DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 134 149   IR 004 308

AUTHOR   Blum, Richard A.
TITLE   Developing the Television and Film Writer.
PUB DATE   Oct 76
NOTE   14p.; Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the
              National Association of Educational Broadcasters
              (Chicago, Illinois, October 24-27, 1976)

EDRS PRICE   MF-$0.83 HC-$1.67 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   College Programs; Films; *Playwriting; *Scripts;
              Television; *Writing Skills
IDENTIFIERS   Writers Guild of America West

ABSTRACT
              Increasing interest of college students in
scriptwriting suggests a need for more professional writing programs.
Such programs should be directed by faculty members with experience
in both writing and teaching. Writing format and techniques are two
major emphases. Students can learn the proper format from accessible
scripts, techniques from structured training in creating ideas,
outlining treatments, scripting and revising the draft. References in
the theory, practice, and techniques of writing are included. (SC)

DEVELOPING THE TELEVISION AND FILM WRITER

by

Dr. Richard A. Blum,
Area Head of Writing
Department of Radio-TV-Film
University of Texas at Austin

National Association of Educational Broadcasters Convention
October, 1976
Chicago, Illinois

In the past few years, the study of film and television has grown incredibly on campus with an enormous number of students enrolled in a variety of courses -- including scriptwriting, the "avante garde" of writing programs. The fact that so many potential writers are first exposed to the discipline on campus suggests a heavy responsibility for the university, and opens it up to some critical inquiry.

For example, who is teaching writing? Is it an active TV or film writer; an instructor with some genuine creative flair and expertise? Or is it someone who never saw a script before in his life, and doesn't really care to; is he someone assigned to teach an otherwise uncovered course? From coast to coast, campus to campus, the entire spectrum of possibilities is represented. The fact is, there is no standardization of faculty, and there is a shortage of people with real qualifications. Some schools, e.g., U.S.C., U.C.L.A., N.Y.U., Loyola, Wisconsin, and Texas (yes, I said "Texas"), have legitimate scriptwriting programs that help prepare a student to face the real world and to enter the mainstream of the profession. But other schools simply fall short of the professionalism expected by the industry.

Professionals are concerned about the problem on campus, if for no other reason than self-preservation (the market is inundated by unusable scripts). Certain writers are particularly interested in activating writing programs

on campus, and have had unusual success. These Writer-Professor hyphenates
(who will, no doubt split into a Professor's Caucus), include Bill Froug,
Harlan Ellison, Jerry McNeely, Ed Anhalt, Irwin Blacker, Milt Gelman, and
many others who know whereof they teach. Other writers are involved in
workshops, guest lecturing, serving as artists-in-residence, etc., promoting
the professional-academic contact. That same interest and concern is reflected
by the W.G.A.W.'s Academic Liaision Committee, which endorsed a proposal by
the National Association of Educational Broadcasters (N.A.E.B.) to find parity
of esteem between creative and scholarly works published by faculty members.
In essence this suggests a new look at the "publish-or-perish" syndrome on
campus, involving the creative activities of a Writer-Professor. The W.G.A.W.
Board of Directors officially supported that proposal.

The interest of professionals is vital for developing a meaningful
writing program on campus. This does not mean that all writers will necessarily
make good teachers, or that all teachers must have an armload of credits. It
simply means that professional experience is an unqualified asset, especially
when combined with essential attributes of good teaching. What are those at-
tributes? The same as those for a story consultant-producer-psychologist-guru.
The writing instructor must know howto inspire creativity, to nurture it, to
discipline it, to supervise its development, and to ultimately evaluate it.
In this context his screen credits are less important than his skill as a
communicator, and his ability to initiate and sustain a relevant course of
study.

Let's transcend the real world for a moment, and draw an idyllic
picture of the writing teacher and the optimum writing program. LOCALE:
A sprawling university, dedicated to the principles of professionalism,
located in the heart of Beverly Hills, a heartbeat away from Hollywood.
THE STUDENT: A bright, talented person, original in thought, prolific in
ideas, and calloused in typing fingers. He or she has gobs of financial
support, and boasts family contacts throughout the highest echelons of
the Black Tower. THE INSTRUCTOR: A seasoned professional, genuinely inter-
ested in developing fresh and new talent. He conducts story conferences much
like the ones he holds daily at Paramount. Under this optimum tutorial environ-
ment, it's only a short step from the student's typewriter to the William
Morris Agency; from anonymity to renown; from eeking out a living to
eating out at Chasen's; from entering the T.B.S. lot in a VW to riding out
in a custom-made Mercedes.

Unfortunately, the optimum environment is as much a fiction as The Six
Million Dollar Man. Even with established professionals guiding them, rela-
tively few student projects are immediately sold. But the projects are in
proper form and style, and the students are that much closer to consummating
their first deal. This brings us to another major problem on the university
campus -- many student scripts are simply not appropriate, in content or in
form, for the professional marketplace. Assuming for a moment that writing
can be taught (and I belive it can -- in the same sense that acting, painting,
and music can be "taught"), I'd like to suggest some guidelines for correcting
that problem.

As any writer, agent, or producer can attest, the marketplace is saturated with stories and scripts by working professionals and "almost"-professionals. If the student is going to battle the windmills of Universal, Columbia, and M.G.M., he or she had better have a script that can compete credibly in the wars. All worthy projects won't be produced (in fact, the majority won't), but if a script is well-written -- in concept, form, and style -- it has a better chance of being read. If a script looks like a mutilated hybrid of stage play/novella/video/film, it won't get past the secretary. Lack of proper form is a major problem on many campuses. Why? One reason is that scripts are not available for students (and some instructors) to gain familiarity with formats. Current scripts can be found littering the studio file cabinets, abandoned on studio stages, or burned as offerings to Lew Wasserman. But they're hardly ever found on campus. A typical writing class may thumb through the weary pages of a ten year old Hee Haw script to discover what a "real" screenplay looks like. It's a predicament -- especially for colleges outside the boundaries of the major production centers. I'd like to suggest, for the sake of the student writer as well as the studio reader, that we explore avenues to make scripts available to college campuses. The fight against screenwriting illiteracy may also represent a substantial and untapped area for additional revenue from distribution of scripts -- if the copyright problem can be resolved between writer and studio, and if a publisher or studio invests in the national collegiate market for distribution. The need is well established, and the marketplace is wide open.

Let me go back to the guidelines for a writing program, and assume that the proper format is a fait accompli. Now "technique" becomes the predominant concern. It's an all-inclusive, all-powerful, all-ambiguous word, encompassing every step of writing -- from the germination of the idea to story plotting; from visualization to characterization; from the development of the first draft to the revision of the final draft. It would help to clarify the illusion that scriptwriting involves one technique, when in fact it breaks down into four: (1) creating and conceptualizing the idea; (2) writing the treatment; (3) scripting the first draft; (4) revising the screenplay.

If the student writer analyzes the early stages of scriptwriting, he must begin with the idea, itself. Ideas are derived from an infinite number of sources, ranging from our own sensitivity and ingenuity to circumstance, happenstance, and anything else within the province of the universe (and sometimes beyond). The technique of conceptualizing that idea involves several key questions: Is it unique? Is it capable of intriguing fifty million viewers or movie-goers? Is it visually exciting? Is it capable of sustaining one hundred twenty pages? And most important, is it marketable to a producer, network, or studio?

The last question should be the pivotal one for evaluation in any successful writing program. The scriptwriter must know the realities of the profession and work creatively within that framework. Some academicians may be concerned that marketability means "selling out". Not at all. It means considering the quality, artistry, and style of the piece as much as it means considering the castability, relevancy, and packaging potential of the project. If an idea is

unique, and it is developed creatively and visually, the project's value
is increased considerably, and the writer's potential shines.

The next stage--writing the treatment--affords an opportunity to
develop another critical technique. It involves the careful plotting of
the story through selective use of sequences; developing the hook and
twists throughout all the action in the story; depicting credible and dimen-
sional characters in a concise style; defining the mood and pacing of the
potential film, itself.

It's not until the first draft that the actual technique of <u>scriptwriting</u>
can be taught. Here is a new process, borne of the conceptualized idea and the
outlined treatment. The script brings to life the ideas, the places, the charac-
ters, the action -- all of which seem consistent, visually exciting, and
totally identifiable. The technique of the first draft needs to deal with
such fundamental scripting problems as exposition (is it forced? Is it revealed
awkwardly in huge hunks of dialogue?); plotting (is the storyline lost in some
overwritten areas? Is the build as effective as possible?); characterization
(is the character acting and reacting like a real human being? Is each character
different from the other?).

Just a word more on the problems of characterization in the first draft.
The beginning writer often has difficulty dealing with the inner sense of
dialogue that sparks credibility and identifiability. There might be a tendency
to write choppy, staccato, unnatural dialogue, or to over-theatricalize some
dialogue, making the character sound literary and pretentious. The opposite
happens, too. A script may be peopled with characters misplaced from a 1950's

version of <u>A Streetcar Named Desire</u> ("Well...uh...I...um...we'l...y'know what
I mean, huh..? Hmmm?") It might be helpful for the student writer to consider
himself the ultimate actor, playing twenty different roles, each fully realized
and spontaneous. The writer must conjure up the moment-to-moment realities of
each character, each action, each interaction through his own power of intuition.

Another question must be considered at this stage in developing technique.
Is the description vivid? Is the visual imagery working? It may sound a bit
elementary, but often the beginning writer creates a play without action
("Oh, I thought you'd <u>know</u> I wanted the character to light a match and burn
his foot when he says those lines."). The action must not be taken for granted;
it's an integral part of the visualization. The action must be described,
the locales intricately painted, the shots forcefully implied (but <u>not</u> explicitly
directed). The potential for the script is in the hands of the writer, who
must think and act like the first creative artist.

Now we move to the final technique -- the rewrite stage -- which is the
most arduous and puzzle-like challenge for any writer. It involves the ability
to juxtapose scenes and character to build a tightly compressed final project.
It   incorporates the sharpening of dialogue, the trimming of scenes, the
bolstering of action, the careful orchestration of pacing and mood. The rewrite
process is a learning experience which is essential to the development of any
writer. If the rewrite has been successful, the revised draft will be somewhat
useful in proving the student's potential. It should be evaluated according
to the same criterion established by the industry: "Is it saleable?"
The student must compare his or her concept, plot, action, form, and style
against the current expectations and standards of the industry.

Obviously, there are many other areas that need to be explored in writing classes on campus, from developing original TV series presentations (with formats, character descriptions, pilot story, and future storylines) to packaging and marketing original feature screenplays. If writing students are to seriously benefit from their initial training in college, they have to be equipped to deal with the realities of their chosen profession. One of those realities was suggested by William Inge when he referred to Broadway as a place you can make a killing -- but not a living. He might have said the same about Hollywood. The writer who wishes to enter the mainstream of the profession must have the talent, the technique, and the insuperable perserverance (not necessarily in that order) to succeed. The colleges and universities have a responsibility to oversee that development, and to help potential writers graduate with more than just a degree under their arms. Hopefully, a student will be carrying some original properties into the arena, and with fortitude and contacts, one may even sell for $300,000. If the student holds out for a percentage of the profits, we know he's been trained well.

A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY FOR

DEVELOPING THE TELEVISION AND FILM WRITER

by

Dr. Richard A. Blum
Area Head of Writing
Department of Radio-Television-Film
University of Texas at Austin

11

A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY FOR DEVELOPING THE TELEVISION AND FILM WRITER

Dr. Richard A. Blum

Format and Technique of TV & Film Writing.

Books offering a solid approach to script formats are a scarce commodity, with professional screenplays serving as the most reliable modeling source. One very worthwhile attempt to codify writing formats is:

Coopersmith, Jerry. Professional Writer's Teleplay/Screenplay Format: A brief (24pp.) but thorough guide to the accepted script format of the TV and motion picture industries. Published by the Writers Guild of America, East (1970), 22 West 48th St., New York, N.Y. Cost: $2.00.

Other books dealing with writing technique are not particularly outstanding, although they are often used for lack of more informative material available:

Barnouw, Eric. The Television Writer. New York: Hill & Wang, 1962. Good book.

Herman Lewis. A Practical Manual of Screen Playwriting. Cleveland: World Publishing, 1963. A popular and useful paperback on film writing.

Trapnel, Cole. Teleplay. San Francisco: Chandler Publishing, 1967.

Vale, Eugene. Technique of Screenplay Writing. New York: Grosset and Dunlap, 1972.

Willis, Edgar E. Writing TV and Radio Programs. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1967.

Theory and Practice of TV and Film Writing.

One of the classic books in the field of dramatic theory, construction, and development remains most relevant for writers in the filmic media. It's one of the few essential books:

Egris, Lajos. The Art of Dramatic Writing. New York: Simon and Schuster, 1966.

Works dealing specifically with the theory and practice of TV and film writing include:

Ellison, Harlan. "Writing for Television Today." Writer's Digest, July, 1976, pp. 15-21. Ellison's article is especially relevant and practical; a clever discourse on the problems of writing for network television.

Froug, William, ed. The Screenwriter Looks at the Screenwriter. New York: Dell Publishing, 1972. An unusually informative compilation of interviews with outspoken screen writers, who discuss their theories and thoughts on writing as a profession.

Two works outline the controversial issue of censorship as a practical
problem faced by the writer in the television medium:

Blum, Richard A. "Freedom and Censorship in Dramatic Television Writing".
     Resources in Education, November, 1976. From a paper presented at the
     Freedom to Create convention, Tallahassee, Florida, January, 1976.

Rintels, David W. Statement Before the Senate Subcommittee on Constitutional
     Rights, February 8, 1972. This is an exceptionally powerful statement,
     offering a detailed and incisive look at the issue of censorship in
     TV writing, rich with anecdotes and statistics. The author is now Presi-
     dent of the Writers Guild of America, West.

Television Program Development: The Creation of New TV Series.

There is no book available that offers information to the writer on technique
and format of series presentations. Two works, however, are invaluable to the
writer contemplating the creation of an original series:

Miller, Merle and Evan Rhodes. Only You, Dick Daring. New York: William Sloan
     and Associates, 1964. This remains a classic demonstration of how a pilot
     is developed by the writer, aborted by the studio, and mangled by the network.

Whitfield, Steve and Gene Roddenberry. The Making of Star Trek. New York:
     Ballantine Books, 1972. This paperback provides an excellent account of
     how the series was put together, from conception and development to sale
     and production.

Agents and Marketing.

A complete list of literary agents approved by the Writers Guild of America
is offered at no charge by the Writers Guild:

"Agencies That Have Subscribed to the Writers Guild of America - Artists Manager
Basic Agreement". The list of agents includes information pertaining to which
agents will consider material from novice writers. Contact the Writers Guild of
America, West, 8955 Beverly Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif. 90048.

The Writers Guild also compiles a current Television Market List for each tele-
vision season, listing all shows in prime-time, contacts for each series, synopses
of individual shows, who is in charge of writer submissions, and whether story
submissions are opened or closed. Available to non-members for $1.00, from
Writers Guild of America, West, 8955 Beverly Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif. 90048.

The Scriptwriter is a new (1977) monthly trade journal for the professional
TV and film writer, dealing with all aspects of the art of film writing,
including current marketing trends. A one-year subscription is $30.00,
from The Scriptwriter, P.O. Box 1941, Hollywood, Calif.90028.

WGAW Newsletter is a monthly publication of the Writers Guild, primarily for
its membership, but non-members may purchase issues at $1.50 per issue.
It discusses the most relevant issues in open forum format. Available
from Writers Guild of America, West, 8955 Beverly Blvd. 90048.

Grants and Awards Available to American Writers is a vital funding resource
for writers in all fields. It is published annually by P.E.N.

Writers Market is an annual compilation of marketing trends in all fields of
writing, with more emphasis on literary than visual fields. Nonetheless,
it is an important compilation for writers who have interests in diverse
marketing areas. Available from Writers Market, 9933 Alliance Road,
Cincinatti, Ohio 45242.