When a Tornado Strikes" session conducted by representative from Civil Defense Departinent.

McGill Parent meetings - "Guiding the Young Child; othe: planned meetings interrupted by Title XX redetermination procedures.
Reynolds: No tormal sessions reported. Center ias hid four !extily service workers this year.
Slaton, W.F. Parent mecting - election of Advisory Courcil representative, orientation 10 class activities.

Toomer Orienation meeting in Septenber 1976.
Stanton, D. H. Project - Halloween party - (emphisis on suftety-concern over "rrick or treat" experiences); Christmas program.

Wesley Parent inecting - election of copresentative to Advisory Council; oricntation to day care progran. Project feshion show.
Whitefoord Parent meeting - The Young Child Comes to School; increased involvement with curnmitment from parents; planning for culminating activity.
All schools elected representatives for cach Area Advisory Council.
Plays for Living, The lnner Tiger, a play on child abuse was presented on separate occasions for parents at Bethune, Craddock, Kennedy, Fountain, and Wesley.

Progran Coal VI - To encourage families wiscone socia.ty and financially respon ible and self-sufficient.

This progran goal is in line with eligibility requirenents that at primary clients :nust be working or in job-related training if not othorwis: incapasitated. The' parent siatus :- repnoted in Table 13. income Maintenance represen:s wellare elients, and hicone eligible refers to clients who full within a certain incoune sate set by the Georgia Deparment of Humar Resources.

Tie per cent of welfore cients served has decreased from 60 per cent for $1914-75$ to 56 per ceint ini 1975-76. Seventy-six per cent of the wellare familiss were enploved in 1974.75 while 73 per cent were employed in 1975-16. This is particularly signiicant in light of the high memployment . rate for Atimnta.
Progre:In Cual VII - To involve parents and other family meenbers in the activiics oi day care centers and to keep then inisrned of cormmunity and schoo. activities of partizular interest to the family,

An open invitation is extended to parents to visit the day care centers whenver work or training schedules will permit. Meny centers have regular paren: volunteers who come each week to help with classroom activities. Day i are, expanded day, and extended day eachers geeverally keep a retord of trise visits and volunteer services anc summarize the activitics in a mont'ly report sent to the Division ot Research and Eraluation.

Table :- , ives a summary of the sthool visilitions and volunteer serwies I : all bree programs. Although sume schools were neg.!gent in sending teiscr. each month, the sample is large cnough to give a reliable pictue of how much support is eeceivd liom parents. Throughout the Systen, ar avcrage of nine purents per month visit or assist with day care, three per inonth are in expanded day, and five render some service to extel ded chay.

The types of wrice rendered by parents follow. Classroom assistance inclutes such wn we reading stories, helping the staff prepare for lessons, or m.king games ur ofler materials for the chillden. Contributions to the centrir would include refreshments for parties or materials for speciai programs. The other categories in: self-explanatiory.

| Category | Per Cent for Each Catcoory |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bay | nded | rocd |
| Classroom Assistance | 32 | 30 | 29 |
| Contributions to Center | 24 | 32 | 20 |
| Visits-Class Observation/ Special Progräms | 16 | $x$ | 21 |
| Chaperon Sor Field Trijs |  | 1. | 30 |
| Supervision During Staif Meetings/Workshops | 1 | - | - |

## TITLE XX SUMmer EXPinidel day care

 JUNE y, 1976 - AlGUST 27, 1976A special program was planned for the kindergarten-aged exparyth day students during the summer months while reguiar school was in: in session. The programs operated from six to ten hours daily depencing on the needs of the students and the atendance pattern for dily care that would allow for sharing of stafl. Teachers were asked to concentrate on three areas for the sumner: (1) intellicctual development, (2) creativity, and (3) physigal development. General objectives were written ior the three areas, and enach center was asked to develop its own specific objective.

The general objectives used as the basis for the evaluation are given below. The specific objectives sent by the centers are on tile in the Division of Researen and Evaluation.

## Cencral Obiactives for Title XX Expanded Day, Summer 1976

1. Intellectual Development '

After completing at least six weeks in the Title XX euminer program, at least 85 per cent of the students will complece at least 85 per cent of the objectives set by the instructor in at least two subject areas.

| School | Average <br> Number ot $\qquad$ | Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Maintenance |  |  | Engible |  |  |
|  |  | Tusatic | Trumid | Otiner | Tormit | Truting | Uther |
| Area 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bankhead | 31 | 13 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Bethune | 112 | 40 | 10 | 12 | 49 | 2 | 0 |
| Carter | 85 | 4 S | 13 | 8 | 17 | 1 | 0 |
| Craddock | 56 | 29 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 1 |
| English Avenue | 77 | 29 | 8 | 8 | 28 | 2 | 1 |
| Kennedy | 146 | 41 | 12 | 9 | S1 | 3 | 1 |
| Stanton, F. L. | 93 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 1 | 1 |
| Towns | 88 | 32 | 4 | 5 | 44 | , | 4 |
| Ware | 150 | 62 | 10 | 1 | 71 | 6 | . 1 |
| Area Il |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C-mpleil | 100 | 47 | 20 | 15 | 16 | 1 | 1 |
| Crogman | 83 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 |
| Gideons | 119 | 72 | 5 | 7 | 30 | 3 | 2 |
| Jones, J. M. | 87 | 53 | 16 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 0 |
| Pryor | 84 | 50 | 0 | 0. | 34 | 0 | 0 |
| Slater | 155 | 74 | 22 | 26 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| Area III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Archer | 23 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 8 |  | 0 |
| Hill | 90 | 54 | 4 | 14 | 18 | 0 | - 0 |
| Pitts | 73 | 37 | 3 | 4 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| Williams | 66 | 45 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| Area IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burgess | 54 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 31 | 3 | - 1 |
| Coo's | \$4 | 46 | - | 8 | 21 | 2 | 0 |
| Dennbar | 121 | 73 | , | 19 | 20 | 1 | - 1 |
| East Lake | 52 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 1 |
| Fountain | 127 | 28 | 1 | 1 | 96 | 0 | 0 |
| Gordon | 84 | 24 | 5 | 1 | 51 | 2 | 1 |
| Grant Park | 35 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 19 | 0 | 1 |
| Hubert | 98 | 40 | 5 | 5 | 42 | 5 | 1 |
| Jones, M. A. | 73 | 26 | 5 | 3 | 35 | 2 | 3 |
| Kirkwood | 99 | 34 | 3 | 12 | 48 | 2 | 0 |
| McGill | 77 | 18 | - 7 | 8 | 41 | 0 | 3 |
| Reynolds | 105 | 50 | 10 | 5 | 35 | 3 | I |
| Slaton | 117 | 22 | 13 | 19 | 57 | 6 | 2 |
| Stanton, D. H. | 151 | 67 | 20 | 9 | 54 | 0 | 0 |
| Toomer | 69 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 41 | I | 3 |
| Wesley | 148 | 39 | 9 | 4 | 77 | 4 | 4 |
| Whitefoord | 77 | 23 | 7 | 4 | 40 | 0 | 3 |
| TOTAL | 3,289 | 1,376 | 256 | 240 | 1,320 | 56 | 37 |
| Per Cent in Each Category |  | 73 | 14 | 13 | 93 | 4 | 3 |
| Per cent of welfare families. Per cent of incorne eligible families. |  | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |

title xx parentil involvevient
SCHOOL VISITATGNS ANH VOLUNTEGER SERVICE

School

Area 1

| Bunklieaci | 8 | 93 | 12 | + | + | 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bethurie | 9 | 35 | 4 | 9 | 35 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 4 |
| Carter | 10 | 58 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 2 |
| Craddock | 6 | 29 | 5 | * | * | * | 7 | 15 | 2 |
| English Avenue | 10 | 89 | 9 | 9 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| Kenticily | 10 | 266 | 27 | $\pm$ | + | + | * | * | * |
| 'tanton, F. L. | 11 | 76 | 7 | 10 | 62 | 6 | 9 | 93 | 10 |
| -3.0ns | [i] | 103 | 10 | . 10 | 38 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 1 |
| lare | 10 | 97 | 10 | 3 | 17 | 6 | * | 7 | * |
| Area 1 Total | 84 | 8.46 | T0 | -51 | T55 | 4 | 43 | 737 | 3 |
| Reporting Percentuge |  | -78 |  |  | 63 |  |  | - 40\% |  |

Area II

| Campbell | 10 | 87 | 9 | - 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crogiman | 11 | 7.0 | 6 | 13 | 50 | 4 | * | * | * |
| Gideeris | 7 | 43 | 6 | 7 | 39 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Pryor | 7 | 67 | 10 | 7 | 16 | 2 | * | * |  |
| Tones, 3. M. | 2 | 44 | 22 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Slatar | 6 | 183 | 31 | 5 | 2 S | 6 | 4 | 12 | 3 |
| Aree ll Tota! | -43 | 494 | $\cdots$. | 3.5 | 133 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 2 |
| Reporting Percentage |  | 64\% |  |  | - 52: |  |  | $13 \%$ |  |

Area III


Area IV

| Burgess | 5 | 50 | 10 | + | + | + | + | + | $\stackrel{ }{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coot: | ! 1 | 127 | :2 | 12 | 35 | 3 | 9 | 11 | 1 |
| Dunbar | 11 | 367 | 33 | 8 | 27 | 3 | 7 | 59 | 8 |
| East Lake | 10 | 60 | 6 | 9 | 22 | 2 | + | + | + |
| Fountain | 12 | 91 | 8 | 11 | 31 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 5 |
| Gordon | 12 | 46 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 17 | 3 |
| Grant Park Primary | 9 | 126 | 14 | * | * | * | + | + | + |
| Hiber: | $1!$ | 57 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Jones, M. ${ }^{\text {d }}$. | 11 | 75 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 1 | * | * | * |
| Kirkwood | 5 | 53 | 11 | 5 | 72 | 14 | 8 | 57 | 7 |
| Sactill | 7 | 60 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 86 | 14 |
| Reymolds | 3 | 24 | 8 | 2 | 21 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 1 |
| Stanten, D. H. | 8 | 56 | 7 | 8 | 34 | 4 | 8 | 32 | 4 |
| Slaton | 12 | 96 | S | 5 | 13 | 3 | * | * | * |
| Tourner | 12 | 46 | 4 | + | + | + | 8 | 47 | 6 |
| W'esley | 12 | 42 | 4 | 12 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 69 | 8 |
| Whitefoord | 10 | 133 | 14 | 10 | 57 | 6 | 2 | ${ }^{+}$ | \% |
| Arcis IV Tetal | T61 | 1,514 | 9 | 112 | 344 | 3 | 72 | 397 | 6 |
| Reporting Percent:e, <br> System Total | 323 | - 780 | 9 | 2.08 | $\begin{gathered} -62 \% \\ 670 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 152 | -5746 738 | 5 |


N -- dunber of reperts.
T-- Total muber of forent volanters.
Avg - Averabe nemher of phent whenters fer moniln; reporied.

*     - Center did not sidmit reports.
+     - Progran dees not operase in renter.

2. Creativity

A By the end of the summer progran, each student will show "evidence of successful participation in at least two projects designed for free expression, fine arts appreciation, or creative fulfillment. The evidence can be: (1) a product such as a drawing - an art object or a poem and/or (2) participation in a Nlay, a dance, or musical presentation cither as a performer or as part of the procuction crew.
3. Physical Developmen:

Before the end of the summer progran, each student will complete ona st the following objectives.
3. Fach stiudent will successfully complete at least three physical fithess activities appropriate tor his age levci.
3. At least Si gar cent of the students will leam the rules and tuke part in at least five cornpectitive andior noncompetitive games or sports.

Evaluation, Sumerer Expmited Day
Eacl teachei was asked to keep a record of student progress from July 12 inrough August 20, 1976. The summarized results are shown on Table 15. Of the 29 expanded day centers, seven did not send reports. East Lake ha! only three students, and these were kept with the day care participa lts. the data submitted by Whiteford couid not be converted to percentéses.

For Inteliectual Development, most centers chose Language Arts and Mathematics. Slater did units on animals and iolf-image. English Avenue, Towns, Cook, and Grant Park Piinary also studied other subjects as shown on Table 15. The percentages in the table represent the per cent of students that completed 85 per cent of the objectives sitt. Uniortunatley, only seven centers tad 85 per cent or more of the students complete 85 per cent or more of the otjectives in two subject areas. Four additional centers had 85 per cent or inore of the students complete 85 per cent or more of the objectives in one subject. Ȧchievement in the cognitive area was below the anticipat ed level.

Sinc: all centers had two or more autivities conpleted under creativity, the total number of activities required of each student is shown in Table IS.

These activities included such things as collage painting, drawing, drama, landpuppets, poetry, sewing, projects, role piaying, safety posters, music, and free expression.

Under physica! develivpnent, 11 of the 20 centers successfully completed at least one of the general objectives as projected. Centers wert sent physical fitness tests suitable for young children as reconmended by the physical education coordinator, It included the agility pun, seal crawl, shurtle race, and the 30 -yard dash. Other ganes and/or sports wore selected by the center staff and included sucli games and physical fitness activities as hat relay, tug-owar, birds learning to fly, kick ball, ring gane, rabbit hop, skipping rope, and other warm-up exercises.

The surnmer program was not considered a success because of the low enrollinent and poor attendance. The poor attendance also affected the acnievement of the objectives. The poor showing in this program has led to the conselusion that service is not needed in the summer for school-aged children.

## COST ANALYSIS

The Title XX Program is funded through the Ceorgia Department of Human Resources, Contract Services Unit. The total budget lor 1975-76 was $\$ 9,063,497$. Of this amount, $\$ 3,797,623$ was from federal participation, and $\$ 1,265,874$ was contributed by the School Systern as certilited or in-kind cost. The required administrative fee of $\$ 73,952$ :which represents 1.5 per cent of the total budget was paid by the School Systen to Contract Servicers.

School System certified cost includes expenditures incurred by system-, area-, and local-level departments that provide support to the Titia $X X$ Program. Incluced in the proration of certified costs are the salaries of superintendents, principals, administrative assistants, personnel, aceounning, instructional resource staff, librarians, and maintenance stafl. i'onsalaried certified wast includes office supplies and materials, itens for maintenance and operation, use of space (including lease payment for une center), and furnishings and equipment that are used as a part of the norrnal schlool and/or center function.

The actual per pupil expenditure cannot be computed since expenditure records are not maintained by program components. The projected cost per

child wiss $\$ 2,294$ for day carr, $\$ 1,024$ for expanded hay, and $\$ 461$ for extenoed day. The estimated cost per child is computed from budget reçrds and would vary only slightly if all budgeted funds were not expanded.

## SUMMARY

The Title XX progran operated during the past contract year with a minimun of disruption; caused by new eligibility guidelincs. The most noteworthy feature of the program continuer to be the dedication of the staff and the outstanding performance of the students as they enter kinderg. rten.

On the Cooperative Preschool Inventory (CPI) given to all students - entering kindergarten, Title XX student performance was higher than that Icr non-Title $X X$ students in 25 of the 29 Title $X X$ schools for which comparison dita were available. The average tota! score for Title $X X$ stujent: was 99.3 , and it was 43.2 for non-Title XX studentis.

A longitudinal stuxy was started using Title XX participants from the 1972 and 1973 contract years who were in the first and second grade this year, S(Sores from the lowe Tests ? Basic Skills (ITBS) for grades one and two wee used for making the crinparison, It was found that those students who we: e in the Atlanta Public Schools' day cate scored as well as or slightly higher han those studenis who had not been in day care. This fact is especialy sizgificant sinci w sociocconomic status has been considered to have an adverse siffect on achievement.

Thi average daily attendance for day care was 85 per cent, an: it was 86 per rent for expanded day, which is the expected level for this agh group. The att:addarce for extended day was y? per tent which is the same as the per cen of aitendance for al. denentajy schouls.

Evel mora important than attendance is the average caroliment which must remain $8: 3$ per cent or higher it the project is to ber reinjursed by the State for musithly expenditures. Although sjone centers hast difficuly maintai ing the required enrollment, the overail per cent of enrollment was 96 per cent for day care and 93 per cent for expanded and extended day.

An average of 3,289 families were served esch nonth. of this number, 57 per cent were wellare families, and 43 per cent were potential welfare families. In spite of the low unemployment rate for the area, 73 per cent of the welfare and 93 per cent of the potential welfare families serveo were employed.

A special summer program was conducted for kindergarten students who had been enrolled in expanded day. Because of the low etrollthent and poor attendance, the program will not be continued for anotier year.

Parental involvenent continucs as an essential part of the progran. Parents make up 50 per cent of each area advisory committee, and many visit the centers on a regular basis. During the $1975-76$ project year, over 4,300 visits were made by parents to the day care schools. Parents have come for special programs to observe classroom activities, to serve as chaperones tor field trips, to supervise while teachers go for extra training, to provide an extra pair of hands when needed, and to cornplete that most important link between home and school.

The total Title XX budget for the year was $\$ 5,063,497$. Of this amount, 75 per cent or $\$ 3,797,623$ was federal contributions, and 25 per cent or $\$ 1,265,874$ was contributed bu: the School Systen as cerrilied or in-kind cosi. The cash outlay for the School System was an atministrative ice of $\$ 75,95$ : paid to Contract Services. The cstimated cost per child was $\$ 2,224$ for day care, $\$ 1,024$ for exparded day, and $\$ 451$ for extendeè day.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Continue to seek an evaluation instrunent that will give growth data 0.2 all students served in day care. The completion of the Skill Continuum by the Early Childhood Curriculun Committee stiould facilitate this procedure.
2. Monitor closely the attendance of the six schools where the rate it absence was nine or more per day and the enrollment of nine sciools where the per cent of enrollment was 85 per cent or less. Make adjustments in stafi and/or slot allocations as needed,
3. Follow up on effectiveness of expanded anc extended day in-service.
're Moritur raore closely the social service component to see it this aspect ef see progran has beer adversely affected b; increased clerical tork recuired under Title s. X .
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