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# PERSONNEL AND, FINANCIAL STATISTICS OF SCHOOL ORGANIZATJONS SERVING RURAL CHILDREN 

Prepared by Lester B. Herligy, associate specialist in educational statistics; revised by Earery M. Foster, chief of the Statistzcal Divisiot, and Kathemine M. Coor, chief of the Division of Special Problems.

## INTRODUCTION

Of the twenty-two and a half million pupils in average daily attendance in public day schools ot the United States reported to the Office of Education for 1933-34, approximately half are in the rural and half in urban schools (rural, $10,894,121$; urban, 11,564,069). The school districts in which the schools and children are located are classified as of four types: First, those which include no urban territory; second, the large cities of more than 10,000 population; third, the small cities of 2,500 to $10 ; 000$ pepulation, both groups entirel $\bar{y}$ urban in nature; and, fourth, districts in which rural and urban territory both are included, and in which substantially the same quality of service is offered to all pupils.

The purpose of this study is to show the differences which seem to exist in schools among the four types of school districts.

## SOURCE OF MATERIAL AND METHODS OF SEJECTION

In order that the data from the county superintendents' reports used in this study show the school situation in rural places, 1,200 counties were selected in which there were no single incorporated places of 2,500 population or more. They were, therefore, strictly rural counties according to the basis of differentiation used in the United States' census. All States were represented in the original selection. Approximately one-third of the original number selected, or 440 administrative units of this group, provided practically complete returns. Included are 26 New England towns, 3 Louisiana parishes, and 411 counties well distributed throughout the United States. The 411 counties reporting represent about 15 percent of the total number of such units and about 22 percent of the total number of counties or similarly organized units in which there are no incorporated places of $2,5 \mathrm{n} 0$ population or more. Since the reports were returned by counties, data are not available concerning the exact number of school districts included. Assuming that the everage number to a county is $62,{ }^{1}$ the number of rural school districts included

[^0]will exceed 27,000 , or approximately 20 percent, of the total number in 1932-33.

The urban school data are from 340 school systems located in all States, except Florida and-West Virginia, which have no independent city school systems. One hundred and thirty of these are in groups I, II, and III ${ }^{2}$ cities, ranging in size from 10,000 to 100,000 population and more; the other 210 are in groups IV and $V^{2}$ cities, with populations of 2,500 to 10,000 . For the purposes of this study, the first, second, and third groups have been combined into one group as large city schools; the fourth and fifth groups are combined as small city schools.

Data for the urban-rural, or county unit, school systems are from Maryland, West Virginia, Virginia, North Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, and Louisiana in the southern section and Utah in the mountain section. A total of $5,885,116$ elementary and high-school pupils, or nearly 26 percent of all public day-school pupils in average daily attendance reported to the Office of Education for 1933-34 are involved. Of this number, $1,047,873$ ( 10 percent of the total in average daily attendance) were in rural, 4,480,998 ( 39 percent of the total) in urban, and 356,245 ( 20 percent of the total) were in cnunty-unit schools. All data used, except those for the rural schools, were reported to the Office of Education for the Biennial Survey of Education, 1933-34.

## METHOD -OF PRESENTATION

Comparative statistical data are herein presented among the different types of districts indicated above. Some comparisons are made also with data for the United States as a whole where comparable figures áre available from "Statistics of State School Systems, 1933-34" (Bulletin, 1935, No. 2, chapter II, Biennial Survey of Education in the United States, 1932-34).
The data are presented in five tables. Computations on the expenditures are based on the number of pupils in average daily attendance. Those on other items are based either on enrollment or average daily attendance, as indicated. The averages given are for both white and Negro schools, since separate data on the races are not generally available for all sections of the Nation.
It should be noted that whenever "cost per pupil" appears in the text or tables it is to be interpreted as the avarage cost per pupil in average daily attendance. This likewise applies to amounts per pupil for value of buildings, debts outstanding, debt payments, etc.

The five tables are as follows:

1. Ratios of pupils in average daily attendance to: staff, schools, buildings, and enrollment.
'Group I, 100,000 popalation and more In 1530; Group II, 30,000 to 89,999 population; Group III, 10,000 to 20,990; Group IV, 8,000 to 9,990 ; Group $\nabla, 2,500$ to 4,999 .
2. Average current expenditure per pupil for each of the six major accounting items for elementary and high schools combined.
3. Percentage analysis of receipts according to source.
4. Average salaries of supervisors, principals, teachers, and all staff members.
5. Value of school property, debt service costs, and cupital outlay per pupil in average daily attendance.

## FINDINGS

Wide disparities in attendance, expenditures, salaries, etc., between the urban and rural schools are shown in the tables. These differences appear in genaral between the urban and the rural schools for the country as a whole as well as among the school groups within the sections in tables 2 and 4.

## Tingolement and Attendance

Table 1 shows that the lenge ci:g systems have 317 pupils in e.verage daily attendance per elementary school; the small city systems, 181 pupils; the rural school districts, 38 pupils; and urban-rural systems, 111 pupils. The average number of pupils per elementary school in the large city systens is therefore about twice as large as in the small city systems; eight times as large as in the rural districts; and nearly three times that in the urban-rural districts. The average number of pupils per high school for the country as a whole is 1,216 for the large city group, 308 for the small city group, 109 for the rural, and 290 pupils for the urban-rural groups, respectively.

## Pupil-Teacher Patio

The number of pupils in average daily :attendance to each teacher employed in elementary schools is grentest (\%3.9) in the large city schools and smallest (25.4) in the rural schools. The fact that many one- and two-room rural schools of both elementary and secondary grade enroll as few as 10 to 20 pupils each accoints fo- thi: difference. That there are fewer pupils per teacher in secondary than in elementary schools in all groups (the number ranging from 21.8 to 27.8 ) is explained in part by the fact that the accrediting agencies have established a maximum pupil-teacher load for accredited schcols, and in part by the fact that many high-school subjects are elective. Both have a tendency to increase the number of classes as well as the number of teachers, and to reduce the number of pupils per teacher in high schools.
Number of pupils to a school and building.-The number of pupils in average daily attendance per school as shown in table 1 increases from rural to urban-rural and as the population of the city increases, as would be expected. The numbers in elementary and high schools
by groups are as follows: Large city systems, 422; small city systems, 213; urban-rural, 133; rural, 46.

Attendance percentages.-The last three columns of table 1 thow the percentages of enrolled pupils in average daily attendance, The high schools show a higher percentage of enrolled pupils in s. erage daily attendance than the elementary schools. Among thr dinizent groups, the small cities show the highest percentage of pupils ia siverage daily attendance, both for elementary (84.8) and for high schools (88.6). The rural schools had the lowest percentages, i. e., 70.5 and 83.3, respectively. The average for both types of schools in average daily attendance for the country as a whole is 85 percent of the enrollment.

Cobts Per Popil for Cehtain Degignated Purpobes
General control.-Of the four school groups, the highest expenditure per pupil for general control (table 2) in each section is found in the small cities. This is due in part to the fact that while the superintendents in places of 2,500 to 10,000 population are paid for service as supervisor-principal or supervisor as well as administrator, the salary is reported under general control. The rural schools of each section have the lowest expenditure per pupil for general control, except in the Mountain section. In this section the urban-rural systems spent less in amount per pupil, but a larger percentage of the total for general control.

Instruction:-An examination of the actual per pupil expenditure for instruction and of the percentage of the total current expenditure for instruction as presented in table 2 shows considerable variation within groups as well as among them. In general, the percentage of the total current expense devoted to instruction is between 70 and 80 for all groups and all sections and the average for the country as a whole is approximately 74 percent. There is considerable variation in the actual per pupil expenditure among the groups within sections as well as among the six sections if one makes comparisons among sections by groups. Comparing all groups, the lowest per pupil costs are fcund in the Southern section and the highest in the Northeast and Pacific sections, though the Mountain section spends more per capita for rural schools than the Pacific.

However, while per capita expenditures for instruction in the Sorithon States are lower in amount, the percentage of the total is higher thoin the corresponding group in any of the other sections with one exception, namely, the small city groups in each of the Pacific and Mountain sections.
Operation and maintenance.-Differences among sections in expenditure per pupil for operation are due in part to climatic conditions. The lower expenditures per pupil, both actual and relative, prevailing in the Southern section generally, as compared to the other sections,
are doubtless due in part to winter seasons of shorter duration with less consumption of fuel and light, and in part to more economical - labor costs. There is a similar tendency toward lower costs per pupil for maintenance in the Southern section. Less rigorous climatic conditions apparently mean lower costs in school operation and maintenance.

Coordinate activities and auxiliary agencies.-Transportation expense ${ }^{3}$ for rural schools is included under coordinate activities and auxiliary agencies. It is as important an expenditure in rural school systems as operation. Coordinate activities and auxiliary agencies is the second largest item of expense both relatively and actually in the rural schools in the Northeast, Southern, Mountain, and Pacific sections. Expenditure for these purposes is relatively large also for the urban-rural schools in the Southern and in the Mountain sections.

Fixed charges.-Fixed charges include rent, insurance fees, pensions, and retirement funds. The urban-rural schools have the lowest per pupil ( $\$ 0.63$ ) while the large cities have the highest (\$1.92) per pupil per year for the purposes designated.

Total current expenditure per day.-The total current expenditure per pupil considered in table 2 has been reduced to a per diem basis for all sections and groups of schools. From these data comparative costs for equal school terms can be ascertained. The final column of table 2 gives the cost per pupil on the basis of a 100 -day session.

The school term.-The large city school systems provide on the average the longest school term in all sections but one. In the Mountain section the small city schools were in session an average of 184.3 days; the large cities, 179.3 days; and the rural schools, 175.2 jays. The longer term in the small cities cost $\$ 63.54$ per pupil$\$ 12.33$ less than the shorter term of the large city systems. The rural schools of the Northern section pruvided a term 4.4 days longer than the small cities in the same section at a cost of $\$ 78.47$, or $\$ 7.23$ less per pupil for current expenditure. The rural school term reported in the Southern section was made possible in 1933-34 through Federal Government aid in the form of teachers' salaries. Receipts from Federal sources in the Southern rural schools as reported for this study represent about 15 percent of the receipts for teachers' salaries for 1933-34. Without this financial assistance rural schools throughout the section would have had a term shorter by 22 days, or a term of 126 days for the year. For all sections the rural school term was 156.2 days, 25.5 days shorter than the term of 181.7 days provided in the larger cities. The urban-rural term of 169.2 days was shorter by 9.1 days than that of the small cities, and 13 days longer that that of

[^1] cation Circula: No. 132.)

schools the average salaries were. $\$ 1,252$ in the Pacific and $\$ 785$ in the Southern section, a difference of $\$ 467$ per teacher, or 59 percent.

- In the urban-rural. school systems of the Southern section the average salary of elementary teachers was $\$ 807$, which was $\$ 319$, or 65 percent, more than the average ( $\$ 488$ ) paid in rural schools. In
the high schools the salaries were $\$ 1,079$ and $\$ 785$, respectively, a difference of $\$ 294$, or 37 percent, in favor of the urban-rural schools.

Supervisors, principals, and teachers' salaries.-In salaries of supervisors of elementary schools for all sections combined; the difference between large city and rural schools is $\$ 973$, or 58 percent; of elementary principals, $\$ 1,314$, or 84 percent; of elementary teachers, $\$ 1,070$, or 182 percent; in the average salaries for the elementary staff combined, the difference is $\$ 1,126$, or 189 percent.

The difference in average high-school salaries be. zeen the large city and the rural schools for all sections amounted in the supervisors' positions to $\$ 1,832$, or 126 percent; for principals, $\$ 1,910$, or 101 percent; for teachers, $\$ 1,206$, or $12 \%$ percent; and for all staff members $\$ 1,228$, or 126 percent.

Comparing the average salary of supervisors of elementary and high-school positions combined of large city and rural schools for all sections, we find a disparity of $\$ 1,186$, or 73 percent; for principals, it is $\$ 1,327$, or 75 percent; for teachers, $\$ 1,177$, or 175 percent; and for all positions, or total staff, $\$ 1,218$, or 178 percent.

The salaries of supervisors of elementary schools for all sections combined in urban rural schools average 11 percent more than in the small city system, and about 6 percent more than in rural schools. The large city supervisor receives 50 percent more in salary than the urbain-rural supervisor. Elementary principals of the urban-rural schools receive the smallest average salary paid principals in any of the four school groups. The urban-rural elementary teacher receives 39 percent more salary than the rural sihoci teacher. The high-school supervisors in the urban-rural school systems receive more than the small city or rural school supervisor: though less than those in the large city school systems. Princip'als of county unit systems receive less than principals of other groups, while teachers receive more than in rural schools, but less then in city systems.

## VALUE OF SCHOOL PROPERTIES

Table 5, is concerned with the value of school property, certain types of indebtedness, and capital outlay per pupil in average daily attendance. Becuuse of incompleteness of a number of the replies received to the inquiries considered in this table, the number of units. reporting on each of the different items is included. While the data. in table 5 probably are not as representative as those presented in the

$$
18149^{\circ}-38-2
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other tables they are of inierest and value. Since the number of units representod is shown, the reader can use his own judginent in forming conclusions based on the data given in the table. In connection with each item, however, he should consider the number of replies as shown in the table.

As indicated in table 5, the value of school property, land, byildings, and equipment shown for the school groups differs in amount per pupil for each of the six major items of current expense. The amount of the difference in value of school property per pupil between large and small cities is $\$ 191$, or 65 percent; between large cities and rural schools, $\$ 352$, or 265 percent; and between large cities and urbanrural systems, $\$ 264$, or 115 percent. In the small cities the value of property per pupil is $\$ 294$; in the rural schools, $\$ 133$; and in the urbanrural school systems, $\$ 221$, representing differences of 121 and 33 percent, respectively. For the United States as a whole the per capita value is $\$ 295$, practically the same as that for the small cities.

## DEBT SERVICE

The bonded debt per pupil shown in table 5 is 42 percent of the total property value per pupil in the large cities; 56 percent in small city school systems; 38 percent in rural schools; and 57 percent in urbanrural schools. The average bonded debt per pupil is less in rural dis-: tricts than in city or urban-rural school systems.

Other forms of debt (to.ble 5) are: Teachers' warrants issued in lieu of cash for salary ; interest. accrued, dua and unpaid, and short-term loans. The averages show that the small city schools had the largest non-bonded debt, i. e., $\$ 20.66$ per pupil. The large cities ${ }^{5}$ indebtedness of $\$ 15.18$ per pupil was 73 percent of that of the snall cities; that of the rural schools, 71 percent; and that of the urban-rural school systems, 61 percent of that of sinall cities.

Reports received in this atudy indicate that the rural schools pay less in interest than any of the groups. The small citios pay 55 percent as much interest per pupi? as the large cities; the rural sohools, 24 percent; and the urban-rural schools 51 percent as nuch.

Redemption of bonds.-The large cities redeemed bonds (table 5) to the amount of $\$ 10.20$ pel pupil, or 5 percent of the arnount of bonds outstanding in the systems reporting. The small cities redeemed bonds to the amount of $\$ 8.05$ per pupil, or 4.8 percent of the amount outstanding; the reral schools, $\$ 6.02$, or about 12 percent of the amount outstanding; the urban-i ural schools, $\$ 5.60$, or 2.5 percent of the amount outstanding. (See table for number of units reporting on.each item.)

Payment into sinking funds.-The rural schools paid into sinking funds (table 5) to meet bond maturity to a greater degree than the other three groups of schools. However, small cities reported the
payment into this reserve fund of $\$ 7.91$ per pupil, the largest amount of any group and representing about 5 percent of the outstanding debt. The amount per pupil paid into the sinking fund by urban-rural schools was the suallest (\$1.61), or about 1.3 percent of the outstanding debt. The rural schools reportad an amount per pupil of $\$ 7.7$ :" paid in to the sinking fund, or about 15 percent of the outstazi: $\cdot<$ debt reported, in all cases calcuinted on a per pupil basis.
Short-term loans redeemed.-Ths large cities reporting paj... :1'1:1; per pupil to retire lonns coniracted for short terms which wer: catried over the end of the fiscal year (table 5). These are largely accommodation loans resorted to in anticipation of the receipt of tax disbursements, State aid, etc. The urban-rural schools paid the smallest amount ( $\$ 2.76$ per pupil), or about 36 percent of the amount of $\$ 10.13$ per pupil in the large cities, which latter was the largest average per pupil. The payment of short-term loans by small cities averaged $\$ 7.66$ per pupil, or 76 percent of the large cities' average; and rurel schools averaged $\$ 5.41$, about 53 percent of the large city average.

Refunding bonds.-Comparatively few school organizations of any type reporting in this study issued new bonds for renewing loans represented by maturing bond issues (table 5). Only five lare cities, two small cities, and seven counties reported issues of new bonds in payments of old bonds.

- Amount in sinking funa.-The large cities reporting in table 5 have sinking funds equaling $\$ 41.32$ per pupil. This is about 20 percent of their bonded indebtedness (per pupil). The small cities reported sinking funds equaling $\$ 15.75$ per pupil, or about 9 percent of the bonded school debt of $\$ 166$ per pupil. One hundred and forty-four counties reported $\$ 11.08$ per pupil in the sinking funds in rural school districts, an amount equal to 21 percent of the bonded school debt of $\$ 51$ per pupil reported by 250 counties.

Only two of the urban-rural systems reported an amount of $\$ 3.49$ per pupil in sinking funds accounts. This was about 3 percent of the average of $\$ 126$ per pupil reported by 27 such systems.

## Capital outlay

Table 5 shows that 118 large cities reported $\$ 4.30$ per pupil spent on the building program in 1933-34 in purchase of land, new buildings, or in improvement of plant. This was 60 percent greater than that spent by the small cities; 69 percent greater than spent by rural schools; and 30 cents, or 7 percent less than the $\$ 4.60$ spent in the urban-rural schools. For the United States as a whcle the expenditure per pupil for capital outlay was $\$ 2.64$.

The relationship of the per pupil expenditures for capital outlay to the per pupil value of property for the various school groups is as follows: For large city school systems the eapital outlay represented
? iss than 1 percent of the property value per pupil; for the small city shool systems, less than 1 percent; for rural schools, about 2 percent; and for urban-rural, 2.1 percent. The urban-rural schools weqre, from this evidence, engaged to a greater extent than the other groups in building programs.

Table 1.-RATIOS OF PUPILS IN AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE TO PERSONNEL, TO SCHOOLS, AND TO BUILDINGS IN RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS BY COUNTIES, IN URBAN SYSTEMS, AND IN URBANRURAL SCHOOL SYSTEMS, 1933-34


TABLE 2-COST PER PUPIL IN AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE (ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOLS), WITH PER CENTAGE ANALYSIS IN RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS, BY COUNTIEA, URBAN SYSTEMS, AND URBAN-RURAL SYGTEMS, 1933-34

| Sgetien ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and schocis | Num ber of unlts ro-jorting | Langth school tarm (actual cassion) | Current expense itorns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total current expense |  | Per pupll cost perdiem |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\underset{\left.t^{n}\right]}{\text { General con- }}$ |  | Instruction |  | Operation |  | Malntenance |  | Coordinate activitles and suxillary Agenoles |  | Fixed charges |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coot } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { pupl1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Foir. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Cost } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { pupll } \end{array}\right.$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { pLipil } \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Cost per pupll | Per. cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { par } \\ & \text { papl } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Cost per pupll | Per. cent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { par } \\ \text { pupt } \end{gathered}$ | Per. cent |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | ! | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | \% | 10 | 11 | 12 | 18 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 10 |
| Northeast: <br> Rural school districts, by countles ${ }^{2}$. City groups, II II, snd III corabined. <br> City groups, IV and V combined. <br> East North Central: | 871030 | $\begin{aligned} & 174.0 \\ & 18.6 \\ & 189.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2.61 \\ & 4.48 \\ & 4.56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.3 \\ 4.2 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 865.23 \\ & 83.38 \\ & 50.31 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.4 \\ & 7.0 \end{aligned}$ | \$ 5.38 | 6.988811.8 | \$2.02 | 2.6 | $\$ 11.74$4.067.28 | $\begin{array}{r} 14.0 \\ 8.8 \end{array}$ | $\$ 1.40$2.25 |  | 578.47106.98 |  |  | 548.0857.650.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |  | 100 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 69.4 |  |  | ${ }_{243}$ | 2.8 |  |  | 2.00 | 23 |  | 100 |  |  |
|  | 432220 | 167.7178.6 | 1.482.70 | 2.43.23 | 43.8463.93 | 70.2 | 7.3810.68 | 11.812.5 | 2.312.48 |  | 6.213.15 |  | 1.282.38 | 2.0 | 62.46 |  |  | 87.247.784 |
| Rural school districts, by counties---. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.7 2.9 |  | 8.0 8.7 |  |  |  | 100 | . 87 |  |
| Clty groups, IV and V cumblned.... | 30 | 17.3. 7 | 3.84 | 6.4 | 42.68 | 71.1 | 9.00 | 15.1 | 2.59 | 4.3 | . 93 | 1.5 | . 09 | 1.6 | 60.01 | 100 | . 34 |  |
| West North Centra: <br> Rural school districts, by countles | 701830 | 167.21828 | 2.283.06 | 3.33.8 | 42.7560.64 | 70.0 | 7.918.40 | 12.2 | 2.183.89 | 3.4 | 6.282.89 | 9.73.6 | . 91 | 1.4 | 64. <br> 79.81 <br> 8.8 | 100100 | .38.14.32 | 38.438.832.0 |
| City groups, I, II, and III combined. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City groaps, IV and V combined..... |  | 180.2 | 4.12 | 7.2 | 41.43 | 71.8 | 8.06 | 13.9 | 1.94 | 3.4 | 1.17 | 2.0 | . 99 | 1.7 | 57. 71 | 100 |  |  |
| Southera: <br> Rural school districts, by countles... | $\begin{array}{r} 165 \\ 32 \\ 60 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | 148.31823 | 1.081.17 | 3.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 21.78 \\ & 46.79 \end{aligned}$ | 73.6 80.6 | 1.264.98 | 4.38.6 |  |  | 4.401.48 | $\begin{array}{r}14.9 \\ 2.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1.82 |  | 29. 5958. | 100 |  |  |
| Rural school districts, by conntios-.- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. 84 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | . 20 | 81.881.0 |
| Clty groups, IV and V comblned....- |  | 176.2169.1 |  | 8. 6. |  |  |  | 9.57.7 | 1.631.25 | 4.33.0 | .743.28 | 7.1 |  | 2.8 | 38.8841.93 | 100100 | . 20 |  |
| Urben-rural school systems ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.7 |

1 Northeast sectlon-New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maine, New Hampohire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Connecticut. Rural towns In Naw England


 Oregon, and Callfornia.
 district is the administrative unit.
-Conily anit systoms.

Table 2.-COST PER PUPIL IN AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE (ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOLS) WITH PE] CENTAGE ANALYSIS IN RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS, BY COUNTIES, URBAN SYSTEMS, AND URBAN-RURA SYSTEMS, 1933-34-Continued

| Section and schools | Num ber of ualts re portlag | $\begin{gathered} \text { Langth } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { sahool } \\ \text { term } \\ \text { (actual } \\ \text { days In } \\ \text { sesslon) } \end{gathered}$ | Current expense Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total currentexpense |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { pupil } \\ \text { cost } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { dlem } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cos } \\ \text { pou } \\ \text { pup } \\ \text { poo } \\ \text { 100 } \\ \text { dses } \\ \text { seash } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { General con- } \\ \text { trol } \end{gathered}$ |  | Instruction |  | Operatioa |  | Malntonance |  | Coordinate ac- <br> tivities and auxillary agencles |  | Fized charges |  | Cost per pupll | Per. cent |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { pupil } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { pupl1 } \end{aligned}$ | Per- cont | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { puplI } \end{gathered}$ | Per- cent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { pupi1 } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Cost per pupll | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { pupll } \end{gathered}$ | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 10 | 11 | 18 | 13 |
| Mountain: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural school districts, by countics.... Clty groups, I. II, und III combined. | 54 20 | 175.2 179.3 | 2. 10 | 2.7 | 54.67 59.08 | 70.2 77.9 | 7.83 7.38 | 10.1 9.8 | 2.25 | 2.9 3.8 | 9.68 | 12.2 2.5 | 1.45 1.90 | 1.9 2.5 | 77.83 | 100 100 | . 44 | 42 |
| City groups, IV and $V$ combined. .-- | 30 | 178.3 | 2. 3.58 | 6. 0 | 48.80 | ${ }_{73.6} 77$ | 7.83 7.05 | 11.1 | 2.21 | 3.5 | 3.17 | S. 0 | 1.78 | 1.2 | ${ }^{33} .54$ | 100 | . 34 | 3. |
| Urban-rural school systems......... | 5 | 171.7 | 1.71 | 3.0 | 41.09 | 72.2 | 6.55 | 11.5 | $\underline{2} 27$ | 3.0 | 6.06 | 8.9 | . 25 | .3 | 64.83 | 100 | . 33 | 33 |
| Pacific: ${ }_{\text {Hural school districts, by countios. }}$ | 21 | 176.8 | 2.47 | 3.4 | 52.03 | 70.9 | 7.40 | 10.: | 2.97 | 4.0 | 7.94 | 10.8 | . 60 | . 8 |  |  | 41 |  |
| City groups, II II, and III combined. | 22 | 183.2 | 3.70 | 3.5 | 82. 76 | 78.0 | 0.37 | 8.9 | 3.72 | 3.5 | c. 78 | 3.6 | 1. 90 | 1.9 | 105. 32 | 100 | . 57 | 57. |
| city groups, IV and V. combined..... | 30 | 178.6 | 3.90 | 4.8 | 61.05 | 74.7 | 9.78 | 11.9 | 2.29 | 2.8 | 3.61 | 4.3 | 1.24 | 1,5 | 81.77 | 100 | . 46 | 45. |
| All sections (averapes): Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City groups, I II, nud III combined. | 430 130 | 150.2 181.7 | 1.42 3.02 | 3. 2 | 31.14 68.98 | 71.5 | 8. 77 | ${ }_{10.1}^{8.1}$ | 1.2.8. | 2.8 | ${ }_{2}^{5} 51$ | 12.7 3.4 | 1.74 1.92 | 1.7 | 43. 47 | 100 | .28 | 47. |
| City groups, IV nad V combined.... | 210 | 178.3 | 3.70 | 6.1 | 43.80 | 72.4 | 7. 29 | 12.0 | 209 | 3.5 | 286 | 4.4 | 1.08 | 1.8 | 60. 52 | 100 | .34 | 83 |
| Urban-rural school systems............ | 45 | 109.2 | 1.25 | 20 | 32.71 | 76.7 | 3.40 | 7.9 | 1.30 | 3.1 | 3.37 | 7.9 | . 63 | 1.5 | 42.86 | 100 | . 25 | 25. |
| United States. |  | 171.6 | 2.88 | 4.2 | 49.91 | 73.9 | 6.93 | 0.9 | 2.13 | 3.2 | 3.94 | b. 7 | 1.81 | 3.1 | 73. 88 | 100 | . 43 | 42 |




TABLI 5.-VALUE OF SCHOOL PROPERTIES, DEBT SERVICE COSTS, AND CAPITAL OUTLAY PER PUPIL IN AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE IN RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS BY COUNTIEG, URBAN SCHOCL SYSTEMS, AND URBANRURAL SCHOOL SYSTEMS, 1933-54

|  | Value of school propurty |  | Bonded debt |  | Other forms of debt |  | Interest |  | Redemption of bonds |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Payments } \\ \text { intosinking } \\ \text { lund } \end{gathered}$ lund |  | Short terin icans redeemed |  | Pald by issue of new bonds (refunding) |  | Amount in sinking fund |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Capital out- } \\ & \text { lay } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bahools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 量 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 量 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | $\delta$ | 6 | 7 | 8 | - | 10 | 11 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 28 | 19 | 20 | 31 |
| Rural school distriets by countios 1. | 357 | \$133 | 250 | \$51 | 230 | \$14.71 | 207 | 52.63 | 178 | \$3. 02 | 65 | \$7.72 | 83 | \$5.41 | 7 | 521.73 | 144 | \$11.08 | 247 | \$2. 55 |
| ulodi...a, | 130 | 485 | 125 | 202 | 66 | 15. 18 | 129 | 11.16 | 116 | +10.20 | 19 | 2.97 | 40 | 10. 13 | ${ }^{5}$ | 10.82 | 9 | 11. 32 | 118 | 4.30 |
| Oity croupe, IV and V mmblned.. | 210 | 294 | 182 | 160 | 60 | 20. 58 | 182 | 6. 12 | 148 | 8.05 | 20 | 7.91 | 31 | 7.66 | 2 | 7.32 | $\%$ | 15.78 | 143 | 2.68 |
|  | 45 | 221 | 27 | 123 | 17 | 12.87 | 34 | 5. 69 | 31 | 5.60 | 10 | 1.61 | 15 | 278 | 1 | 4.52 | 2 | 3. 49 | 46 | 4.80 |
| Onited States--. | 40 | 295 | 48 | 127 | 35 | 14.41 | 48 | Q. 10 | 48 | ${ }^{2} 9.35$ | 12 | 2.40 | -..... | (3) |  |  | 22 | 11.88 | 49 | 204 |

1 For allsections there ware 357 counties or equivalent, reporting rural districts for this study, for value of school properties; 250 on bonded debt; etc. Boads nnd shurt-term loans included togetber.
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