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## ABSTRACT

Fixed-choice stimulus questions were distributed to students from 12 New mexico rural high schools (randomly selected), and responses vere derived from 139 Native, 171 Anglo, and 240 Mexican american students in the 10 th and 12 th grades. Responses indicated educational, occupational, and residential aspirations and expectations and goal deflections. Findings revealed: (1) significant differences $\ddagger n$ occupational aspirations between grade levels and ethnic groups (sophomores aspired to less professional occupations and Native americans aspired to less professional occupations than Anglos); (2) differences in óccupational expectations due to sex (females expected less professional occupations); (3) occupational goal deflection differences due to ethnicity, sex, and the grade level by ethnicitp interaction; (4) residence aspiration differences due to sex and ethnicity (males aspired to more rural and mexican dmericans to a more urban residence than the others): (5) goal deflection betveen anglo residence expectations and aspirations; (6) residence expectation differences due to sex and ethnicity (males and Native Americans had more rural expectations) ; (7)-differences in educational aspirations due to grade level and sex by ethnic group interaction; (8) educational expectation differences due to grade level and sex by ethnic group interaction. (JC)
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Introduction: Problem and Rationale
Educators need objective knowledge of their students' expectations and aspirations: This knowledge is neciessary for advising students and designing curricula. Ignorance of the aspirations and expectations held by students result in three major problems: ' (Merton, 1957)

1. Youth who hold very high aspiration and have little potential of realizing them often display socially unacceptable behavior.
2. Youth who hold very low expectations ip light of their aspirations may become discouraged and fail to, realize their potential.
3. High school counselors are deprived of knowledge that would 'allow greater effectiveness 'early in the students' career development process.

## Purpose of the Study

THe purpose of the study was to determine the levels of educational and occupational aspiration and expectation held by the, three primary coltural groups in rural. New Mexico. New Mexico has a unique ethnic composition of approximately $50 \%$ Anglo, $40 \%$ Mexican American, and $7 \%$ Native American. As far as the researchers are aware no other. study. has attempted to research these specific groups' goals in relation to each other.

Objectives
Objectives of the study are .listed in detail below. The data collected from the questionnaire were analyzed to
provide. information about each of the five objective areas.

1. Overall estimates of educational, occupational, and residence aspirations and expectations for the rural youth population of New Mexico.
2. Overall estimates of deflection of goals for ducation, occupation, and residence.
3. Comparison among cultural groups on educational, : occupational, and residence aspirations, expectations, and deflection.
4. Indication of change in realism (congruence between aspiration and expectation) between tenth and twelfth grade.
5. Determination as to the existence of interactions among grade level, cultural group, and sex on educational, occupational, and residence aspirations, expectations, and deflection.

## Review of Literature: Introduction

Kuviesky and Bealer distinguish between aspired and expected goals; they define expectation'as ". : . the individual's estimation of his probable attainment in reference to a particular goal area" (1966, p. 273). 'The basis for developing aspiration ánd expectation as separate concepts is that the objective is always desired in an aspiration, but may or may not be in an expectation, depending upon, the degree to which one's aspirations are seen as attainable. The difference between aspiration and expectation•provides anticipatory goal deflection.

The literature concerning aspiration is substantial; Kuvlesky and Reynolds•(1970a, 1970b, 1970c) have compiled over 400 published and unpublished references which pertain to educational and occupational aspiration. Merton's (19j7) social structure and anomie inculcation theories of high educational and occupational success goals cut across cultural differentiation. Merton maintains that high aspiration is common to all groups in our society. Kuvlesky indicates also that high school age youth experience high occupational aspiration and expectation relative to available opportunities. Dissenting viewpoints are expressed by Heller (1968), Madsen (1964), and Schwartz (197i), who conclude that Mexican Americans have lower aspirations than Anglo Americans. Choice Formulation Process: .

As in other areas concerning educational and occupational projections, controversy exists in the choice formulation
process. Taylor ('1968) has identified fantasy, tentative and realistic as three stages through which American youth pass and locates the high school student between the last two. In a Louisiana study, Mondart, et al.' (1970) contend that high school students have made tentative occupational choices by the eleventh grade:. This study supports the theory that considerable change occurs between the tenth and twelfth - grades, as does Kuvlesky's Texas study (1969): Ginzberg's phase theory presents the opposite viewpoint in which age and maturity are necessary.to realist-ic aspirations and expectations (Hoppock, 1967). Cultural/Racial Groups

## Mexičan American

D'Antonio and Samora. (1962), De Hoyos (1961), Heller. (1963), and Manuel (1965) have conducted general research on ethnicity differences with an emphasis on Mexican American youth: Comparative stüdies conducted by Juarez (1968), Kuvlesky (1969), and Wright (1968) in the area of Mexican , Ánerican status projections vary in their findings. Juarez found little difference between Mexican American and Anglo American youth. Kuvlesky's Texas rural youth study found that Mexican Americans tended to have lower occupational and educational projections than Negro or Anglo respondents. 'In his study of Texas high school sophomores; Wright concluded that 'Yexican American youth held high-level occupational aspirations.

## Negro American

Consistency of desired and expected status objects was the topic of Pelham's (1968) study of tenth grade, white and Negro ${ }^{\circ}$ males. He defined desired status objects as dealing with the aspirational frame of reference and expected status objects as the anticipated frame of reference. Indications from the rural counties studied in Texas and Georgia demonstrated more inconsistency in anticipated rather than aspirational frames of reference.

Lever (1969) examined the relationship between selected occupational projections and socioeconomic status. Occupa-: tional aspiration, expectation, and anticipatory goal deflection were the projections included in his analysis of 7,775 Negro and white high school spphomores from rural areas in five southern states. In controlling for socioeconomic status a moderate positive association between socioeconomic level and aspiration and expectation appeared. When socio- . economic status was not controlled, high level occupations were both desired and expected by a majority of the respondents in each race/sex category.

## Native American

As with Mexican American youth, there is little research on educational and oćcupational projections of Native • American youth. Gemberlin and Nelson (1970) interviewed 115 Oglala Sioux and 63 Athapaskan high school students. Approximately $50 \%$ of 'the Sioux and Athapaskans in the sample expected, to complete high school and appeared to be well aware of its
importance. 'Educational expectation was obtained by asking students what they planned to do after high school. If their response was, "to attend college," the interviewer then determined how many years they planned to attend. Fortyfour percent of the Sioux anticipated two years of college or vocational school; $10 \%$ planned on four years of college. Fifty-seven percent of the Athapaskans planned on two y.ears of college or vocational training and $19 \%$ expected to attend college for four years. Occupational aspirations were, determined by asking the student what he would most like to be doing ten years from the time of the interview. High-level professional and semi-professional jobs were selected $44 \%$ of the time by both the Sioux and the Athapaskans. Nineteen, percent of the Sioux and $26 \%$ of the Athaspaskans. selected jobs in the clerical and sales, blue collar, and low-level manager categories while $4 \%$ of the Sioux and $2 \%$ of the Athapaskans selected unskilled jobs. Most Sioux ( $57 \%$ ) indicated a desire for upward mobility and placed a high valure on advancement, even if it meant leaving the reservation, while most Athapaskans (55\%) placed more válue on remaining home with a good job and financial security.

Elliott (1970) examined educational and occupational * aspiration and expectation of Canadian Indian and norr-Indian students from.grades six through twelve. He 'placed the 223 Indian students in these grades into one group and found that. the non-Indians generally held higher aspirations and expectations than did the Indians. Ginzberg, et al. (1951) indicate
that this sample's large range covers all three of the major vocational choice periods, (i.e., fantasy, tentative, and realistic) and that it is difficult, therefore, to draw meaningful conclusions.

Larson (197la) observed the educational aspirations and expectations of Native American and non-Native American youth attending small rural high schools in Montana. The objective of the study was to ascertain which persons had the greatest influence on students' educational aspirations. . In a second study, Larson (197lb) investigated the relative impact of family income on high school students' educational aspiratron and expectation levels. Nine percent fever Native Americans than non-Native Americans aspired to attend four years of college; $10 \%$ fewer Native Americans than non-Native Americans expected to attend four years of college. When grouped by family income, $48 \%$ of the high-income Native American students held aspirations for. a college degree, but only $33 \%$ held the same expectations. Comparable percentages For high-income non-Native American students were $61 \%$ and $54 \%$, indicating greater goal deflection among Native American students. Since the only educational aspiration the researcher evaluated was a college degree, this study has limited application.

Conclusion
This review of literature tends to support the conjecture that educational and occupational aspiration of high school youth are high in relation to expectations and available?
opporturrities. Although cultural groups differ in overall levels of aspiration and expectation, the evidence suggests that divergence between the two variables is common to ethnic and racial groups.

## Methodology

Sample
The sample consisted of twelve rural high schools randomly chosen on a geographically stratified basis. Any school in the central third of New Mexico, located in a community of 2500 or less, was considered. Four schools were purposively chosen from those schools under consideration with a majority Native American student population. The remaining eight schools were randomly selected and had a high Anglo American or Mexican American enrollment, or botb. For a description of the ethnic composition of New Mexico and the counties i which the researched schools are located see Appendix A.

An average of twenty-five sophomores and twenty-five seniors was selected in each school. More were interviewed in the larger schools to allow for those schools having less than twenty-five in their classes. Students classified themselves into the different ethnic groups (see Table l). The sample included 139 respondents from'Native American, 240 Mexican American, and 171 Anglo American rural populations in the two grade levels studied (tenth and twelfth) for a total sample size of 587. (See Table 2.)

## Instrumentation

Quęstionnaires were administered either by the director or the research associate to each class separately in each school. School personnel were asked not to be present, in that they might have influenced student responses. The



TABLE $2^{\circ}$
Responses by Class and Ethnicity

|  | Sophomor | Senior | Total - 587 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 307 \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 280 \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | Number Percentage |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - . |  |
| No Response | 5 | 2 | 7 | 1 |  |
| Anglo American | 79 | 92 | 171 | 29 |  |
| Negro American | 2 | 0 | 2 | $<1$ | , |
| Oriental American | 0 | 2 | 2 | $<1$ |  |
| Native American | 76 | 63 | 139 | 23 |  |
| Mexican American | 131 | 109 | 240 | 40 |  |
| Other | 14 | 12 | 26 | $4^{*}$ |  |

questionnaire was an adaptation of a status projection instrument developed at Texas A\&M (see Appendix B). It was previousły used for Mexican Americans and Anglos in Texas (Kuvlesky, 1969) and for Navaja Native Americans in Arizona (Stout, in progress).

## Variables

Fixed-choice stimulus questions provided responses which indicated educational, occupational, and mobility aspirations and expectations. Comparisons between indicated aspiration and expectation allowed for measurement of goal deflection in areas of education, occupation, and residence. These constructs are further explained below:

1. Educational aspiration--educational level desired by the respondent assuming complete freedom of choice.
2. Educational expectation--educational level the respondent actually expected to attain.
3. Occupational aspiration--specific type' of work thé respondent desired assuming complete freedom of choice.
4. Occupational expectation--specific type of work the respondent actually expected to pursue.
5. Residence aspiration--type of area in which the respondent most desired to reside, assuming complete freedom of choice.
6. Residence expectation--type of area in which the respondent actually expected to reside.

7-9. Anticipatory goal deflections--measures of divergence between educational, occupational, and residence. expectations and aspirations.

Divergence was determined as positive, negative, or neutral. Measures of educational and 'occupational divergence were derived by observing the congruence between expectation Pand aspiration in these areas'. Residence aspiration and expectation comparisons determined residence divergence. If the respondent expected to locate in an urban setting but aspired to live in a more rural location positive mobility divergence was displayed. If the respondent's aspiration was to reside in a more urban area than the expectation indicated, a negative residence divergence resulted. "Otherwise the classification was neutral.

Answers related to occupations were coded according to an ofclipational scale derived from the Duncan index as follows:
$0^{\circ}=$ No information
$1=$ High professional
2 = Low professional
3 = Glamour
4 = Managerial, official
5: = Clerical and sales
; $6=$ Skilled worker
7 = Operative
8 =' Laborer
9 = Housewife
The educational and residence scales were modifications of the scales in these areas used by Kuvlesky in his 1969 study. *
$0=$ No information
$1=$ Quit school now
2 = Complete High School
3 = Complete technical program after H. S. graduation
4 = Complete Jr. College
$5=$ Graduate from 4-year College or University $6=$ Complete additional graduate studies

## Residence

$.0=$ No information .
$1=$ Live in a large city.
2 = Live in a small city
3 = Live in a town or village near a metropolitan area
4 = Live in the country near a metropolitan area

- 5 = Live on a farm near a metropolitan areá
$6=$ Live in a town or village not near a metropolitan area
7 = Live in the country not near a metropolitan area.
8 = Live on a farm not near a metropolitan area
Analysis Procedure.
The initial review of the completed questionnaires recorded only raw responses. The data were coded and transferred to Fortran sheets to which a validity check was administered prior to transfer to punch cards. All the data were then grouped together and run for sophomore-senior, male-female, and ethnicity status. A three-way analysis of variance was used to test for significance in main effects and interactions among cultural groups, grade level, and sex on the nine dependent variables. Tukey $B$ after $F$ tests were used to determine where the source of significance lay. 'Summary data were tabulated conjectures drawn for each variable (sëe Appendix C). "Graphs were generated for variables of interest and appear in Appendix D.


## Procedure for Contacting Schools



A letter requesting permission to conduct an interview with seniors and sophomores was sent to the superintendent and principal of each school selected. A brief summary explaining the nature of the research project was enclosed with the initial letter.

Through response to this letter and subsequent -telephone contact an appointment was made with the principalvand/or counselor of each school. During the meeting the researchers introduced themselves and reviewed the queṣtionnaire, clarifying any questions the administrator had. The re-. searchers stressed the necessity of a representative student body sample. A specific date to conduct the interview was also agreed upon.

Contacts with the different schools were made during the months of December and January. The interviews with the students were conducted during late January and February. The interview schedule appears in Appendix E.

Prior to the first interview the researchers reexamined the questionnaire items, some questions being deleted or reworded. When printed, each questionnaire was numbered for the individual' school with every school also having respondent numbers 01-60. For further identification the student was asked to write the name of the school and his/her grade level.

Dr. William Kuvlesky (see Review of Literature, page 3), from the Department of Rural Sociology at Texas A $\delta M$, reviewed the questionnaire and interview procedure with the New Mexico State researcher, Dr. Everett Edington. The following procedure was an outgrowth of their consultation.
Interview Procedure

1. The respondents were given an explanation of the .instrument, stressing that each response was voluntary.
(No response option was provided in all eases.)
2. They were asked to respond" as a group and not to run through the questionnaire individually.
3. The interviewer read each inventory item aloud and waited for the students 'to respond.
$\$$
4. After completing the interview, the last page of the instrument was removed in order to assure the respondent's anonymity.
5. Separate interviews for sophomores and seniors were arranged whenever possible.
6. The interviewers also requested that just the students. be in the room. It was felt that the presence of a counselor or principal might inhibit them or influence their responses.
$2 i$

Analysis Findings: Occupation
Occupational aspiration and expectation, were derived from questionnaire data and were recorded on the Díncan scale as previoưsly described. The resulting scale ranged from 1 (high professional) to 9 (housewife). Occùpational goal deflections were mapsured by computing the difference between goal and expectation,

Occupational Aspiration
Occupational aspiration means by grade level, "sex, and ethnic group are presented in Table 3. The analysis of variance data appear in Table 4 as does the after $F$ test Tukey B results.

TABLE 3
Occupational Aspirat,ion Mean

|  | Sophomore | Senior |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | S.09 |  |
|  |  | 3.42 |
| Sex | Male | Female |
|  | 3.87 | 3.67 |
| Ethnicity | Anglo | Native American |
|  | 3.53 | 4.16 |

Significant differences in occupational aspirations were found between grade levels and ethnic groups. Sophomores aspired to occupations significan+ly less professional than did seniors. Native Americans aspired to occupations less professional. than did Anglo Americans. No other statistically significant differences were found.

Analysis of 'Variance' for Occupational Goals

*Significant at $\alpha<0.05$
*Significant at $\alpha<0.01$

Occupational Expectation
Occupational expectation means by grade level, sex, and ethnicity are presented in Table' 5. The analysis of variance data appears in Table 6.

TABLE 5
Occupational Expectation Means


TABLE 6

$* *$ Significant at $\times 0.01$

Significant differences in occupational expectation were found only due to sex. Females expected to enter signifi-•. cantly less professional occupations than did males. Occupational Goal Deflection

Occupational goál deflection means by grade level, sex, and ethnicity are presented in Table 7. The analysis of variance data appear in Table 8. The after $F$ test Tukey B results for the C main effect and the. A by C interaction, appear in Table 9.

## TABLE 7

Occupational Goal. Deflection Mean

| Grade Level | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sophomore } \\ 0.69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Senior } \\ 1.15 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male } \\ & 0.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fema le } \\ 1.43 \end{gathered}$ | * |
| Ethnicity | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ang10 } \\ 1.07 \end{array}$ | Native American 0.51 | Mexican American 1.02 |

Significant differences in occupational goal deflection were found due to grade level, sex, ethnicity and the grade level by ethricity interaction. Seniors had significantly greater deflection than did sophomores. Females had significantly greater deflection than did males. - The Tukey B after F test procedure revealed no significant differences due to ethnicity. It appears, however, that the Native American group showed a smaller degree of deflection than the other ethnic groups. Sophomore Native Americans showed negative occupational goal deflection which was significantly different from all other combinations of grade level and ethnicity.

TABLE 8
Analysis of Variance for Occupational Goal Deflection


+ $\begin{array}{r}* \text { Significant at } \alpha<0.05 \\ * * S i g n i f i c a n t ~ a t ~\end{array}<0.01$

8
)

$$
\text { TABLE } 9
$$

Interaction for Occupational Goal Deflection

*Significant at $\alpha<0.05$
Note: In this table, as in all succeeding tables in which the different
A.A., Anglo American, and M.A., Mexican American.

## Place of Residence

Place of residence aspiration and expectation were derived from questionnaire data. The resulting scores were placed on a scale from 1 (In a very large city) to 8 (On a farm, not near a city), or in other words, from highly urban to highly rural. Place of residence goal deflection was found by taking the difference between aspiration and expectation.

Residence Aspiration
Residence aspiration means by grade level, sex, and ethnic group are presented in Table 10. The analysis of variance data appear in Table ll. The after $F$ test Tukey $B$ results for $C$ main effect appear in Table 12 . The $A$ by $B$ by $C$ interaction term is too complex to formally analyze and therefore appears as a graph in Figure 1.

Significant differences in residence aspiration were found due to sex and ethnicity. Males aspired to a more rural environment than did females. Native Americans and Anglo Americans did not differ significantly. Mexican American students aspired to a significantly more urban environment than did either the Native American or Anglo American. As can be seen in Figure 1 , the interaction of $A, B$, and $C$ is due in large part to the highly rural aspiration of Native Amerıcan senior males and Anglo female seniors.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sophomore } \\ 3.88 \end{gathered}$ | Senior $3.99$ |  |
| Sex | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male } \\ & 4.39 \end{aligned}$ | Female $3.55$ |  |
| Ethnicity | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ang } 10 \\ 4.27 \end{array}$ | Native American $4.42$ | Mexican American . $\quad 3.42$ | .

## TABLE 11

Analysis of Variance for Residence Aspiratior.

| Source | D.F. | Sum of Sq. | Mean Sq. | F-Ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A (Class Level) | l. | 2.90 | 2.90 | 0.85 |
| B (Sex) | 1. | 102.50 | 102.50 | $30.18 \% \times$ |
| C (Ethnicity) | 2. | 104.14 | 52.07 | $15.33 \% \sim$ |
| AB | 1. | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.20 |
| AC | 2. | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| BC | 2. | 10.75 | 5.37 | 1.58 |
| ABC | 2. | 27.45 | 1.3 .73 | $4.04 \%$ |
| Error | 515. | 1749.08 | 3.40 |  |

*Significant at $\alpha<0.05$
K는ignificant at $\alpha<0.01$
TABLE 12
After $F$ Test for the $C$ Main Effect for Residence Aspiration


Residence Aspiration


Figure 1. Graph of the A by B by C Interaction for Residence.Aspiration
1

## Residence Expectation

Residence expectation means by grade level, $\overline{\text { sex }}$, and ethnicity are presented in Table 13. The analysis of variance data appear in Table. 14 as does the after $F$ test Tukey B results.

TABLE 13
Residence Expectation Means

| Grade Level | Sophomore 3.57 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Senior } \\ 3.48 \end{gathered}$ | , |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male } \\ & 3.95 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Female } \\ 3.17 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Ethnicity | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ang } 10 \\ 3.41 \end{array}$ | 2 | Native American 4.23 | Mexican American |  |

Significant differences in residence $\mathcal{R}^{\text {exp }}$ pectation were found due to sex and 'ethnicity. Males were found to be more rural in expectation than females. Native Americans were significantly more rural in expectation than Anglo Americans or Mexican Americans. No differences were found between Anglo American and Mexican American students. Residence Goal Deflection

- Residence goal deflection means by grade level, sex, and ethnicity are presented in Table 15 . The analysis of variance data appear in Table 16 as does the after F test Tukey B results.

Ethnicity was the only significant effect in the difference between place of residence aspiration and expectation. Native American goal deflection did not differ from that of

TABLE. 14 •
Analysis of Variance for Residence Expectation and After F Test Tukey B Results

| Source | D.F. | Sum of Sq. | Mean Sq. | F-Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A (Class Level) | 1. | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.03 |
| B (Sex) | 1. | 90.92 | 90.92 | 28.36** |
| $C$ (Ethnicity) | 2. | 118.76 | . 59.38 | 18.52\%* |
| $A B$ | 1. | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.04 |
| AC | 2. | $5+6.80$ | '3.40 | 1.06 |
| BC | 2. | $\cdot 3.93$ | $1.96{ }^{\circ}$ | 0.61 |
| $A^{2} B C$ | 2. | $14.2$ | 7.38 | 2.30 |
| Error | 14. | 1648.02 | 3.21 | $\cdot$ |

After $F$ Test for the C Main Effect

|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { A. } \\ 3.41 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{~A} \\ & 4.23 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.A. | 3.20 | - | . 21 | 1.03\% |
| A. | 3.41 |  | - | . $82 \%$ |
| N.A. | 4.23 |  |  | - . |

*Significant at $a<0.05$
**Significant at $x<0.01$

TABLE 15
Résidence Goal Deflection Means

| Grade Level | Sophomore $-0.30$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Senior } \\ & -0.52 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Male } \\ -0.44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Female, } \\ & -0.37 \end{aligned}$ | , |
| Ethnicity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Anglo } \\ & -0.87 \end{aligned}$ | Native American $-0.19$ | Mexican American $-0.20$ |

TABLE 16
Analysis of Variance for Residence Goal Deflection

| Source | D.F. | Sum of Sq. | Mean Sq. | F-Ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A (Class Level) | l. | 2.52 | 2.52 | 1.40 |
| B (Sex) | 1. | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.29 |
| C (Ethnicity) | 2. | 40.77 | 20.39 | $11.33 * \%$ |
| AB | 1. | 1.27 | 1.27 | 0.71 |
| AC | 2. | 8.70 | 4.35 | 2.42 |
| BC | 2. | 6.11 | 3.06 | 1.70 |
| ABC | 2. | 7.04 | 3.52 | 1.95 |
| Error | 512. | 921.62 |  | 1.80 |

After $F$ Test Tukey $B$ C Main Effect

| N.A. | M.A. | A.A. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -.19 | -.20 | -.87 |


| N.A. -.19 | - | .01 | $.68 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M.A. -.20 | - | $.67 \%$ |  |

the Mexican American student. Anglo American students, however, had significantly greater goal deflection than did the Native American or Mexican American.

## Education

Educational aspirations and expectations were derived from questionnaire data. The resulting scale ran from 1 (quit school) to (take additional work beyond a college degree). As before, goal deflection was computed by taking the difference between aspiration and expectation.

Education Aspiration
Educational aspiration means by grade level, sex, and. ethnic group are presented in Table 17. The analysis of variance data appear in Table 18 as does the after $F$ test Tukey $B$ results.

TABLE 17
Educational Aspiration Means

| Grade Level | Sophomore <br> 3.77 | Senior <br> 4.16 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Sex | Male | Female |  |
|  | 3.88 | 4.03 |  |

Significant differences in educ̣ational aspirations were found related to grade level and the sex by ethnic group interaction. Seniors aspired to significantly more education than did sophomores. Native American males aspired to significantly less education than all groups except' Mexican American females.

## TABLE 18

Analysis of Variance for Educational Aspirations and After $F$ Tests

| Source | D.F. |  | Sum of Sq. |  | Mean Sq. | F-Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A (Class Level) | $1$ |  | 21.05 |  | 21.05 | 9.87** |
| B (Sex) | 1. |  | 6.90 |  | 6.90 | 3.23 |
| $C$ (Ethnicity) | 2. |  | 10.84 |  | 5.42 | 2.54 |
| $A B$ | 1. |  | 7.67 |  | 7.67 | 3.60 |
| AC | 2. |  | - 1.36 |  | 0.68 | 0.32 |
| BC | 2. |  | 29.27 |  | 14.63 | 6.86\%* |
| ABC | 2. |  | 8.51 |  | 4.26 | 2.00 |
| Error | 531. |  | 1132.39 |  | 2.13 |  |
|  | After F Test Tukey B B by C Main Effect |  |  |  | , | \% |
|  | $\underset{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{~A}}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{~A} .}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{~A}}$ | $\underset{F}{A} .$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} . \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{F}{N . A .}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 3.27 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 3,85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 3.92 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 4.09 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 4.22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 4.26 \end{aligned}$ |
| N.A. M $3 . \dot{27}$ | - | . 58 |  |  |  |  |
| M.A. F 3.85 |  | $\cdots$ | $\because .07$ | . 24 | * . $37 \times$ | . 41. |
| M.A. M 3.92 |  |  |  | . 17 | . 30 | . 34 |
| A. F 4.09 |  | . |  | - | . 13 | . 17 |
| A. <br> N.A. <br> M |  |  |  |  | - | . 04 |

*Significant at $\alpha<0.05$
**Significant at $\alpha<0.01$

## Education Expectation

Educational expectation means by grade level, sex, and ethnic group are presented in Table 19. The analysis of variance data appear in Table 20 as does the after $F$ test Tukey B results.

$$
\text { TABLE } 19
$$

Educational Expectation Means

|  |  |  | $\lambda$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | Sophomore 3.60 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Senior } \\ 3.92 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Sex $\quad:$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ 3.71 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Female } \\ 3.79 \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Ethnicity | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Anglo } \\ 3.90 \end{array}$ | Native American 3.80 | Mexican Ámerican 3.62 |

Significant differences in educational expectations were found related to grade level and the sex by ethnic group interaction. Seniors expected significantly more education than did sophomores. Native American males expected significantly less education than did either Native American females or Angho American males. In addition, Mexican American females expected significantly less education than did Anglo American males.

Education Goal Deflection $\Delta$

No significant differences were found to exist in the area of educational goal deflection. For purposes of later " discussion the educational goal deflection means are pre-. sented in Table 21 .

TABLE 20
Analysis of Variance for Educational
Expectation and After $F$ Tests

| Source | D.F. Sum of Sq. |  |  | Mean Sq. |  | F-Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A (Class Level) | 1. |  |  | 17. |  | 9.07** |
| B (Sex) | 1. |  |  | 2. |  | 1.51 |
| C (Ethnicity) | 2. |  |  | 3. |  | 1.60 |
| $A B$ | 1. |  |  | 3. |  | 1.67 |
| $A C$ | 2. |  |  | 5. |  | 2.74 |
| BC | 2. |  |  | 10. |  | 5.75\% |
| ABC | 2. |  |  | 4. |  | 2.21 |
| Error | 532. | 1008 |  | 1. |  |  |
| . . | B by C Interaction |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N.A. } \\ & \text { Males } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M.A. } \\ & \text { Fem. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M.A. } \\ & \text { Males } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A.A: } \\ & \text { Fem. } \end{aligned}$ | A.A. Males | N.A. Fem. |
|  | $\stackrel{1}{3.32}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 3.53 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 3.72 \end{gathered}$ | $3^{4} .84$ | $3.5$ | ${ }_{4.14}$ |
| N.A. M 3.32 | - | . 21 | . 40 | . 52 | .63\% | . $82 \%$ |
| M.A. F 3.53 |  | - | . 19 | . 31 | . 42 | . $61 \%$ |
| M.A. M 3.72 |  |  | - | . 12 | . 23 | . 42 |
| A.A. E 3.84 |  |  |  | - | . 11 | . 30 |
| A.A. M 3.95 |  |  |  |  | - | . 19 |
| N.A. F 4.14 |  |  |  |  |  | - |

$$
\therefore \text { Significant at } \alpha<0.05
$$

$\int$ **Significant at $\alpha<0.01$

## TABLE 21

Educational Goal Deflection Means

| Grade Level | Sophomore $-0.16$ | Senior $-0.25$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Male } \\ -0.15 \end{array}$ | Female $-0.24$ | - |
| Ethnicity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Anglo } \\ & -0.25 \end{aligned}$ | Native American $-0.06$ | Mexican American $-0.25$ |

Summary of Findings
Occupation
Occupational aspiration and expectation were recorded on the Dupcan scale. All data in the tables refer to that scale. Significant differences in aspirations were found Between grade levels and ethnic groups. Sophomores aspired to occupations significantly less professional than did seniors ( $\alpha<.01$ ). Native Americans aspired to occupations less professional than did Anglo Americans ( $\alpha<.05$ ) . "Differences in occupational expectation were found only due to sex, with females expecting to enter significantly less professional occupations than did males ( $\alpha<.01$ ). Occupational goal deflection differences were found due to grade level, sex, ethnicity and the grade level by ethnicity interaction. Seniors displayed greater' deflection than did sophomores ( $\alpha<.01$ ) and females greater than males ( $\alpha \varsigma .01$ ) Th Tukey B after $F$ test revealed no significant differences" du\& to ethnicity. The Native American group showed a smallér degree of deflection than the other ethnic groups. Sophomore Native Americans displayed negative occupational goal deflection which was significantly different from all other combinations of grade level. and ethnicity ( $\alpha<.05$ ). Residence

Place of residence as piration and expectation results were placed on a scale which ranged from highly urban to highly rural, and all data in the tables refer to that scale. Residence aspiration differences were found due to sex and
ethnicity. Males aspired to a more rural environment than females ( $\alpha<.01$ ); Mexican American students aspired to a. significantly more urban environment than did either the Native American or Anglo American ( $\alpha<.05$ ). Significant residence expectation differences were found due to sex and ethnicity. Males were found to be more rural in expectation than females ( $\alpha<.01$ ) and Native Americans were more rural than Angto Americans or Mexican Americans ( $\alpha<.05$ ). Ethni.city was the only significant effect in deflection between. place of residence aspiration and expectation. Anglo American students had significantly greater goal deflection than did the Native American or Mexican American ( $\alpha<.05$ ).

## Education

The education scale encompassed choices from quitting school prior to high school graduation to completing graduate work and all data in the tables refer to that scale. Significant differences in educational aspirations wère foûnd related to grade level and the sēx by ethnic groups interaction. Seniors aspired to more education than did sophomores ( $\alpha<.01$ ); Native Americán males aspired to significantly less education than all groups, except Mexican American females ( $\alpha<.05$ ). Educational expectation differences were found related to grade level and the sex by ethnic., group interaction: Seniors expected more education than spphomores ( $\alpha<.01$ ) and Native American males expected significantly less education than did either Native American females`or Anglò American males ( $\alpha<.05$ ). Mexican American $队$
females expected less education than did Anglo American males ( $\alpha<.05$ ). No significant differences were found in the area of educational goal deflection.
$\qquad$
$>$

## Implications and Recommendations

The previous summary of findings covered primary conclusions for this study. Many other data deserving consideration are found in Appendix $C$. While all these data did not receive the same rigorous statistical analyses as those applied to selected sections, it is felt that important implications can be drawn from both types of data. All recommendations, in this section are derived from those implications.

Areas for concern include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Except for the occupational categorization of "Glamour," the only occupations whose expectation index exceeded their aspiration are grouped at the less professional end of the scale.
2. Anglo American, Native American, and Mexican American "no reșponse" levels are fairly congruous in measuring Educational Aspiration and Expectation, yet in the Occupation Categorization, Native Americans, the smallest group numerically, have the largest proportional non-response.
3. Over $50 \%$ of all respondents expressed that High School counselors were of little or no help in forming job aspiration; classroom teachers were considered more helpful. Occupational handbooks received a better "rating" than either counselors or principals, who were perceived as the least help.
4. Proportionally more Native American femalestheld positive military expectation than the other two identities. Approximately a one-third positive female military expectation éxists across all ethnic identifications. Although almost $80 \%$ of the respondents indicate an undecided or negative military desire, $30 \%$ expected some definite form of military service.
5. Native Americans, as a group, showed a lesser degree of occupational goal deflection. They also showed a significantly lower degree of occupational aspiration. So while possibly'being more "realistic" this group also exhibited a lower level of what to be "realistic" about.

These, and other discontinuities, imply to the researchers that problems in rural high school career education programs exist. The absence of ethnic or sexual bias regarding the aspiration and expectation levels for more than a high school education is a major positive factor.

A tentative model for change could involve all aspects of educational personnel, without forcing upon any single segment (administrative, guidance, or teaching staff) ùrrealistic, hard, or impossible changes. In this model, teachers, ip applicable subject areas, act as the main disseminators of educational, occupational, and mobility options. At the same time they should be careful to integrate all areas to produce realistic; but'not pessimistic, graduates. Since teachers have the most contact with students, they have the greatest potential for impact.

Guidance personnel have inescapable limitations on time allotment per student, even if their main school function is not one of statistician or test administrator. They could offer in-service training programs in the area of career education and assist those teachers participating to make minor, but vital, changes in their curriculum. Administrators would be in a position to make direct curriculum changes, as well as to encourage guidance personnel to attend career education conferences and classes to aid teachers, and thus, students. One possible enhancement for implementing such a program would be attaching college credit or merit raises to the extra training necessary.

Although only two grade levels were studied, they were grades toward the culmination of the public education spectrum. Changes or problems that are indicated at that level are certainly applicable, if not more so, in the preceeding grades. Perhaps these personnel should be accorded increment pay raises for related summer work, rather than always insisting on further academic, college-credit, summers. Whatever it takes, rural youth need and deserve the chance to "see" more opportunities than they are presently "seeing."
$*$

## APPENDIX A

ETHNIC COMPOSITION OF COUNTIES STUDIED
Total New Mexico Population: 1,016,000 $\begin{array}{lr}\text { Anglo American: } & 510,447 \\ \text { Spanish Speaking: } & 407,286 \\ \text { Native American: } & 70,986 \\ \text { Negro American: } & 19,439 \\ \text { Uitdentified: } & 7,842\end{array}$
Ethnic Composition of Counties Studied
Total Anglo
Population American
Number
Spanising


315,774
69,773
7,560
41,097
9,763
17,516
BERNALILLO
DONA ANA
LINCOLN
SOCORRO
TAOS

*These data are compiled on the 1970 census figures.
**Sandoval County, in which Bernalillo is located, is not included due to

APPENDIX B QUESTIONNAIkES
School_ Soph_Senior_
Class:
No.

CONFIDENTIAL. No. $\qquad$

This set of questions is part of a study of high school students in the United States.- The purpose of this study is to learn more about what students think about their future and what they plan to do after they leave high school.

THIS IS NOT A TEST: There are no right or wrong answers. We are only interested in finding out your opinions about some important matters. No one in your school will ever see your answers. Special safeguards have been set up to make sure that your replies will be kept strictly confidential.

You do not have to answer any question you do not want to answer. However, we hope that you will cooperate to make this a gaod scientific study by answering all the questions as frankly and honestly as you can. We appreciate your he $\Gamma_{p}$ very much.

Part A.

1. How old were you on your last birthday? $\qquad$
2. .Sex (Circle one number): 1 Male 2 Female
3. Where have you lived most of your life? (Circle one number):
$1^{\text {. City }}$ (over $2,500^{\circ}$ )
2 Town or village (under 2,500)
3 In the country, but not on a farm
4 . On a farm

What is your religious preference? (Circle one number):
1 Protestant
2 Roman Catholic
3 Jewish
4 Other (What?
5 None
5. What is your Ethnic background? (Circle one number):
1 Anglo 2 Negro 3 Oriental 4 Indian

5 Mex. American. 6 Other
6. Which of the following statements best describes you? (Circle one number):

1 I am married.
2 I am engaged.
3 I am going steady.
4 I date often but do not go steady.
5 I date very seldom or never.
7. (a) Do you want to get married some day? (Circle one number):
1..Yes $\quad 2$ No 3 Already married

If you answered yes, you want to get married, answer the following questions:
(b) At what age would you like to get married?
(c). How many children do you want? $\qquad$
(d) How many children do you expect to have? $\qquad$
(e) At what age do you really expect to get married?
8. If you were completely free to choose any job, what would you desire most as a lifetime job? (In answering this question give an exact job. For example, do not say "work on the railroad" but tell us what railroad job you would like to have.) Write your answer in the box below.

ANSWER:

9. (a) Sometimes we are not always able to do what we want most. What kind of job do you really expect to have most of your life? (Write your answer in the box below. Please give an exact job!).

ANSWER:
(b) How certain are you that this is the job you will have most of your life? (Circle one number):

10. If you could have as much schooling as you desired, of which of the following would you do? (Circle one number):

1 Quit school right now.
2 Complete Kigh school.
3 Complete a business, commercial, electronics, or some other technical program after finishing high school.
4 Graduate from a junior college (2 years).
5 Graduate from a college or university.
6 Complete additional studies after graduating from a college or university.
11. (a) What do you really expect to do about your education? (Circle only one number):

1 Quit school right now.
2. Complete hîgh school.

3 Complete a business, comnercial, electronics, or some other technical program after finishing high school.
4 Graduate from a junior college (2 years).
5 Graduate from a college or university.
6 . Complete additional studies after graduating from a college or university.
(b) How certain are you that you will really achieve the education you expect?
I am: (Circle one number):

Teachers
4
2
Relatives other than parents
43
3.2

1. Movies or TV $\ldots \ldots \ldots$........... 4 2 $\quad 3$
Occupational handbooks
2. 

. 31
Personal job experience.. ..... 4
3 - 2 ..... 1
School principal ..... 4 ..... 3 ..... 2 ..... 1
13. Do you want to go into military service? .(Circle one): 1 Yes 2 Not sure 3 No
14. Of the kind of places listed below, in which one would you most desire to live for the rest of your life? (Circle one):

## In a City

1 Very large
2 Small
Near a City
3 In a town or village
4 In the country but not on a farm 5 On a farm

Not near a City
6 In a town or village
7 In the country. but not on a farm
8 On a farm
15. (a) From the kind of places listed above, what type of place do you really expect to live most of your life? Place the number of this type of place in the following b. $\varnothing$ :


个
(b) How certain are you that you will live in this kind of place?

I am: (Circle one number):

$$
1
$$

2
4
5

| Very | Certain | Not Very | Uncertain |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Certain | Very | Uncertain |  |

16. What is the marital status of your mother and father? (Circle one number):

1 Both alive, living together
2 Both alive, separated

- 3 Both alive, di-vareed

4 Father not living
5 Mother not living
6 Neither father nor mother living
17. Are you (Circle one number):

1 The youngest living child in your family
2 The alefest living child in your family.
3 Neither the youngest or the oldest living child
4 The only child
18. What was the highest school grade completed by your father and mother? (Circle one number on the left for father and one on the right for mother.)
Father
Mother1 Did not go to school1
2 Grade 1-7 ..... 2
3 Eighth Grade ..... 34 Some high school but didn't graduate
5 Graduated. from high school ..... 54 .
6 Went to Vocational School after graduating
high school
7 Some college but didn't graduate ..... 7
8 College graduate (4 years) ..... 8
9 Don't know. ..... 9
19. Who is the major money earner in the family? (Circle one number):

1 Father
2 Mother
3 Brother or Sister
4 Other (Who?
5 Insurance, social security, or something like this
20. What is the main job held by the major money earner of your home? (Write your answer in the following box. Give a specific job, not the company, or place worked for.)

ANSWER:
,

ANS ER:

21. Listed below are a number of things that most young people look forward to. Rank them in order of their importance to you. For the one you think is most important put a number 1 in front of it; for the next most important one put in a number 2 ; and so on until you have a different number (from 1 to 7) for each one. Read over the entire list before - answering the question.
$\qquad$ To have lots of free time to do what $I$ want.
_ To get all the education $I$ want.
__ To earn as much money as I can.
, To get the job I want most.
. To live in the kind of place I like best.

- To have the kind of house, car, furniture, and other things like this I want.

To get married and raise a family.
CHECK YOUR ANSWERS! You should have used each number from 1 to 7 only one time and you should have a number in each blank space.
22. (a) What do you expect to do about military service?
(Circle only one number):

1 Quit high school and enlist.

2 Enlist right after high school.
3 Go to college and take officers' training.
4 Enlist in the Reserves or National Guard.
5 Not eligible--I have a phyșical disability.
6 Get out of going some way or other.
7 I am a girl and do not plan to enlist.
(b) How sure are you that this is what you will do?

I am: (Ćircle one number):


Very Sure Sure Not very Sure Uncertain Very Uncertain
23. Tell me whether you agree with the following statements about entering military service. (Check one blank for each statement.)

Agree Disagree
$\longrightarrow$ - 1. Every American mate should want to serve in the military.
__ _ 2. Every able bodied American male should enlist if the country is fighting a war.

- 3. One should not complain if he is drafted.
———— 4. One can do more for his country by doing something else.

5. Anyone who enlists in the service is foolish.
6. What kind of program are you taking in school? (Circle one mumber):

1 General
2 Academic or College•Prép
3 Vocational
4 Other: (What? $\qquad$

No. $\qquad$
As we mentioned before, your answers to these questions are strictly confidential. No information about particular persons will be given to your school or anyone else. However, we will need your name and address so that we can locate and contact you several years from now. Please give us the following information.

## PLEASE PRINT

(a) Your present addiess

| First name |
| :---: |

Street address

| City or town |
| :--- |



State

Telephone no.
(b) Name and address of relative $o=$ friend (living at a different address from the one you gave above) who will always know where you are living if you should move in the next lew years.
First name

| Middİe initial |
| :---: |

Last name

Street address

| City or town |
| :--- |

County
State
[5.

APPENDIX C
SUMMARY DATA
CATEGORIZATION OF RESPONDENTS BY:
GRADE LEVEL SEX
ETHNICITY


ERIC
50

General Description of ables
AGE: See individual tables


SEX: Sée individual tables
RESIDENCE: In that the study focused on rural New Mexican towns with a population of 2,500 or less, it was expected that that category would receive the largest number of responses.

ETHNICITY: See individual tables

TRIBAL SELF-IDENTIFICATION: Jemez Pueblo'appears to de the most prevelant choice of the pueblos listed. However, by ethnicity categorization, the Native American identity was chosen 138 times, to compare with the 96 Native Americans identifying themselves with the listed tribes. bhose not responding by tribe could have felt that they did not associate their heritage with a single tribe, or, less'likely, their tribe was not listed.

OCCUPATION: See individual tables

CERTAINTY OF OCCUPATIONAL EXPECTATION: See individual tables $r$

EDJCATION: See individual tables
CERTAINIY UF EDCUATIONAL EXPECTATION: See individual tables

MILITARY DESIRE: See individual tables

MILITARY EXPECTATION: See individual tables
CERTAINTY OF MILITARY EXPECTATION: See individual tables

RESIDENCE: See individual tables
CERTAINTY OF RESIDENCE EXPECTATION: See individual tables
MARITAL STATUS OF PARENTS: For such a large number of respondents relatively few had divorced parents, perhaps due to their predominantly Catholic religious affiliation. (See Religion.) More students reported deceased fathers than mothers.

SIBLING LOCATION: Predictably most students were located in middle sibling pofitions.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND OF PARENTS: See individual tables

PRIMARY MONETARY SUPPORT OP PAMILY: The father was identified overwhelmingly as the main source of support of the family with Insurance and Social Security being second, slightly above that of the mother as main supporter.

RELIGION: Catholicism is dominant in many southwestern areas and thif dominance 18 reflected by the study. An extremely small percentage off students identified themselves as having no religious affiliation or as being athiests.

OCCUPATIONAL CATEGORIZATION: See individual tables
SOURCES OF HELP IN FORMATION OF JOB ASPIRATION: See individual tables
MAIN MONEY EARNER'S OCCUPATION: This item received one of the highest 'No Response" numbers of all the items requested. The most numerous response was "Skilled Trade," and "Clerical and Sales" was close to the least often chosen. This is congruent with the findings of Identification of Main Support, in which few mothers, most likely in clerical or sales positions, were listed as main familial supporters.

INTENSITY OF 7 COMPARED GOALS: See individual tables
STATEMENTS CONCERNING MILITARY SERVICE: Statements one through five seem to be aiming at understanding the quality of patriotism; with one most patriotic and five least patriotic. More students expressed disagreement with statement number five than statement number one. Statements two, three, and four carry about a $50 \%$ choice with either answer.

TYPE OF SCHOOL PROGRAM: Typically students identified themselves as following a General program of studies, with College Prep and Vocational chosen about the same number each, but considerably less than General.

DATING AND MARITAL STATUS: Responses which showed "Frequent" or "Steady" dating were more numerous than the "Date seldom or never" response.

DO YOU WANT TO GET MARRIED SOMEDAY?: As expected, most students answered this question affirmatively. Those negative responses were not concretely evidenced in "Marriage Age" but those students who did not want to get married might have chosen the "No Response" option in that instance.
AGE FOR MARRIAGE: The researchers feel a fact which reinforces the total vaiidity of the questionnaire is the very few number of obviously ridiculous answers to this question. The ages between $20-26$ were overall the prevelant choices.

NUMBER OF CHILDREN: This question has the highest "No Response" number in the study, congruent with, and perhaps explained in part by, the relatively older age associated with marriage in the previous question. These students had not formed any opirion as to number of children desired/wanted because they were not planning to get married, let alone have children, in the forseeable future. Of those students answering, two was the number of children most wanted and expected.

Categorization, by Ethnicity
Anglo American: 171
Native American: 139
Spanish American: 240
Unidentified: 33

AGE

| AGE |  |  |  |  |  | Spanish Speaking | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Anglo | Indian | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| No Response | 0 | 3 | 11 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 3 | 11 | 83 | 11 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 55 | 30 | 37 | 7 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 22 | 27 | 67 | 11 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 71 | 42 | 35 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 18 | 23 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SEX

| No Response | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Males | 88 | 56 | 109 | 18 |
| Females | 82 | 82 | 131 | 14 |

$\cdots \quad$ RESIDENCE $\quad . \quad . \quad$.


TRIBAL SELF-IDENTIFICATION


|  | Anglo | Indian | Spanish Sp | Speaking | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aspiration/Expectation |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Response | 2/1 | 2/1 | 0/1 |  | 1/1 |
| Quit school now | 1/0 | 5/5 | 5/2 |  | 3/2 |
| Complete high school | 33/43 | 32/33 | 61/73 |  | 4/12 |
| Complete Tech program (after H.S. graduation) | 28/27 | 17/20 | 45/46 |  | $9 / 4$ |
| Complete Jr. college | 85/20 | 24/23 | 17/25 |  | 3/4 |
| Graduate from college or university | $64 / 67$ | 42/41 | 71/79 |  | 9/6 |
| Complete additional graduat studies | $28 / 13$ | 17/16 | 41/14 | 4 | 4/4 |

CERTAINTY OF EDUCATIONAL EXPECTATION

|  | CERTAINTY | OF EDUCATIONAL EXPECTATION |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No Response | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Very Certain | 60 | 26 | 55 | 3 |
| Certain | 82 | 62 | 107 | 22 |
| Not very certain | 25 | 42 | 63 | 2 |
| Uncertain | 2 | 7 | 12 | 3 |
| Very uncertain | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 |

MILITARY DESIRE

| No Response | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 20 | 27 | 44 | 3 |
| Not sure | 54 | 60 | 86 | 12 |
| No | 97 | 49 | 108 | 17 |

MILITARY EXPECTATION

| No Response | 5 | 6 | 8 | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Quit H.S. and enlist | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Enlist just after H.S. | 18 | 34 | 52 | 4 |
| College and Officers' | 17 | 22 | 24 | 4 |
| $\quad$ training |  |  | 18 | 0 |
| Enlist in Reserves or <br> $\quad$ National Guard | 9 | 4 |  |  |
| Not Eligible <br> Get out of serving <br> I ama girl-I do not plan to <br> enlist | 72 | 6 | 7 | 6 |


|  | Anglo | Indian | Spanish Speaking | @there |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nor Response | 6 | 2 | 7 | 4 |
| Very certain | 90 | 37 | 71 | 14 |
| Certain | 20 | 29 | 60 | 5 |
| Not very certain | 32 | 45 | 69 | 8 |
| Uncertain | -9 | 15 | 22 | 2 |
| Very uncertain | 14 | 11 | 11 | 0 |

## RESIDENCE

| RESIDENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aspiration/Expectation |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Response | $5 / 6$ | $7 / 7$ | $8 / 8$ | $4 / 4$ |  |  |
| In a City |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large | $14 / 25$ | $4 / 5$ | $27 / 34$ | $3 / 5$ |  |  |
| Small | $26 / 42$ | $10 / 10$ | $57 / 60$ | $7 / 9$ |  |  |
| Near a City |  |  | $49 / 57$ | $5 / 5$ |  |  |
| Town or Village | $17 / 29$ | $49 / 52$ | $50 / 39$ | $6 / 4$ |  |  |
| Country, not on a farm | $47 / 33$ | $12 / 15$ | $17 / 10$ | $2 / 0$ |  |  |
| Farm | $21 / 11$ | $4 / 4$ | $10 / 17$ | $2 / 2$ |  |  |
| Not Near a City, |  |  | $16 / 10$ | $2 / 2$ |  |  |
| Town or village | $5 / 5$ | $30 / 26$ | $6 / 5$ | $2 / 2$ |  |  |
| Country, not on a farm | $22 / 11$ | $21 / 20$ |  |  |  |  |
| Farm | $14 / 9$ | $2 / 0$ |  |  |  |  |

CERTAINTY OF RESIDENCE EXPECTATION

| No Response | 0 | 7 | 3 | . |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very certain | 29 | 35 | 31 | 1 |
| Certain. | 70 | 52 | 93 | 7 |
| Not very certain | 60 | 39 | 93 | 9 |
| Uncertain | 9 | 5 | 20 | 13 |
| Very uncertain |  | 3 | 1 | 0 |



|  | Ang1o | Indian |  | Spanish Sp | Speaking | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Response | 0 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1. |
| Youngest child | 53 | 27 |  | 38 | . | 3 |
| Oldest child | 44 | 29 |  | 57 |  | 5 |
| Neither youngest or oldest | 70 | 77 |  | 137 |  | 23 |
| Only child | 4 | 5 |  | 6 |  | 1 |
| EDUCÁTIONAL BACKGROUND OF PARENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education. Father/Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Response | 7/5 | 13/15 |  | 11/14 | - | 2/4 |
| Did not attend school | 0 | 5/4 |  | 6/3 |  | 0 |
| Attended grades 1-7 | 11/1 | 15/14 |  | 40/30 |  | 2/5 |
| Attended up to 8th grade | 10/4 | 18/18 |  | 28/33 |  | 2/3 |
| Attended H.S., but did not graduate | 18/28 | 22/27 |  | 28/50 |  | 5/6 |
| Received H.S. diploma | 48/69 | 21/20 |  | 44/59 |  | 6/8. |
| Attended vocational school <br> (after H.S. graduation) | 9/13 | 4/6 |  | 11/3 |  | 3/0 |
| Attended college, but did not graduate | 23/18 | 2/2 | - | 9/6 |  | 0/1 |
| Received college degree | 28/27 | 3/3 |  | - 20/8 |  | $\cdot 6 / 2$ |
| Do not know | 17/6 | 36/30 |  | 43/34 |  | 7/4 |

PRIMARY MONETARY SUPPORT OF FAMILY



## SOURCES OF HELP IN FORMATION OF JOB ASPIRATION




DATING AND MARITAL STATUS


MAIN MONEY EARNER'S OCCUPATION

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anglo | Indian | Spanish Speaking | Other |  |
| No Response | 5 | 31 | 49 | 10 |  |
| Professional \& related fields | 29 | 13 | 19 | 4 |  |
| Managerial, official, owner | 32 | 9 | 23 | 4 |  |
| Farmer/rancher (owmer) | 15 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| Clerical \& sales | 18 | 5 | 6 | 0 |  |
| Skilled trade | 40 | 37 | 44 | 3 |  |
| Operatives | 13 | 10 | 28 | 6 |  |
| Unskilled (laborer/domestic) | 9 | 25 | 45 | 2 |  |
| Unemployed | 10 | 8 | 24 | 1 |  |

INTENSITY OF 7 COMPARED GOALS
NOTE: The numbers 1-7 rank importance; number 1 most important; number 7 least important.


NOTE: The numbers $1-7$ rank importance; number 1 most important; number 7 least important.


STATEMENTS COALLRNiLNG MLLITARY SERVICE
$\begin{array}{llll}\left.\text { 1. } \begin{array}{ll}\text { Every American male should want } & \text { to serve in the military. } \\ \text { No Response } & 1 \\ 3 & 3 \\ \text { Agree } & -30\end{array}\right) 45 & 2 & 4 \\ \text { Disagree } & 140 & 91 & 64 \\ \end{array}$

2. Every able-bodied American male should enlist if the country is fighting a war. | No Response | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agree | 85 | 58 | 100 | 14 |
| Disagree | 85 | $\ldots$ | 78 | 138 |
3. One should not complain if he is drafted.

| Mo Response | 2 | 6 | 5 | 3 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| abree | 103 | 79 | $12 \cdot 3$ | 21 |  |
| Disagree | 66 | 54 | 112 | . | 9 |



TYPE OF SCHOOL PROGRAM

| No Response | 11 | 22 | 17 | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| General | 87 | 82 | 122 | 18 |
| Academic or college prep | 38 | 16 | 53 | 5 |
| Vocational | 26 | 15 | 38 | 6 |
| Ocher | 9 | 4 | 10 | 0 |

MMBER OF CHIIDDREN

|  | Children hanted/Expected |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Response | $25 / 37$ | $42 / 44$ | $40 / 54$ | $8 / 9$ |
| None | $14 / 10$ | $1 / 2$ | $8 / 7$ | $3 / 4$ |
| 1 | $6 / 6$ | $12 / 7$ | $10 / 12$ | $0 / 0$ |
| 2 | $35 / 77$ | $41 / 33$ | $97 / 87$ | $12 / 8$ |
| 3 | $19 / 21$ | $12 / 14$ | $33 / 31$ | $5 / 5$ |
| 4 | $15 / 8$ | $15 / 29$ | $38 / 26$ | $4 / 2$ |
| 5 | $2 / 5$ | $7 / 4$ | $7 / 8$ | $1 / 2$ |
| 6 | $3 / 6$ | $6 / 4$ | $2 / 4$ | $0 / 1$ |
| 7 | $1 / 0$ | $1 / 1$ | $0 / 2$ | $0 / 0$ |
| 8 or more | $1 / 1$ | $2 / 1$ | $5 / 9$ | $0 / 2$ |



MARRIAGE

| continued. | Anglo | Indian | Spanish Speaking | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | $0 / 0$ | $0 / 0$ | $1 / 0$ | 0 |
| 32 | $0 / 0$ | $0 / 1$ | $0 / 0$ | 0 |
| 35 | $2 / 0$ | $0 / 0$ | $1 / 1$ | 0 |
| 36 | $0 / 0$ | $0 / 0$ | $1 / 6$ | 0 |
| 40 | $0 / 0$ | $0 / 0$ | $1 / 0$ | 0 |
| 45 | $0 / 0$ | $0 / 0$ | $1 / 0$ | 0 |
| 52 | $1 / 0$ | $0 / 0$ | $0 / 0$ | 0 |
| 53 | $0 / 0$ | $0 / 0$ | $0 / 1$ | 0 |
| 56 | $0 / 0$ | $0 / 0$ | $0 / 1$ | 0 |
| 58 | $0 / 1$ | $0 / 0$ | $0 / 0$ | 0 |

Categorization of Respondents By Sex
Total Number of Males $=273$
Total Number of Females $=311$


## RESIDENCE

Place of Residence

| No Response | 4 |  | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| City, over 2,500 | 48 | 55 |  |
| Cown, under 2,500 | 129 | 177 |  |
| Country, not f3rm | 65 | 55 |  |
| Farm | 27 | 15 |  |

## ETHNICITI

| S |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No Response | 1 |  | 0 |
| Anglo | 88 |  | 82 |
| Negro | 1 |  | 1 |
| Oriental | 1 |  | 1 |
| Indian | 56 | 82 |  |
| Mexican American | 109 |  | 131 |
| Other $\quad 17$ |  |  | 14 |



CERTAINTY OF OCCUPATIONAL EXPECTATION

| No Response | 20 | 17 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Very Certain | 40 | 36 |
| Certain | 81 | 79 |
| Not Very Certain | 92 | 136 |
| Uncertain | 26 | 34 |
| Very Uncertain | 14. |  |

[^1]|  |  |  | Femal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aspiration/Expectation |  |  | Aspiration/Expectation |  |
| No Response | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Quit School Now | 13 | 8 | 2 | 1 |
| Complete High School | 67 | 76 | 63 | 83 |
| Complete Tech Program (after H.S. graduation) | 43 | 43 | 55 | 54 |
| Complete Jr. College | 23 | 33 | 36 | 40 |
| Graduate from College or University | 78 | 88 | 109 | 106 |
| Complete Additional Graduate Studies | 45 | 22 | 45 | 26 |
| CERTAINTY OF EDUCATIONAL EXPECTATION |  |  |  |  |
| No Response | 3 |  | 0 |  |
| Very Certain | 72 |  | 72 |  |
| Certain | 125 |  | 148 |  |
| Not Very Certain | 58 |  | 75 |  |
| Uncertain | (11 |  | 13 |  |
| Very Uncertain |  |  | 3 | ' |
| * | MILITAAY DESIRE |  |  |  |
| No Response |  |  | $4$ |  |
| Yes | 54 |  | 40 |  |
| Not Sure | 115 |  | 97 |  |
| No | 102 |  | 170 |  |

MILITARY EXPECTATION

| No Response | 21 | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Quit H.S. and Enlist | 1 | 0 |
| Enlist Just After H.S. | 60 | 50 |
| College and Officers' Training | 44 | 23 |
| Enlist in/Reserves or National | 30 | 1 |
| Guarg |  |  |
| Not Eligible | 21 | 2 |
| Get out of Serving | 96 | 11 |
| I am a Girl-I Do Not Plen To | 0 | 221 |
| Enlist |  |  |


| No Response |  | 14 |  |  |  | . 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Certain |  | 66 |  |  |  | 45 |
| Certain |  | 62 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Not Very Certain |  | 84 |  |  |  | 72 |
| Uncertain |  | 25 |  | - |  | 22 |
| Very Uncertain |  | 22 |  |  |  | 14 |
| RESIDENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Response <br> In a City$\quad$ Aspiration/Expect* |  |  |  | Aspiration/Expect |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | In a City |  |  |
| Large | 16 | 26 |  | 34 | 45 |  |
| Small | 41 | 46 |  | 58 | 75 |  |
| Near a City - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Town or Village | 35 | 49 |  | 84 | 93 |  |
| Country, not on a farm | 61 | 52 |  | 55 | 39 |  |
| Farm | 17 | 9 |  | 27 | 16 |  |
| Not Near a City |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Town or Village | 29 | 28 | , | 18 | 22 |  |
| Country, not on a farm | 43 | 32 |  | 18 | 11 |  |
| Farm | 15 | 12 |  | 9 | 4 |  |

## CERTAINTY OF RESIDENCE EXPECTATION

| No Response | 9 | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Very Certain | 53 | 50 |
| Certain | 113 | 110 |
| Not Very Certain | 83 | 122 |
| Uncertain | 14 | 23 |
| Very Uncertain | 1 | 3 |

## MARITAL STATUS OF PARENTS

| No Response | 8 | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Both Alive, living together | 198 | 247 |
| Both Alive, Separated | 8 | 9 |
| Both Alive, divorced | 26 | 20 |
| Father not living | 27 | 26 |
| Mother not living | 4 | 6 |
| Neither parent living | 2 | 1 |
|  | SIBLING LOCATION |  |
| No Response | 2 |  |
| Youngest Child | 59 |  |
| Oldest Child | 61 |  |

76

Male
Female

| Male |  | Female |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education | Father | Mother | Father | Mother |
| No Response | 25 | 32 | 9 | 9 |
| Did not attend school | 6 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| Attended grades 1-7 | 23 | 23 | 45 | 28 |
| Attended up to 8th grade | 28 | 15 | 31 | 43 |
| Attended H.S., but did |  |  |  |  |
| not graduate | 30 | 49 | 43 | 62 |
| Received H.S. diploma <br> Attended vocational school <br> (after H.S. graduation) | 55 | 14 | 81 | 65 |
| Attended college, but did | 22 | 9 | 13 | 75 |
| not graduate |  |  |  |  |

PRIMARY MONETARY SUPPORT OF FAMILY

| No Response | $1 \dot{4}$ | 11 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Father | 187 | 205 |
| Mother | 28 | 32 |
| Brother of Sister | 8 | 11 |
| Other | 6 | 4 |
| Insurance, Social Security, etc. | 30 | 48 |

## RELIGION

| No Response | 10 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Protestant | 08 | 63 |
| Catholic | 161 | 202 |
| Jewish | 2 | 1 |
| Other Religion | 9 | 26 |
| None or Achiest | 23 | 11 |

OCCUPATIONAL CATEGORIZATION


[^2]1. Parents
No Response . 6 . 3

No help 15
Little help ..... 39
Some help ..... 109
Very helpful ..... 140 ..... 127
2. Friends
No Response ..... 6 ..... 3
No help ..... 38
Little Help ..... 78
Some help ..... 135
Very helpful 40 ..... 57
3. High School Counselor
No Response ..... 2
No help ..... 105
Little help ..... 60 ..... 90100 .
Some help ..... 66
Very helpful ..... 36 ..... 41
4. Teachers
No Response ..... 7 ..... 0
No help ..... 79 ..... 62
Little help ..... 78
Some help ..... 109
Very heipful ..... 62
5. Relatives-Not Parents
No Response ..... 7 ..... 1
No help ..... 72
Little help ..... 74
Some help ..... 105
Very helpful ..... 59
6. Movies or Television
No Response ..... - 2
No help ..... 92
Little help ..... 88
Some help ..... 94
Very helpful ..... 35
7. Occupational Handbooks
No Response ..... 3
No help ..... 80 ..... 64
Little help ..... 76
Some help ..... 79 ..... 110
Very helpful ..... 42 ..... 58


INTENSITY OF 7 COMPARED GOALS

NOTE: The number 1-7 rank importance; number 1 most important; number 7 least important.




AGE FOR MARRIAGE


NUMBER OF CHILDREN


4
Categorization of Respondents by Grade Level


## ETHNICITY.

| No Résponse | 5 | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Anglo | 79 | 92 |
| Negro | 2 | 0 |
| Oriental | 0 | 2 |
| Indian | 76 | 63 |
| Mexican American | 131 | 109 |
| Other | 14 | 12 |

TRIBAL SELF-IDENTIFICATION


CERTAINTY OF OCCUPATIONAL EXPECTATION

| No Response | 21 | 16 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Very Certain | 42 | 34 |
| Certain | 75 | 86 |
| Not Very Certain | 118 | 112 |
| Cncertain | 39 | 21 |
| Very Uncertain | 12 | 11 |



CERTAINTY OF EDLCATIONAL EXPECTATION

| No Response | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very Certain | 76 | 69 | 139 | . |
| Certain | 135 | 62 | 8 |  |
| Not Very Certain | 72 |  | 1 |  |
| Uncertain | 16 |  | 1 |  |
| Very Uncertain | 6 |  |  |  |

## MILITARY DESIRE

| No Response | 5 |  | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 61 | 34 |  |
| Not Sure | 129 | 84 |  |
| No | 112 | 161 |  |

MILITARY EXPECTATION

| No Response | 11 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Quit H.S. and enlist | 1 | 12 |
| Enlist just after H.S. | 74 | 0 |
| College and Officers' training | 50 | 36 |
| Enlist in Reserves or National | 10 | 17 |
| $\quad$ Guard |  | 21 |
| Not eligible | 12 | 12 |
| Get out of serving | 53 | 56 |
| I ama girl-I do not plan to | 96 | 126 |
| $\quad$ enlist |  |  |

$r$


|  | Sophomores |  | Seniors |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Response | 12 |  | 8 |  |
| Very Certain | 86 |  | 127 |  |
| Certain | 69 |  | 45 |  |
| Not Very Certain | 95 |  | 61 |  |
| Uncertain | $29^{\circ}$ |  | 19 |  |
| Very lncertain | 16 |  | 20 |  |
|  | RESIDENCE |  |  |  |
|  | Aspiration'Expectation |  | Aspiration/Expectation |  |
| No Response | 15 | 16 | 9 | 9 |
| In A City |  |  |  |  |
| Large | 29 | 34 | 21 | 37 |
| Small | 58 | 68 | 43 | 55 |
| Sear A City |  |  |  |  |
| Town or Villaze |  | 66 | 66 | 77 |
| Country, not on a fare | 64 | 52 | 52 | 39 |
| Farm | 23 | 16 | 21 | 9 |
| Not Near A City |  |  |  |  |
| Town or lillage | 15 | 15 | 32 | 35 |
| Country, not on a farm | 34 | 27 | 27 | 16 |
| Farm | 15 | 13 | 9 | 3 |
| CERTAINTY OF RESIDENCE EXPECTATION |  |  |  |  |
| No Response | 10 |  | 2 |  |
| Very Certan | 57 |  | 46 |  |
| Cersain | 98 |  | 126 |  |
| Not Very Certain | 117 |  | 90 |  |
| lncertain | 24 |  | 13 |  |
| Very Certain | 1 |  | 3 |  |


|  | MARITAL STATLS OF PARENTS |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Mo Response | 5 | 5 |
| Both alive, living together | 220 | 220 |
| Both alive, separated | 12 | 5 |
| Both alive, divorced | 24 | 22 |
| Father not living | 32 | 22 |
| Mother not living | 7 | 4 |
| Neither parent living | 1 | 2 |

" SIbling location


## PRIMARY MONETARY SUPPORT OF FAMILY

| No Zesponse | 15 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Father | 207 |  |
| Motner | 33 |  |
| Brother or sister | 11 |  |
| Other | 6 |  |
| Insurance, Social Security, etc. | 35 | 106 |

## MAIN MONEY EARNER'S OCCUPATION

| No Response | 60 | 36 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Professional \& related fields | 30 | 35 |
| Managerial, official, owner | 39 | 29 |
| Farmer/rancher (owner) | 14 | 7 |
| Clerical and sales | 10 |  |
| Skilled trade | 62 |  |
| Operatives | 31 |  |
| Cnskilled (laborer/domestic) | 43 | 62 |
| Unemployed | 18 | - |




Seniors
Material
No Response . $9 \quad 4$

1
19
4
1
21
28
$\begin{array}{ll}4 & . \\ 5\end{array}$
19
2
3
$\begin{array}{ll}1 \\ 6 & \\ 6\end{array}$
13
33
40
6 • 75
79
$7-30 \quad 67$
Family
No Response . 10 4
1 15
17
218
18 . 14
3 • 20
27
$4 \quad 27$
$33 \quad 26$
$6 \quad$, . 56
55
7 , $128 \times 117$

## STATEMENTS CONCERNING MILITARY SERVICE

1. Every American male should want to serve in the military.

| No Response | 9 | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agree | 84 | 61 |
| Disagree | 214 | 217 |

2. Every able-bodied American male should enlist if the country is fighting a war. No Response
Agree . 137
123
Disagree • $16 i$ 155
3. One should not complain if he is drafted.

- No Response $\quad 13$ 4

Agree 164163
Disagree 130 . 113
4. One can do more for his country by doing something else.

| No Response | 15 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agree | 160 | 174 |

Disagree 132 100
5. Anyone who enlists in the military is foolish.

No Response 10 " 5
Agree 2123

- Disagree; 276252

TYPE OF SCHOOL PROGRAM

|  | Sophomores | Seniors |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No Response | 35 | 20 |
| General | 183 | 127 |
| Academic or college prep | 40 | 73 |
| Vocational | 33 | 53 |
| Other | 16 | 7 |
|  |  |  |
| ReLIGION | 15 |  |
| Nosponse | 60 | 4 |
| Protholic | 199 | 71 |
| Jewish | 3 | 165 |
| Other religion | 15 | 0 |
| None or Athiest | 15 | 20 |

OCCUPATIONAL CATEGORIZATION

|  | Aspiration/Expectation | Aspiration/Expectation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Response | 34 | 59 | 19 | 34 |
| High professional | 30 | 19 | 24 | 9 |
| Low professional | 60 | 55 | 106 | 84 |
| Glamour | 45 | 18 | 32 | 10 |
| Managerial, official | 20 | 17 | 19 | 20 |
| Clerical, sales | 28 | 30 | 23 | 34 |
| Skilled worker | 44 | 39 | 34 | 37 |
| Operative | 26 | 22 | 14 | 11 |
| Laborer | 12 | 21 | 6 | 14 |
| Housewife | 8 | 27 | 3 | 27 |

1. Parents
No Response
5
No help
20
31

Little help
38
Little help . . 107
Some help 98
Very helpful .. 13
i. Friends

No Response 7
No help 53
28
Little hodp . . 89 75
Some help
109
126
Very helpful
49
48
3. High School Counselor
No Response 6 . . 3

No help $139 \quad 66$
Little help 76
Some help 6183
Very helpful $25 \quad 53$
4. Teachers

No Response 403
No help $87 \quad 55$
-Little help 67. 71
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Some help } & 106 & 96\end{array}$
Very helpful 43 55
5. Relatives-Not Parents
$\begin{array}{lrl}\text { No Response } & 5 & 3 \\ \text { No help } & 58 & 64\end{array}$
Little help $82 \quad 68$
Some help 105101
Very helpful $7 \quad 5744$
6. Movies or Television

No Response 5
6
No help 93
94
Little help 79
90
Some help 91
68
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Very helpful } & 39\end{array}$
7. Occupational Handbooks

No Response 6
2
No help. 88
56
Little help . 73 72
Some help $88 \quad 102$
Very helpful 52
48
8. Personal Job Experiences
i. No Respónse

4 . 4
No help $91 \quad 65$
Little help $55 \quad 51$
Some help $83 \quad 75$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Very helpful } 74 & 85\end{array}$
9. School Principal

No Response
No help ' 218
4
$\begin{array}{lrr}\text { No help } & 218 \\ \text { Little help } & 41 & 42\end{array}$
Some help 28
25
Very helpful 15
6


| Children Wanted/Expected |  |  |  | Children Wanted/Expected |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ' | Sophomores |  |  | Seniors |  |
| No Response | 68 | 80 | No Response | 48 | 65 |
| None | 8 | 12 | None | 18 | 11 |
| 1 | 18 | 17 | 1 | 10 | 9 |
| 2 | 111 | 87 | 2 | 125 | 119 |
| 3 | 38 | 39 | 3 | 31 | 32 |
| 4 | 42 | 38 | 4 | 31 | 27 |
| 5 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 9 | 9 |
| 6 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 0 |
| 8 or more | 8 - | 11 | 8 or more | 1 | 2 |

APPENDIX D
GRAPHS FOR SELECTED VARIABLES
GRADE LEVEL SEX ETHNICITY


## Senior/Sophomore Sex

Sophomore =0
Senior=*

$\Leftrightarrow$

Sophomore/Senior Ethnicity

$\rightarrow$

Sophomore/Senior Educational Aspiration and Expectation
Sophomore Aspiration $=0$
Expectation $\Rightarrow$
Senior Aspiration $=0$
125
Expectation $\Rightarrow$ ?
$9 \%$

Sophomore/Senior Military Expectation
Sophomore $=0{ }^{\circ}$
Senior= *






Male/Female Grade Classification


ERIC.

Male/Female Ethnicity
Male=0
Female=*



Male/Female Educational Aspiration and Expectation


Male/Female Military Desire
1
$\begin{aligned} \text { Male } & =0 \\ \text { Female } & =\text { * }\end{aligned}$


5

Male/Female Military Expectation
Male $=0$.
Female=*


Male/Female Mobility Aspiration and Expectation


Male/Female Educational Background of Parents

$11 i$

Male/Female Duncan Scale Occupational Categorization
Male Aspiration $=0$
Expectation $=\star$
Female Aspiration $=0$
Expectation $=\nabla$


Age by Ethnicity Anglo American=o Native American=* Mexican American=o
-





Educational Aspiration and Expectation by Ethnicity
Anglo American Aspiration $=0$
Expectation $=$ *
Native American Aspiration =。



[^3]= 1i"'
(


Educational Background of Parents by Ethinicity
Anglo American Father $=0$
Mother $=\star$
Native American Father $=\circ$
Mother $=0$
Mexican American Father $=\Delta$
Mother $=0$

-


Duncan Scale Occupaitonal Cafegorization by Ethnicity Anglo American Aspiration=0

Native, American Aspiration=。
Expectation $=\nabla$
Mexican American Aspiration= $\Delta$
Expectation= $=7$

APPENDIX E INTERVIEW SCHEDULE

January 29 - Gadsden High School Interviewers: Dr. Edington and Ms. Cachucha
February 3 - Los Lunas Interviewer: Dr. Edington
February 4 - Ruidoso Interviewer: Ms. Cachucha
February 5 - Tularosa Interviewer: Ms. Cachucha
February 5 - Jemez Springs Interviewer: Dr. Edington
February 12 - Magdalena Interviewer: Dr. Edington
February 13.- Laguna/Acoma Interviewer: Ms. Cachucha
February 14 - Bernalillo Interviewer: Ms. Cachucha
February 17 - Mountainair Interviewer: Dr: Edington
February 18 - Encino, Moriarty Interviewer: ' Dr. Edington
February 20 - Penasco Interviewer: Ms. Cachucha
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