ABSTRACT
                Results of the research reported in this paper
suggest that the development of mentally handicapped preschool
children may not be measurable in distinct cognitive,
social/emotional, physical motor, and language areas. This research
further indicates that a testing instrument designed to measure the
generalized factors of intelligence and social adaptability in a
classroom setting may be sufficient for the identification of the
mentally handicapped child prior to his (her) first public school
experience. This paper highlights the results of an effort to
determine the predictive power of the Wechsler Preschool and Primary
Scale of Intelligence (WPPSI), the Denver Developmental Screening
Test, the Leiter International Performance Scale, the Southeastern
Day Care Project (HEW) Rating Scale, and the ABC Inventory when used
to diagnose mentally handicapped children in the areas of preschool
cognitive, social/emotional, physical motor, and language
development. The specific objective of the research is to determine
if mentally handicapped preschool youngsters really need to be tested
in all four areas of development in order to accurately predict which
children require individual help prior to public school kindergarten
placement. (Author/DEP)

THE POWER OF SELECTED COGNITIVE,

SOCIAL/EMOTIONAL, PHYSICAL MOTOR, AND

LANGUAGE TESTS IN PREDICTING DEVELOPMENT

LEVELS OF MENTALLY HANDICAPPED

PRESCHOOL CHILDREN

A paper presented to the
AERA Annual Meeting
San Francisco, California
April, 1976

By: Principal Author
      Michael J. LaBay, Ph.D.
      Director of Evaluation
      Birmingham (Michigan)
          Public Schools

      Associate Author
      Nels M. Anderson
      Director of Project PAR
      Saginaw County (Michigan)
      Child Development Centers, Inc.

2

ABSTRACT

Title

The power of selected cognitive, social/emotional, physical motor, and language tests in predicting development levels of mentally handicapped preschool children

Authors and Institutions

Michael J. LaBay, Ph.D.
Director of Development and Evaluation
Birmingham Public Schools
550 W. Merrill Street
Birmingham, Michigan 48012
Phone: (313) 644-9500

Nels M. Anderson
Executive Director
Saginaw County Child Development Centers, Inc.
P. O. Box 3224
Saginaw, Michigan 48605
Phone: (517) 752-2193

Content

This paper highlights the results of an effort to determine the predictive

power of the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence (WPPSI), the

Denver Developmental Screening Test, the Leiter International Performance Scale,

the Southeastern Day Care Project (HEW) Rating Scale, and the ABC Inventory when

used to diagnose mentally handicalled children in the areas of preschool cogni-

tive, social/emotional, physical motor, and language development. The specific

objective of the research was to determine if mentally handicapped preschool

youngsters really needed to be tested in all four areas of development in order

to accurately predict which children required individual help prior to public

school kindergarten placement.

3

-i-

Independent (predictor) study variables included scores obtained using the five above-itemized tests during September, 1974. Dependent study variables included preschool teacher ratings of each child in the areas of cognitive, social/emotional, physical motor, and language development after one year of preschool experience (June, 1975). All data were obtained from the cumulative pupil files of 29 children enrolled in the Saginaw County (Michigan) Project PAR.

Data analysis was performed according to accepted multivariate procedures and included (in order) a descriptive analysis of the eleven variable scores obtained from the testing, a stepwise discriminant analysis using prediction variables until the partial F's cropped below a value of one, a second stepwise discriminant analysis using variables remaining, a series of factor analyses of variables retained in the two discriminant analyses, and a final discriminant analysis using the variables of the final factors retained.

Results of the research indicated that the five predictive instruments under study measured two factors; one termed a generalized measure of intelligence, and the other termed a social measure of classroom adaptability. Results also indicated that these two factors could be measured with a high degree of precision (89% correct classification) using only the WPPSI and Leiter tests for generalized intelligence, and the Southeast Day Care Project (HEW) scale for social adaptability.

This research is significant because it indicates that the development of mentally handicapped preschool children may not be measurable in district cognitive, social/emotional, physical motor, and language areas. It further indicates that a test measuring the generalized factors of intelligence and social adaptability in a classroom setting may be sufficient for the identification of the mentally handicapped child prior to his first public school experience.

THE PAPER

Introduction:

The research described in this paper focuses on a concern that many
educators face, the accurate screening of children prior to providing diagnostic
care. One of the methods often suggested at the time of initial entrance
referral is the administration a test battery designed to identify children in
most need of assistance. Often, these tests are given simply because of the
reputation they have for discriminating between traits and abilities of indi-
viduals in need of help.

The Saginaw County (Michigan) Child Development Centers, Inc. began a
substantial screening effort in September, 1974 using five individual develop-
mental tests of cognitive, social, physical motor, and language abilities.

With the as-istance of a grant from the Bureau of Education for the Handi-
capped (BEH-OEG-0-74-0541), the Project PAR staff administered the following
tests on an individual basis to 29 preschool children.

These tests included:

1. The Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of
   Intelligence (WPPSI)

2. The Denver Developmental Screening Test

3. The Leiter International Performance Scale (Revised Edition)

4. The Southeastern Day Care Project (HEW) Rating Scale

5. The ABC Inventory

Each of these instruments was selected by the Project PAR Advisory
Council after considerable study. Major selection criteria of the council
included such factors as ease of administration and validity in a non-verbal
context.

In order to determine if mentally handicapped preschool youngsters really needed to be tested in four developmental areas using five test instruments, each youngster was monitored throughout his first preschool year with a structured teacher rating scale (see appendix).

Four scales were prepared for teacher use. Each scale consisted of behavioral observation checklist items in Likert format. Teachers were first instructed in how to use each scale, then were asked to rate each child in the areas of cognitive, social/emotional, physical motor, and language development upon entering the program and at the end of the first instructional year. The checklist was developed through a study of children successfully mainstreamed into regular kindergarten programs. The behaviors rated on the checklist were those thought to be most crucial to the success of PAR children by the project director, his Advisory Council, and other project consultants. A copy of the checklist is appended to this paper.

Procedures:

The specific objective of this research was to determine if mentally handicapped preschool youngsters really needed to be tested in all four areas of development in order to accurately predict which children required individual help prior to public school kindergarten placement.

Independent (predictor) study variables included scores obtained from the following instruments in September, 1974:

1. The Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence (WPPSI)

2. The Denver Developmental Screening Test

3.   The Leiter International Performance Scale

4.   The Southeastern Day Care Project (HEW) Rating Scale

5.   The ABC Inventory

Dependent study variables included preschool teacher ratings of each child recorded on a five point Likert scale in the areas of cognitive, social/emotional, physical motor, and language development after one year of preschool experience (June, 1975).

All data were obtained from the cumulative pupil files of 29 children enrolled in the Saginaw County (Michigan) Project PAR program from September, 1974 through June, 1975.

All test scores and observation checklist ratings were assumed to be interval measures and analyzed according to accepted statistical procedures. Frequency distributions, cross tabulations, and correlations of all study variables were first obtained. Output was reviewed at a descriptive level to determine if the variability within measures and the correlation between variables would permit further analysis.

A review of the generated descriptive statistics supported the conclusion that more intensive investigations could be made. Alternative discriminant and factor analyses were then performed in the following order:

Steps	Analyses
1	Stepwise discriminant analysis using all prediction variables until the partial F's cropped below a value of one.

Steps	Analyses
2	A second stepwise discriminant analysis using variables remaining from step 1
3	A series of factor analyses of variables retained in steps 1 and 2
4.	A third discriminant analysis using retained variables of step 3

The above statistical procedures are recommended by Amick and Walberg (1975:236-255) when the researcher is interested in finding those variables within a select test battery that contain the most predictive information.

Results:

Statistical analysis reported in this paper suggest that the five predictive instruments under study measured two factors; one termed a generalized measure of intelligence, and the other termed a social measure of classroom adaptability. Results of analysis also indicated that these two factors could be measured with a high degree of precision (89% correct classification) using only the WPPSI and Leiter tests for generalized intelligence, and the Southeast Day Care Project (HEW) scale for social adaptability.

Three test scores accounted for most of the variability of all tests measuring general intelligence. These tests were the WPPSI, Leiter, and HEW (cognitive subtest). After VARIMAX rotation of factors, approximately equal commonality was noted using the WPPSI and Leiter batteries.

The HEW battery (gross-fine motor and self-help subtests) accounted for most of the variability of all tests measuring social adaptive development. VARIMAX and QUARTIMAX factor rotations strongly indicate that the HEW battery provided essentially as much information about the social-emotional development of PAR youngsters as all other batteries.

It is interesting to also note that no clear factors emerged in the physical motor or language development areas. Although the HEW and Denver batteries claim to measure these dimensions, they did not emerge in this research.

Using the above information, together with a series of step-wise discriminant analyses, it was determined that the use of the Leiter and WPPSI intelligence tests correctly classified 83% of the PAR children when staff cognitive development evaluations were used as a base. Thus, the use of these two tests accounted for the same approximate predictive power as the use of the WPPSI, Leiter, ABC, HEW, and Denver batteries combined.

Using only the HEW battery, successful classifications occurred in 79% of the cases using staff social-emotional evaluations as a base. In other words, the use of the HEW battery alone produced as much predictive power as the use of the HEW and Denver batteries combined.

Although all measuring devices did not exhibit common factors in the physical motor and language development areas, further discriminant analysis did show that the HEW battery (gross-fine motor and self-help subtests) successfully classified 88% of the PAR children according to staff standards in physical-motor performance. Only the ABC battery alone successfully differentiated PAR youngsters (84%) on the basis of staff standards in the area of language development.

Copies of computer printouts summarizing primary discriminant analysis

statistics may be obtained through the principal author (LaBay).

Statistics included in the printouts are:

1. List of all variables entered by step

2. Variables kept or removed from analysis with corresponding Wilks' Lambda, Rao's V and significance values

3. List of identified discriminant functions with corresponding eigenvalues, relative percentage of the eigenvalue associated with the function, cononical correlation between the discriminant function and the dummy variable set, and associated Wilks' Lambda's.

4. Standardized discriminant function coefficients and group centroids .

Important statistics associated with factor analyses may also be verified

through the principal author. Statistics available on request are:

1. Correlation matrices

2. Estimates of commonality by factor with corresponding eigenvalues

3. VARIMAX and QUARTIMAX statistics including rotated factor matrices per iteration, transformation matrices, and factor score coefficients.

Conclusions:

Results of the research reported in this paper suggest that the development ,

of mentally handicapped preschool children may not be measurable in distinct

cognitive, social/emotional, physical motor, and language areas. This research

further indicates that a testing instrument designed to measure the generalized

factors of intelligence and social adaptability in a classroom setting may be

sufficient for the identification of the mentally handicapped child prior to

his (her) first public school experience.

REFERENCES

Amick, Daniel J. and Walberg, Herbert J.
    Introductory Multivariate Analysis:
    McCutchen Publishing Corporation, 1975.

APPENDIX

PAR Referral Form used
as Dependent Variable
Measure Source

12

SAGINAW COUNTY
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS, INC.   PROJECT PAR REFERRAL FORM

Child's Name _____ Birthday _____
                                                    mo   day   year

Parent's Name _____

Address _____ Phone _____

Name of person making referral _____

Agency _____ Phone _____

Have parents been informed of referral?   Yes _____   No _____

   Please check the behaviors most descriptive of the child you are
   referring to PAR. (Please respond to all questions and feel free to
   add additional comments whenever appropriate.)

	Always	Frequently	Seldom	Never	Comments
1. Child is able to compre- hend and participate in classroom activities with understanding.					
2. Child prefers to be alone.					
3. Child appears to be clumsy; trips; falls; drops items; hesitant gait.					
4. Child is able to under- stand what is said to him/her.					
1. Child is able to grasp and release objects easily.					
2. Child is able to play co- operatively with other children.					
3. Child appears to tire easily.					
4. Child exhibits problems of voice, hoarseness, loud- ness, whisper.					
1. Child seems to be function- ing below actual potential.					
2. Child sucks thumbs, fingers, bites nails.					
3. Child has difficulty with fine motor tasks; e.g.: holding crayon, drawing, zipping, buttoning, etc.					
4. Child speaks with anxiety and apparent anxiety.					

PROJECT PAR REFERRAL FORM

	Always	Frequently	Seldom	Never	Comments
1. Child has difficulty in transition periods when asked to change from one activity to another.					
2. Child is inattentive and not able to consistently attend to tasks.					
3. Child's body movements appear coordinated.					
4. Child speaks in complete sentences.					
1. Child is functioning appropriately to chronological age expectations (Please specify areas of concern).					
2. Child is hostile and aggressive toward peers.					
3. Child walks with shuffling gait; exhibits uneven, stiff or uncontrollable movements.					
4. Child communicates through gestures of body movements rather than through verbal communication.					
1. Child is able to function without excessive adult supervision.					
2. Child is able to adjust to classroom limits.					
3. Child appears to startle easily.					
4. Child is able to verbally express himself/herself with intelligible speech.					

PROJECT PAR REFERRAL FORM

PLEASE CHECK ANY FELT NEEDS IN FOLLOWING AREAS
(based on your observations and child's Health
Record):

Vision_____   Hearing_____

Health_____   Dental_____

Physical Concerns_____

Other (explain)_____

_____

Add any additional information you think would be
helpful in area of health:

COMMENTS:

A. Child-Family Relationships:

B. Other

(This form is available to parents upon request.)