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## PRMFACE

On December 21, 1972, Governor Ronald Reagan signed into law Senate Bill 1112. This bill, authored by Senator Arl on Gregorio, 11sted a number of mothods by which persons 16 and 17 years old could be exempted from compulsosy school attendance laws. The two major conditions established by this legislation were elther attendance upon a Regional Ocoupational Center or Program, or satisfactory performance on a hiph school proficienor oxamination.

With respect to the lattor, the State Department of Bducation (SDE) was charged by the 1972 legislation with

1. dereloping standards of competeney in basde educational skdils; 2. preparing means to verify the attainment of such competency; and 3. making such means available to school districts by 1975.

This legislation was then amended by Senate Bill 52 and signed by Governor Reagan on June 25, 1973. The amendment made technical changes and specified that a proficiency certificate would be the legal equivalent of a high school diploma. Further changes whth Senate Bill 470 and Senate Bill 1243 in 1975 made techmical revisdons allowing persons possessing a high school diploma or its equivalent (proflciency cortificate) to be admitted: to a communty college.

Pursuant to the legislative mandate, the state Department of Biucation developed the California High School Profliciency Eran-: nation (CASPE), which has been administered twice to datom on Decomber 20, 1975 and Marah 27, 1976.

The establishment of the CHSPE program opens a door for potentially dramatic changes in secondary education in califormia.

## SUMMART

The Celifornia High Sohool Proficionoy Exam (CHSPE), in its first school year of operation, allows a 16-0r-17-year-old who passes it to leave school as early as age 16, with parental pexnisoton, caraing the legal equival ent of a diploma. The exam focuses upon basic reading and computing skills.

The CHSPE atteapts to reapond to two siturationss

1. A set of age-based complulsory school attendance laws whose validity is inorensinglyealled into question for insufficientiymeensoned constriction of student choices;
2. A growing outcry that today's-diploma fails to assure compotenoy in the besic reading and computing skills.

## Findings:

1. School districts have a budgetary di sincentive to be receptive to the CHSPE, because every student who eaits early results in revemue losses to the district. Consideration should be given to certain alterations in Califormia's present school IInance formulas which would remove the disincentivo.
2. A long-run bodgetary offect of the CHSPE is to shorten the period of totil sohooling time and result in potential net savings to the state.
3. A 45-studeat interview survey in Livermore reveals that students who take the exam toud
a. to have rolatively low grades
b. to be absent often and relatively disaffected with school
c. to want to start their own adult or mapricd lives as soon as practicabl.
d. to plan on ontering commaity college, working. full time, or-balanoting comminity college-and-work.
The high school may find itself preforming to invest as littie in such individuals as they prefor to invest in the bigh school. The CHSPE, then, serves as a "gentilemen's escape valvo" for both the sohool and the individual.
4. CHSPE cortificate-holders who intend to work full time may be squesused out of the labor market by tho se who are older, more experieaced, or more highly oredentialed.

Fron the educationel standpoint, commanity colleges may not clamor for these young individuals, to the extent that theo would swall copmity college romedial programs.

Therefore, choice outside the high sohool way be limited for CRSPE certifl cate-holders.
5. It is within the high school that choice may havo its greatest potential as a result of the CHSPE. Those who tako the exan, pass, and stay in sohool in order not to worry about mating up or taking more required courses-a rathor to take more ploa surablo courses-
create un unexpected altuation for the high eobool. Atschool dosiring more diversity in its curriculum may wolcone this form of student cholce; the school oan rotain $1 t s$ revemios and al so on care that these students will recelve the pegal equiteliont of the dipione.

## INTRODOCTION

The intent of the founders of the CHSPE programm Senator Arlen Gregorio (Dem.-San Mateo) ot al-- was to furniah alternatives to high school stucients who already had acquired the basde educational skellls necessary for adult life.

Starting with the first tost adruinistration on December 20, 1975, 16mand-17-yearmolds enrolled in Califormia high schools can take the CBSPE. Students who pass the examinetion and who procure parental permisaion are allowed to leave school, if they wish, before reaching the state mandatory school attendance age of 18. Upon pasaing the ecan, $\cdot$. they will receive a Certificate of Proficioncy, the legal equivalent of a high school diploma.

The CRSPE attempts to respond to two situations: (1) a sot of age-based compulsory school attendance laws whose validity is increasingly celled into question for insufficientiy-reesoned constipiction of student choice, and (2) a growing outcry that
today's diplome fatls to assure competency in the basic roading and compating skills.
(1) The CBSPE removes the "compalsory" from compulisosy" school attendance laws ralating to the last two jears of high school. Studianta who pass the axamination will find that their optiong includes

1. terminating their formal education and entoring the job market;
2. Ieaving high school but contiming theile education at a commanit ty college or possibly, depending on interpretation and implementation, at a privato or public college or university or vocational school;
3. Ieaviag high sohool with other intentions in mind;
4. remainging in high sehoolom
a. perhaps utilizing the Certificate of Proficiency to prove to mployers or sohools that a cortain level of compotioncy has been attained;
b. perhapa simply to know they have the option to leave at any time before graduation;
c. porhaps to take a more pleasarable sot of classes without worrying about oredit/course requirements for traditional graduation.

These ohioices will be anilyzed more fully in the sections which follow the results of the student interview survey.
(2) The desire for assurance of competency comes in part from the basiness commanity. Ton years ago an aplojer had a degree of confidence that if a prospective candidate had a high school diploma, this guaranteed that the individual had cortain skills. Such is not the case today. The mere possession of a diploma is no assurance that its bearer can read, write, or compute adequately. The proficioncy oxam is intended to enable students to demonstrate their compotency in the basic slaills. The test
 cortify at least a basic grasp of language and math akills. Thus, the CRSPE represents a first stop toward providing the guarantee that emaloyers are wanting. It is not only employers who seek this guarantec- thoy aro joined by officials of postsecondary institutions, parents, and taxpayers in genaral.

[^0]Laws Relating to the CHSP
The laws which govern the CHSPE are found primarily in the California పducation Code, Sections 12601, 12603, 12605 and 25503. They are as follows:

Porsons Sxemotod from Continuation Classos ${ }^{2}$ Section 12601.

There are exempted from comnulsory attendance in continuation education classes zs otherwise required by jections 12551 and 12553, persons who:
(2) Have been graduated from a high school maintaining a four-year course above the eighth grade of an elementary school, or who have had an equal zmount of education in a private school or by private tuition.
(b) Are in attendance upon a public or private full-time day school or satisfactory part-time classes maintained by other agencies.
(o) Are disqualified for attendance unon these classes because of their shysical or mental condition, or because of yersonal services thet must be rendered to their dependents.
(d) Are satisfactorily sttending a regional occupational progrem or center as provided in Section 5952.
(e) Heve successfully demonstrated oroficiency equal to or greater than stanarards as established by the Departient of Education pursuant Eo Section 12603 and have verified approval submitted by thelr darent or guardian.
(1) AFP subject to Section 12551 but not Section 12553 and are
in attendence-upon classes for adults for not less than four clock hours per calendar week.

Cortificate of Proficiency
Section 12603.
(a) Any verson subject to combulsory continuation or exempt from it pursuant to subdivision (b), (c), (d), or (f) of Section 12601 may aoply to have his oroficiency in basic skills taught in public high schools verified according to criteria established by the Denartment of Education.

The State Board of Iducation shall arrard a "certificate of Proficiency" to bunils who demonstrate such proficinncy. The certificate of proficiency shall be equivalent to a high school dinloma, and the Depertment of Education shall keep a permanent record of the issuance of all such certificates.
(b) The Denartiment of Sducation shall develop standards of competency in basic skills taught in public high schools and shall prepare and supply to centers approved by the department means to verify such comoetency in order to measure eligibility for exemption from combulsory continuation attendance as provided in subdivision (e) of Section 12601.
(c) The Department of Education may charge a fee for each examination anplication in an amount sufficient to recover the costs of administering the requirements of this section; provided, however, that such fee shall not exceed $\$ 10$ per examinstion application. All fees levied and collected pursuant to this section shall be denositgd in the State Tres sury for remittance to the current support appropriation of the Department of Education as reimbursement for costs of administering this section. Any reimbursements collected in excess of actual costs for administration of this section shall be transferred to the unapprooriated surplus of the General Fund by order of theDemartment of Firance.
(d) The State Borrd of Education shall adopt such rules and regulations as are necessary for implementation of the provistions of this section.

Right to Re-enroll in District Section 12605.

Any oerson 16 or 17 years of age exempt from comoul sory continuation attendance laws by subdivision (e) of Section 12601 shall be pormitted by the governing board of the school district from which the student came to re-enroll in the district, without orejudice, as if he had never taken advantage of subdivision (e) of Section 12601.

Admission to Community College
Section 25503.
The governing board of a comnunity college district maintaining a.two year commanity college shall admit to the community college. any verson possessing a high school diploma or the equivalent thereof.

## Pordirn 1 eqt 1ation, 1976

sapate Bill 1502, introduced by Gregorio on Jan. 28, 19p6, would
(a) make the CISPE available to persons aged 18 or older, or to am person who has been onroiled in 10th grade for one year or more, or who will complete one year in 10 th grade during the scmester in which the hext exam will be givens
(b) require one aram to be givan aach samestar and allow one to be given duning sumar or at other times for speoifled reasonsf
(o) impose odirtain ro-anroliment liadis on students who have passed the CRSPE and left sobool.

## The content and scoming of the CHSP:

The CFSPE is composed by SDE analysts and consultants. The Bucational Testing Service (ETS) administers and scores the exan under a contract with the SDE.

The CHSPE is a normoreferenced exam- one desdgned to yield scores that reliably indicate an individual's performance relative to that of others ${ }^{3}$ No particular score carries ang meaning in itself; the ecore indicates the individual's performance relative to that of all CBSPE-takers. The exam is geared to the median second-semester high school senior. It is designed so that the lover-half of this-dopulation, in terms of cognitive ability, is expected to fail the exam, while the upoer half is expected to pass. The normbeforenced exam is a sereening device to "let throughn the median-or-above and to retain those below the median within the secondary school system. Choice provided by the CHSPE proscram, then, applies to the average-or-above. student.

The CHSPE is not dosigned to measure aptitude for college work, but rather the grasp of besic, practical educational skills. Four hours in length, it contains 145 maltiple oboice and Ilve
3. Information and readings ralated to norm reforencing were provided by Devid White of the Ghildhood and Govornment Project, Unfiversaty of California, Borkeley, May 1976.
essay or form completion items. A score of $75 \%$ correct is required to pass. The fire non-maltiple-choice questions are scored only in those cases in which the score earried from the miltiple choice itens is not high enough to pass, but high anough to pass, if enough points are earned on the remaining five itens. 4 Results sent to candidates indicate only "pass" or "fail." Ho score is reported.

Items used on the CHSPE are obtained from several sources: Mational Assessment of Educational Progress, Adulit Performance Leval Study, SCORE, Wilsconsin Test of Adult Basic Eaucation, New Fork State Basic Competency Tests, local district-developed tests, and Califormia State Assessment item pools. 5

The sample items below illustrate the format and level of difficulty of actual CHSPE questions:

A lanyer charges $\$ 40$ an hour. What would the laryer charge for providing services 2 hours a day for 2 weeks, not counting weekends?
a. $\$ 400$
b. $\$ 800$
c. $\$ 1120$
D. $\$ 1600$
4. The Califorria Assodation of Peechers of Eaglish (CATE), protecting that not anough exphasis is given to writing ability on the CASPE, is lobbying against SB 1502 unless the cren is modirled. Pichard Stiles, SDR consultant and oblef writer of the axan, is considering ways of placing more stress upon writhing. ELehard Stiles, interviow, May 18, 1976. Eemoth Lane, CATE representative and secondialy education instivector at the Ondreiratty of California, Berkeley, interviem, Kay, 20, 1976. 5. Bothard Stiles, WThe High School Proflof oncy Eran, papor prosented at Thixd Anmal Conforence and Bihibition on Keasiremiont and Evaluation, Los Angales, Maroh 18, 1976.

If the government ordered a 158 reduction in deliveries of home heating oil, how much oil rould a family get if they had been receiving 200 gallons a day?
a. 170
b. 185
c. 200
d. 230

A houserife will pay the lorest price per ounce for rice if she buys it at the store wich osfers
a. 12 oz. for $40 \phi$
b. 14 oz. for 25 .
c. 1 pound, 12 oz. for 85 \$
d. 2 bounds for $99 t$

When buying insurance, a rule of thumb is that a family should invest about $5 \%$ of its anmal income in life insurance. In addition, the total amount of life insurance should equal at least 4 times the family's annual income. If the Jones family has a combined annual income of 515,000 , how much should they invost annually and for ,hat amount of insurance coverage?

```
a. $?50 for $60,000
b. $750 for $30,000
c. $500 for $60,000
d. $500 for $30,0,0
```

Which sunctuation is needed in the following senterce?
Joe who is an old friend of mine has retarned to our school.
a. Joe, who.... mine,
b. Joe; who.... nine,
c. mine, has
d. mine; has

In contrast to the CBSPE stands the Goneral Bducational Development (GGD), performance-achiercaient typerecan. Theitwo are compared briafly here, for if SB 1502 passess actults.lackins
a hish sobool diploma mey ahoose oithor the GFD route or the GBSPE
route ton obtaindig a dithoma equivaliont.
FThe. GED is al:00 normureferenced. The most recent sturdy of the GH: Andel T. Sharon, Wini Hon-Eigh-Sobool-Graduate Adult in Colluge and his Snccess as Predicted by the GFD, Elucational Teating Service, 1972.

The high-school-level batteries of GED tests were introduced in 1942 by the Eramination Staff of the United States Armed Forcea Institute to help World War II voterans who had not graduated from high school to adjust to civilian life and resume their educational and vocational plans. The use of GED tests by Voterans wishing to earn a high school equivalency certificate proved so highly successiul that state departments of education and colleges in 1947 began actonding thoir use to all adults aged 18 and older. The GED

Certificate is offared in all states and recognized almost without excoption by industiry, governient, other employers, $\infty$ 年leges, and other organizations as the legal equivalent of a diploma. 6

In Califormia in 1974, 43,384 batteries of GED's wore given; 32,627 wore completed successfully. (Each battery representis one individual) $0 f$ the 43,384 tested, 9,061 were military persomnei. The average age of GED-takers was $23 \frac{1}{2}$ years. $47 \%$ of those who took the battery were 19 years old or younger"? Unfortunately, no recent (since 1972) further analysis exists of the racial or socio-economic oharacteristics of GED-talcors. ${ }^{8}$
6. Interentingiy, a growing number of states now pareit high sohool strudents under 18,-to tike the GFD for carly adt from high sohool. These states are talding advantage of certain speofal provisdons which allow states to administer the Gro to those under the idnims ago. These dorelopments would be exteremely nsent to atady in further dotail. Bamy Bigelow, GED administipator, SDS.: tel cobone intervien, APFil 8, 1976. Jorry Welker, GED adrinistrator, American Counoil on Elucation, Washington, D.C., tel ephone interview, Apmi 8, 1976:
7. Ambial GBD Statistical Report, 1975. Amarican Council on RAvication. 8. Bigelow and Walker interviews, April 8, 1976. Such atatistice should bogin to be gathered, for the issues of appropifiate oredentialing,
 likely to emerge as iuportant polioy isaves during the next for Jears. Information in mote 6 was first brougit to 1 a attention by stera Eerglase, Iale Law School etudent Involred in its priblic Sohools Project. This project analyses, a pog other thinge, stato laws relatins to oonpil eory cohool attendinget

The 10-hour GED battory consdsts of five tests, all of which must be passed in order to earn the certificate: English, Social Studies, Natural Solences, Iiterature, and Mathematics. Unilke the CRSPE, the GED is a subject-mattor ecamination. The emphasis In the GED teats is placed on intallectual ability rather than textbook-1ike content, and rather than practical gicills such as those appearing on the CHSPE. The GED is intended to demonstrate competency in using generalizations, concopts, and ideas. It tests for the ability to comprehend exactily, oraluate cxitically, and think olearly about concopts.

According to Dr. Harley Sorenser, Direotipr of Cupriculum Sorvices for the Alameda County Superintendent of Schools, 9 cmployers tond to advise adult job applicants lacidng a bigh school diploma that if they want a diploma they would be better off to . oarn a GED certiflcate rather than go to adult sohool for a regular diploma. This auggestion relleots theip incraasing distrust of the regular diploma as a guarantee of corpetency. The GED and the CRSPE are discessed in greater deteil in the section dealing Whthremployment opportivnities (page 93 ).

The GRP appears to be more demanding then the CASPR It is expected that if 581502 passes, may (of the approximately 40,000 potedrabovel who would ordinarily take tho GED'Instead will agn up
9. Harley Soreasea, intervien, Fobruary 24, 1976.
for the CBSPE. The extent to which this shift will occur will depend partiy on (1) accoptability of the CHSPE relative to the GED on the part of aployers $5^{10}$ (2) publicity and opinions given by the military; il and (3) policies toward the CASPE issned by institutions of postsecondary vducation. ${ }^{12}$ This shifting will provide an epportunity for useful further study. The problem amplified is the lack of const stency in requireinents for a diploma: What should constitute successful completion of a high school education?

## Initial statewidu inndings

With the results of the Decomber 1975 and March 1976 exams
now known, certain ifindings begin to emergos

1. Fewer students than anticipated took the exam.
2. Substantially Ievor than $50 \%$ passid.it.

|  | December 1975 | March 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number aligible | roughly 670,000 | slightly leas than 670,000 |
| Number talding <br> the CRSPE | 12,150 | 18,465 |
| Number pasaing (percent of those who took CESPE) | $\begin{aligned} & 5,430 \\ & (45 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{5,976}{(32 \%)^{13}}$ |

10. Discoussed in the section dealing with employment (page 93. ). 11. The Arivy issued a statement accopting the GHSPE Won the same basign as the GED certificate. Memo from Wilcon Piles, State Superintendent of Publice Instruction, to County and District Superintendents, Fcberiary 23, 1976.
11. Discussed in the section entitled MFor the AcademicallyOriented," page
12. Analysis of the questionmaire which accompand the Merch - ceari has not jet boan done. A change in the characteristics of the CBSPE-taicing population is speculated. Hore broadly, flisit-timors way be a "diffarent bread" from subsequant participants, whother wo are di reaging the CRSPE or others eperiences. This iden will bo


Table I on the following page shows the results of the December 1975 exal ofer the 15 Alameda County school districts containing high schools. Note the small percentages-of eligibles that took the exam and the widely varying pass rates.

1. Fever students than anticipated took the exam. There are a number of possible explanations for the amall turnout:
A. Publicity was sparso and uneren. Iiterature was distributed to ail high sohool principals for placement on bulletin boards and in sohool newspepers. Beyond this, it is unclear the extent to which teachers and administrators (1) knew about the exam; (2) offered information to students; (3) advised whother to take it. Iivermore's two regular high schools (the site of my student interview. survey) treated publicity in starkly difforing manners. One school gave no publicity, apprebensive of large mumbers of students taking the exam Mirresoonsibly ${ }^{14}$ and of resultant roveme losses. The other school announced the exam several tinies on the loud speaker and recoived twice as many CRSPE applicants, although the sohools each contain approximataly 2,000 students. While concerned about rovenue losses should students leave early, the latter school took a more open attitude toward informang-students of thia option.

Teachers may not have encouraged taling the CHSPE, becaluse for overy: successful candidate who leaves high school, state funds are lost to the district, and eventually local taxpayor revanueg also will be loat. Teacher layoffs could result. 15
14. John Jellinghausen, counselop, Livermore High Sahool, interview, March 15, 1976.
15. The revenue offects of the CHSPE are discussed more filly in the section following the legislative history (page 41).

## RESULTS OF THE FIRST CHSPE GIVEX DECEMBER 1975

|  |  | Kligible 16-and- 17-jear-olds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14588. | 4392. | 1845 | 12.68 | 48 | 2.68 | 22 | 44\% | 1.18 | . $14 \%$ |
| 31407 | 10686 | 4573 | 14.6 | 108 | 2.4 | 40 | 37 | . 9 | . 13 |
| 11712 | 4261 | 2005 | 17.1 | 58 | 2.9 | 22 | 38 | 1.1 | . 19 |
| 2234 | 721 | 344 | 15.4 | 5 | 1.4 | 3 | 60 | . 9 | . 13 |
| 6828 | 2748 | 1323 | 91.4 | 20 | 1.5 | 9 | 45 | . 7 | ) |
| 10782 | 3417 | 1467 | 13.6 | 28 | 1.9 | 14 | 50 | 1.0 | . 13 |
| 8576 | 2153 | 930 | 10.8 | 32 | 3.4 | 11 | 34 | 1.2 | . 13 |
| 2448 | 923 | 441 | 18.0 | 4 | . 9 | 2 | 50 | . 5 | . 08 |
| 582 | 220 | 102 | 17.5 | 2 | 2.0 | 1 | 50 | 1.0 | . 17 |
| 9167 | 2881 | 1206 | 13.2 | 26 | 2.2 | 7 | 27 | . 6 | . 08 |
| 4708 | 4708 | 2092 | 44.4 | 47 | 2.2 | 19 | 40 | . 9 | . 40 |
| 54286 | 15828 | 6848 | 12.6 | 34 | . 5 | 9 | 26 | . 1 | . 01 |
| 7955 | 2916 | 1337 | 16.8 | 16 | 1.2 | 8 | 50 | . 6 | . 10 |
| 12970 | 4066 | 1715 " | 13.2 | 26 | 1.5 | 21 | 81 | 1.2 | . 16 |
| 22886 | 7426 | 3239 | 14.2 | 70 | 2.2 | 36 | 51 | 1.1 | . 16 |
| 201129 | 67346 | 29467 | 14.6\% | 524 | 1.81 | 224 | 438 | . 88 | .118 |

Source: Mrade by Grade Enrollment Sumary as of the End of the Flrst Konth 19P5-76," Alameda County Superintendent of Sohools.
a. Grade 11 and 12 enrollment is used as closest practical estimate.
b. Source: Educational Testing Service (ETS), Berkeley, Califomia.
c. 15 Alameda County prablic school districts contain high sohools. siames omitted to preserve confidentiality. 19

The cran-taking may not be oncouraged because one might speculate that students who pass but stay in school could use the cortificate as leverage to try to induce teachers to change educational offerings. This change in teaching/leaming atmosphere may not be considered desirable by school personnel. ${ }^{16}$

Large-city newspapers showed less tendaney to print stories about the CHSPE than did suburban or rural nowspapers." John Gilroy, the SDS oonsultant charged with implementing the exam, believes that bif-eity editors may not have considered the exam noteworthy relative to other potential education stories. 17 By contrast, smaller presses tended to print CESPE press raleases in their entirety and on the first or second page. Only $2 \%$ of the 12,150 CASPE-takers in December were black; urban newspaper coverage may have bean one contributing factor to this surprisingly smoll turnout. The test-talking population was composed of a disproportionataly small number of urban students (relative to the mamber of urban students aligible to take the CHSPE). 18
B. Seventeen-year-olds are already near graduation and may not find it worthwhile to take the test.
C. Students with the greatest likelihood of passing the exam are those in college proparatory courses-a but rather than wanting to take the CESPE, they profer to ramain in school and complete the required courses for traditional graduation.
D. Iouth unemployment is high; many who would want to take
16. John Jellinghausen, interview, March 15, 1976. 17. John Gilroy, SDE, interview, Karch 1, 1976.
18. Ibid.
the CHSPE option in order to work or work longer hours may perceive their opportunities as almost nonexistent. They remain in high school and do not sign up for the exam.
E. Parental opposition may have been stronger than antieipated. This is a speculation not informed by ouldence. at this point.

At this early stage, it does not appear that students are very amdious to get out of high school through the CBSPE door. During ry student interview survey in Livermore, I intergiewed 20 students of eligible age who knew about the CHSPE but had not taken it, asking toward the ond of each interview if they might still take it. 13 answered with a straightforward "no," as opposed to "porhaps," "perhaps, if..." or "yes." Friends, some good courses, and "good proparation for college," in that order, emerge as advantages of high school in Livermore that outwoigh the desire to exit early.
2. Substantially fewor than $50 \%$ passed the exam. Why the unexpeotedly low passing rates?
A. The CHSPE was given as a pretest in spring 1975 to a sample of second-semester l2th graders. Although continuation school students wore included in this sample, their scores wore not used in computing the cutoff point botween passing and failing. Educable mentally retarded students were not given the sample test, although many of then receive regular diplomas. The scores of gifted and accelerated students, however, were recorded. Hence, an upord bias was introduced into the field test, and it was on this basis that the 50\% estimate was made.
B. More jumiors and sophomores than seniors took the exam. Statiowide, 51\% of all those who took the December exam were juniors, $4 \%$ were sophomores, and $38 \%$ were seniors. As mentioned earlior, seniors may tond to "stick it out" until graduation. The Iivermore suprey corroborates the statevide findings and notes further a high incidence of 17-yearmold juniors, behind in credits, taking the cxam. These factors probably contribute to a lowor passing rate than anticidated. In the first place, funiors and sophomores typically perform alightiy less well than soniors, and the CASPE was geared to the median second-semester senior. Secondly, older funiors who are behind in credits any tend to have lower grades and place in the lower 50th percentile.
C. One of the more intriguing possible explanations concerns the types of students attracted to the CBSPE option. One "typonthe 17-yearmold jurior behind in creditsme has already been mentioned.

Gregorio expeoted the proficiency program to appeal to two basic grouds of persons:
(1) Those of average ability or above who do not rork wall in the conventional high school setting and are "borderline dropouts."
(2) The "bright but-bored"-who-may-not-have any problems-in-soboolbut who would like to skip straight to college without waiting for regular high school graduation. 19

It arpears that the test attracts primarily the interest of students
In the flrst group. One indication: Statewide, more than $1 / 3$
of those who took the December exam roported that they were absent
from school at least one day per week, implying disaffection with the convontionel high sohool setting. 20
19. SDE press release 240, October 1, 1975.
20. A questionnaire is attached to each exam in an attempt to
discopor characteristics of the Califorma Cispe popaiation, Soe the appendix to the student survey section for a copy of this questionnaire and its lindings from the Ifret CaSPR adininstration. Absenteeil 1 is one iten a sked students on this questionneire:

An SDE press release of March 30, 1976 reports other statowide findings based on analysds of the questionnaires accompanying all tests:

- Most young people taking the test on Dec. 20 had one thing in common: They wanted to leave school. Eighty-five per cent said they would leave high school if they passed the test. This percentage in favor of leaving school was the same for those who passed the test and for those who didn't.
- The results of the first test indicate a high correlation between success in passing the exam and the amount of education the head of the examinee's household has had. The range was from a 38 per cent passing rate for those examinees ( 15 per cent) whose family heads did not have high school diplomas to a 60 per cent passing rate for the examinees ( 16 per cent) whose family heads had advanced degrees beyond four-year college degrees and/or had teaching credentials.
- The number of different schools that the students had attended apparently ha: no significant effect on whether they would pass the test. (On the questionnaire, students indicated whether they had attended from one to five-or-more elementary and from one to five-or-more secondary schools.)
- Examinees who had dropoed completely out of school (10 per cent) had the same passing rate as all others.
- Examinees who indicated that thev olan to atteend a university or four-year.college "at some future time" ( 51 per cent of the total) had a 52 per cent passing rate-significantly higher than the overall rate. However, viewed another way, this figure means that almost one-half of the examinees who plan to get a bachelor's degree could not pass the test.

Riles warned that all data from the questionnaires should be interpreted :autiously. The 12,150 teenagers who took the test in December came from an istimated 670,000 who were eligible to participate. Because the test is new ind distribution of information about it around the state was uneven, the persons tho took it are not necessarily representative of their age groud as a whole or of :hose who will take the test in the future.

Strikingly, only about one-fourth of tho se who failed the December CHSPE and retook it in March were able to pass on the second try.

This introduction has briefly described the facts, laws, content information, and initial findings relating to the CESPE program. The reader can already imagine some of the potentially dramatic implications and unintended consequences associated tith the program. Throughout the remaindor of this work such issues and questions will be raised.

The next section reoounts the legislative history of the CASPE. The following section explains the effect of the CBSPE on the school district's rovenues within Califormia's present school finance systeri. The budgotary iapact at the commity college leval and upon the state as a whole also is discussed, somewhat in the form of speculation.

## LEGISLATIVE HISTORY:

## PUBLIC POLICI KAKES STRANGE BEDFEHLOWS

The California Education Code is burdened with internal complexities regarding compulsory education requirements at the secondary level. According to widely held belief, California requires schooling up to the age of 18-m one in only five states with this requirement; most states make public education compulsory only to the age of 16.

In reality, no part of the California Education Code states that everyone must attend school from six to 18. Section 12101 requires everyone to attend school from six to 16. Section 12551 requires all 16-to-18-year-olds to go to school at least four hours per weok. Section 12553 stipulates that everyone must go to school from 16 to 18 for at least 15 hours per woek if they "cannot give satiufactory proof of regular amployment." "Regular employment" is not defined in the Code. The State Department of Education suggests 30 hours per week as a rule of thumb.

In reforring back to page 3 of this study, one notes that the basic, positively-stated Code requirement is attendance upon continuation school-o not attondance upon a regular school, which would make the Code much easter to understand\% Aocording ro. John Gilroy of the State Department of Education,
... almost no one over stops to roflect that the only requifenent for unemployed lids ovor I6 is 15 hours per woek of continuation school, that all studonts who stay in regular high school after reaching age 16 aro doing 80 on tho technical legality of regular school as an exemption from continuation school-I instead of the other way around, as most people.

1. Letter from John Gilroy, April 21, 1976.

Early in 1972 former State Senator Steven Soale introduced a bill which sdmply would have lowored the age of compulifisory school attendance to 16. At the time, Senator Arlen Gregorio- whose interest in education tended to take the general position that the student should have as much choice with respect to his own education as practicablo- had as his adiandstrative assistant John Gilroy, a forser high school teachor who shared and holped clarify many of Gregorio's general views on education.

The Toale bill died in conmittee under strong opposition froi the education lobby, but three developuents took placeewhich Kopt alive the notion of giving students under 18 a greater degree of choice:
(1) Gregorio and Gilroy had hold conversations with consultants to the Senate Education Committee and with Russell Kent, Superintendent of Schools of San Mateo County. Research revealed that be age 16 there was little the law could actually do to make a jouth attend school. According to the Welfare \& Institations Code, ${ }^{2}$ a truant youth could be made a ward of the courtem but in actuslity the juvenile justice system does not onforce the truancy rales. Therefore, a student 16 or older found to be in school logically was likely to be there by his own (at least tacit) choice, or because his parents "wouldn't lot him leave" and ho heeded their preference. In short, a degree of real choice apparently already oxisted for many youths under 18.
2. Califorma Welfare and Institutions Code, Soc. 601.1(b).
(2) Gregorio had spent mach time in high schools in his district and was troubled to note that students in large mimers were cutting classes or sipping sohool altogether.
(3) Gregorio was Senate floor manager of a bill introduced by

Assemblym Paui Priolo that would oreate full legal adulthood at age 18.3 This bill did pass, and then-Governor Reagan signed It into law. Logically, with 21 now mbocouing 18, night not 18 "becond" $16 ?$

Gregorio and Gilroy then focused on the last two Fears of high school as an area for potential legialative change. They wished to legislate incentives for the bigh school administration to make achooling more mreaningturn to students and therebs reduce absenteatse and elevate the quality of education.

On March 15, 1972, Gregorio introduced SB 1112. This bill
re-introduced Teale's bill reducing conmulsory education to age 16.
The move was duply to get a bill into the legislative process;
it could almass be amonded. Introduction of SB 1112 aroused the
same opposition that fought Teale's bill:
Califormia Teachers Association (CTA)
Califormia Pederation of Teachers (CFT)
Aseociation of California Sohool Adininistrators (ACSA).
Califormia School Board Association (CSBA)
State Board of Erucation/State Department of Bducation
nuder Suporintendent Wh1son Riles.
These comprise, for the most part, the "education 1obby" in Califormin.
Individual school districts also wrote in opposition.
3. AB 2887, 1971; signed into lav in 1972.

The bencificial offoct: When SB 1112 was amended to becoze a more conservative meesure, as Gregorio and Gilroy had intended to do, these groups ceased to register opposition and were essentially neutral for the rest of its course through the legislaturo. Their neutrelity, howover, stemated from a misunderstanding of the bill. Because of the complexity of the Califoma Education Code, most of the education lobby thought the amended bill applied only to students in continuation school. This clearly was not the intent, and Gregorio took pains to explain the aronded bill. 4 However, the misunderstanding remeined.

## SB 1112 as amended:

1. made it the student's option to enroll in a continuetion high school.
2. broadened student onoortunities to g o to regional occupational training centers, which teach specific job skilis.
3. provided two other options for 16-and-17-year-olds who could show they were competent at besic skills. The students would flust have to pass $a$ test adininistered locally but drawn up by the state (the bill required the State Department of Education to have a test ready in 1975). If a student passed the test and if he had parental permisaion, he could leave high school and earoll in the local cosmanity college. Oi he could simply quit school, again with parental permission.

Gregorio's intent mas that the ecarination be an alternative speofically for the avorageror above student who is "turned off, ${ }^{\omega}$ unmotivated, and gencrally serving seat time to got his diploma. At this point, toward mid-1972, two groups appeared to flght SBu1112, panticulaply the profict enoy exan provistons.
(1) MAACP, in the form of one representative, Verna Canson, who persomally opposed the proficiency ecan provision. She bellieved
4. Convorsations with John Gilroy and Gerald Bayward, April, 1976.
that the state had a responsibility to ensure that children received a comblete education $u$ to the time of traditional graduation. If the state failed to enforce this, youth would be denied the right of a basic education. Pursuant to her comattee testimony, Leonard Carter, western director of the NAACP nationally, mrote Gregorio $a$ lotter of opposition. In realliy, tne NAACP considered this a low-priority issue, and aside from Canson's expressions of oppoItion, the NAACP was not a major force in the legislature.
(2) California Rural Legal Assistance (CRLA) was a major force in the legislature. The group azready had general sympat'yy from many liberal legislators. CRLA oovosed the exam because tifie certificate of proficiency to be awarded sas not to be considered the equivalent of a high school dinloma. Basically, however, CRIA and Gregorio "qere on good terms, and the "equivalent-to-z-dinlona" issue could be made a negotiable one. CRLA was advised to "cool it" for the time being in the interest of keeoing the bill altve. The proficiency exam was to be presented as a conservative approach, a marginal. change. Onoemit "passed as such, therdiplamsissuecould. berreconsidared.

The proficiency exam could be called a "round issue." It produced an umusual pattern of coalitions, each codiltion containing

[^1]within it widely differing reasons for voting as it did. This is a rather cormon characteristic of the legislative process. A bill will get. its "aye" votes for nearly as many different (often clashing) reasons as individuals who voted for it. Here, basically, is how coalitions stood on the CHSPE:


LIBERALS
Education should be a requirement for all young people and a fullyNO ON met responsibility of the state. CiSPE NAACP.

Why sinould the taxpayers support an essentially useless last year of

YES ON high school? Let the students out CHSPE if they can show competency and want to leave. Reagan. Department of Finance:
CONSERVATIVES

The young peoole are really crying out for a better education, and _NO ON they belong in school. CHSPE

This was a case of strange bedfellows. The Senate passed the bill by a vote of 21 to 20 . Each side was made up of roughly half "liberals" and half "conservatives." At all other sites in the legislative process the bill took a smooth, uneventfol course and" aroused little or no debate.

An arendment was writton into SB 1112 that would assure the approval of the Department of Finance and the Governor: The commanity colleges were to accept sucicessfal oxam-takers, but only to a cailing of one percent of their total individual enrollmont. Ken Hall of the Department of Pinance saw this as the way to onable the state to save money through this exam option. A one percent coiling would miminize state outlays to "the more expensive" commanity colleges and perhaps offect long ran gavings to the state, he thought. Ball advised proponents of SB 1112 that without the one percent olause, the Governor milght woll not sign.

The Department of Finance did not fully understand the issue, according to Hayward, who negotiated with the Dopartment on this item. It apparentiy did not occur to the Department that by potentially mmoring up" the schooling process one or two years, savings would accrue to the state.
$\therefore \quad$ : The bill:was signed on December 21, 1972. It had passed with little voiced concepn from the education lobby-a as a conservative approach to a marginal reform in compulsory education. Theareasoning wof the author-was that students, would now-be given an offlcial choice: If they passed the exam, this would alert high sohool teachers and adninistrators to improve the"quality" of 11th and 12th grade edveation son that students might have a positivo incentive to stay in school. Students dissatisfiled with school would be able to leave; the schools would lose revenues, and eventually
high school teachers would face layoffs. Gregorio expected few students to take the exam, and he stated this expectation throughout the legislative process. He expected. two "types" to take it:

1. marginal students who potentially would drop out;
2. the "bright but bored."

Thus, the bill, presented and perceived as a conservative approach- and calling for time rather than money- passed without arousing heated conflict. It passed for widely disparate reasons, was misunderstood by major actors, and was given little thought with respect to long run implications.

Two changes were to be made, now that the bill was passed:

1. Make a CHSPE certificate the legal equivalent of a high school diploma.
2. Elininate the lof-of-enrollment ceiling at the community college level.
3. SB 52 of 1973 was introduced by Senator Gregorio and advocated as a merely technical follow-uo to the successful SB 1112. It "provides that such certificate shall be equivalent to a high school dioloma." Originally, the bill had stated, conservatively, that when the student turned 18 his certificate of proficiency would be considered equivalent to the disloma- this in order to get the bill passed, thought the proponents. Actually, once in the Assembly Education Committee, Chairman Leroy Greene asked pointedly, "If it's a dioloma wien he turns 18 , why isn't it a diploma when he gets it?" ${ }^{6}$ The change was made at that point, the bill passed the Assembly, returned to the Senate for an easy, unquestioning approval, and Governor Reagan signed it without comnent.

4. SB 470 of 1975, introduced by Gregorio, removed the limit on community college enrollment: community colleges now mast admit Many person possessing a high school diploma or the equivalent thereof." This passed in the legislature and was signed without comment by Governor Brown. Again, the problem was explaining to the Department of Finance that by potentially oliminating a year or two of schooling, substantial savings could accrue to the state.

Early in 1975 the State Desartment of Jducation had drawn up a sroficiency exam but asserted that there was no money to administer the test. The author and key proponents had vaguely assumed that the schools would somehow simbly give the exam at no cost. Now that it $\cdots$ s the equivalent of a higin scinool diploma, it took on heightened significance, and security would have to be ensured. Gerry Hayward, consultant to the Senate Sducation Committee, went to the Department of Finance to ask about an appropriation. he sointed out the potential long-run savings to the state if substantial numbers of students enter community college early and complete post-secondary education early. An agreement was reached: A loan would be issued to the State Denartment of Education to administer the exam. It vould be paid back eventually through apolicant fees of no more than \$10. The Deoartment of Finance urged the fee partly to induce the student to take the exam seriousiy.

In legislation this ppodosal basically became SB 1243 of 1975. The amount of the loan was $\$ 243,000$. As it turned out, once enacted, The Department of Jducation used the loan money to contract out with the Educational Testing Service (ETS) for test administration.

The exan is now in its.first school year of administration and has the open endorsement of Superintendent of Public Instruction Wilson Riles.

SB 1502 of 1976, introduced by Gregorio, makes further changes
In the California High School Proficiency Exam:

1. Ties the eligibility for taking the exam to school years completed, rather than to the student's chronological age. It makes the exam "available to dersons 17 years of age or older (emphasis added), or to any person who has been enrolled in the loth grade for one year or more, or who will complete one year in the 10 th grade during the semester in winch the next exanination will be given.
2. Requires only one exa.n to be given in each of the fall and spring semesters. Four exams per year had been lanned, but tinis would be too cunbersome to administer. Allows one exam to be given during the summer..
3. Requires results to be known not less than two weeks before the end of the semester. This is to ease, administratively, the timing of the transition between high school and community college. It will increase the probability that the student will stay in high school at least long enough to complete the semester and avoid the possibility of simply quitting immediately and getting "imcompletes" or MF's.".
4. Imposes re-enrollment limitations on students who have left school as a result of having taken and passed the CHSPE. Soecifically, such students may re-enter once, but if they leave high school again on the basis of their proficiency certificate, "the district may deny (them) re-enrollment until the beginning of the next semester."

This bill too is exoected to pass fairly smoothly.

To conclude, the legislative history of the high school profleiency exam is noteworthy for its relativaly unquestioned, quiet course based on misunderstanding. Its author and key proponents adopted a low profile and saw it through as a conservative, marginal change. Legislators anparently gave little thought to the ootentially dramatic effects of the CHSPE upon

1. the economics of California's school finance system;
2. the stindents themselves and the their perception of and action upon opportunitios open to them;
3. the charactor of high school and post-socondary curriculum;
4. parents, employers, and other institutions of higher education.

Their lack of forothought reflected that of the education lobby.
In the next section I shall analyze the offect of the CESPE upon the school district budget, the community college, and the state's education expenditures in the long run.

In order to understand the budgetary effect upon the school district of the CHSPE- what happens, for instance, when a junior who passes the exam leaves school in the middle of the junior year-- it is necessary to understand the California school finence system. ${ }^{1}$ In oarticular, I shall describe the "revenue limit" system and the "foundation program."*

The largest single source of money for public elementary and secondary education in California is local property taxes. During the past few years, under the new school finance law known as SB $90,{ }^{2}$ the state share has increased somewhat; but nore than half of school funds in California still come from-local revenues. Despite its dependence on local revenues, the systemis not characterized by a great deal of local autonomy. School districts are ministerial agents of state government and have no authority over citizens except as authorized by the state.

The system of revemue limits took effect with the 1973-74 school year, upon enactment of $S B 90$. The new law limited the amount. of revemue that a school district could raise from local taxes by setting a state-plus-local dollar amount ceiling or "revenuelimit" per pupil. The system does not consider any state or federal categorical funds a district might receive.

[^2]- Those fauliar with the basic aspects of the Callforma sobool

The state establishes revenue limits for local school districts and in so doing regulates local revemue raising. How? Briefly, each year, for each district, an upper linit of expenditure per unit of average daily attendance (ADA) ${ }^{3}$ is calculated. This revenue limit is multiplied by the total ADA for the district. Expected state aid payments are subtracted, and this new figure is the total revenueraising ceiling for the district for that year. This ceiling amount is then divided by the assessed valuation of the district in $\$ 100^{\prime} s$ of dollars, and the result is the maximum tax rate the district is allowed to levy that year. The tax rate may be increased above this level only by a soecial vote of the district's. residents.

The revenue limit is composed of (1) the state-funded share, and (2) the locally raised share.
(1) The state share is determined by calculations made as part of the state foundation program, to be explained shortly. Briefly, the state share consists of basic aid-- a fixed $\$ 125$ per pupilplus, for some school districts, a supplemental amount of equalization aid.
(2) The local share is that amount raised by the local twaxpayers.

To illustrate, the 1976-77 revenue limit for the Oakland Unified School District is sestimated to be $\$ 1,243$ per pupil. $\$ 125$ vill be the state share, as Oakland is eligible only for basic aid. $\$ 1,118$ will be the local share. A maximum tax rate will be
3. Tins term is the average number of children in school in the district every day for a period of time, a minimum of 175 days in Californa.
computed and imposed on the district, so as not to raise more than \$1,118 per puopil.

## The role of the state foundation orogram

One element of the reveme linit system which plays a key role in determining both the state share (directly) and the local share (indirectly) should be discussed. This is the state foundation program. The state share of public school finance in Caifornia has come from state zeneral tax revenues and has-been apportioned to local districts under a variety of laws and rejulations. The largest anoortionment is the foundation program.

The Califorria State Department of Education (SDE) calculates the cost of providing a "minimum acceotable level" of educational services per pupil. This amount is the foundation level. Separate amounts are figured for elementary districts, high school districts, and unified districts. For example; the state foundation level in-1974-75 was $\$ 852$ per ADA for unified school districts. Once the level has been determined, the state basic aid and equalization aid payments to districts are calculated, using a formula winich takes into-account both the individual district's needs and its ability to pay.

Many school districts choose to spend substantially more than the state foundation amount per ADA. For exampie, themrevenue limit of the Berkeley Unified School District was \$1,560 per ADA, $\$ 708$ more than the $\$ 852$ state foundation level.

Two tynes of state aid payments are distributed under the title "foundation program." These are basic aid and equalization aid. (1) Basic aid. Each local school district, fich or poor, receives basic aid in the amount of $\$ 125$ Der ADA per school year.
(2) Equalization aid. Many districts are oligible for additional aid. The comoutation of equalization aid entitlements proceeds as follows:
a. Compute the sum of basic aid (\$125) plus what is called "district aid." "District aid" is a computational number only. The law specified some tax rates to be used for this computation alone, and these hypothetical rates are multiolied by the actual assessed valuation of the district to obtain "district aid." The following are the combutational tax rates used:

| Elementary school district | $\$ 2.23$ per |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| iligh School district | $\$ 100$ a ssessed valuation |

Usually, in reality the local district taxes itself substantially more heavily. Anons Alameda County school districts containing high schools, 1975-76 tax rates range from $\$ 2.70$ to $\$ 7.30 .{ }^{4}$ Thus the sum of basic aid plus "district aid" is computed, to see whether that sum "brings the district up" to the foundation level.
b. Zqualization aid is:
$2 e r o$ if (basic aid + district aid) is greater than the-foundation; [foundation - (basic aid + district aid)] otherwise. The amounts of state aid are essentially independent of the district's actual tax rate.
4. Suverintendent of Schools of Alameda County, Anmal Reopet of Financial Transactions, 1975, p. 5. These are total school district tax rates.

To summarize, the foundation level is intended to represent the cost of a minimal acceptable education. The state is willing to guarantee a portion of that foundation level (at ieast \$125) to every student in the state.

Within a single unified school district containing elementary and high school levels, one level may be entitled to equalization aid while the other is considered a "basic aid" recipient. How? A unified district has a single tax base. Yet its elementary level may have torice the ADA that its high school level has. ... The elementary level must sumport more mupils given the tax base. There will be some likelihood that the elementary level receivés equalization money wille the high school level gets only basic aid; or the elementary level will receive more equalization aid than the high school level. The chart on the following page shows the amounts of equalization aid received by each Alameda County school district containing high schools.

Both basic aid and equalization aid go into the school district's overall operating budget. Equalization aid is not distributed soecifically to the level (elementary or high school) which is formally entitled to equalization aid. Likerisers stateraidepaymentsmastw due to students' leaving the school district are removed from the overell onerating budget: Both basic aid and equalization aid are Iost ohen a student leaves school. The lossinisdisteributedievendye and, at least in the short run and at least for large districts, is

## YOST DISTRTCTS RECETVE EQUALTZATION FUNDS

Bquallzation A1d Por ADA, 1925-76

| Dastrict | $\begin{gathered} \text { Elementary } \\ \text { Inerel } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | High School Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameda Orifled | \$n94 | \$ 91 |
| Albens Unifticd | 104 | ) |
| Arador High Soh. Dist. | - | 323 |
| Barkelioy Unified | $\therefore$ | $\cdots$ |
| Castio Valleg Omified | 160 | 487 |
| Eriery Onfified | - | - |
| Framont Unifled | 374 | 435 |
| Bayward Urified | 247 | 302 |
| Iivermore Jt. Omifled | 445 | 416 |
| Havaric Onflied | 461 | 481 |
| Bew Barca Onified | 250 | $\cdots$ |
| Oakland Umifled | 107 | $\cdots$ |
| Pledimont Unifled | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| San Leandro Onified | - | - |
| Ben Iorenso Onified | 183 | 372 |
| Commanity Colieze | Amount Equalization Atd per ADA |  |
| Dhetrats: |  |  |
| Framontoluarar | \$401 |  |
| Poralta | 581 |  |
| South County | 234 |  |

Hotest

1. This-compatation is ba sed on the "fipst"period peportrof attendance (ADA Irom July 1, 1975 to Dee. 31, 1975).
2. Computations exciude maflned idults. For apportioment purcoses a "daflued adult" means ans person who has attained his 21 st birthdar on or before Supt. 1 or Fcb. 1 of the suester
 10 puifode of 40 minates each or more pex week.
3. Wo state ald is allowed for the ADA of the Regional Ocoupationil Cunter/Progran or the Classes for Adults.which exceed the $5 \%$ Inorease orcir last year's ADA. (The 5\% weap".).

Source: Alamede County Sunemintendent of Schools, Basiness Office, prepared April 26, 1976.
not reflected in an "elementary leval" budzet reduction or a "high school level" budget reduction specifically. In the long run, however, if most of those leaving are high school students who have passed the CHSPI, budget reductions at the high school level can be expected. Further, small districts may have to respond to funding losses in the short run, esvecially small districts that receive large amounts of equalization aid.

The concerts of "foundation level," "tasic aid" and "equalization aid" have been introduced to explain the state share of the revenue Iimit-- \$125 or more per pupil. The relevance of these features will become apparent when we discuss school district revanue effects when substantial numbers of high school students leave early, as they may under the CHSPE. ve nor return to the subject of how the revenue linit is derived.

## Calculating the reveme limit

In 1973-74 an initial reveque limit was calculated for each school district according to a formula based on actual assessed valuation as of 1972-73. That initial formala no longer is used;
 new system. After 1972-73 the revenue limit became indevendent of the district's assessed valuation and dependent only upon changes
 to increase their revenue limits, but some districts are allowed to increase their limits by more than others. Those districts whose reverue limits remain below the foundation level are allowed
to increase their revemue limits at a rate of up to $15 \%$ per year. Those above the foundation level may increase at rates of up to 6\% ver year. Sach year, the resulting revenue limit per ADA is multiolied by the number of dH to get the first comnutation of the final revenue limit. Thus, the revenue linit is dependent only unon changes in ADA year by year. The table on the following page rejorts the revenue limits for all tho se school districts in Alameda County containing high schools. The table shows how the revemue limits have increased from 1974-75 to 1975-76.

A second calculation is made in cases in which school district enrollment has declined from the previous year by more than 18. An adjustment to the formula is involved. SB 220 of 1975, enacted. as an amendment to 5390 , rrovides for this adjustment. Pursuant to SB 220 , the ADA for jurmoses of computing the revenue limit may be increased by $75 \%$ of the actual decline in ADA, orovided the decline is greater than 18, multiplied by the revenue linit per ADA.

As an example, suopose the revenue linit for a district is $\$ 1,125$ ver ADA. Subtracting the state portion of $\$ 125$, the local share-is-\$1,000. During_1975-76 ADA declines from " 15,000 to 14,500; 500 students have left. Some of these are high school students who have passed the CaSPE: Since this losssexceeds. 1 \%, the. $75 \%$ off set rule holds. It is as if only 125 students ( $25 ;$ of 500 ) had left. As far as the local revenue side of the revenue

## REVENOE LTMITS <br> -POR ADA-

| Distrulet | 1974-75 | 1975-76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaneda Onified | \$1027.31 | \$1103.87 |
| Albang Uaifled | 1122.65 | 1194.49 |
| Anador figh | 2180.34 | 1255.25 |
| Berkeloy Unifled | 1560.43 | 1609.20 |
| Gestro Valley Unified | 952.30 | 1033.24 |
| Reary Umified | 2792.55 | 2819.80 |
| Premont Unified | 912.22 | 995.79 |
| Hayward Unifled | 939.78 | 1021.52 |
| Livermore Jt. Undried | 937.08 | 1060.17 |
| New Haven Untified | 1075.13 | 1149.22 |
| Hownyk Unifled | 1050.00 | 1129.23 |
| Oakland Undfled | 1116.59 | 1188.71 |
| Pledmont Unitied | 1405.32 | 1466.94 |
| San Leandro Unifled | 1299.08 | 1357.66 |
| San Lorenso Unified | 910.92 | 994.58 |

Source: Anpani Report of Pinaneial Transactions; Alanoda
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IImit is concerned, the loss in revenue inill not be $\$ 1,000 \times 500$ but only $\$ 250 \times 500$. $S 3220$ does not avoly to the state share, which is lost in its entirety when individuals leave. The table on the following page indicates enrollment changes for the fifteen Alameda County school districts containing high schools. Znrollment changes are noted for the high school populations as well as for the entire district populations.
(For a moment looking back at table, p. 12, the final column, "number passed as a percent of total enrollment," is the relevant percentage relating to the CHSPE. On average over the Alameda County districts containing high schools, there exists the potential for a tenth of $1 \%$ of tatal ADA to leave early because of the CiSPEn- as of the first test acministration on Decenber 20, 1975. If many of those rho dass choose to remain in high school, the inoact of the CESPE itself on declining enrollments will be negligible.)

The rationale for this $S B 220$ adjustment is that the district, upon losing $\$ 1,000$ in local revenue (olus $\$ 125$ from the state) due to a student leaving, carnot reduce its ooerating expenses correspondingly. Fow-instance, the district, upon losing 24 high school students who have passed. the CriSPE, cannot simply lay off one teacher. By contrast, if the high school gains 24 new stindentis; it"is-likely that they can be placed in' existing classes Whout the need to hire another teacher. From the budgetary standpoint, it is easier to add tian to lose students, according to budget analysts for the Berkeley ard Oakland unified school districts. The adjustment eases the special budgetary difficultiss

## MOST DTSTRICTS ARE EXPERIENCING DECLINTNG ENROLLMENTS

Errollnent Changes Orer Three Yearg
Distict Totals

| District | 1973-74 | 1974-75 | 8 Change $^{\text {b }}$ | 1975-76 | \& Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameds Onfified | 11385 | 11070 | -2.8 | 10782 | -2.6 |
| Albany Uaified | 2182 | 2206 | +1.1 | 2234 | +1.3 |
| Amador migh | 4183 | 4476 | +7.0 | 4708 | +5.2 |
| Berkeloy Unifled | 14230 | 13794 | -3.1 | 12970 | -6.0 |
| Gastro Valley Unilied | 7575 | 7190 | -5.1 | 6828 | -5.0 |
| Emory Ondfied | 494 | 604 | +22.3 | 582 | -3.6 |
| Fremont Unfified | 32603 | 32069 | -1.6 | 31407 | -2.1 |
| Bayward Umified | 24089 | 23286 | -3.3 | 22886 | $-1.7$ |
| Livermore Jt. Undeled | 14222 | 14569 | +2.4 | 14588 | +0.1 |
| Newark Unified | 9544 | 9366 | -1.9 | 8576 | -8.4 |
| New Haven Unified | 7812 | 8065. | +3.2 | ;9167 | +13.7 |
| Oakland Umirled | 56661 | 55066 | -2.8 | 54286 | -1.4 |
| Piedmont. Unifled | 2521 | 2534 | +0.5 | 2448 | -3.4 |
| San Leandro Unifled | 8533 | 8190 | -4.0 | 7955 | -2.9 |
| Sen Lorenso Unifled | 12954 | 12314 | -4.9 | 11712 | -4.9 |

a. Brrollnent as of the first school month's and.
b. From the previous year.

Source: Anmal Report of Pinanoial Transactions, Alameda County Superintendent of Schools, $\$ 975$.

| $\frac{\text { Proll }}{\text { Subent Cotanges Orer Three Years }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distelict | 1973-74 | 1974-75 | \& Change | 1975-76 | \$ Change |
| Alameda Uniflied | 3489 | 3439 | -1.4 | 3417 | -0.6 |
| Albeny Onffied | 714 | 723 | +1.3 | 721 | 0.3 |
| Amador High | 4183 | 4476 | +7.0 | 4708 | +5.2 |
| Berkeleg Omifled | 4198 | 4250 | +1.2 | 4066 | -4.3 |
| Castro Valley Orified | 2995 | 2857 | $-4.6$ | 2748 | -3.8 |
| Burcy Onfifled | 182 | 225 | +23.6 | 220 | -2.2 |
| Fremont Onfified | 11092 | 10344 | + 5 | 10686 | +3.3 |
| Hayward Unffied | 7607 | 7422 | -2.4 | 7426 | - |
| Livermore Jt. Unified | 4031 | 4303 | +6.7 | 4392 | +2.1 |
| Newark Unilited | 2772 | 2796 | +0.9 | 2153 | -23.0 |
| New Haven Orditied | 1879 | 2022 | +7.6 | 2881 | +42.5 |
| Oakland Unifled | 15951 | 15915 | -0.2 | 15828 | -9.5 |
| Pledmont Onified | 914 | 930 | +1.8 | 923 | -0.8 |
| San Leandro Onifled | 3120 | 2952 | -5.4 | 2916 | -1.2 |
| San Lorenzo Unifled | 4693 | 4422 | -5.8 | 4261 | -3.6 |

that accompany a decline in enrollment. This generosity is funded by the local taxpayers. For a theorietical discussion of school district costs and the effect of an enrollment decline, see the addendum to this section.
(The 1\% provision may be criticized for the "notch" effect it produces. What about the district that continually loses just less than 18 per year? It will always miss out on the $75 \%$ offset-unless it were to create an incentive for certain students to leave, in order to get itsslf over the $1 \mathbb{A}$ notch. It could also manipulate excused and unexcused absence records to arrive at a lower ADA. Furthermore, district receiving substantial amounts of state equalization aid, in losing the full amount, are disadvantaged relative to the wealthier "basic aid" districts.)

The annually adjustsd revemue limit, together with formula adjustments for declining enrollment, constitute the total reverue limit. To recapitulate, from this revemue limit is subtracted tine amount of state aid. The remainder is divided by the district's assessed valuation to determine a tax rater the maxdmum tax rate which may be levied on property in the district. The residents of any school district may vote to raise this rate through an override; such efforts a moear to have small probability of success currently, as $t=x$ agyers-increasingly resist greater school spending. We don't want too much profferency! m.:

It is within this school finance system that the budgetary inpact of the CiSis is felt. Students leaving high school early 5. No one actially has said this to me in earnest.
renresent declines in enrollment. Prior to SE 90 , only the state share was lost in cases of declining enrollment; no local revemues had to be lost. Pursuant to SB 90 and until SB 220, both the entire state and local shares were lost.

- Currently, in districts experiencing a decline in enrollment of 1\% or less, the exit of successful CHSPE candidates translates to "ounishment." The entire revenue limit is lost, but costs do not decline correspondingly in the short run. Institutional constraints exist which prevent teachers from being laid off. Teacher leyoffs probably would take place by the seniority system. And those laid off arelikely to be recently-hired minoritiese a potential violation of "affirmative action." Therefore, rather than laying off anyone, the district would rely on attrition. In: the long rung, when the numbers taking and passing the CESPE and leaving can be predicted, costs and revenues will move toward stabilization at a lower level. If 75\% is enough to serve this puroose, then suoposedly the school districts involved would not be concerned about the CKSPs.

My researches reveal a disincentive on the part of school districts to encourage students to take the exam and especially to leave school as a result of passing it. ${ }^{6}$ The disincentive has been expressed in Oakland, Livermore, and Berkeley, the districts in which personal interviews with districtilevel and school-level. administrators were held. Opinions vary school by school, however.

[^3]Within the Livermore Unified School District are two regular high schools, each containing roughly 2,000 pupils. One high sciool administration excresses a strong disincentive; the other, while somerhat concerned about the revemue effect, openly publicizes the exam and does not discourage students' acting upon it with $1^{\prime}$ the revenue effect in mind. When a disincentive is found, the revenue effect is one major reason, exoresset in terms of institutional constraints and fear of teacher layoffs.

A recommendation may be in order for a proposal to reduce the financial disincentive. Some perceive that the early leaving of a successful $C A S P \equiv$ candidate should be taken as an accomplishment of the school district. They feel that the district should not be "punished" and perhaps even given a bonus. In this type of scenario, retained or boms funds could be soent on those remaining in school, resulting in more resources per pupil and more favorable puoil-teacher ratios. One equity question that would.arise, however, is rinether a bomus emount should be uniform over all districts. Districts rith high scholastic acievement records may find thimir CiISP $\sum_{\text {gassing rates and leaving rates higher than districts charac- }}$
 Should high-performance districts receive the same bonus amount as low-performance districts? To the extent that low-oerformance districts contain largeaproportions,of ractal=minoxitiers, the equity question takes on more political importance. Further research on methods to reduce the financial disincentive would be highly. useful. One prominent suggestion which relates to the timing of student leaving is presented on page 46.

Timing of student leaving:
How the Local Share of the Revenue Limit is Affected
The school year, September through June, is divided into ten statistical Deriods, each one lasting aporoximately one month. The length varies slightly district by district. Total teaching days must equal at least 175. Revenue limit computations consider, for the local share, a 7-neriod interval, Seotember through March, as relevant for the subsequent year's revenue linit.

An exodus of students from the district during April, ifay or June does not affect the next year's local share of the revenue Imit at ail. Any loss of students occurring durins the last three months of the school year, therefore, does not go into determining whether the district will be brought over the $1 \%$ notch. It does not enter into the $75 \not \equiv$ offset of $A D A$ loss- becauss these losses took place after the relevant 7 -period interval.

Thus, a student who takes the March 27, 1976 CHSPE, learns in Anril that he passed; has his certificate and parent signature promotly, and leaves May 1 , is not counted as an ADA loss for puryoses of the local share of the revenue limit calculations. iiis leaving is not counted as a decline in enrollment which would be reflected in next year's revenue limit. No locally raised revenue is lost for the 1976-77 year due to his leaving May 1, 1976. The local taxpayers are paying very slightly more in 1976-77, in effect, than they would if he had completed the semester. There is no significant saving either. The teacher-pupil ratio will appear slightly more favorable in the school from which he departed.

What about the funior who does finish the semester? He too will be "counted as oresent" from the local revenue standooint in 1976-77 even though he is gone. One year later, in 197?-78, his leaving will be reflected in the local revenue complatations.

- Combutationally, then, as far as the local share of school district revemue is concerned, it does not matter whether the junior finishes out the spring semester. The effect is the same.

The student who takes the December CHSPE, passes it, and lsaves at the end of Jamary does represent a loss in loczlly raised revenues for 1976-77. He had been present for five of the seven statistical periods; two periods were lost. Therefore, as far as local revenue-raising is concerned, $2 / 7$ of the local share of the revemue limit is lost. If the local share is $\$ 1,000$, the los is $3 / 7$, $\$ 1000=\$ 285$. Next school year's local revenue, then, rill be (\$1000-286) $=\$ 714$. This means a slightly lower
tax rate for local district residents. If enrollment declined by more than l\% during the school year in which this student left, the offset may be asplied: Instead of a revenue loss of $\mathfrak{q} 286$, the loss will be only $25 \%$ of $\$ 286$, or $\$ 72$.

To conclude, the district may prefer that the CiSPE be adminietered once, later in the school year, for instance during Karch or later-e timed so that students who pass would not leave before the seven relevant statistical periods elayse. In so doing, the district helps to maximize next year's revenue limit yer pupil. From the district's budgetary noint of view, the longer into the school year the student stays, the better.
$\because$ Whale the district may profer a CHSPE given only once a year, it should be remembered that the CHSPE is intended to serve students. In a two-semester system, giving the test only once toward the end of the second semester would fail to meot the desires of a substantial mamer of students who would want the opportunity to leave at mid-year.

An alternative suggestion is offored that would satisfy the timing needs of students and go a long way toward removing sohool district disincentive relating to the CHSPE: Change California's school finance formulas to be based not on ADA but rathor on what is called "Average Daily Enrollment" (ADE). ADA as defined on page 30 necessatates the elaborate and costily attsndance-taking operation that characterizes high schools eurrentily. The ADA system supposedily is intended to induce schools to maximize student attendance and thereby madimise revenoes. Under an ADE system, attendance would be takon once only, during the first month of the school year, and allocations to the district would be based upon that figure. Students who leare at midmear would not translate into a rovenue loss for thendistrict.

Would ADE represent a net savings to school districts, to local district taxpayers, to Califomia tampars in genaral Here 18 s richi researehematerlal, for students of publiespolioys

[^4]The state share, a fixed amount, constitutes only a small portion of the reveniue limit, and whether the student leaves early or later in the school year does not make a difference in how the loss of state aid is felt; in the long run. The state's records of losses are keot current, and adjustments are made also on a current bests, essentially. The effect of timing is seen primarily in the locally reised oortion of the revenue limit.)

## Gifted students and special students

Each gifted student who oarticipates in the high school's gifted program receives $\$ 70$ in additional state aid. When such a student takes and passes the CiSPI and leaves, the district loses this money along with the other state aid. Since the $\$ 70$ goes directly into the gifted program epecifically, the loss is reflected in the gifted program budzet specifically.

For our purposes hers, a "special student" will be interpreted as Jhysically handicapped. Extra state apportionnents are made to special students. The physically handicapoed are predominant in number anong soecial students.

A decline in enrollment of this tyoe of student is not directly linked to ADA, as is the case with gifted students. State apportionments to the physically handicapped are made according to class size. How? Suppose a high school operates two classes for the handicapped, with maximum class size set at 16. Suppose that actually there are 22 handicapped pupils. State aid is given as if there were 32 students, or "tro classes" of handicapped. The early leaving of one student would not lower the amount of state aid at all. oniy of six students left and the total were brought down to 16
be given as if there were "one class"-- half the amount it was giving to our original 22 students-a a serious pinch, in the district's perceotion. The notch effect bears some similarity to that discussed earlier. The question is whether the district could dismiss one teacher of the handicapped.

## An Illustrative Case: The Iffect of the CiISP on Unified District "X"

" X " is a basic aid district of 13,000 enrollment and a revenue linit of $\$ 1.125$ Der ADA. "X," which conteins three hi-h schools, has not exjerienced an enrollment decline from last year (1774-75) to this year (1975-76). 25 juniors who sassed the CiS? finishtng junior year and will not return for senior year. All other enrollment changes are held constant for our purposes. For each ADA, "X" receives \$125 from the state. The ADA figure works out to be approximately equal to 95 多 of enroliment. 8 Therefore, if encollment is to decrease by 25 from this year to next, the ADA figure will decrease by 24 ( 25 x .95) . This will mean a decrease in state funds to the district of $\$ 3,000$ ( $24 \times \$ 125$ ) effeetive 1976-77.

The remaining district revemue, $\$ 1,000 \times 24$ or $\$ 24,000$, is geperated from local property taxes. This amount will continue to be genemated nexitu sokoal year evan tonghi: thoustudentisuhase left. In thewfollowing year, however (1977-78), the total amount of
8. See footnote no. 3 and the note appearing on the table depicting enrollment changes district by district, for the distinction between $A D A$ and enrollment.
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local property tax money raised will be reduced by $\$ 24,000$. Therefore, in the veryskort run (1976-77), only $\$ 3,000$ will be lost. It is in the short man (1977-78) that the bulk of the CHSP5-related revenue loss will be registered.

In the very short run, the $\$ 3,000$.loss is distributed evenly over the general onerating budget of the district, which is \$34 million in 1975-76. Next year's budget is expected to be approximately the same, with allowance for inflation. The loss of $\$ 3,000$ is an insignificant percent of the total bucjet and will not be deducted from the high school budsets specifically.
riith "X"'s current enrollment at 13,000 , the loss of 25 students will result in an enrollment of 12,575 at the start of $1 \geqslant 76$.?7. The $\$ 3,000$ jecrease in income therefore would translate into a per capita loss of $\$ .23$.
(fere "X" receiving $\$ 375$ per ADA in equalizatim aid as well, the total loss would be $24 \times \$ 500$, or $\$ 12,000$, a loss some might consider inequitable. Fowever, this inequity would be somewhat off set by the fact that, in the equalization aid district, the local taxpayer burden would be relatively lighter than that on texpayers of the basic aid district, given equal revemue limits.)

Suppose "X" had experienced an enrollment decline of more than 1\% between 1974-75 and 1975-76. The state revenue effect will be unchánged. On the local side, in 1977-78 the effect is as if only six students had left ( $25 \%$ of 24 ). The taxpayers in 1977-78, instead of contributing $\$ 24,000$ less, will have their purden
reduced by only $\$ 6,000$. To the district, this means a loss in local revenue of only $\$ 6,000$ resulting from the early leaving in 1975-76. On the cost side, Board of Education volicy requires the maintenance of a $24: 1$ pupil-teacher ratio at the high school level. The early leaving of 25 students among three high schools cannot result in the laying off of one teacher. In the rare event that all 25 leave from one school, possibly one teacher would have to be laid off. Institutional constraints are likely to preclude this, however. In the first place, since high school teachers are specislized and students take many different classes, the ratio on paper cannot be maintained in all courses at all times. A nigh school cannot simply lay off an English teacrer, for examele. Secondly, the teachers' union initiated a policy ro\% anproved in District "X" by which the district must give teachers at least one year's notice before actual layoff. Thirdly, the district's versonnel nolicy is governed by both the seniority system and affirmative action, policies that could conflict in this situation.

Therefore, although revenues will heve declined, it is unlikely that in the short run costs will decline corresoondingly. In the long run, "X" will beable to predict and plan for Cispamelated losses, and a revenue-cost equilibrium will be re-established.

CONENUTY COLLIGES AND TUE STAIE AS A WHOLE

## Community Colleges

Alameda County contains three commanity college districts, whose $A D A$ trends are dericted in the table below:

|  | ODUCATED ADA ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1971-72 | 1972-73 | 1973-724 | 1974-75 |
| Fremont-Nesark | 2,308 |  | 2,887 | 4,036 |
| Peralta | 19,671 |  | 19,708 | 21,608 |
| South County Jt. | 7,364 |  | 8,524 | 9,766 |
| Total ADA | 29,343 |  | 31,119 | 35,410 |

a. Superintendent of Schools, Alameda County, Business Services Bulletin No. 6, Nov. 1972, Dec. 1974, Sept. 1975.
b. Data from 1972-73 are temporarily missing.

Clearly, community college attendance is growing rapidly. Why? 1. Rising unemoloyment. Those unemoloyed can attend com?nity college either to gain job-related skills or stmoly to have something to do.
2. A growing number of nomen beyond "college age" want to enhancetineir education and/or job skills.
3. Orader persons are attending in growing numbers to broaden their om learning exoeriences and to "keep active."

The absence of tuition, of course, greatly enccurag'es attendance.

Community colleges are financed similarly to elementary and secondary schools. $\$ 125$ per ADA (full time equivalent pupil) are guaranteed from the state, and some commanity colleje districts also receive equalization aid. Reveme limit amounts are comparable. The major distinction is that commanity college districtis have a broader tax base than do districts serving grades $K$ through 12.

The nossibility now exists that a substantial number of CHS? entrance means a straight $\$ 125-$ oer- 1 DA (or more) additional sum from the statem and an increase from the locsl share.

Communty colleges welcome the entrance of CiS? holders from the budzetary standpoint. 9 ife recall that it is easier to add students tinan to lose an equal number of students under the current school finance system. The community college is relatively advantaged in the high school-community college shift. The show of proficiency should help ensure that the studients can be placed In existing community college programs, rather than needing special remedial orograms, inh ch may be more costly at the community college level tian progroms simiar in content at the high school level.

The commuity colleges may favor the CISP for another reason. Governor Brom's FY 1975-76 budget bill featured ar 5\%-per-year. growth in ADA "cag" placed upon the state's community colleges. Growth beyond 5\% oer year is allowable but mast be financed totally by the local district taxoayers. At this time, local
9. Peter A. Barthelme, Business Mgr., South County Commanity College District, interview, March 15, 1976.
community college boards typically voet in more local suppor if the ADA increase exceeds 5\%. Other strategies employed to deal with the cap include not opening up ner sections for courses in which sciedulsd sections fill up. Sone teachers of marginally justified courses may be laid off. A large influx of CHSPE certificate-holders $\because$ ould create some oressure to remove the cap.

In 1972, in its comments on SB 1112, the Department of Finance obrosed the CASPE orovision, stating that "it could entail significant additional costs if substantial numbers of high school students were to transfer... to more expensive community collegeprograms..... $n^{10}$ In fact, community college educational expenditures per full time $A D A$ are less than those for urified sciool districts in Alameda County. In 1974-75, total expenditures averaged over all unified school districts sere $\$ 1,393.83$ per ADA. The figure averaging the three community college districts came to $\$ 1,220.64$ per ADA. "Total Expenditures" consists of certificated and classi fied salaries, emoloyee bencfits, books, surislies, media, equioment, contracted services, and otier operating exjenses."
?
As far as state funds are concerned, if both the high school and commanity college receive only besic aid, there is no short run loss or gain to the state. If equalization aid is involved at"the high school level. or the commatty college level", there may be a net, loss or net gain in state funds.

11. Annual Report of Financi 1 Transactions of the Alameda County School ifistriciss, 1975, p. 18-2i.

## Illustration of net loss or gain to the state

Supoose there are two high schools subtended by one communtity college. School "B" is part of a school district receiving basic aid only, at the high school level. School "E" is entitled to $\$ 375$ in equalieation aid, for a total of $\$ 500$ ner puoil in state aid. Net losses or gains to the state from a successful CiSP Candidate leavirg high school and entering the community college are depicted for two cases: one case (top diagram) in which the commanity college receives only basic aid, and one case in which it receives $\$ 275$ in equalization aid as well.

| $\$ 125 / A D A$ | $\$ 500 / A D A$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $" B "$ | $" E "$ |
| $\searrow$ |  |



One student coming from "B": no net loss or gain to the state. One student ceming from "E": State saves $\$ 500$, then contributes $\$ 125$, for a total net saving to the state of $\$ 375$.


One student coming from "B": State saves $\$ 125$, then contributes $\$ 400$, for a net loss of $\$ 275$.
One student coming from "E": State saves $\$ 500$, then contributes $\$ 400$, for a net saving of $\$ 100$.

## Conclusion

The net loss or gain to the state, and the concerns of the Department of Finance, apply mainly to the short run. The longer run and more significant fiscal effect of the CHSPE program is to shorten the length of schooling time. The junior who enters college a year early may finish college a year early and thereby represent a long. run net saving to the state. Likewise, his stream of lifetime earnings and productivity may begin a year early. State income taxes from this individual will begin flowing in a year early, constituting a financisl benefit to the state.

## THE STUDENT SURVEI

Why conduct a student surver?
The limitations of the questionnaire accompanying the CHSPE
(in the appendix following page. 77.) Ied me to conduct a survev of
ny own. This survey was done
a. to find out what students are thinking and planning;
b. to learn general characteristics of takers as opposed to nontakers, passers as opposed to failers, and those who leave sohool as opposed to those who stay;
c. to discover any unintended consequences of the CHSPF;
d. to lead an empirically-based direction toward policy implications;

- to pose questions that educators in other commuities might askem for the local school district's benefit, and to test generalisability to the state as a whole.


## Why Livernore?

When I began this work, a "client" was (and is) the Alameda County Superintendent of Schoolsm represented by Dr. Harley Sorensen, Director of Curriculum. He and I agreed on the importance of interviewing students. He stressed difficulties in obtaining aocess to individuals due to $H R 69$ (privacy). No one has to cooperate: with reseerch offorts that call for identifying students by name, unless deemed vital to the advancement of knowledge. Sorensen knows key district admimistrators, and we scamed the list of the 15 districts containting high schools.

We wanted a district that
a. would be open to research;
b. would hare a pass rate sumilar to $45 \%$, the statewide ratog
co would have a ratio of takers/number eligiblo stinilar to 29 , the statenide rates
d. would contain a variety in terms of sociomeconomic status and race.

- would not be too large in terms of number of test-takers or number of high schools, givon my linited time.

Livermore came closest, satisfying all conditions except "d."

The oftr: of the 1975 population of 48,359 (increased from 37,703 in 1970), over $96 \%$ are white. Not a manufacturing eity, peonle do a wide vamiety of jobs at Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, elsewhere in Livormore or Pleasonton, or commating to Eayward or possdbly Oakland. Median income is $\$ 12,500$. Livermore is spacious and suburban, featuring one-family homes. See the Iivermore fact shoot in the appendix to this soction.

The high echools: There are two regular public high schools, each containing approximately 2,000 students, and one continuation sabool of approximately 120. Livermore 12th graders perform far above the state median in the California State/District Testing Program in roading, language, spolling, and math. See the summary of percentiles in the appendix to this section.

## The CHSPR population

48 Livermore students took the first exam in December 1975, $2.6 \%$ of those eligible to take it. 22 passed, or 45\%. Below is the distribation by high school:

Livermore Eigh
Granada Hi gh
Del Valle continuation

Number who took Number who passed

The samole
I desdred a total sample size of approximately 50 , due to 1imited time. The chart below compares wy sample with the actual population:

|  | (nontakers) |  | Took CBSPE | Passed | Failed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | $\frac{48}{22}$ | $\frac{22}{26}$ |  |  |  |
| Sample | 20 | 25 | 15 | 10 |  |

The sample roflected the school-by-school distifibution of the population; exoept-that:I could not locate-any Livermore Eiligh students: who failed the exam. The 10 I reached wore from the other two schools. All students I interviewed were white, reflecting the CHSPr population and the high school population in general.

Ench interview lasted approximately 20 minutes. Nontakers (all 16, 16 $\frac{1}{2}$, or 17 years old) were interviewed randomly during lunch, during study period, or at any time on the lawn. Indiridual appointments were made with all others, and the interviews were held in a quiet place at school or at their homes. A complete IIst of my questions appears in the appendix to this section.

## The touchy problem of access to names

The most frustrating difflculty I encountered was that of obtaining access to those who took the exam and passed-m and especially those who took it and failed.

As mentioned previously, the State Department of Education (SDE) implements the exam and has contracted with Educational Testing Sorfice (EIS) to administer and score it. Dr. Alex Law of the SDE and John Gilroy, whom he supervises, have set a policy of having ETS send to the indiridual school a printout containiag only the names and addresses of those who passed. The printout does note the muber from the school "remifled" to take the test (not the same as the number who actually appear on the test date). The school does not know for sare how many failed and does-not know at all who fatled. The rationale for this SDE policy is to provent a failure from boing used by the school, placed in the student's perrenent-record, or used for traolding; for examplew

The school may want to know who failed, howorer, for its own rescarch or to initiate guidance for the student (who may be extremely frustrated, perhaps to the point of dropping out). What can the school do? It can keop a record of each student who cones into the office for a CISPE application. It can record
the student' name at the time the school stamps its age verification on the completed application. However, many stadents pick up applications, of even get then veaified, without actually showing up to take the test. The school camot know, brits own recordkecofing, who actually took any givon CBSPE.

SHS keeps a list, for each test site, of the nanes, sohools, and addresises of all who actually took the CHSPE on a given test date. Can a school obtain a copy of the list for the test site to which its students went? EIS will supply the "takers" 11st if SDE aporoves. SDE will not approve. Sabtracting those who passed (known to the school from the printout it recelves) from the list of all takers leaves the names of those who failedee which SDE does not want sohools to know.

I obtained names of those who failed only through the sympethetic efforts of cortain individual administrators and counselors who renenbered "off the top of their headg"er an unprofessional surveg research mothod This obstacle is bound to hinder any sophisticated future effort to study the implications of the

CHSPE program. for the antire population of those who fail the ccan may be forfolted.

This difficulty asde, in order to interview any 16-0r-17-year-01d under the jurisdiction of the school, I had to step through a most timemansumings diplomay-demandingapproval processer

1. Approtal of Alameda County Superintendent's Office
2. Approval of Iivermore School District Office
3. Approval of esch bigh school principal
4. Approval of each parent.

The process, from approvals through writing up the findings, took approximately four to five weeks.

## Fromias

1. Who take's the exran? :
a. Not students with high grades, according to my findings. During each intervier I sot up the following small chart and asked the student to check his "usual" grade or grade range:
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}A & A\end{array} \mathrm{~B} \quad \mathrm{~B} \quad \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F}$

Buglish
Social Studies
Math
From this information I made an ostimate of whether the student could be said to have "high" (all $A^{\prime} s$ and $B^{\prime} s$, mainly $A^{\prime} s$ ), "medium" or "lown grades. ${ }^{1}$ Osing this crude system consistently over all CHSPE-takers and nontakers, the following results are reported:

|  | 25 Takers |  | 20 Nontakors |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Porcent | Number | Percent |
| High | -0- | -0- | 6 | 30\% |
| Medium | 20 | 80\% | 10 | 50\% |
| Low | 5 | $20 \%$ | 4 | 20\% |

b. Students who are absent frequently and ciut classese Two questions were asked all students: (1) Would you say that, in terms of whole days, you're absent about once a wook, once every two weeks, or less often? (2) How often do you find yourself cutting a class? A fow times a wook, a fow times a month or so, or less often?

From this combination I arrived at the categories crudely labeled "out often," "out sometimes," and "out rarely." As the table on the next page shows, CASPE-takers tend to be absent quite frequently,

[^5]|  | 25 Takers |  | 20 Nontakers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Porcent |
| Out Often | 12 | 48\% | 2 | 10\% |
| Out Somotimes | 9 | 36\% | 1 | 5\% |
| Out Raraly | 4 | 16\% | 17 | 858 |

c. As to the age and grade levels of the CHSPE-takers, in Livempore an ofon distribution of age was found-a a roughly equal number of $16,16 \frac{1}{2}, 17$, and $17 \frac{1}{2}-y e a r-o l d s$. Only soven of the 25 CRSPE-takers, however, were seniors. The rest were sophonores and juniors in terms of credit. 17-rear-old sophomores and first-semestor funfors, who are behind in oredits or are repeating courses, tend to be attracted to the CBSPE progran.
d. Among CHSPE-takers tond to be fower four-year-college oriented persons (the bottom three categories) than among nontakers. CZSPE-takors are more oriented toward the community college (categories four and fivo). No CHSPE-takers I interviewed had taken the SAT's; some did not appear to know what these were.

## PLAMS FOR FUTURE EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT

|  | 25 takers |  | 20 montakers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Namber | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Less than diploma | 1 | 4\% | -0 | -0- |
| Dfploma/CASPE | 6 | 24 | 6 | 30 |
| Vocational trainding | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Some commulity college | 4 | 16 | 1 | 5 |
| Plinith vommunity college | 7 | 28 | 2 | 10 |
| Sore four-year college | 0 | -0- | 2 | 10 |
| Bachalor's degree | 4 | 16 | 6 | 30 |
| Advanced study | 2 | 8 | 2 | 10 |
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## -. CHSPE-takers tond to work, at least part time, more than

 nontakers. Onif 9 takers of 25 are not working at all, as opposed to 14 of 20 nontakers. A felt noed or desire to mpport oneself if often a motivating factor among takers. A fow CASPE-takers wanted very mach to leave Livermore and start an independent life elsewhere (usually in the Bay area). A hint of the descire to stert their own lives ahows up in the question I asked: When do you suppose you'd marry?"|  | 25 Takers |  | 20 Nontakers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| By arrly 2018 | 11. | 4475 | 4 | 20\% |
| Mad-20's | 10 | 4 Cl | 11 | 55\% |
| Late $20^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ or later | - 3 | 12\% | 2 | 10\% |
| Haybe not at all | 1 | 4\% | 3 | 15\% |

2. What are the plans. of those who take the exam?

Only 6 of the 25 CRSPE-takers exprossed motives other than the straightformard destre to leave school early. One of these was curious to "test har proficioncy" (I noted that her curiosity did not extend to the question, What does the State Department of Education think wo should knowi").

Or-the remalning annority of 5; intorestingly, two expressify wanted the choice of leaving or ataying in high school. If thay pessed, thes said, they would stay in school for the tire being, knowing thegenow had the option to leaver. The othemis munted: the shool off their backs." That is, they wore behind in oreditisi by pasadng the exan and remaining in school, they would no longer have to worry about taling required courses or naling up oredits, for they will have "earned" the CHSPS certificate, the legal equivalent of a diplona. Therofore, these students wanted to stay in school but take a more plamaratie sot of classes.

I asked each CHSPE-taker: mat the time you went into the exam
in Docomber, what was your plan if you wore to pass?"
Faroll in commanity college protty mach full time ?
Commanty college, then on to 4-year collego 2
Part time commuity college, part time work 3
I think I have a full tine job all sot up. 3
Pull time work, then enroll in communty college i
Look for a froll time job
Stay ard take an easier load in high school
Stay in high sobool, fust to "plesse" parents
Stay one year, then go to coumunity college
So particular plan thought out
Sost wanted to leave early; their plans involved community college, work, or a combination of the two. Were ther to fail the Docember cran, 16 of the 25 ware plaming to stay in school and rotake it

Karch 27, 1976, with genarally the same plans in mind as before.

## 3. Who passes the exam?

Students with higher grades are more likely to pass, not surpmangily. A detailed grade distribution was performed for passers and failers of the Deceaber exam, as shown on the next page. A higher incidence of $A^{\prime} s, A / B^{\prime} s$, and $B^{\prime} s$ is noted in those who passed.

CHSPR-takors who pess tend to be the ones whth higher long-
ravze educational gosls than those who do not pass.
FLABS EOR FUTURE EDOCATIONAL ATTAIMNKNT
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## DETATLED GRADE DISTRIEOXION

11125 CASPEtakers

|  | $\frac{A}{3}$ | $\frac{A / B}{3}$ | $\frac{B}{3}$ | $\frac{B / C}{1 X}$ | $-\frac{C}{1}$ | $\frac{C / D}{2}$ | $\frac{D}{0}$ | $\frac{D / B}{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | 2 | 1 | 3 | $: 7$ | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Kath | 2 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |

15 who passed

| Raglfsh | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Meth | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Social studies 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |

10 who failed

| English | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Math | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Sodial studies 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |

Bote: On a suparate sheet of paper, I asked each student to make a check mark undor the grade he typically received for each of these three courses. The waititen question looked like this:

English
$A \quad A / B \quad B \quad B / C \quad C \quad C / D \quad D \quad D / F$

Kath
Social Studies

Thas, three "raports" were ontered per student, or three "inedeontso" In the example above, the studeat nsually gots an 1 or $B$ in Eaglish, and 80 forith. In parts of this section I ase the detailed grade
 grades," Mesedini grades," or "poor grades." I would simplify the above set of grades to menedium grades."

The parents of those who pass have higher educational axpecta-
tions of them than do the parents of those who fail. I asked each respondent the following set of questions: How far would your mother like to see you go in your schooling? What about your father? How far would he like you to go? How far do you expect to go in yinare schooling iv ${ }^{2}$ Responses are pictured on the table below, distinguishing those who passed from tho se who failed.

PARENTS: EXPECTATIONS
15 who passed
10 who failed

| Loss than diploma/CHSPE |  | $\vdots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dlplona/CESPE | $\vdots$ | $\vdots \vdots!$ |

Vocational school


Some 4-Year college


Each line represents "parental expectations:Ior one-student;" ". A dotted line indicates that parents and student agreed or that the parents evidently would want their child to go simply mas far as be wants." Note the small letter min or, in onecasoc mon
2. "Expectations" should be separated into past expectations and present expectations. Further, expectations should be distinguished from past and present Mopes." In addition, the intensity with -which the mother and father disagree should be considered. What I learned was the student'siview of mat his parents would like m his to attain. Given my limited time and limited expertise, this crude approximation was all that could be made for the time being.

The wH" indicates that parents expected more of the student than the student himself planned to attain. The "L" indicates that the student's expectations of his future.educational attainment exceeded his parents'.

A higher incidence of agreement or acquiescencere and at lower schooling levels- is noticed among those who failed than in those who passed. Eridence of disagreement between the passing atudent and his parents is rather striking. $3^{-}$

I looked for further patterns which differentiate those who pass from those who fail the exam. A detailed age/grade level distribution yielded no generalization; all ages and grade levels are redresented among both passers and failers. As for a distribution by sex, 6 of the 8 males passed; only 9 of the 17 femsles passed. However, it is questionable whether a useful predictive generalization can be made from this observation. Interestingly, female CHSPE-takers tended to plan to go straight to commanty college if they were to pass, while males planned to work full time or include work in their college plans.

[^6]4. Of the successful, who leaves high school?

In Livermore, the majority of those who passed the December CASPE left high school- some before they received their results. Note on the table below the tendency among males to leave.

THOSE WHO PASS AND LEAVE BIGH SCHOOL
Number who passed Left high school Staved in high school
Hales
Females
Total
6
9
15

5
4
9

1 5

6

The table on the following pagescharts the course of the 15 who passed. Students numbered 1 through 9 left school; students numbered 10 through 15 stayed.

Among the points brought out by the table: Those who pass and leave tend to have lower:aspirations educationally than those who pass and stay in high school. Their intent is to complete their total : sohooling at the earliest practicable. time." Thoy are more work-oriented (toward the more mamal types of jobs), more inclined to want to be self-supporting, than tho se who pass and stay.

| $\pm$ | $\cdot$ - $\cdots$ |  | $\frac{\text { WHTHER THE } 15 \text { WH }}{\text { THE } 9 \text { WHO I }}$ | $\frac{10 \text { PASSED? }}{\text { LEFT }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | (as of Dec.) Plan If Passed | (aftor result) What the Student Actually Did | Job now | Plans for next yr/two. | Highest educational Atteinment planned |
| M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { conme coll, } \\ & \text { then } 4-y r . \end{aligned}$ | Home. Too late to onroll at comm.co17 | - Not working | Comat, college, transfer to UC | BA |
| M | stey in h. 8. to please parents | Pound job. Moved out of house. | Paints houses. Pull time. | Keep working filis tsime | CHSPE |
| M | Didn't know. | Worked. | Works at carnival. Full time. | Keep working at carnival | CHSPR |
| M | Soek job. | Worked. | Mechanic, house painter. Part time. | Keep working, onroll in cosm. college. | Some comm. colle |
| M | Stay in h. 8. Oot sehool off back. | Home, bored. Looked for Job. | Works in cafe. pull time. | Divide time between part time work and comm. college | Some comm. college |
| F | Comm. college | Comm, college | Not working. | Comm. college, then to 4-5x. | BA |
| 7 | Comin. college. | Hork until fallip6. | Theater oashier. Part time. | Fall 76 : comm. college and part time work. | Advanoed degree |
| $F$ | Corme college. | Work for a while. | Works at bakery. Full time. | Comm. college plus part time work. Then to 4 -yrs. | BA |
|  | Conm. college. | Looked for job. | Baby aitter. Pull time. | Comas. college plus work. | Some community colled |


| W | иоғ7 еnpeas <br> $20 z 50$ esoctoo－rimos | －Sutryan zont | － S 078 07 <br> －м редивм дочzor －T00408 48ty UI | －0\％0［100－mmos | $\triangle$－ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V8 |  | －iuppram zon | －T00प08 प27t UI | －SOиの โo fy －0．xd An 7807 of pequem 78np <br>  | $\pm 1$ |
| ，e0x20p peourapy | －2 07875 <br>  | －Sutyiom 7on |  <br> J0＂atnbe tesot 8e 5dSHD Peqsixis TI <br>  ＇tootes 48t4 UI | －089［TOp－mmos | a |
| $0$ | －0mp7 7xid yram entd esetros －minos ueyt＇ozenprax | －0uf7 7 78d －98027ヶ8M | －motaryp <br> j0－atnibe T889T 88 צ． －purpi pesuryo sufary ＇toovos 48tu UI | －имод 9abet <br> －qof ypos | $\pm 3$ |
| VW |  | － 80 pratar 7 On | －TOOYPs 48tu UI | Y08q． 150 TOOups eq7 708 －－8．y uf 人87s | $\pm 1$ |
|  | －yzom prix <br>  <br> बस7／5N 7x स०I subtd | －0mit7 728d －そス® нои वо | －T00 पos y2tru UI <br> Pमの ATTEMOV <br> 7иерпй 9 ч7 784M <br> （7tusex 20758） | yorq 150 ［00408 947798 <br>  II UPTd （ ${ }^{\circ} 00 \mathrm{C} 50 \mathrm{sP}$ ） |  |

Not shown on the large table is absenteeism: The tabulations below indicate that those who passed and left had been absent or cutting class very often, suggesting a stronger aversion to high school. 9 who passed and left 6 who passed and stayed

Out Often Oat Sometimes Out Rarely

7
1
1

2
2
6

The who leave tend to have lower grades in high school than those who stay. of 9 incidents of $C / D, D$, or $D / F$ grades among those who passed the December CHSPE, all 9 were reported by leavers. Breept for one individual who stayed, the grades of stayers were exclusively in the $A$ to B/C range.

In the survey, the question was put to all persons who left school: mid you leave, would you say, more because of the negative things about high school itself, or more because of the positive opportunities on the outside?" The question required some weighing, and in one case the individual answered, "Well, both equally." Elaboration of speciflc positive and negative points then was requested in all cases.

Among the 9 who left as a result of having passed the CRSPE, 6 left mainly because of strong dislike of high se What specifically? It was difficult to identify ty.e spocific roots of their aversion, but 3 stressed as predominant the opinion that thedr courses in general hadnbeemboringsands slow-pacedk:

To all who remained in high school I asked: "Are you in school, would you say, more because of the positive things about
sohool itsalf, or more because of the lack of opportunities outside of schoolin" of the 6 who passed and stayed; 3 stayed more because of the positive features oi high school: "第 friends are here" predownated. Two stayed mainly because of the more negative factors: my mother safs I have to stay..." Wo one will hire me because I'm not 18."

In sumary, those who pass and leave tend to be strongly unhappr and frustrated with high school. In many cases they may be considered by school personnel "unsuccessful" in the high school anvironment.

## 5. Of the unsucoessful, who leaves high school?

Among the 10 students in this intervien survey who failed the December CASPE, 4 quit day school altogether. Their casea are described:
(1) $17 \frac{1}{2}$ and in her first semester of l2th grade as of December, she did not want to take any more electives toward the diploma; she also noeded to work to help support hersfàdily. The CRSPE• would provide an easy way out early with a diploma. Her school counselor agreed that she could not handle both full time school andiowork; and howencouragednhor to go the CHSPE routed Failing the Deoember exam, she would turn 18 before the March exam and therefore be ineligible to rotake it. (If SB 1502 passes, this problem will be remedied, as it will open the exam to 18-year=olds-and-above.) She kelps at home and works part time, forgoing a diploma for the time belng, although she hopes to obtain one at some indefinite point. She does not intend to go to college.
(2) Also $17 \frac{1}{2}$ as of December, she was in the hospital three months last year and consequently behind in credits. She was tired of high school, ameious to proceed with a nursing career, and in want of a diplome or its equivalent. Failing the exam, she too became 18 bofore March 27. To get her diploma, she is enrolled in a Regional Occupational Program as a nursing aide, oarning 15 oredits. She is not at school physically but gaining mursing experience min the field." This plus some math coursevork at might school will earn her the diploma. Plans have been made to onroll in a commanity college marsing major starting in fall 1976. The "pluses" of her career are a stronger factor in her leaving than the "minuses" of high school itself.
(3) The quietest of all the interviewees, ho had poor grades, was absent frequently, and felt school was simply a waste of time. His plan to stay in school and retake the March exam (he had paid \$10 anew) were interrupted by "having to work" the Saturday morning of the tsat. Further, given the offor of a full time job at a gas stati n, he quit school in Fobruary 1976. He does not plan on gett 3 a diploma, and his parents acquiesce. He intends to keep working and perhaps marry within two or three years.
(4) $16 \frac{1}{2}$, pregnant, and malding poor grades, she took the CASPE to leave early without dropping out. Having failed the exam, sthe 1s at home during the day andeattending night cla sses"toward"a, diplosie, which her father consdiers important to earn. She retook the CHSPE in March, and if she pesses she will probably leave ulght school, aithough she does enjoy her business classe

All four in tailing the December CHSPE wanted to leave high school and would have wanted to rotake the exam in the ovent they failed. All four were gotting very little if anything positive out of daytime, onmeampus high ehool. Their grades were averagemton poor, they were in no extra-carricular activities, and school personnel probably not consider them "mainstream" students. For three of the four, the "mimuses" of high school itself were the main factor in their leaving- not positive opportunities perceived el sewhere. Ther might well have quit anyway without a diploma, in the absence of a CISPE altermative.

The small sampling suggests that failers who leave school may not be interested in any postsecondary education, while tho se who stay have a greater likelihood of being educationally inclined.

PLANS FOR FOTURE EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT
4 Leavers 6-Stayers
Less than diploma DAploma/CESPE

10
2

Vocational training 0
Some commaity college
Finish commanity collego.
Some 4-year college
Bachelor's degree Advanced degree

0

Leavers, not surprisingly, are absent more than stayers.
ABSENTEFETSY

Out ofton
Out Sometimes
Out Rarely
4 Leavers 6 Stajers

6 Stapers
1
4
80

Below is the detailed grade distribution for tho se who failed. Note the concentration of leavers among the lower grade ranges, relative to the highor ranges.

|  | 12 grades reported | 18 grades reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | - 7 | tayers |
| A/B | 0 | 1 |
| B | 1 | 4 |
| B/C | 5 | 8 |
| C C/D | 0 | 1 |
| D | 1 | 1 |
| D/F | 0 | 0 |

Within this surver of CHSPE-takers, some comnon traits emerge among
those who leave school in general, whether they passed or failed
the CHSPE:
Those who leave tend to have lower educational aspirations than those who stay.

Those who leave tend to have lower grades than those who stay.
Those who leave tend to be absent more frequently than those who stay.

Those who leave tend to have more negative feelings toward high school than those who stay.

Those who leave are highly unlikely to return to high school. I asked all leavers if they wight consider coming beck, and all but:one answered promptiy, "Ho."

## 6. What did the CHSPE-takers think of the exam?

The CASPE was surprisingly easy for the equivalent of a diploma, said 16 of the 25 takers- 13 of whom passed and three of whom failed. The biggest surprise to those who failed was precisely that they failed. . Four of the 10 who failed had no particular opinion; the 6 who did felt it was a relatively easy exam and expressed confidence that they could pass it a socond time. ${ }^{4}$ Three persons who failed and planned to retake it were upset at not knowing their specific areas of weakness on the exam. They had wanted more information than a mere notice of "pass" or "fail." Similarly, a minority of nassers wanted to know "how well" they did.

Comments of six who passed:
"It was too easy." (two indiriduals)
"I could have passed a Iew years ago."
WI was expecting curriculum-type questions and history."
MDisgusting! That can't possibly be the equivalent of a high school diploma." (two individuals)

Among the 15 who passed, five commented that if they were writing such an exam, they would make it more difficult or demanding: specifically; more demanding math questions, more writing, more "thought" and "comprehension" questions.
4. Horever, it was striking to note that, statewide, only about ono-fourth of those who failed the December CBSPE and rotook it in March rere able to pass on the second try. See page 17.

## Addendum: Ond ssions and Ifintations

Aside from mathodological or substantive weaknesses the reader may have noticed in the text, other owissions and limitations are mentioned here.

1. I did not ask the students: ${ }^{n}$ Do you consider yourself joboriented? Career-oriented? (short run or long run) Academeally oriented? Academically oriented in preparation for a careerp" It seemed too vague a question. With more thought, a question such as this could be composed for future CHSPE surveys.
2. I failed to ask: Were Jou working beck in December while going to school?" The question relating to work referred only to work as of May 1976. It was revealed in the informality of the interviews that many CHSPE-takers who plamed to leave school for work aiready were working part time while going to achool- in most instances at the same job theytook after leaving school.
3. A more probing study into differentiating charactoristics of meles and females would have bean in order, ospecially given the statistic that proportionately more males passed the exam than femalos (page 66).
4. I asked about older siblings in order to gatn a sense of the degree to which the respondent followed their oceupational or shooling patterns, or asked/took their advice. Then I neglected to analyse this data (a) for any differences botween with reaponm dents with older siblings and those without older siblingsp
(b) to actually answer the questions I posed a fow lines above. At the time of the analysis, it appeared to time-conamming for the expected value of the findings relative to otber findings I thought more policy-relevant. There is mich ground here for analysis, and other CASPE surfeys might well consider sdbling characteristios.
5. Pirst-time CESPE-takers are likoly to diffor in certain characteristics from those who wait until the propranim is more established. Just how they differ is a subject for interesting and useful further research. One intriguing slgn of a difference between first-time takers and sesond-ime takers: Oniy 32\% passed the March 1976 CESPE, compared with $45 \%$ in December.
6. Livormore, of course, cannot be generalifed to Geliforma. It will be important to obtain findings from cities such as Oakiand, Los Angales, and San Francisco, which contain minnilties. A sampling of different types of commonities will be highly interesting for the common patterns and disparities it reveals.


Government: Council-City Manager Tax Base '75-'76-\$141, 731, 832
1975 Approx. taxable retail sales: $\$ 80,000,000$ Tax Rate: $\$ 13.08$
Retail Sales Tax: 6 1/2\%. Approximate breakdown: State - 5\% (approx. $1 / 4 \%$
relinquished to local MTC), Clty-County -1\%, Rapid Transit $1 / 2 \%$
Median income: $\$ 12,500 \quad$ Financial: 7 banks, 3 savings a loans
Police Dept.: 58 employees and approx. 30 reserves
Fire " : 45 :
Sewage: $3.8 \mathrm{~m} . g . d$. and $5 \mathrm{~m} . g . d$. possible
Water supply: present supply adequate
Utilities: Water - City of Livermore and Calif. Water Service Co.
Gas E Electricity - Pacific Gas E Electric
Telephone - Pacific Telephone
Garbage Collection - Livermore -Dublin Disposal Co.
Cable TV - Tele-Vue Systems, Inc. Dublin
Manufacturing Employment: 1300 Non -manufacturing employment 19,800
Climate: Sunny Humidity: $35 \%$ te $62 \%$ October $50 \%$ to $69 \%$ April.
Average Rainfall: $15^{\prime \prime}$ Average Minimum Temp: $37^{\circ}$ to $54^{\circ}$
Average Mean Temp: $47^{\circ}$ to $71^{\circ}$ Average Maximum Temp:, $1^{\circ}$ to $88^{\circ}$
Radio $\varepsilon$ TV: Local FM station KKIQ, all bay area radio and tv Newspapers: Four local and all Bay area dailies by subscription Churches: 37 Library One 60,000-volume capacity
Medical Facilities: Valley Memorial Hospital, general
U.S. Veterans

4 convalescent hospitals, 2 medical clinics $\varepsilon$ 4 medical centers
Organizations: over 130 civic $\varepsilon$ service clubs Approximate occupied dwellings: 15,739 -Vacancies 5. $2 \%$
Education: 15 elementary schools, 3 junior highs, 3 high schools, 3 parochial schools, 1 Bible college, Extension courses by Univ, of Calif. Chabot college, Valley Campus
Recreation: 26 parks $\varepsilon$ playgrounds, Del tile Regional Park, Shadow Cliffs Regional Park, Sunol Regional Park, 2 golf courses, 1 miniture golf course, l bowling alley, 5 tennis courts, 15,000 seat capacity sports stadium, $\varepsilon$ a South Lake Tahoe Camping Ground.
Transportation: 4,000 ft., lighted airport with $360^{\circ}$ tower. Other airports - 15 miles to Fremont, 16 miles to Hayward, 21 miles to Oakland, 23 miles to San Jose, 31 miles to San Francisco. Airport limousine service, air commuter service. Southern Pacific e $\mathcal{E}$ Western Pacific railways for industrial service. Greyhound bus service. B.A.R.T. feeder buses $\varepsilon$ commute buses to Oakland $\varepsilon$ San Francisco.


LIVERMORE VALLEY UŃIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT --1973-74-State, District, School Median Percentile Rank Scores California State*/District Testing Program

Reading, Language, ©Spelling, Mathematics

- Grade 12

| Group assification | *Read. \#5 \%iles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *Lang. \#3 } \\ & \text { \%iles } \end{aligned}$ | *Spell. \#3 \%iles | *Math. \#4 \%iles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ite | 50 <br> State | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ \text { State } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $50$ <br> State | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ \text { State } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| strict | 91 | 79 | 72 | 94 |
| : Valle H.S. | -- | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| anada H.S. | 88 | 87 | 80 | 98 |
| vermore H.S. | 93 | 73 | 67 | 80 |

Grade 12 - Reading Median Percentile Range (State Norms): 93-88.
Grade 12 - Language Median Percentile Range (State Norms): 87-1
Grade 12 - Spelling Median Percentile Range (State Norms): 80-1
Grade 12 - Mathematics Median Percentile Range (State Norms): 98-1

## Asked all respondents at the start of each intervieni

1. What have you heard to be the major purpose of the exam? Open-ended.*
-a way to get out of school early
to have more choice, to stay in school or leave
to test your proficiercy
to reduce the dropout rate
other
2. How did you find out about the exam?
3. Did you talk it over with anyone about your taking it? Open-ended.
Encouraged Discouraged me
me to
fror jaking it The individual's comments

(I made check mark along continuum)

> tr her
father
eacher
counsel. Fr
dean
subling
boss
seistirs
sther
Astod all respondents at the end of each intervien
3. Are your parent: living lagether? (Elaborate. If there wore a Pather and a steptather, ior instance, I asked: Who is more or' a real father '? ypui Whe are you closest with?" and used that parson as "fatior,")
2. How far would your mother like to see you go in your schooling? What sbout gour fiution? How far would he like you to go?
3. How far do your axpect to go in your scivoling?
4. Ang older brothers or siders? What are they doings each of them?

[^7]5. About how ofter a you absent, in terms of whole days out? About once a w. $\because$ or once overy two weoks, or less often?
6. About how oiver: -o you find yourself cutting a class? A few times a woek żew times a month? Any particular class? Open-ended.
7. What is your father's job? Eow much "schooling did he complete?
8. What does your mother do? How much schooling did she complete?

The interview ended by giving the student the sheet which appears on the following page. While he filled it out I wrote additional conments pertaining to the orsl interview.

Asiced all nontakers

1. Why haven't you takon the exam? Open-ended. Parent probably wouldn't approve I like high school well onough to stay There's nothing $I$ could do on the outsde Afraid I'd fail the exam Onsure employer/college would accept a CHSPE certificate Gan't afford the $\$ 10$ other
2. So here you are in high school.... Would you say you're in school more becanse of the positive things about school itself ( + ) or more becanse of the lack of opportumities on the outside (-)?

On the ( + ) side, what are some of the specifin pluses about school? Open-ended. finends interesting courses some good teachers sports good preparation for college other

On the ( - ) side: open-ended.
_no jobs no other kind of educetional erperience other
3. Do you think you might still take the exam

If yes, what would you do if you passed? Open-ended.
（1）Activities in school：
neck Usual grade：$A A / B$ B $B / C \quad C \quad C D \quad D / f$
Eng．
Soc．Stud．
math
Ever expelled？a yes bunco（6）Suspended？a．yes b．no
working now？
a．yes，full time
b．yes，part time
c．$n c$
if yes，doing what？
If yes part in：what else $d_{0}$ fou Do $_{0}$ ？
If ne，wat wee you doing？
ODe：de w think you＇d marry？
a．a ear ty 20 ＇s
c．late 20＇s or later
b．mid－20＇s
d．maybe not at all

How ok ar s you？

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
16 & 16 \frac{1}{2} & 17 & 17 \frac{1}{2} & 18 & 18 \frac{1}{2} \\
a & b & c & d & e & A
\end{array}
$$

）Your highest grade level from high school j No far：
en $10^{\text {th }}, 1 \frac{\text { si }}{} \mathrm{sem}$ ．
c．！l th 1 it sem．
e．は年1边sem．
$0.10^{\text {th }} 2$ ref sem．
Q． $11^{\text {th }} 2$ not sem．Fi．iath 2 al sem．
89
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## Asked all those who took the CRSPE in December 1975

1. Who paid the $\$ 10$ ? If you did, was it bocause you had the money, or your parents wouldn't pay, ore...?
2. What did you think of the test? Were you surpeised by anything about the test? Open-anded.
3. If your job were to write this kind of test, that would be worth the equivalont of a high school diploma, would you make it up any differently, or about the same? Open-ended.
4. Why did you take the test? Openmended. to leave school early

- to test my proficiency
- to have the choice of leaving or staying to stay in school bat take an easier inad and not worry about credits other

5. Put yourself beck to last December, before the test. What was going to be your plan if you passed? If you failed? Open-ended.

Asked all those who passed and left school

1. When did you leave? • Right after the exam? When you got your results? After the term anded?
2. Did you talk it over with anyone about staying in school or leaving? (san: Pormat as no. 3 on p. 1)
3. Why did you deride to leave? Open-ended.

Would you say it was more because of the negative things about school itself ( - ) or the positive things to look forward to outside of school (+)? Openmended. Sperify +'s and -'s.
4. What did you do when you lefi? (I noted any changes from the plan before the test, no. 5 absve, asking the stadent to laborate.)
5. What are you doing now? Open-ended.
6. What are your plans for the next year or two? Open-ended.
7. Do you consdder coming back to high school? Open-ended.

## Asked 211 those who passed and stayed in school

1. When you found out you passed, did you talk it over with anyone about staying in school or leaving? (same format as no. 3 on p. 1)
2. Why did you decide to stay? (compare with pre-exam plans) Open-ended.

The (+) or (-) question, same as no. 2 on p. 2.

## Asked those who failed and left day school

1 -5. Same as numbers $1,2,3,4$, and 5 on p. 3.
6. Did you, or will you, retake the exam? Open-ended.

7-8. Same as numbers 6 and 7 on page 3.

Asked those who fatled and stayed in school

1. Why did you decide to stay in sehool? Open-ended.

Would you say you're in sehool more becanse of (+) or (-)? Same format as no. 2 on p. 2.
2. Did you talk it over with anyone as to what to do nexti (stay or leave, retake the exam or not....) Open-ended. Same format as no. 3 on p. 1.
3. Dif you rotake the exam in March?

If so, did you study? How?
Did the March exam seem easier; or harder, or about the same, or different in some way from the Doc. exam? Open-ended.

If you didn't take the March exam, why not? Do you think you might take it again sometime? Open-encied.
4. If you did/will retake the exam, what are your plans if you pess this time? Same as thes had been before the December exam? Elacorate. Open-ended.

whele
black





an mifoned ra,l
c．injo


[^8]


```
Ine you now attending
wblle high school
ontinuation high school
wivatu/parochial school
```



```
wher school (Print in box below)
```



```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{espo 85} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Public H.S. } \\
& 72.8
\end{aligned}
\] & \% Continuation H.S. & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\% Private/Parochial} \\
\hline & \% Pass
48.1 if iot Pass 51.9 & \[
{ }_{33} \text { P Pass }{ }_{67}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\% \text { Pass } \\
52.6
\end{array}
\] & \% Not Pass 47.4 \\
\hline & \% Other School & \% Not Attending 10.1 & & \\
\hline & \% Pass
46.9 Not Pass
53.1 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { \% Pass } \text { \% Not Pass } \\
44.2555 .8
\end{gathered}
\] & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

How ofeen are jou absent from school, generally?


he first choice in question 6 should have been "one day a week or re often." Test proctors were instructed to read this correct rding to the examinees before they began the questionnaire.)

## California High School Proficiency Exam Questionnaire

In the December, 1975, administration of the California High School Proficiency Examination (CBSPE), 12,150 persons took the exam and 5,430 (45\%) passed. Examinees were asked, at the test site, to complete a voluntary questionnaire-with the understanding that their responses would not be associated with them as individuals.
auming that you will pabs the CHSPE, do you plan to
$W$ in high school and receive a regular diploma?
Yes $O$
No O

- you pfan to enroll in community college soon after wing high school?

Yes $O$
No O
you plan to work full time soon after leavin heol?

Yes $O$
NoO




## Pass

45.5

- Yes No 63.136 .9

Not. Pass
54.6

- Yes 230
12.8 87.:
Not Pass
54.5
Yes $\quad 180$
54.7
- Not Pass.
- 45.5
- Yes No
$54.3 \quad 45.7$
- Yes 80
63.3 36.i


Yes O . No O
Response
.84 .3
Yes 180
$51.1 \quad 48.9$

- Pass
45.6
- Yes No
$58.6 \quad 41.4$
- Not Pass
54.4
3 Yes . 180 44.955 .1

Trides 7 through 12, inclusive, have you wer thod a finat grade of "F" or "failing" for any lamic coursa?

No O
imany elementary schools (through sixth i) did you attend?


| emponse 35.8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { One } \\ & .30 .3 . \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Two } \\ 28.5 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Three } \\ & 18.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pass } \\ & 45.1 \end{aligned}$ | - Not Pass 54.8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pass } \\ 43.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not Pass } \\ & 56.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pass } \\ 45.8 \end{gathered}$ | Not Pass 54.2 |
|  |  |  | - Five 11 | more |  |  |
| - | Pass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not Pass } \\ & 51.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pass } \\ 49 \end{gathered}$ | Not Pass 51 |  |  |

' many schools have you attended since the 1 grade?
isponse
86

\% Pass
45.6
45.6
\% Not Pass 54.3

## \% Yes \% No

\% Yes \% No $49.8 \quad 50.2 \quad 65.6 \quad 34.4$


One
5.3
Pass Not Pass
$32.5 \quad 67.5$

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Two } \\ 41.4 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Three } \\ & 27.4 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pass } \\ & 48.1 \end{aligned}$ | Not Pass 51.9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pass } \\ 44.9 \end{gathered}$ | Not Pass 55.1 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Five or } \\ 10.9 \end{array}$ | more |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pass } \\ 46.9 \end{gathered}$ | Not Pass 53.1 |  |  |
|  |  | 95 |  |

That gradi do you genorally get in these subjectu:


| inh | \% Response 84.9 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / B \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |  | $8 / C$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ |  | $9 / 0.2$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} x / F \\ 2.8 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} x \text { Päs } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | \% Not Pass 36 | $\begin{gathered} \times \text { Pass } \\ 43.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \text { Not Pras } \\ 56.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \text { Pase } \\ & 34.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \text { Not Pase } \\ & 65.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \times \text { Pase } \\ & 30.7 \end{aligned}$ | * Not Pase 69.3. | $\begin{array}{r} \times \text { Pase } \\ 37.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not Paa } \\ 262.8 \end{gathered}$ |




|  | \$ Peaponae |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 N B \\ & 48.9 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C} \\ & 33.4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6 c \\ & 13.1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \times c / D \\ 3.8 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & D / T \\ & .07 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Paes } \\ & .55 .3 \end{aligned}$ | \$ Not Pame 44.7. | $\begin{gathered} x_{4} \text { Pasn } \\ 41.4 \end{gathered}$ | * Not Pasa 58.6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$ Pacs } \\ 34.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Not Pase } \\ 65.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Paes } \\ & .29 \end{aligned}$ | \% Not 71 | Pass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$ Pass } \\ & 21.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \times \text { Not Past } \\ 78.7 \end{gathered}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$ Reaponse } \\ & \text { ung. } 61 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B} \\ & 20.3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 818 \\ & 21.5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} c \\ 6.4 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ c / D \\ & 19.8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $10 / 7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \times \text { Paea } \\ 70.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not Pass } \\ 29.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Pass } \\ 57.3 \end{gathered}$ | \$ Not Pase 42.7 | $\begin{aligned} & x \text { Pese } \\ & 44.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \& \begin{array}{l} \text { Not Pacs } \\ 55.3 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} x \quad \text { Pass } \\ -\quad 37.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { K Not Pass } \\ 62.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} x .3 \text { ess } \\ 35.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { K. Not Pas } \\ 566.5 \end{gathered}$ |


$3 \ldots . . . .$. . 0
4 or more... .

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Response } \\ 83.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% ~ a . \\ & 27.3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \% 1 \\ & 27.5^{-} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { X.2 } \\ 21.1 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Pass } \\ & 50.7 \end{aligned}$ | \% Not Pass 49.3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Pass } \\ 46.6 \end{gathered}$ | \% Not Pass 53.4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Pass } \\ & 43.8 \end{aligned}$ | \% Not Pass 56.2 |
|  |  |  | \% 4 | more |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | . 5 |  |  |
| \% | \% Pass | \% Not Pass | \% Pass | \% Not Pass |  |  |
| " | 42.9 | 57.1 | 39.6 | 60.3 |  |  |

w many younger brothors and/or sisters do you a?

esponse
83.9

$\% 1$
29.2

## $\% 2$

18.7.

language other than English is spoken in your home,
$k$ which one of the following it is:



| \% Portuguese | \% Other |
| :---: | :---: |
| 47.1 |  |

\% Pass \% Not Pass \% Pass \% Not Pass $35.8 \quad 64.2 \quad 34.3 \quad 65.7$


```
umafiftio following groupe do you bolleve you
Ma
$%
Ficen Indian or Alaskan Native (persons having
gi In any of the originad peopdes of North
(rea) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 
Emet; Southeast Asia, or the Pacific: mnds--this wrea
ifm, for example, China, Japan, Kores, the Philippine
Low, and Samoal
kg not of Hispanic origin (persons having origins in
of the black racial groups)
nke (persons of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban,
|ra or South. American origins, or of Spenish culture
inom, regardless of race)
, not of Higganic origin (persons having origins in
of the original peoples of Europe, North Africa, the
If Emst, or the Indian subcontinent)
Reaponse
\% Am. Indian
\% Pass 13.8
28.8
\% Not

\% Hispanic
7.6
```

\% Asian
3
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { \% Pass } & \text { \% Not Pass } \\ 44.3 & 55.7\end{array}$

## \% White

```
73.6
\(\begin{array}{lccc}\text { \% Pass } \% \text { Hot Pass } \\ 33 & \text { \% Pass } \% \text { Not Pass } \\ 63.3 & 46.7\end{array} \quad\) (see note, on back)
Sis the level of education schieved by the head of fousahold in which you now live?
han a high school diploma
Bhoolidiploma
punity college academic degree of completed
ilic oi private vocational program after
Tho high school
risity or four-year college degree . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 0
loid degree beyond four-year college degree
for resching credential
```



```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \[
\boldsymbol{q}^{\prime}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Than Dipl } \\
& 14.7
\end{aligned}
\] & &  &  & \[
18.9
\] \\
\hline & \% Pass & \% Not Pass & \% Pasis & \% Not & \% Pass & \\
\hline & 38.3 & 61.6 & 40.5 & 59.5 & 48. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

\% Four-year Degree \% Advanced Degree
15.8 - 15.8


```
.0
```

Note to results of question 16:
Staff in the State Department of Education's Office of Program Evaluation and Research (OPER) believe that responses to question number 16 do not accurately reflect the ethnic distribution of those who responded to the question. The ethaic categories and definitions used in the question are those promulgated by the federal Department of Health, Education, and Welfare's Office of Education and recomended by that Office for use by all education researchers nationwide so as to permit uniform comparability of ethnically related data. As such, the ethnic categories and definitions were intended primarily for third-person descriptive use by information gatherers-not for independent self-identification by meinbers of research populations. OPER staff believe that a significant number of CHSPE examinees simply misunderstood one or more of the office of Education definitions.

## BOOCATIÓNAL IMPLICATIONS OF TEE CESPE FOR THE SCHOOL

Etuaators and other school personnel would want to know what type of student is likely to take the CHSPE-
and leave sehool early if he passes/fails. For instance:
"Is it the bright ones whom we don't want to lose, who make teaching a joy? Is it the borderline troublemakers or actual troublemakers, whom it would be less infortunate to lose? For some of these latter, the benefit of their leaving might outweigh the cost in terms of ADA loss." :
and stay in school if he passes/fails. For instance:
"Is it the lazy ones who want to got out of requirements? Is it the ones who are behind in credits? Isit our artistic ones who know precisely the coursesand teachers they want and perhaps shouldn't be burdened with some of the requirements?"
Livermore educators can obtain a "profile of the potential CASPE-taker" from the findings of the student survey. They will find that in general-

1. They will not have to worry about losing their "treasure" students.
2. They are more likely to lose disaffected students, some of whom might have impeded the educational process in the classroom. To the extent this is the case, eertain negative spillover effects upon other students (nontakers of the CHSPE or takers who could not pass and remain in school) will be alleviated. The school may already have a relatively low level of investment in this type of student, just as the student prefors to invest little in the school. Thie CHSPE in this sense perhaps would make both the school and the oarly leavar better off. The CHSPE could serve as a "gantilemen's escape valven for both, a means of matually giving up without admitting failure, a means of saving face, a means of opting out without dropping out.

School officials would then ask, among other things, whether any coaching toward the exam should be offored to certain students, while other students would be urged to stay in school and not take the exan. Further, the school may suggest to some potential CASPE-takers or leavers that they earoll in night school. As mentioned on page 42, from a budgetary standpoint, night achool " subadizes" regular day sehool.

Those who take the exam, pass, and stay in school in order to
take a more pleasurable set of classes will create an unexpocted situation for the high school. In California, the Education Code and the Administrative Code state that particular courses be offered to fulfill giraduation requirements and that each student take a given number of courses. Boyond this, each district has a high degree of autonomy in establishing and creating exemptions from its own graduation requirements. ${ }^{1}$

When I asked a Livermore High administrator what the school's policy would be in the situation underlined above, he roplied: "Ais long as there is room in the destred classes, and as long as they take at least four classes, they are welcome to take whatever they want. $\boldsymbol{N}^{2}$ In this way ADA rovenues would be retained.

1. Califormia Education Code, Sec. 8574, as amended by AB 665 in 1972-73 to grant more local autonomy.
2. Vice Principal, Livermore 价gh School, telephone converaation, April 29, 1976.

What shape would district or school policy take in the event that many studants start taking thie exam with the intention of passing and staying in school to take pleasurable, popular, nonrequired coursest An outcry among legislators who support the CRSPE program and among taxpayors in general can be anticipated: WWait a minutel The CHSPE was not supoosed to make our schools resortsin

The district presentiy can require that all enrolled students must adhere to the established course requirement geo including those who have passed the CHSPE. Howerer, two possible consequences of implemeating this policy are: (1) Those unhappy in the school setting may not take the CHSPE be remain disgruntled and impede the educational process in the classes they take; (2) Those gravaly di saffected may take the CASPE with a signeminded intention to leare, and ADA losees may occur.

Viewed another way, the district may not see this form of student choice as a problem. The district or school may be one that has wished its high school curpiculum were more diverse than it is; it may feel overly tied down with required courses and would prafer to "onsich" its offerings. This type of district or school may walcome the CHSPE-passer's choice of staying in school to take... more agreeable classes. If enough students.make this choice, demand for diversified, imnovative nonrequired courses would increase. In this dituation, teacher supply would be shifted,
and teachers who major" in English and Mminor" in art, for example, would begin supplying wore art.

The CaSPE thus could take on an entirely differant meaning,
In addition to its current interprotation as simply a way to exit early. And in fact, implioit in Gragorio's legislative intent was his dosire to alort high school teachors and administrators to make education more reaponsive to students so that they might have a positive incentive to stay in school (page 24 ). Of course, the district rocoptive to this new expression of choice would find its ADA revemes less jeoperdized.

To conclude, larger numbers of students may seek coaching or study on their own in a concerted offort to pass the CZSPE. Upon passing, their certificates would be placed in their files as they are now. Having fulfilled their legal misaion and eamed this credential, thoy are free to stay in high school, if they choose. If the school's off orings correspondingly are "onriohed" or diverse enough, school could become a quite difforent place from what it is now, as a result of the CHSPE.

## How might teeghers respond to the CHSPE?

Breny 16-or-17-year-old stiting in a classroom has the option of taking the CASPE and trying to leave high school sarly. In addition, those who have passed the exain and stay in sohool may walk out at any tine. How does the very existence of such ohoice affeot teaching? Entering the realm of speoulation, two of many possible teacher reactions are suggested:

Teacher $X$, temured:
I teacin what I consider worthwhile for students to know. If they don't like what I toach, they can take the CBSPE. If many students do this and leave school, it's all right with me. They probably dodn't want to be here and may have dragged down the quality of education. This way, teaching those who are left will be more satisfying. (Oniess, that is, a lot of those left are those who failed the exal and are looked into being here.) Personally, if there is a CHSPE cocodus from school, I probably won't be laid off, since I have tomure.

Teacher Y, untemared:
What can I do to make my students onjoy this class? Kore ontertainment? More challenge? More strictness with regard to standards? If thoy take the CHSPE, or if they leave, it may reflect poorly on me. If many leate, my job would be among the first to.go.

At this early stage, the implications wo have been discusaing . have not yot come.no the forefront. Those involved with ingh. sehools: who read this paper undoubtedly will raise further questions in their attermpt to form opimions, guidance counseling practices, and official policies relating to the CBSPE program.

More philopophical questions implied by the CBSPE: 1. To what extent should secondary schooling be opfiented toward survival sidils in an coonomic astem whose demands on the consumer call for increasing sophistication? To what extent should it be oriented toward nore of a liberal arts traci.tion?
2. To what axtent does the CHSPE represent one more sign of a general lowowing of acadeade standards and educational expeotations? 3. To what extent does the CESPE contribute to the playing to young peoples' impatience?

And so forth. An exhausting array of questionsme each worthy of further study- has boen asked already, and the roader has undoubtedly thought of more.

If fer other real options among postsecondary institutions and in the labor market provide "ins" which correspond to the "out" offored by the CHSPE, students disaffeoted with the system of scoondary schooling may be pulied inward to that sisten, and the overall educational impact may be corrosive to a degroe not anticipated by tho se who oreated or supported the CBSPE program. The following section examines the extent of choice open to the CBSPE cortificate-holder gutstide of high school- namely; by postsecondary educational institutions and employers.

## THE EXTENT OF CEOTCE OPEN TO THE

## CRSPE CERTIFICATE-HOLDER

FOR THE COLLDGE-ORTENTED
The Univergaty of Caiifornia will accept the cartificate in ilcu of the regular diploma; however, all other Univeraity entrance requirements- certain subjects, grades, tests-m mist be mot.

According to Sandy Douglas of the Office of the Vice Prosident for Educational Relations, CHSPE students will be screaned by the same oxiteria as all other Ireshman anplicants-a with a special oye, if possible, to maturity and ability to integrate with university students. Fints of these qualities can show up on the written application (in the form of many actifities on top of a full class load, or recommendation letters), or during a parsonal interview.

WThey mast show that academically they can do real college work. ${ }^{1}$ No special remedial treatment is expocted to be set up. In fact, the Onfiversity in general is narrowing its remediation offerings, which had proliferated during the mid-to-late sixties to aid miboritios.

It is plausible that cortain campuses might use the CHSPE as an additional criterion for screaning applicants. This may occur if the campus is a "redirecting campus" in a given year. A "redirecting campus" 1 one that has recoived more "early" applications than it can accomodate in its entire freshman class. 1. Sandy Douglas, interview, April 21, 1976.

This year Borkel ey and has suggested uadng thi and Douglas does not fi The pattern of sal takon whil. the potent: customary sommer sohoo. Or the 16-yourmold who and there fulfill the before ontaring the un: As the exam moves into and becomes better knol may be ablo to plan ea: high school, as wach a One potential obs candidate is that of $a$ Sinith, Univorsdty Lega
age di scrinination for may Tary campus to cam What about living to themunivenality? A. (or cortain students, this is highly unitikel owneaparitment I easo.co
2. Minchecl Suith, int


I Davis are redirecting campuses. Nelthor to proficioney exam as an additional oxitorion, Poresee such a suggestion in the near future. ibjeota required by the University can be wial CESPE student is in high sohool (with its 1I), givon careful and early planning. , passes the CBSPE can enter community college subjeot requirements in ons or two semesters, aiversity-m egain, having planned carefully. 3 its second and third years of operation 3 wn , some ninth grade potential CBSPE-takers arly for universaty entrance directly from as two Jears in advance of the usual age 18. stacle for the 16-year-old successful CHSPE uge discrimination. According to Micheal al Counsel, no University polior prohibits p students. Policies relating to the age factor upus or admissions officer to admissions officer. $B$ arrangements for the 16-yearmold admitted campus.may adopt a policy nquining students sach as freshmen) to live in dorms, though Iy to occure ${ }^{25}$ A 16-year-old cannot make his ontracts his paront would have to segno
terviow, April 21, 1976.

At strafond and Ma11s, attitudes toward the CHSPE certificate are mach more restifictive. Stanford flatly will not accept the certificate. According to Pred Eargadon, Dean of Admissions, nwe looked at the test and were of the opinion that it could not posadbly be the equivalent of a diplomen ${ }^{13}$ Stanford officials feal that acceptance of the cortificate would imply an acceptance, In a sense, of lowered quality.
mills College claims an official policy similar to that of the University of Califorme. Unofficially, howerve, Mills admesions personnal greatily prefor a traditional college-preparatory route through high school. 4 The college is concerned with maturity and deaires personal interviews if at all possible.

In general- and at this carly point in the life of the CHSPRthe high school student academically oriented toward any "elitem institution or posaibly the University of Callformia probably is better off taking the traditional college-preparatory route through high school. Stenford's position may set the tone for institutions of sdmilar quality.

Yost high schools offor a muber of options that anable this type of student to complete high school up to a year early. These include."accoleration"- heavier class loads plus sunmer sohool-. and various high school/college programs in which the studeat soonds part of the day at the commanity college, state university, or D.C. carpas and can receive aither high sohool or college oredit.
3. Ired Hargadon, interview, Api-11 21, 1976.
4. Patricia Dorsey, Aseistant Dean of Admassions, Hills College, interview, April 21, 1976.

The Califorate State University aystem accepts the CHSPE cortificate in liou of a diploma, but the freshman applicant mist folfill the system's other requirements. Califormia State Univeradty selects flrst-time freshmen on the besis of an eligibility index. The index is comouted using the high school grade point average on all course work completed Min the last three years of high sehool (exclusife of physical education and military science)w 5 and the ACP composite or the SAT total score of verbal plus math. Wo pattern of particular subjects is required to have been taken.

According to Kark Guracke of the Office of the Vice Chancellor for Acadend Affairs, fowor than three Jears of a grade point average is quite acceptable 6 In the case of a CISPE student, perhaps the adniseions officials would dip into the ninth or eighth grades for rise in the index.

Successfill CBSPE candidates adaitted may be offored extra guidance counseling, but they will be treated academically like $a 31$ other students. Essentially, the California State Unirorsaty aystem wolcones CESPE cortificate-holders.

The oommunty colleze is likely to treat the acadomicallyoriented and the rocationaliy-oriented CESPE entrant equally with all other students. The entire range of offerings is open to him on the same basis as it is to all others.
5. Quoted from catalog, Califorma State University, Hayward. 6. Hark Guracke, interviow, April 22, 1976.
"On the English placement test," reports Irving Batz, Director of Student Porsomnel Services at Chabot College, the community college to which Livermore students would go, wone out of the three GESPS students was able to place into English 1A. We suggested to the other two that they take the wore basic Mnglish course first." 7

When waiting lists for certain programs ocelup, commanity colleges may have difforent policies regarding which persons to adnat to them. A policy may be ago-discriminatory, taking youngest CHSPE students last. However, at Chabot the sole exiterion is that of experience, acording to Batz. For instance, those selected for the popalar dental hygeine program tend to have the most coursework and experience related to dental hygeine.

I asked Batz whether the community colloge welcomed CHSPE students perhaps to add to the pressure upon the Governor to reanve the 5 percent cap on commanity college enrollment.
"I don't see it that way. It's infeasible to suppose the cap will be lifted," he replied. Responses to this question will vary over communty colleges and among officials within then. One budget official of another conmunity college district within Alareda County openily expressed this incentive to welcome CRSPE student s. ${ }^{8}$ It is difficult but vary important to distinguish an education official's or a budget official's parsonal vierr.fron the formal Vienthe expresses as.representative of his orgainsation. Purther research on the CASPE should be sensitive to this distinction whenever offlcials are interviewed.
7. Inving Bats, interfiew, April 29, 1976.
8. Poter A. Barthelmo, Busineas Officer, South County Distirlet (which encompasses Chabot and other commuity colloges), interview, karch 1, 1976.

A related question was whether the commanty college could see itself as compoting with the high school for students.

Said Batz, "We don't compete for CHSPE students. We don't want to interfere with high school or adult (night) school. We do not clamor for these kdds. (underlining added) We already are over the 5 percent limit, and our taxpayers are paying for the excess." Responses to this question, too, may vary across community colleges. A commanity college not growing to its own expectations may answer the question more affirmativaly.

Batz, speaking from his provious experience as a high school teacher, would not want to see many brighi:, inspiring high school students leafing high school as a result of the CHSPE, for these students made teaching a joy for him. According to ny survey, however, fow such students are talkng the CHSPE route. Rather, sucesssful CHSPE indifiduals who leave high school tend to be rolatively bright but on the verge of "malding troublo" because of frustration or boredom with high school.

One concern voiced by a number of community oollege officials
 mamber of communty colleges are moving gradually to de-emphasize, sfimilariy to the direction the University of Celiformia is taling. 9

At one point I asked Batz: WHere I an, a 19-jear-old whthout a diploma jet. The bill has been passed which allows 18-year-olds-and-older to take the CBSPE. Would you advi se me to take the CHSPE, the GED, or attend courses and carn a regular diploma?"
9. Ann H. Duncan, Trustee of South County Community Codlege District, interview, Hay 4, 1976. Also reported by Harleg Soronsen, Director of Curviculun Services, Alareda County Superintendent of Sohools,. interview, Peb. 24, 1976.
"Sot the CHSPE," he repled. The CHSPE is new and linited in that it does not indicate readiness for college work. He added that it does mot demonstrate writing ability to his satisfaction. He advised me that I do not noed a diploma at all- if I onroll in a commanity colloge and earn an A.A. degree. It appears that once any higher degree is earned, it does not matter whothor the individual obtained a high school diploma.

Essentially, Batz viers the CHSPE as a safety net against completely droppingit out of high school. His personal view, like that of a grast many high school teachers, counselors and administrators (quite apart from their concern over revenues), and like that of many parents, is that educationally, why rushi The high school has socializing value, if not academic learning value, accordivg to this widely held Fiev. (To the question, "If the high school has social value, why are so many students apathetic or bored, and what can be done about itp" often comes little or no solid answor.) Batz and others in shadar positions wnuld advise a student to take the CHSPE and leave high school only in special cases; in such cases the CHSPE may be a highiy useful options Like many; the :may hoperthat the CBSPE willutake-its* place-s a small, salectively used placom- anong aiternative paths to a diplome to the extent that a diploma is desired.

Therconversation with Bats has been recounted not- to provideanswers but to take note of the questions to be asked in any commanty college, and from these, perhaps patterns of responses will emerge.

Among the many possible questions for further research raised
in this subsection, a Pew are noted below:

1. What would be the offects of competition betwoen community college and high sohool for students, in terms of teacher motivation and educational quality?
2. To what extent will CESPE students swell the ranks of postsecondary remedial courses, and what alternative responses might emerge?
3. Questions relating to age discrimination are raised-m legally and educationally. Educationally, what are the advantages and disadvantages of peer grouping with respeot to agef What would happen to the quality of learning given various age mixes? How important a factor is maturity"?

The California laws relating to omployment of persons between the ages of 16 and 18 (California Labor Code, sec. 1290 ot seq.) are linked with the state's school attendance laws (California Education Code, sec. 12158; sec. 12551 ot seq.). Basdically, the two codes require that the individual must be 18 or a high school graduate to work full time. The individual who is between 16 and 18 and still under the jurisdiction of the school (has not received a diploma) aust obtain a work permit from his school in order to work. He may work onough hours to be considered a "full timen employee; but in any case he must attend high school at least four hours per weok. ${ }^{1}$ The individual between 16 and 18 who leaves sohool having passed the CHSP角s considered a high school graduate, needs no work Dermit, and legally may work full time.

We recall that the CHSPE is the legal equival ont of a high sciool diploma. A succesaful CHSPE candidate aged 18 or older legally is screened for jobs on the same basis as a regular high school graduate. How do employors regard the 16-0r-17-vear-old CHSPE cortificate-holder who applies for a full-time job?

Most amployers I contacted eithor wore unaware of the CESPE or had not had occasion to consider a job applicant who had passed

1. Page 3 roports the relevant Efucation Code provisions. 2. Pacific Talephone, Security Pacific Bank, Bank of America, Livermore Memorial Hosoital, Safeway Stores, Sambo!s, the state Emoloyment Development Department (three offices), U.S. Civil Service Conmission. Telenhone calls, May 5, 1976.
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the CHSPE. The State of California and the U.S. Army will accept the cortificate. The Stste of California will consider 16-and-17-year-old CHSPE-passers equally among-all applicants for the given job.

The most articulate respondent among employers I reached was Ann H. Dancen, Director of Porsomnel for the City of Livermore (225 oity employees). ${ }^{3}$ She knew very little about the CiSPE; as I related more information about the exam, I noticed a degree of skepticism. At this early point Duncan would prefer new personnol with the following oredentials, in order of preference:

1. GED - Derhaps the best indicator of competency
2. The regular high school diploms
3. CASPE certificate - the least preferred

Duncan stressed that she is much less interested in the oredential than in (1) the ability to think quickly and with mature judgement in many situations; (2) job-related experience; (3) performance on internally-spocified job-related tests.

This preference for the GED is shared by unions and many other aployers, according to Dr. Harloy Sorensen, Director of Curriculum Serfices for the Alameda County Superintendent of Schools. ${ }^{4}$ The GED is nationally standardized and assures a certain. level of competency; criteria for awarding a diploma, on the other hand, vary from school distriet to school district, and the diploma.is no graranteo of compotency.

M lot of people in the business communty have decided that the diploma means nothing," stated Sorensen.
3. Ann H. Duncan, interview, May 4, 1976.
4. Earles Sorensen, interview, Fobriuary 24, 1976.

Baployers' reactions to the CESPE are difficult to predict. It is unclear whother they will share Duncan's low regard for it or oven whether Duncan's own Fiew might change over time.

Let us place the speculated preferences of employers for the GED, the diploma, or the CHSPE into their legal setting. Current employment law holds that all three credentials mant be validated by the emplojer as job-related-- that is, proven to be prerequisite to successeful performance of the job at hand. Why mast they be validated? The legal reasoning behind this Fule follows, in step-by-stop fashion:
(1) "Adverse offect." Let us take the CHSPE as an example. We recall from page 5 that the CHSPE is a norm-referenced exan, geared to the median, second-semester high school senior. Half of those who take the CBSPE are expected to fail. Do disproportionate numbers of minorities fail the exam? If som- if the skills tested in this exam are not present in minorities to the same extent that they are in whiteom then the CHSPE in legal terms has an "adverse offect" upon minorities; its offoct is to discriminate against minority groups.

Stati stics from the December CaSPE indeed seem"to point to adverse effect. Of the blacks who took the exam, only $17 \%$ passed. Of the whites who took it, 53\% passed. 5

[^9](2) Advorse offect and the law. TItle VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Equal Employment Opoortunity Act of 1972 made it unlawful for an amployer to use the resints of a test to di scriminate because of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin. 6 The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (ENOC) was established to enforce THtle VII and to create guidelines for employers to use in ovaluating their aployment practices. In rovising the gridelines in 1970, the EDOC noticed a proliferation In the use of tests to make hiring decisions. The EBOC further noted that the testing procedures used often were of questionable - - -validity- used without evidence that they were valid predictors of successful job performance. Since many of these tests had adverse effects upon minorities, their use in hiring was called into question.

Any employment practice that operates to exclude members of minority groups protocted by Title VII, held the Comaission, is. prohibited unless it can be demonstrated to be clearly job-rakated. This policy applies also to educational background requirements, including the high school diploma, the GED, and the CHSPE.
(3) Job-relatednesse Is the credential, then, elearly jobrelated? We use the diplome this time as our example. The diplom has been show to have an adverse offoct upon minorities.

[^10]If a hiring discrimination suit were brought against an employer for his requiring the diplome for employment, first the plaintiff would have to prove that the diploma indeed has an adverse offect upon minorities. Once shown, then the defendent would have to prove that the diploma is essential for the successful performance of the job at hand - job-relatedness. The same procedure would hold for the CHSPE or any other practice used in hiring that may have 2 diseriminatory impact.

Two well-known cases consider the high school diploma too broad an instrument to be job-related: Griggs $\nabla$. Duke Power Company (1971) and a 1973 case which confirmed Griggs, U.S. $V_{0}$ Georgia Power Company? These legal developments have had the effect of reducing the employment value of the high school diploma as a credential. Eaployers increasingly do not care whether the applicant possesses a diploms or diploma equivalent. Instead, and to assure themselves that they can respond to any discrimination suits against them, many employers lately have doveloped internal, extremely specific, job-related tests which are intended to predict the applicant's likely success in performing the job at hand. These internal tests are often costly to the firmg but theyenare: offective in protecting the firm from charges of discrimination in hiringe.
7. Griges ot 21 V. Duke Powor Company, 401 U.S. 424 (1971). D.S. F. Georgia Power Company, 474 F.2d 906 (5th Cir. 1973).

## Implications for the CHSPE

As news spreads of the existence of the CHSPE, employers might look favorably upon a job applicant who presents a CHSPE certificate, for it guarantees a certain level of competency. In a sense it conveys more information than a diploma. CESPE apolicants are guaranteed to possess reading and computing skills adaptable to many jobs.

In addition and especially for medtum-sized or smaller firms, the CRSPE is an external screoring instrument, costless to the firm and able to be used to reduce its information costs.

Another possible attraction of the CHSPE: Credential require ments in general during periods of excess labor supply are increased, even though the speoific job skill levels remain unchanged. 8 An employer in an employer's market tends to choose the applicant with more credentials. Imolicitly the employer realizes that he probably mast pay this individual somewhat more than those with fower credentials. CESPE certificate-holders are guaranteed to possess certain skills; the employer is assured that a certain "quality" is being purchased, especially given the statistic that substantially fower than half of all CASPE-takers have bean able to. pass. At the same time, presentiy CHSPE job appilicants are $16 \frac{1}{2}$ to approximately 18 years old and unilkely to have much expertence or other credentials. Therefore, the employer can offor a lower: wage and still receive "quality." By this reasoning the flrm could resist the trend of hiring those with numerous credentials and having to pay correspondingly high wages.
O. Por supporting literature, see Molvin Horman, Steniey Sadorsky and Bernard Ro senberg, eds., Work. Youth and Unemployment. Growell, 1968.

We have mentioned several reasons why employers might be attracted to job applicants who present CHSPE certificates. We now recall that the CHSPE is likely to have an adverse offoct upon uninorities. If an employer were to require that new personnel filling certain positions pass the CHSPE, in the ovent of a hiring disorimination suit would the euployer be able to prove the job- . relatedness of the CASPET It is highly unlikely. The CRSPE is quite broad compared with the specific internal tests many firms have been developing. It probably is not specific enough to fulfill the emloyer's legal burden of proof. Therefore, the CHSPE is unlikely to become legitimate as an employment prerequisite.

However, an emoloyer with a tendency toward racial discrimination could adopt a policy or habit of "suggesting" that applicants obtain a CBSPE cortificate and thereby "stand a better chance" to be hired. İ SB 1502, which ellows persons aged 18 and older to take the exam, becomes enacted, this possibility of slipping around the law would have to be faced.

When one speaks of "choice" for the CHSPE certificate-holdor, it is also necessary to think of spillover offects upon others-a In this instance, upon minorities unable to pass the CHSPE. Thedr eaployment opportunities may be further narrowed if employers use the CHSPE as an instrument for hiring.

## Choiee and the CHSPE Cortiflcate-holder

- Little can be predicted at this atage as to the degree to which emplojers will be attracted to the exam. The three advantages suggested on page 97 may be outweighed by negative opinions such as those of Ann Duncan of the City of Livermore. That is, those with experionce and apperent maturity may be hired before the young CBSPE certificate-holder who left high school early.

To the extent that CESPE applicants will be rejected in favor of those more experienced, older, more mature, or more highly credentialed, choice for this type of CHSPE cortificato-holder will approach nonexistence. CHSPE-takers who want to work may find themselves palled back into the high school; in the longer run few job-oriented students desiring to leave school early will consider it worthwile to take the CHSPE at all. The sehool still will have "on its hands" this population of dissatisfled, "unsuccessPul" students, possibly more frustrated because while the CHSPE would exist as an "out," no corresponding "in" would be provided by the labor market.

## CONCLUSTON

The CHSPE atteapts to resnond to two situations: (1) a set of age-based compolsory school attendance laws whose validity is incressingly called into question for insufficientiy-reesoned constriction of student choice, and (2) a growing outcry that today's diplona fails to assure competency in the basic reading and computing skills. The following conclusions evaluating its success with these attempts are drawn:
(1) The extent of choice outside of the high school for the CRSPE cortificatomboldior may be narrowor than porhaps antioipated, particularly in the realm of the labor market. For those who are. . collegeoriented, commuity colleges represent the major min" to correspond to the "out" provided by the CHSPE. While the Univorsity of Califormie and the state university aystem accept the CHSPEfertificate, the already-existing accoleration and collegeintegrative programs may attract the buik of four-year-collegeoriented students.

Inside the high school may lie the greatest potential for expression of student choice as a result of the CHSPE- with the possibility of students' taking the oxam in order to stay in high sobool and enjoy nonrequired courses. If the school distilet!s budgetary disincentive could be remored, schools may become more receptive to the exam's potential for educational change from -ithin. .
(2) While the CHSPE certifies a basic grasp of language and math sdills, many educators and students I interviewed criticize the CRSPE as less than a true equivaient of a diploma, despite the statistic showing low pasoding rates. The pionearing exam is written by essentially one individual in the SDE and does net pretend to claim that successful completion of thils test constitutes competency. Important questions such as
a. What should a high school diploma signify?
b. What does it mean to be competent?
©. How are competencies to be measured and evaluated?
d. How should ecfucation integrate competency objectives into existing curriculum?
have only been raised by the ner CHSPE. ${ }^{1}$
In consddering possdible competency-related changes that might be made in the CASPE program, I recommend that the program be contimed in its present form over the next year to three yeers, while more information and findings emerge.

Thinking over longer range, I recommend that consideration begiven to making the CASPE, or a variant of it, a cmitomionreferenced rather than a normmreferenced exam. A cxiteriohmreferenced exam is one whose measures depend upon an absolute standard rather thancthe relative standand of how one performs relative to others talding the test. ${ }^{2}$ The driver's exam is an example of a criterion-

[^11]referenced test. Such an exam is not designed to screen out any percontage of test-takers for failure. A norm-referanced exam may be an inappropriate response to a search for minimal competency assurance for all high school graduates.

Scenario: All porsons must pass the new criterion-referencsd CHSPE in order to graduate. The exam would be given once a semester. An individual could take the axam as early as grade 9 or 10. Upon passing, his certificate of proficiency would be placed in his file, just as it is now. 䏠s choices would then be closely ..similar or equal to the choices made available by the present CESPE.

Could he then leave school at age 14 or 15 ? Present law requires him to attend school full time until age 16.

If he passes at an early age, would he have to rustake it later to show he remembers those skills?

Since the test would be a requirement, the $\$ 10$ fee could no longer be charged, and the program would have to be financed with general education allocations-w to the tune of how many dollars?

These questions, among an array of others ${ }^{3}$, would have to be deelt with in this scenario. I offer this suggestion meraly for consideration and discussion.
3. Including the difficult questions raised on the previous pago.
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Herman, Sadofaky, and Rosenberg, eds., Work, Youth and Unemplopment, Crowell, 1968.

Hegns, Barbara, Professor, Department of Sociology, Umiversity of Cailfornia, Berkaley, moetings, Mar. and Apr. 1976.

Hollingshead, A. B., Elmtorni's Youth, Wiley, 1949.
Euif, Sheila, WCredentialing by Tosts or Degrees: THtle VII of the Civil Rights Act and Griggs $V$. Duke Power Comoany," Harvard Educationel Reviow, May 1974.

Jellinghausen, John, counselor, Livermore High School, interview, Mar. 15, 1976.

Jenkins, Rachard, Director of Pupil Personnel, Livermore Valley Joint Orified Sohool District, meoting, Mar. 16, 1976.

Kirp, David, Professor of Law, Boalt Hall and Professor, Graduate School of Public Policy, University of California, Berkeloy. Kooting, May 1976.

Lane, Kenneth, Instructor of Socondary Baucation, University of California, Berkeley and representative of the California Association of Teachers of English, Interviens, Mar. and May 1976.

Lee, Jack, Prinoipal, Granada High Sehool, Livermore, meetings, Apr. and May 1976.

Miller, Jean, Business Office, Alameda County Superintendent of Schools. Supplied financial records, Mar. 16, 1976 and Apr. 9, 1976.

01 son, Karon, Survey Research Center, University of Califormia, Berkelev, meoting, Apr. 12, 1976.

Pottinger, Paul S., "Comments and Guidelines for Research in Competency Identification, Definition and Keasurement," Educational Polioy Research Center, Syracuse Oniversity, June 19, 1975.

Sharon, Amiel T., NThe Non-High-School-Graduate Adult in College and his Success as Predicted by the GED," Educational Testing Service (EIS), 1972.

Sherwyng. Fred, Coordinator of Vocational Education, Livermore Valley Joint Onified School District, interview, Mar. 16, 1976.

The Rise Raport, California Commission for Reform of Intermediate and Secondary Education, presented to Wilson Riles, Califormia Superintendent of Public Instruction, 1975.

Saith, Michael, Legal Counsel, University of California, Berkeley, intervien, Apr. 21, 1976.

Sorensen, Harley, Director of Curriculum Services, Alameda County Saperintendient of Schools, interview and meetings, Fob. through May 1976.

Stern, David, Childhood and Government Project, University of Califorma, Berkeley, meetings, Mar. 1976.

Stiles, Richard, Consultent, California State Department of Education, interviews, Mar. 15, 1976 and May 18, 1976.

Stilles, Blechard, "The High School Proficiency Bram," paper presented at the Third Anmual Conference and Exhibition on Measurement and Eraluation, Los Angeles, Mar. 18, 1976.

Sugarman, Stephen, Professor, Boalt Hall School of Law, University of Callfornia, meeting, Apr. 21, 1976.

Superintendent of Schools of Alameda County, Annual Report of Plinanotal Transactions, 1975.

Tenembeum, Bernard, Economi st in microoconomic theory, meoting, Apr. 2, 1976.

Thow, Kirtin, Professor, Graduate School of Public Policy, meotings, Mar. through May 1976.
D.S. V. Georgia Power Company, 474 F.2d 906 (5th Cir. 1973).

Walker, Jorry, GED adudnistrator, Amarican Council on Dducation, telephone interview, Apr. 8, 1976.

Webbor, Ronuld, Principal Budget Analyst, Oakland Public Schools, interviews Feb. 9, 1976 and Mar. 2, 1976.

White, David, Ghildhood and Government Projoct, University of Galiforma, Berkoley, meetings, Apr. and May 1976.

Moyer, Michael, "The California High School Proficioncy Eram," paper focusing on its implementation in Berkeley, for the Graduate School of Pablic Policy, Nov. 1975.

Tale Law Sohool Public Sohools Project, under the direction of Professor -John-Simon, 1976, A series of law students' papers on compulsory school attendance and related issres. Specifically, pader by Steven Borglass surveying state laws relating to compulsory school attendance.


[^0]:    1. According to Wobster's Ner World Dictionary, "oompotency" denotes adequecy or giffleienoy. Mproficioncy danotos expertil se of a high loval of compoteney. Proper use of these woids sugsosts that the, cran be called The Califormia tigh School Compotency Erninhationow
[^1]:    5. John Gilroy, interview, March 8, 1976.
[^2]:    1. A key source used is Aaron Gurwitz, The California School Finance System, Stanford University, June 19, 1975.
    2. SB 90, "The Property Tax Roulef Act" of 1973," Cal. Ed. Code Sec. 20907.
[^3]:    6i A Dreferred recomenciation made: Leave day school bat go to night school. Why? Besdde freeling the student's day, high school education by night is meh less costiy to the school district than regular day school. For instance: No extracurricular activities occur in night sehool; night school teachers are paid by the hour for in-class tine oniy and recelve no fringe beneffts. Yot full oredit toward the diplome 1s conferred. In a sense, night school "subsidizes" day school.

[^4]:    7. Contacts for Initial Imuiry: James Kokas, Sen Mateo Unified sohool Distirict, is conducting a cost study comparing ADA and ADE for the San linteo Schools. Jack Gilkson of the lo's Ang el es County shiperintendent of Schools is knowledgeable about this proposel. Also recommended is Gerald Hayward, consultent to the Senate Committee on Education.
[^5]:    1. For a detailed grade distribution of alljwho took the exam, see page 64 .
[^6]:    3. What could acoount for this disparity in expectations? I eramined the 8 passing students marked $\mathrm{WH}^{\circ}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ soeking in vain possible explanatory patterns in (a) parents' own educational attairments, (b) parants' occupations, (c) students' grades, (d) sex of students, (0) whother the student had intonded to leave sohool, add ( $f$ ) whether the stadent left sobool. Purther; no:-sigmificant parental expectation patternesemerged, when I anaiyzed the data for takers Vs. nontakers or "all who left sohool" Vs. "all who stayed in school." Since the policy userviness of this distinction betwoen pessers and failers may be limited, I will leave it at this for the time being. ...However, future studies in other comanitios aight look into this set of characteristics and possibly take it further than I have been able to take it.
[^7]:    : Bis epen minied, I did not suggest any answer. I insted optians, ss above, on wy cim caris oniy and waited fior the respondent to offor his suswer (s).

[^8]:    
    ＊$\quad$ ？

[^9]:    5. From the analysis of the questionnaire accompanying the December CHSPE. Soe appendix to the student survoy section. Which statistics to cite in the courtroom is an issue in itsolf and camnot be troated here. The statistio I quoted, comparativo pass rates among racial groups, 1s one that would be conshdered valid. Consoltation with David White, Childhood and Government Projoct,
[^10]:    6. GVil Rights Act of July 2, 1964., FL 88-352, as amended Mar. 24, 1972. Rqui Bmpoynent opportunity 1ot of 19p2, pL 92-261. Two pajor pources for thits dubsection wore Defld Whit of the
    
    
    
    
    
[^11]:    1. Dawn Geromino-Torkle, in hor IPA study of spring 1975, raises thesequestions in the sotting of Celiformia policytandíegislation. In addition, they are raised by Paul S. Pottinger in Comments and Guidelines for Rosearch in Coupetency Identification, Derfinition and Mesurenent, " Elucational Policy Researah Conter, Syracuse Unirerdity, June 19, 1975 . His 18 one of a group of papers on competenoybesed cducation, edited by Sheila Huff. 1975.
    2. Dapld White, Caildhood and Government Profoct, Onirersdty of Coliformia, Borkeley, intervior, April 26, 1976.
