DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 124 589	TH 005 347
AUTHOR TITLE	Burton, Nancy W.; And Others The Effect of Position and Format on the Difficulty of Assessment Exercises.
PUB DATE NOTE	[Apr 76] 14p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (60th, San Francisco, California, April 19-23, 1976)
EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS	MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage. Age Differences; *Complexity Level; Educational Assessment; Guessing (Tests); *Multiple Choice Tests; National Surveys; *Response Mode; *Response Style (Tests); Statistical Analysis; Test Bias; Testing Problems
IDENTIFIÈRS ABSTRACT	*I Dont Know Response Option (Tests); National Assessment of Educational Progress; Test Format

Assessment exercises (items) in three different formats--multiple-choice with an "I don't know" (IDK) option, multiple-choice without the IDK, and open-ended--were placed at the beginning, middle and end of 45-minute assessment packages (instruments). A balanced incomplete blocks analysis of variance was computed to determine the biasing effects of position or format on the national percent correct. Format was found to create a bias, but position did not, except for 9-year-old respondents. (Author)

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUGATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

E012458"

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPY RIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

IANLY BURTONS

USOER AGREEMENTS WILL THE NATIONAL IN USOER AGREEMENTS WILL THE NATIONAL IN STITUTE OF EOUGATION FURTHER REPRO-DUCTION OUTSIDE THE ERIC SYSTEM RE OWIRES PERMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT OWNER

742 347

THE EFFECT OF POSITION AND FORMAT ON THE DIFFICULTY OF ASSESSMENT EXERCISES

1.20

Nancy W. Burton, Robert C. Larson and Alex M. Pearson

by "

National Assessment of Educational Progress

Paper presented at annual convention of American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, April. 1976. The Effect of Position and Format on the Difficulty of Assessment Exercises

Nancy W. Burton; Robert C. Larson and Alex M. Pearson

National Assessment of Educational Progress

Perspective.

The National Assessment of Educational Progress has the charge of gathering and reporting educational achievement data that are

an accurate representation of absolute performance now: e.g., 82% of the nation's nine-year-olds can multiply 3 x 0 (NAEP, January 1975)

- a precise representation of performance now relative to performance three to seven years ago: e.g., in 1973, 47% of 17-year-olds knew the purpose of an electrical transformer. This is a 13% decline since 1969. (NAEP, May 1975)

The baseline measure of absolute performance must be reliable. though not necessarily in the accepted psychometric sense. It may 'be better to say that the measures must be "accurate." A measure may be reliable without being accurate: a scale that consistently adds ten pounds to one's weight may be perfectly reliable.

For the relative change measures, reliable biases are unimportant, since the difference between two biased measures is the same as the difference between two unbiased measures, if the bias is simply a constant that cancels out. However, the bias in a measure may also change over time. Suppose, for example, one saw an increase of 2%<sup>1</sup> in 13-year-olds' performance on a certain reading task. Suppose, however, that there was also a 6% decline in the non-response rate: suppose, that is, that 6% more respondents were guessing rather than leaving the item blank. Even if children could guess no better, than chance, one would expect 1.5% more children, on a four-alternative item, to get the correct answer because of that change in response patterns. This would change a statistically 'stable 2% improvement to a non-significant .5% improvement.

National Assessment has always used an "I don't know" foil on all cognitive multiple-choice items to discourage respondents from guessing. Guessing not only inflates the estimation at one point in time of the percent of respondents who can do the task, but also, a change in guessing behavior (as illustrated above) can affect the interpretation of a change in percent of success over time. Unfortunately, the pattern of response to an "I don't know" (IDK) foil

<sup>1</sup>A 2% increase, since there are 3.6 million 13-year-olds in the nation, means that 72,000 more children can perform the reading task.

can also differ for different groups. Sherman (1974) found that some southeasterners, females, blacks, and rural persons use the IDK poorly. Thus the "I don't know! can contribute to bias in a measure at one point in time or change measures over time as much as a differential tendency to omit items.

Other potential sources of bias over time include changes in procedural matters, such as training or experience of test administrators, or school cooperation, or type of print used in packages (test booklets), or the voice which reads the exercises on tape. One of the most serious potential sources of bias arises because National Assessment releases some exercises for publication and does not reuse them. The remaining unreleased exercises are then repackaged and reassessed for change. Since they have been repackaged, they are presented for the second time in different orders, different contexts, and different positions. Any of these variables may affect performance and thus either mask or exaggerate the change in performance over time.

Thus, National Assessment measures of change as well as baseline performance must be extremely accurate. From the first, National Assessment has devoted great resources to precise sampling design. In the last few years, it has also begun to devote resources to locating sources of non-sampling error. Many of these non-sampling errors have been dismissed as unimportant on conventional tests. Conventional tests are collections of items, the sum of which is taken to measure a rather globally-defined trait--such as "intelligence" or "arithmetic achievement"--and then only relative to some norm group. Inaccuracies due to individual items and the examinees' response to them can, to some extent, be supposed to average out in the total score. Because of NAEP's item-by-item reporting, these errors once again become important.

### Objectives.

The purpose of the present study was to determine the effect of two sources of non-sampling error: position in package (beginning, middle or end of the assessment instrument) and exercise format . (multiple-choice with an "I don't know" alternative, multiple choice without IDK, and open-ended). Obviously, position in package is a source of error that one cannot eliminate, since some exercise or other always must be first, middle or last in a package. It is a source of error that can be held constant over time, however, if it is found to be important. Further study of the IDK foil may show that it should be dropped (in future item development: it cannot be dropped from change exercises even though bias is strongly suspected); replaced with corrections for guessing; retained; retained but supplemented with corrections for guessing. The' present study can provide some data to answer these questions; however, it must be emphasized that the present data were all collected at one time and so questions about change analyses cannot be fully answered.

- 2 - ,

# Methods and Data Source.

The present study was included in the 1973-74 assessment of Writing and Career and Occupational Development. It is, therefore, based on national probability samples of 2,500 9-year-olds, 13-yearolds or 17-year-olds for each item. At each age, nine different packages were involved, and thus nine different samples of 2,500 respondents. Each package was a block in the 3<sup>3</sup> balanced incomplète blocks design used at each age. The three factors in the design were

 exercise content - three different science questions were developed, such that exactly the same stem was used for both multiple-choice and open-ended formats;

 format - multiple choice with IDK, multiple without IDK, and open-ended;

position in package - beginning, middle, end.

Each of the nine packages contained three exercises which represented each content, each format and each position. For example, package #1 at age 9 contained

#### Beginning

### Middle

Exercise about blood circulation, Multiple-choice without IDK Exercise about largest living animal, Open-ended Exercise about lightning and thunder, Multiple-choiće with IDK

End

See attachments 1, 2, and 3 for the wording of the exercises in the multiple-choice and IDK format. Attachments 1, 2, and 3 also give the national percents for each foil (including IDK and no response) for each exercise, format and position.

## Results.

The design was set up so that the analysis of variance estimates for the main effects were unconfounded with blocks, but all interactions were partially confounded.<sup>2</sup> To get some independent estimate of these block effects, a marker exercise was placed at the end of each of the nine packages. This marker exercise allowed an empirical estimate of the sampling variability; it also contained variation due to the accumulated effect of differing contexts of presentation, since the nine packages all contained different Writing and COD exercises. This marker exercise contained five parts (five different questions about reading a map). The variance component due to parts within blocks--that is, the natural variation in the difficulty of the five questions--was at least 50 times greater than the component due to the block effect. Thus the block effect, though

<sup>2</sup>Components of the interactions were calculated by the modular arithmetic method described in Winer (1971, p. 606ff).

in some cases statistically significant because of large sample sizes, was very small compared to the normal variation among exercises. There is a second reason for disregarding possible block effects. Inspection of the analysis of variance tables (attachments 4, 5 and 6) shows that the mean squares for confounded interaction parts were about the same size as the mean squares for the unconfounded interaction parts. Both of these pieces of evidence indicate that the main within blocks analysis can be interpreted straightforwardly.

At all ages, there was a large main effect for exercise contents -- which is simply to say that some questions were harder than others. There was also a main effect for format. Qnly at age 9 was there a significant position effect. It did not appear to be a fatigue effect, which might be expected with these young children, but rather a disadvantage in performance to the beginningof-the-package exercises. It should be noted that these beginning exercises were never first in package, but simply occurred within the first five minutes of testing. Again at all ages there were content by format interactions, which can basically be interpreted as proving that some tasks are more difficult than others in the open-ended format.

• The significant format effect deserves further discussion. Exhibit 1 displays the mean percent correct (averaged over the, three positions) for each exercise in each format at each age.

Exhibit 1. Means and Standard Deviations (in parentheses) for Three Different Formats of Exercises

	•	Nultinta	Choice	Onen Ended
		<u>Multiple</u>		Open-Ended
	_ · · ·	- IDK	\+ IDK	· ·
	Exercise #			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1 ·	76.9 (1.80)	.68.9 (0.76)	52.6 (0.67)
Age 9	· 2	27.5 (2.07)	26.4 (4.74)	5.5 (0.75)
`	3 ູ	65.2 (1.61)	62.3 (1.37)	22.7 (2.15)
	average* .	56.51(1.83)*	52.52(2.88)*	26,91(1.87)*
	1	47.4 (1.29)	41.0 (1.13)	25.8 (0.61)
Age 13	2 、	59.1 (1.55)	58.6 (1.53)	32.9 (3.50)
	. 3 .	23.8 (0.53)	22.9 (1.66)	10.7 (0.65)
	.average*	43.42(1.20)*	40.86(1.45)*	16.44(2.08)*
	1	53.5 (0.67) •	44.8 (1.15)	13.5 (1.00)
Age 17 <sup>.</sup>	<b>1</b> 2	72.7 (2.73)	71.3 (0.49)	48.9. (1.25)
· · ·	° · 3 ,	17.7 (2.22)	14.6 (1.06)	11.0 (0.74)
	average* ·	47.96(2.06)*	4,3.57(94)*	24.49(1.01)*
		· .		
	overall		and may me	· · · · ·
•	average*	49.30(1.74)*	45.65(1.94)*	22.61(1.55)*
•	······································		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	s n <del>n</del>	· · ·	<b>\</b>	- \ \ \

"average" column are the square root \*Standard deviations in the of pooled within-cell variances.

The overall average (the last line in the table) shows a large difference between open-ended and multiple-choice formats and a smaller--but still statistically significant<sup>3</sup>--difference between the two multiple-choice formats. Having the "I don't know" foil does reduce the overall percent correct. Having the "IDK" may slightly increase the variance, but this experiment was not

### Importance of the Study.

49.3 - 45.65

18

L.94

18

8.11

=.1.38

24;  $F_{18}$ , 20 ( $\alpha = .75$ )

This is one of a series of studies to locate sources of nonsampling errors in the estimates of performance on assessment tasks. The goal is to increase the accuracy of baseline and change estimates. Considering that, in the first assessment of change in Science, the average decline in 9-year-olds' performance was 1.8%; 'in 13s', 1.9%; and in 17s', 2.3% (NAEP, February 1975), it is obvious that great precision is required to detect changes.

This study has resulted in several further investigations. Because of the stability of the small block (package) effect, NAEP staff is now looking at methods of adjusting sampling weights to make packages more comparable. Because of some inconsistency in performance of IDK vs no-IDK multiple-choice exercises, staff is continuing to examine the use of item-scoring formulas (versions of correction-for-guessing techniques) as an alternative to the "I don't know" foil: These investigations will hopefully lead to new techniques for increasing the accuracy of assessment results.

### REFERENCES,

NAEP Reports.

Math Fundamentals, Selected Results from the First National Assessment of Mathematics, Report 04-MA-01, 1972-73 assessment. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, January, 1975.

National Assessments of Science, 1969 and 1973, A Capsule Description of Changes in Science Achievement, Report 04-S-00. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, February 1975:

Selected Results from the National Assessments of Science: Energy Questions, Report 04-S-01. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, May, 1975.

Sherman, Susan W. <u>Group Differences in Responding "I don't</u> <u>know" as an Alternative in Multiple-Choice Exercises.</u> Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Chapel Hill, N.C.: 1974.

Winer, B.J. <u>Statistical Principles in Experimental Design</u>, second edition. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1971.

		Average	3 , 3.8 0 52.6		6 . 18.8	·7· 3.0 1	9 22.7 1	4.3 68\$1 . 6.0 6.2	.3° 4.0	5 5.5	1 . 47.1	2 43.5 r° ,		•
	open Egded	PIPPIW	.D <sup>4</sup> .3		.7 16.6	2.4 4	.6 24.9	9	, 4	4.7 5.5	.2 50.1	1 40.2		
	• )*.	gainniged	4 5		0 17.7		6 22.6	1 69.0		*	39.9 51.	50.1 40.1	v	•
	· \ !		6 3.1 52.4	0	22.0	6.4	20.6	1e 71.1 6.5		, , , , ,	<u> </u>	 		-,
	······································	* * *	. No Response Acceptable	Unacceptable	Xd	No Response	Accoptable	Unacceptable IDK <sup>6</sup>	No-Response	Acceptable	Unaccepțable	IDK,		
	, ,	Average	3 0.6	1 1 4		1	/ 0.0 8 18.0 3 10.4		1 1	3 6.5	11	11.	-	
	Multiple Choice with "IDK"	•Ibbim	0.6 0.3			•	18.7 16.8 10.0 10.3	1	.1 0.7	6.5 5.7 5.7 5.3	1 1		• *`	•
	Multj wit	gainnig <del>o</del> d		3.3		2	18.6 18 10.9 10	1 1 1 1	, I I	11	34.8 39 7 8 7	1 1		
and Results	•	AVCT28C	1.5 1.5					5.5	2.7			11.	t 1	
Text an	Choice "IDK"		1.3	11			11	5.4 64.5 6	3.7	11	45.6 4	·	·, •	
Exercise Text	Multiple Choice Without "IDK" هر آي	•IPPTW		11	·11.4		1 1	5.5 67.0	1.5	11	48.0		· ·	۱ ۴
· · · · · · · · ·	× ,	geinniged	2.1 75.4	5.0	12:1		20.8 8.8	5.7		2.7 5.7	48.1	5	<u></u>	<u></u>
Attacliment	· +	, , / *	the	×				<b>n</b> ' 9	the thunder?	•	h other	of don't know.	-	
¥		÷. *	is.				51.				ith car		· •	
	-, , /	، جر ، بر د	circul						e you h		to do v		. • <b>`</b>	•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•.		s *blood		-	ngî			g befor	s. ght.	othing	4		
*	•	• •	ch make		•	ivil vo	-	N.	lightnìn	Eyes restond faster than ears. Sound travels faster than light	ider.		,	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	۲/	- -	body' whi	3		animal r		;	e the 1	faster t	thur thur		ĸ	
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	• ^ •	of the l	amplus.	t know.	argest a	cphant	The dinosaur Tho whale	r ally se	travels	ing caus	know.	•	
· · · /	· · · ·		one part of the body which makes blood circulate Response)	brain; '.	lungs. I don't know.	is the largest animal now living?	The elephant	The dinosaur Tho whale I don't know	Why do you usually see the lightning before you hear (No Response)	Eyes respond faster than ears. Sound travels faster than light.	Lightning causes thurder have	I don't	*	•
		r ì	The one (No Re:	00	00.	1.45	<u>8</u> .00	000	Mhy do (No Res	00	00	0		

-\*\*\* 0

. . . . . .

9

1	•	3	٠.		•	•			,	
	× *	•		, Average	5.8 59.9	31.5	5.2 10.7	49.2 34.9	1.2	48.6 21.3
T:/	۳ •	 50	•	. pug v					1 1.	•
\ ·		Endod		, Put	· 9. 89	23.6	5.3 11.3	51	1.3	46.8 0 15.2
P	· · · ·	open	t	sibbim )	5:9 60.1	28.3	5.0 10.0	50.8 34,2	1.5	43.2
۲. ۲.	<b>N</b>	• •	. ` 	g Beginnin		۰.			1	r '
£.	•		•	7		42	5.4	4 N	0.7 29.8	
. <b>#</b> . (	•	2 4•	a <sup>r</sup>	a se	Acceptable, Unacceptable		nse le	Unaccoptablo IDX	nse Ìo	Unaccoptable IDK
		`	<b>,</b>	No Response	Acceptable, Unacceptab	-	No Response Acceptable	cept .	No Response Acceptuble	contraction of the second seco
		•	•	No F	Acce	IDK	No R Acce	Unac Tux		
<i>۵</i>	ē	ía -	·	AVerage	0.2	28.1	 	<u>1.8</u> <u>12.5</u> <u>8.1</u>	:1:	2.7 58.6 5.3 3.8
· · ·	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
		Ago 13 Multiplo Choi		Pug	0.4 0.5 1.5	34.11	0.3	.1.5 .1.5 14.4 11.7	3.2	2.7 57.3 26.3 5.7 4.6
<b>6</b>		Age 13 ujtiplo	:, 5	• Ibbim	2010	40.4 23.1 26.2	0.0	2.1. 2.1.5 24.5 11.8 6.9		2.1 60.3 27.3 3.1
, o ' '	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ago Mujt	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • •	1 1 1 1				1 1 - 1 12 1 1
, . , .	• 、 •	້. ນຸ.	. 3	, Beginnin	0.1 6.8 7.7	26.4	60.7 1	1.9 21.2 5.8 5.8	3.(	58.3 58.3 5.1 3.8 3.8
		Resul	•	AVETARE	י סיסיט <del>י</del>	- 0	44	4 4 6		
* *	<b>1</b>	, H o.		, , , , , , , , , ,	6.0 6.6 6.5	38.	.00°	2.6 .23.8 .12.7	2.	3.2 59.1 6.3 6.3
· ~ ·	. /	fext and Choice	, ,	pug	1.1 7.9 6.1		0.5	2.5	0.7 1:9	2.7 59.2 6.5 *
· · ·	• • •	Exercise Text and Multiple Choice	ž,			1 1 1 1				
•		Exorcise T Multiple	Ĕ <b>₽</b>	• IPPIM	5.2 7.8 7.8		, 28 0	3.3 23.4 14.2	1.0	3.0 57.5 29.0 6.5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, M. Stranger	. • .81	ninnigəd	. 0.5 5.7 5.7	0.4	0.5	1.9 24.4 10.9	2.9	
		, í, , í		·	hot ?					
	\$ • • • ·	chment	م ب	· · ·	v		dsmelting?		thundor?	other.
	• , •	ttac	.`	• • • • •	1 121		e spe			
•	v	<b>~</b> ,		• •	en it to,1		a Su		r tho	
	, 7		•		두 닯		. 🗄 🛛	1 1 1 1 1		[] [] ].
	•				a   8		e.		ਂ ਵੈ	
•	* •		ໍ່	• •	trn up	58	by hea	×	you he	op .
2 (t <sup>°</sup> -	•		* `	• • •	lb burn up 	burning.	aed by hea		fore you he	ng to do
	۲. ۲. ۲.		6 7.9		t bulb burn up burns. , t get hot enou	ats burning.	formed by heating an		ıg before you he	ight utīd. othing to do ₩
	۰. ۲. ۲.		2 749 2	*	light bulb burn up ever burns.	revonts burning.			itning before you he	in light.
	ار ب ب ب ب ب ب ب ب ب ب ب ب ب ب ب ب ب ب ب	•	4 ***	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n'a light bulb burn up who al never burns. al doesn't gof hot enough	1b prevents burning.	rocks		lightning before you he than cars.	r than light. r than sound. ar have nothing to do w
	1. 	· · · ·	4 ****	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nt'in'a light bulb burn up 2 terial never burns. terial dosn't got hot onou terial dosn't got hot onou	e bulb prevents burning.	all rocks		the lightning before you he ster than cars.	aster than light. aster than-sound. s thunder. hunder have nothing to do w
	5	· · · ·	14 14 15		lament'in'a light bulb burn up t material never burns.	n the bulb prevents burning.	all rocks		see the lightning before you he i faster than cars.	Is faster than light. Is faster than-sound. auses thunder. ad thunder have nothing to do w
		· · · ·	2 		ie filament in a light bulb burn up * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ion in the bulb prevents burning. know.	given to all rocks	nio Phic ktrow	ally see the lightning before you he spond faster than cars.	ravels faster than light. ravels faster than-sound. ng causes thunder. ng and thunder have nothing to do know.
			α 		't'the'filament'in'a light bulb burn up ise) * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	argon in the bulb prevents burning.	ume given to all rocks	ddstone ceous amorphic on't know	usually see the lightning before you he se) s respond faster than cars.	nd travels faster than light. ht travels faster than sound. htning causes thunder. htning and thunder have nothing to do on't know.
		· · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		oesn't the filament in a light bulb burn up seponse) & * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	7 The argon in the bulb prevents burning. 51 don't know.	ume given to all rocks	S Sandstone I Rheous > Mctamorphic 7 I don't know	y you usually see the lightning before you he sponse) • Eyes respond faster than cars.	o Sound travels faster than light. Light travels faster than sound. Lightning causes thunder. Lightning and thunder have nothing to do with cac J don't know.
					doesn't the filament'in' Besponse) & 710 filament material 710 filament material	00 [	is thể nume given to all rocks (esponse) Dava :	Sandstone Sendstone Metamorphic Of I don't know	ix do you usually see the lightning before you he to Besponse)	<ul> <li>Sound travels faster than light.</li> <li>Light travels faster than sound.</li> <li>Lightning causes thunder.</li> <li>Lightning and thunder have nothing to do w</li> <li>I don't know.</li> </ul>
					<ul> <li>Why doesn't the filament in a light bulb burn up (No. Response)</li> <li>(No. Response)</li> <li>The filament material doesn't get hot enou There is no over with the hulb with the bulk over t</li></ul>	Ind I	ume given to all rocks	Sandstone Sendstone Metamorphic Sil don't know.	ually șe espond f	<ul> <li>Sound travels faster than light.</li> <li>Light travels faster than-sound.</li> <li>Lightning causes thunder.</li> <li>Lightning and thunder have nothing to do with the source of the sour</li></ul>
ERIC						00 [	is thể nume given to all rocks (esponse) Dava :	Sandstone Igneous Oktamorphic Of I don't know	Mhy do you usually see the lightning before you he (No Response)	<ul> <li>Sound travels faster than light.</li> <li>Light travels faster than sound.</li> <li>Lightning causes thunder.</li> <li>Lightning and thunder have nothing to do w</li> <li>I don't know.</li> </ul>

Ago 17	Multiple Choice Open Ended with "IDK"	Middle End Average Beginning Afdle End	а а	5.2 5.2	6.8 8.1 7.3 . 44.7 46.0 44.8 Unacceptable	14.0 19.0 17.6 29.0 20.4 23.7	· · · · ·	0.3 0.6 0.4 Nõ Response 3.7 2.1 4.1		10.3 11.4	10.7 11.3	0.1.0	1.8 1.8 1.3 1.8	71.0 71.9 7 15.7 15.8 1	6.4 4.9 · 3.7 3.3
Attachment 3. Exercise Text and Results - Ag	Multiple Choice Mu Without "IDK"	Beginning Middle Brd Kuerage	gets hot?	1.8 2.1 2.0 2.0 7.7 8.5 9.6 8.6	rn. 10.8. 9.5 9.4 9.9 52.9 54.2 53.3 53.5	25.8 25.7 26.1			54.4 55.2 46.7 52.1 49.4 17 1 16 7 18 6 17 5 16 0	11.1, 13.1, 11.8 12, 20, 7, 17, 7	1.11 c.ny t.or c.	thunder? 0.2 0.6 0.6	2.2 2.3 2.0 1.4 1.7 1.9	75.7 71.9 70.4 72.7 71.1 15.4 19.1 17.6 17.4 718.0	other. 4.7 4.7 7
			Why doesn't the filament in a light bulb burn up when it		The filtament material doesn't get hot enough to but There is no oxvoen in the bulb.	The argon in tho bulb prevents burning.		Theoretically, how far does the earth's gravity extend? (No Response)	To a point between the earth and the moon	O Theoughout the solar system	DI don't know.	Why do you usually see the lightning before you hear the (No Response)	O Byes respond fastor than ears. O Sound travels fastor than light.	<ul> <li>Light travels faster than sound.</li> <li>Lightning causes thunder.</li> </ul>	C Lightning and thunder have nothing to do with each

,

•

, •, ·

[.z

· - 10 - '

Attachment 4. Analysis of Variance Table for Age 9 Design

	1	*	•	6	
	,Degrees of	Sum of	Mean	°,	• `
Source of Variation	Freedom	, Squares	Square	F	٢
Within Blocks	<u>.</u> <u>18</u>		·		 
Exercise: E Format: F Position: P	2 2 2	. 9976.4 4644.0 27.1	4988,2 2322.0 13:6	1609**. 749**	•
(EF <sup>2</sup> )	(2)	(153.1)	±3.0 .	4	
EXF	2	. 153.1	76.5	25*	•
(EP <sup>2</sup> )	(2)	(6.3)			
ЕхР	`2	6.3	3.41	1.	
(EP)	(2)	(0.4)			•
FxP	2	0.4	.2	> 1	· ·
(EFP)	(2)	(5.5)		•	•
(EFP <sup>2</sup> )	(2)	(0.5)	•		· • ·
(EF <sup>2</sup> P)	(2)	(12.8)			1
EXFXP	6	18.8	3.1		. • .
Between Blocks	(8)	- 1			· · ·
(EF)	(2)	(425.8)		• , .	
. (EP)	· (2)	(8.9)			•••
• -(FP <sup>2</sup> )	(2).	(9.5)			
(EF <sup>2</sup> P <sup>2</sup> )	<b>(2)</b>	(10.2)	l		· .
			• , ( .		, <del>.</del>

\*α < .05 \*\*α < .01

ERIC

2

12

Attachment 5. Analysis of Variance Table for Age 13 Design

÷.,

	♥ `	د		•		•	-
· · · · ·	•	Degree		- Sum		Mean	• •
Source of Va:	riation	Free	lom	Squa	res	Square	F
Within Block	S °	۷.	18	Ì,	, ,	, , ,	• ` •
Exercise: E Format: F	N .		2 2. 22		4411.3 3990.9	2205.7 1995.4	689** 624**
Position: P	~		, <u>2</u> 、	[ • _ `	7.4	3.7	. 1.2
• • • • • •	(EF <sup>2</sup> )	(2)	•	(430.3)		•	
ExF			2 · ,	· ·	430.3	215.2	67*
	(EP <sup>2</sup> )	(2)	. * * .	(.721)		· •	
EXP	A Start Barris		2		.721	• .30	5 > 1
•	(FP)	(2)		(.134)			
F x P	•	¢	2,		.134	.0	7 -> 1
		~			^ ·		
•	(EFP)	(2)	•	(.867)			
<b>ب</b>	$(EFP^2)$	<sup>-</sup> (2)		(15.836	)		<sup>°</sup> ·
· ·	(EF <sup>2</sup> P)	(2)	,	(2:614)		•	Ŷ
ExFxP		5	6		19.3	3.2	, •
	». · · ·		• •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	•
Between Block	<u>ks</u> ,	<u>(8)</u>				•	
مو ب	(EF)	(2)	•	(263.8)		· · ·	44
•	(EP)	** (2)	• •	(1.4)		· ·	
·	(FP <sup>2</sup> )	<sup>'</sup> (2)	L	(15.4)		5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	$(EF^2p^2)$	(2)		(3.2)		د ي	
• • ,	•				the contract of the contract o	4 0	
	,			•	, <b>ļ</b>		Ι.

.05 <



- 12 -Attachment 6. Analysis of Variance Tablé for Age 17 Design

		• •	, 1	* • • • •
Source of Variation	Degrees of	Sum of	Mean	
Bource of Variation	Freedom	Squares	Square	<u>F</u>
Within Blocks	18			
Exercise: E	2	11211.7	5605,8- (	2156**
Format: F Position: P	2 ''	2801.7 1.2	1400.9	539** '
· * ·	- ·		• D	۰. ۱
(EF <sup>2</sup> )	(2)	(646.3)	غري	
E.x F	2	646.3	323.1	124**
(EP <sup>2</sup> )	(2)	(5.5)		
EXP	. 2	5.5	2.8	1.1
(FP), ,	(2)	(4.9)	•	
F x P	2	4.9	2.4	> 1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-	
(EFP)	(2)	(7.1)	, \ , \	· • . •
(EFP <sup>2</sup> ) (EF <sup>2</sup> P)	. (2)	(1.6)	•	· · .
	(2)	(6.8)		• •
ExFxP		15.4	2.6	
			i.	Ŕ
Between Blocks	(8)			
(EF)	• (2)	(336.7)	4	بي قم
· · (EP)	(2)	(7.5)	,	•
(FP <sup>2</sup> )	(2),	(2.7)		·- · •
$(\mathrm{EF}^{2}\mathrm{P}^{2})$	• (2)	(0.2)		· · · · · ·
, , ,	•	· • • .	Υ ¥	• •
х <sup>с</sup> .	<b>s</b> ,	•	•	م ب م

\*\*a < .01

/14