## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 122 519

EC 082 897

AUTHOR TITLE PUB DATE Stanovich, Keith E.

Political Philosophy and the Mentally Retarded.

Apr 7.6

NOTE

20p.; Paper presented at the Annual International Convention, The Council for Exceptional Children

(54th, Chicago, Illinois, April 4-9, 1976)

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage

\*Child Advocacy: Exceptional Child Education;

Historical Reviews; \*Kentally Handicapped; \*Political

Attitudes: Politics: \*Public Policy: Social

Attitudes: Social Change: Social Influences: \*Social

Values; Trend Analysis

IDENTIFIERS

Eugenics: Social Darwinism

## ABSTRACT

The effects of Social Darwinism, eugenics, and contemporary political conservatism on the status of advocacy efforts for the mentally retarded are reviewed. Provided are historical sketches of Social Darwinism, which viewed the retarded as members of an inferior race, and eugenics, which argued for sterilization of the "genetically unfit". The author examines a resurgence of these two movements in the writings of A. Jensen and W. Shockley and in current conservative political thought. It is emphasized that advocates for the retarded should strive to go beyond notions of charity to influence social attitudes and political philosophy. (CL)

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATIO.4

THIS OOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINA-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATEO DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF E OUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

Political Philosophy and the Mentally Retarded Keith E. Stanovich University of Michigan

Paper presented at the 54th Annual International Convention of The Council for Exceptional Children, Chicago, Ill., April, 1976.

Author's Address: Department of Psychology University of Michigan 330 Packard Road Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104

6082897

Today I would like to talk about the larger social and political atmosphere in which advocacy efforts are unavoidably embedded. I should perhaps mention here that the primary focus of my remarks will be mental retardation, but it will easily be recognized that many of the points apply equally well to other handicapped groups.

For special interest and advocacy groups to be effective, it is necessary that they understand the politics of the issues that concern them. As Knitzer (1971) has stated, "After a decade of experimentation with social change policies, the professional has come to appreciate that social priorities and programs are alternately beneficiaries and victims of a fundamental political process. Advocacy, in that it is inherently and unabashedly political, reflects a crystallization of this awareness and an attempt to create new strategies for social change (p. 699)." Recognizing this fact, the various special interest groups in our society have almost, without exception, all developed relatively coherent social and political philosophies to guide their actions. However, the professional and lay spokesmen for the mentally retarded have largely failed to develop a unified political philosophy for their movement.

This lack of a coherent political philosophy seems to stem from the tacit assumption that the issues of rights and treatment for the mentally handicapped are apolitical. This mistaken idea stems from a failure to come to grips with two important issues.

The first is that, historically, the treatment of the mentally retarded has been greatly influenced by the prevailing political and

social attitudes. Thus, it is essential that advocates maintain a historical perspective. The second point is that the spokesmen for the retarded who have recognized that patterns of social change affecting the mentally handicapped reflect the prevailing political attitudes have generally failed to be evaluative. Most individuals and groups have acted as if divergent political philosophies have similar implications with regard to the nandicapped. On the contrary, it will be argued here that the various political views currently held in this society differ in their implications with respect to the welfare of the mentally handicapped, and that the different implications can be used to guide advocacy efforts.

It is to the former point that I would like to turn first. Restated in general terms, it is that the success of a particular social movement is dependent upon the attitudes prevailing in the given society. Thus, an aspect of advocacy that has heretofore been neglected is that of working to change the larger political and social atmosphere in which specific reforms will be accepted or rejected. That this particular aspect of advocacy is of crucial importance is suggested by any review of the history of the treatment of the mentally retarded. Sarason and Doris (1969) draw the connection most clearly, stating, "...at any point in time, society's attitudes towards its deviants are not shaped solely by the scientific theories or facts then available. The theories or facts will be seen to have different implications depending upon the general social matrix in which they occur (p. 227)." Taking the above as a premise, I would like to give a brief historical sketch of a particular social philosophy, both because it had profound



and political antecedents still exert an influence on social policy affecting the retarded.

The intellectual movement I wish to discuss is the Social Darwinism of the latter half of the mineteenth century. Briefly, the adherentsof Social Darwinism attempted to apply the ideas of natural selection and survival of the fittest to human social life. Thus, the fittest of a society (usually defined by the Social Darwinists as those having the most material wealth) were seen as the superior products of an essentially biological process. Similarly, those who were less successful in a given society (the poor, the mentally and physically handicapped, the diseased) were seen as inferior races of mankind. They were depicted as being what they were because of an inferior biological inheritance, rather than environmental deprivation. Thus, man should not tamper with the natural selection process which will allow mankind's inferiors to disappear from the face of the earth. Having these as their beliefs, it is not surprising then, that the Social Darwinists wereopposed to social measures that would ameliorate the condition of the poor and handicapped. To quote Herbert Spencer, intellectual leader of the Social Darwinists, "...society is constantly excreting its unhealthy, imbecile, slow, vacillating, faithless members....unthinking, though wellmeaning, men advocate an interference which...stops the purifying process (1851, p. 323)."

Social Darwinism occupies a place of importance in the history of mental retardation in that it had a considerable impact on the way the mentally handicapped and underprivileged members of society, were perceived



at the turn of the century. Instead of being thought of as victims of . adverse environmental circumstances, the retarded were seen as members of an inferior race of manking. In addition, Social Darwinism paved the way for, and is inextricably linked with, the eugenics movement of the first three decades of this century (buller, 1963; Hofstadter, 1959; Sarason & Doris, 1969). The eugenicists carried the doctrines of Social Darwinism one step further and argued for restricting the breeding of the "genetically unfit". The eugenics movement is important in the history of the treatment of the mentally handicapped because it was probably the primary advocate of the sterilization and segregation of the mentally retarded. Although their attempts at promoting effective sterilization laws were generally unsuccessful, the eugenicists did achieve success in getting society to accept the idea that the retarded should be segregated in large institutions away from the community. As late as 1976, we are still in the process of reversing this mistaken policy.

The relevance of these two social movements for the primary theses of the present paper cannot be overemphasized. It is important to realize that Social Darwinism (and its stepchild - eugenics) arose not just as a neutral application of Darwinian principles to social life, but as a biological justification of specific political and economic doctrines. Social Darwinism was conceived as an attempt to find justification for laissez-faire economics.in natural laws. Indeed, Spencer's seminal work, Social Statics, preceded publication of Darwin's The Origin of the Species by eight years. The term Social Darwinism is thus somewhat of a misnomer, since Spencer had argued against government interference in aid of the



poor long before Darwin's work was brought in as a justification.

That Social Darwinism was used to bolster laissez-faire economics and conservative political doctrine has been amply documented by several authors. Hofstadter (1959) has stated:

Darwinism was seized upon as a welcome addition... to the store of ideas to which solid and conservative men appealed when they wished to reconcile their fellows to some of the hardships of life and to prevail upon them not to support hasty and ill-considered reforms. Darwinism was one of the great informing insights in this long phase in the history of the conservative mind in America. It was those who wished to defend the political status quo, above all the laissez-faire conservatives, who were first to pick up the instruments of social argument that were forged out of the Darwinian concepts (pp. 5-6).

Hofstadter further states that Spencer's <u>Bocial Statics</u> was, "... an attempt to strengthen laissez-faire with the imperatives of biology (p. 40)." Hofstadter, in considering why the Darwinian ideas were distorted in this particular direction, concludes, "The answer is that American society saw its own image in the tooth-and-glaw version of natural selection, and that its dominant groups were therefore able to dramatize this vision of competition as a good thing in itself. Ruthless business rivalry and unprincipled politics seemed to be justified by the survival philosophy (p. 201)." In their historical review of Social Darwinism's affect on the treatment of the mentally retarded. Sarason and Doris (1969) also emphasize the link of Social Darwinism to laissez-faire economics, stating, "While the attitudes of the Social Darwinists were undoubtedly formed in large part by their reactions to evolutionary theory and the vivid analogies it suggested between life in nature and life in society, one must also recognize the fundamental influence of classical economic theory.



Spencer's argument needed no Darwin. The classical economists had set the premises. They can be seen in Adam Swith's advocacy of free competition : in the market place...(p. 220). "Sarason and Duris also stress the attraction tion the eugenics movement had for political conservatives: "We sum readily imagine that the politically and contally concervative elements in seciety that had so readily embraced the Social Darwinska of Speacer and in mean, would resetally marking but he encounted by surjude, within, like the Social Darwinism that preceded it, would advocate not change in the organization of society but the elimination of those who could not or would not successfully adjust to that society (p. 243)." Finally, Haller (1963) in his historical work on the eugenics movement, stated, "...many strands of eugenic thought were a scientific disguise for conservative, often harsh, indictments of classes and races, and eugenics became, for a time, predominantly a conservative creed (pp. 5-6)." In summary, a consideration of the intellectual movement of Social Darwinism illustrates the two major theses of this paper: first, that the treatment of the mentally handicapped is affected by current political and social attitudes, and secondly, that political philosophies differ in their implications for the mentally handicapped.

It is the second point which should be stressed since it seems to have been most neglected. That is, once advocates for the mentally handicapped realize that governmental policies affecting the retarded are dependent upon social attitudes, it becomes necessary for a judgment to be made as to which social and political philosophies have more positive implications for the mentally handicapped. Sometimes this judgment can be made by extrapolation from the past. I would like to argue that this

the term describe steam since the performance this decade. The now out to be importance because it has profoundly negative implications regarding the treatment of the mentally handbumpped. The intellectual and so, in a sovered to be the recurry on a position of the mentally handbumpped. The intellectual and so, in a sovered to be the recurry on a position (although not by that now) in a severe American thought and politics.

In the intellectual sphere, the resurgence of Social Burainist as s, arred by the rememble of the nature/nurture centroversy in recent years. The sectional paper in the remembed controversy was the 1969 Harvard Edu. Attycal Review article in which Arthur Jensen supposted: Lythat the heritabless spatient of 1.0, was approximately 39, 2, therefore, programs or research tion for below average students were deposed to failury since I.Q. was primarily cometically determineds and it was a reasonable hypothesis. that the lower performance of brack Applicans on intelligence tests we can to genetic factors. The sequence of events subsequent to the publication of Jensen's paper, though compressed in the, was remarkably similar to that occurring in the marifer period of Social Darwinism discussed previously. Social reactionaries and radists of all stripes seized on Jensem's paper as subgetific evidence for their political and social beliefs, even to the extent of epidortine densen's original work. Others, in a manner cominingent of the writings at the turn of the century, have suggested agenic remedies for our modial problems. Although posing as dispublishite scientists, there individuals are ever so willing to suggest socied tolley that incorporates would Darwinize and echemics.

The buyt presented of the in the new expenses coverent is William as a leg. Although not trained in biology or social science (he won the

៉ុំ ដូចនិ គង់ នៀស ពីសេស និស្សសំណើនសុស សុស និសាសា ជាជីស្រស់<sub>និស</sub>ា ប្រជាជាតិស្នា សិស្សសំឡើយ គឺលុស ល្បានស្រើនាប់ erigendungen gin grunden gestungen gerichten beiten bestreichte ber der bereichte bereichte bereichte bereicht der bestreichte bereicht bereichte bereicht bestreicht bereicht bereicht bestreicht bereicht bereicht bereicht bestreicht bestreicht bereicht bereicht bestreicht bestreicht bestreicht beschieden besc ar arti arti interestante inceres inceres in the contraction of the co contribute that he has easy my profest that there is believed to and continue arm medelically webered to his to and there is not been all as it is not be antionia de ي آن ۾ ٿا. ريوا اڳوي ان ان ۾ يو آن اويو ڏيون ۾ ريون ۾ آن ان ان ۾ يونون ۾ آهي. اور ڪارو هو آهي آهي. پيان آهي هي of their adaptability to intellectually rewarding and effective lives con easily be made...(p. %9/). The brockley has prepared (as an "intellectual weektise") that prople and I. a. 's teller had be given inducements to be Storellered. Openerationally, a terrore recold by given blombe the make posts to a I.U. is below 196. The may all named deveral successful and well to we early intervention prejects with the centally returned. Predictally, ring's argument against three programs is that they are too costive The interence left to the reader is that eagenies is wore cost effective. and is distarbing to asself and others is the wide circulation Show has recompren in reputable journals, professional additations. At large casferences, and in lectures at leading universities. Let this not be mistaken as a call for democratip. I am not devocating that Smockley not be heard. However, I do find it surprising that in these days of information explosion and 80 Joannal rejection rates, that Shockley's ideas are paslished widely when other researchers schöying such important topics as children's learning, individual differences, and educational intervention find it hard to get published. This is especially disconcerting since little in Shockley's work to new and such of it is eagenics propagation. Thus, I am forced to concluse that his intellectual and social philosophies

astree to the area than a large of the large plant on the freezest of the large state of the entering area of the area of

e estante, frust demonstrate, est emperer planter, est entre est engagement production of the entre estanter e

Restricted and the contest to a country or letter and the access for the country of the country

Problems. The point to appropriate a Strate as small new ther. Assist the prime vious discussion has decemented the fact that Social Consumer to design the a negative of the the intellectual space, at the seas street the specific to a continued included as design to design to be selected as design to the operation of major pulitical philosophies in this country cans trace a conservat expensiving free competition in the estimate begans place to a literality conservation. The point to be explained in that conservative political philosophy has always contained a Strate of appoint of Social Bardinson.

Throughout madern American instead Sectal Carminion has been intertwined.

A Commence of the Commence of

•

ERIC ENICONES

and cover as being it took trong to hard action to quarantee economic and cover rights to non-white 8 ericans. Shailarly, parents of the retarded favor traditionally found comparinty "good will" to be inadequate when it have to expecting estimational programs for their shildren. It has taken assert so that action on the state level to quarantee mandatory special essection.

In an excellent article matriled "What Price Charity?", Pieper (1975) and strong the statings of engaged in large charity extents on behalf of congregated chaldren. She admits a position without to that of the present althour in arguer, for open in entergaranteed regats, mather than charity.

I quote that the article, particles in To. Exceptional Parent, "out to consist a provide effects and resident and redirects with a view to interpret to provide effects and redirects and pieces of them when we say that the transfer of the resident and pieces of them when we say that the teacher of the first transfer of the articles been told if would not be transfer and the first transfer to an absolute rise of the qut my son's first transfer and a say the say of the particles and the first transfer of the articles of the particles of the p

<sup>(4</sup>年) 2年 (1997年) 1997年 (1997年 (1997年) 1997年 (1997年 (1997年) 1997年 (1997年 (19

It seems to me that now is the time to rechannel that incredible energy that goes into telethons and door-to-door drives to change public opinion, legislators' votes and the very morelity and economic structure of this country. For in the and iterately does boil dama to make toward or view and what kind of security we're going to work toward (p. 35, The exceptional Parent, February, 1976).

Hopefully, it will be recognized that charitable organizations working on behalf of the mentally retarded have a crucial weakness. Since their financial support comes from a broad spectrum of the populace, they are forced into a neutral philosophical position so as not to alienate any segment of the political continuum. Thus they are unable to lobby effectively for the retarded when the issues involved have political implications.

This is a severe problem since the plight of the retarded is similar to that of a disenfranchised minority group such as black Americans. Like blacks, the mentally retarded are asking for previously denied jobs, housing, and education. They can best be helped toward these goals by a society that supports progressive social change. Awareness of this fact among the citizens are speak for the retarded will lead to increased political clout for this group.

Perhaps a brief discursion of a contemporary problem in the field of andital retardation will illustrate the point. The creation of community to be found the retarded has become an issue fraught with political implications. In sozons of cities those homes have been opposed by city councils, as fed by ad hoc "citizen's constitues." These groups have used zoning twos, largely designed to protest well-to-do propertied interests, to block the creation of confunity homes in their neighborhoods. These same people would study give a dollar to a charity for the retarded, but would

simultaneously deny them the basic human right to live independently in society. What the retarded really need is to have the city zoning laws changed so that community homes for the mentally handicapped can be organized. A recent study by Sigelman (1976) is relevant to this issue and to the points argued previously. She found that people who identified themselves as political liberals were more favorable toward group housing for the retarded in residential districts. In addition, liberal respondents were more likely to favor equal opportunity employment for the retarded.

Modern American conservatism, in short, which is rooted in the Social Darwinism of the nineteenth century, emphasizes charity because its tenets are fundamentally opposed to social change. However, the welfare of the retarded greatly depends on some needed social change. Advocates for the retarded should recognize this fact and support the liberal reforms that will provide a more conducive environment for the mentally handicapped.

One policy traditionally supported by conservatives has been a balanced national budget. Interestingly enough, the same people who advocate a balanced national budget simultaneously endorse large expenditures on the Defense establishment. Obviously, larger military spending means smaller expenditures in other parts of the budget. The implications of this policy are obvious. The mentally retarded have nothing to gain from subsidies to Lockheed Aircraft, but have a great deal to gain from federal health or education programs. Why then, have professionals, parents, and advocates of the retarded not protested more vociferously against the destruction of education and poverty programs by the Nixon and Ford administrations? Have they hesitated for fear of involving the cause of the retarded in a "political" struggle? If so, and the author is inclined to believe so,



ophy, determined to maintain a large military apparatus at the expense of social programs, has clear implications for the mentally retarded. The sooner those concerned wake up to that fact, the better.

One need not look far to see the conservative trend in modern political rhetoric. On the one hand we have seen Ronald Reagan campaigning in the primaries on a platform of increased military expenditures and drastic cuts in health, education, and welfare budgets. On the other hand, we observe President Ford reluctantly signing the "Education for All Handicapped Children Act" and then charging that the bill's supporters are "falsely raising the expectations of the groups affected by claiming authorization levels which are excessive and unrealistic...the funding levels proposed in this bill will simply not be possible if Federal expenditures are to be brought under control and a balanced budget achieved over the next few years (White House Statement, December 2, 1975)."

A recent study by the present author clearly demonstrates the link between political philosophy and support of programs for the mentally handicapped. It was found that Congressmen who were primary supporters of legislation of benefit to the handicapped tended to be significantly more liberal on other issues (as measured by the A.D.A. liberal quotient) than other Congressmen.

The above discussion touches on just a few of the areas where a conservative social and economic philosophy has proven detrimental to the retarded. As the mentally handicapped assume their full and equal place in society, it is inevitable that controversy will occur. The issues of resource allocation, treatment of deviants, individual versus group rights,



and human sexuality all touch the retarded and are fraught with political implications. Fortunately, a few professionals have recently begun to recognize the implications of social phiosophy for policy decisions regarding the retarded. In an excellent article, Morgenstern (1974) has described the three prevalent community attitudes toward the retarded. The first is the primitive belief that the retarded are a sub-human class that should be segregated in institutions so as not to be harmful to the community. Another belief held is that the retarded are "child-innocents" or "God's chosen children." The implications of this attitude are clear to Morgenstern, who states that, under this philosophy, "Assistance to the retarded takes the form of charity and in return, the retarded are expected to be grateful and conforming (p. 159)." The third attitude toward the retarded is that they are "developing persons" whose potential can best be realized by full participation in community life. Morgenstern is essentially in agreement with the present author when he states that, "Attitudes in this category examate from the enlightened and preservaing elecents in our society, the same elements that have given impetus to the As vescot for civil rights for all minorities (p. 160)." Lippman (1972), in his review of attitudes and programs for the handicapped in several countries, essentially concurs with this epinion, stating, "...programs for the mentally retarded in Europe are better than in the United States in part because attitudes are different (p. 68)." The attitudes that Lippman feels contribute to the development of beneficial programs are egalitárianism, liberalist, and an accestance of government responsibility for remediating social palatema.

In sugmary, there is apple documentation for the fact that the social-



and political philosophies of a society affect the types of programs and treatment that are afforded the mentally retarded. Thus, it must be recognized that we are creating the intellectual climate in which new developments for the retarded will be accepted or rejected; and that we create the climate in part by the political leaders we elect and the ideas we support. A recent editorial in The Exceptional Parent proposed that every national organization concerned about people with disabilities publicize to their memberships the platforms of political candidates on issues of health, education, and welfare for disabled Americans. Although this is a laudable proposal, following the line of argument presented in this paper I would suggest that it does not go far enough. Why not openly endorse and support chosen candidates as do other special interest crosps, with much success? The directions in which long-term advocacy efforts should be focused have been alluded to previously. It has been argued that more explicit and angressive attempts by advocates to influence actial and political philosophy will be or long term benefit to the retarded and ainer handicapped orea is. The day has finally arrived for the slogan of the handicapped to be, "No more charity, give us our rights."

## References

- Germino, D. <u>Modern western political thought: Machiavelli to Marx</u>.

  Chicago: Rand McNally, 1972.
- Haller, M. Eugenics: Hereditarian attitudes in American thought.

  New Drunswick, N.J.: Rutgers University Press, 1963.
- Hofstadter, R. <u>Social Darwinism in American thought</u>, rev. ed. New York: Braziller, 1959.
- Jensen, A.R. How much can we boost 10 and scholastic achievement?

  Harvard Educational Review, 1969, 39, 1-123.
- Knitzer, J. Advocacy and the children's crisis. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 1971, 41, 799-806.
- Lippman, L.D. Attitudes toward the handicapped. Springfield, Ill.: Charles Thomas, 1972.
- Torsienstern, M. Combinity attitudes toward sesuality of the color to the following in In F.F. de la Crista Ada. LaVeck (Eds.) Human sexuality and the wentally retarded. Daltimore, MD.: Penguin Books, 1974.
- Pastore, N. The nature-nurture controversy. New York: Columbia
  University, 1949.
- Tieper, L. What price charity? The Exceptional Parent, 1975, 5(1), 35-40.
- (4th ed.). Then York: Harper & Roy, 1979.
- Shockley, W. Dymenich, open which ty, naceology: A challenge to the intellectual resolutionally of educators. Phi Delta Kappan, 1972, 63, 257-307.

Sigelman, C.K. A Machiavelli for planners. <u>Mental Retardation</u>, 1976, 14, 26-29.

Spencer, H. Social statics. London: John Chapman, 1851.