### DOCUMENT RESUME.

ED 141 6.52

CG C11 466

AUTHOF

Blackie, Norman K.

TITLE

Nursing Students' Attitudes Toward the Aged as a

Function of Death Anxiety.

PTB. DATE

11 Nov 76

NOTE

22p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Canadian Association on Gerontology (November 11-13,

1976 Vancouver, British Colombia)

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage.
Anxiety; \*Death; Pear; \*Geriatrics; \*Nurses;
\*Nursing; Older Adults; Patients (Persons); Research
Projects; Social Psychology; \*Student Attitudes

ABSTFACT

A 139-item questionnaire was constructed to account for additional variance in the attitudes and behaviors of student nurses toward the aged. This study was conducted to examine the effects of death anxiety on the attitudes and behaviors of student nurses toward old parsons. To this end, 150 student nurses were surveyed. Fight scales were subjected to the following analysis: • tesas for independence (chi-square), two-way analysis of variance and multiple regression analysis. The results suggest that death anxiety and attitudes toward the aged are significantly correlated. A coefficient of determination of '8% indicates that death anxiety accounts for only a small amount of the variance in attitudes toward the aged. Attitudes toward geriatric nursing and death anxiety are not correlated. Of nine health care settings, institutions for the aged ranked as a preferred place of work. The aged as a patient group ranked next to last. Of five nursing specialities, geriatric nursing is ranked third as a career choice. Two hypotheses for coping with anxiety--an unxiety-denial hypothesis and an anxhety-reduction hypothesis--are used to interpret the results. (Author)

NURSING STUDENTS' ATTITUDES TOWARD THE AGED AS A FUNCTION OF DEATH ANXLETY 1

Ву

Norman K. Blackie, B. Arch.

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON ON ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENTOFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

- Presented at The Educational Meeting of The Canadian Association on Gerontology, Vancouver, British Columbia, November 11, 1976.
- Doctoral Student, The College of Architecture and The Institute of Gerontology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

### ABSTRACT

NURSING STUDENTS' ATTITUDES TOWARD THE AGED AS A/FUNCTION OF DEATH ANXIETY

A 139 item questionnaire was constructed to account for additional variance in the attitudes and behaviors of student nurses toward the aged. This study was conducted to examine the effects of death anxiety on the attitudes and behaviors of student nurses toward old persons. To this end, 150 student nurses in the Baccalaureate Program in Nursing at the University of Michigan were surveyed, specifically their attitudes toward the aged and geriatric nursing, their own fears of death, rewards in nursing, and such items as: patient age, nursing specialities and work settings. Eight scales were subjected to the following analysis: tests for independence (chi-square). two-way analysis of variance and multiple regression analysis. The results suggest that death anxiety and attitudes toward the aged are significantly correlated. A coefficient of determination (R') of 8% indicates that death anxiety accounts for only a small amount of the variance in attitudes toward the aged. Students in the Freshmen. Sophmore and Junior years tie their attitudes toward older people to their own fears of death. Attitudes toward geriatric nursing and death anxiety are not correlated. The students' attitudes toward the aged are far from being . negative. Of nine health care setting, institutions for the aged is ranked sixth as a preferred place of work. The aged as a patient group are ranked next to last. Of five pursing specialities, geriatric nursing is ranked third as a career choice Two hypotheses for coping with anxiety - an anxietydenial hypothesis and an anxiety-reduction hypothesis are used to interpret the results.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS.

		•	Page
LIST OF	F TABLE	s v	i
LIST O	F APPEN	DICES	11
	• .	. 1	
	I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	II.	METHODOLOGY,	1
		Sample The Questionnaire The Procedure	•
	III.	FINDINGS	5
		Anxiety-denial Hypothesis Anxiety-reduction Hypothesis	
	IV.	DISCUSSION, SUMMARY AND LIMITATIONS	7
	V.	REFERENCES	10
	VI.	TABLES	12
	vII.	APPENDICES	17

The Questionnaire

## LIST OF TABLES

	. • -	
Table		Page
1.	Correlations Between Death Anxiety and Attitudes and Behaviors	12
2.	Two-Way Cross Tabulation: Death Anxiety/ Attitudes toward Older People, By Year in the Baccalaureate Program	13
· 3.	Two-Way Cross Tabulation: Death Anxiety/Marlow- Crowne Social Desirability, By Year in the Baccalaureate Program	13
4.	Two-Way Cross Tabulation: Death Anxiety/Attitudes toward Geriatric Nursing, By Year in the Baccalaureate Program	13
5	Two-Way Cross Tabulation: Attitudes toward Geriatric Nursing/ Marlow-Crowne Social Desirability, By Year in the Baccalaureate Program	_ 13
6.	Means and Standard Deviations of Death Anxiety, By Year in the Baccalaureate Program	. 14
7.	Means and Standard Deviations of Attitudes toward Older People, By Year in the Baccalaureate Program	14
8.	Means and Standard Deviations from the Marlow-Crowne Social Desirability Scale, By Year in the Baccalaureate Program	14
9.	Means and Stnadard Deviations of Attitudes toward Geriatric Nursing, By Year in the Baccalaureate Program	15
10.	Patient Age Range Preference	• 15
ľ1.	Nursing Speciality Preference by Rank Order	15
12.	Work Setting Preference by Rank Orden	16

## LIST OF APPENDICES

	• •		**			
Appendix	ζ			,	:	Page
	٠.,		•			
Α.	Questi	onnaire				17

#### INTRODUCTION

Much has been written about the typical negative stereotypes of the aged held by nursing students, the preference of student/nurses to avoid working with the elderly, and the impact on their attitudes of such factors as: their own age, education and occupational values; and the length of time they spend in direct contact with the aged (Wilensky and Barnmark, 1966; Butler, 1969; Gunter, 1971; Gillis, 1971; MacDonell et al, 1975; and Tuckman-Lorge, 1958). However, there have been few new factors researched to account for additional " variance in the attitudes and behaviors of student nurses toward the elderly. In response to this fact, this study was conducted to examine the effects of death anxiety on the attitudes and behaviors of student nurses toward the aged. To this end, 150 student nurses in the Baccalaureate Program in Nursing at the University of Michigan were surveyed, specifically their attitudes toward the aged, their ownfears of death and such items of preference as: patient age, nursing specialities and work settings. This paper will present the important findings of that survey and will suggest several interesting interpretations that might be drawn from the survey results.

### METHOD

The Sample. The subjects are 150 nursing students in the Baccalaureate Program in Nursing at the University of Michigan. The sample is composed of 37 Freshmen, 45 Sophmores, 19 Juniors and 49 Seniors. The mean age of the respondents is 20.9 years and varies from 19 years to 40 years. Male students account for 2% of the sample. A ratio

proportional to the enrollment in the School of Nursing. About 89% of the respondents are single. Approximately 83% of the students entered the Baccalaureate Program from High School. Of the other students 5% have a 2 year college degree, 5% have some university credits and 6% have a university degree. Almost all of the respondents (88%) have both parents living. Involvement with older people varies from 51% knowing 5 or more older persons well enough to visit with them in their homes, 29% know 3 or more and 19% know 1 or 2. A majority of the students (58%) had not worked or been a volunteer in a Nursing Home or Hospital before entering the Nursing Program. The socio-economic status of the sample is middle class.

The Questionnaire. Avoidance Behavior was measured by three scales specifically designed for the purpose of this study; patient age group preference, nursing speciality preference and work setting preference. All questions were answered on interval or nominal scales (e.g., If you had your choice of working with individuals of any age which of the following age ranges would you prefer: newborn to 5 years, 6 to 17 years, 18 to 29 years, 30 to 45 years, 46 to 65 years, 66 and over; no preference). The question on age group preference was followed by an open-ended question which sought reasons for the respondents particular choice. As expected children were the most

Attitudes toward Older People were measured by 22 statements from the Tuckman-Lorge (1953), Older People's Questionnaire. The statements

prefered age group ( 48% of 149 students selected this group).

were chosen because of their high correlations with the total score in an Item-Analysis and because they tapped the following areas: physical change, insecurity, personality traits, interpersonal relationships, conservatism, use of time and mental decline. The respondents answered on a 6-point interval scale (e.g., where l= strongly disagree, 2=moderately disagree, 3=slightly disagree, 4=slightly agree, 5=moderately agree; and 6=strongly agree). There is substantial evidence to support the reliability and validity of this scale. (Tuckman-Lorge, 1953)

Death Anxiety was measured by Templer's (1970) Death Anxiety Scale.

Nine of 15 items that comprise the Death Anxiety Scale are keyed "True" and 6 are keyed "False". Substantial evidence exists supporting the reliability and validity of the scale (Templer, 1970). A reliability coefficient of -83-out of a possible 1.0 demonstrates acceptable test-retest reliability.

The Marlowe-Crowne Social Desirability Scale (1960) was interwoven between the items of the Templer Scale. The Social Desirability Scale, the content of which is independent of psychopathology, is an instrument that measures the tendency to respond in a socially desirable direction. The product moment correlation coefficient between the two scales — Death Anxiety and Social Desirability — was not significant—(r=-.13)—This suggests that social desirability is not related to death anxiety (table 1).

Attitudes toward Geriatric Nursing were measured by a 24-item

Likert-Type questionnaire (Hayes, 1974). This scale contains subscales
of: attitudes toward geriatric patients, job satisfaction, activity
versus custodial care and autonomy versus compliance. Half of the
items were positively and half negatively worded. Kuder-Richardson
reliability coefficients on each subscale were found to have
reasonable internal consistency (e.g., attitudes toward geriatric
patients, r=.65; job satisfaction, r=.83; activity versus custodial
care, r=.39; and autonomy versus compliance, r=.52).

Nursing Rewards were measured by an open-ended question (e.g., "All in all, what do you find rewarding in Nursing") and by Rosenberg's (1957) Occupational Values Scale. The Rosenberg Scale consists of a list of ten occupational values for a respondent to "consider to what extent a job or career would satisfy each of these requirements before (he) could consider it IDEAL". Values were ranked high, medium, or low in importance; with the top value ranked as the most important. In addition, the respondents were asked to apprise each of the statements to determine how much of a particular characteristic was available in Geriatric Nursing. Intercorrelations of the ten responses show three clusters of orientation: a gelf-expressive cluster, a people-oriented cluster and an extrinsic reward cluster. Estimates of test-retest reliability are not reported. No direct test of validity was performed. However, the value choices are in line with occupational choices. The results from the Occupational Values Scale indicate so little variance across items, that the scale was dropped from analysis.

The Procedure. This study was conducted at the end of the spring term, in April 1976. The questionnaire was simply handed out during the later part of class time in each of the years in the Nursing Program. No comments were made that might have biased the respondents' answers. The response rate was 46% for Freshmen, 50% for Sophmores, 30% for Juniors; and 54% for Seniors. The eight scales which comprise the questionnaire were subjected to the following analysis: univariate analysis, tests for independence (Chi-Square), two-way analysis of variance and multiple regression analysis.

The Results. The results show that death anxiety and attitudes toward the aged are significantly correlated .28(p(.01).\* (Table 1) This, was as expected, for it had been hypothesized that a relationship between attitudes toward the older person and death anxiety would be linear. However, a rather low coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) of 8% indicates that death anxiety accounts for only a small amount of the variance in attitudes toward the aged. This compares less favorably with other attitude studies (Salter et al., 1976). The age of the students is not correlated with death anxiety. Templer et al. (1971) found no correlation between death anxiety and age. The age of the students is possibly not a source of bias.

Students in the Freshman, Sophmore and Junior years tie their attitudes toward older people to their own fears of death. (Table 2) Salter et al. (1976) suggested that aging and death are linked together by the young. Death anxiety is significantly correlated .20(p<.01) with the year of study in the Baccalaureate Program. In fact death anxiety

<sup>\*</sup>All correlations are of the Pearson Product-Moment Type and are reported at the two-tailed level of probability.

increases from a mean of 5.4 and a standard deviation of 3.1 in the Freshman year, to a mean of 7.0 and a standard deviation of 2.6 in the Senior year. (Table 6) The difference in variance is significant.

The students' attitudes toward older people ("Agree" response on the Tuckman-Lorge Questionnaire) are far from being very negative. The total mean percentage of negative stereotypes held by the students is 25%. (Table 7) The students' attitudes in this study are considerably less negative about older people than the findings' reported in other studies (Gunter, 1971). Further, the respondents' age is not correlated with attitudes toward older people. Again this suggests that student age is not a possible source of bias.

Attitudes toward geriatric nursing and death anxiety are significantly correlated .21(p<.01). The students' attitudes toward geriatric nursing are also far from being negative. The total mean percentage of negative responses is 23%. The Freshmer are more negative in their views of geriatric nursing (26%) than are the Seniors (23%).(Table 9) The difference was not significant.

One part of this survey asked the students what they found rewarding in nursing. Content analysis of the responses yielded the following major groups: satisfaction of helping people (54%), enjoy working with people as opposed to working with things (13%), solving problems by using my knowledge and skills (9%), being needed and appreciated (7%), few rewards - ambivalent feelings about nursing (5%), and other rewards (10%). Nursing rewards and death anxiety are not correlated.

DISCUSSION, SUMMARY AND LIMITATIONS

There are undoubtly numerous reasons for such results. One factor that has been overlooked and could possibly be drawn from these results, is that nurses develop patterns of copins with stress during the enculturation process of becoming a nurse. In that process, the student is confronted with incureable disease, role reversals and the threat and reality of suffering and death - situations which are anxiety laden. When anxieties exceed one's level of tolerance they become a threat and coping strategies become necessary (May, 1950). Two hypotheses for coping with anxieties are: an anxiety-denial hypothesis and an anxiety-reduction hypothesis.

Neither hypothesis would significantly predict a change in stereotypes toward the aged, yet at the same time both hypotheses can be related to the findings in this study. First, anxiety-denial. A person represses thoughts of death in an effect to avoid death anxiety. He will then repress thoughts of aging, too, for they are associated wit and may trigger death anxiety, if unchecked. In this study death anxiety is correlated with institutions for the aged .20(p <.05). Of nine health care settings, institutions for the aged is ranked sixth as a preferred place of work. (table 12) The aged as a patient group are ranked next to last. (Table 10) Of five nursing specialities, geriatric nursing is ranked third as a career choice. (Table 11) The attitudes' of the Freshmen, Sophmores, and Juniors toward the older person are similar, but are slightly more negative than the attitudes of the Seniors toward older persons. (Table 7) Combined with the evidence of avoidance behavior, the anxiety-denial hypothesis has some support.

Second, anxiety-reduction. If a person perceives something to be inevitable, like aging and death, aroused anxieties will not be repressed, but dealt with in a more realistic way by a constructive change in attitude and behavior (Festinger, 1957). Templer (1972), for example, found among smokers that high death anxiety was correlated with fewer cigarettes smoked each day. In the context of this study, the Senior class has high death anxiety, the highest of the 4 years, yet develops the most positive attitudes toward old people. The Senior class holds significantly fewer negative attitudes than either the Freshmen, Sophmores, or Juniors. The change in attitude toward older people came abruptly at the Senior year. This is perhaps moderate evidence in support of the anxiety-reduction hypothesis that death anxiety leads to improved attitudes toward the older person; perhaps in an attempt to reduce one's own fears of aging.

To summarize, it seems that the Freshman, Sophmore and Junior nursing students are coping with their fears of death by developing negative attitudes toward older people and by preferring to avoid working with the aged. On the other hand, it appears that the Seniors are coping with their fears of death by developing more positive attitudes toward the aged, yet like their younger cohorts prefer to avoid working with older persons. The avoidance behavior of student nurses toward older people reflects perhaps the general attitude of our society.

Conclusions and Limitations. It should be noted that this study has 2 limitations. First, it examined the attitudes of student nurses at only one University. To the extent, that these students may not be

representative of the total population of student nurses, the findings may not be necessarily generalizable.

Second, the survey collected attitudinal rather than behavioral data.

It measured the existence of predjuiced attitudes and not actual discrimination. While attitudes may indicate a predisposition to respond to an idea or person in a particular way, other factors in addition to attitudes also effect behavior.

It's uncertain whether death anxiety is a positive or negative force on the development of attitudes by health professionals toward older persons. It is also unclear whether discrimination toward pattents in the older age groups effects actual nursing care for such patients, in terms of the amount and quality of care received. In this study, death anxiety does not explain a large amount of the variance (R<sup>2</sup>=.08) in attitudes and behaviors toward older persons. However it should be evident that death anxiety, should be taken into account in the eductional process of the nurse.

Brannon et al (1973) found a high correlation between attitude and action. If attitudes toward the aged can be improved, behavioral improvements are likely to follow.

### REFERENCES

Brannon, R., Cyphers, G., Hesse, S., Hesselbart, R., Keane, R., Suchman, T., and Wright, D. Attitude and Action: A field experiment joined to a general population survey.

American Sociological Review, 1973, 38, 625-636.

Butler, R. N. Age-ism: Another Form of Bigotry. Gerontologist, 1969, 9, 243-246.

Festinger, V. A. A Theory of Cognitive Dissonance. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1957.

Gillis, Sr. Marion. Attitudes of Nursing Personnel toward the Aged. Nursing Research, 1973, 22, 6, 517-520.

Gunter, L. M. Students' Attitudes toward Geriatric Nursing. Nursing Outlook, July 1971, 19, 466-469.

Hayes, M. W. A Study of the Attitudes of Registered Nurses toward Geriatric Patients. 1974 (unpublished).

May, Rollo. The Meaning of Anxiety. New York: Ronald Press Co., 1950.

MacDonell, J. A., Tramer, R., and Lee, H. Geriatric Nursing: A Behavioral View Point. A paper presented at the Canadian Association on Gerontology, Toronto, 1975, (unpublished).

Marlow, D., and Crowne, D. P. A New Scale of Social Desirability Independent of Psychopathology. J. Consulting Psychology, 24, 4, 349-354.

Rosenberg, M. Occupations and Values, Glencoe, Illinois: The Free Press, 1957, 10-24.

Templer, D. The Construction and Validation of a Death Anxiety Scale. J. General Psychology, 1970, 82, 165-177.

Templer, P., Ruff, C. F., and Franks, C. M. Death Anxiety: Age, Sex, and Parental Resemblance in Diverse Populations, <u>Developmental</u> Psychology, 1971, 4, 108.

Templer, D. Death Anxiety, Extraversion, Neuroticism, and Cigarette Smoking. Omega, 1972, 3, 53-56.

Tuckman, J., and Lorge, I. Attitudes toward Old People. <u>J Social</u> Psychology, 1953, 37, 249-260.

Wilensky, H., and Barnmark, J. E. Interests of Doctoral Students In Clinical Psychology In Work with Older Adults. <u>J Gerontology</u>, July 1966, 21, 410-414.

Table 1.							
CORRELATIONS	BETWEEN	DEATH	ANXIETY	AND	ATTITUDES	AND	BEHAVIOR

item .	Mean	Standard Deviation	Correlation with Anxiety
Backg	round Varial	bles	•
. , , ,			
ear in Course	20.0		.20 (p(.
ge	20.9	3.7	.16
Status 4	1.2		.16
ducation arents alive	1.2	.6	.01
	1.7	.3	03
ld People	. 1.7		03
The M	ajor Scales	- Total Scores	,
ttitudes toward the Aged	5.5	2.6	.28 (p<.
ttitudes toward Ger. Nursing	4.8	2.1	.21 (p <b>ረ</b> .
ocial Desirability .	10.3	3.9	13
ursing Rewards	3.1	2.5	06
Agree	ments with	Stereotypes of the	Aged
ld people are afraid to die	5.5	2.6	·34 (p <b>ζ</b> ·
ld people should not marry	1 2	,	20 4 .
	1.2	. 4	30 (p <b>ረ</b> .
hey have a chace to do .	£)		
hey have a chace to do . everything 1=strongly disagree, 2=modera	2.1 tely disagr	1.0	30 (p <b>ζ</b> . 29 (p <b>ζ</b> . agree, 4=slightly
hey have a chace to do everything l=strongly disagree, 2=modera gree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st	2.1 tely disagr	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disa e)	29 (p <b>&lt;</b> .
hey have a chace to do everything  1=strongly disagree, 2=moderagree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st  Avoid	2.1 tely disagrerongly agre	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disa e)	29(p <b>{</b> .
hey have a chace to do everything  l=strongly disagree, 2=modera agree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st  Avoid	2.1 stely disagr rongly agre-	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disa e)	29(p <b>{</b> .
hey have a chace to do everything  1=strongly disagree, 2=modera egree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st  Avoid age Range Preference  ursing Speciality Preferences	2.1 stely disagr rongly agre-	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disa e)	29(p <b>{</b> .
hey have a chace to do everything  1=strongly disagree, 2=modera gree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st  Avoid  age Range Preference  ursing Speciality Preferences  bstetrics and Pediatrics ledicine and Surgery	2.1 stely disagration of the state of the st	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disa e) or 2.5	29 (p <b>{</b> .
hey have a chace to do everything  1=strongly disagree, 2=modera gree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st  Avoid  age Range Preference  ursing Speciality Preferences  bstetrics and Pediatrics ledicine and Surgery eriatrics	2.1 stely disagration and the second stellar and the second secon	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disaee) or 2.5	29 (p <b>&lt;.</b> agree, 4=slightly 35 (p <b>&lt;.</b>
hey have a chace to do everything  1=strongly disagree, 2=modera gree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st  Avoid  age Range Preference  ursing Speciality Preferences  bstetrics and Pediatrics ledicine and Surgery eriatrics	2.1 stely disagrerongly agreed ance Behavi 3.6	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disa e)  or  2.5  1.2 1.3 1.1 1.2	29 (p <b>&lt;.</b> agree, 4=slightly 35 (p <b>&lt;.</b> .004 .10
hey have a chace to do everything  1=strongly disagree, 2=modera egree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st  Avoid  age Range Preference  dursing Speciality Preferences  bstetrics and Pediatrics dedicine and Surgery eriatrics coronary Care	2.1 stely disagrerongly agreed ance Behavi 3.6	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disa e)  or  2.5	29 (p <b><!--</b-->.agree, 4=slightly 35 (p<b>&lt;</b>.  .004 .10 .130414</b>
hey have a chace to do everything  1=strongly disagree, 2=modera gree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st  Avoid  age Range Preference  fursing Speciality Preferences  bestetrics and Pediatrics dedicine and Surgery eriatrics cronary Care sychiatry	2.1 stely disagrated ance Behavi 3.6 2.0 2.5 3.2 3.5	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disa e)  or  2.5  1.2 1.3 1.1 1.2	29 (p <b><!--</b-->.agree, 4=slightly 35 (p<b>&lt;</b>.  .004 .10 .1304</b>
hey have a chace to do everything  1=strongly disagree, 2=modera gree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st  Avoid  age Range Preference  fursing Speciality Preferences  betetrics and Pediatrics dedicine and Surgery eriatrics cronary Care sychiatry  fork Setting Preferences	2.1 Ately disagrerongly agreed ance Behavi 3.6  2.0 2.5 3.2 3.5 3.6	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disaee) or 2.5 1.2 1.3 1.1 1.2 1.4	29 (p <b><!--</b-->.agree, 4=slightly 35 (p<b>&lt;</b>.  .004 .10 .130414</b>
hey have a chace to do everything  1=strongly disagree, 2=modera gree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st  Avoid  age Range Preference  ursing Speciality Preferences  bstetrics and Pediatrics dedicine and Surgery eriatrics cronary Care sychiatry  ork Setting Preferences  eneral Hospital	2.1 Ately disagrerongly agreed ance Behavi 3.6  2.0 2.5 3.2 3.5 3.6	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disa e)  or  2.5  1.2 1.3 1.1 1.2 1.4	29 (p < agree, 4=slightly 35 (p <  .004 .10 .130414  -:05 .15
hey have a chace to do everything  1=strongly disagree, 2=modera gree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st  Avoid  age Range Preference  ursing Speciality Preferences  bstetrics and Pediatrics dedicine and Surgery eriatrics cronary Care sychiatry  ork Setting Preferences  eneral Hospital hildrens Hospital	2.1 Ately disagrerongly agreed ance Behavi 3.6  2.0 2.5 3.2 3.5 3.6	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disaee) or 2.5 1.2 1.3 1.1 1.2 1.4	29 (p < agree, 4=slightly 35 (p <:05
hey have a chace to do everything  1=strongly disagree, 2=modera gree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st  Avoid  ge Range Preference  ursing Speciality Preferences  bstetrics and Pediatrics ledicine and Surgery eriatrics foronary Care sychiatry  ork Setting Preferences  eneral Hospital hildrens Hospital ublic Health	2.1 stely disagrationally agree ance Behavi 3.6  2.0 2.5 3.2 3.5 3.6  3.1 3.3 3.9 4.3	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disaee) or 2.5 1.2 1.3 1.1 1.2 1.4	29 (p < agree, 4=slightly 35 (p <  .004 .10 .130414  -:05 .15
hey have a chace to do everything  1=strongly disagree, 2=modera gree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st  Avoid  ge Range Preference  ursing Speciality Preferences  bstetrics and Pediatrics fedicine and Surgery eriatrics foronary Care sychiatry  ork Setting Preferences  eneral Hospital hildrens Hospital ublic Health ut Patients Clinic	2.1 Ately disagrerongly agreed ance Behavi  3.6  2.0 2.5 3.2 3.5 3.6	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disaee) or 2.5 1.2 1.3 1.1 1.2 1.4	29 (p < agree, 4=slightly 35 (p <  .004 .10 .1304141509
They have a chace to do everything  1=strongly disagree, 2=modera agree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st  Avoid  A	2.1 stely disagrationally agree ance Behavi 3.6  2.0 2.5 3.2 3.5 3.6  3.1 3.3 3.9 4.3	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disa e)  or  2.5  1.2 1.3 1.1 1.2 1.4	29 (p < agree, 4=slightly 35 (p <  .004 .10 .130414  -:05 .150911 .06
They have a chace to do everything  1=strongly disagree, 2=moderategree, 5=moderately agree, 6=st  Avoid  age Range Preference  Tursing Speciality Preferences  Distetrics and Pediatrics Edicine and Surgery Eriatrics Coronary Care Sychiatry  Tork Setting Preferences  Description of the Aged	2.1 stely disagrationally agree stance Behavi 3.6 2.0 2.5 3.2 3.5 3.6 3.9 4.3 5.1	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disa e)  or  2.5  1.2 1.3 1.1 1.2 1.4	29 (p < agree, 4=slightly 35 (p <  .004 .10 .130414  -:05 .150911
They have a chace to do everything  (l=strongly disagree, 2=moderately agree, 6=st	2.1 stely disagrationally agree ance Behavi 3.6  2.0 2.5 3.2 3.5 3.6  3.1 3.3 3.9 4.3 5.1 5.6	1.0 ee, 3=slightly disa e)  or  2.5  1.2 1.3 1.1 1.2 1.4	29 (p < agree, 4=slightly 35 (p <  .004 .10 .130414  -:05 .150911 .06 .20 (p <

Table 2.

TWO-WAY CROSS TABULATION: DEATH ANXIETY/ATTITUDES TOWARD OLDER PEOPLE, BY YEAR'IN THE BACCALAUREATE PROGRAM

Year	•	N .	Df	Chi-Square	Signif
Freshmen		35 °	.110	135.6	.04
Sophmores		42	120	151.8	.02
Juniors		18	. 48	63.7	.06
Seniors		47	<sub>*</sub> 90	94.5	. 34

Table 3.

TWO-WAY CROSS TABULATION: DEATH ANXIETY/MARLOW-CROWNE SOCIAL DESIRABILITY, BY YEAR IN THE BACCALAUREATE PROGRAM

Year	*7		N		. Df	,	Chi-Square	Signif
Freshmen		,	35₩.		140		133,19	.64
Sophmores			43		180		189.09	.30
Juniors			18		42		38.25	.63
Seniors			47	4	108		89.76	. 89

Table 4.

WO-WAY CROSS TABULATION: DEATH ANXIETY/ATTITUDES TOWARD GERIATRIC NURSING, BY YEAR INTHE BACCALAUREATE PROGRAM

N	Df	Chi-Square	Signif
34	80	87.81	.25
42	96	97.51	.43
17	30	30.76	. 42
47	63	62.54	. 49
	34	34 80 42 96 17 30	34 80 87.81 42 96 97.51 17 30 30.76

Table 5.

TWO-WAY CROSS TABULATION: ATTITUDES TOWARD GERIATRIC NURSING/
MARLOW-CROWNE SOCIAL DESIRABILITY, BY YEAR IN THE BACCALAUREATE
PROGRAM

Year	· N. Y.	Df	Chi-Square	Signif
Freshmen ·	36	112	• 141.26	.03
Sophmores	42	120	136.50	.14
Juniors `	18	35	39.95	.25
Seniors	47	84	108.39	.03

Table 6.

MEANS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS OF DEATH ANXIETY, BY FEAR IN THE BACCALAUREATE PROGRAM

Yeat	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Mean %	Std Dev
Freshmen	35	1.000	13:000	5.40.	36	3.10
Sophmores	43	1.000	15.000	6.88	46	3.35
Juniors	13	1.000	11,000	6.11	41	2.08
Seniors / All Years ·	47 143	1.000 1.000	12.000 15.000	7.00 6.46	47 · ·	2.65 2.98

Table 7.

MEANS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS OF ATTITUDES TOWARD OLDER PEOPLE,
BY YEAR IN THE BACCALAUREATE PROGRAM

Year	· N	Minimum	Maximum	, Noan	Mean %	Std Dev	
Freshmen	37	1.000	12.000	5.94	27	2.65	
Sophmores	44	1.000	16.000	5.72	26	2.71 ·	
Juniors	19	1.000	16.000	6.05	28	.3.08	
Seniòrs .	49	1.000	11.000	4.75	22 . 1	2.28	
All Years	149	1.000	16.000	5.50	25	2.64	

### Table 8.

MEANS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS FROM THE MARLOWE-CROWNE SOCIAL DESIRABILITY SCALE (1960), BY YEAR IN THE BACCALAUREATE PROGRAM

Year		٦	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Mean %	Std Dev
Freshmen	,		37		. 20.000	11.59	55	4.19
Sophmores			43	1.000	18.000	10.67	51	4.22
Juniors		•	19	4.000	14.000	7.74	37 v	3.19
Seniors			47	4.000	17.000	10.02	48	3.31
All Years			146	1.000	20.000	10.32	49	3.96

Table 9.

"MEANS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS OF ATTITUDES TOWARD GERIATRIC NURSING, BY YEAR IN THE BACCALAUREATE PROGRAM

Freshmen 36 1.000 9.000 5.41 26 2.09 / Sophmores 43 1.000 9.000 4.74 22 2.19 Juniors 18 1.000 7.000 4.11 20 1.77 Seniors 48 1.000 9.000 4.92 23 2.11 All years 145 1.000 9.000 4.88 23 2.11	Year		N	Minimum	Maximum	Me an	Me'an %	Std Dev
145 1.550	Sophmores Juniors		743 18 48	1.000 1.000 1.000	9.000 7.000	4.74	22 20	2.19 1.77

- Table 10.
PATIENT AGE RANGE PREFERENCE

Preference in %	N=144
48 17 2 5	,
	,

Table 11., NURSING SPECIALITY PREFERENCE BY RANK ORDER

Mean	Std Dev	
2.0	. 41.2	
2.5	1.3	
3.2 🍎	1.1	*
3.5	1.2	
3.6	1.4	
	2.0 2.5 3.2	2.0 2.5 3.2 1.3 3.5 1.1 1.2

- <u>Table 12.</u>
WORK SETTING PREFERENCE BY RANK ORDER

Work Settings	Mean	Std Dev	N=142
General Hospital	3.1	2.2	
Childrens Hospital	3.3	2.5	
Public Health -	3.9	2.3	111
Out Patients Clinic	4.3	2.2	
Rehabilitation Hospital	5.1	2.2	,
Inst. for the Aged	5.6	2.0	
Inst. for Mentally Retarded	6:3	2.1	
Psychiatric Hospitals	6.4	2.5	
Schools of Nursing	6 7	2.4	

a