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## ABSTRACT
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## INqRODUCTION

Two-year, colleges are springing up all over this country and have been referred to as one of the most dynamic, moving forces of this decade. The old concept "two years of general education and two years of specialized education" is being strongly challenged. In Lts place is coming vocational and technical education. In some places this demand produces problems that the already existent reading programs are not very well equipped to handle. Reading instructors in these programs are grabping for the knowledge and capability to meet the varied demands for reading training.

In many instances it is dubious as to whether or not materials adequately meet the reading needs of students who are in the broad spectrum of equcational programs. Many adults do not develop skills necessagy for truly independent reading. While they may experience success at first, too often they remain unable to progress to more difficult reading material because they lack the means to unlock words that have not been directly taught to them.

Often reading programs for the junior college student who is experiencing difficulty with the reading task arefset up along the same guidelines ag the junior or senior high school remedial reading Program, By this time the student has lost
much of his motivation to even attempt this by now dull, unrewarding task. It seems worth considering the point that the reading problems of junior college students in the vocational and technical programs might be more adequately solved or, reduced if materials not only met their interests but were of a reading level commensurate with their actual independent reading levels as well as instructional levels.

Many previously successful methods of teaching reading have not worked with the student in the vocational and technical school reading courses. These students' primary needs are not rate training or extension of study skills. Rather, they usually are in need of general reading improvement. This student reader differs from his student counterparts in certain specific ways. Perhaps each of these needs to be taken into consideration if experiences in reading instruction for the vocational and technical students are to be productive.

Finch (1969) pointed out that more than half of twoyear college students are in need of remedial or "compensatory" programs. Feurs (1970), studying the relationship of reading comprehension scores (Davis and Nelson Denny Tests) to achievement of junior college students in eight different curriculum areas, found significant differences anong the areas for each of the reading comprehension measures; significant differences between rales and females were in general not found; significant relationships between vocabulary scores and grade point average were also found:

In considering the readability of particular texts, one must consider the eppropriateness of the texts to the reader's purpose in reading and his interest and background in the subject matter. Dale and chali (1948) pointed this out in commenting on the application and interpretation of their readability formula:
to say that a given article. . is comfortable rearing for average adults because it has a predicted grade level of VII-VIII is giving an incomplete picture. For readers who have no interest or no background (in the subject) the article will probably not be comfortable reading.

## Procedure

## Data Analyzation

Twenty-four reading teachers from selected junior colleges in the State of Mississippi returned completed questionnaire forms; however, due to the nature of the data, some totals exceed the 24 total of returnees. As shown in Table 1, 25 percent of the reading teachers were male and 75 percent were female.

TABLE 1
THE NUMBER OF READING TEACHERS REPORTING
AS TO MALE OR FEMALE

| Sex | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 6 | 25.00 |
| Female | 18 | 75.00 |
| Total | 24 | 100.00 |

The highest percentage of reading instructors was in the age range of' 31 - 40 years of age, as shown in Table 2. The second highest number was in the range of $25-30$ years of age.

TABLE 2
AGE RANGE OF READING TEACHERS -

| Age Range | Number | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $25-30 \mathrm{yrs}$, | 6 | 25.00 |
| $31-40 \mathrm{yrs}$. | 9 | 37.50 |
| $41-45 \mathrm{yrs}$. | 2 | 8.30 |
| $46-50 \mathrm{yrs}$. | 3 | 12.50 |
| Over 50 yrs. | 4 | 16.70 |
| Total | 24 | 100.00 |

As shown in Table 3, the years of experience are varied, with experience being recorded in many facets of education. The years of experience are accumulative from $1-20$ years by level of teaching. A substantial number (52 percent) had from 1 to 5 years of teaching experience on the junior college level, and 40 percent had 6 to 10 years of experience in junior college teaching.

TABLE 3

NUMEER OF. YEARS OF EXPERIENCE IN TEACHING AS REPORTED BY READING INSTRUCTORS.

| Level | $\begin{aligned} & 1-5 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ |  | Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11-15 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 16-20 \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ |  | Over 20 Years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | 8 | No. | 吘 | No, | \% | No. | 各 | No. | 8 | Total |
| Junior College | 12 | 52 | 9 | 40 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 100.00 |
| Senior High | 7 | 78 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.00 |
| Junior High | 7 | 88 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.00 |
| Elementary | 8 | 67. | 1 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 100.00 |
| *Other | 9 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.00 |

*"Other" includes from 1 year to 14 years spent teaching or working in a college reading clinic, Manpower Development training, special education teaching at a state school for the mentally retarded, math, supervising reading teachers, teaching reading in a four-year college, and teaching technical courses in the military.

Sixty-four percent of the reading teachers reported having a master's degree as their highest degree, and 28 percent reported the bachelor's degree as their highest degree as shown in Table 4.
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TABLE 4
THE HIGHEST DEGREE HELD BY READING TEACHERS


At the master's level, 27 percent of the reading instructors.received this degree in reading as shown in Table 5. The second largest number, 3 (Il percent), of the reading instructors held the master's degree in secondary education. In addition; 11 percent also represents the number of reading instructors who held the bachelor's degree in secondary education and those who held this degree in vocational/ industrial and technical education. one of the reading instructors had a specialist's degree in reading,

TABLE 5
THE MAJOR FIELD AND THE HIGHEST DEGREE HELD BY READING INSTRUCTORS


A large percentage of the reading instructors had other responsibilities other than those responsibilities included in teaching reading (see Appendix B).

The number of students enrolled in the reading programs within the junior colleges varied considerably from junior college to junior college. As shown in Table 6,5 percent of
the reading teachers had from 180-189 students, while the highest percentage ( 20 percent) of the reading instructors had from 40-49 students enrolled in reading.

TABLE 6
NUMBER OF STUDENTS ENROLLED IN VARIOUS READING PROGRAMS PER TEACHER UNIT


Thirty percent of the reading teachers had from $1-20$ vocational/ technical students enrolled in the reading program, and 17 percent of the reading teachers had from $41-60$ reading students; 17 percent of the reading teachers reported from $81-100$ vocational/technical students enrolled in the reading program, as shown in Table 7.

TABLE 7

NUMBER OF VOCATIONAL/TECHNICAL STUDENTS $\because E N R O L L E D$ IN THE READING PROGRAMS PER TEACHER UNIT

| Number of Vocational/Technical Students in Reading | Numb Rea Teac | er of ing hers | Percentage of Teachers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-20$ | 5 |  | 30.00 |
| $21-40$ | 2 |  | 12.00 |
| 41 - 60 | 3 |  | 17.00 |
| 61 - 80 | 0 |  | 0.00 |
| 81-100 | 3 |  | 17.00 |
| Over 100 | - 2 |  | 12.00 |
| No Estimate | 2 |  | 12,00 |
| Total | 17 |  | 100.00 |

Sixty percent of the vocational reading teachers felt that academic and vocational students should be separated in the reading program as shown in Table 8. Approximately 40 percent
of the instructors felt that academic and vocational students should not be separated for reading instruction.

TABLE 8
THE ORINIONS OF THE VOCATIONAL READING TEACHERS
AS TO THE SEPARATION OF ACADEMIC STUDENTS AND VOCATIONAL STUDENTS IN READING

|  | No. | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No: | 6 | 40.00 |
| Yes | 9 | 60:00 |
| Total | 15 | 100.00 |

In reference to the method used in selecting students for reading instruction, approximately 27 percent of the reading instructors stated that selection was based upon instructor request, also, 27 percent stated that selection was made by diagnostic pre-testing, as shown in Table 9. Eighteen percent of the instructors stated that students attended on a compulsory basis. The average class size is 26 students per instructor.

# METHODS UTILIZED IN SELECTING STUDENTS FOR READING INSTRUCTION 

| , Basis for Selection | No. | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vocational/Technical |  |  |
| Subject Area | 1 | 9.00 |
| Compulsory | 2 ' | 18.00 |
| Counselor Recommenatation | 1 | 9.00 |
| Instructor Request and Class Performance | 3 | 27.50 |
| No High school Diploma | 1 | 9.00 |
| Pre-testing | 3 | 27.50 |
| Total | 11 | 100.00 |

As shown in Table 10 , the regularity of discussion between the students' regular instructors and the reading teachers ranged from "more than once a week" to "never." Approximately 53 percent of the instructors discussed such problems based on student need. Less than 6 percent discussed reading problems more than once a week,
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TABLE 10
REGULARITY OF DISCUSSION OF STUDENTS' READING BETWEEN READING INSTRUCTOR AND REGULAR INSTRUCTOR


Based on data obtained from the reading instructor questionnaires, these instructors feel that the range of the reading levels of vocational students is from a first grade level through a second-year college level. This data is presented in Table 11 along with the number of classes of each responding instructor.

TABLE 11
READING LEVEL RANGE OF VOCATIONAL STUDENTS

No. of
Classes Reading Level Range



2




Reading
Grade
$-1.02 .03 .04 .05 .06 .07 .08,0 \cdot 9,010,011.012 .013 .014 .0$ Level
$\qquad$

In response to which students profited most from the reading programs, reading instructors felt that the auto mechanics students received the most benefit from the reading instruction. In addition, several other groups of students were believed to profit from this instruction, as shown in Table 12.

TABLE 12
INDICATIONS OF THE SUBJECT AREAS
PROFITING MOST FROM"READING INSTRUCTION



As shown in Table 13, the reading instructors indicated that different types of reading tests are administered to the vocational/technical students with combinations of various
tests being utilized in some instances. The greatest majority of instructors uged general reading achievement tests, other types used included word attack or word recognition tests, comprehension tests, and teacher made tests.

TABLE 13
READING TESTS ADMINISTERED TO VOCATIONAL/TECHNICAL STUDENTS


The following table indicates that tests were administered at various times during the academic year. A testing program in which pre- and post-testing were done was used by the highest percentage of reading teachers.

TABLE 14/
INDICATIONS OF WHEN VARIOUS READING TESTS WERE ADMINISTERED

| Time | No. | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orientation | 1 | 11.00 |
| Beginning of Training | 1 | 11.00 |
| Beginning of Quarter and after "First 6 Weeks | 1 | 11.00 |
| Beginning and End of Semester | 1 | 11.00 |
| Pre- and Post-Testing | 3 | 34.00 |
| Once Every 6 Weeks | 1 | 11.00 |
| Total | 9 | 100.00 |

Table 15 indicates that the majority of the reading teste were administered by the reading instructor, the remedial. instructor, or the vocational/technical counselor. Others who had this responsibility included counselors and teachers from other aspects of the student's total instructional program.

TABLE 15
THE PERSON ADMINISTERING THE READING TEST TO VOCATIONAL/TECHNICAL STUDENT
;

| Person Administering | No. | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reading Instructor <br> Remedial Instructor <br> Vocational/Technical <br> Counselor <br> Other | 5 | 38.46 |
|  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |

As shown in Table 16, approximately 55 percent of the reading teachers utilized materials from the vocutional/ technical subject areas of their students. The next highest percentage of teachers gave' a response of "not applicable."

TABLE 16


Totel
31
100.00

It appears that the wealth of types of materials utilized by the reading teachers was obtained from various trade magazines from the students" various vocational/technical. subject areas and textbooks. The trade magazines included such names : as Popular Mechanics and Popular Electronics. Less than 6 percent of the materials were made by the teachers.

TABLE 17
TYPES OF MATERIALS UTILIZED
IN TEACHING READING

| Materials | No. | Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trade Magazines | $\ddots$ | 3 | 17.65 |
| Textbooks | 13 | 76.47 |  |
| Teacher-Made | 1 | 5.88 |  |
| Total |  | 17 | 100.00 |

The majority of the teachers indicated that they did not use vocabulary lists drawn from the content of the vocational/ technical programs. This may be due to a number of various reasons such as no composite vocabulary ilist being available for each subject area,

## TABLE 18

UTILIZATION OF VOCABULARY LISTS FROM CONTENT OF VOCATIONAL/TECHNICAL PROGRAMS

| Yes | No. | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yometimes | 6 | 24.00 |
| No | 4 | 16.00 |
| Total | 15 | 60.00 |

In response to the question as to whether or not visual $\theta$ and auditory acuity test were administered to the students enrolled in the reading programs, 73 percent of the teachers indicated that these types of tests were not scheduled on a regular basis. The remaining 27 percent of the teachers did not administer this type of testing.

TABLE 19
SCCHEDULING OF VISUAL AND/OR•AUDITORY ACUITY TESTS


Reading instructors of vocational/technical students. indicated that programs could be improved in various ways. Sixty percent of the instructors who responded indicated that : more materials would improve the reading programs, An equal percentage of the remaining respondents indicated that both organization in scheduling and emphasis on content skills would prove beneficial in reading program improvement.

TABLE 20
SUGGESTIONS AND/OR NEEDS IN PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS FOR VOCATIONAL/TECHNICAL STUDENTS IN READING

| Suggestions | No. | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| More Materials | 6 | 60.00 |
| Organization in Scheduling | 2 | 20.00 |
| More Content (skills) | 2 | 20.00 |
| Total | 3 | 10 |

Reading instructor expenditures varied as to the amount of money spent for reading materials, as shown in Table 21. Figures in the table are given as percentages of respondents.

|  | Percent in 1970-71 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent in } \\ 1971-72 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent in } \\ 1972-73 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent in } \\ 1973-74 \end{gathered}$ | Total Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$501-\$1,000 | 15,00 | 31.00 | 23.00 | 31,00 | 100.00 |
| \$100 - \$500 | 11.00 | 11.00 | $\therefore 33,00$ | 45.00 | 100.00 |
| No Funds | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 100.00 |
| Unknown | 29.00 | 26.00 | 24.00 | 21.00 | 100.00 |

Reading instructors indicated that various types of materials were utilized in the teaching of reading to vocational and technical students, as shown in the following table. The materials were categorized into software, hardware, and other; Software was by far the most frequently used type of instructional material.

TABLE 22

## UTILIZATION OF INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS



SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS
The data collection from the vocational reading instructors points to various factors that lead to the following conclusive statements,

1. The reading instructors have varied amounts of educational training and preparation; approximately 64 percent of the reading teachers have master's degrees,
2. The largest percentage of reading instructors who have master's degrees have these degrees in reading.
3. The largest percentage of the reading teachers have from 40-99 students enrolled in reading.
4. Sixty percent of the reading teachers felt that vocational students and academic students should be separated in the reading program.
5. The most prevalent methods of selecting students for reading instruction were pre-testing and instructor request based upon class performance.
6. Approximately 53 percent of the reading instructors indicated that students' reading was discussed with the vocational or technical instructor on an irregular basis; approximately 18 percent of the reading instructors held weekly conferences with these instructors concerning the students' rending.
7. The reading levels of the vocational and technical students included in the study was approximated by the reading teachers to range from grades $1=14$,
8. The two subject areas that appeared to profit most $\cdots$ from reading instruction in the opinions of the reading instructors were Auto Mechanics (33.33\%) and Electronics Technology (16.66\%).
9. A general reading achievement test was the type of test that was most often administered to vocational/technical students by the reading instructors.
10. The reading tests were generally utilized on a preand post-testing basis as a method to determine entry and exit grade achievement levels.
11. Approximately 55 percent of the reading instructors indicated that they utilized commercially prepared vocational/ technical materials in the teaching of reading. Whereas, less than 6 percent employed teacher-made materials.
$\checkmark$ 12. The majority of the reading instructors (76\%) indicated that textbooks were the main instructional sources utilized in the teaching of reading,
12. There is no consistency in the scheduling of visual垂 and/or auditory acuity tests for vocational and technical students in reading programs.
13. A large percentage (60\%) of the reading instructors indicated that improvements in the teaching of reading could be brought about if a larger variety of materials and media were available in vocational and technical education and reading.
14. The amount of funds available from year to year for reading instructional materials appeared to be inconsistent.

## APPENDICES
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## APPENDIX A

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR READING INSTRUCTORS

## VOCATIONAL READING DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM <br> Questionnaire for Reading Instructors

Please read the questions carefully, and indicate your response to each question, If a question is not applicable to you or your teaching situation, indicate this by writing "NA" besidé the question. If you desire, you may add comments or explain any of your answers.

Please return by November 18, 1974.

1. Name:

Sex: Male_ Female_Junior College $\qquad$
2. Age: $25-30$ 31-40_ $41-45 \ldots$ Over 50
3. Number of years of experience in teaching:

Junior College Reading $\qquad$
Elementary School $\qquad$
Junior High School $\qquad$
Senior High School $\qquad$
Other $\qquad$ $\therefore$
4. Highest degree earned: $\qquad$
5. Major field in highest degree earned: $\qquad$
6. Other degrees earned: $\qquad$ Major: $\qquad$
7. What other responsibilities do you have in addition to the teaching of reading? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
8. What is the total number of students that you serve in the reading program?
9. What is the number of Vocational/Technical students presently being served in your reading program? $\qquad$
10. Do you feel Vocational/Technical students and academic students should be separated in the reading programs? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ Why?
$\qquad$
11. How do you schedule Vocational/Technical students in the reading program? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
12. What is the largest and smallest size class you had last year?. Largest $\qquad$ Smallest $\qquad$ Number of students seen individually $\qquad$
13. . How often do you confer with Vocational/Technical student's instructors about the reading problems experienced by their students? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
14. Is credit given to students for your class? If so, how much credit? $\qquad$ Does this credit count toward graduation? -
$\qquad$
15. What is the range of reading ability of Vocational/Technical students served in your program? $\qquad$
16. Which occupational group of Vocational/Technical students profits most in your program? Which group profits least, and why? $\qquad$
17. List reading tests administered to Vocational/Technical students in your classes and indicate when administered and by whom.

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
18. Do you use materials drawn from vocational and technical courses pursued by your students? _ Please list these.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
19. Do you use vocabulary lists drawn from content of vocational/ technical programs pursued by your students? $\qquad$
20. If so, from which programs do you draw these lists? (Please attach lists to this questionnaire) $\qquad$
$\qquad$
21. Do you administer visual and auditory acuity tests? $\qquad$ If so, what instruments do you use?
$\qquad$
22. Approximately what number of uncorrected problems was 'detected last year? Visual Auditory $\qquad$
2,3. What are your suggestions and/or needs in program improvement for Vocational/Technical students in reading? $\qquad$

24. Approximately how much money did you have for purchasing materials designed for Vocational/Technical students: 1970-71 $\qquad$ 1972-73 $\qquad$ 1971-72 $\qquad$ 1973-74 $\qquad$
25. What materials do you use with your Vocational/Technical students? Please list: Conit items used only occassionally)

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

APPENDIX B
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