

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 132 622

CS 501 565

AUTHOR Freedman, Martin N.
 TITLE An Experimental Study of the Effects of Message Pitch and Evaluative Meaning on Listeners' Recall.
 PUB DATE Jun 76
 NOTE 36p.; Paper presented at the Communication Association of the Pacific Convention (Kobe, Japan, June 1976)
 EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$2.06 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS College Students; Higher Education; *Language Research; *Listening; Listening Comprehension; *Oral Communication; *Recall (Psychological); Retention Studies; *Semantics
 IDENTIFIERS *Voice Pitch

ABSTRACT

In order to establish whether voice pitch and evaluative meaning play any significant role in affecting message learning (as demonstrated by listeners' recall) at the morphemic level of communication, 48 freshman students in a basic speech program participated in this study. Through individual headsets, students listened to tapes containing an introduction, the experimental material, the recall assignment, and the conclusion. Experimental material consisted of eighteen words, chosen to ensure equal semantic representation, recorded with various inflections. Results showed that neither pitch nor evaluative meaning plays a significant role in message recall at the morphemic level of language transmission and reception. A selected bibliography and six appendixes containing material related to the study are included.
 (JM)

 * Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
 * materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
 * to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal *
 * reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
 * of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
 * via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
 * responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
 * supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT
OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF
THE EFFECTS OF MESSAGE
PITCH AND EVALUATIVE MEANING
ON LISTENERS' RECALL

by

Martin N. Freedman, Ph.D.
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

A Paper Presented at the
COMMUNICATION ASSOCIATION OF THE PACIFIC CONVENTION

June 1976
Kobe, Japan

ED132622

S 501 565

"An Experimental Study of the Effects of Message Pitch and Evaluative Meaning on Listeners' Recall"

The human voice provides the user with the capacity to accomplish many things. The sounds produced by the vocal mechanism play a large part in the communication process. They also contribute in the conveying of emotions and attitudes. According to Bronstein and Jacoby, "there are four dimensions of sound that we can perceive: pitch, loudness, quality, and duration."¹ Of these dimensions, pitch is the one most frequently varied to express and heighten meaning in the oral communication process, and to convey innumerable attitudinal and emotional overtones.²

Previous research in the area of pitch and pitch usage has established the importance of this dimension in the oral communication process. In their book, Developing Vocal Skills, Hanley and Thurman declare that, "one of the major virtues of pitch control, then, is subtlety of communication, extending beyond the informational values inherent in the language we use."³ Speech scholars have shown pitch to be a determining factor in the success or failure of the vocal message. Samovar and Mills concur, and add that changes in pitch

¹Arthur F. Bronstein and Beatrice F. Jacoby, Your Speech and Voice (New York: Random House, Inc., 1967) p.219.

²Bronstein and Jacoby, p.46.

³Theodore D. Hanley and Wayne L. Thurman, Developing Vocal Skills (New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1962) p. 184.

level during the course of a communication provide the speaker with one of the most effective means of gaining attention and imparting meaning to the audience.⁴ Abernathy, in Fundamentals of Speech Communication, states, "Flexibility of pitch not only shows meaning, but also makes for brighter, more interest sustaining speech."⁵

A synthesis of what speech scholars say about the use and importance of pitch is characterized by the stressing of the significance pitch plays in the decoding of message meaning. The studies investigating pitch as a message variable deal basically with listeners' attitude toward the pitch used in the message. Typically,⁶ the investigators answer questions like, "Was the speaker easy to listen to?." For example, Lewis and Tiffin report that, "in a study of six males, who had a wide range of speaking ability, the speaker whose voice was rated best used the highest average pitch levels."⁷

⁴Larry A. Samovar and Jack Mills, Oral Communication Message and Response (Dubuque, Iowa: Wm. C. Brown Company Publishers, 1967) p. 64.

⁵Elton Abernathy, Fundamentals of Speech Communication (Dubuque, Iowa: Wm. C. Brown Company Publishers, 1964) p. 237.

⁶Representative studies include Alan H. Monroe, "Experimental Studies in the Measurement and Analysis of Audience Reactions to Student Speakers" (diss., Northwestern University, 1937); F. Allport and D. Katz, Student Attitudes (New York: Craftsman Press, 1931); E. Mary Huyck and Kenneth D. A. Allen, "Diaphragmatic Action of Good and Poor Speaking Voices," Speech Monographs, IV (1937), pp. 101-110; Grant Fairbanks and Lemar Witt Huaglin, "An Experimental Study of the Durational Characteristics of the Voice During the Expression of Emotion," Speech Monographs, VIII (1941), pp. 85-90.

⁷Don Lewis and J. Tiffin, "A Psychophysical Study of Individual Differences in Speaking Ability", Archives of Speech, Vol. I (1934) pp. 43-60.

Although previous researchers were aware of the importance pitch plays in meaning and its subheadings, learning and recall, their studies were confined to psychophysical interests.

The study of the relationship of pitch to meaning, specifically recall, has been neglected. Because pitch has been established in its importance to vocal communication, and vocal communication has been established in its importance to message reception and learning; the relationship pitch plays in the learning process, as demonstrated by listeners' recall, should be explored.

Meaning appears to be the basic concern of the speaker. To achieve the goal of evoking meaning in listeners, the speaker utilizes all the variations of sound performance possible. "Nothing is probably more detrimental to the effective use of the voice in speech, than the absence of expressive pitch changes."⁸ Without the use of pitch in vocal communication, the speaker drastically reduces the chances for the meaning to be evoked.

The meaning of the communication, when decoded, will constitute part of the learning process regardless of the message. Even in the emotional speaker, the use of pitch variations aid the listener in perceiving the thought content of the speech.⁹ If the speaker masters the use of pitch factors, he is able to make his meaning more obvious

⁸George W. Fluharty and Harold R. Ross, Public Speaking (New York: Barnes and Noble, Inc., 1966) p. 170.

⁹Alan H. Monroe, Principles and Types of Speech (Chicago: Scott, Foresman and Company, 1962) p. 96.

and clearer. The evaluative meaning that a listener places on a word before it is spoken can affect the learning process.¹⁰

Because of the established importance of message pitch and evaluative meaning, and their effect on listeners' recall, the following study was designed to establish if pitch and meaning play any significant role in affecting message learning, as demonstrated by listeners' recall, at the morphemic level of communication. A morpheme may be defined as the smallest linguistic segment that carries specific meaning.¹¹ The morphemic level is one link in the chain of phonological structure. The first link in the chain is the phonemic level. At this level groups of sounds that are functionally equivalent are grouped together. Next in the chain is the morphemic level which has been described above. The next link in the chain is the syntactical level. At the syntactical level two or more morphemes (words) are grouped together to form meaningful phrases or sentences. The last link in the chain is the discursive level. It is at this level sentences and paragraphs are organized into speeches.

¹⁰For a discussion of the relationship between evaluative word meaning and learning see William J. Jordan, "A Psychological Explication of Aristotle's Concept of Metaphor" (diss., Wayne State University, 1969). Studies surveyed in this discussion include B.H. Cohen, "Role of Awareness in Meaning Established by Classical Conditioning," Journal of Experimental Psychology, LXVII (1964), pp. 373-378; J.P. Das and P.C. Nanda, "Mediated Transfer of Attitudes", Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, LXVI (1963), pp. 12-16; F.J. DiVista and D.O. Stover, "The Semantic Mediation of Evaluative Meaning", Journal of Experimental Psychology, XVIII (1964), pp. 146-155; H.R. Pollio, "Word Association as a Function of Conditioned Meaning", Journal of Experimental Psychology, LXVI (1963), pp. 454-460; and Charles E. Osgood, George Suci, and Percy H. Tannenbaum, The Measurement of Meaning (Urbana, 1957), pp. 159-160.

¹¹Theodore Clevenger, Jr. and Jack Matthews, The Speech Communication Process (Glenview, Illinois: Scott, Foresman and Company, 1971) p. 23.

This experimental study investigated the effects of message pitch and evaluative meaning on listeners' recall. In order to interpret the descriptions and results of this study, certain hypotheses and terms will be defined.

Hypotheses and Operational Definitions

Hypotheses:

H₁: Differences in message pitch significantly affect listeners' recall of message.

H₀: A₁ = A₂ = A₃

H₂: Evaluative meaning under different pitch treatments significantly affects recall.

H₀: B₁ = B₂ = B₃

Operational definitions:

Pitch - The highness or lowness of the voice; the number of times the vocal folds rise and fall in a second.

Duration - The amount of time a given syllable at a certain pitch level is produced

Inflection - Change in pitch.

Rising pitch - An inflection that proceeds significantly (at least one whole step) upward as measured on a musical scale.¹²

Falling pitch - An inflection that proceeds significantly (at least one whole step) downward as measured on a musical scale.¹³

¹²Bronstein and Jacoby, pp. 219-222.

¹³Bronstein and Jacoby, pp. 222-225.

<u>"Good"</u>	<u>"Neutral"</u>	<u>"Bad"</u>
Laughter	Story	Poison
Mother	Thunder	Danger
Freedom	Wednesday	Hunger
Courage	Author	Anger
knowledge	Power	Defeat
Progress	River	Battle

The selection of the eighteen words in the manner described was to insure equal representation of the evaluative meanings of words.

The subjects for the experiment were 48 students taken from the basic speech program at Central Missouri State College. Students were assigned to the basic speech program (Comm. 1000) by a random process. The only controls placed on the selection of the subjects were that the subjects be classified as Freshman (not having completed 30 hours of college credit before the start of that term), and the subjects were not suffering from a physical hearing problem. The selecting of Freshman was to provide a common educational experience level. Because of the random process of assigning students to the basic speech course, built in controls against selecting students of the same major, sex, and background, etc., were in effect.

The tapes were played to the subjects through the utilization of a language laboratory. The individual headsets and volume control devices provided good controls against noise distraction. The individual cubicles and desks protected against visual distractions. The master console allowed all three tapes to be played simultaneously.

The tapes were made by Dr. Glenn Q. Pierce, Professor of Communication. Rising pitch was based on the first syllable of the word given at Dr. Pierce's optimum range,¹⁶ and the second syllable at least one step up on the musical scale. Falling pitch was based on the first syllable of the word given at optimum range, and the second syllable at least one step down on the musical scale. Level pitch was determined by repeating the optimum pitch for both syllables of the word.

The duration of the words on the tapes was 1/2 second with each syllable of the word lasting 1/4 second. The words were spoken five seconds apart.

Analysis

The tests were tabulated and analyzed in the following manner: A chi-square test was run to determine the difference in the frequency of occurrence of subjects' recall of each word at the different pitch levels.¹⁷

Chi-square Model for each Word

	Presence on recall lists	Absence on recall lists
Rising pitch		
Level pitch		
Falling pitch		

¹⁶Bronstein and Jacoby, pp. 219-225.

¹⁷Sidney Siegel, *Nonparametric Statistics* (New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 1956) pp. 42-47.

For the experiment conducted, in order for the chi-square test to be considered significant at the .05 level, a value of 3.84 or more was necessary.

In addition, a three by three (3 X 3) analysis of variance¹⁸ was run in order to determine the significance of the interaction between the different variables of pitch treatment and evaluative meaning. The results of the three by three analysis of variance was considered significant, at the .05 level, if a value of 3.14 or greater was achieved.

Model for 3 X 3 Analysis of Variance

	Rising pitch	Level pitch	Falling pitch
Positive Evaluative Meaning	Score equals number of words correctly recalled	#2	#3
Neutral Words	#4	#5	#6
Negative Evaluative Meaning	#7	#8	#9

Procedures

48 students from several 8:30 and 9:30 sections of Communication 1000 classes participated in this experiment. There were 24 participants in each of the two experimental sessions held in the language laboratory in

¹⁸B.J. Winer, Statistical Principles in Experimental Design (New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1962) pp. 412-418.

the Wood building. The two sessions were held at 9:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m. of the same day. At each session the 24 subjects were divided randomly into three equal groups and each group heard one of the tapes.

As the students entered the language laboratory they selected any seat they wished. The master console was arranged so subjects sitting in seats 1-8 heard the Tape A arrangement of the words and pitch treatments; subjects sitting in seats 9-16 heard Tape B; subjects sitting at listening posts 17-24 heard Tape C. This procedure was repeated at the second session. After the students were seated they were instructed by the proctor to place the headsets over their ears. All the dial access and recording switches had been previously taped down to avoid accidental switching of channels once the tapes had started. The only dial left untaped was the volume control switch.

In addition to the 24 subjects (at each session) hearing the tapes, a proctor was assigned to each tape to make sure the material was being transmitted properly. All the instructions for the experiment were given over the headsets (See Appendix F) by the performer of the tape. As a safeguard against individual listening posts not functioning properly students were asked to raise their hands if they could hear the tape clearly and distinctly. Each proctor was in a position to see from his listening post if everyone in his group was receiving the message. During the course of the experimental sessions a total of 16 subjects heard Tape A, 16 subjects heard Tape B, and 16 subjects heard Tape C, for a total of 48 subjects divided into three equal groups.

The tapes consisted of four parts: the introduction, the experimental material, the recall assignment, and the conclusion.

The introduction served several functions. As previously stated it was a check to see that all the subjects were receiving the message. The introduction also served as time to allow the subjects to adapt to the voice of the performer of the tape and to adjust the headsets and volume to their comfort. Included in the introduction was the request for the removal of any chewing gum or candies from the mouth. Any chewing or sucking action could have possibly interfered with the hearing process.

The experimental materials were presented next. Each tape had all eighteen words treated with various inflections. The words were spoken at five second intervals for a total time of ninety seconds.

After the words were spoken, the recall assignment was given. The subjects were instructed to take the paper and pencil provided and to list as many of the words as they could remember. A time of 180 seconds was allowed for recall.

After the time elapsed the subjects were instructed to stop writing and to hand the paper to the proctor when he came around. In the "conclusion" portion of the tape the subjects were thanked for their cooperation. The subjects were then instructed to return the headsets to the desks and were dismissed.

The data used to see if message pitch and evaluative meaning affect listeners' recall was compiled from the recall lists of the 48 subjects.

Results

In order to see if there was any significant difference in the frequency of occurrence at different pitch levels, a chi-square test was run on each word.

Table 1

Word and Meaning	Rising	Falling	Level	X ²	p
mother (G)	15	13	15	1.8	nsd
poison (B)	3	10	13	6.1	>.05
story (N)	6	9	4	2.1	nsd
author (N)	5	9	5	1.8	nsd
danger (B)	9	12	6	2.0	nsd
laughter (G)	7	10	8	0.7	nsd
defeat (B)	5	4	9	2.4	nsd
knowledge (G)	4	10	10	3.0	nsd
progress (G)	9	5	3	3.4	nsd
anger (B)	13	6	7	3.3	nsd
Wednesday (N)	7	9	10	0.6	nsd
battle (B)	5	7	6	0.3	nsd
hunger (B)	5	12	9	2.9	nsd
river (N)	10	14	7	3.1	nsd
thunder (N)	9	9	3	3.5	nsd
power (N)	5	12	11	3.0	nsd
courage (G)	9	5	5	1.7	nsd
freedom (G)	10	5	10	2.0	nsd

As demonstrated in Table 1, the chi-square test run on each word proved that there was no significant difference in the frequency of occurrence at different pitch levels. In order to be considered significant at the .05 level, a chi-square value of 3.84 was required. In seventeen out of eighteen cases at the .05 level there was no significant difference. Based upon the chi-square analysis of the words there was no evidence to reject the null hypothesis that: $H_0: A_1 = A_2 = A_3$.

Evaluative meaning and its effect on recall was next to be examined. The general hypothesis predicted that evaluative meaning under different pitch treatments would significantly affect recall.

In order to test the effect of evaluative meaning on recall, a three by three analysis of variance² was run. This test determined the significance of the interaction of the different variables of pitch treatment and evaluative meaning.

Table 2
Summary Table of Recall Scores

	b ₁ Rising	b ₂ Falling	b ₃ Level
a ₁ good	54	48	51
a ₂ bad	40	51	50
a ₃ neutral	42	62	40

¹Siegel, pp. 42-47

²Winer, pp. 412-418.

Table 3
Analysis of Variance Table
for Recall Scores as Affected by
Meaning and Pitch

Source	SS	d.f.	Ms	F	P
A: Meaning	4.00	2	2.00	0.19	nsd
B: Pitch	19.00	2	9.50	0.91	nsd
A X B	46.00	4	11.50	1.10	nsd
Error	472.00	45	10.49		
Total	541.00	53			

$$F_{95} (45,2) = 3.23$$

$$F_{95} (45,4) = 2.61$$

As demonstrated in Tables 2 and 3 the three by three analysis of variance proved there was no significant variation in the interaction of the variables of pitch and evaluative meaning. Table 3 shows the results of the effect of meaning on recall, pitch on recall, and the interaction of pitch and meaning. None of the variables proved to have a significant effect on recall at the .05 level.

Based upon the chi-square analysis of words and the three by three analysis of variance, there was no evidence to reject the null hypothesis that $H_0: B_1 = B_2 = B_3$.

CONCLUSIONS

The effects of message pitch and evaluative meaning on listeners' recall was examined in this study. The study was initiated after research in the field of speech had shown pitch and pre-conceived attitudes about meaning as important variables in message reception and comprehension.

Without exception, the pitch and evaluative meaning research reviewed referred to the message reception on the syntactical level. It was already established that certain pitch usages were indicative of certain understood meanings. For example, rising pitch has been shown to occur in inquisitive statements, and falling pitch has been evidenced in declarative statements. These pitch usages occurred at the syntactical or content level. This study attempted to investigate the pitch and meaning factors. This was accomplished by isolating the variables at the morphemic level and testing the effects on learning and recall.

For this experiment the morphemic level referred to the smallest group of recognizable sounds produced to form meaning. The morphemes used were the 18 words selected for use in the tape portion of the experiment. It was necessary to use the lowest possible level of language to properly isolate pitch and meaning factors for testing purposes. What prompted this particular study was the lack of research in this area involving isolation and experimentation.

The first hypothesis tested was that differences in message pitch significantly affects receivers' recall of message. As stated previously, the message consisted of morphemes spoken at a periodic rate. The evaluative meaning towards the words used has been determined by a pre-test conducted prior to the experiment. The first portion of the analysis

showed whether rising, falling, or level pitch treatments affected recall of the message. Each word, or message unit, was presented at all three pitch treatments on one of the three tapes.

The test results of the experiment showed that in seventeen out of eighteen cases, it made no significant difference which pitch treatment was used. This meant that the words were recalled just as well at the rising inflection, as they were at the falling and level treatments. A total of 161 words were recalled at the falling pitch treatment, 141 words at the level pitch treatment and 136 words at the rising pitch treatment. The chi-square test on each word proved there was no significant difference and the overall totals were very consistent. Although the results were not significant at the .05 level, it is interesting to note that more words were recalled at the falling pitch treatment than at the rising pitch treatment or the level treatment. According to the standards set up for this experiment, it has been proven that pitch plays no significant role in the recall of messages at the morphemic level of language transmission and reception.

The second portion of the analysis tested the effect of evaluative meaning on recall and the interaction of meaning and pitch. The purpose of this portion of the experiment was to see if "good", "bad", or "neutral" words were recalled better at one pitch treatment or another. The results of the three by three analysis of variance test indicated that there was no significant difference between the recall of "good" words at the rising, falling and level pitch treatments; and no significant differences between the recall of "bad" words at the rising, falling and level pitch treatments; and no significant difference between the recall of "neutral" words at the rising, falling and level pitch treatments. Once again at the morphemic

level, it was proven that evaluative meaning does not significantly affect receivers' recall. 153 "good" words were recalled, 144 "neutral" words, and 141 "bad" words were recalled. In accordance with the standards set up for the statistical analysis of this experiment, evaluative meaning plays no significant role in the recall of messages at the morphemic level of message transmission and reception.

Summary

The use of message pitch and evaluative meaning plays no significant role in aiding or hindering the learning process as demonstrated by listeners' recall. Analyzing the pitch factor, it was concluded that neither rising, falling, nor level pitch affects recall at the morphemic level. In analyzing the evaluative meaning factor, it was concluded that "good", "bad", and "neutral" words were recalled equally as well at the morphemic level. Based upon the findings in this experiment, it would seem that the selection of pitch treatments or evaluative meaning of message units at the morphemic level will not play a significant role in the learning of those units.

Implications for Further Research

The study of what induces recall and learning has not been researched to any great lengths from the semantic point of view. The preceding experiment attempted to research the phenomenon from one of the lower links in the chain of phonological structure, the morpheme.

Established researchers and scholars have already established the importance of pitch and evaluative meaning to message reception. The only drawback has been that the research has been done on the discursive or

complete context level (speeches, etc.). The higher up the chain of phonological structures, the harder it is to isolate the variables for testing.

While this study suggested the testing of the effects of message pitch and evaluative meaning on the morphemic level and found no significant difference, it may prove valuable to conduct a study similar to this one the next link up in the chain of phonological structure. An implication for further research would be to test the effects of message pitch and evaluative meaning at the lowest syntactical level of word phrases. Perhaps by taking one link at a time the level at which message pitch and evaluative meaning affect listeners' recall may be discovered.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abernathy, Elton. Fundamentals of Speech Communication. Dubuque, Iowa: Wm. C. Brown Company Publishers, 1964.
- Allport, F. and D. Katz. Student Attitudes. New York: Craftsman Press, 1931.
- Blankenship, Jane. Public Speaking: A Rhetorical Perspective. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall Inc., 1972.
- Braden, Waldo W. Public Speaking: The Essentials. New York: Harper and Row, Publishers, Inc., 1966.
- Bronstein, Arthur F. and Beatrice F. Jacoby. Your Speech and Voice. New York: Random House, Inc., 1967.
- Clevenger Jr., Theodore and Jack Matthews. The Speech Communication Process. Glenview, Illinois: Scott, Foresman and Company, 1971.
- Emmert, Philip and William D. Brooks. Methods of Research in Communication. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1970.
- Fairbanks, Grant and Lemar Witt Huaglin. "An Experimental Study of the Durational Characteristics of the Voice During the Expression of Emotion." Speech Monographs, VIII (1941), pp. 85-90.
- Fluharty, George W. and Harold R. Ross. Public Speaking. New York: Barnes and Noble, Inc., 1966.
- Hanley, Théodore D. and Wayne L. Thurman. Developing Vocal Skills. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1962.
- Huyck, E. Mary and Kenneth D.A. Allen. "Diaphragmatic Action of Good and Poor Speaking Voices." Speech Monographs, IV (1937), pp. 101-110.
- Jordan, William J. "A Psychological Explication of Aristotle's Concept of Metaphor." Diss., Wayne State University, 1969.
- Lewis, Don and J. Tiffin. "A Psychophysical Study of Individual Differences in Speaking Ability." Archives of Speech, I (1934), pp. 43-60.
- Monroe, Alan H. "Experimental Studies in the Measurement and Analysis of Audience Reactions to Student Speakers." Diss., Northwestern University, 1937.
- _____. Principles and Types of Speech. Chicago: Scott, Foresman and Company, 1962.
- Osgood, Charles E. Semantic Differential Technique. Chicago: Aldine Publishing Company, 1969.
- Siegel, Sidney. Nonparametric Statistics. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 1956.

Williams, Frederick. Reasoning With Statistics. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, Inc., 1963.

Winer, B.J. Statistical Principles in Experimental Design. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1962.

APPENDIX A

Student Number _____ Section _____

Directions: If you are very closely related to one end of the scale, you should place an "X" as follows:

good X : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

or

good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : X : bad

If you are quite closely related to one side of the scale as opposed to the other, mark as follows:

good _____ : X : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

or

good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : X : _____ : bad

If you are only slightly related, then mark as follows:

good _____ : _____ : X : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

or

good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : X : _____ : _____ : bad

In some cases you may be neutral. Mark as follows:

good _____ : _____ : _____ : X : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

Please work quickly. Your first impressions are most important. In addition, at the top of this page please record your student number and your section number in the appropriate places. Remember, these results are confidential and can in no way affect your grade in this course.

STAR

1. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

LUCK

2. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

CUP

3. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

SLEEP

4. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

ROPE

5. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

6. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

MAP

7. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

POISON

8. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

HEAD

9. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

DOG

10. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

HEART

11. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

SYMPATHY

12. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

HUSBAND

13. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

HUNGER

14. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

POWER

15. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

LIFE

16. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

GUILT

17. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

STONE

18. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

KNOT

19. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

WORK

20. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

MOTHER

21. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

MAN

22. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

CHAIR

23. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

PUNISHMENT

24. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
25. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
26. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
27. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
28. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
29. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
30. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
31. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
32. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
33. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
34. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
35. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
36. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
37. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
38. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
39. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
40. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
41. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

42. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

WOMAN

43. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

DOCTOR

44. good : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

MONEY

45. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

LAUGHTER

46. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

BREAD

47. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

BIRD

48. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

TONGUE

49. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

ANGER

50. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

FREEDOM

51. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

RAIN

52. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

WEDNESDAY

53. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

HAIR

54. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

PLEASURE

55. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

TRUTH

56. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

WINDOW

57. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

DEFEAT

58. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

PURPOSE



59. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

60. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

61. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

62. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

63. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

64. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

65. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

66. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

67. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

68. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

69. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

70. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

71. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

72. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

73. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

74. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

75. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

76. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

77. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
78. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
79. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
80. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
81. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
82. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
83. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
84. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
85. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
86. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
87. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
88. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
89. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
90. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
91. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
92. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
93. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
94. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad

95. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
- PICTURE
96. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
- BOOK
97. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
- ROOT
98. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
- BELIEF
99. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
- THUNDER
100. good _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : _____ : bad
- LAKE

APPENDIX B

WORDS RANKED - GOOD TO BAD BY MEAN

1.	Love	1.53	51.	Horse	3.18
2.	Truth	1.65	52.	River	3.22
3.	Friend	1.66	53.	Head	3.33
4.	Peace	1.66	54.	Choice	3.33
5.	Sleep	1.67	55.	House	3.35
6.	Laughter	1.83	56.	Bread	3.39
7.	Mother	1.84	57.	Window	3.41
8.	Freedom	1.88	58.	Bird	3.44
9.	Hope	1.94	59.	Girl	3.44
10.	Courage	2.00	60.	Seed	3.44
11.	Woman	2.06	61.	Tooth	3.44
12.	Heart	2.11	62.	Egg	3.44
13.	Life	2.11	63.	Work	3.50
14.	Trust	2.11	64.	Policeman	3.53
15.	Success	2.16	65.	Game	3.56
16.	Knowledge	2.17	66.	Need	3.56
17.	Progress	2.17	67.	Hand	3.61
18.	Pleasure	2.17	68.	Root	3.61
19.	Luck	2.17	69.	Author	3.61
20.	Respect	2.18	70.	Power	3.67
21.	Marriage	2.28	71.	Cloud	3.67
22.	Man	2.28	72.	Tongue	3.78
23.	Money	2.33	73.	Rain	3.82
24.	Belief	2.39	74.	Rope	3.83
25.	Father	2.39	75.	Story	3.83
26.	Water	2.44	76.	Ear	3.89
27.	Wealth	2.53	77.	Stone	3.89
28.	Doctor	2.56	78.	Knot	3.94
29.	Lake	2.56	79.	Chair	4.00
30.	Sympathy	2.56	80.	Thunder	4.00
31.	Music	2.59	81.	Cup	4.11
32.	Fun	2.61	82.	Cat	4.22
33.	Sun	2.67	83.	Wednesday	4.29
34.	Husband	2.72	84.	Battle	4.50
35.	Fruit	2.72	85.	Snake	4.71
36.	Meat	2.78	86.	Anger	4.71
37.	Dog	2.78	87.	Noise	4.82
38.	Moon	2.83	88.	Smoke	4.82
39.	Star	2.83	89.	Guilt	4.83
40.	Purpose	2.88	90.	Fire	4.94
41.	Future	2.94	91.	Punishment	5.00
42.	Map	2.94	92.	Hunger	5.17
43.	Book	2.94	93.	Danger	5.22
44.	Tree	2.94	94.	Poison	5.33
45.	Color	3.06	95.	Fear	5.33
46.	Fish	3.11	96.	Defeat	5.33
47.	Picture	3.11	97.	Death	5.44
48.	Hair	3.12	98.	Thief	5.50
49.	Wind	3.17	99.	Crime	5.83
50.	Heat	3.17	100.	Pain	6.00

APPENDIX C

SCRIPT OF TAPE FOR GROUP I

<u>Word</u>	<u>Correct Pronunciation</u>	<u>Pitch Treatment</u>
poison(B)	poiz-ən	→
story(N)	'stor-ē	↓
author(N)	'o-thər	↓
danger(B)	'dan-jər	↓
mother(G)	'm th-ər	→
laughter(G)	'laf-tər	↑
defeat(B)	di-'fēt	↓
knowledge(G)	'nəl-ij	↓
progress(G)	præg-rəs	→
anger(B)	'an-gər	→
wednesday	'wenz-dē	→
battle	'bat-əl	↑
hunger(B)	'hən-gər	↑
river(N)	'riv-ər	→
thunder(N)	'θen-dər	↑
power(N)	'pau(-ə)r	↑
courage(G)	'kəʀ-ij	↑
freedom(G)	'frēd-əm	↓

APPENDIX D
SCRIPT OF TAPE FOR GROUP II

<u>Word</u>	<u>Correct Pronunciation</u>	<u>Pitch Treatment</u>
power(N)	'pau(-ə)r	→
river(N)	'riv-ər	↓
battle(B)	'bat-əl	→
hunger(B)	'hən-gər	→
poison(B)	poiz-ən	↓
laughter(G)	'laf-tər	→
story(N)	'stor-ē	↑
author(N)	'o-thər	↑
freedom(G)	'frēd-əm	↑
mother(G)	'meth-ər	↓
danger(B)	'dān-ʃər	↑
anger(B)	'an-gər	↓
progress(G)	præg-rəs	↓
knowledge(G)	'nal-ɪʃ	↑
courage(G)	'kər-ɪʃ	→
wednesday(N)	'wenz-dē	↓
thunder(N)	'thən-dər	→
defeat(B)	dɪ-'fēt	↑

APPENDIX E
SCRIPT OF TAPE FOR GROUP III

<u>Word</u>	<u>Correct Pronunciation</u>	<u>Pitch Treatment</u>
laughter(G)	'laf-tər	↓
power(N)	'pau(-ə)r	↓
anger(B)	'an-gər	↑
defeat(B)	di-'fēt	→
knowledge(G)	'nal-ij	→
thunder(N)	'θən-dər	↓
river(N)	'riv-ər	↑
danger(B)	'dān-jər	→
battle(B)	'bat-əl	↓
poison(B)	poiz-ən	↑
mother(G)	'mæθ-ər	↑
freedom(G)	'frēd-əm	→
story(N)	'stor-ē	→
Wednesday(N)	'wenz-dē	↑
author(N)	'o-thər	→
progress(G)	prə'g-rəs	↑
courage(G)	'keɪ-ʃ	↓
hunger(B)	'hʌŋ-gər	↓

APPENDIX F

SCRIPT OF INTRODUCTION TO TAPES FOR ALL GROUPS

Introduction: (Given on tape over headset hookup)

Good morning. As I am talking to you during this introduction would you please take this time to adjust the volume and the headsets to your comfort, and to remove any chewing gum or candies that you may have in your mouth. Thank you for participating in our study. At this time, will all of you who can hear the sound of my voice clearly and distinctly, raise your hand and keep it up until instructed to lower it. (Pause five (5) seconds to allow proctors to check that every subject is receiving the message) Please lower your hands. On the desk in front of you, you will notice a pencil and a sheet of paper. Please do not touch these items until instructed to do so. In about one minute you will be hearing some information at a periodic rate. At the conclusion of the tape you will be asked to write certain information based on what you hear on the tape. The written part of this experiment will in no way affect your grade in Communication 1000, so please relax, and in a few seconds we will start.

[For the next ninety (90) seconds the individual tapes were played to the three groups]

[After the tapes were played, everyone heard the following]

You have just heard a series of non-related words. At this time will you please take the pencil and paper in front of you, and list in any order all the words you can remember that you have just heard on the tape. Please begin now.

[Pause of 180 seconds to allow subjects to recall]

Conclusion:

Please stop writing. Please hand the pencil and paper to the proctor when he comes around. If you are interested, a description and the results of the experiment you have just participated in will be available later this term. At this time I would like to thank you for your cooperation, and after you return the headsets to the desk, you are free to leave.