

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 112 814

HE 006 913

AUTHOR Lenning, Oscar T.; And Others  
 TITLE The Many Faces of College Success and Their Nonintellective Correlates: The Published Literature Through the Decade of the Sixties. Monograph No. 15.  
 INSTITUTION American Coll. Testing Program, Iowa City, Iowa.  
 PUB DATE 74  
 NOTE 554p.  
 AVAILABLE FROM American College Testing Publications, P.O. Box 168, Iowa City, Iowa 52240 (\$3.00)

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.92 HC-\$28.56 Plus Postage  
 DESCRIPTORS Bibliographies; College Students; \*Educational Benefits; Educational Development; Elementary Schools; \*Evaluation Criteria; \*Higher Education; Human Development; Moral Development; Personality Development; Secondary Schools; Social Development; \*Social Influences; Student Development; \*Success Factors; Vocational Development

ABSTRACT

This document addresses itself to changing social conditions or, more specifically, to the conditions under which nonintellective success is defined by our society. In this document success is viewed as: (1) intellectual development; (2) personality development and adjustment; (3) motivational and aspirational development; (4) social development; (5) aesthetic-cultural development; (6) moral, philosophical, and religious development; and (7) other types of college success, including post-college success, development of student power, development in basic educational skills, benefits to society, and miscellaneous criteria. Great care was taken to make this literature coverage as complete as possible. However, this was not the primary purpose of the study. Some studies judged by the authors to be of lower quality than others are not annotated for reasons such as unique approach, stimulating and thought-provoking conclusions, experimentation with specific criteria or predictor measures formerly overlooked, results unlike those other similar studies, utilization of uncommon statistics, etc. Furthermore, relevant research at the elementary and secondary level was included in the review if it seemed to have definite applicability to college students. (Author/KE)

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished \*  
 \* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort \*  
 \* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal \*  
 \* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality \*  
 \* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available \*  
 \* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not \*  
 \* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions \*  
 \* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

ED112814

MONOGRAPH FIFTEEN

# FREEDMAN'S CONCEPT

## OF COLLEGE

## STUDY

## IN AMERICA

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPY-RIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*American College Testing Program*

TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION. FURTHER REPRODUCTION OUTSIDE THE ERIC SYSTEM REQUIRES PERMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT OWNER."

# AN ANTHROPOLOGICAL STUDY OF THE PUBLISHED LITERATURE THROUGH THE DECADE OF THE SIXTIES

BY: Oscar T. Lanning  
Leo A. Mumley

O. Bennett Johnson  
Allen R. Vander Wall

Eldon J. Brun

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,  
EDUCATION & WELFARE  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF  
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS AND SERVICES



The American College Testing Program (ACT) is dedicated to the enhancement of education. It was founded as a public trust and operates as a not-profit corporation governed by elected educational representatives from individual states or regions, and by a Board of Trustees.

A fundamental goal of ACT is to exercise educational leadership through guidance-oriented assessment and research services in order to (1) assist in the identification and solution of educational problems and (2) communicate to the general and professional publics knowledge and ideas about education.

The chief beneficiaries of ACT's services are students, secondary schools, institutions of postsecondary education, and educational researchers.

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 74-29029

© 1974 by The American College Testing Program

All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America

For additional copies write:

ACT Publications, P.O. Box 168, Iowa City, Iowa 52240  
(Check or money order must accompany request.)

Price \$3.00

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>Preface</b>	VII
<i>Chapter 1</i>	
<b>INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW</b>	1
College Success in Another Context	2
The Development of the Project	5
The Purposes of the Book	7
The Organization of the Book	8
<i>Chapter 2</i>	
<b>SUCCESS VIEWED AS INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT</b>	12
Development of an Intellectual Outlook and Attitudes	12
Development of Cognitive Creativity, Originality, Abstract Thinking, and Analytic Skills	18
<i>Chapter 3</i>	
<b>SUCCESS VIEWED AS PERSONALITY DEVELOPMENT AND ADJUSTMENT</b>	63
Development of Maturity, Responsibility, Autonomy, Flexibility and Other Personality Change	63
Development of Optimal Psychological and Physical Health	95
Development of Self-Confidence, Self-Acceptance, and an Appropriate Self-Concept	131
Adjustment to and Satisfaction with the Collegiate Environment	157
<i>Chapter 4</i>	
<b>SUCCESS VIEWED AS MOTIVATIONAL AND ASPIRATIONAL DEVELOPMENT</b>	205
Development of Self-Appraisal Habits, Realism, and Appropriate Aspirations	205
Development of Motivation to Succeed	231
Vocational Development	252
<i>Chapter 5</i>	
<b>SUCCESS VIEWED AS SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT</b>	287
Development of Social Awareness, Popularity, Social Skills, and Interpersonal Relationships	288
Development of Leadership Skills	319
Development of a Respect for Others and Their Views	336
Participation and/or Recognition in Extracurricular Activities	357

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	Page
<i>Chapter 6</i>	
<b>SUCCESS VIEWED AS AESTHETIC-CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT</b>	371
Development of Aesthetic and Cultural Interests, Appreciations, and Feelings	371
Development of Aesthetic Creativity and Artistic Skills	383
<i>Chapter 7</i>	
<b>SUCCESS VIEWED AS MORAL, PHILOSOPHICAL, AND RELIGIOUS DEVELOPMENT</b>	399
Development of Altruism, Humanism, Citizenship, and Moral Character	400
Development of Attitudes, Values, Beliefs, and a Particular Philosophy of Life	419
<i>Chapter 8</i>	
<b>OTHER TYPES OF COLLEGE SUCCESS</b>	477
Post-College Success	477
Development of Student Power	487
Development in Basic Educational Skills	497
Benefits to Society and Miscellaneous Criteria	501

## PREFACE

This is the second of two publications resulting from a mammoth project in which a thorough review was made of the published literature dealing with the numerous kinds of possible college outcomes, herein referred to as college success. The project lasted for a total of over five years, and it is probably as comprehensive an attempt at searching out the literature for a broad area as has ever been made in the annals of educational research.

The focus throughout the study was on nonintellective correlates of the various criteria of college success. In addition to the published studies dealing with each criterion including those for which it was only a peripheral concern, theoretical articles and books were also reviewed. Furthermore, relevant research at the elementary and secondary level was included in the review if it seemed to have definite applicability to college students.

While the first publication was a monograph that dealt with traditional academic criteria of college success (grades, persistence, and academic learning), the present volume is primarily concerned with the various possible nonacademic criteria of college success. It should be of interest to practitioners in the field of education as well as to educational researchers.

Acknowledgments are due to the junior authors of this publication. Leo A. Munday, Vice President of ACT's Research and Development Division, played a major role in the initial formulation of the study, provided continual encouragement and guidance throughout the entire project, and in other ways made important contributions to the study and the final draft of the manuscript. Three research assistants to the senior author also played major roles in the project which warranted their inclusion as authors of the manuscript: Eldon J. Brue served on the project during the winter and spring of 1969; Allen R. Vander Well served from August, 1969, through July, 1970; and O. Bernard Johnson served from October, 1969, through to the completion of the project. All three assistants made important contributions in the literature search and in writing annotations, were in charge of coordinating the study for extended periods of time because of other commitments faced by the senior author, and have reviewed the final draft for this book and made suggestions for revision. Therefore, they are also included as co-authors, with their names ordered according to the amount of time they spent with the project.

Sincere appreciation is also due to a number of other persons who helped with the project. Mrs. Barbara Davidson, formerly of ACT's Research Services Department, and work-study aides Miss Virginia LeSuer and Miss Jane Nodland spent many days helping search the literature. William C. Wellner, Assistant Professor of Education at the University of Illinois, with his graduate students in higher education also provided noteworthy assistance by reviewing a portion of the journal articles being surveyed. Miss Sandra

Schneider, Mrs. Patricia Mennenga, and Mrs. Sandra Vanderploeg (especially Mrs. Mennenga), as secretaries to the senior author during the life of the project, spent many hours typing manuscript drafts, proofing, editing, and checking in the libraries to make sure the entries were accurate. Thanks also go to E. James Maxey, Director of Research Services, ACT, for his support and suggestions and to Mrs. Elaine King, Mrs. Jane Lauer, and Mrs. Evelyn Bollinger for making form and editorial suggestions. In addition, appreciation is hereby extended to Mr. Richard L. Clemons for typing the final manuscript. Last, but certainly not least, thanks go to Mrs. Lorene Lenning, who spent hundreds of hours helping in the literature search, who helped edit the final copy, and who as a devoted wife and helpmate provided the strength and encouragement which allowed the senior author to endure through the entire five-year ordeal.

Acknowledgment and appreciation are also hereby extended to the members of ACPA Commission IX for initiating the study and to The American College Testing Program for co-sponsoring the project and for providing the major financial support needed.

O.T.L.

## INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

Before I had this teacher, I saw no importance or relevance between my life and the history of the world. He opened the door to me. He made me interested in a subject I had thought dead. For the first time in all my schooling, I actually wanted to learn more.

He taught what was in the curriculum but he also attempted to prepare us for the world outside school and home that we'll face when we are on our own.

She gave me the will to set high goals and then really strain to reach my goals. Besides all this, she helped me find values to guide my life.

Not only did they educate me academically, they taught me social awareness, how to get along with other people.

My best teacher showed me what maturity meant. He changed my entire way of thinking, from being a self-centered effete snob into a concerned member of the student body.

He got me to think. He showed me the world honestly. He showed me that something could be done to make the world better. He showed me I could help.

The above statements were made by particular college freshmen, each of whom had been asked to describe noteworthy characteristics of his or her best teacher(s) in high school.<sup>1</sup> Although the statements quoted pertain directly to the student's high school education, almost every college president would probably include each of the above types of impact on students as an important goal of his institution. Nevertheless, evaluations of a college's success in meeting such objectives have generally *not* been attempted.

<sup>1</sup>Gordon Sabine, *When you listen, this is what you can hear* (Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1971), pp. 74, 80, 90-91

This book will focus on the diversity of "college success" and on published research into "college success." As such, it has direct applicability to the concerns of college administrators, faculty, counselors, and research personnel.

How one person defines "success" may *not* mean "success" for another. And as implied by the quotations at the beginning of this chapter, this is certainly true of success in college. One student may merely wish to adjust to college and to persist through to graduation while another student would consider himself a failure if he did not graduate with honors. Different students may have as their primary criterion of success such different things as marriage, popularity, social mobility and status, preparing for a job, maturation, etc. In fact, most students probably perceive college success in terms of multiple goals and achievements depending on individual priorities.

Success in college can also be examined from the point of view of the college, of professional associations in education and the social and behavioral sciences, of interested persons such as parents, and of society in general. As with students, different segments of each of these groups define success according to differing criteria, depending on priorities.

- Most of the research in the literature has focused on various kinds of student development as criteria of college success. There were other criteria noted, however, such as the effect of our colleges on society's standard of living and quality of life. Even so, these criteria may be considered to be the ultimate result of overall student development exhibited by succeeding generations of millions of college students.

### College Success In Another Context

Much of the research reviewed in this book assumes many of the fundamentals of traditional Western ideology concerning social development. Here we are referring to a growth process or more precisely a metaphor of growth based on an analogy of change related to the growth manifested in an organism. This analogy is part of a much larger one in which society as a whole is seen as being organismic. It is a historical approach aimed at studying change over time. This is an old notion of development and assumes that we all go through distinct sequential stages in this developmental process. Traditionally, our goal has been to discover general principles of development.

Given the individual student, behavioral scientists assume not only change in time but also a special distinctive type of change. Development or growth is presumed to be the basic nature of man, and the idea is to make comparisons among the growth patterns of individuals. This sequential developmental process often requires channeling, and this is the role of our educational institutions.

Growth also implies many other attributes such as directionality, which means that growth is not a random process, but rather that it moves from one point in time to another. This movement is cumulative and at any given moment is the cumulative result of all that has been experienced in a given individual's life. Much research in the area of tests and measurement is designed to get at this process of developmental growth, and in many instances this process has been segmented into distinct stages or ability levels. Growth is assumed to be a lineal, cumulative developmental process.

Many sociologists believe that this particular model focuses on the wrong end of a much larger process and is also deeply entrenched in a logic that sets up a cause as the beginning and end of the same process. A possible alternative to the developmental model of psychology and the resulting direction which future research could take as a result will be discussed in this section.

This book addresses itself to changing social conditions or, more specifically, to the conditions under which nonintellectual success as defined by our society is possible. In this sense it is a book about excellence and the difficulties that we as a society encounter in our pursuit of this goal.

Success or excellence tends to be based on some societal measure of equality and inequality. As a society, we hold that men are not equal concerning innate ability or motivation, and it logically follows that men will not be equal in their achievements. Research in the general area of grades and persistence tends to support this contention. To balance this inequality, we also believe in equal opportunity. In theory this means that all members of our society have an equal chance to compete within the established framework of societal goals and rules. Such an orientation merely places before every member of our society the range of available opportunities. Naturally, this range is bounded by societal requirements that are subject to change over time. It would be extremely difficult to excel in the production and marketing of millstones today.

As a society, we also tend to stress individual or competitive performance—survival of the fittest, etc. So in a sense, our pursuit of success as a society represents a synthesis of opposing ideologies.

Traditional research has centered around this metaphor of developmental growth, given time constraints; and, as such, little or no emphasis is placed on changing cultural parameters. Such an orientation also makes it too easy to get bogged down in the search for an assumed cause and effect relationship.

In the alternative sociological perspective, the larger society is the desired goal. Competence is a prerequisite for participation and assumes mastery of

certain basic skills such as command of the language in written and spoken forms, etc. According to Inkeles,<sup>2</sup> competency

is the ability effectively to attain three sets of statuses: those which one's society will normally assign one, those in the repertoire of one's social system which one may appropriately aspire to, and those which one might reasonably invent or elaborate for oneself [p. 36].

-If we shift our emphasis away from this growth metaphor to the concept of competence, then the college experience can be put into a new perspective. Such an orientation focuses on an end product—the individual after he has been socialized—rather than on the formative or developmental process itself.

Using Inkeles' definition, competence refers to a set of alternative roles provided by a given society as well as the capacity to move to new roles, i.e., role manipulation. The college experience is part of a much larger process of socialization designed to produce competent people as defined by our society. Any given society is dependent on some degree of consensus as based on shared systems of symbols and norms acquired through socialization. New goals are constantly evolving; therefore, consensus is never complete.

Socialization implies conforming to the ways of a given society or particular group. This degree of consensus and goal attainment is achieved as individuals fit their behavior to the expectation of others and acknowledge to some extent the existence of social norms. However, diversity also exists—deviation from social norms—and this is a necessary condition for social change. Colleges as microcosms of the larger society can reflect the fact that man is, to a large degree, quite capable of evaluating alternatives, making choices from among alternatives, and perhaps even creating his own goals.

Socialization is a means by which social and cultural continuity are attained.<sup>3</sup> As a process it promotes the skills appropriate for participation in societal institutions. It promotes competence as an end product, and its effectiveness as a process is usually assessed by adult role performance.

This brings up the problem of differential socialization. Using command of language as an example, if mastery is inadequate, then full participation is impossible. Individuals in this category are then penalized by the sanction system due to an inability to communicate in an acceptable manner. Once again we are confronted with the fact that our pursuit of success as a society represents a synthesis of opposing ideologies.

<sup>2</sup>Alex Inkeles, "Social structure and the socialization of competence," *Harvard Educational Review*, 1966, 36.

<sup>3</sup>John A. Clausen (Ed.), *Socialization and society*, (Boston: Little Brown, & Co., 1968).

There is an overriding research question to which social and behavioral scientists should address themselves according to such a formulation. That question asks why certain people as a result of socialization are less competent and perform inadequately in a given social situation. Certain kinds of skills are required by a modern industrial society of a substantial number of its members. Without these skills, individuals shift into some form of dependency or deviance. Current research in areas such as anthropology and sociology as well as education has shown that these skills are unevenly distributed in our society. How do these differences come about? Is it the result of differential socialization practices and experiences?

### The Development of the Project

This book is the result of a project on college success inaugurated in 1967 by Commission IX of the American College Personnel Association. At that time the commission was entitled *Commission on Testing and Prediction of Academic Success*, but the name of the commission has since been changed to *Commission on Assessment for Student Development*. The name change reflects not only the change in the tenor of the times but also the developing focus of the college success project.

The commission, which was at that time under the chairmanship of Phelon J. Malout of the University of Utah, asked one of its members, Leo A. Munday of The American College Testing Program, to initiate development of an annotated bibliography on "nonintellective factors related to success in college." Dr. Munday and his research assistant at that time, the senior author of this book, developed a plan of action; and the project commenced in the fall of 1967.

Although it was assumed that the commission had been thinking strictly in terms of grades and persistence as criteria, it was felt that other types of college success were just as important and should also be explored. The commission agreed with this, so the initial phase of the project involved searching the *Psychological Abstracts* back ten years, through 1957. References to research articles dealing with nonintellective predictors and observed to have criterion variables that someone might consider as being "college success" were entered along with descriptive information onto specially prepared "journal article evaluation sheets." Over 2,000 references were identified, after which the sheets were sorted into criterion categories and then into subcategories. Therefore, the categories and subcategories for the classification of college success were, in a manner of speaking, empirically derived.

Once some college success categories and the foci of the study had been ascertained, a thorough search of the literature was initiated. Searches were made of the various indexes and published books of abstracts in education.

student personnel work, psychology, sociology, and medicine. Searches were also made of library card indexes, of *Books in Print*, and of references listed at the end of books and journal articles. Further references were found by paging through tables of contents and pages of volume after volume of journals available in the libraries of The University of Iowa and of The American College Testing Program. Interestingly, this latter method brought to light some of the most unique and creative studies that were found.

For references found, the following were to be summarized on the evaluation sheet: (a) the problem and goals of the study, (b) description and size of the sample, (c) instruments used, (d) research procedures, (e) unique features of the study, (f) criticisms of the study, (g) rating of overall impression of quality, and (h) results and conclusions. This information was used later to further refine the college success classifications initially derived and to select the studies to be annotated.

As time passed, the token funds provided for the project by the American College Personnel Association became depleted, and the project was still in its initial stages. Therefore, The American College Testing Program (ACT) took over sponsorship of the study and provided funds and personnel to assure its completion.

High priority projects in the senior author's normal workload plus other professional responsibilities necessitated some long interruptions in the course of the project. In addition, the turnover in personnel working on the project created further problems of continuity and uniformity, resulting in one completion deadline after another being passed without reaching the final goal. Originally the literature review was to cover only through 1967. Because of the long delays, however, it was later decided that the review should cover the published literature through to the end of the decade. The end of the decade of the sixties seemed a natural breaking point from which some future review could begin.

A large number of references originally gathered were later deleted for various reasons, many being judged as inappropriate for inclusion. Others were unpublished papers which probably cannot be readily obtained by most readers of this book and were thus excluded. The thinking was that the publications included should be available to be really useful and that the most important studies for which papers are read at conventions and other meetings would usually be reported in journals or other publications at a later date. Because of size considerations, it was also decided to limit the listings only to published literature.

The original intention was to provide one comprehensive and wide-ranging source book for persons interested in college success and its development. At a later date, however, it was decided to separate the materials into two different publications, one dealing with academic criteria of development and

the other with nonacademic criteria of development. The reasons for this decision were that (a) it became apparent that the book would be so large as to possibly make it economically infeasible for a publisher to publish it and (b) it seemed clear that a number of people are primarily interested in only one of these two broad areas and not in the other. The other book was completed at an earlier date than the current work and is entitled *Nonintellective Correlates of Grades, Persistence, and Academic Learning in College: The Published Literature Through the Decade of the Sixties*. (ACT Monograph No. 14, The American College Testing Program, 1974).

### The Purposes of the Book

Great care was taken to make the literature coverage as complete as humanly possible. However, although the attempt was made to be comprehensive, this was *not* the primary purpose of the study. With the extreme breadth and complexity of the subject matter under focus, the volume of literature available, and the changeovers in personnel working on the project, some important contributions in the literature were undoubtedly overlooked or misplaced along the way.

Concerning the summaries of selected literature, it should be kept in mind that their purpose was *not* always to point out noteworthy quality. Some studies judged by the authors to be of lower quality than others *not* annotated were annotated for reasons such as unique approach, stimulating and thought-provoking conclusions, experimentation with specific criteria or predictor measures formerly overlooked, results unlike those for other similar studies (for which there must be a reason), utilization of uncommon statistics, etc.

The primary purpose of this book is to give the reader a "feel" for the research that has been done and to arouse thought concerning college non-academic success. Many of the studies summarized in this book were found to be quite intriguing, and it is expected that the reader will be pleasantly stimulated by them. All kinds of issues are raised, commonly held assumptions are called into question, creative and unique approaches to research on college students are demonstrated, and exciting and/or untraveled research frontiers are pointed out. Numerous topics for future research on non-academic outcomes of higher education are suggested. It is hoped that this book will stimulate more research studies that are quality oriented, creative, and relevant to important and practical needs of students and society.

In addition to raising questions and to providing some new insights about college students and college effects, this book is intended to provide comprehensive lists of sources for each criterion area. These reference lists should prove to be a valuable aid for interested persons who wish to delve further into the subject. Some of the research results are open to various in-

terpretations, some of the studies have been replicated several times while others have never been replicated, and different studies considered in relation to one another can result in conclusions and insights not possible when the focus is on one study at a time.

By now it should be evident that educational researchers will be only one of a number of groups who should find the book useful. Interested practitioners such as college admissions officers, counselors, teaching faculty, and administrators should find it helpful; as should high school personnel such as guidance counselors and teachers who deal with college-bound students. The book may also be useful as a supplemental text in courses on college students, and graduate students interested in this area will find it of help in choosing a thesis topic and in planning their research designs.

### **The Organization of the Book**

Each of the following chapters in this book deals with criterion areas of college success. A chapter has as many as five and as few as two sections which cover related criterion areas. Every section of a chapter has an introduction which discusses the criteria in that area, followed by approximately 10 summaries of selected literary works in each area. The last chapter includes miscellaneous criteria and criterion areas for which little research literature was noted. Because of the lack of published research studies in most of those sections, plus the already great bulk of the book, selected summaries were not included in the last chapter.

A concerted attempt was made to keep the study summaries more than just annotations that list the results of a study. It was considered desirable to give the reader as much of a feel as possible for the total study being reviewed. Because of this, many of the summaries tend to seem much longer than typical annotations; but it is believed that the lack of brevity has resulted in a positive rather than a negative contribution.

Rather than going to a cross-reference system for articles assigned to more than one criterion category, multiple listings will be found in the book. This approach increased the length of the reference lists, but it was felt that this disadvantage was more than offset by the ease in usage that results when the reader has a complete listing of references for a given section.

Some articles have the criteria of that section only as a peripheral concern of the study. It was considered important to also include such articles because peripheral studies are often overlooked by researchers. Even though the study may have included the criterion of concern only as an aside, it does possibly add additional evidence to the literature on that topic. In addition, it

is possible that such a peripheral study may extend the findings of other studies (that focus on the predictor) to a different population of students. One advantage of a wide-ranging multifocus review of literature like this is the increased probability that such peripheral studies (which give no hint of the topic in their titles) will be found.

## • SUCCESS VIEWED AS INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT

The published studies dealing with intellectual development defined as "academic learning" or "acquisition of knowledge and understanding" have been reviewed in a monograph concerned with those traditional criteria of college success.<sup>1</sup> However, growth on the cognitive factors of originality, creativity, abstract thinking, and analytic skills could also be considered intellectual development. These criteria of development along with the development of intellectual attitudes and appreciations constitute the focus of this chapter.

The factors reviewed in this chapter may be less traditional criteria of college intellectual success than is "acquisition of knowledge and understanding," but in liberal education they have always been seen as having primary importance. In fact, some would consider "learning for learning's sake" and "knowing how to think and reason" to be much more important than the acquisition of knowledge and understanding. Certainly they are a prerequisite to real comprehension, application, analysis, and synthesis of academic knowledge, which are generally considered to be included in the term "academic learning."

### Development of an Intellectual Outlook and Attitudes

Almost all colleges presumably make efforts to stimulate their students' liking for the intellectual. This concept always has been central in liberal education and has been a major reason for the emergence of special curricular programs (e.g., Hutchins' Great Books Program) and the innovative "experimental colleges." In all of these efforts, environmental stimulation is considered to be a key to the development of intellectual interests and appreciations.

<sup>1</sup>O. T. Lenning, et al; *Nonintellective correlates of grades, persistence, and academic learning in college: The published literature* (ACT Monograph No. 14, Testing Program, Iowa City, Iowa: 1974.

Intellectuality has generally been considered an elitist concept. Intellectually oriented people often have seemed to lay people to look down on "others not so inclined," and the general public has tended to react by being suspicious of and rejecting intellectuality. The failures of higher education and/or the challenges to higher education in this area are outlined in Hofstadter's recent book (1969), on anti-intellectualism in American life.

Very little research in higher education has dealt with the impact of colleges, programs, and methodologies on intellectual outlooks and attitudes. Reasons for the apparent lack of interest in evaluating such impact are unclear. Perhaps persons interested in such outputs think it to be obvious from their observations and interview contacts that such impacts are occurring or are not occurring. On the other hand, people interested in such outputs may merely not have an evaluation and research orientation. Of course, it could also mean that they are thoroughly committed to such a goal and are afraid of what the research and evaluation results would show.

#### **Success Viewed as Development of an Intellectual Outlook and Attitudes: Selected Annotations**

**Block and Yuker** (1965) developed a brief scale to measure intellectual orientation among college students. The scale had previously been found to be highly correlated with a number of academic measures, such as grade point average, curricular major, and year in school. The goal of this study was to search for nonintellectual correlates of intellectualism as measured by the scale.

Significant relationships were found between scores on the scale and attitudes toward education, scores on the Allport-Vernon *Study of Values*, authoritarianism, ethnocentrism, patriotism, and measures of attitude change. Additional significant relationships were found with political affiliation, religious affiliation, and degree of religiousness.

**Brown** (1968b) attempted to determine the effects of having college dorm floors numerically dominated by students with similar academic majors and the effects of this type of program on the intellectual discussion held on the floors. He also attempted to find out whether an informal intellectual program can influence student attitudes and activities. The sample included 325 freshmen at a small private men's college in the Midwest who were homogeneous in age, sex, religion, geographic origin, socio-economic background, etc. Instruments used included the Thinking Introversion Scale and the Theoretical Orientation Scale of the *Omnibus Personality Inventory* (OPI) and a sociometric questionnaire.

Students were assigned to the dorm floors so that the ratio of humanities to science students was four to one on two floors with a reverse ratio for the two other floors. On two of the floors (one science dominated and one humanities dominated) an Enrichment Program of talks and discussion provided a format that allowed informal contact with faculty who participated as resource persons or discussion stimulators. Sessions were held in the lounges with refreshments served.

Three-factor analysis of covariance was employed for treatment of the OPI data, and chi-square analysis was employed for the questionnaire data. The analyses revealed that the dominance of vocational groups did have a significant impact on feelings about college major (as indicated by changes in major), satisfaction with college, and social interaction. College peer groups apparently can change group and individual attitudes, and friendship patterns among college students did tend to be influenced by the proximity of students with similar interests and aptitudes. However, the most significant findings had to do with the Enrichment Program. The Enrichment Program did have a definite effect on the intellectual attitudes of students. For a number of criteria, however, the program had a differential effect for science and humanities students. It would appear that an informal, intellectually-oriented residence hall program can have a real impact on students, a finding which suggests that the residence hall can be viewed as an educational unit as well as a living unit. The author thought that a possible reason for this finding is that students may be more open to new ideas in an informal setting close to their living quarters than they are in a formal classroom environment.

---

Brown (1968a) used 390 freshmen at a small liberal arts college to explore the relationship between the intellectual attitudes of college students, their participation in intellectual activities, and their academic achievement. Riésman and Jencks (1962), among others, has suggested that academic inquiry on campus can be distinguished from intellectual inquiry. Their contentions were that students pursuing academic interests are merely trying to increase their knowledge within a discipline, while those interested in intellectual inquiry focus on "growing in wisdom." In the one case a good GPA and meeting course requirements are the focus, while in the other case the focus is on broadening, understanding, and improving the ability to think.

Intercorrelations were computed for GPA; the four intellectual orientations scales of the *Omnibus Personality Inventory* (Thinking Introversion, Theoretical Orientation, Estheticism, and Complexity); and four intellectual scores on an activities check list (Intellectual Activities, Intellectual Magazines, Intellectual Bqoks, and Intellectual Discussion). Modest, but statistically significant, correlations were found between all of the intellectual orientation scales and the intellectual activity scales. Of all eight scales, scores on only the Theoretical Orientation attitude scale correlated significantly with first-year GPA.

( $r = .16$ ). The most successful students academically were more likely to be more rational than were the less successful students, but not more interested or active in cultural or intellectual pursuits.

Another finding of the study concerned the pattern of intercorrelation for the eight scales. The pattern suggested that an intellectual orientation was more likely to be reflected in reading interests than in activities such as attendance at plays, concerts, lectures, and intellectual discussions and "bull sessions." The author offered this pattern as support for the typical stereotype of the intellectual as being more reflective than he is active.

Campbell and Magill (1968) attempted to determine the relationship between Glock's religiosity dimensions and intellectuality for a 20% random sample of students at two Eastern Canadian universities—one with a majority of Protestant students and the other with a majority of Catholic students. Several earlier studies had presented a consistent picture of anti-intellectualism or lack of intellectual disposition among Catholics, characterized by authoritarianism, dogmatism, and dislike for ideas and critical thinking. The question was whether this anti-intellectualism was the result of religiosity or other factors such as economics.

Four of Glock's religiosity dimensions—ideological, ritualistic, experiential, and practical—were operationalized by developing a 15-question scale. The combination of scores for the four dimensions served as a composite index of religiosity. Religiosity scores were related to scores on three scales measuring intellectual orientation: Rokeach's Open and Closed Mind Scale, a specially prepared Intellectual Values Scale, and the Theoretical Value Scale of the Allport-Vernon-Lindzey *Study of Values*. The data revealed that there was a consistent inverse relationship between religiosity (the composite score or the score for any of the four dimensions) and all three indicators of intellectuality.

Davis (1963) compared differences in proportions of college seniors who endorsed intellectual values (true value climate) and proportions who perceived their classmates as having intellectual values (perceived value climate). A questionnaire was given to a sample of 33,982 graduating seniors at 135 American colleges and universities.

High quality, private, and small institutions had higher proportions of seniors endorsing intellectual values, while lower quality, public, and larger institutions had lower proportions. Students in technological schools were quite low in intellectual values. The perceived value climate was directly related to the true value climate. Students' perceptions of value climates were distorted to-

ward their own value positions, and students with high grades tended to give lower estimates of the intellectuality of their campuses than did students with lower grades. In terms of school characteristics, there was a regional difference in the proportion of colleges whose climates were seen by the seniors as more or less intellectual than the true value distributions predicted.

**Gottlieb** (1962) studied the influence of academic achievement as a mediating factor in the prediction of academic values and attitudes from social class. A total of 283 randomly selected freshman males at a large Midwestern state university and 115 freshman males at a smaller Midwestern private college constituted the sample. A questionnaire was used to gather data on experiences, attitudes, expectations, and values.

Since previous research had indicated that parental influences play a significant part in the lower-class child's educational and occupational aspirations, it was deemed surprising that only one-third of the lower-class students mentioned parents as influencing their decision to attend college. The fact that these lower-class students obtained encouragement from high school personnel and were in college despite limited parental support suggested that they were a highly selected group, and examination of their ability level in comparison to that of the other two social class groups confirmed this. A general finding was that where students most departed from expected patterns—lower-class high achievers and upper-class low achievers—the greatest support from teachers and guidance counselors occurred.

Concerning an Intellectual orientation, students from the lower class were more concerned with occupational training and desired professors (indicated on an ideal professor rating scale) who facilitated such goals. It was clear that a desire to move away from the father's occupational position is what moves such boys "along the academic path." This finding suggests that such basic needs must first be met before the college can hope to instill intellectual values, as opposed to academic values, in these students.

**Gottsdanker** (1968) used random methods to select 75 men and 75 women representative of high-ability freshman students (as measured by the *School and College Ability Tests*) and 75 men and 75 women representative of the total 1964 freshman-class at the University of California, Santa Barbara. The author wished to study the combined effects of sex and ability level on intellectual orientations and interests as measured by the *Omnibus Personality Inventory* (OPI).

When *t*-test and profile analyses of the OPI scale means were conducted, it was found that important differences existed for the two sexes, with women

alone accounting for combined-sex group differences between the two ability levels. Although the gifted men had slightly higher intellectual orientation score means than did the typical men, none of the mean differences was statistically significant. On the other hand, the gifted women had significantly greater interest than did the typical women in theoretical problems, independence, and self-initiated intellectual endeavors. When the two sexes were compared, it was found that men had a significantly greater desire for direct expression of their impulses than did women; while the women had significantly greater desires for harmonious and artistic modes of thinking than did the men.

One possible hypothesis of the findings is that gifted women have a weaker vocational commitment than lower-ability women, which allows for a more abstract and less practical orientation. Another possibility is that there are sex differences in the rate of development of academic interests. Furthermore, the high ability may have caused the high intellectual interests in the one group of women, the independence and intellectual interests may have resulted in the high ability, or the OPI may merely have an over-representation of the kinds of items which appeal to bright women.

---

Heist, McConnell, Matster, and Williams (1961) compared two groups of National Merit Scholarship winners and near-winners who were selected on the basis of attendance at educational institutions ranked as high or low in the production of future scholars and scientists. Students attending "low-productivity" colleges were drawn at random and paired with the students attending "high-productivity" colleges on the basis of *Scholastic Aptitude Test* scores. The resulting groups, matched on academic ability, each contained 50 males and 41 females.

Four hypotheses pertaining to expected personality differences between the matched groups were explored by means of the *Omnibus Personality Inventory*, the *Allport-Vernon-Lindzey Study of Values*, and the *Strong Vocational Interest Blank*. Differences between the two groups were examined using *t* tests. In general, the hypotheses were supported; and it was concluded that students of high ability attending highly productive institutions had a pattern of traits, values, and attitudes which was more closely related to serious intellectual pursuits than did students of high ability attending less productive institutions.

---

Stern (1963) made institutional and student comparisons between a group of 11 colleges having high intellectual climate scores on the *College Characteristics Index* (CCI) and a group of 11 colleges having low intellectual climate scores on the CCI. Group mean comparisons were made on CCI scores; Ac-

*tivities Index* (AI) scores; *Scholastic Aptitude Test* scores; and the college characteristics of size, sex ratio, location, administrative control, programs offered, faculty-student ratio, finances, and tuition.

Stern's data indicated that students at the high-climate colleges had greater orientation towards scholarship at college entrance than did students entering the low-climate colleges. Factors other than student input seemed to make a difference in the student intellectual orientation, however. Included were faculty factors such as the absence of staff preoccupation with student custodial care; faculty attitudes toward scholarship; the stress put on learning; the ability to motivate students; the respect for the students' integrity and efforts; personal contact with students; and encouragement of independence, self-confidence, and freedom of expression. Other important college factors noted were campus space (also dollars) per student, peer relationships, administrative control, curricular programs offered, and emphasis on the practical.

The study also explored differences in student characteristics between the group oriented toward scholarship and the low-orientation group. The high-intellectual-orientation students were more psychologically oriented, gave all of their energy to whatever they were doing, liked doing things according to their mood, disliked authority, and rejected all common forms of superstition. The lows liked competition; were more practical-oriented and worldly; felt closer personal ties with other students at their school; accepted authority from others and were eager to assume such authority themselves; and, on the whole, had dynamics similar to those of business executives.

The experience of the University of Houston (Zwicky, 1965) was contrary to findings of an earlier published study which had concluded that freshmen responded best to social or informational activities during orientation week and that "efforts to increase the academic intellectual emphasis of the program apparently had little effect on the freshmen." The Houston college officials thought that the earlier findings might no longer apply because there was no greater emphasis on intellectualism and intellectual endeavor in the high schools, with accelerated or major works courses common. In addition, the competition to get into college was now keener.

The theme for the orientation program was "What is happiness?" which involved questions such as "What is the meaning and purpose of my life?" and "How does education help one to live a better life?" etc. A 22-page booklet of readings about happiness, ranging from Tolstoy's happy man in the country to Skinner's well-adjusted member of a nearly automated society was sent to students during the summer; and they were invited to participate in the 2-day orientation program. Almost all full-time registrants, 2,200 compared to only 250 who had participated in the previous year's "Kitten

Kickoff" (a name suggestive of a fun-and-games atmosphere), participated in the sensory sessions, small group discussions, and receptions. Prior to the orientation, special instructional sessions were held for the faculty members who would be involved; and upper-class students were trained to be counselors.

Responses to a post-program survey questionnaire revealed that students considered the small group discussions especially valuable. The orientation program was the students' first glimpse of a college professor in his environment, and the students considered it to be "an exciting prelude to four years." The invitation to faculty participants had suggested that they "give direction and guidance in the light of their own interests, background, and opinions—letting the students see how an academician reacts to the issues brought up in the readings, giving the new college students some insights into the motives and ideals of faculty members, and welcoming them into the intellectual fraternity."

#### **Development of Cognitive Creativity, Originality, Abstract Thinking, and Analytic Skills**

The intellectual development expected of college students usually includes more than the acquisition of knowledge and understanding and the learning of principles. Most knowledge is soon forgotten unless it is continually used or periodically reinforced by some other means. Understanding and the learning of principles depend on the ability to reason things through and to think logically, and they are useless if they cannot be applied to new situations. Therefore, the development of thinking and analytic skills, the so-called "furniture of the mind" emphasized by long-time proponents of liberal education, are almost universally considered to be goals of a college education. However, some colleges emphasize these goals much more than others, especially when it comes to actual practice.

Among others, Bartlett (1958) has distinguished two types of thinking: closed-system thinking and adventurous thinking. Closed-system thinking involves the ability to manipulate data, and it proceeds according to set rules and conventions. The syllogism is the classic example of closed-system thinking. Most colleges would claim that they try to help their students improve in the ability to sort out proper stimuli, to perceive stimuli accurately, to think things through logically, and to arrive at a valid, well-thought out solution or judgment. It takes practice and training, even for adults, to keep from rationalizing and from being biased by emotions and/or semantic problems. Another term commonly used in educational circles is "critical thinking." Hultfish and Smith (1961) coined the term "reflective thinking" to describe the same process.

"Creative thinking" is another name for intellectual innovativeness or adventurous thinking. Such thinking is *not* guided by pre-established rules and

conventions. Over the years there has been controversy about whether or not creative thinking is a component of aptitude or intelligence. (Several research studies regarding that issue have been included in this section.) In addition, some people consider cognitive creativity to be synonymous with artistic creativity. Some of the same characteristics do seem to be involved. However, since numerous persons with acknowledged cognitive creativity do not demonstrate artistic creativity and vice versa, studies of artistic creativity are listed separately in Chapter 6.

What is creativity? Pietrasinski (1969) provided one possible definition:

Creativity is the antithesis of routine, of stereotyped and habitual imitation of existing patterns of performance. Creativity is the source of ideas and objects which are ever new. This does not mean that any product of the mind deserves to be labeled creative. If a child or an expert liar invents fancy stories, or a lunatic paints extravagant pictures, we will not recognize this as creative work. Why not? Because their products have no real, that is, no social value and their only function is to give personal satisfaction to their authors. By creativity we usually understand an activity resulting in new products of a definite social value [p. 114].

"New" can mean new to society's fund of knowledge; or it can merely mean new to the person conceiving the idea. For example, Ray (1967) uses the second meaning of "new" in defining "originality," usually considered either synonymous with or a component of creativity. ("Imagination" is another such component.) He states:

Critical thinking examines existing sets of ideas and conclusions. Original thinking produces new ideas. It should be understood that *new* means new to the thinker, whether or not someone else has already conceived that idea. Good students think about the material they read in textbooks, and if a student draws a conclusion from the material on one page, then reads the conclusion on the next pages, he is still to be credited with originality since he thought of the idea before he read it [p. 3].

Abstract thinking and theoretical thinking are also covered in this section. These thinking skills are supposedly emphasized in college examinations. They would seemingly include both creativity and reasoning abilities.

Few studies were found which specifically examined changes in analytical or abstract thinking. Studies using scores on end-of-course examinations as criteria were not included because such criteria cover more than those skills and may be based primarily on knowledge and recall. Furthermore, such studies were covered in the earlier monograph on the more traditional criteria.

Many studies were examined which explored creativity. Most of the research on creativity used elementary and secondary school students as subjects. It was felt that creativity at those levels was probably similar to creativity at the college level, and so those studies were also included in the reference list for this section.

**Success Viewed as Development of an Intellectual Outlook and Attitudes: Published Literature**

- Astin, A. W. An empirical characterization of higher education institutions. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1962, 53, 224-235. (a)
- Astin, A. W. "Productivity" of undergraduate institutions. *Science*, 1962, 136, 129-135. (b)
- Baird, L. L. A study of the role relations of graduate students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, 60, 15-21.
- Barron, F. Personality style and perceptual choice. *Journal of Personality*, 1952, 20, 385-401.
- Becker, H. S., Geer, B., & Hughes, E. C. *Making the grade: The academic side of college life*. New York: Wiley, 1968.
- Block, J. R., & Yuker, H. E. Correlates of an intellectual orientation among college students. *American Psychologist*, 1965, 20, 493.
- Brim, B. J. Attitude changes in teacher education students. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1966, 59, 441-445.
- Brown, R. D. An investigation of the relationship between the intellectual and the academic aspects of college life. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1968, 61, 439-441. (a)
- Brown, R. D. Manipulation of the environmental press in a college residence hall. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1968, 46, 555-560. (b)
- Brunson, M. A. Residence halls as centers of learning. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1963, 27, 32-36.
- Bugelski, R., & Lester, O. P. Changes in attitudes in a group of college students during their college course and after graduation. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1940, 12, 319-332.
- Bushnell, J. Student values: A summary of research and future problems. In M. Carpenter, (Ed.), *The larger learning: Teaching values to college students*. Dubuque, Iowa: Brown, 1960.
- Cameron, W. B., & Wheeler, R. H. Physical setting and intellectual climate. *School and Society*, 1961, 89, 75-78.
- Campbell, D. F., & Magill, D. W. Religious involvement and intellectuality among university students. *Sociological Analysis*, 1968, 29(2), 79-93.

- Capretta, P. J., et al. Some noncognitive characteristics of honors program candidates. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1963, **54**, 268-276.
- Centra, J. A. Student perceptions of residence hall environments: Living-learning vs. conventional units. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, **9**, 266-272.
- Chickering, A. W., McDowell, J., & Campagna, D. Institutional differences and student development. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, **60**, 315-326.
- Cirese, S. Assessment of intellectual change. In C. Fincher (Ed.), *Institutional research and academic outcome. Proceedings of the Eighth Annual Forum on Institutional Research, Sponsored by the Association for Institutional Research*, 1968.
- Clark, B. R., & Trow, M. The organizational context. In T. M. Newcomb & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Coelho, G. V., Hamburg, D. A., & Murphy, E. B. Coping strategies in a new learning environment: A study of American college freshmen. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1963, **9**, 433-443.
- Cohen, J. W. Changing attitudes of college students and teachers toward scholarship. *Journal of Medical Education*, 1963, **38**, 95-102.
- Cohen, J. W. (Ed.) *The superior student in American higher education*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1966.
- Cropley, A. J., & Field, T. W. Achievement in science and intellectual style. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1969, **53**, 132-135.
- Cross, K. P. *The junior college student: A research description*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1968.
- Currie, I. D., et al. Images of the professor and interest in the academic profession. *Sociology of Education*, 1966, **39**, 301-323.
- Davis, J. A. Intellectual climates in 135 American colleges and universities: A study in "social psychophysics." *Sociology of Education*, 1963, **37**, 110-128.
- Drabek, T. E. Student preferences in professor-student classroom role relations. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1966, **36**, 87-97.
- Dutton, E. Some relationships between self-reports of emotional and social

- behavior and measures of academic achievement, interest, and talent. *The 20th Yearbook of the National Council on Measurement in Education*. East Lansing: National Council on Measurement in Education, 1963.
- Eisenman, R. Complexity-simplicity preferences, involvement, and attitude change. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1968, 2, 128-132.
- Ekstein, R., & Motto, R. L. *From learning for love to love of learning: Essays on psychoanalysis and education*. New York: Brunner/Mazel, 1969.
- Feldman, K. A., & Newcomb, T. M. *The impact of college on students*. Vol. I. *An analysis of four decades of research*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969. (a)
- Feldman, K. A., & Newcomb, T. M. *The impact of college on students*. Vol. II. *Summary tables*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969. (b)
- Getzels, J. W., & Jackson, P. W. *Creativity and intelligence: Explorations with gifted students*. New York: Wiley, 1962.
- Gottlieb, D. Social class, achievement, and the college-going experience. *School Review*, 1962, 70, 273-286.
- Gottsdanker, J. S. Intellectual interest patterns of gifted college students. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, 28, 361-366.
- Greeley, A. M. *Religion and career: A study of college graduates*. New York: Sheed & Ward, 1963.
- Hajdã, J. Alienation and integration of student intellectuals. *American Sociological Review*, 1961, 26, 758-777.
- Halpern, G. *Development of an interest test: Academic interest measures*. Research Memorandum 66-13. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1966.
- Heist, P., et al. Personality and scholarship. *Science*, 1961, 133, 362-367.
- Hofstadter, R. *Anti-intellectualism in American life*. New York: Knopf, 1969.
- Ivey, A. E. A three year evaluation of a college freshman week program. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1963, 5, 113-118.
- Jones, R. L., & Diehl, T. H. Cognitive and noncognitive predictors of student performance on six instructional objectives. *American Psychologist*, 1964, 19, 461.

- Knapp, R. H., & Greenbaum, J. J. *The younger American scholar: His collegiate origins*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1953.
- Korn, H. A. Higher education programs and student development. *Review of Educational Research*, 1969, **39**, 155-171.
- Lehmann, I. J. Critical thinking ability, attitudes, and values among college students. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 1962, **13**, 376-385.
- Lehmann, I. J. Changes in critical thinking, attitudes, and values from freshman to senior years. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1963, **54**, 305-315.
- Lehmann, I. J., & Dressel, P. L. *Critical thinking, attitudes, and values in higher education*. (United States Office of Education, Cooperative Research Project 590, final report) East Lansing: Michigan State University, 1962.
- Leuba, C. A new look at curiosity and creativity. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1958, **29**, 132-140.
- Mager, R. F. *Developing attitude toward learning*. Palo Alto: Fearon, 1968.
- Matteson, R. W. Academic interests and associated factors. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1961, **9**, 227-229.
- Maw, W., & Maw, E. W. Establishing criterion groups for evaluating measures of curiosity. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1961, **29**, 299-305.
- McConnell, T. R. Approaches to the measurement of intellectual disposition. In E. F. Gardner (Chm.), *Proceedings of the 1962 Invitational Conference on Testing Problems*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1963.
- McNamara, R. J. Intellectual values: Campus and seminary. *Sociological Analysis*, 1964, **25**, 200-211.
- Mednick, S. A. The associative basis of the creative process. in M. T. Mednick & S. A. Mednick (Eds.), *Research in personality*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1963.
- Miller, N. Involvement and dogmatism as inhibitors of attitude change. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 1965, **1**, 121-132.
- Moustakas, C. E. *Creativity and conformity*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1967.
- Murphy, L. B., & Raushenbush, E. (Eds.) *Achievement in the college years: A record of intellectual and personal growth*. New York: Harper, 1960.

- Raushenbush, E. *The student and his studies*. Middletown, Conn.: Wesleyan University Press, 1964.
- Riesman, D. & Jencks, C. The viability of the American college. In N. Sanford (Ed.), *The American college: A psychological and social interpretation of the higher learning*. New York: Wiley, 1962.
- Riker, H. C. *College housing as learning centers*. Student Personnel Series No. 3. Washington: American College Personnel Association, 1965.
- Ripple, R. E., & May, F. B. Caution in comparing creativity and I. Q. *Psychological Reports*, 1962, **10**, 229-230.
- Schacter, S. Birth order, eminence and higher education. *American Sociological Review*, 1963, **28**, 757-768.
- Stern, G. G. Characteristics of the intellectual climate in college environments. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1963, **33**, 5-41.
- Stern, T. N. Coffee houses may stir intellectual activity. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1969, **17**, 169-170.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. College press and student achievement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1959, **50**, 183-191.
- Trent, J. W., Athey, I. J., & Craise, J. L. Technology, education, and human development. *Educational Records*, 1965, **46**, 93-103.
- Trent, J. W. & Golds, J. *Catholics in college: Religious commitment and the intellectual life*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1967.
- Tukey, R. S. Intellectually-oriented and socially-oriented superior college girls. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1964, **27**, 120-127.
- Van der Ryn, S., & Silverstein, M. *Dorms at Berkeley: An environmental analysis*. Berkeley: University of California, Center for Planning and Development Research, 1967.
- Warren, J. R., & Heist, P. A. Personality attributes of gifted college students. *Science*, 1960, **132**, 330-337.
- Webb, S. C. Changes in student personal qualities associated with change in intellectual abilities. *College and University*, 1966, **41**, 280-289.
- Wenkert, R., & Selvin, H. C. School spirit in the context of a liberal education. *Social Problems*, 1962, **10**, 156-158.

Wilson, E. K. The entering student: Attributes and agents of change. In T. M. Newcomb & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.

Zelan, J. Religious apostasy, higher education and occupational choice. *Sociology of Education*, 1968, 41, 370-379.

Zwicky, L. A successful intellectual orientation program. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1965, 6, 200-202.

### Success Viewed as Development of Cognitive Creativity, Originality, Abstract Thinking, and Analytic Skills: Selected Annotations

Bednar and Parker (1965) attempted to determine whether students enrolled in the honors program at Brigham Young University were significantly more creative than were similar students not enrolled in the program. The sample included 38 freshmen, 31 sophomores, and 20 juniors from the honors program; and they were matched with an equal number of students not enrolled in the honors program. The groups were matched on *American College Test* scores, year in college, sex, and college in which they were majoring. The criterion instruments were three of Guilford's creativity tests that measure four factors of creativity: Redefinition, Adaptive Flexibility, Spontaneous Flexibility, and Ideational Fluency.

The authors conducted two-way classification analyses of variance and concluded from the results that creativity did not seem to be associated with being enrolled in the honors program. When year-to-year creativity score mean comparisons were made, it was found that means for both groups decreased slightly, but not significantly, from one year to the next on all four creativity scales.

Dentler and Mackier (1964) conducted several studies to explore the effects of interpersonal relationships and personality traits on the development of original ideas. (Originality was only one of ten measures of creative thinking which they had obtained previously in experimenting with a group of 235 undergraduate students.) For the first study, 120 University of Kansas undergraduates with records of high academic achievement were administered Torrance's *Tin Can Uses Test* (a measure of originality) and the *L Test of Paranoid Anxiety or Pro-Tension*. Interpersonal style of the test administrator was the experimental variable. The administrator's social manner, tone of voice, gestures, and preliminary remarks about the study were varied systematically for the different groups of students.

Analysis of variance was used to make group comparisons, with sex and anxiety controlled for the analysis. Results were that those who scored low on paranoid-anxiety exhibited the greatest originality. In addition, the psychologically safe condition in which the test administrator was friendly and pleasant as he announced casually (but with conviction that he "knew" they would do well on the tests), produced three times as many original responses as three control conditions.

A second study by the authors compared social relationships with originality for a group of 37 high-achieving women in a cooperative-type dormitory. No relationships between a number of sociometric indicators and originality were noticed.

A third study involved correlating scores on three Cattell 16 *Personality Factor* (16 PF) scales and five *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory* (MMPI) scales with originality scores for 64 Dartmouth and Smith College undergraduates. Only two of the scales had significant correlations: Cattell's Bohemianism Scale (degree of conventionalism versus indifference to conventions in social relations) and his Radicalism Scale (personal disposition to accept or to take extreme positions).

---

**Eisenstadt** (1966) investigated the problem-solving ability of creative and noncreative college students. The sample included 231 liberal arts students at the City College of New York. In addition to a biographical questionnaire, the author also used Guilford's *Alternate Uses Test*, Guilford's *Consequences Test*, and an anagram test which determined a creative and a noncreative group. Rebus puzzles comprised the problem-solving task, and they were completed under neutral and threat conditions by students in four subgroups formed for the purpose of controlling sex and practice effects.

A three-dimensional analysis of variance was applied to the data. Students in the creative category were found to have greater ability to observe accurately as evidenced by faster solution time and increased number of solutions under an incomplete information condition. However, the results failed to confirm the hypothesis that creative individuals respond differently in a threat situation. Another significant finding was that creative students gave up more readily than did noncreative students on problems that they could not solve.

---

**Garwood** (1964) related personality factors to creativity for 36 male science majors chosen (using a creativity test battery) out of a population of 105 male science majors at three California colleges. Eighteen of the subjects were classified as having higher creativity and 18 as having lower creativity.

The *California Psychological Inventory (CPI)*, the *Thematic Apperception Test*, and the *Interpersonal Check List* were used as personality measures.

Among the statistical techniques used to compare the two groups were *t*-tests and analysis of variance. It was found that the high-creativity group scored lower than the low-creativity group on socialization, self-control, desire to make a good impression, identification with the mother, and affection. The high-creativity group scored higher than the low-creativity group on a composite measure of personality factors which predispose toward originality and on the CPI cognitive flexibility, dominance, sociability, social presence, and self-acceptance scales. In addition, the authors concluded there was "clear empirical evidence" that higher creativity is associated with a greater integration of nonconscious with conscious concepts.

Holland (1961b) attempted to test a number of hypotheses about variables often assumed to be associated with academic and creative achievement. The sample included 649 males and 345 females who were National Merit finalists; their parents also participated in the study. Instruments used included Gough's *Differential Reaction Schedule*, Barron's *Inventory of Personal Philosophy*, the *Mastery Scale*, the *Differed Gratification Scale*, the *Vocational Preference Inventory*, Ghiselli's *Self-Description Inventory*, and the *Creativity Activities Scale*. The mothers filled out the *Parental Attitude Research Inventory*, and the fathers gave information on family background and ranked nine goals and traits in the order in which they wanted their sons and daughters to possess them.

Creative performance was the criterion of concern here and consisted of a creative science achievement scale and a creative arts achievement scale. Correlational analyses suggested that creative performance at the high school level occurs more frequently among students who are independent, intellectual, expressive, asocial, and consciously original. The creative performers also tended to have higher aspirations for future achievement.

Lehmann (1963) studied changes occurring in college students' critical thinking ability, stereotypic attitudes, dogmatism, and values over a 4-year period, from the freshman through the senior year. A total of 1,051 college students were tested as freshmen and again as seniors using the *Inventory of Beliefs*, *Test of Critical Thinking*, *Differential Values Inventory*, *Rokeach Dogmatism Scale*, and an experience inventory specifically designed for the study.

Findings of the study were that stereotypic attitudes and unreceptivity to new ideas decreased. Students as seniors appeared to be more outerdirected than they were as freshmen. There was a significant improvement in critical thinking ability. These changes applied to both men and women, and inter-

views during the sophomore and junior years revealed that most of the change took place during freshman and sophomore years.

The author felt that since there was no noncollege control group for this study, it could not be claimed that these changes were related to the college education. However, the sophomores and juniors interviewed expressed the opinion that informal, nonacademic collegiate experiences such as friends, persons dated, "bull sessions," etc., did help induce the changes. They indicated that the formal academic experiences, such as the courses and instructors, did *not* help induce change until after they had entered their major.

---

Levy (1968) compared the effectiveness of various treatments in helping subjects learn to play the role of an original person. The hypothesis of the study was that originality is better conceived as a form of role-defined behavior than as a form of operant behavior as claimed by Maltzman (1960).

The sample for the study included 57 male and 15 female students enrolled in an introductory psychology course at Indiana University. Instruments used included Holtzman's *Ink Blots*; a word association list; and a set of six 7-point bipolar graphic rating scales designed to measure role perception (friendly-unfriendly, unimaginative-imaginative, adjusted-maladjusted, unoriginal-original, passive-active, and stable-unstable).

The experiment was labeled "Role Learning" on the subject sign-up sheets. After the first 25 words of the word-association list were administered to find the subjects' operant level, they were requested to take on another person's personality and to respond in everything like the person assigned. Then a 50-word training list was administered under treatment conditions: (a) the Reinforcement Group received oral reinforcement for responses made; (b) the Role-Model Group was presented a sample of the behavior representing the role members were to adopt—responses given by not more than one out of 500 persons in a previous study; (c) the Role Instruction Group received an oral description of the person members were to be, e.g., "enjoys doing things in a unique and novel way. . ."; (d) the members of the Reinforcement-Plus-Role-instruction Group, for which qualities of the role were emphasized, were *not* explicitly instructed to make original responses; (e) the Control Group, which was presented the 50-word list, received no special treatment or instructions.

All treatment groups gave significantly more original responses on a follow-up 25-word list than did the control group. Coordination of instructions with reinforcement (the fourth treatment group) was the most effective in increasing the rate of uncommon word associations. The results were interpreted as supporting the proposed conception of originality.

Mouw (1969) attempted to determine the effect of dogmatism as defined by Rokeach's scale on five levels of cognitive process as described in Bloom's book on a taxonomy of educational objectives (1956). The sample included 87 students at the University of South Dakota enrolled in a teacher-education program. Instruments used included the *American College Tests* (ACT), *Rokeach Dogmatism Scale*, and a taxonomy test developed by Kropp and Stoker. The students were split into two groups using median ACT score as the dividing point; and then each group was ranked according to dogmatism scores and split into three subgroups, each including 14 students. Five cognitive process criterion scores that had each been converted to T scores were available for every student: Knowledge, Comprehension, Applications, Analysis, and Synthesis.

Three-factor analysis of variance was conducted, with the three factors being aptitude (two levels), dogmatism (three levels), and cognitive process (five levels). Then direct comparisons were made between the high-dogmatic and low-dogmatic groups over the two levels of aptitude. These analyses suggested that dogmatism should be considered in the educational process, especially when the emphasis is on self-directed learning or problem solving. Students in the open-minded category according to Rokeach's scale tended to increase in mean performance as the tasks became more complex or autonomous. Close-minded students tended to decrease in mean performance as the task became more autonomous.

Nichols (1964) studied 278 students who had been National Merit Finalists and who took the *Graduate Record Examination* (GRE) a few months prior to graduation from 31 colleges to see what effects the colleges were having on GRE scores. The effects of 31 student-input characteristics on four different GRE scores (GRE Verbal, GRE Quantitative, GRE V+Q, and GRE V-Q) was partialled out using a sample of 265 students. Scores on 29 college characteristic variables were then assigned to the 278 students in the sample and multiple correlations computed for these variables with the GRE residual scores (GRE scores adjusted to cancel out the student characteristic effects) as criteria.

College characteristics did have an effect on GRE scores, but *not* the effect that had been expected. Variables such as faculty-student ratio, library books per student, the average ability level of the student body, and the affluence of the college were all found to be unrelated to the residual GRE scores. This suggests that a college which has more of the things thought to promote student learning does not necessarily have a greater effect on *both* GRE Verbal and GRE Quantitative scores. There was, however, a pronounced tendency for colleges to separate the GRE Verbal and GRE Quantitative scores, raising one while lowering the other; and this tendency held for even those variables that did not have a statistically significant effect.

Rather than affecting the overall level of intellectual functioning, the effect of college appeared to be one of directing the students' abilities into verbal or quantitative channels.

Concerning the significant relationships, Northeastern men's colleges tended to increase Verbal relative to Quantitative scores, while technical institutes and state universities tended to have just the opposite effect. The student's major field of study had similar effects, but most of the differences between colleges remained when field of major was controlled. Overall, the impact of the college on GRE scores was small compared with the impact of student characteristics present at college entrance.

---

A sample of 159 Amherst College freshmen were randomly selected by Ridley and Birney (1967) and assigned to experimental and control conditions for purposes of exploring the effects of training procedures on originality. Two subtests from Guilford's test battery on originality, the *Unusual Uses Test* (UUT) and the *Plot Titles Test* (PTT), served as the originality criteria for the study.

The first training procedure used was heuristics training, where a booklet of strategies (principles) thought to be helpful in thinking of unusual uses for things was read along with several examples for each strategy. After each strategy in the pamphlet was covered, the students were given two or three minutes to practice on their own. After the training period examples were requested from the group, then a short practice test was given. Then the UUT and PTT were administered, after which analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures on the data indicated that the training had a significant effect on both measures of originality. Follow-up work with five different students receiving extended heuristics training (rather than the short-term training done previously) suggested even more of a marked improvement on UUT scores.

Word-association training (WAT) was given to the other experimental groups. It was found that WAT did have an effect on both criterion measures, but that extended WAT did *not* lead to significant improvement over short-term WAT. In addition, students were later shown the heuristics books used by the other experimental group and asked if they had employed any of the strategies in completing the UUT and PTT. Their responses that they had done so conflicted with a stimulus-response interpretation and with Maltzman's hypothesis that WAT produces mediated generalization from uncommon responses in one hierarchy to those in another.

There were two experimental groups for each training procedure so that the effects of instructing the students to be original when they took the criterion instruments versus the effects of not so instructing the students could be studied. It was found that the instructions had a significant effect on UUT

scores but not on PTT scores for both training procedures. It was concluded that "a person can adjust his performance to be more original when it is clear that originality is called for."

Whittemore and Helmann (1966) were interested in exploring whether unstructured counseling or structured counseling using the Maltzman operant training technique could increase originality responses in college students. A total of 80 high-ability college students were given three paper and pencil creativity tests (*Minnesota Test of Creative Thinking*, *Consequences Test—Parts I-V*, and the *Anagrams Test*); and those 10 having the highest composite score plus those 10 having the lowest composite score were dropped from the sample. From the remaining 60 students, 3 groups of 10 students each were randomly selected. Then creativity averages for the three groups were checked to make sure the groups were equally creative. One group was subjected to "structured counseling using the Maltzman verbal reinforcement technique," a second group was assigned to have "unstructured counseling," and the students in the third group (control group) were asked to postpone their counseling for eight weeks.

Skilled counselors counseled students in the two experimental groups on their vocational, educational, or personal problems in seven weekly sessions. Then the students in all three groups were administered six measures of creativity in an assembly setting. One-way analysis of variance was conducted separately for each criterion measure to see if the group means differed. The structured group scored significantly higher than did the other two groups on the Maltzman Free Association Test and the Unusual Uses Subtest of the AC Test of Creative Ability (AC), but not on the AC Quantity subtest, the AC Uniqueness subtest, the Sounds and Images Test, or the Remote Associates Test. No statistically significant differences were found between the unstructured counseling group and the control group.

Windholz (1968) related temperament, interests, and values to creativity and intelligence for 222 undergraduate students enrolled in introductory psychology courses at the University of North Carolina at Charlotte. Scores on six divergent tests, semantic in content, were converted to *T* scores and then summed to give a creativity score for each student. Similarly, scores on six convergent tests, semantic in content, were converted to *T* scores and then summed to give an intelligence score for each student. The creativity score distribution and the intelligence score distribution were cut at their respective medians to give the following four groups of students: High Creativity and High Intelligence, High Creativity and Low Intelligence, Low Creativity and Low Intelligence, Low Creativity and High Intelligence.

The dependent variables for the study consisted of scores on the *Guilford-*

Zimmerman Temperament Survey, the Kuder Preference Record, and the Allport-Vernon-Lindzey Study of Values. A 2 x 2 analysis of variance design that allowed unequal numbers of cases in subclasses was used to make group comparisons on the 26 different traits of temperament, interest, and value. Higher intelligence was found to be associated with greater emotional stability, lack of hypersensitivity, and preferences for aesthetic (but not religious) experiences. Higher levels of creativity were related to higher levels of interpersonal relationship, literary and musical interest, and aesthetic experiences. Not a single significant interaction effect was found between creativity and intelligence for any temperament, interest, and value trait.

**Success Viewed as Development of Cognitive Creativity, Originality, Abstract Thinking and Analytic Skills: Published Literature**

Alamshah, W. H. The conditions for creativity. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1967, 1, 305-313.

Alker, H. A. Rationality and achievement: A comparison of the Atkinson-McClelland and Kogan-Wallach formulations. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 207-224.

Alker, H. A., Carlson, J. A., & Hermann, M. G. Multiple-choice questions and student characteristics. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, 60, 231-243.

Altus, W. D. Personality correlates of J-L variability on the ACE. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1952, 16, 284-291.

Altus, W. D. Personality correlates of verbal quantitative discrepancy scores on the Scholastic Aptitude Test. *Journal of Psychology*, 1959, 48, 219-225.

Altus, W. D. Questionnaire items and types of intelligence. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 1961, 98, 265-271.

Amram, F. M., & Williams, F. E. Creative thinking skills and personality traits: A study of their relationship among young adults. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1968, 31, 176-181.

Anderson, C. C. A cognitive theory of the nonintellective correlates of originality. *Behavioral Science*, 1966, 11, 284-294.

Anderson, C. C., & Cropley, A. J. Some correlates of originality. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 1966, 18, 218-227.

Anderson, H. H. (Ed.) *Creativity and its cultivation*. New York: Harper & Row, 1959. (a)

- Anderson, H. H. Creativity as personality development. In H. H. Anderson (Ed.), *Creativity and its cultivation*. New York: Harper & Row, 1959. (b)
- Andrews, F. M. Factors affecting the manifestation of creative ability by scientists. *Journal of Personality*, 1965, 33, 140-152.
- Arasteh, A. R. *Creativity in the life cycle*. Leiden, Netherlands: Brill, 1968. 2 vols.
- Aschner, M. J., & Bish, C. E. *Productive thinking in education*. Washington: National Education Association, 1968.
- Astin, A. W. Undergraduate achievement and institutional "excellence." *Science*, 1968, 161, 661-668.
- Ausubel, D. P., & Fitzgerald, D. Meaningful learning and retention: Intrapersonal cognitive variables. *Review of Educational Research*, 1961, 31, 500-510.
- Baldwin, A. L. The role of an "ability" construct in a theory of behavior. In D. C. McClelland et al., *Talent and society: New perspectives in the identification of talent*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1958.
- Barnard, J. D. The lecture-demonstration versus the problem-solving method of teaching a college science course. *Science Education*, 1942, 26, 121-132.
- Barron, F. Personality style and perceptual choice. *Journal of Personality*, 1952, 20, 385-401.
- Barron, F. Some relationships between originality and style of personality. *American Psychologist*, 1954, 9, 326.
- Barron, F. The disposition toward originality. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, 51, 478-485.
- Barron, F. Originality in relation to personality and intellect. *Journal of Personality*, 1957, 25, 730-742.
- Barron, F. The psychology of imagination. *Scientific American*, 1958, 199(3), 151-166.
- Barron, F. The psychology of creativity. In *New Directions in Psychology*, II. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1965.
- Barron, F. *Creativity and personal freedom*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1968.

Barron, F. X. *Creativity and psychological health; origins of personal vitality and creative freedom*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1963.

Barron, F. X. *Creative person and creative process*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1969.

Bartlett, F. C. *Thinking; an experimental and social study*. London: Allen & Unwin, 1958.

Barton, A. H. *Studying the effects of college education: A methodological examination of changing values in college*. New Haven: Hazen Foundation, 1959.

Bayley, N. Behavioral correlates of mental growth: Birth to thirty-six years. *American Psychologist*, 1968, 23, 1-17.

Bednar, R. L., & Parker, C. A. The creative development and growth of exceptional college students. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1965, 59, 133-136.

Belanger, M. Learning studies in science education. *Review of Educational Research*, 1969, 39, 377-395.

Bell, R. R., & Stub, H. R. (Eds.) *The sociology of education: A sourcebook*. (Rev. ed.) Homewood, Ill.: Dorsey Press, 1968.

Bennett, G. K., Doppelt, J. E., & Madans, A. B. What is creativity? *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1968, 2, 197-210.

Berlyne, D. E. *Structure and direction in thinking*. New York: Wiley, 1965.

Bernstein, B. Some sociological determinants of perception: An enquiry into sub-cultural differences. *British Journal of Sociology*, 1958, 9, 159-174.

Bieri, J. Cognitive complexity-simplicity and predictive behavior. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, 51, 263-268.

Birney, R. C., & Houston, J. P. The effects of creativity, norm distance, and instructions on social influence. *Journal of Personality*, 1961, 29, 294-302.

Blatt, S. J., Allison, J., & Feirstein, A. The capacity to cope with cognitive complexity. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 269-288.

Blatt, S. J., & Stein, M. I. Efficiency in problem solving. *Journal of Psychology*, 1959, 48, 193-213.

Bledsoe, J. C. A comparative study of values and critical thinking skills of a

- group of educational workers. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1955, **46**, 408-417.
- Bloom, B. S. *Stability and change in human characteristics*. New York: Wiley, 1964.
- Bloom, B. S., et al. Taxonomy of educational objectives. *Handbook I: Cognitive domain*. New York: David McKay, 1956.
- Bloom, B., & Broder, L. J. *Problem-solving processes of college students*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1959.
- Bloomberg, M. An inquiry into the relationship between field independence-dependence and creativity. *Journal of Psychology*, 1967, **67**, 127-140.
- Bouchard, T. J., Jr. Personality, problem-solving procedure, and performance in small groups. *Journal of Applied Psychology Monograph*, 1969, **53**(1, Pt. 2).
- Bowers, P. G. Effect of hypnosis and suggestions of reduced defensiveness on creativity test performance. *Journal of Personality*, 1967, **35**, 311-322.
- Brim, O. G., Jr., et al. *Personality and decision processes: Studies in the social psychology of thinking*. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1962.
- Bringmann, W. G. Re-examination of ethnocentrism and problem-solving rigidity. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, **20**, 1069-1070.
- Brown, G. I. A second study in the teaching of creativity. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1965, **35**, 39-54.
- Bruner, J. S. Goodnow, J. J., & Austin, G. A. *A study of thinking*. New York: Wiley, 1956.
- Buel, W. D. The validity of behavioral rating scale items for the assessment of individual creativity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1960, **44**, 407-412.
- Buel, W. D. Biographical data and the identification of creative research personnel. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1965, **49**, 318-321.
- Buel, W. D., Albright, L. E., & Glennon, J. R. A note on the generality and cross-validity of personal history for identifying creative research scientists. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1966, **50**, 217-219.
- Buel, W. D., & Baehner, V. M. The assessment of creativity in a research setting. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1961, **45**, 353-358.

- Burgess, M., & Hokanson, J. E. Effects of increased heart rate on intellectual performance. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, **68**, 85-91.
- Burkhart, R. C. The creativity-personality continuum based on spontaneity and deliberateness in art. *Studies in Art Education*, 1960, **2**(1), 43-65.
- Burton, W. H., et al. *Education for effective thinking*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1960.
- Butts, D. P. The relationship of problem-solving ability and science knowledge. *Science Education*, 1965, **49**, 138-146.
- Carlsmith, L. Effect of early father absence on scholastic aptitude. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1964, **34**, 3-21.
- Carlson, J. S., & Ryan, F. L. Levels of cognitive functioning as related to anxiety. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1969, **37**(4), 17-20.
- Caron, A. J., Unger, S. M., & Parloff, M. B. A test of Maltzman's theory of originality training. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 1963, **1**, 436-442.
- Carpenter, B., Weiner, M., & Carpenter, S. T. Predictability of perceptual defense behavior. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1956, **52**, 380-383.
- Cashdan, S. An interactional model of creativity: Considerations in future-research with children. *Educational Sciences*, 1967, **1**, 163-169.
- Cashdan, S., & Welsh, G. S. Personality correlates of creative potential in talented high school students. *Journal of Personality*, 1966, **34**, 445-455.
- Cattell, R. B., & Butcher, H. J. *The prediction of achievement and creativity*. Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill, 1968.
- Centra, J. A. Student perceptions of residence hall environments: Living-learning, vs. conventional units. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, **9**, 266-272.
- Centra, J. A., Linn, R. L., & Parry, M. E. *Academic growth in predominantly Negro and predominantly white colleges*. Research Bulletin RB-69-39. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1969.
- Chambers, J. A. Relating personality and biographical factors to scientific creativity. *Psychological Monographs*, 1964, **78**(7, Whole No. 584).

Chambers, J. A. Beginning a multidimensional theory of creativity. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 779-799.

Chickering, A. W. Curriculum, teaching, and evaluation—Implications for student mental health development, and counseling. *NASPA Journal*, 1967, 5, 167-174. (a)

Chickering, A. W. Institutional objectives and student development in college. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 1967, 3, 287-304. (b)

Chickering, A. W. *Education and identity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969.

Christensen, P. R., Guilford, J. P., & Wilson, R. C. Relations of creative responses to working time and instructions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 1957, 53, 82-88.

Clark, W. H. A study of some of the factors leading to achievement and creativity, with special reference to religious skepticism and belief. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1955, 41, 57-69.

Cline, V. B., Tucker, M. F., & Anderson, D. R. Psychology of the scientist: XX. Cross-validation of biographical information predictor keys across diverse samples of scientists. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 19, 951-954.

Coelho, G. V., Hamburg, D. A., & Murphy, E. B. Coping strategies in a new learning environment: A study of American college freshmen. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1963, 9, 433-443.

Coffman, W. E., & Parry, M. E. Effects of an accelerated reading course on SAT-V scores. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 46, 292-296.

Cohen, J. W. (Ed.) *The superior student in American higher education*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1966.

Coleman, R. Can creativity be predicted? *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 831-834.

Colgrove, M. A. Stimulating creative problem solving: Innovative set. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 22, 1205-1211.

Corman, B. R. Learning: II. Problem solving and related topics. *Review of Educational Research*, 1958, 28, 459-467.

Cronbach, L. J. Intelligence? Creativity? A parsimonious reinterpretation of the Wallach-Kogan data. *American Educational Research Journal*, 1968, 5, 491-511.

- Cropley, A. J. *Creativity*. London: Longmans, 1967.
- Cropley, A. J., & Field, T. W. Achievement in science and intellectual style. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1969, **53**, 132-135.
- Crosby, A. *Creativity and performance in industrial organization*. London: Tavistock, 1968.
- Crutchfield, R. S. Conformity and creative thinking. In H. E. Gruber, G. Terrell & M. Wertheimer (Eds.), *Contemporary approaches to creative thinking: A symposium held at the University of Colorado*. New York: Atherton, 1963.
- Darley, J. M. Fear and social comparison as determinants of conformity behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, **4**, 73-78.
- Datta, L. Family religious background and early scientific creativity. *American Sociological Review*, 1967, **32**, 626-635.
- Dauw, D. C. Life experiences of original thinkers and good elaborators. *Exceptional Children*, 1966, **32**, 433-440.
- Dauw, D. C. Creativity research on actuaries. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1968, **2**, 274-280.
- Davis, G. A., & Roweton, W. E. Using idea checklists with college students: Overcoming resistance. *Journal of Psychology*, 1968, **70**, 221-226.
- DeHaan, R. F., & Havighurst, R. J. *Educating gifted children*. (Rev. ed.) Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1961.
- De Mille, R. The creativity boom. *Teachers College Record*, 1963, **65**, 199-209.
- Denise, T. C., & Burns, H. W. Knowledge and creativity. *Proceedings of the 1962 Invitational Conference on Testing Problems*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1963.
- Dentler, R. A., & Mackler, B. Originality: Some social and personal determinants. *Behavioral Science*, 1964, **9**, 1-7.
- Deutsch, M., Katz, I., & Jensen, A. R. (Eds.) *Social class, race, and psychological development*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1968.
- Domino, G. Maternal personality correlates of sons' creativity. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1969, **33**, 180-183.

- Doty, B. A., & Doty, L. A. Programmed instructional effectiveness in relation to certain student characteristics. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1964, **55**, 334-338.
- Dressel, P. L., & Lehmann, I. J. The impact of higher education on student attitudes, values, and critical thinking abilities. *Educational Record*, 1965, **46**, 248-258.
- Dressel, P. L., & Mayhew, L. B. *Critical thinking in social science*. Dubuque: Brown, 1954.
- Drevdahl, J. E. Factors of importance for creativity. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1956, **12**, 21-26.
- Drevdahl, J. E., & Cattell, R. B. Personality and creativity in artists and writers. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1958, **14**, 107-111.
- Drews, E. M. Helping students to think critically. In R. M. Cooper (Ed.), *The two ends of the log: Learning and teaching in today's college*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1958.
- Drews, E. M. The development of talent. *Teachers College Record*, 1963, **65**, 210-219.
- Drews, E. M. Creative potential. In R. Dusenberry (Ed.), *Toward the 21st century in higher education*. Corvallis: Oregon State University Press, 1967.
- Dreyer, A. S., & Wells, M. B. Parental values, parental control, and creativity in young children. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 1966, **28**, 83-88.
- Edwards, M. O. Fostering creativity in a liberal arts college. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1965, **13**, 216-219.
- Eisenman, R. Components of creativity, verbal conditioning, and risk taking. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, **29**, 687-700. (a)
- Eisenman, R. Creativity and academic major: Business versus English majors. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1969, **53**, 392-395. (b)
- Eisenman, R. Creativity, awareness, and liking. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1969, **33**, 157-160. (c)
- Eisenman, R., & Robinson, N. Complexity-simplicity, creativity, intelligence, and other correlates. *Journal of Psychology*, 1967, **67**, 331-334.
- Eisenstadt, J. M. Problem-solving ability of creative and noncreative college students. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1966, **30**, 81-83.

- Elkind, D. Quantity conceptions in college students. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1962, **57**, 459-465.
- Elkind, D. Egocentrism in adolescence. *Child Development*, 1967, **38**, 1025-1034. Reprinted in R. E. Grinder (Ed.), *Studies in adolescence: A book of readings in adolescent development*, (2nd ed.) London: Collier-Macmillan, 1969.
- Ericksen, S. C. Studies in the abstraction process. *Psychological Monographs*, 1962, **76**(18, Whole No. 537).
- Fee, F. An alternative to Ward's factor analysis of Wallach and Kogan's "creativity" correlations. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1968, **38**, 319-321.
- Feirstein, A. Personality correlates of tolerance for unrealistic experiences. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1967, **31**, 387-395.
- Feldman, K. A., & Newcomb, T. M. *The impact of college on students*. Vol. I. *An analysis of four decades of research*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969. (a)
- Feldman, K. A., & Newcomb, T. M. *The impact of college on students*. Vol. II. *Summary tables*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969. (b)
- Feldman, S. (Ed.) *Cognitive consistency: Motivational antecedents and behavioral consequents*. New York: Academic Press, 1966.
- Fillenbaum, S., & Jackman, A. Dogmatism and anxiety in relation to problem solving: An extension of Rokeach's results. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, **63**, 212-214.
- Fisher, M. B., & Noble, J. L. *College education as personal development*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1960.
- Flanagan, M. L., & Gallup, H. F. Creativity training. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, **21**, 934.
- Floyd, W. A. A new approach to measuring the developing personality configuration of college students. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1963, **5**, 93-96.
- Frandsen, A. N., & Holder, J. R. Spatial visualization in solving complex verbal problems. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, **73**, 229-233.
- Freeberg, N. E. The Biographical Information Blank as a predictor of student achievement: A review. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, **20**, 911-925.

- Freedman, J. L. Increasing creativity by free-association training. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 1965, **69**, 89-91.
- Freedman, M. B. *Impact of college*. Washington: United States Government Printing Office, 1966.
- Freeman, J., Butcher, H. J., & Christie, T. *Creativity: A selective review of research*. London: Society for Research into Higher Education, 1968.
- Frick, J. W., et al. A factor-analytic study of flexibility in thinking. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1959, **19**, 469-496.
- Gallup, H. F. Originality in free and controlled association responses. *Psychological Reports*, 1963, **13**, 923-929.
- Gardner, R. W. Cognitive styles in categories behavior. *Journal of Personality*, 1953, **22**, 214-233.
- Gardner, R. W., et al. Cognitive control: A study of individual consistencies in cognitive behavior. *Psychological Issues*, 1959, **1**(4). (a)
- Gardner, R. W., et al. *Cognitive control: A study of individual consistencies in cognitive behavior*. New York: International Universities Press, 1959. (b)
- Gardner, R. W., Jackson, D. N., & Messick, S. J. Personality organization in cognitive controls and intellectual abilities. *Psychological Issues*, 1960, **2**(8). (a)
- Gardner, R. W., Jackson, D. N., & Messick, S. J. *Personality organization in cognitive controls and intellectual abilities*. New York: International Universities Press, 1960. (b)
- Gardner, R. W., & Schoen, R. A. Differentiation and abstraction in concept formation. *Psychological Monographs*, 1962, **76**(41, Whole No. 560).
- Garfield, S. J., Cohen, H. A., & Roth, R. M. Creativity and mental health. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1969, **63**, 147-149.
- Garwood, D. S. Personality factors related to creativity in young scientists. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, **68**, 413-419.
- Getzels, J. W., & Jackson, P. W. Family environment and cognitive style: A study of the sources of highly intelligent and of highly creative adolescents. *American Sociological Review*, 1961, **26**, 351-359.
- Getzels, J. W., & Jackson, P. W. *Creativity and intelligence: Explorations with gifted students*. New York: Wiley, 1962.

- Gibson, J. W., Kibler, R. J., & Barker, L. L. Some relationships between selected creativity and critical thinking measures. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 23, 707-714.
- Ginsburg, G. P., & Whittemore, R. G. Creativity and verbal ability: A direct examination of their relationships. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1968, 38, 133-139.
- Givens, P. R. Identifying and encouraging creative processes. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1962, 33, 295-301.
- Gleason, G. T. (Ed.) *The theory and nature of independent learning*. Scranton, Penn.: International Textbook Co., 1967.
- Golann, S. E. Psychological study of creativity. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1963, 60, 548-565.
- Goldstein, H. The biochemical variability of the individual in relation to personality and intelligence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 1935, 18, 348-371.
- Gorman, B. S., & Breskin, S. Non-verbal rigidity, creativity, and problem solving. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, 29, 715-718.
- Gowan, J. C. *Annotated bibliography on creativity and giftedness*. (United States Office of Education, Cooperative Research Contract OE-S-061-64) Northridge, Calif.: San Fernando Valley State College Foundation, 1965.
- Gowan, J. C., Demos, G. G., & Torrance, E. P. *Creativity: Its educational implications*. New York: Wiley, 1967.
- Graham, W. R. Creative and constructive idea men and their participation in activities. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1965, 72, 383-391.
- Graves, G. O., Ingersoll, R. W., & Evans, L. R. The creative medical student: A descriptive study. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1967, 1, 371-382.
- Greeley, A. M. Influence of the "religious factor" on career plans and occupational values of college graduates. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1963, 68, 658-671.
- Greenbaum, C. W. Effect of situational and personality variables on improvisation and attitude change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 4, 260-269.
- Gruber, H. E., Terrell, G., & Wertheimer, M. (Eds.) *Contemporary approaches to creative thinking: A symposium held at the University of Colorado*. New York: Atherton, 1963.

- Guilford, J. P. Creativity. *American Psychologist*, 1950, 5, 444-454.
- Guilford, J. P. The structure of intellect. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1956, 53, 267-293.
- Guilford, J. P. Creative abilities in the arts. *Psychological Review*, 1957, 64, 110-118.
- Guilford, J. P. Traits of creativity. In H. H. Anderson (Ed.), *Creativity and its cultivation*. New York: Harper & Row, 1959.
- Guilford, J. P. Factors that aid and hinder creativity. *Teachers College Record*, 1962, 63, 380-392.
- Guilford, J. P. Potentiality for creativity and its measurement. *Proceedings of the 1962 Invitational Conference on Testing Problems*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1963.
- Guilford, J. P. *Intelligence, creativity, and their educational implications*. San Diego: Knapp, 1968.
- Guthrie, J. T. Expository instruction versus a discovery method. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, 58, 45-49.
- Gutman, H. The biological roots of creativity. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1961, 64, 417-458.
- Haefele, J. W. *Creativity and innovation*. New York: Reinhold, 1962.
- Haggard, E. A. Personality dynamics and intellectual achievement. In L. B. Murphy & E. Raushenbush (Eds.), *Achievement in the college years: A record of intellectual and personal growth*. New York: Harper, 1960.
- Hall, W. B., & MacKinnon, D. W. Personality inventory correlates of creativity among architects. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1969, 53, 322-326.
- Hallman, R. J. The necessary and sufficient conditions of creativity. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, 1963, 3(1), 14-27.
- Harlow, H. F., Miller, J. G., & Newcomb, T. M. Identifying creative talent in psychology. *American Psychologist*, 1962, 17, 679-683.
- Harper, R. J., et al. *The cognitive processes: Readings*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1964.
- Harris, B. M. Admissions screening with leaderless group discussions. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1964, 6, 38-41.

- Harris, D. The development and validation of a test of creativity in engineering. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1960, 44, 254-257.
- Hart, H. H. The integrative function in creativity. *Psychiatric Quarterly*, 1950, 24, 1-16.
- Harvey, O. J. (Ed.) *Experience, structure and adaptability*. New York: Springer, 1966.
- Harvey, O. J., Hunt, D. E., & Schroder, H. M. *Conceptual systems and personality organization*. New York: Wiley, 1961.
- Hasan, P., & Butcher, H. J. Creativity and intelligence: A partial replication with Scottish children of Getzels and Jackson's study. *British Journal of Psychology*, 1966, 57, 129-136.
- Hassenger, R. (Ed.) *The shape of Catholic higher education*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1967.
- Haven, G. A., Jr. Creative thought, productivity, and the self-concept. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 16, 750-752.
- Heath, D. H. *Growing up in college: Liberal education and maturity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.
- Heist, P. (Ed.) *Education for creativity—A modern myth?* Berkeley: University of California, Center for Research and Development in Higher Education, 1967.
- Heist, P. (Ed.) *The creative college student: An unmet challenge*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968. (a)
- Heist, P. Creative students: College transients. In P. Heist (Ed.), *The creative college student: An unmet challenge*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968. (b)
- Helson, R. Childhood interest clusters related to creativity in women. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1965, 29, 352-361.
- Helson, R. Narrowness in creative women. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 19, 618. (a)
- Helson, R. Personality of women with imaginative and artistic interests: The role of masculinity, originality, and other characteristics in their creativity. *Journal of Personality*, 1966, 34, 1-25. (b)
- Helson, R. Personality characteristics and developmental history of creative college women. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1967, 76, 205-256. (a)

- Helson, R. Sex differences in creative style. *Journal of Personality*, 1967, **35**, 214-233. (b)
- Helson, R. Effects of sibling characteristics and parental values on creative interest and achievement. *Journal of Personality*, 1968, **36**, 589-607. (a)
- Helson, R. Generality of sex differences in creative style. *Journal of Personality*, 1968, **36**, 33-48. (b)
- Henkel, E. T. Undergraduate physics instruction and critical thinking ability. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 1967, **5**, 89-94.
- Heston, J. C. Measuring some effects of a "basic ideas" program. In G. N. Drewry (Ed.), *The instructional process and institutional research. Proceedings of the Seventh Annual Forum of the Association for Institutional Research*, 1967.
- Higgins, J. A further study of correlates of the Remote Associates Test of creativity. *Psychology; a Journal of Human Behavior*, 1966, **3**(1), 18-20.
- Higgins, J. & Dolby, L. L. Creativity and mediated association: A construct validation study of the RAT. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1967, **27**, 1011-1014.
- Hinton, B. L. Environmental frustration and creative problem solving. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1968, **52**, 211-217.
- Hitt, W. D. Toward a two-factor theory of creativity. *Psychological Record*, 1965, **15**, 127-132.
- Hitt, W. D., & Stock, J. R. The relation between psychological characteristics and creative behavior. *Psychological Record*, 1965, **15**, 133-140.
- Holland, J. L. Some limitations of teacher ratings as predictors of creativity. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1959, **50**, 219-223.
- Holland, J. L. Achievement syndromes among high aptitude students. *Psychological Reports*, 1961, **8**, 384. (a)
- Holland, J. L. Creative and academic performance among talented adolescents. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1961, **52**, 136-147. (b)
- Holland, J. L., & Astin, A. W. The prediction of the academic, artistic, scientific, and social achievement of undergraduates of superior scholastic aptitude. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1962, **53**, 132-143.
- Holland, J. L., & Baird, L. L. The preconscious activity scale: The development and validation of an originality measure. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1968, **2**, 217-225.

Holland, J. L., & Nichols, R. C. Prediction of academic and extracurricular achievement in college. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1964, 55, 55-65.

Horowitz, H. The effect of interpersonal focusing on imitation and opposition learning. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1969, 77, 55-67.

Houston, J. P., & Mednick, S. A. Creativity and the need for novelty. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, 66, 137-141.

Hoyt, D. P. The impact of student personnel work on student development. *NASPA Journal*, 1968, 5, 269-275.

Hughes, H. K. The enhancement of creativity. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1969, 3, 73-83.

Hullfish, H. G., & Smith, P. G. *Reflective thinking: The method of education*. New York: Dodd, Mead, 1961.

Hunt, E. L. *The revolt of the college intellectual*. New York: Human Relations Aids, 1963.

Hurlbut, Z. D. Some factors which influence a selected group of college freshmen choose scientific hypotheses. *Science Education*, 1962, 46, 358-367.

Hyman, R. *Creativity and the prepared mind*. Research Monograph No. 1. Washington: National Art Education Association, 1965.

Israeli, N. Set theory models for research concerning creative thinking and imagination. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1959, 60, 63-96. (a)

Israeli, N. Set theory models for research concerning criticism and appreciation. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1959, 60, 97-120. (b)

Jackson, P. W., & Messick, S. J. The person, the product, and the response: Conceptual problems in the assessment of creativity. *Journal of Personality*, 1965, 33, 309-329.

Jackson, P. W., & Messick, S. J. Creativity. In *Foundations of abnormal psychology*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1968.

Jacobsen, T. L., & Asher, J. J. Validity of the Concept Constancy measure of creative problem solving. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1963, 68, 9-19.

Joesting, J., & Joesting, R. Torrance's Creative Motivation Inventory and its relationship to several personality variables. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 24, 30.

- John, E. R. Contributions to the study of the problem-solving process. *Psychological Monographs*, 1957, 71(18, Whole No. 447).
- Jones, F. E. Predictor variables for creativity in industrial science. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1964, 48, 134-136.
- Karlins, M. Some empirical support for an exploration stage in the creative process. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1968, 2, 256-262.
- Karlins, M., Schuerhoff, C., & Kaplan, M. Some factors related to architectural creativity in graduating architecture students. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1969, 81, 203-215.
- Kettner, N. W., Guilford, J. P., & Christensen, P. R. A factor-analytic study across the domains of reasoning, creativity, and evaluation. *Psychological Monographs*, 1959, 73(9, Whole No. 479).
- Klein, P., & Kellner, H. Creativity in a two-choice probability situation. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1967, 76, 193-200.
- Klein, S. P. Creativity tests: What do they really measure? *Journal of the National Art Education Association*, 1967, 20, 23-24.
- Klejnuntz, B. (Ed.) *Problem solving: Research, method and theory*. New York: Wiley, 1966.
- Knapp, R. H., & Goodrich, H. B. *Origins of American scientists*. New York: Russel & Russel, 1967.
- Knapp, R. H., & Greenbaum, J. J. *The younger American scholar: His collegiate origins*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1953.
- Kneller, G. F. *The art and science of creativity*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1966.
- Korn, H. A. Higher education programs and student development. *Review of Educational Research*, 1969, 39, 155-171.
- Krop, H. Effects of extrinsic motivation, intrinsic motivation, and intelligence on creativity: A factorial approach. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1969, 80, 259-266.
- Kubie, L. S. *Neurotic distortion of the creative process*. Lawrence: University of Kansas Press, 1958.
- Laughlin, P. R. Incidental concept formation as a function of creativity and intelligence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 5, 115-119.

Lehmann, I. J. Critical thinking ability, attitudes, and values among college students. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 1962, 13, 376-385.

Lehmann, I. J. Changes in critical thinking, attitudes, and values from freshman to senior years. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1963, 54, 305-315.

Lehmann, I. J. Curricular differences in selected cognitive and affective characteristics. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1965, 2, 103-110.

Lehmann, I. J., & Dressel, P. L. *Critical thinking, attitudes, and values in higher education*. (United States Office of Education, Cooperative Research Project 590 final report) East Lansing: Michigan State University, 1962.

Lenning, O. T., Munday, L. A., & Maxey, E. J. Student educational growth during the first two years of college. *College and University*, 1969, 44, 145-153.

Leuba, C. A new look at curiosity and creativity. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1958, 29, 132-140.

Levy, L. H. Originality as a role-defined behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 9, 72-78.

Levy, N. J. Notes on the creative process and the creative person. *Psychiatric Quarterly*, 1961, 35, 66-67.

Lewis, L. S. The value of college to different subcultures. *School Review*, March 1969, 77, 32-40.

Lindgren, H. C., & Lindgren, F. Brainstorming and orneriness as facilitators of creativity. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 16, 577-583. (d)

Lindgren, H. C., & Lindgren, F. Creativity, brainstorming, and orneriness: A cross-cultural study. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1965, 67, 23-30. (b)

Little, E. B., & Creaser, J. W. Epistemic curiosity and man's higher nature. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 23, 615-624.

Lovelace, W. B. Profile of the creative student. *Superior Student*, 1963, 5(5), 31-34.

Loy, J. W., Jr. Social psychological characteristics of innovators. *American Sociological Review*, 1969, 34, 73-82.

Lucas, F. H., & Dana, R. H. Creativity and allocentric perception. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1966, 22, 431-437.

Lunneborg, C. E., & Lunneborg, R. W. Deviations from predicted growth of abilities for male and female college students. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1969, 6, 165-172.

Lunneborg, P. W. Sex differences in aptitude maturation during college. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 463-464.

Lyle, E. An exploration in the teaching of critical thinking in general psychology. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1958, 52, 129-133.

MacKinnon, D. W. Identifying and developing creativity. In *Selection and educational differentiation*. Berkeley: University of California, Field Service Center and Center for the Study of Higher Education, 1959.

MacKinnon, D. W. The highly effective individual. *Teachers College Record*, 1960, 61, 367-378.

MacKinnon, D. W. *Characteristics of the creative person: Implications for the teaching-learning process*. Current Issues in Higher Education. Washington: Association for Higher Education, Department of National Education Association, 1961. (a)

MacKinnon, D. W. Fostering creativity in students of engineering. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 1961, 52, 129-142. (b)

MacKinnon, D. W. The nature and nurture of creative talent. *American Psychologist*, 1962, 17, 484-495.

MacKinnon, D. W. Personality and the realization of creative potential. *American Psychologist*, 1965, 20, 273-281.

MacKinnon, D. W. Assessing creative persons. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1967, 1, 291-304.

Mackler, B., & Schontz, F. C. Creativity: Theoretical and methodological considerations. *Psychological Record*, 1965, 15, 217-238.

Mackler, B., & Schontz, F. C. Life style and creativity: An empirical investigation. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1965, 20, 873-896.

Mackler, B., & Schontz, F. C. Characteristics of responses to test of creativity. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1967, 23, 73-80.

Madaus, G. F. A cross-cultural comparison of the factor structure of selected tests of divergent thinking. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1967, 73, 13-21. (a)

Madaus, G. F. Divergent thinking and intelligence: Another look at a controversial question. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1967, 4, 227-235.  
(b)

Maddi, S. R. Motivational aspects of creativity. *Journal of Personality*, 1965, 33, 330-347.

Maddi, S. R., et al. Effects of monotony and novelty on imaginative production. *Journal of Personality*, 1962, 30, 513-527.

Maier, N. F., & Burke, R. J. Studies in creativity: II. Influence of motivation in the reorganization of experience. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 23, 351-361.

Maier, N. F., & Janzen, J. C. Functional values as aids and distractors in problem solving. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 22, 1021-1034.

Maier, N. F., & Janzen, J. C. Are good problem-solvers also creative? *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 24, 139-146.

Maier, N. F., Julius, M., & Thurber, J. A. Studies in creativity: Individual differences in the storing and utilization of information. *American Journal of Psychology*, 1967, 80, 492-519.

Maier, N. F., & Thurber, J. A. Studies in creativity: IV. Appearance of fragmentation and reorganization in meaningfully associated units. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 23, 979-990.

Maier, N. F., Thurber, J. A., & Janzen, J. C. Studies in creativity: V. The selection process in recall and in problem-solving situations. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 23, 1003-1022.

Maier, N. F., Thurber, J. A., & Julius, M. Studies in creativity: III. Effect of overlearning on recall and usage of information. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 23, 363-368.

Maltzman, I. On the training of originality. *Psychological Review*, 1960, 67, 229-242.

Maltzman, I., Belloni, M., & Fishbein, M. Experimental studies of associative variables in originality. *Psychological Monographs*, 1964, 78(3, Whole No. 580).

Maltzman, I., Bogartz, W., & Breger, L. A procedure for increasing word association originality and its transfer effects. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 1958, 56, 392-398.

- Maltzman, I., & Gallup, H. F. Comments on "originality" in free and controlled association responses. *Psychological Reports*, 1964, 14, 573-574.
- Maltzman, I., et al. Experimental studies in the training of originality. *Psychological Monographs*, 1960, 74(6, Whole No. 493).
- Marksberry, M. L. *Foundation of creativity*. New York: Harper & Row, 1963.
- Marsh, R. W. A statistical re-analysis of Getzels- and Jackson's data. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1964, 34, 91-93.
- Martin, L. J. *Psychological investigations in creativity: A bibliography (1954-1965)*. Greensboro, N. C.: Creativity Research Institute of the Richardson Foundation, 1965.
- Maslow, A. H. *Toward a psychology of being*. (2nd ed.) Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1968.
- Mathews, R., Hardyck, C., & Sarbin, T. R. Self-organization as a factor in the performance of selected cognitive tasks. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1953, 48, 500-502.
- McDermid, C. D. Some correlates of creativity in engineering personnel. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1965, 49, 14-19.
- McDonald, F. J. Meaningful learning and retention: Task and method variables. *Review of Educational Research*, 1964, 34, 530-544.
- McGuigan, F. J. (Ed.) *Thinking: Studies of covert language processes*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1966.
- McKeachie, W. J. Research on teaching at the college and university level. In N. L. Gage (Ed.), *Handbook of research on teaching*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1963.
- Mednick, M. T. Research creativity in psychology graduate students. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1963, 27, 265-266.
- Mednick, M. T., & Andrews, F. M. Creative thinking and level of intelligence. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1967, 1, 428-431.
- Mednick, M. T., & Mednick, S. A. The college and the creative nonconformist. *National Educational Association Journal*, 1962, 51(4), 13-15.
- Mednick, M. T., & Mednick, S. A. (Eds.) *Research in personality*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1963.

- Mednick, S. A. The associative basis of the creative process. *Psychological Review*, 1962, **69**, 220-232.
- Mednick, S. A. The associative basis of the creative process. In M. T. Mednick & S. A. Mednick (Eds.), *Research in personality*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1963.
- Mednick, S. A., & Mednick, M. T. A theory and test of creative thought. In G. Nielson (Ed.), *Industrial and business psychology: Proceedings of the XIV International Congress of Applied Psychology*, 1962, **5**, 40-47.
- Meer, B., & Stein, M. I. Measures of intelligence and creativity. *Journal of Psychology*, 1955, **39**, 117-126.
- Messick, S., & Damarin, F. Cognitive styles and memory for faces. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, **69**, 313-318.
- Messick, S., & Fritzky, F. J. Dimensions of analytic attitude in cognition and personality. *Journal of Personality*, 1963, **31**, 346-370.
- Messick, S., & Kogan, N. Differentiation and compartmentalization in object-sorting measures of categorizing style. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1963, **16**, 47-51.
- Michael, W. B. The realization of reliable and valid criterion measures for special undergraduate programs and courses aimed at the development and expression of creative behavior. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1964, **1**, 97-102.
- Michael, W. B. (Ed.) *Teaching for creative endeavor: Bold new venture*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1968.
- Miller, A. G. Psychological stress as a determinant of cognitive complexity. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, **23**, 635-639.
- Mooney, R. L., & Razik, T. A. (Eds.) *Explorations in creativity*. New York: Harper & Row, 1967.
- Morgan, D. N. Creativity today. *Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism*, 1953, **12**, 1-24.
- Morgan, J. B., & Morton, J. T. The distortion of syllogistic reasoning produced by personal convictions. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1944, **20**, 39-59.
- Morrison, R. F., et al. Factored life history antecedents of industrial research performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1962, **46**, 281-284.

- Meuw, J. T. Effect of dogmatism on levels of cognitive processes. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, **60**, 365-369.
- Mueller, K. H. (Ed.) *Developing students' creative potentialities*. Washington: National Association of Women Deans and Counselors, 1968.
- Munroe, R. Rorschach findings on college students showing different constellations of subscores on the ACE. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1946, **10**, 301-316.
- Murphy, L. B., & Raushenbush, E. (Eds.) *Achievement in the college years: A record of intellectual and personal growth*. New York: Harper, 1960.
- Musella, D., & Rusch, R. Student opinion on college teaching. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1968, **16**, 137-140.
- Myden, W. Interpretation and evaluation of certain personality characteristics involved in creative production. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1959, **9**, 139-158.
- Neuringer, C., Wheeler, G. R., & Beardsley, J. V. Rating diversity and measures of convergent and divergent intelligence. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1969, **80**, 73-79.
- Nichols, R. C. Effects of various college characteristics on student aptitude test scores. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1964, **55**, 45-54.
- Ohnmacht, F. W. Relationships among personality and cognitive referents of creativity. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, **20**, 1331-1334.
- Owens, W. A. Cognitive, noncognitive, and environmental correlates of mechanical ingenuity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1969, **53**, 199-208.
- Pace, C. R., & Baird, L. Attainment patterns in the environmental press of college subcultures. In T. M. Newcomb & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Parloff, M. B., & Datta, L. E. Personality characteristics of potentially creative scientists. *Science and Psychoanalysis*, 1965, **8**, 91-106.
- Parloff, M. B., et al. Personality characteristics which differentiate creative male adolescents and adults. *Journal of Personality*, 1968, **36**, 528-552.
- Parnes, S. J. Effects of extended effort in creative problem solving. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1961, **52**, 117-122.
- Parnes, S. J. Methods and educational programs for stimulating creativity: A representative list. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1968, **2**, 71-75.

- Parnes, S. J., & Brunelle, E. A. The literature of creativity; Part I. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1967, 1, 52-109. (a)
- Parnes, S. J., & Brunelle, E. A. The literature of creativity, Part II. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1967, 1, 191-240. (b)
- Parnes, S. J., & Harding, H. F. (Eds.) *A sourcebook for creative thinking*. New York: Scribners, 1962.
- Peel, E. A. Intellectual growth during adolescence. *Educational Review*, 1965, 17, 169-180. Reprinted in R. E. Grinder (Ed.), *Studies in adolescence: A book of readings in adolescent development*. (2nd edition) London: Collier-Macmillan, 1969.
- Pemberton, W. A. *Ability, values, and college achievement*. Newark: University of Delaware Studies in Higher Education No. 1, 1963.
- Perry, W. G., Jr., *Forms of intellectual and ethical development in the college years: A scheme*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1970.
- Piers, E. V., Daniels, J. M., & Quackenbush, J. F. The identification of creativity in adolescents. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1960, 51, 346-351.
- Pietrasinski, Z. *The psychology of efficient thinking*. Translated by B. Janowski. New York: Pergamon, 1969.
- Pine, F. Creativity and primary process: Sample variations. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 1962, 134, 506-511.
- Pine, F., & Holt, R. R. Creativity and primary process: A study of adaptive regression. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, 61, 370-379.
- Pullias, E. V., et al. *Toward excellence in college teaching*. Dubuque: Brown, 1964.
- Ray, W. S. *The experimental psychology of original thinking*. New York: Macmillan, 1967.
- Rees, M. E., & Goldman, M. Some relationships between creativity and personality. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1961, 65, 145-161.
- Restle, F., Andrews, M., & Rokeach, M. Differences between open and closed-minded subjects on learning set and oddity problems. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, 68, 648-654.

- Rhodes, M. An analysis of creativity. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 1961, 42, 305-310.
- Richards, J. M., Jr., Cline, V. B., & Needleham, W. E. Creativity tests and teacher and self judgments of originality. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1964, 32, 281-285.
- Richter, R. H., & Winter, W. D. Holtzman Inkblot correlates of creative potential. *Journal of Projective Techniques and Personality Assessment*, 1966, 30, 62-67.
- Rickert, R. K. Developing critical thinking. *Science Education*, 1967, 51, 24-27.
- Ridley, D. R., & Birney, R. C. Effects of training procedures on creativity test scores. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, 58, 158-164.
- Riker, H. C. *College housing as learning centers*. Student Personnel Series, No. 3. Washington: American College Personnel Association, 1965.
- Ripple, R. E., & May, F. B. Caution in comparing creativity and I.Q. *Psychological Reports*, 1962, 10, 229-230.
- Roe, A. A psychological study of eminent psychologists and anthropologists, and a comparison with biological and physical scientists. *Psychological Monographs*, 1953, 67(2, Whole, No. 352).
- Rosenbaum, M. E., Arenson, S. J., & Panman, R. A. Training and instructions in the facilitation of originality. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 1964, 3, 50-56.
- Rosenberg, B. G., & Sutton-Smith, B. Sibling association, family size, and cognitive abilities. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 1966, 109, 271-279.
- Rosenberg, B. G., & Sutton-Smith, B. Sibling age spacing effects upon cognition. *Developmental Psychology*, 1969, 1, 661-668.
- Ross, J. Three cognitive dimensions. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 17, 291-300.
- Sanders, E. M., Mefferd, R. B., & Brown, O. H. Verbal-quantitative ability and certain personality and metabolic characteristics of male college students. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1960, 20, 491-505.
- Sanford, N. *Self and society: Social change and individual development*. New York: Atherton Press, 1966.

Sanford, N. *Where colleges fail: A study of the student as a person*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1967.

Schacter, S. Birth order, eminence and higher education. *American Sociological Review*, 1963, 28, 757-768.

Schaefer, C. E. The self-concept of creative adolescents. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, 72, 233-242.

Schaefer, C. E., & Anastasi, A. A biographical inventory for identifying creativity in adolescent boys. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1968, 52, 42-48.

Schmeidler, G. R. Visual Imagery correlated to a measure of creativity. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1965, 29, 78-80.

Schmeidler, G. R., Nelson, M. J., & Bristol, M. Freshman Rorschachs and college performance. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1959, 59, 3-43.

Segal, S. J. Student development and counseling. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 1968, 19, 497-508.

Siegel, L., Macomber, F. G., & Adams, J. F. The effectiveness of large group instruction at the university level. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1959, 29, 216-226.

Simpkins, R., & Eisenman, R. Sex differences in creativity. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 22, 996.

Skager, R. W., Schultz, C. B., & Klein, S. P. Quality and quantity of accomplishments as measures of creativity. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1965, 56, 31-39.

Smith, E. E., & White, H. L. Wit, creativity, and sarcasm. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1965, 49, 131-134.

Smith, J. M., & Schaefer, C. E. Development of a creativity scale for the Adjective Check List. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 87-92.

Smith, W. J., et al. The prediction of research competence and creativity from personal history. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1961, 45, 59-62.

Snyder, B. "Creative" students in science and engineering. *Universities Quarterly*, 1967, 21, 205-218.

Snyder, B. R., & Tessiman, L. H. Gifted students and scientists. In H. H. Anderson (Ed.), *Creativity in childhood and adolescence: A diversity of approaches*. Palo Alto, Calif.: Science and Behavior Books, 1965.

- Sprecher, T. B. A study of engineers' criteria for creativity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1959, 43, 141-148.
- Stein, M. I. Toward developing more imaginative creativity in students. In R. M. Cooper (Ed.), *The two ends of the log: Learning and teaching in today's colleges*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1958.
- Stein, M. I. A transactional approach to creativity. In C. W. Taylor & F. Barron (Eds.), *Scientific creativity: Its recognition and development*. New York: Wiley, 1963.
- Stein, M. I., & Heinze, S. J. *Creativity and the individual*. Glencoe, Illinois: Free Press, 1960.
- Stein, M. I., & Meer, B. Perceptual organization in a study of creativity. *Journal of Psychology*, 1954, 37, 39-43.
- Steinzor, B. The development of intellectual qualities through the college years. In L. B. Murphy & E. Raushenbush (Eds.), *Achievement in the college years: A record of intellectual and personal growth*. New York: Harper, 1960.
- Stephenson, R. W., & Treadwell, Y. Personality variables related to the effectiveness of a creativity training program. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1966, 35(2), 64-75.
- Strauss, S. On research ability in graduate students. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1961, 32, 443-448.
- Suedfeld, P. Attitude manipulation in restricted environments: I. Conceptual structure and response to propaganda. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, 68, 242-247.
- Suedfeld, P., & Vernon, J. Attitude manipulation in restricted environments: II. Conceptual structure and the internalization of propaganda received as a reward for compliance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 3, 586-589.
- Sultan, E. E. A factorial study in the domain of creative thinking. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1962, 32, 78-82.
- Summerfield, J. D., & Thatcher, L. (Eds.) *The creative mind and method: Exploring the nature of creativeness in American arts, sciences, and professions*. New York: Russel & Russel, 1964.
- Taylor, C. W. The creative individual: A new portrait in giftedness. *Educational Leadership*, 1960, 18, 7-12.

- Taylor, C. W. A tentative description of the creative individual. In W. B. Waetjen (Ed.), *Human variability and learning: Papers and reports*. Washington: Association for Supervision and Curricular Development, 1961.
- Taylor, C. W. (Ed.) *Creativity: Progress and potential*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1964.
- Taylor, C. W., & Barron, F. X. (Eds.) *Scientific creativity: Its recognition and development*. New York: Wiley, 1963.
- Taylor, C. W., & Ellison, R. L. Biographical predictors of scientific performance. *Science*, 1967, **155**, 1075-1080.
- Taylor, C. W., Ellison, R. L., & Tucker, M. F. *Biographical information and the prediction of multiple criteria of success in science*. Greensboro, N. C.: Creativity Research Institute of the Richardson Foundation, 1966.
- Taylor, C. W., & Holland, J. L. Development and application of tests of creativity. *Review of Educational Research*, 1962, **32**, 91-102.
- Taylor, C. W., & Holland, J. Predictors of creative performance. In C. W. Taylor (Ed.), *Creativity: Progress and potential*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1964.
- Taylor, C. W., & Williams, F. E. (Eds.) *Instructional media and creativity*. New York: Wiley, 1966.
- Thistlethwaite, D. Attitude and structure as factors in the distortion of reasoning. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1950, **45**, 442-458.
- Thorndike, R. L. The measurement of creativity. *Teachers College Record*, 1963, **64**, 422-424. (a)
- Thorndike, R. L. Some methodological issues in the study of creativity. In E. F. Gardner (Chm.), *Proceedings of the 1962 Invitational Conference on Testing Problems*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1963. (b)
- Thring, M. W. The encouragement and development of originality and inventiveness in engineering students. *Educational Sciences*, 1968, **2**, 195-199.
- Tomkins, S. S., & Izard, C. (Eds.) *Affect, cognition, and personality: Empirical studies*. New York: Springer, 1965.
- Torrance, E. P. Current research on the nature of creative talent. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1959, **6**, 309-316.
- Torrance, E. P. Cultural discontinuities and the development of originality of thinking. *Exceptional Children*, 1962, **29**, 2-13. (a)

Torrance, E. P. *Guiding creative talent*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1962. (b)

Torrance, E. P. *Education and the creative potential*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1963.

Torrance, E. P. Multiphasic study of creativity. *Contemporary Psychology*, 1964, 9, 277-278.

Torrance, E. P. Helping the creatively gifted girl achieve her potentiality. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1965, 29, 28-33. (a)

Torrance, E. P. *Rewarding creative behavior: Experiments in classroom creativity*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1965. (b)

Torrance, E. P. Creativity and its educational implications for the gifted. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 1968, 12, 67-78. (a)

Torrance, E. P. Deans, counselors, and creative students. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1968, 31, 145-153. (b)

Trent, J. W., & Golds, J. *Catholics in college: Religious commitment and the intellectual life*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1967.

Tripodi, T. Cognitive complexity, perceived conflict, and certainty. *Journal of Personality*, 1966, 34, 144-153.

Tucker, M. F., Cline, V. B., & Schmitt, J. R. Prediction of creativity and other performance measures from biographical information among pharmaceutical scientists. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1967, 51, 131-138.

Tuckman, B. W. Integrative complexity: Its measurement and relation to creativity. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1966, 26, 369-382.

Turner, W. M., & Rains, J. D. Differential effects of "brainstorming" instructions upon high and low creative subjects. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 17, 753-754.

Vernon, P. E. Creativity and intelligence. *Educational Research*, 1964, 6, 163-169.

Vinacke, W. E. *The psychology of thinking*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1952.

Wachtel, P. L. Style and capacity in analytic functioning. *Journal of Personality*, 1968, 36, 202-212.

- Walberg, H. J., & Welch, W. W. Personality characteristics of innovative physics teachers. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1967, 1, 163-172.
- Walkup, L. E. Creativity in science through visualization. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1965, 21, 35-41.
- Wallace, W. H. Some dimensions of creativity: Part I. *Personnel Journal*, 1967, 46, 363-370.
- Wallach, M. A., & Kogan, N. A new look at the creativity-intelligence distinction. *Journal of Personality*, 1965, 33, 348-369.
- Wallach, M. A., & Wing, C. W., Jr. *The talented student: A validation of the creativity-intelligence distinction*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1969.
- Ward, J. An oblique factorization of Wallach and Kogan's "creativity" correlations. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, 37, 380-382.
- Warren, T. F., & Davis, G. A. Techniques for creative thinking: An empirical comparison of three methods. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 207-214.
- Webb, S. C. Two cross validations of the opinion, attitude and interest survey. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1965, 25, 517-523.
- Weinlander, A. A. Encouraging creative thinking skills. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1966, 14, 151-153.
- Weisberg, P. S., & Springer, K. J. Environmental factors in creative functions. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1961, 5, 554-564.
- Wellington, C. B., & Wellington, J. *Teaching for critical thinking: With emphasis on secondary education*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1960.
- Wells, F. L. Verbal excess over quantitation: Two case studies. *Journal of Psychology*, 1946, 23, 65-82.
- Wenkart, A. Creativity and freedom. *American Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 1963, 23, 195-204.
- Westcott, M. R. On the measurements of intuitive leaps. *Psychological Reports*, 1961, 9, 267-274.
- Westcott, M. R., & Ranzoni, J. H. Correlates of intuitive thinking. *Psychological Reports*, 1963, 12, 595-613.
- White, K. Anxiety, extraversion-introversion, and divergent thinking ability. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1968, 2, 119-127.

Whittemore, R. G., Jr., & Heimann, R. A. Originality responses in academically talented male university freshmen. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 16, 439-442.

Whittemore, R. G., Jr., & Heimann, R. A. Modification or originality responses. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 213-218.

Wilson, J. R., Robeck, M. C., & Michael, W. B. *Psychological foundations of learning and teaching*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.

Wilson, R. C., Guilford, J. P., & Christensen, P. R. The measurement of individual differences in originality. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1953, 50, 362-370.

Wilson, R. C., et al. A factor-analytic study of creative-thinking abilities. *Psychometrika*, 1954, 19, 297-311.

Windholz, G. The relation of creativity and intelligence constellations to traits of temperament, interest, and value in college students. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1968, 79, 291-299.

Witkin, H. A., Goodenough, D. R., & Karp, S. A. Stability of cognitive style from childhood to young adulthood. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 7, 291-300.

Witty, P. A. The gifted and the creative student. *School and Society*, 1964, 92, 183-185.

Wood, C. G., Jr., & Hokanson, J. E. Effects of induced muscular tension on performance and the inverted U function. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 1, 506-510.

Worell, J., & Worell, L. Personality conflict originality of response, and recall. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1965, 29, 55-62.

Wright, S. J. Institutional expectations and influences. In L. E. Dennis & J. F. Kauffman (Eds.), *The college and the student: An assessment of relationships and responsibilities in undergraduate education by administrators, faculty members, and public officials*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1966.

Yamamoto, K. Creative thinking and teacher effectiveness: A review. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 1963, 7, 66-71.

Yamamoto, K. "Creativity"—A blind man's report on the elephant. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1965, 12, 428-434.

Zdep, S. M. Intelligence, creativity and anxiety among college students. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 19, 420.

## SUCCESS VIEWED AS PERSONALITY DEVELOPMENT AND ADJUSTMENT

Parents oftentimes send their sons and daughters off to college with the expressed hope that the college will help them to "grow up." If the young people come back more mature, responsible, and self-confident, the parents will conclude that the college helped their children to develop in this area (even if age is primarily responsible). Students also look upon college as a place they can grow as a person—in maturity, responsibility, autonomy, flexibility, self-confidence, and self-acceptance. In addition, this is the first time many students have been away from home for long periods of time; and just adjusting to being more on their own and to the college environment is often seen as a real accomplishment by both them and their parents.

The college itself also sees personal adjustment and personality development as one of its purposes. In fact, many college catalogs specify such institutional goals and purposes.

### Development of Maturity, Responsibility, Autonomy, Flexibility and Other Personality Change

There are two broadly defined ways of viewing personality: (a) as something which influences behavior or (b) equating personality with behavior itself. Most American behavioral scientists have been strongly influenced by European schools of thought and tend to stress the integrative configurational aspects of personality. They see it as something which influences behavior. This particular way of viewing personality has given rise to several approaches all aimed at explaining personality. Of these several approaches, the situational one is most applicable in terms of colleges affecting student success. This approach emphasizes the immediate environment in which a person finds himself, and the focus is on learned roles, e.g., adulthood.

Most studies of personality change in college students have assumed that the college experience was a factor in bringing about the changes, e.g., the

observed changes in autonomy, authoritarianism, dogmatism, and independence. However, to date there has been little research<sup>1</sup> done to determine if students would have changed in a similar way by not attending college.

Developmental psychology has hypothesized and found some evidence to support the existence of a natural pattern of development through adolescence, with children reaching various developmental plateaus at different ages congruent with their psychological makeup and experiences. But the question arises about whether aspects of Havighurst's<sup>2</sup> (1952) developmental sequence, assuming it exists, could be just as effectively accomplished outside of the college. It may be asked whether the college experience will impede or accelerate different types of development in comparison to non-college people in this age range.

To adequately cover the idea that personality development in college is part of an ongoing process rooted in development through childhood, a number of basic textbooks of the sixties dealing with personality development in general and theories of personality were included in this section. Some of them also discuss personality development during college. Persons not knowledgeable about the personality area will find these texts helpful to place college personality development in context. Several books have also been included that focus on the measurement of personality.

---

<sup>1</sup>It should be mentioned that there are a number of problems with making change comparisons between college students and noncollege students, some which are methodological while others involve ethical and moral considerations. For one thing, those not going to college are such a diverse group and their post-high school experiences differ much more than do those in college. Furthermore, the noncollege groups (which may be differentiated by post-high school job categories) should be matched to the college group not only on age and sex but also on other important factors such as high school, family background, ability, and high school record. The university researcher might gain access to such data for the noncollege students through cooperation from the high schools from which his college students came, but the high school might consider it unethical to release such information to the researcher. Gaining the cooperation of the noncollege subjects would be a special problem, and their response rate might be quite poor in comparison with that for the "captive group" in college. In addition, the personality area is quite sensitive in the minds of many citizens. For example, the use of particular personality inventories might risk being charged with "invasion of privacy" from some quarters, while other people might consider some of the personality items immoral or unethical.

<sup>2</sup>Havighurst, R. J. *Developmental tasks and education*. 2nd edition. New York: Longmans, Green, 1952.

### Success Viewed as Development of Maturity, Responsibility, Autonomy, Flexibility, and Other Personality Change: Selected Annotations

**Beach** (1966) studied personality changes in students over their four years at a church-related liberal arts college in Washington. He observed changes in scores on four scales from the *Omnibus Personality Inventory* (developmental status, impulse expression, social maturity, and schizoid functioning) as well as on the *F Scale* (authoritarianism) and the *E Scale* (ethnocentrism). Forty percent of the entering freshman class in 1961, a total of only 38 students, were retested at the end of the sophomore year and again at the end of the senior year on all of these measures.

When *t*-ratio comparisons were made between observed score means, it was noted that there was an increase in developmental status and social maturity for both men and women over the four years, with most of the change occurring during the first two years. No significant change over the four years was noted for the total group on impulse expression, but there was a significant increase reported for men. Decreases were found in schizoid functioning (especially in women and especially during the last two years) and in authoritarianism and ethnocentrism (especially in men and especially during the last two years).

**Chickering** (1964, 1967d) had each faculty member at Goddard College select five students who best represented what the faculty members considered a person with a high level of independence and had them describe the criteria used for selection. Then the whole faculty met to modify and to approve the pooled set of criterion-descriptive statements. The selected students were compared with their nonselected peers using results from a battery of tests and inventories. The group of 130 students identified by instructors as examples of independent students were not differentiated from the norm by intellectual factors, but were differentiated by nonintellective factors (scores on the *Adjective Check List*, the *Omnibus Personality Inventory*, and the *Survey of Study Habits and Attitudes*).

In general, personality characteristics (with the exception of the self and ideal self-concepts), attitudes, values, and study habits did distinguish the independent student. The independent students scored higher on social maturity, originality, theoretical orientation, esthetic interests and sensitivities, liberalism, social relations, confidence in self in relation to others, study habits, and positive attitudes toward learning and toward teachers. They scored lower on impulse expression, emotional disturbance, eccentricity, and deviate thinking.

Next, the same procedures were followed to get criterion descriptions for outstanding development of purpose, after which they were combined with

the independence statements. When the statements were grouped according to similarity of content, six specific variables of student development resulted: Venturesomeness; Interdependence; Resourcefulness and Organization; Goal Directedness; Full Involvement, Motivation, and Persistence; and Personal Stability and Integration.

Because Goddard College uses a system of written self-evaluations and instructor comments rather than grades, data were available for each semester to rate the students on each of the six variables. Scales from 0 to 10 were used, with 5 defined as the general expectation. Two raters independently rated 20 1964 Goddard graduates (with semesters randomly ordered) on each scale. The ratings for each variable were then pooled for the 20 students, and multiple-discriminant analysis with follow-up covariance analysis was conducted to ascertain whether change occurred, which scales changed the most, and the pattern of change according to semester.

Ratings on all six scales showed significant change. Ratings on Goal Directedness changed the most over the four years, followed by Personal Stability and Integration; Venturesomeness; Resourcefulness and Organization; Full Involvement, Motivation, and Persistence; and Interdependence. Overall, most of the change seemed to occur during the first two years. However, patterns differed for different variables: Goal Directedness and Personal Stability and Integration changed fairly evenly over the four years; Interdependence and Venturesomeness changed the most during the first two years; and Full Involvement, Motivation and Persistence changed the most during the last two years. The fourth semester seemed to be especially important for Goal Directedness, while the first and seventh semester seemed to be most important for Personal Stability and Integration.

From the results of the study, Chickering decided on four principles for student development at Goddard College which may also apply at other colleges: (a) Development occurs according to recognizable patterns which differ according to the kind of change under consideration. (b) Development occurs through sequences of differentiation and integration. (c) Development is congruent rather than compensatory, i.e., change occurs in all the areas and not in some at the expense of the others. (d) Development decreases as relevant conditions become more constant.

In his conclusion, Chickering (1967) says the following: "If the dimensions of development for students in an institution can be identified and patterns of change described, then questions concerning the nature of experiences to be introduced and the timing and location of their introduction can be answered more soundly. The existence of plateaus and points of regression suggest periods during the students' experience where enrichment of conditions or additional stimuli relevant to that vector of change might be helpful [p. 302]."

Chickering, McDowell, and Campagna (1969) administered the *Omnibus Personality Inventory* (OPI) to the entering freshmen at 13 small colleges with diverse programs and distinctive student characteristics. One group of students at each college was retested on the OPI after one year, while another group was tested after two years at the college. The samples were adjusted to insure proportional representation of men and women, and the effects of dropouts were examined prior to the analyses.

The researchers explored whether institutional differences would lead to differential personality development in college students. Institutional differences were revealed by responses to a college Goals Rating Sheet, responses to the *College and University Environment Scales*, and campus visits by 3-man teams (who attended various campus classes, locations, and activities and who talked with students, faculty members, and administrators). Observed personality score mean changes were calculated separately for men and women on each campus.

Increased autonomy; increased emotional awareness and expressiveness, increased esthetic sensitivities, and decreased concern for material success were found for all groups compared. Although different changes occurred on different personality scales, the amount of observed personality change was similar for all institutions and for men and women. This relationship was true in spite of the fact that each of these small colleges had distinctiveness and was relatively homogenous on student characteristics within the institution. (The colleges had sharply different goals, orientations, and programs.) Furthermore, personality score at entrance had no noticeable effect on the amount of personality score change; regression effects did not appear to exert substantial influence.

---

Constantinople (1969) desired to investigate Erickson's theory of personality development as it applies to college students. Erickson's theory proposes that there are eight stages in the development of the ego, each characterized in terms of polarities of basic attitudes which "develop as a result of interaction between the developing potentialities of the individual and the pressures and sanctions of the social environment [p. 358]." At each stage there is a developmental task which must be mastered by the individual, and the amount of success in resolving the task results in an orientation about himself and the world which will help to determine his success in the later stages. The college years are primarily concerned with stages 4-6: industry versus inferiority, identity versus identity diffusion, and intimacy versus isolation. The author hypothesized that significant changes would occur in these three areas from the freshman to the senior year; and it was felt that some changes for the earlier stages might be taking place, also, because of "the reevaluation of the self which accompanies a successful resolution of the

identity crisis [p. 359]." Important sex differences were also predicted because the relationships between the developing potentialities of the individual and the nature of the social environment for the two sexes are quite different during the college years.

A total of 952 undergraduate students from all four classes at one college constituted the sample for the study. A 60-item self-concept Q-sort measure, with five items reflecting successful and five items reflecting unsuccessful resolutions of each of Erickson's first six stages, constituted the criteria. For a portion of the sample, the questionnaire was re-administered after one year and again after two years. Analysis-of-variance comparisons cross-sectionally across classes and longitudinally across years for the same individuals revealed that an instrument originally designed to measure self-concept in college students could serve as a measure of the level of personality development. Males showed a clearer pattern of increasing maturity over the four years than did females, a finding which may be an indication that the college is more conducive to growth among males than among females. A reason suggested for this pattern is that college women often have identity conflicts (even if committed to a career field), because they feel they must make a choice between a career and marriage, while college men never have to worry about choosing between a career and marriage.

---

Elton and Rose (1969) related *Omnibus Personality Inventory* (OPI) score change over a 4-year period to measures of ability, to original status on personality test scores, and to curricular major for 114 Berea College men. Principle Components factor analysis of the scores for 980 University of Kentucky men was used to develop 6 factor weights which were applied to the pre- and post-test OPI scores at Berea College. This was done to insure that the OPI factor structure would not change from pre- to post-test, which would allow a direct comparison between the initial factor scores and the post-test factor scores. Then the pre- and post-test OPI scores were converted to six OPI factor-change scores for each student using a method for obtaining base free measures of change (to get "independent change scores") which had been developed by Tucker, Danmarin, and Messick.

It was desired to identify students with similar factor change scores. Therefore, cluster analysis was conducted using cross-products factor analysis, plus a follow-up with discriminant analysis. Three distinct groups were found which discriminated at the .001 level. Stated in the order of the amount of contribution to discrimination made, personality factors accounting for the differential change were masculine role, social discomfort, religious liberalism, nonconformity, and flexibility-independence. Scholarly orientation did not add significantly to the discrimination. The students in Group 3 became less stereotyped in their sex role, less uncomfortable socially, more liberal in their religious beliefs, more nonconforming, and more flexible and independent. On

the other hand, Group 1 students went in opposite directions on these factors. Interestingly, the two most important change factors corresponded to the top three factors noted by Nichols (1967) in his totally different methodological approach to the problem.

When the group pre-test factor means and means on the *College Qualification Test* ability scores were compared using chi-square analysis, it was found that none of these variables differed significantly for the three groups. Student majors for the three groups were also compared. Although 35% of the students in Group 1 graduated in vocational majors versus 19% for Group 2 and 17% for Group 3, the differences were *not* found to be statistically significant.

Although the pretest personality factors and the ability measures did not significantly discriminate among the three groups, the authors concluded that the three personality patterns noted by Chickering (1966) in describing the students at his small colleges did appear to apply. Group 1 students tended to be practical conservatives; Group 2 students tended to be altruistic conservatives; and Group 3 students tended to be intellectual altruists. Another conclusion by the authors was that diverse personality change may result from the interaction of one very structured college environment with a relatively uniform set of student input characteristics.

The study procedures were repeated by Elton (1969) with Berea College women. Only five personality-change factors were discovered for women, with scholarly orientation, nonconformity, authoritarianism, and social discomfort differentiating the three change groups found (listed in the order of discrimination power). The fifth factor, masculine role, was not a statistically significant discriminator of the three groups. As noted for men, the pretest personality factor scores, ability scores, and curricular major were *not* found to statistically differentiate the three groups.

---

Nichols (1967) studied factors related to changes in personality during the college years for a group of 640 high-aptitude undergraduate students enrolled at over 100 different colleges. Instruments used were the *16 Personality Factor Questionnaire*, *Vocational Preference Inventory*, and ten *a priori* personality scales. The instruments were administered prior to college entrance and again just before graduation. Analyses, which were conducted separately for each sex, included developing residual change scores (where variance in personality change due to student input characteristics has been controlled) and then subjecting them to factor analysis. The resulting six personality-change factor scores were then correlated with 18 characteristics of the college the student attended.

When mean changes were observed, it was found that vocational interests changed from initial diversity toward greater specificity resulting in a lowering

of means. Men became more feminine. Both men and women became more aware of their impulses and more aware of their shortcomings and negative feelings, while they became less dependent on constricting defense mechanisms.

Concerning the six personality-change factors, Nichols named them Diversity of Interest, Femininity, Extraversion, Anxiety, Dominance, and Superego. No general factor emerged which represented a change permeating all aspects of personality. Rather, there were several areas which tended to change independently.

When the personality-change factor scores were correlated with the college variables, it was discovered that the affluence of the college was directly related to student extraversion for both sexes, while the predominance of students majoring in realistic and intellectual fields was directly related to change in anxiety. For women only, college affluence (as represented by per-student expenditure and student body ability level) was directly related to change in dominance. On the other hand, while colleges having many students in masculine curricula (e.g., engineering, business, and agriculture, etc.) tended to decrease the femininity of their women students, colleges where many students majored in social fields such as education tended to increase the femininity of their women students. For men, dominance was relatively decreased at colleges with a conventional and feminine curricula and at Catholic colleges.

Plant and Minium (1967) attempted to determine if nonintellectual characteristics change more over time for brighter-than-average students than for low-aptitude college students. A wide variety of personality test and retest data were used from earlier longitudinal studies of students at San Jose State College. Personality changes over two and four years were studied for males and females separately. Analysis of covariance was used with the retest personality scores adjusted for the initial personality test scores.

The comparisons between the high-and-low ability groups indicated the following: (a) Students of high aptitude tended to exhibit more personality changes over time than students of less aptitude and in the direction of the general college trend. (b) High-aptitude students tended to exhibit more "psychologically positive" personality development over time than did low-aptitude students. (c) The results were similar for males and females, for different samples of young adults, and for different kinds of nonintellectual measures. (d) The results suggested that changes in personality characteristics reported by researchers as resulting from college attendance may be the result of the fact that these are bright students rather than the fact that they attended college. (e) The results indicated that aptitude should be controlled in any study of personality change and especially when change in college students is being compared to change in students who did not go to college.

Plant and Telford (1966) explored personality-change differences among groups of students who had completed varying amounts of attendance (including one group of students who never enrolled) at six public 2-year colleges in California. In 1960, a total of 4,506 students were tested on five scales of the *California Psychological Inventory* (CPI), on the Rokeach Dogmatism (D) scale, and on the Allport-Vernon-Lindzey *Study of Values*. After two years the same instruments were sent through the mail to these students' homes for a retest. About 600 were returned by the post office as undeliverable, and completed instruments were received for 1,793 of the students. When tests on initial data for respondents were compared with those for nonrespondents, significant differences were found for men on the CPI data and for both sexes on D data. The test-retest data for respondents were grouped by sex and completion of various amounts of college into three groups: those with no semesters, one or two semesters, and three or four semesters. Data for each group were combined across colleges and then group comparisons were made using correlated  $t$  tests. In addition, initial level on each scale was compared for the three groups using analysis of variance.

Although the subjects were self-selected, this study is noteworthy in that one comparison group included students who had no college experience. It was found that all three groups had greater achievement via independence, intellectual efficiency, and responsibility as measured by the CPI retest. Only the "no college" group lacked statistically significant change on sociability and self-control. All groups decreased significantly in dogmatism as measured by the D scale. There were one or more changes in values for all groups, and the change was significantly greater for those who had attended college for three or four semesters, but the change was not large.

Stewart (1964a) studied changes over four years in scores on the *Omnibus Personality Inventory* and the Allport-Vernon-Lindzey *Study of Values* for a group of 89 students at the University of California at Berkeley. Multivariate analysis of changes in mean scores over the four years showed a general decrease in authoritarianism, but it showed an increase in developmental status and reflective thinking. Females tended to become more interested in people and in reflective thought of an abstract nature, more independent, more flexible, more adventurous; and they tended to see themselves more as leaders. Males tended to become more concerned with social and moral issues, more independent, and somewhat more impulsive or impatient. Similarity of factor structure underlying the inventories over the four years was determined using canonical correlation, and it was found to have remained relatively stable despite the changes in mean scores and test-retest correlations.

Since cadets entering the U.S. Military Academy differ greatly in the extent of their athletic participation in high school, and since after entering the academy all cadets participate regularly in an intensive athletic program, *Werner and Gottheil* (1966) wondered if personality change would be different for former participants and nonparticipants. Out of 752 new cadets, 454 had won high school sports letters of some type and were therefore classified as the athletic group. A total of 191 of the cadets had not participated in athletics in high school and were thus classified as the nonathletic group. Cattell's *16 Personality Factor Questionnaire* (16 PF) was administered to both groups shortly after arrival on campus and again just prior to graduation. About 340 athletes and 116 nonathletes remained until graduation, and only these students were included in the study. Chi-square analysis showed that the proportion of graduates was significantly greater among the athletes than among the nonathletes.

Differences between the two groups on pre-test means, post-test means, and observed mean change were explored using *t* tests. Entering athletes had significantly different mean scores from those of entering nonparticipants on seven of the 16 PF scales, and the two groups differed significantly on six of the scales at graduation (with five of the scales showing differences both times). When comparisons of amount of group change were made, no significant differences were noted. Similarly, direction of change was the same for both groups on all scales except one. Despite four years of regular athletic participation, the nonathletic group was *not* found to change in 16 PF personality structure to a greater extent than the athletic group, in a different pattern than the athletic group, nor so as to become more like the athletes.

#### Success Viewed as Development of Maturity, Responsibility, Autonomy, Flexibility, and Other Personality Change: Published Literature

- Adorno, T. W., et al. *The authoritarian personality*. New York: Harper, 1950.
- Alfert, E. Developmental stage and choice of residence in college. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 90-93.
- Allen, R. M., Richer, H. M., & Plotnik, R. J. A study of introversion-extroversion as a personality dimension. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1964, 69, 297-322.
- Allman, T. S., & White, W. F. Birth-order categories as predictors of select personality characteristics. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 22, 857-860.
- Allport, G. W. *Personality and social encounter*. Boston: Beacon, 1960.

- Allport, G. W. *Pattern and growth in personality*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1961.
- Altus, W. D. Personality correlates of verbal-quantitative discrepancy scores on the Scholastic Aptitude Test. *Journal of Psychology*, 1959, **48**, 219-225.
- Anderson, H. H. Creativity as personality development. In H. H. Anderson (Ed.), *Creativity and its cultivation*. New York: Harper & Row, 1959.
- Apostal, R. A. College subcultures and peer independence. *College Education Record*, March 1969, **54**, 114-119. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1969, **5**, 86)
- Appley, M. H., & Moeller, G. Conforming behavior and personality variables in college women. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, **66**, 284-290.
- Armatas, J. P., & Collister, E. G. Personality correlates of SVFB patterns. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1962, **9**, 149-154.
- Armilla, J. Predicting self-assessed social leadership in a new culture with the MMPI. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1967, **73**, 219-225.
- Athanasiou, R. Technique without mystique: A study of authoritarianism in engineering students. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, **28**, 1181-1188.
- Ausubel, D. P. Maturation and learning in adolescent development. *Educational Sciences*, 1966, **1**, 47-60.
- Ausubel, D. P. *Theory and problems of adolescent development*. New York: Grune & Stratton, 1954.
- Babladelis, G., & Adams, S. *The shaping of personality: Text and readings for a social-learning view*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1967.
- Bandura, A., & Walters, R. A. *Social learning and personality development*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1963.
- Barron, F. Complexity-simplicity as a personality dimension. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1953, **48**, 163-172.
- Barron, F. Some personality correlates of independence of judgment. *Journal of Personality*, 1953, **21**, 287-297.
- Bass, B. M., & Berg, I. A. (Eds.) *Objective approaches to personality assessment*. Princeton: Von Nostrand, 1959.

Beach, L. R. Personality change in the church-related liberal arts college. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, **19**, 1257-1258.

Beach, L. R. Study of personality in the church-related liberal arts college. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, **8**, 105-108.

Becker, H. A. What do they really learn at college? *Transaction*, 1964, **1**(4), 14-17.

Berdie, R. F. Personality changes from high school entrance to college matriculation. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, **15**, 276-380.

Bereiter, C., & Freedman, M. B. Personality differences among college curricular groups. *American Psychologist*, 1960, **15**, 435.

Bernhardson, C. S. Dogmatism, defense mechanisms, and social desirability, responding. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, **20**, 511-513.

Berns, R. S. Regressive emotional behavior in college students. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 1966, **122**, 1378-1384.

Bills, R. E. Personality changes during student centered teaching. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1956, **50**, 121-126.

Bischof, L. J. *Interpreting personality theories*. New York: Harper & Row, 1964.

Bloom, B. S. *Stability and change in human characteristics*. New York: Wiley, 1964.

Bloom, B. S., & Webster, H. The outcomes of college. *Review of Educational Research*, 1960, **30**, 321-333.

Bohrstedt, G. W. Conservatism, authoritarianism and religiosity of fraternity pledges. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1969, **10**, 36-43.

Bonney, M. E. *The normal personality*. Berkeley: McCutchan, 1969.

Borgatta, E. F., & Evans, R. R. Social and psychological concomitants of smoking behavior and its change among university freshmen. In E. F. Borgatta & R. R. Evans (Eds.), *Smoking, health, and behavior*. Chicago: Aldine, 1968.

Borgatta, E. F., & Lambert, W. W. (Eds.) *Handbook of personality theory and research*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1968.

Boyer, E. L., & Michael, W. B. Outcomes of college. *Review of Educational Research*, 1965, **35**, 277-291.

- Brock, T. C., & Becker, L. A. Volition and attraction in everyday life. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1967, **72**, 89-97.
- Brown, D. G., & Lowe, W. L. Religious beliefs and personality characteristics of college students. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1951, **33**, 103-129.
- Brown, D. R. Non-intellective qualities and the perception of the ideal student by college faculty. *Journal of Educational Sociology*, 1960, **33**, 269-278.
- Brown, D. R., & Bystryn, D. College environment, personality, and social ideology of three ethnic groups. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1956, **44**, 279-288.
- Brownfain, J. J. Stability of the self-concept as a dimension of personality. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1952, **47**, 597-606.
- Budner, S. Intolerance of ambiguity as a personality variable. *Journal of Personality*, 1962, **30**, 29-50.
- Burkhart, R. C. The creativity-personality continuum based on spontaneity and deliberativeness in art. *Studies in Art Education*, 1960, **2**(1), 43-65.
- Buros, O. K. *Personality: Tests and reviews*. Highland Park, N. J.: Gryphon Press, 1970.
- Burton, M. V. The effect of college attendance upon personality as measured by the Bernreuter Personality Inventory. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1945, **38**, 708-711.
- Butt, S. D., & Signori, E. I. Relationship of personality factors to conceived values in male university students. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, **16**, 609-617.
- Byrne, D. Parental antecedents of authoritarianism. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, **1**, 369-373.
- Byrne, D. *An introduction to personality: A research approach*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1966.
- Byrne, D., Blaylock, B., & Goldberg, J. Dogmatism and defense mechanisms. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, **18**, 739-742.
- Byrne, D., & Hamilton, M. L. *Personality research: A book of readings*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1966.
- Caldwell, E. Stability of scores on a personality inventory administered college orientation week. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1959, **38**, 305-308.

- Cameron, N. *Personality development and psychopathology: A dynamic approach*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1963.
- Campbell, A., & Eckerman, W. C. *Public concepts of the values and costs of higher education*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Survey Research Center, 1964.
- Carson, R. C. *Interaction concepts of personality*. Chicago: Aldine, 1969.
- Cattell, R. B. *The scientific analysis of personality*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Cattell, R. B., & Warburton, F. W. *Objective personality & motivation tests: A theoretical introduction and practical compendium*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1967.
- Chambers, J. L., Wilson, W. T., & Barger, B. Need differences between students with and without religious affiliation. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 208-210.
- Chiang, H., & Maslow, A. H. (Eds.) *The healthy personality: Readings*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1969.
- Chickering, A. W. Dimensions of independence. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1964, 35, 38-41.
- Chickering, A. W. Institutional differences and student characteristics. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1966, 15, 168-181.
- Chickering, A. W. College residences and student development. *Educational Record*, 1967, 48, 179-186. (a)
- Chickering, A. W. The development of autonomy. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1967, 37, 203-204. (b)
- Chickering, A. W. Institutional objectives and patterns of student change. In G. N. Drewry (Ed.), *The instructional process and institutional research. Proceedings of the seventh annual forum of the Association for Institutional Research*, 1967. (c)
- Chickering, A. W. Institutional objectives and student development in college. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 1967, 3, 287-304. (d)
- Chickering, A. W. *Education and identity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969.
- Chickering, A. W., McDowell, J., & Campagna, D. Institutional differences and student development. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, 60, 315-326.

- Child, I. L., & Iwao, S. Personality and esthetic sensitivity: Extension of findings to younger age and to different culture. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 8, 308-312.
- Christie, R., & Cook, P. A guide to the published literature relating to the authoritarian personality through 1956. *Journal of Psychology*, 1958, 45, 171-199.
- Clark, J. H. The interpretation of the MMPI profiles of college students: Mean scores for male and female groups. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1954, 40, 319-321.
- Coelho, G. V., Hamburg, D. A., & Murphy, E. B. Coping strategies in a new learning environment: A study of American college freshmen. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1963, 9, 433-443.
- Comrey, A. L. Comparison of personality and attitude variables. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1966, 26, 853-860.
- Constantinople, A. An Eriksonian measure of personality development in college students. *Developmental Psychology*, 1969, 1, 357-372.
- Cook, D. L. Relationship between emancipation status, personality, and teacher-pupil attitudes. In E. M. Hurdson (Ed.), *The 15th Yearbook of the National Council on Measurement in Education*. New York: National Council on Measurement in Education, 1958.
- Cope, C. S. Linguistic structure and personality development. *Journal of Counseling Psychology Monograph*, 1969, 16(5, Pt. 2).
- Cottle, T. J. Family perceptions, sex role identity and the prediction of school performance. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, 28, 861-886.
- Cottle, W. C. *Interest and personality inventories*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1968.
- Couch, A., & Keniston, K. Yeasayers and naysayers: Agreeing response set as a personality variable. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, 60, 151-174.
- Crites, J. O. Ego-strength in relation to vocational interest development. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1960, 7, 137-143.
- Cross, K. P. *The junior college student: A research description*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1968.

- Crowne, D. P., & Liverant, S. Conformity under varying conditions of personal commitment. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, **66**, 547-555.
- Crowne, D. P., & Marlowe, D. *The approval motive: Studies in evaluative dependence*. New York: Wiley, 1964.
- Crutchfield, R. S. Conformity and character. *American Psychologist*, 1955, **10**, 191-198.
- Dabrowski, K. *Personality-shaping through positive disintegration*. Boston: Little, Brown, 1967.
- Dalton, R. H. *Personality and social interaction*. Boston: Heath, 1961.
- Damarin, F., & Messick, S. *Response styles as personality variables: A theoretical integration of multivariate research*. Research Bulletin, RB-65-10. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1965.
- Dameron, L. E. Mother-child interaction in the development of self-restraint. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 1955, **86**, 289-308.
- Darley, J. M. Fear and social comparison as determinants of conformity behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, **4**, 73-78.
- David, H. P., & Brengelmann, J. C. (Eds.) *Perspectives in personality research*. New York: Springer, 1960.
- Dean, D. G. Can emotional maturity be measured? *Psychological Reports*, 1967, **20**, 60.
- Dicken, C. F. Simulated patterns on the California Psychological Inventory. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1960, **7**, 24-31.
- Dvorak, E. J. Educational and personality characteristics of smokers and nonsmokers among university freshmen. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, **16**, 80-84.
- Dyer, D. A comparative study relating marital happiness to university courses helpful in marital adjustment. *Marriage and Family Living*, 1959, **21**, 230-232.
- Eddy, E. D., Jr. *The college influence on student character: An exploratory study in selected colleges and universities made for the Committee for the Study of Character Development in Education*. Washington, D. C.: American Council on Education, 1959.

- Edelstein, A. S. Since Bennington: Evidence of change in student political behavior. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 1962, 26, 564-577.
- Eiduson, B. T. Artist and nonartist: A comparative study. *Journal of Personality*, 1958, 26, 13-28.
- Eisenman, R., & Platt, J. J. Birth order and sex differences in academic achievement and internal-external control. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1968, 78, 279-285.
- Elliot, R. Interrelationships among measures of field dependence, ability, and personality traits. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, 63, 27-36.
- Elton, C. F. Patterns of change in personality test scores. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 95-99.
- Elton, C. F., & Rose, H. A. The face of change. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 372-375.
- Elton, C. F., & Rose, H. A. Differential change in male personality test scores. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1969, 10, 373-377. (a)
- Elton, C. F., & Rose, H. A. Personality assessments compared with personality inferred from occupational choices. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 329-334. (b)
- Eysenck, H. J. *The biological basis of personality*. Springfield, Ill.: Thomas, 1967.
- Eysenck, H. J., & Eysenck, S. G. *Personality structure and measurement*. San Diego: R. R. Knapp, 1969.
- Feather, N. T. Cognitive differentiation, attitude strength, and dogmatism. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 111-126.
- Feirstein, A. Personality correlates of tolerance for unrealistic experiences. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1967, 31, 387-395.
- Feldman, K. A., & Newcomb, T. M. *The impact of college on students*. Vol. I. *An analysis of four decades of research*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969. (a)
- Feldman, K. A., & Newcomb, T. M. *The impact of college on students*. Vol. II. *Summary tables*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969. (b)
- Finney, J. C. Relations and meaning of the new MMPI scales. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 18, 459-470.

- Fisher, M. B., & Noble, J. L. *College education as personal development*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1960.
- Floyd, W. A. A new approach to measuring the developing personality configuration of college students. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1963, 5, 93-96.
- Fong, S. M., & Peskin, H. Sex-role strain and personality adjustment of China-born students in America: A pilot study. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 1969, 74, 563-567.
- Frederiksen, N., & Messick, S. Responses set as a measure of personality. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1959, 19, 137-157.
- Freedman, M. B. Some observations on personality development in college women. *Student Medicine*, 1960, 8, 228-245.
- Freedman, M. B. Influence of college experience on personality development. *Psychological Reports*, 1961, 8, 21-22.
- Freedman, M. B. Studies of college alumni. In N. Sanford (Ed.), *The American college: A psychological and social interpretation of the higher learning*. New York: Wiley, 1962.
- Freedman, M. B. Personality growth in the college years. *College Board Review*, 1965, 56, 25-32.
- Freedman, M. B. *Impact of college*. Washington: United States Government Printing Office, 1966.
- Freedman, M. B. *The college experience*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1967.
- Freedman, M. B., & Bereiter, C. A longitudinal study of personality development in college alumnae. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly of Behavior and Development*, 1963, 9, 295-302.
- Frick, J. W., et al. A factor-analytic study of flexibility in thinking. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1959, 19, 469-496.
- Frumkin, R. M. Some factors in painting preferences among college students: An empirical study in the sociology of art. *Journal of Human Relations*, 1960, 9, 107-120.
- Gallagher, B. G. *American caste and the Negro college*. New York: Gordian Press, 1966.

Geiwitz, P. J. *Non-Freudian personality theories*. Belmont, Calif.: Brooks/Cole, 1969.

Gilbert, A. R. Use of the latency principle in personality assessment. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, **25**, 668.

Goldstein, H. The biochemical variability of the individual in relation to personality and intelligence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 1935, **18**, 348-371.

Goodstein, L. D. Regional differences in MMPI responses among male college students. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1954, **18**, 437-441.

Grebstein, L. C. *Toward self-understanding: Studies in personality and adjustment*. Glenview, Ill.: Scott, Foresman, 1969.

Grossack, M. M., Armstrong, T., & Lussiev, G. Correlates of self-actualization. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, 1966, **6**, 87-88.

Haggard, E. A. Personality dynamics and intellectual achievement. In L. B. Murphy & E. Raushenbush (Eds.), *Achievement in the college years: A record of intellectual and personal growth*. New York: Harper, 1960.

Haiman, F. S., & Duns, D. F. Validations in communicative behavior of attitude-scale measures of dogmatism. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1964, **64**, 287-297.

Hall, C. S., & Lindzey, G. *Theories of personality*. New York: Wiley, 1957.

Hamachek, D. E., & Mori, T. Need structure, personal adjustment, and academic self-concept of beginning education students. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1964, **58**, 159-162.

Hanson, D. J. Dogmatism and authoritarianism. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, **76**, 89-95.

Harvey, O. J. (Ed.) *Experience, structure and adaptability*. New York: Springer, 1966.

Hassenger, R. (Ed.) *The shape of Catholic higher education*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1967.

Heath, D. H. *Explorations of maturity: Studies of mature and immature college men*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1965.

Heath, D. H. *Growing up in college: Liberal education and maturity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.

- Heath, R. *The reasonable adventurer: A study of the development of thirty-six undergraduates at Princeton*. Pittsburgh, Pa.: University of Pittsburgh Press, 1964.
- Heath, S. R. The reasonable adventurer and others—A two factor model of ego functioning. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1959, 6, 3-12.
- Heidenreich, C. A. *Personality and social adjustment: Some dimensions of personal development*. Dubuque, Iowa: Brown, 1967.
- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr. Parental identification and college adjustment. *Psychological Reports*, 1962, 10, 853-854.
- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr. Evidence regarding the equivalence of ipsative and normative personality scales. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1963, 27, 152-156.
- Hildebrand, H. P. A factorial study of introversion-extroversion. *British Journal of Psychology*, 1958, 49, 1-11.
- Hoffman, M. L. Conformity as a defense mechanism and a form of resistance to genuine group influence. *Journal of Personality*, 1957, 25, 412-424.
- Hollander, E. P. Some points of reinterpretation regarding social conformity. *Sociological Review*, 1959, 7, 159-168.
- Honigmann, J. J. *Personality in culture*. New York: Harper & Row, 1967.
- Hood, A. B. Educational and personality factors associated with unusual patterns of parental education. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1967, 61, 32-34.
- Horst, P. *Personality: Measurement of dimensions*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.
- Hosford, R. E., & Briskin, A. S. Changes through counseling. *Review of Educational Research*, 1969, 39, 189-207.
- Hoyt, D. P. The impact of student personnel work on student development. *NASPA Journal*, 1968, 5, 269-275.
- Hunt, M. F., Jr., & Miller, G. R. Open- and closed-mindedness, belief-discrepant communication behavior, and tolerance for cognitive inconsistency. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 8, 35-37.
- Inkeles, A., & Levinson, D. J. National character: The study of model person-

- ality and sociocultural systems. In G. Lindzey (Ed.), *Handbook of social psychology*. Cambridge: Addison-Wesley, 1954.
- Izard, C. E. Personality change during college years. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1962, 26, 482.
- Izard, C. E. Personality similarity and friendship: A follow-up study. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, 66, 598-600.
- Jackson, R., & Winkler, R. C. A comparison of pledges and independents. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, 43, 379-382.
- Jahoda, M. Conformity and independence: A psychological analysis. *Human Relations*, 1959, 12, 99-120.
- Jamison, K., & Comrey, A. L. Further study of dependence as a personality factor. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 22, 239-242.
- Jamison, K., & Comrey, A. L. A comparison of personality factor structure in British and American university students. *Journal of Psychology*, 1959, 71, 45-57.
- Johnson, H. H., & Steiner, I. D. Some effects of discrepancy level on relationships between authoritarianism and conformity. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1967, 73, 199-204.
- Jones, M. B. Religious values and authoritarian tendency. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1958, 48, 83-89.
- Kafka, E., & Reiser, M. F. Defensive and adaptive ego processes. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1967, 16, 34-40.
- Kagan, J., & Moss, H. A. *Birth to maturity: A study in psychological development*. New York: Wiley, 1962.
- Kanter, S. A., & Brown, D. R. Personality change as a validation of the Be-reiter Differential-Change Scales. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, 28, 125-139.
- Katz, Jr., et al. *No time for youth: Growth and constraint in college students*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.
- Ketterling, M. E., & Stockey, M. R. Personality change during nursing education. *Measurement and Evaluation in Guidance*, 1968, 1, 175-181.
- Kiesler, C. A., & Kiesler, S. B. *Conformity*. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1969.

- Kilpatrick, D. G., & Cauthen, N. R. The relationship of ordinal position, dogmatism, and personal sexual attitudes. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, 73, 115-120.
- Kipnis, D. Behavior correlates of character maturity. *American Psychologist*, 1966, 21, 628.
- Kipnis, D. Social immaturity, intellectual ability, and adjustive behavior in college. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1968, 52, 71-80. (a)
- Kipnis, D. Studies in character structure. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 8, 217-227. (b)
- Kipnis, D., & Wagner, C. Character structure and response to leadership power. *Journal of Experimental Research in Personality*, 1967, 2, 16-24.
- Kirtley, D., & Harkless, R. Some personality and attitudinal correlates of dogmatism. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 24, 851-854.
- Kleinmuntz, B. Annotated bibliography of MMPI research among college populations. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1962, 9, 373-396.
- Koile, E. A., & Hays, L. D. Do head residents advance student development? *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1962, 4, 90-94.
- Korn, H. A. Personality scale changes from the freshman year to the senior year. In J. Katz, et al. *No time for youth: Growth and constraint in college students*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.
- Korn, H. A. Higher education programs and student development. *Review of Educational Research*, 1969, 39, 155-171.
- Krout, M. H., & Stagner, R. Personality development in radicals: A comparative study. *Sociometry*, 1939, 2(1), 31-46.
- La Forge, R., & Suczek, R. F. The interpersonal dimension of personality: III. An interpersonal check list. *Journal of Personality*, 1955, 24, 94-112.
- Lantz, H. R., & McCrary, J. S. An analysis of parent-student relationships of university commuters and non-commuters. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1955, 2, 43-46.
- Lazarus, R. S. *Adjustment and personality*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1961.
- Lazarus, R. S. *Patterns of adjustment and human effectiveness*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.

Leeper, R. W., & Madison, P. *Toward understanding human personalities*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1959.

Lehmann, I. J. Curricular differences in selected cognitive and affective characteristics. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1965, 2, 103-110.

Lehmann, I. J., Sinha, B. K., & Hartnett, R. T. Changes in attitudes and values associated with college attendance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1966, 57, 89-98.

Leichty, M. M. The effect of father-absence during early childhood upon the oedipal situation as reflected in young adults. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly of Behavior and Development*, 1960, 6, 212-217.

Letchworth, G. E. The mystique of the college athlete. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 54-59.

Leuba, C. *Personality, interpersonal relations, and self-understanding*. Columbus, Ohio: Merrill Books, 1962.

Leventhal, D. B., Shämbert, K. M., & Schoelandt, S. V. Effects of sex-role adjustment upon the expression of aggression. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 8, 393-396.

Levin, M. M. Congruence and developmental changes in authoritarianism in college students. In J. Katz (Ed.), *Growth and constraint in college students: A study of the varieties of psychological development*. Stanford: Stanford University, Institute for the Study of Human Problems, 1967.

Leyne, L. S. *Personal and social development: The psychology of effective behavior*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1963.

Levy, L. H., & Dugan, R. D. A factorial study of personal constructs. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1956, 20, 53-57.

Linton, R. *The cultural background of personality*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1945.

Loehlin, J. C. *Computer models of personality*. New York: Random House, 1968.

Loevinger, J. The meaning and measurement of ego development. *American Psychologist*, 1966, 21, 195-206.

Lohnes, P. R. *Measuring adolescent personality: Project Talent five-year follow-up studies*. (United States Office of Education Project No. 3051.) Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh, 1966.

- Lundin, R. W. *Personality: A behavioral analysis*. New York: Macmillan, 1969.
- Lundin, R. W., & Kuhn, J. P. The relationship between scholarship achievement and changes in personality adjustment in men after four years of college attendance. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1960, 63, 35-42.
- MacKinnon, D. W. Personality and the realization of creative potential. *American Psychologist*, 1965, 20, 273-281.
- MacKinnon, W. J., & Centers, R. Authoritarianism and urban stratification. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1956, 61, 610-620.
- Maddi, S. R. *Personality theories: A comparative analysis*. Homewood, Ill.: Dorsey Press, 1968.
- Madison, P. *Personality development in college*. Reading, Mass: Addison-Wesley, 1969.
- Marcia, J. E. Ego identity status: Relationship to change in self-esteem, "general maladjustment," and authoritarianism. *Journal of Personality*, 1967, 35, 118-133.
- Marquis, D. G. College influences on personality development: Report of a research planning conference. *Items*, 1958, 12, 27-30.
- Matkom, A. J. Impression formation as a function of adjustment. *Psychological Monographs*, 1963, 77(5, Whole No. 568).
- McArthur, C. Personality differences between middle and upper classes. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, 50, 247-254.
- McArthur, C. Sub-culture and personality during the college years. *Journal of Educational Sociology*, 1960, 33, 260-268.
- McClain, E. W. Personality characteristics of Negro college students in the south—A recent appraisal. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1967, 36, 320-325.
- McCullers, J. C., & Plant, W. T. Personality and social development: Cultural influences. *Review of Educational Research*, 1964, 34, 599-610.
- McGee, R. K. The relationship between response style and personality variables: I. The measurement of response acquiescence. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1962, 64, 229-233.
- McGuigan, F. J. Psychological changes related to intercultural experiences. *Psychological Reports*, 1958, 4, 55-60.

- McKeachie, W. J. Significant student and faculty characteristics relevant to personalizing higher education. In J. B. Minter (Ed.), *The individual and the system: Personalizing higher education*. Boulder, Colo.: Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education, 1967.
- Meade, R. D., & Whittaker, J. O. A cross-cultural study of authoritarianism. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1967, 72, 3-7.
- Mednick, M. T., & Mednick, S. A. (Eds.) *Research in personality*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1963.
- Mehrabian, A. *An analysis of personality theories*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1968.
- Meredith, G. M., & Harris, M. M. Personality traits of college women in beginning swimming: *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, 29, 216-218.
- Merenda, P. F., & Clarke, W. V. Influence of college experience on the self-concepts of young male job applicants. *Journal of Psychological Studies*, 1961, 12, 49-60.
- Messick, S. Response style and content measures from personality inventories. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1962, 22, 41-56.
- Miller, E. O. Nonacademic changes in college students. *Educational Record*, 1959, 40, 118-122.
- Millon, T. (Ed.) *Approaches to personality*. New York: Pitman, 1968.
- Mischel, W. *Personality and assessment*. New York: Wiley, 1968.
- Morse, H. T., & Dressel, P. L. (Eds.) *General education for personal maturity: The role of courses in personal adjustment, preparation for marriage, and vocational planning*. Dubuque: Brown, 1960.
- Munsterberg, E., & Mussen, P. H. The personality structures of art students. *Journal of Personality*, 1953, 21, 457-466.
- Murphy, E. B., et al. Development of autonomy and parent-child interaction in late adolescence. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1963, 33, 643-652.
- Murphy, L. B., & Raushenbush, E. (Eds.) *Achievement in the college years: A record of intellectual and personal growth*. New York: Harper, 1960.
- Murray, H. A. *Explorations in personality: A clinical and experimental study of fifty men of college age*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1938.

- Myers, D. G., & Arenson, S. J. Stimulus factors in conformity. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, 76, 37-41.
- Newcomb, T. M. Research on student characteristics: Current approaches. In L. E. Dennis & J. F. Kauffman (Eds.), *The college and the student: An assessment of relationships and responsibilities in undergraduate education by administrators, faculty members, and public officials*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1966.
- Newman, C. A study of underachievement in an average college population. In M. G. Gottsegen & G. B. Gottsegen (Eds.), *Professional school psychology: III*. New York: Grune & Stratton, 1969.
- Nichols, R. C. Personality change and the college. *American Educational Research Journal*, 1967, 4, 173-190.
- Nisbett, R. E., & Gordon, A. Self-esteem and susceptibility to social influence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 5, 268-276.
- Nixon, R. E. *The art of growing: A guide to psychological maturity*. New York: Random House, 1962.
- Norbeck, E., Price-Williams, D., & McCord, W. M. *The study of personality: An interdisciplinary approach*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1968.
- Norman, R. O., & Redlo, M. MMPI personality patterns for various college major groups. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1952, 36, 404-409.
- Parsons, T. *Social structure and personality*. London: Collier-Macmillan, 1964.
- Patterson, C. H. Effects of counselor education on personality. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 444-448.
- Pearson, P. H. Openness to experience as related to organismic valuing. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 481-496.
- Pedersen, D. M. Evaluation of self and others and some personality correlates. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, 71, 225-244.
- Phares, J. E., Ritchie, D. E., & Davis, W. L. Internal-external control and reaction to threat. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 10, 402-405.
- Phelps, R. E., & Meyer, M. E. Personality and conformity, and sex differences. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 18, 730.

Pikunas, J., & Albrecht, E. J. *Psychology of human development*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1961.

Plant, W. T. Longitudinal evaluation of nonintellectual changes associated with a special two-year humanities program. *Psychological Reports*, 1964, 15, 225-226.

Plant, W. T. Longitudinal changes in intolerance and authoritarianism for subjects differing in amount of college education over four years. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1965, 72, 247-287.

Plant, W. T. Changes in intolerance and authoritarianism for sorority and nonsorority women enrolled in college for two years. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1966, 68, 79-83.

Plant, W., & Minium, E. W. Differential personality development in young adults of markedly different aptitude levels. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, 58, 141-152.

Plant, W. T., & Telford, C. W. Changes in personality for groups completing different amounts of college over two years. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1966, 74, 3-36.

Pullias, E. V., et al. *Toward excellence in college teaching*. Dubuque: Brown, 1964.

Publinskas, A. L. Personality changes in college women during four years of college experience. *Proceedings Iowa Academic Science*, 1952, 59, 389-391.

Rabinowitz, M. The relationship of self regard to the effectiveness of life experiences. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 139-143.

Raushenbush, E. *The student and his studies*. Middletown, Conn.: Wesleyan University Press, 1964.

Reece, M. M. Masculinity and femininity: A factor analytic study. *Psychological Reports*, 1964, 14, 123-139.

Rich, G. J. A biochemical approach to the study of personality. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1928, 23, 158-175.

Robertson, A. E., & Stromberg, E. L. The stability of personality ratings during college residence. *School and Society*, 1939, 50, 639-640.

Rogers, C. R. The necessary and sufficient conditions of therapeutic personality change. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1957, 21, 95-103.

- Rokeach, M. The nature and meaning of dogmatism. *Psychological Review*, 1954, 61, 194-204.
- Rokeach, M., et al. *The open and closed mind: Investigations into the nature of belief systems and personality systems*. New York: Basic Books, 1960.
- Rule, B. G., & Sandilands, M. L. Test anxiety, confidence, commitment, and conformity. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 460-467.
- Ryan, T. A., & Krumboltz, J. D. Effect of planned reinforcement counseling on client decision-making behavior. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1964, 11, 315-323.
- Sage, E. H. Developmental scales for college freshmen. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 381-385.
- Sahakian, W. S. (Ed.) *Psychology of personality: Readings in theory*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1965.
- Sampson, E. E. Birth order, need achievement, and conformity. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1962, 64, 155-159.
- Sanford, N. Personality development during the college years. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1956, 35, 74-80.
- Sanford, N. Developmental status of the entering freshman. In N. Sanford (Ed.), *The American college: A psychological and social interpretation of the higher learning*. New York: Wiley, 1962. (a)
- Sanford, N. Implications of personality studies for curriculum and personnel planning. In R. L. Sutherland, et al. (Eds.), *Personality factors on the college campus: Review of a symposium*. Austin: University of Texas, Hogg Foundation for Mental Health, 1962. (b)
- Sanford, N. *Self and society: Social change and individual development*. New York: Atherton, 1966.
- Sanford, N. *Where colleges fail: A study of the student as a person*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1967.
- Sanford, N. Education for individual development. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1968, 38, 858-868.
- Sanford, N., Webster, H., & Freedman, M. Impulse expression as a variable of personality. *Psychological Monographs*, 1957, 71(11, Whole No. 440).
- Sanford, R. N. The development of maturity of personality in college. In Se-

- lection and educational differentiation. Berkeley: University of California, Field Service Center and Center for the Study of Higher Education, 1959.
- Sarason, I. G. *Personality: An objective approach*. New York: Wiley, 1966.
- Sarason, I. G. (Ed.) *Contemporary research in personality*. (2nd ed.) Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1969.
- Sarnoff, I. *Personality dynamics and development*. New York: Wiley, 1962.
- Saul, L. J. *Emotional maturity*. (2nd ed.) Philadelphia: Lippincott, 1960.
- Schale, K. W. Differences in some personal characteristics of "rigid" and "flexible" individuals. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1958, 14, 11-14.
- Schalock, R. L., & MacDonald, P. Personality variables associated with reactions to frustration. *Journal of Projective Techniques and Personality Assessment*, 1966, 30, 158-160.
- Schroder, H. M., & Rotter, J. B. Rigidity as learned behavior. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 1952, 44, 141-150.
- Scott, F. D. *The American experience of Swedish students: Retrospect and aftermath*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1956.
- Secord, P. F., & Backman, C. W. Personality theory and the problem of stability and change in individual behavior: An interpersonal approach. *Psychological Review*, 1961, 68, 21-32.
- Segal, S. J. Student development and counseling. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 1968, 19, 497-508.
- Shaffer, L. F., & Shoben, E. J., Jr. *The psychology of adjustment: A dynamic and experimental approach to personality and mental hygiene*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1956.
- Shapiro, K. J., & Alexander, I. E. Extraversion-introversion, affiliation, and anxiety. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 387-406.
- Shontz, F. C. *Research methods in personality*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1965.
- Siebert, L. A. Personality development and classroom procedures. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1964, 12, 5-8.
- Simono, R. B. Personality characteristics of athletes. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 109-111.

- Singer, S. Review and discussion of the literature on personality development during college. In J. Katz (Ed.), *Growth and constraint in college students: A study of the varieties of psychological development*. Stanford: Stanford University, Institute for the Study of Human Problems, 1967.
- Smith, H. C. *Personality development*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1968.
- Smith, W. G., Hansell, N., & English, J. T. Values and mental health in a college population: A follow-up report. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 1965, **140**, 92-95.
- Smock, C. D. The influence of psychological stress on the "intolerance of ambiguity." *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, **50**, 177-182.
- Soccolich, C., & Wysocki, B. A. Draw-a-person protocols of male and female college students. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1967, **25**, 873-879.
- Solomon, L. N. A group program for high-potential underachieving college freshmen. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1969, **39**, 304-305.
- Spitzer, S. P. (Ed.). *The sociology of personality: An enduring problem in psychology*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1969.
- Stein, M. I. Criteria of nonintellectual aspects of personality. *Proceedings of the 1957 Invitational Conference on Testing Problems*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1958.
- Steiner, I. D., & Johnson, H. H. Authoritarianism and conformity. *Sociometry*, 1963, **26**, 21-34.
- Steiner, J. D., & Vannoy, J. S. Personality correlates of two types of conformity behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, **4**, 307-315.
- Steinzor, B. The development of intellectual qualities through the college years. In L. B. Murphy & E. Raushenbush (Eds.), *Achievement in the college years: A record of intellectual and personal growth*. New York: Harper, 1960.
- Stephenson, R. W., & Treadwell, Y. Personality variables related to the effectiveness of a creativity training program. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1966, **35**(2), 64-75.
- Sternberg, C. Personality trait patterns of college students majoring in different fields. *Psychological Monographs*, 1955, **69**(18, Whole No. 403).

Stewart, L. H. Change in personality test scores during college. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1964, 11, 211-220. (a)

Stewart, L. H. Selected correlates of the Specialization Level Scale of the Strong Vocational Interest Blank. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, 42, 867-873. (b)

Stone, C. L. Sorority status and personality adjustment. *American Sociological Review*, 1951, 16, 538-541.

Stotland, E., & Dunn, R. E. Identification, "oppositeness," authoritarianism, self-esteem, and birth order. *Psychological Monographs*, 1962, 76(9, Whole No. 528).

Stotland, E., & Hillmer, M. L., Jr. Identification, authoritarian defensiveness, and self-esteem. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1962, 64, 334-342.

Suinn, R. M. *The predictive validity of projective measures: A fifteen year evaluative review of research*. Springfield, Ill.: Thomas, 1969.

Sullivan, C., Grant, M. Q., & Grant, J. D. The development of interpersonal maturity: Applications to delinquency. *Psychiatry*, 1957, 20, 373-385.

Taft, R. Extraversion, neuroticism, and expressive behavior: An application of Wallach's moderator effect to handwriting analysis. *Journal of Personality*, 1967, 35, 570-584.

Teevan, R. C. Personality correlates of undergraduate field of specialization. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1954, 18, 212-214.

Telford, C. W., & Plant, W. T. *The psychological impact of the public two-year college on certain non-intellectual functions*. (United States Office of Education, Cooperative Research Branch Project SAE 8646) San Jose: San Jose State College, 1963.

Thorpe, L. P., & Schuller, A. M. *Personality: An interdisciplinary approach*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1959.

Tippett, J. S., & Silber, E. Autonomy of self-esteem. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1966, 14, 372-385.

Tomkins, S. S., & Izard, C. (Eds.) *Affect, cognition, and personality: Empirical studies*. New York: Springer, 1965.

Tooley, K. A developmental problem of late adolescence: Case report. *Psychiatry*, 1968, 31, 69-83.

Trent, J. W., & Medsker, L. L. *Beyond high school: A psychosociological study of 10,000 high school graduates*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.

Troll, L. E., Neugarten, B. L., & Kraines, R. J. Similarities in values and other personality characteristics in college students and their parents. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly of Behavior and Development*, 1969, 15, 323-336.

Ullman, A. D. *Sociocultural foundations of personality*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1965.

Van Kaam, A. L. *Religion and personality*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1964.

Vernon, P. E. *Personality assessment: A critical survey*. London: Methuen, 1963.

Wagman, M. Attitude change and authoritarian personality. *Journal of Psychology*, 1955, 40, 3-24.

Wallace, A. C. *Culture and personality*. New York: Random House, 1961.

Walsh, W. B., & Lacey, D. W. Perceived change and Holland's theory. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 348-352.

Warren, J. R., & Heist, P. A. Personality attributes of gifted college students. *Science*, 1960, 132, 330-337.

Watson, D. L. Introversion, neuroticism, rigidity, and dogmatism. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1957, 31, 105.

Weatherley, D. Self-perceived rate of physical maturation and personality in late adolescence. *Child Development*, 1964, 35, 1197-1210. Reprinted in R. E. Grinder (Ed.), *Studies in adolescence: A book of readings in adolescent development*. (2nd ed.) London: Collier-Macmillan, 1969.

Webster, H. Some quantitative results. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1956, 12(4), 29-41.

Webster, H., Freedman, M., & Heist, P. Personality changes in college students. In N. Sanford (Ed.), *The American college: A psychological and social interpretation of the higher learning*. New York: Wiley, 1962.

Webster, H., Sanford, N., & Freedman, M. A new instrument for studying authoritarianism in personality. *Journal of Psychology*, 1955, 40, 73-84.

Werner, A. C., & Gottheil, E. Personality development and participation in college athletics. *Research Quarterly*, 1966, 37, 126-131.

- Wessell, A., & Flaherty, S. M., Sr. Changes in CPI scores after one year of college. *Journal of Psychology*, 1964, 57, 235-238.
- White, R. W. *Lives in progress: A study of the natural growth of personality*. (2nd ed.) New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1966.
- Williams, R. L., & Cole, S. A study of MMPI norms for college students. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1968, 61, 347.
- Wilson, J. R., Robeck, M. C., & Michael, W. B. *Psychological foundations of learning and teaching*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.
- Windholz, G. The relation of creativity and intelligence constellations to traits of temperament, interest, and value in college students. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1968, 79, 291-299.
- Winter, S. K., Griffith, J. C., & Kolb, D. A. Capacity for self-direction. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1968, 32, 35-41.
- Zagona, S. V., & Zurcher, L. A., Jr. Participation, interaction, and role behavior in groups selected from the extremes of the open-closed cognitive continuum. *Journal of Psychology*, 1964, 58, 255-264.
- Zeleny, L. D. Experimental appraisal of a group learning plan. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1940, 34, 37-42.
- Zytowski, D. G. Characteristics of male university students with weak occupational similarity on the Strong Vocational Interest Blank. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1965, 12, 182-185.

#### Development of Optimal Psychological and Physical Health

Psychological adjustment and physical health are considered to be two complementary aspects of the overall well-being of the individual. In fact, problems in the one area can have important repercussions in the other area. College officials have long been concerned with optimum positive development of the individual in both the psychological and the physical realms. Indicative of this was the early formation (in 1920) of the American College Health Association, plus the publication of several books and monographs on this topic, e.g., the American College Personnel Association monograph, *College Health Services in the United States* (Farnsworth, 1965).

Further evidences of college concern in the physical health area are the provisions for student infirmaries and campus hospitals, required courses in health education, first-year physical education course requirements, organized recreational and fitness programs in intramural athletics and other activities, information programs on drugs and other abuses, off-campus housing requirements, etc. The growing ill-repute of the *in loco parentis* con-

cept has radically changed some of these programs, but colleges retain a concern for the physical health of their students.

Whether the success of such programs of physical health should be considered college success or not could be debatable. However, there has been increased national concern during the past decade about physical fitness, about drug problems on campus, and about various other health issues. As early as 1947, health care in higher education was a major concern of the federal government. One of the 11 goals of higher education outlined by the President's Commission on Higher Education was "to improve and maintain his own health and to cooperate actively and intelligently in solving community health problems." This type of concern prompted the inclusion of this area along with the related area of psychological adjustment (which was also cited by the commission: "To obtain a satisfactory emotional and social adjustment") as a topic appropriate to the aims of this book.

Student psychological adjustment, or mental health, has been of vital concern to college officials. This problem is becoming more pronounced as the pace of society continues to increase, especially on college campuses. Many of the students are still in a troubled period of adolescence when they suddenly enter into an entirely new world. During periods of excessive stress, such as during final exams, they may be overcome by anxiety and despair. As documented by several articles listed in this section, suicides occur more often among college students (percentage-wise) than in any other group within our society.

A number of students are already troubled by emotional problems when they enter college, and these may become more severe if not detected and treated. The problem for colleges is increasing because of open-door admission policies and societal pressures for bringing in more economically and socially disadvantaged people. These students may have strong motivations to succeed in college, but they often have underdeveloped skills for coping with their independence along with the requirements of the college environment. There is a special need for the college to help such students to adjust and learn to cope.

In the psychological adjustment and physical health area, there has been almost a complete lack of studies concerned with college student physical health. On the other hand, an abundance of research has dealt with the psychological adjustment of college students; and much of the research covered in the personality change section is also related to psychological adjustment. However, few studies have dealt with the effects of college on psychological adjustment other than the negative aspect of "causes of maladjustment." Those studies exploring mean change in psychological adjustment have found a general improvement in adjustment during the college years, but this may very well be the result of increased maturation with age rather than the result of college experiences. An emphasis on the college helping develop optimum psychological adjustment has been exhibited by many counseling studies, but they have dealt primarily with maladjusted individuals.

### Success Viewed as Development of Optimal Psychological and Physical Health: Selected Annotations

Bonney (1967) sought to determine if students rated "high normal personality" and "low normal personality" on the basis of student and faculty nominations could be reliably differentiated in their motivations as these were revealed through content analysis of essays written by the students. Also studied were the relationships between self-rated personality assessments and self-expressed motivations. Forty-two state university nonfreshman students in each group were studied. Reliable differences between the two groups were found for four of the eleven content-analysis categories. When motivations of these four categories were related to traits measured on four personality self-rating scales, it was shown that the most significant agreements were on those trait-syndromes described in both assessments.

In an earlier study (1966) the author used sentence-completion responses to differentiate college students who could deal adequately with sexual and aggressive feelings from those who indicated an avoidance or denial of feelings. High scores on the test (which was designed by Mainord and Goldstein) indicated the ability to deal with sexual and aggressive feelings; low scores indicated avoidance or denial of feelings. In this study reliability was determined by the degree of agreement among three independent scorers. The results indicated that the better-adjusted group gave more expressive and individualistic responses ( $p < .01$ ).

---

Braaten and Darling (1965) studied systematically overt and covert homosexual problems among male college students. Over a period of three academic years, a total of 76 male students with homosexual problems had been patients at the Mental Health Division of the Student Medical Clinic at Cornell University and had completed data on the *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory* (MMPI), the *Mooney Problem Check List*, the Student Health Record, and the Clinic Folders. The group of students was divided into two subgroups: (a) *Overt Homosexual Group* (N 42)—men who had overtly acted out their homosexual desires and (b) *Covert Homosexual Group* (N 34)—men who were homosexually oriented in their impulses, fantasies, and dreams, but not in their overt behavior. A control group was also formed of men who had not shown serious overt or covert homosexual problems, but who were regular and equally disturbed patients during the 1961-62 school year.

Data for the three groups were compared using chi-square analysis and *t* tests. When the Overts and Coverts were compared, significant differences in field of specialization were discovered; and the Overts scored higher on the MMPI Psychopathic Deviation Scale, while the Coverts scored higher in the Social Introversion Scale. When the total group with homosexual prob-

lems was compared with the control group, it was discovered that the homosexual group was overrepresented in the College of Arts and Sciences, the Architecture School, and the Hotel School; while the College of Agriculture, the College of Engineering, and the Graduate School contributed more to the control group. Although the two groups were about equal in aptitude, the homosexual group had higher academic achievement than did the controls (which suggests that they are more ambitious and hardworking); and they showed more liking for and participation in the fine arts, dramatics, and literature. The percentage of Jewish students was almost twice as large for the control group as for the homosexual group. Although the homosexuals displayed more effeminacy than did the controls (a score of 78 on the MMPI Masculinity-Femininity Scale), less than one-third of them showed any signs of being effeminate in their facial expressions, voice, gestures, dress, or walk. Two of the other findings were that the homosexual group had almost three times as large a percentage of close-binding-intimate mothers (55% of the homosexual students having mothers who had an extraordinary intimacy with their sons compared with a percentage of 20 for the control group) and almost twice as large a percentage of hostile or indifferent fathers (42% for the homosexuals versus 24% for the controls).

---

Chambers, Wilson, and Barger (1968) attempted to determine the relationship between mental health, personality factors, and academic adjustment. The sample included 2,744 students with religious affiliation and 100 without a religious affiliation at the University of Florida. Instruments used included the *Picture Identification Test* to measure judgments pertaining to 21 needs of the Murray Needs System.

A chi-square analysis suggested that students without religious affiliation have more adjustment problems than other students. Significant differences were found on the Judgment and Association Index need measures which had been found to be related to adjustment in previous studies. The religious nonaffiliators had more inner conflicts caused by the simultaneous arousal of incompatible or opposing needs, and many of them appeared unable to perceive clearly purposes and goals in life. They tended to deny responsibility for others. However, they were more independent, free, and unrestrained than those students with a religious affiliation.

---

Eastman, Fromhart, and Fulghum (1969) attempted to investigate the relationship between sexual problems and personality development in unmarried women undergraduates at the University of North Carolina. The students in the sample were divided into three groups according to the basis of their complaint: (a) Group 1—women whose presenting problem was concern over their sexual behavior, (b) Group 2—women who admitted having sexual

difficulties which were not the primary concern at the time of consultation, and (c) a control group of women who consulted the psychiatric section at the university but did not reveal any concern over sexual behavior. Psychiatric interview and diagnostic records for each student plus the validity and clinical scales of the *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory* were used in the analyses.

When *t*-test comparisons of group means were conducted, it was discovered that Groups 1 and 2 had more difficulty with impulse control and greater feelings of inadequacy and alienation than did the control group. A comparison of Groups 1 and 2 revealed that Group 2 had more severe symptoms, somatic complaints, depression, impulsivity, defensive projection, inadequacy, social withdrawal, generalized anxiety, and less ego strength than did the women in Group 1. The data seemed to indicate that the women who readily admitted sexual difficulties as a significant problem tended to be better adjusted than those who did not.

---

Foreman (1966) hypothesized that eleven characteristics "which hold positive value within our middle-class society" would typify optimal psychological health in college students. These characteristics are: (a) active involvement with the environment, (b) social orientation, (c) admission of personal problems, (d) spontaneity, (e) flexibility, (f) expression of affect, (g) self-other orientation, (h) openness to experience, (i) close interpersonal relationships, (j) autonomy, and (k) anticipation of outcomes.

From a population of nearly 10,000 Ohio State University undergraduate students, 48 were nominated by two or more instructors or residence hall counselors as best typifying optimal psychological health. Of these 48 students, the 31 enrolled in the College of Education were selected for the "positive mental health" group, and 29 agreed to participate. There were five times as many women in the group as men. Matched to this group on the basis of age, sex, aptitude, and number of academic quarters completed were students who received no nominations for positive mental health; and this constituted the "normal mental health" group.

The two groups of 29 students each, one representing normal and the other representing optimal levels of psychological health, were compared through use of the *Activities Participation Questionnaire*, *Strong Vocational Interest Blank*, *Mooney Problem Check List*, *Reflex Reserve Method*, and ratings of small group discussion. Although there was considerable overlap between the two groups, the optimally healthy students did differ from the normal students, and in the expected directions, on most of the dimensions. They were more actively involved in their immediate environment (participated more in university-affiliated social and academic activities and assumed more positions of leadership in these activities), were more open about their personal

problems and limitations, were more spontaneous about their positive and negative feelings, and related better to others in small discussion groups:

---

As a follow-up to the Foreman Study, Cope (1969) compared oral language patterns for the same two groups of students. The groups were intermixed in discussions involving three or four persons for a given topic. Sessions were taped so that every word could be recorded. A total of 75 linguistic variables emerged and were factor analyzed. Measures used were participation in the language space, parts of speech, vocabulary variability, time reference, use of negatives, and clarity of expression. Psychologically healthy students were expected to participate more in the discussions, show more maturity in the parts of speech used, exhibit more variety in vocabulary, be more oriented to the present and the future, and have clearer expression. *F*-ratio tests of differences and a follow-up factor analysis indicated that the major difference in oral language behavior between the two groups was in verbal fluency. The psychologically healthy students talked more and contributed more of all speech patterns than did students in the normal group. (The only other hypothesis even approaching significance was the one suggesting that the optimum health students would show more concern with the present and future and the normals more concern with the past as indicated by verb tense.) This may indicate that those recommending the students may primarily have been persuaded by fluency.

---

Horrall (1957) compared the personality, adjustment and academic performance of a group of 94 highly intelligent college freshmen with a group of 94 college freshmen of average ability matched on sex, veteran status, fraternity membership, and college of university registration. Group forms of the *Rorschach* and the *Thematic Apperception Test* (TAT) plus the *Spencer Experience Appraisal* were the instruments used.

When statistical tests of the differences between the two groups were conducted, it was discovered that the high-ability students had significantly fewer total needs, fewer needs for achievement and recognition, better overall adjustment, better "effect of environment on person," and better "reaction of person to environment." The high-ability students also had fewer conflicts about personal characteristics and school performance, and on the *Rorschach* they showed greater emphasis on small and unusual details and in loose and confused succession. They also had a higher level of maturity and showed better control. It was concluded that academic underachievement for brilliant students is a symptom of deep-seated personality problems.

---

Kysar (1964) presented personality characteristics and psychiatric problems of students at the Chicago Undergraduate Division of the University of Illi-

nois, a commuter university. His impressions were that many of the students at this nonresidential university were a selected group who chose this college for multiple reasons having to do with individual, family, and social pathology. These students appeared to have deferred the developmental task of leaving home and were generally entering college on a tentative, trial basis.

Typical personality characteristics and problems noted were social-sexual inadequacy, overcontrol of impulses, social isolation and indecision, conformity, dependency, and intense conflict with the parent of the same sex. It was hypothesized that these same characteristics and problems would predominate at other urban commuter colleges. It was further hypothesized that the psychiatric problems of urban commuter students differ significantly in type, severity, and frequency compared with the problems of students at residential colleges and universities. A conclusion was that there are many opportunities for preventive mental health measures on the urban commuter campus which show promise of achieving satisfying results. Fostering healthy secondary identifications with peer groups and faculty members would seem to be especially important.

---

**Pasca** (1968) studied the relationship between personality problems and physical symptoms for 150 Roosevelt University students who had three or more counseling interviews during the year. The students filled out a health appraisal form and were interviewed by a member of the health staff. One-third of the students complied and had more than one health service appointment, one-third had just requested an appointment with the health service, and one-third failed to be interviewed at the health service.

When the records of the students with more than one health service center appointment were reviewed, the 40 students who had received psychotherapy were found to have physical complaints relating to the head region; while the eight students who had received educational and vocational guidance had cut fingers, sprained ankles, and other similar injuries. The students with eye disorders felt inferior and inadequate, while those with ear disorders had an inability to concentrate and had free-floating anxiety.

The 46 students who did comply with the request for an interview at the health service were found to be the most chronically disturbed. The majority of them had poor academic records, and those who had excellent academic records used their performance to verify their worthiness. The difficulties of the "no compliance" group were diagnosed primarily as character disorders, schizoid personality, extreme depression, and paranoia.

---

**Ricks and Wessman** (1966) made an in-depth case study of one male undergraduate college student from a small Midwest city enrolled at Harvard University who was unequaled by his peers in his genuine, consistent zest and happiness. Given the code name "Winn," this student was picked for the study after his self-report indicated a higher general level of happiness than was indicated for other students. His happiness was confirmed by an exceptionally low score on the *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory* (MMPI) depression scale and by consistently high hedonic levels during an intensive 6-week study into the variations and levels of his moods.

Under a team of psychologists directed by Henry A. Murray, Winn was studied by many people using their own special methods, and this report of the research drew on everything known about Winn. To provide data, Winn wrote an autobiography; took more than a dozen tests (including *MMPI*, *Rorschach*, *Thematic Apperception Test*); confronted himself on film; and opened his memory, moods, and fantasies to psychological scrutiny.

Part of the reason for Winn's sustained happiness seemed rooted in his background and his gifts, but no single developmental success or trauma seemed to account for happiness or unhappiness. He was from a loving family that was respected in its community, that possessed sufficient means and opportunities, and that fostered growth and provided worthy and approachable models. Winn was gifted in face, form, intellect, health, and talent; and he was consistently successful in his enterprises and in his interpersonal relationships. He possessed self-esteem and confidence and had the organization, purpose, and mastery of himself necessary to attain his goals. He had steadfast optimism supported by a lively, active orientation toward the world, a love of human contact, and balanced, mature judgment. Winn's successes were not crass or calculating; and one of the important sources of his happiness was a reasonable willingness to accept limitations, to curb any inclinations toward narcissistic insatiability he may have had, and to tread the middle road between excess and deprivation with caution, intelligence, and due regard for his fellow man.

---

**Ross** (1969) surveyed British and United States studies of college suicides, and the survey indicated that the rate of suicide was unusually high among college students. Among college students, suicide is now the second greatest cause of death, and the rate is 50% higher than for other Americans of comparable age. Among the important causes are identity problems, academic competition or failure, social isolation, living environment, parental demands, financial pressures, lack of parental love or concern, and personal loss (such as of a parent in childhood). College males are more likely to commit suicide, and age is a factor; but it was concluded that there is no relation between suicidal tendencies and marital status, nationality, or religion. Depression is the usual precursor to suicide, and drugs did not seem to be

involved very often. A common view held by psychiatrists is that suicide is "a magical act, actuated to achieve irrational, delusional, and illusory ends."

The author expressed the opinion that suicides are predictable and preventable and that early recognition, especially of changes in behavior, mood, or academic performance, and prompt appropriate treatment can prevent suicide among college students. Suicide threat is the most important danger signal of all and should always be taken seriously. Repeated attempts at suicide should not be underestimated. The probability of actually committing suicide increases with each additional attempt.

---

Seiden (1966) studied 23 student suicides at the University of California, Berkeley, over a 10-year period (from 1952-1961) to identify distinctive attributes of the suicidal student and to determine the environmental conditions which heightened his susceptibility to suicide. He used the method of analytic epidemiology in comparing the subset of student suicides with the total student body population from which they were drawn. Sources of data included the death certificate (for the suicide victims), newspaper clippings, police files, and university records.

The percentage distributions for suicide students were significantly different from those of their fellow students when comparisons were made on the basis of age, class standing, major subject, nationality, emotional condition, and academic achievement. Those committing suicide tended to be older, more often graduate students, more often foreign students, more often psychiatric patients, and more often better academic achievers. Contrary to general belief, the largest percentage of the suicides occurred during the beginning weeks of the semester. Situational conditions precipitating suicide included scholastic anxieties, concern over physical health, and difficult interpersonal relationships.

---

Sinnott and Niedenthal (1968) investigated the use of indigenous volunteers in rehabilitation living units for emotionally disturbed college students at Kansas State University. In these units the resident staff was composed of volunteer students who were peers of their more disturbed classmates in both age and class. The volunteers were chosen on the basis of their ability and willingness to help and to participate with the students. So that the volunteers would not be encouraged to stand aloof from clients by developing the attachment of "junior therapists," major field was not considered in the selection of volunteers; and staff meetings did not dwell on the theoretical level.

The volunteers served as models for the clients, and close relationships were encouraged within the supportive but intensive living conditions of the

unit. Both clients and volunteers appeared to have gained from participating in the program. The volunteers' interest burned out after two or three semesters, but in that time they were able to separate their assumed and real motivation in helping others. The academic dropout rate in the client group was only 6 of 28 clients as opposed to an academic dropout rate of 50% in a similar pre-living unit population.

**Swensen (1962)** wished to test, for college coeds, Mowrer's assertion that neurosis is caused by the individual behaving in a way that is contrary to standards approved by his conscience. Therefore, he used stratified random methods to select a control group of women from the general undergraduate population at the University of Tennessee who were matched on age and class in school to 25 women students who had sought psychotherapy. Phi coefficients were computed for each of 30 case-history variables rated with the Pascal-Jenkins scale.

Sixteen of the phi coefficients were statistically significant ( $p < .05$ ). It was apparent that the group of coeds needing psychotherapy had less social activity but more sexual experience than did the control group. In addition, within the control group, girls who had had sexual intercourse had significantly more psychosomatic problems than did the girls who had not engaged in sexual intercourse.

As a follow-up to the 1962 study, **Swensen (1963)** repeated the procedures using 17 men who sought psychotherapy and a control group of 17 men selected at random who matched on age and class in school. This time only 5 of 33 phi coefficients were statistically significant ( $p < .05$ ). The control group then had more physical complaints than the neurotic men, belonged to more campus organizations, were more active in campus organizations, had more often "touched girls' breasts," and had masturbated more often. Thus, the hypothesis was supported that college men tend to feel sexual behavior is acceptable for themselves which results in no significant relationship between the presence of neurotic symptoms and involvement in sexual behavior.

**Wilson (1965)** used a questionnaire on dating activities to study the relation of sexual behaviors, values, and conflicts to reported happiness for a sample of 101 students from several different races and cultures who were enrolled in undergraduate psychology and anthropology classes at the University of Hawaii. Each student rated his happiness on a 0 to 10 scale and his religiosity on a 6-point scale; and then answered the questionnaire under four different sets of instructions: (a) Peer set—Circle the statements that describe behaviors you think your peer group would not seriously disapprove. (b) Behavior set—Circle all statements which describe how you would probably behave. (c) Ideal set—Circle the statements for behaviors you approve for your age and sex. (d) Parent set—Circle the statements you think your parents would not seriously disapprove.

The mean number of endorsements for males and females under each set was compared using *t* tests, and then all variables in the study were intercorrelated using Pearson product-moment correlations. Religiosity correlated negatively with liberality and positively with happiness ( $r = .33, p < .001$ ). Religiosity was the best predictor of avowed happiness; it had by far the largest correlation with happiness. In addition, after the mean happiness scores in each of the five religious categories were obtained, a perfect rank-order correlation between happiness and religiosity (as defined by the scale) was observed.

Other trends suggested a relationship between unhappiness and several measures of liberality and conflict. The results supported other studies showing a small relationship between adjustment and adherence to traditional, conservative values. Additional findings were that Caucasians were more liberal than orientals, males were more liberal than females, estimates of parental outlook were more liberal than the student's ideal behavior, and a male's own behavior was more liberal than his ideal. A female's behavior was more conservative than what her peers were believed to accept.

A follow-up to the above study (Miller and Wilson, 1968) also found little correlation between sexual behavior and adjustment. Evidently, the more a person profits from sexual expression and rewarding personal involvement, the more likely he is to suffer from guilt, and vice versa. Although they think honesty is often conducive to good interpersonal relationships and peace of mind, the authors do not believe (as Mowrer and Jourard appear to) that openness is a virtual panacea for mental illness.

---

Wright (1967) related student-reported personal stress sources to their personality adjustment for a group of 500 entering freshmen. A personality-adjustment score for each student was developed by adding up the deviations from 50 of *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory* subscale *T* scores (with the exception of *Mf* scale which has its meaning dependent on sex). Next, the 100 students having the highest total deviation score were combined to form the Maladjusted Group. Then the 100 students having the lowest deviation score were combined to form the Adjusted Group.

All students had been administered the Personal Rating Scale during fall registration. The students had indicated how much personal stress they perceived regarding each of the 26 items listed on the rating scale. When chi-square tests of significance were conducted to compare the two groups separately by sex, 12 of the tests were significant ( $p < .05$ ) for men: These included stresses associated with eating, depending on others, transportation, loneliness, nervousness, worrying, sleeping, physical appearance, girlfriends, mother, father, and part-time work. Only four of the items were found to be associated with adjustment for women: sleeping, worrying, depending on others, and mother.

### Success Viewed as Development of Optimal Psychological and Physical Health: Published Literature

- Abramowitz, S. I. Locus of control and self-reported depression among college students. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 149-150.
- Akka, R. I. The Middle Eastern student on the American college campus. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, 15, 251-254.
- Alfert, E. Self-concept of a college suicide. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1969, 10, 236-239.
- Alleman, S. A. Psychotherapeutic aspects of unsuccessful suicide attempts. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1965, 13, 390-398.
- Anderson, S. C. Effects of confrontation by high- and low-functioning therapists. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 411-416.
- Arkoff, A. *Adjustment and mental health*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1968.
- Armstrong, J. C. Perceived intimate friendship as a quasi-therapeutic agent. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 137-141.
- Asch, M. J. Nondirective teaching in psychology: An experimental study. *Psychological Monographs*, 1951, 65(4, Whole No. 321).
- Baker, R. W. Pattern of initial contacts with a university psychological clinic and its relation to academic stressors. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1963, 19, 361-363.
- Baker, R. W. Incidence of psychological disturbance in college students. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1965, 13, 532-540.
- Baker, R. W., & Nidorf, J. Pattern of occurrence of psychological disturbances in college students as a function of year level. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1964, 20, 530-531.
- Barger, B. Some relationships of physical education to mental health. *Journal of School Health*, 1968, 38, 65-68.
- Barron, F. An ego-strength scale which predicts response to psychotherapy. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1953, 17, 327-333.
- Barron, F. *Creativity and personal freedom*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1968.
- Barron, F. X. *Personal soundness in university graduate students: An experimental study of young men in the sciences and professions*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1954.

- Barron, F. X. *Creativity and psychological health: Origins of personal vitality and creative freedom*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1963.
- Bauman, M. H. Psychosocial problems in a men's college. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1969, **17**, 437-441.
- Bendig, A. W., & Martin, A. M. The factor structure and stability of fifteen human needs. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1962, **67**, 229-235.
- Berdie, R. F., & Stein, J. A comparison of new university students who do and do not seek counseling. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, **13**, 310-317.
- Berenson, B. G., & Carkhuff, R. R. *Sources of gain in counseling and psychotherapy: Readings and commentary*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1967.
- Berg, I. A. Word choice in the interview and personal adjustment. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1958, **5**, 130-135.
- Berryman, G. H. Obesity in college students. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1963, **11**, 300-311.
- Binns, W. A., Kerkman, D., & Schroeder, S. O. Destructive group dynamics: An account of some peculiar interrelated incidents of suicide and suicidal attempts in a university dormitory. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1966, **14**, 250-256.
- Blaine, G. B., Jr., & Carmen, L. R. Causal factors in suicidal attempts by male and female college students. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 1968, **125**, 834-837.
- Blaine, G. B., Jr., & McArthur, C. C. (Eds.) *Emotional problems of the student*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1961.
- Block, J., & Thomas, H. Is satisfaction with self a measure of adjustment? *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, **51**, 254-259.
- Bonney, M. E. A descriptive study of the normal personality. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1962, **18**, 256-266.
- Bonney, M. E. Some correlates of a social definition of normal personality. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1964, **20**, 415-422.
- Bonney, M. E. Sentence completion test differences between high and low groups of college students on a social criterion of normality. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1966, **22**, 217-219.

Bonney, M. E. Interrelationships between content analysis and personality self-ratings in studying high and low normality in a college population. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1967, **71**, 277-285.

Bonney, M. E. *The normal personality*. Berkeley: McCutchan, 1969.

Bower, E. M. Mental health in education. *Review of Educational Research*, 1968, **38**, 447-459.

Bown, O. H., & Richek, H. G. The mental health of commuter college students: A partial test of Kysar's hypothesis. *Mental Hygiene*, 1968, **52**, 354-359.

Boyce, R. M., & Thurlow, H. J. Characteristics of university students with emotional problems. *Canadian Psychiatric Association Journal*, 1969, **14**, 481-492.

Braaten, L. J. A descriptive study of schizophrenia in a college setting. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1961, **9**, 298-312.

Braaten, L. J. Suicidal tendencies among college students. *NASPA Journal*, 1965, **2**(3), 35-37.

Braaten, L. J., & Darling, C. D. Mental health services in college: Some statistical analyses. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1961, **10**, 235-253.

Braaten, L. J., & Darling, C. D. Suicidal tendencies among college students. *Psychiatric Quarterly*, 1962, **36**, 665-692.

Braaten, L. J., & Darling, C. D. Overt and covert homosexual problems among male college students. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1963, **11**, 326-333.

Braaten, L. J., & Darling, C. D. Overt and covert homosexual problems among male college students. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1965, **71**, 269-310.

Bradburn, N. M., & Caplovitz, D. *Reports on happiness: A pilot study of behavior related to mental health*. Chicago: Aldine, 1965.

Bradburn, N. M., & Noll, C. E. *The structure of psychological well-being*. Chicago: Aldine, 1969.

Brontman, M., & Halpern, W. I. Characterological problems in today's adolescent. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1969, **39**, 182-183.

- Brush, A. L., & Nelson, M. J. A followup study of students seen for psychiatric counseling: Ten or more years later. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1968, 16, 270-280.
- Bruyn, H. B., & Seiden, R. H. Student suicide: Fact or fancy? *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1965, 14, 69-77.
- Callis, R. Counseling. *Review of Educational Research*, 1963, 33, 179-187.
- Calvin, A. D., & Holtzman, W. H. Adjustment and the discrepancy between self concept and inferred self. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1953, 17, 39-44.
- Campbell, D. P. *The results of counseling: Twenty-five years later*. Philadelphia: Saunders, 1965.
- Carkhuff, R. R. *The counselor's contribution to facilitative processes*. Urbana, Ill.: Parkinson, 1967.
- Carkhuff, R. R. Differential functioning of lay and professional helpers. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 117-126.
- Carmen, L. R., Zerman, J. L., & Blaine, G. B., Jr. Use of the Harvard Psychiatric Service by athletes and non-athletes. *Mental Hygiene*, 1968, 52, 134-137.
- Carnes, G. D. Vocational interest characteristics of abnormal personalities. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1964, 11, 272-279.
- Cartwright, D. S., Kirtner, W. L., & Fiske, D. W. Method factors in changes associated with psychotherapy. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, 66, 164-175.
- Cash, W. L., Jr. Personal and social adjustment of youth. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1961, 3, 66-69.
- Cassell, W. A., Marty, F. N., & Richman, J. L. The prevalence of psychiatric symptomatology, in first year university students. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, 15, 335-340.
- Catron, D. W. Educational-vocational group counseling: The effects on perception of self and others. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 202-207.
- Chambers, J. L., Wilson, W. T., & Barger, B. Need differences between students with and without religious affiliation. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 208-210.

- Chiang, H., & Maslow, A. H. (Eds.) *The healthy personality: Readings*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1969.
- Chickering, A. W. Institutional differences and student characteristics. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1966, 15, 168-181.
- Chickering, A. W. Curriculum, teaching, and evaluation—Implications for student mental health development, and counseling. *NASPA Journal*, 1967, 5, 167-174.
- Chodorkoff, B. Adjustment and the discrepancy between the perceived and ideal self. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1954, 10, 266-268.
- Chodorkoff, B. Self-perception, perceptual defense, and adjustment. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1954, 49, 508-512.
- Clark, E. T., & Propper, M. M. Alienation syndrome among Catholic male undergraduates. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 167-172.
- Clark, J. V., & Culbert, S. A. Mutually therapeutic perception and self-awareness in a T group. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 1965, 1, 180-194.
- Clark, J. V., Culbert, S. A., & Bobele, H. K. Mutually therapeutic perception and self-awareness under variable conditions. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 1969, 5, 65-72.
- Coelho, G. V., et al. Predicting psychosocial competence in college: Use of a Student-TAT technique. *American Psychologist*, 1964, 19, 541-542.
- Coelho, G. V., Silber, E., & Hamburg, D. A. Use of the Student-TAT to assess coping behavior in hospitalized, normal, and exceptionally competent college freshmen. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1962, 14, 355-365.
- Collier, B. N., & Nugent, F. A. Characteristics of self-referred, staff-referred, and noncounseled college students. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1965, 12, 208-212.
- Cooke, J. K. MMPI in actuarial diagnosis of psychological disturbance among college males. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 474-477.
- Cooke, M. K., & Kiesler, D. J. Prediction of college students who later require personal counseling. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 346-349.
- Cope, C. S. Linguistic structure and personality development. *Journal of Counseling Psychology Monograph*, 1969, 16(5, Pt. 2).

- Cottle, L. D. *Psychology of human adjustment*. New York: Knopf, 1967.
- Cottle, T. J. Temporal correlates of the achievement value and manifest anxiety. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1969, 33, 541-550.
- Couch, C. J. Self-identification and alienation. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1966, 7, 255-264.
- Cox, R. D. The normal personality. An analysis of Rorschach and Thematic Apperception Test responses for a group of college students. *Journal of Projective Techniques and Personality Assessment*, 1956, 20, 70-77.
- Crow, L. D. *Psychology of human adjustment*. New York: Knopf, 1967.
- Culbert, S. A. Trainer self-disclosure and member growth in two T-groups. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 1968, 4, 47-73.
- Danet, B. N. Prediction of mental illness in college students on the basis of "nonpsychiatric" MMPI profiles. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1965, 29, 577-580.
- Davie, J. S. Satisfaction and college experience. In B. M. Wedge (Ed.), *Psychosocial problems of college men*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1958.
- Davie, J. S. The role of the nurse in a student health service: An experimental study. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1960, 45, 66-70.
- Dean, D. G. Alienation: Its meaning and measurement. *American Sociological Review*, 1961, 26, 753-758.
- DeBlasse, R. R. Diagnostic usefulness of the 16 PF in counseling. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 378-381.
- Dent, J. K. *A bibliographic index of evaluation in mental health*. Washington: United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 1966.
- Devereux, G. *Therapeutic education: Its theoretical bases and practice*. New York: Harper, 1956.
- Doleys, E. J. Differences between clients and non-clients on the Mooney Problem Check List. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1964, 6, 21-24.
- Duncan, C. B. A reputation test of personality integration. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 3, 516-524.

Dutton, E. Some relationships between self-reports of emotional and social behavior and measures of academic achievement, interest, and talent. In M. Katz (Ed.), *The 20th Yearbook of the National Council on Measurement in Education*. East Lansing, Mich.: National Council on Measurement in Education, 1963.

Dvorak, E. J. Educational and personality characteristics of smokers and nonsmokers among university freshmen. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, 16, 80-84.

Dyer, D. A comparative study relating marital happiness to university courses helpful in marital adjustment. *Marriage and Family Living*, 1959, 21, 230-232.

Eastman, W. F., Fromhart, M. V., & Fulghum, M. K. Sexual problems and personality adjustment of college women. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1969, 18, 144-147.

Eichenlaub, J. E. *College health*. New York: Macmillan, 1962.

Ellis, V. Students who seek psychiatric help. In J. Katz, et al., *No time for youth: Growth and constraint in college students*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.

Erikson, E. H. Growth and crises of the "healthy personality." In C. Kluckhohn & H. A. Murray (Eds.), *Personality in nature, society, and culture*. (2nd ed.) New York: Knopf, 1953.

Eshleman, J. R., & Hunt, C. L. Social class influences on family adjustment patterns of married college students. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 1967, 29, 485-491.

Evans, R. R., Borgatta, E. F., & Bohrnstedt, G. W. Smoking and MMPI scores among entering freshmen. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1967, 73, 137-140.

Farberow, N. L. *Bibliography on suicide and suicide prevention, 1897-1957, 1958-1967*. Chevy Chase, Md.: National Institute of Mental Health, 1969.

Farnsworth, D. L. *Mental health in college and university*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1957.

Farnsworth, D. L. Social and emotional development of students in college and university, Part II. *Mental Hygiene*, 1959, 43, 568-576.

Farnsworth, D. L. Who really helps our students? In R. L. Sutherland, et al (Eds.), *Personality factors on the college campus: Review of a symposium*. Austin: University of Texas, Hogg Foundation for Mental Health, 1962.

- Farnsworth, D. L. *College health services in the United States*. Student Personnel Series No. 4. Washington, D. C.: American College Personnel Association, 1965.
- Farnsworth, D. L. The liberal arts college's responsibility for the emotional stability of students. In E. J. McGrath (Ed.) *The liberal arts college's responsibility for the individual student*. New York: Columbia University, Teachers College Press, 1966. (a)
- Farnsworth, D. L. *Psychiatry, education, and the young adult*. Springfield, Ill.: Thomas, 1966. (b)
- Feather, D. B. The relation of personality maladjustments of 503 University of Michigan students to their occupational interests. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1950, 32, 71-78.
- Feldman, K. A., & Newcomb, T. M. *The impact of college on students*. Vol. I. *An analysis of four decades of research*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969. (a)
- Feldman, K. A., & Newcomb, T. M. *The impact of college on students*. Vol. II. *Summary tables*, San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969. (b)
- Fellows, E. W. Happiness: A survey of research. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, 1966, 6, 17-30.
- Fiedler, F. E., et al. Interrelations among measures of personality adjustment in nonclinical populations. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1958, 56, 345-351.
- Fiedler, F. E., Hutchins, E. B., & Dodge, J. S. Quasi-therapeutic relations in small college and military groups. *Psychological Monographs*, 1959, 73(3, Whole No. 473).
- Fisher, M. B., & Noble, J. L. *College education as personal development*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1960.
- Flinn, J. H. Informal health education for freshmen. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1965, 13, 347-355.
- Fong, S. M., & Peskin, H. Sex-role strain and personality adjustment of China-born students in America: A pilot study. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 1969, 74, 563-567.
- Foreman, M. E. Some empirical correlates of psychological health. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 3-11.

- Fowler, R. D., Jr. MMPI computer interpretation for college counseling. *Journal of Psychology*, 1968, **69**, 201-207.
- Fowler, R. D., Jr., et al. Comparison of two methods of identifying maladjusted college students. *Journal of Psychology*, 1968, **69**, 165-168.
- Freedman, D. X. On the use and abuse of LSD. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1968, **18**, 330-347.
- Freedman, M. B. Some theoretical and practical implications of a longitudinal study of college women. *Psychiatry*, 1963, **26**, 176-187.
- Fried, E. *Artistic productivity and mental health*. Springfield, Ill.: Thomas, 1964.
- Funkenstein, D. H. (Ed.) *The student and mental health: An international view*. Cambridge: Riverside Press, 1959.
- Ganzhorn, B. The neurotic competitor in student leadership status. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1965, **6**, 156-160.
- Garfield, S. J., Cohen, H. A., & Roth, R. M. Creativity and mental health. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1969, **63**, 147-149.
- Garrison, K. C., & Jones, F. R. *The psychology of human development*. Scranton, Penn.: International Textbook, 1969.
- Giövacconi, P. L. Compulsory happiness: Adolescent despair. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1968, **18**, 650-657.
- Glover, B. V. Homosexuality among university students. In A. M. Krich (Ed.), *The homosexual, as seen by themselves and thirty authorities*. New York: Citadel, 1968.
- Golden, J., et al. A summary description of fifty "normal" white males. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 1962, **119**, 48-56.
- Goodstein, J. D. Regional differences in MMPI responses among male college students. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1954, **18**, 437-441.
- Gordon, R. E., & Gordon, K. K. The relationship between birth order and psychiatric problems. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, **16**, 185-193.
- Gorlow, L., & Katkovsky, W. (Eds.) *Readings in the psychology of adjustment*. (2nd ed.) New York: McGraw-Hill, 1968.

- Gould, L. J. Conformity and marginality: Two faces of alienation. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1969, 25(2), 39-63.
- Grazda, G. M., & Larsen, M. J. A comprehensive appraisal of group and multiple counseling research. *Journal of Research and Development in Education*, 1968, 1(2), 57-132.
- Grebstein, L. C. *Toward self-understanding: Studies in personality and adjustment*. Glenview, Ill.: Scott, Foresman, 1969.
- Greenfield, N. S., & Fey, W. F. Factors influencing utilization of psychotherapeutic services in male college students. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1956, 12, 276-279.
- Gregory, I. Anterogressive data following childhood loss of a parent: Pathology, performance, and potential among college students. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1965, 13, 110-119.
- Grigg, A. E., & Thorpe, J. S. Deviant responses in college adjustment clients: A test of Berg's deviation hypothesis. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1960, 24, 92-94.
- Grinker, R. R., Sr., Grinker, R. R., Jr., & Timberlake, J. "Mentally healthy" young males: A study. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1962, 6, 405-453.
- Grofe, J. Depression in college students. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1963, 11, 197-209.
- Grygier, T. The resident and the commuter: A comparative study of social and emotional problems of students at the university of Toronto. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, 15, 295-301.
- Gunderson, E. E., & Arthur, R. J. A brief mental health index. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 1969, 74, 100-104.
- Hajda, J. Alienation and integration of student intellectuals. *American Sociological Review*, 1961, 26, 758-777.
- Halleck, S. L. Psychiatric treatment of the alienated college student. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 1967, 124, 642-650.
- Hamachek, D. E., & Mori, T. Need structure, personal adjustment, and academic self-concept of beginning education students. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1964, 58, 158-162.

- Hanlon, T. E., Hofstaetter, P. R., & O'Connor, J. P. Congruence of self and ideal self in relation to personality adjustment. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1954, 18, 215-218.
- Hartmann, H. Towards a concept of mental health. *British Journal of Medical Psychology*, 1960, 33, 243-248.
- Hathaway, J. S., Milone, H. S., & White, C. Health problems and health surveys of college students. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1961, 9, 211-217.
- Heath, D. H. *Growing up in college: Liberal education and maturity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.
- Heidenreich, C. A. *Personality and social adjustment: Some dimensions of personal development*. Dubuque, Iowa: Brown, 1967.
- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr. Personality differences between adjusted and maladjusted college students. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1960, 44, 341-346.
- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr. Male and female personality correlates of early termination in counseling. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1961, 8, 31-36.
- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr., & Orr, H. K. Perceived maternal child-rearing history and subsequent motivational effects of failure. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 1966, 109, 75-89.
- Helper, M. M., & McQuitty, L. E. Some relations of personality integration to occupational interests. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1953, 38, 219-231.
- Herzberg, F., & Hamlin, R. M. A motivation-hygiene concept of mental health. *Mental Hygiene*, 1961, 45, 394-401.
- Heston, J. C., & Frick, W. B. (Eds.) *Counseling for the liberal arts campus: The Albion symposium*. Yellow Springs, Ohio: Antioch Press, 1968.
- Hilgard, J. R., Staight, D. C., & Moore, U. S. Better-adjusted peers as resources in group therapy with adolescents. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, 73, 75-100.
- Hillson, J. S., & Worchel, P. Self concept and defensive behavior in the maladjusted. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1957, 21, 83-88.
- Himmelstein, P. Further evidence of Ego Strength scale as a measure of psychological health. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1964, 28, 90-91.

- Holder, T., Carkhuff, R. R., & Berenson, B. G. Differential effects of the manipulation of therapeutic conditions upon high- and low-functioning clients. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 63-66.
- Holland, J. L., & Baird, L. L. An interpersonal competency scale. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, 28, 503-510.
- Hollenbeck, G. P. Conditions and outcomes in the student-parent relationship. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1965, 29, 237-241.
- Horowitz, H. The effect of interpersonal focusing on imitation and opposition learning. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1969, 77, 55-67.
- Horowitz, M. J. Psychological aspects of education related to smoking. *Journal of School Health*, 1966, 36, 281-288.
- Horrall, B. M. Academic performance and personality adjustments of highly intelligent college students. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1957, 55, 3-83.
- Hunter, G. T. Identifying the potential problem drinker in a college mental health clinic. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1969, 17, 426-436.
- Hurley, J. R., & Palonen, D. P. Marital satisfaction and child density among university student parents. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 1967, 29, 483-484.
- Ichikawa, A. Observations of college students in acute distress. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1961, 10, 184-191.
- Jackson, P. W., & Getzels, J. W. Psychological health and classroom functioning: A study of dissatisfaction with school among adolescents. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1959, 50, 295-300.
- Jahoda, M. *Current concepts of positive mental health: A report to the staff director*. New York: Basic Books, 1956.
- Janis, I. L. (Ed.) *Personality: Dynamics, development, and assessment*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & World, 1969.
- Jourard, S. M. *Personal adjustment: An approach through the study of healthy personality*. New York: Macmillan, 1958.
- Jourard, S. M. Healthy personality and self-disclosure. *Mental Hygiene*, 1959, 43, 499-507.

- Jourard, S. M. *The transparent self: Self-disclosure and well-being*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1964.
- Kaplan, M. F., & Singer, E. Dogmatism and sensory alienation: An empirical investigation. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1963, **27**, 486-491.
- Katz, J., et al. *No time for youth: Growth and constraint in college students*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.
- Kelvin, R. P., Lucas, C. J., & Ojha, A. B. The relation between personality, mental health and academic performance in university students. *British Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 1965, **4**, 244-253.
- Keniston, K. Tasks of adolescence. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1969, **39**, 181-182.
- Kenny, D. T. The influence of social desirability on discrepancy measures between real self and ideal self. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1956, **20**, 315-318.
- Kiersch, T. A., & Nikelly, A. G. The schizophrenic in college. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1966, **15**, 54-58.
- Kilpatrick, F. J. Socioeconomic factors influencing disease in college students. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1965, **13**, 465-474.
- King, F. W. The MMP F scale as a predictor of lack of adaptation to college. *Journal of the American College Health Administration*, 1967, **15**, 261-269.
- King, S. H. The psycho-social development of Harvard undergraduates. In *The American college and student personality*. New York: Social Science Research Council, 1960.
- King, S. H. Characteristics of students seeking psychiatric help during college. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1968, **17**, 150-156.
- Kipnis, D. Intelligence as a modifier of the behavior of character disorders. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1965, **49**, 237-242.
- Kissel, S. Stress-reducing properties of social stimuli. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, **2**, 378-384.
- Klejn, E. B., & Gould, L. J. Alienation and identification in college women. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, **37**, 468-480.

- Kleinmuntz, B. An extension of the construct validity of the ego strength scale. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1960, **24**, 463-464. (a)
- Kleinmuntz, B. Identification of maladjusted college students. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1960, **7**, 209-211. (b)
- Kleinmuntz, B. The college maladjustment scale (MT): Norms and predictive validity. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1961, **21**, 1029-1033.
- Kleinmuntz, B. Annotated bibliography of MMPI research among college populations. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1962, **9**, 373-396.
- Kleinmuntz, B. MMPI decision rules for the identification of college maladjustment: A digital computer approach. *Psychological Monographs*, 1963, **77**(14, Whole No. 577).
- Kohn, M., & Baker, B. Desensitization with minimal therapist contact. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 1968, **73**, 198-200.
- Kohn, M., & Levenson, E. A. Some characteristics of a group of bright, emotionally disturbed college dropouts. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1965, **14**, 78-85.
- Korzi, J. R. The validity of the Washburne Social Adjustment inventory in measuring college freshman adjustment. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1962, **40**, 462-466.
- Kubie, L. S. *Neurotic distortion of the creative process*. Lawrence: University of Kansas Press, 1958.
- Kuhlen, R. G., & Thompson, G. G. (Eds.) *Psychological studies of human development*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1963.
- Kysar, J. E. Going steady and depression in college women. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1962, **11**, 111-118.
- Kysar, J. E. Mental health in an urban commuter university. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1964, **11**, 472-483.
- Kysar, J. E. Preventive psychiatry on the college campus. *Community Mental Health Journal*, 1966, **2**, 27-34.
- Kysar, J. E. Therapy with the working-class college student. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, **15**, 307-311.
- L'Abate, L. MMPI scatter as a single index of maladjustment. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1962, **18**, 142-143.

- Lantagne, J. E. College marriages. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1962, 3, 98-105.
- Lazarus, R. S. *Adjustment and personality*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1961.
- Lazarus, R. S. *Personality and adjustment*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1963.
- Lazarus, R. S. *Psychological stress and the coping process*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1966.
- Lazarus, R. S. *Patterns of adjustment and human effectiveness*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.
- Lehner, G. J., & Kube, E. *The dynamics of personal adjustment*. (2nd ed.) Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1964.
- LeMay, M. L. Research on group procedures with college students: A review. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, 8, 286-295.
- Levenson, E., & Kohn, M. A demonstration clinic for college dropouts. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1964, 12, 382-391.
- Levenson, E. A., Stockhamer, N., & Feiner, A. H. Family transaction in the etiology of dropping out of college. *Contemporary Psychoanalysis*, 1967, 3, 134-157.
- Leventhal, A. M. Characteristics of clients judged to require long-term counseling. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 45, 828-833.
- Levy, L. H. The meaning and generality of perceived actual-ideal discrepancies. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1956, 20, 396-398.
- Lichtenberg, P., Cassetta, R. K., & Scanlon, J. C. Mutual achievement strivings: A continuum for mental health. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, 63, 619-628.
- Lieberman, L. R. Willingness to accept limitations and personal adjustment. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, 29, 425-426.
- Linn, L. S. Social characteristics and social interaction in the utilization of a psychiatric outpatient clinic. *Journal of Health & Social Behavior*, 1967, 8, 3-14.
- Lipinski, E., & Kipinski, B. G. Motivational factors in psychedelic drug use by male college students. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, 16, 145-149.

- Lorr, M., Katz, M. M., & Rubinstein, E. A. The prediction of length of stay in psychotherapy. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1958, **22**, 321-327.
- Lundin, R. W., & Lathrop, W. The relationship between field of major concentration and personality adjustment in college males. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1963, **69**, 193-196.
- Lyman, J. L. Student suicide at Oxford University: The relevance of a university health service to student mental health. *Student Medicine*, 1961, **10**, 218-234.
- Marcia, J. E. Ego identity status: Relationship to change in self-esteem, "general maladjustment," and authoritarianism. *Journal of Personality*, 1967, **35**, 118-133.
- Marcus, D., et al. A clinical approach to the understanding of normal and pathologic adolescence: A study of communication patterns in the families of disturbed and nondisturbed adolescents. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1966, **15**, 569-576.
- Maslow, A. H. *Toward a psychology of being*. (2nd ed.) Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1968.
- Mason, W. R., Jr. Problems of married college students: Health education implications. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1966, **14**, 270-276.
- Matkom, A. J. Impression formation as a function of adjustment. *Psychological Monographs*, 1963, **77**(5, Whole No. 568).
- McDonald, R. L., & Hendry, C. H. Repression-sensitization, field-dependence, and "adjustment." *Psychological Reports*, 1966, **19**, 558.
- McPartland, T. S., & Cummins, J. H. Self conception, social class, and mental health. *Human Organization*, 1958, **17**(3), 24-29.
- McQuitty, L. L. Theories and methods in some objective assessments of psychological well-being. *Psychological Monographs*, 1954, **68**(14, Whole No. 385).
- Meadows, M. E., & Oelke, M. C. Characteristics of clients and non-clients. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, **9**, 153-157.
- Mechanic, D. *Students under stress: A study in the social psychology of adaptation*. Glencoe, Ill.: Free Press, 1962.
- Meier, D. L., & Bell, W. Anomie and the achievement of life goals. *American Sociological Review*, 1959, **24**, 189-202.

- Mendelsohn, G. A., & Kirk, B. A. Personality differences between students who do and do not use a counseling facility. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1962, 9, 341-346.
- Miller, H., & Wilson, W. Relation of sexual behaviors, values, and conflict to avowed happiness and personal adjustment. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 23, 1075-1086.
- Minge, M. R., & Bowman, T. F. Personality differences among nonclients and vocational-educational and personal counseling clients. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 137-139.
- Morse, H. T., & Dressel, P. L. (Eds.) *General education for personal maturity: The role of courses in personal adjustment, preparation for marriage, and vocational planning*. Dubuque, Iowa: Brown, 1960.
- Murphy, V. M. Manifest anxiety and self-description with respect to study habits and attitudes. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1964, 6, 79-81.
- Nahinski, I. The relationship between the self-concept and the ideal-self concept as a measure of adjustment. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1958, 14, 360-364.
- Neubeck, G. The decision to marry while in college. *Acta Sociologica*, 1965, 8, 56-67.
- Nicholi, A. M., Jr. Harvard dropouts: Some psychiatric findings. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 1967, 124, 651-658.
- Nicks, D. C., & Fleishman, E. A. What do physical fitness tests measure? A review of factor analytic studies. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1962, 22, 77-95.
- Nikelly, A. G. *Mental health for students: A guide for adjusting to college*. Springfield, Ill: Thomas, 1966.
- Norman, R. P. Dogmatism and psychoneurosis in college women. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1966, 30, 278.
- Paffenbarger, R. S., Jr. Chronic disease in former college students: VI. Implications for college health programs. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, 16, 51-55.
- Palmer, R. D., & Broverman, D. M. Automatization and hypochondriasis. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 592-600.
- Park, P. Dimensions of drinking among male college students. *Social Problems*, 1967, 14, 473-482.

- Parrish, H. M. Causes of death among college students. *Public Health Reports*, 1951, **71**, 1081-1085.
- Pasca, A. E. Psychological significance of common physical symptoms. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1966, **16**, 296-299.
- Pasca, A. G. Cultural conflicts and the psychological problems of students. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1966, **7**, 355-356.
- Patterson, C. H. Interest tests and the emotionally disturbed client. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1957, **17**, 264-280.
- Paul, G. L. Insight versus desensitization in psychotherapy two years after termination. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1967, **31**, 333-348.
- Paul, G. L. Two-year follow-up of systematic desensitization in therapy groups. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 1968, **73**, 119-130.
- Peck, R. F. Student mental health: The range of personality patterns in a college population. In R. L. Sutherland, et al (Eds.), *Personality factors on the college campus: Review of a symposium*. Austin: University of Texas, Hogg Foundation for Mental Health, 1962.
- Peters, J. M., & Ferris, B. G., Jr. Association of smoking with certain descriptive variables in a college-age group. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, **16**, 165-172.
- Phillips, D. L. Deferred gratification in a college setting: Some costs and gains. *Social Problems*, 1966, **13**, 333-343.
- Phillips, W. B., & Stull, G. A. A case study of six college freshman men possessing low levels of physical fitness. *Adolescence*, 1969, **4**, 211-228.
- Pierce, R. A. Athletes in psychotherapy: How many, how come? *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1969, **17**, 244-249.
- Rabinowitz, M. The relationship of self regard to the effectiveness of life experiences. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, **13**, 139-143.
- Reifler, C. B., & Liptzin, M. B. Epidemiological studies of college mental health. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1969, **20**, 528-540.
- Rice, D. G., & Sternbach, R. A. The New York Jewish student syndrome: Stereotype and facts. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1969, **47**, 410-416.
- Richek, H. G. Phenomenal correlates of marital status in junior college students. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, **24**, 795-798.

- Richman, J., & Cassell, W. Needs, expectations, and ability in groups reporting symptoms of physical illness. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1969, 10, 258-263.
- Ricks, D. F., & Wessman, A. E. Winn. A case study of a happy man. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, 1966, 6, 2-16.
- Robbins, W. T. Emotional disturbances in college students: Separated parents as a factor. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1961, 9, 377-378.
- Rogers, C. R. The necessary and sufficient conditions of therapeutic personality change. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1957, 21, 95-103.
- Rook, A. Student suicides. *British Medical Journal*, 1959, 1, 599-603.
- Ross, M. Suicide among college students. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 1969, 126, 220-225.
- Ross, M., & Mendelsohn, F. Homosexuality in college. *Archives of Neurology & Psychiatry*, 1958, 80, 253-263.
- Roth, R. M. A method of identifying prospective counselees in college. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1963, 56, 275-276.
- Rotter, J. B., & Mulry, R. C. Internal versus external control of reinforcement and decision time. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 2, 598-604.
- Rubenstein, E. A., & Lorr, M. A comparison of terminators and remainers in outpatient psychotherapy. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1956, 12, 345-349.
- Ruja, H. Outcomes of lecture and discussion procedures in three college courses. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1954, 22, 385-394.
- Rust, R. M. Epidemiology of mental health in college. *Journal of Psychology*, 1960, 49, 235-248.
- Ryle, A. Clinical observations on the relationship of academic difficulty to psychiatric illness. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 1968, 114, 755-760.
- Ryle, A. *Student casualties*. London: Penguin Press, 1969.
- Ryle, A., & Lunghi, M. A psychometric study of academic difficulty and psychiatric illness in students. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 1968, 114, 57-62.

- Sanford, N. *Self and society: Social change and individual development*. New York: Atherton Press, 1966.
- Saul, L. J. *Emotional maturity*. (2nd ed.) Philadelphia: Lippincott, 1960.
- Savage, B. M., & Wells, F. L. A note on singularity in given names. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1948, 27, 271-272.
- Sawrey, J. M., & Telford, C. W. *Psychology of adjustment*. (2nd ed.) Boston: Allyn & Bacon, 1967.
- Scheff, T. J. *Being mentally ill: A sociological theory*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Schlicht, W. J., Jr., et al. Screening procedures: A comparison of self-report and projective measures. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, 28, 525-528.
- Schmiedler, G. R. Visual imagery correlated to a measure of creativity. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1965, 29, 78-80.
- Schmiedler, G. R., Nelson, M. J., & Bristol, M. Freshman Rorschachs and college performance. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1959, 59, 3-43.
- Schneiders, A. A. *Personality dynamics and mental health: Principles of adjustment & mental hygiene*. (Rev. Ed.) New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1965.
- Schuchman, H. P. The double life of the commuter college student. *Mental Hygiene*, 1966, 50, 104-110.
- Schultz, K. V. The psychologically healthy person: A study in identification and prediction. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1958, 14, 112-117.
- Scott, W. A. Research definitions of mental health and mental illness. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1958, 55, 29-45.
- Sebald, H. *Adolescence: A sociological analysis*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1968.
- Sechrest, L., & Wallace, J., Jr. *Psychology and human problems*. Columbus, Ohio: Merrill, 1967.
- Seeman, J. Toward a concept of personality integration. *American Psychologist*, 1959, 14, 633-637.
- Seeman, M. On the meaning of alienation. *American Sociological Review*, 1959, 24, 783-791.

Segal, B. E., & Phillips, D. L. Work, play, and emotional disturbance: An examination of environment and disturbance. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1967, 16, 173-179.

Segal, B. E., Phillips, D. L., & Feldmesser, R. A. Social integration, emotional adjustment and illness behavior. *Social Forces*, 1967, 46, 237-246.

Segal, B. E., Walsh, T. M., & Weiss, R. J. Emotional maladjustment in an undergraduate population: An analytical assessment of six-year trends. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1966, 14, 190-196.

Segal, B. E., Weiss, R. J., & Sokol, R. Emotional adjustment, social organization and psychiatric treatment rates. *American Sociological Review*, 1965, 30, 548-556.

Seiden, R. H. Campus tragedy: A study of student suicide. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 1966, 71, 389-399.

Shaffer, L. F., & Shoben, E. J., Jr. *The psychology of adjustment: A dynamic and experimental approach to personality and mental hygiene*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1956.

Shaw, F. J., & Ort, R. S. *Personal adjustment in the American culture*. New York: Harper, 1953.

Shoben, E. J., Jr. Toward a concept of the normal personality. *American Psychologist*, 1957, 12, 183-189.

Shopper, M., & Levy, N. B. Emotional difficulties in nursing students: Childhood factors. A preliminary report. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1967, 16, 180-184.

Shostrom, E. L., & Knapp, R. R. The relationship of a measure of self-actualization (POI) to a measure of pathology (MMPI) and to therapeutic growth. *American Journal of Psychotherapy*, 1966, 20, 193-202.

Shure, G. H., & Rogers, M. S. Personality factor stability for three ability levels. *Journal of Psychology*, 1963, 55, 445-456.

Side, A., et al. Development of a coping scale: A preliminary study. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1969, 20, 226-232.

Siegel, M. (Ed.) *The counseling of college students: Function, practice, and technique*. New York: Free Press, 1968.

Silber, E., et al. Adaptive behavior in competent adolescents: Coping with anticipation of college. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1961, 5, 354-365.

- Simmons, J. L. Liberalism, alienation, and personal disturbance. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1965, 49, 456-464.
- Simono, R. B. Anxiety and involvement in counseling. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 497-499.
- Sinnett, E. R., & Niedenthal, L. K. The use of indigenous volunteers in a rehabilitation living unit for disturbed college students. *Community Mental Health Journal*, 1968, 4, 232-243.
- Smart, R. G. Alcohol consumption and anxiety in college students. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1968, 78, 35-39.
- Smith, D. C. *Personal and social adjustment of gifted adolescents*. Washington: Council for Exceptional Children, 1962.
- Smith, G. M. Six measures of self-concept discrepancy and instability: Their interrelations, reliability, and relations to other personality measures. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1958, 22, 101-112.
- Smith, M. B. Optima of mental health: A general frame of reference. *Psychiatry*, 1950, 13, 503-510.
- Smith, P. A. A factor analytic study of the self-concept. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1960, 24, 191.
- Smith, W. G., Hansell, N., & English, J. T. Psychiatric disorder in a college population: Prevalence and incidence; exploratory methods in psychiatric epidemiology. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1963, 9, 351-361.
- Snyder, B. R. The invisible curriculum. In L. E. Dennis & J. F. Kauffman (Eds.), *The college and the student: An assessment of relationships and responsibilities in undergraduate education by administrators, faculty members, and public officials*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1966.
- Snyder, B. R., & Kaufman, I. The identity crisis in the university setting. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1963, 11, 259-276.
- Sobel, R. The alumni son—A naturalistic study. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1966, 15, 149-155.
- Sorensen, M. A. OASIS adjustment scales and counselor classification of client problem. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, 28, 605-607.
- Stanley, G., & Bownes, A. F. Self-disclosure and neuroticism. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 18, 350.

Steinhaus, A. H. *Toward an understanding of health and physical education*. Dubuque, Iowa: Brown, 1963.

Stewart, C. T., & Malpass, L. F. Estimates of achievement and ratings of instructors. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1966, 59, 347-350.

Stewart, H. Personality characteristics of student nurses having high and low frequency of physical illness. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 18, 972.

Stringer, L. A. Academic progress as an index of mental health. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1959, 15(1), 16-29.

Sullivan, P. L., Miller, C., & Smelser, W. Factors in length of stay and progress in psychotherapy. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1958, 22, 1-9.

Swensen, C. H., Jr. Sexual behavior and psychopathology: A test of Mower's hypothesis. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1962, 18, 406-409.

Swensen, C. H., Jr. Sexual behavior and psychopathology: A study of college men. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1963, 19, 403-404.

Tate, M. T., & Musick, V. A. Adjustment problems of college students. *Social Forces*, 1954, 33, 182-185.

Taulbee, E. S. Relationship between certain personality variables and continuation in psychotherapy. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1958, 22, 83-89.

Taylor, D. M. Changes in the self concept without psychotherapy. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1955, 19, 205-209.

Teicher, J. D., & Jacobs, J. Adolescents who attempt suicide: Preliminary findings. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 1966, 122, 1248-1257.

Thelen, M. H. Repression-sensitization: Its relation to adjustment and seeking psychotherapy among college students. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1969, 33, 161-165.

Thorne, F. C. Life record criteria of psychological health. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1958, 14, 123-132.

Timmel, G. B. *A study of the relationship between methods of teaching a college course in mental hygiene and a change in student adjustment status*. Ithaca, N. Y.: Cornell University, 1955.

Tindall, R. H. Relationship among indices of adjustment status. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1955, 15, 152-162.

- Tooley, K. A developmental problem of late adolescence: Case report. *Psychiatry*, 1968, 31, 69-83.
- Torrance, E. P. *Constructive behavior: Stress, personality, and mental health*. Belmont, Calif: Wadsworth, 1965.
- Turner, R. H., & Vanderlippe, R. H. Self-ideal congruence as an index of adjustment. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1958, 57, 202-206.
- Veenker, C. H., & Ismail, A. H. Effectiveness of three approaches to college health instruction. *Research Quarterly*, 1962, 33, 129-135.
- Veldman, D. J., & Bown, O. H. Personality and performance characteristics associated with cigarette smoking among college freshmen. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1969, 33, 109-119.
- Volsky, T., et al. *The outcomes of counseling and psychotherapy: Theory and research*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1965.
- Walker, R. E., et al. Psychological correlates of smoking. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1969, 25, 42-44.
- Wassef, W. Y. The influence of religion, socioeconomic status, and education on anomie. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1967, 8, 233-238.
- Watson, D. L. Introversión, neuroticism, rigidity, and dogmatism. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1967, 31, 105.
- Watson, G. H. Emotional problems of gifted students. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1960, 39, 98-105.
- Weatherley, D. Self-perceived rate of physical maturation and personality in late adolescence. *Child Development*, 1964, 35, 1197-1210. Reprinted in R. E. Grinder (Ed.), *Studies in adolescence: A book of readings in adolescent development*. (2nd ed.) London: Collier-Macmillan, 1969.
- Webb, S. C. Two cross validations of the opinion, attitude and interest survey. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1965, 25, 517-523.
- Wedge, B. M. (Ed.) *Psychosocial problems of college men*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1958.
- Weiss, R. J., Ségal, B. E., & Sokol, R. Epidemiology of emotional disturbance in a men's college. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 1965, 141, 240-250.
- Weisskopf-Joelson, E. Mental health and intention. *Journal of Psychology*, 1968, 69, 101-106.

- Weitz, H. *Behavior change through guidance*. New York: Wiley, 1964.
- Wessman, A. E., & Ricks, D. F. *Mood and personality*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1966.
- Westley, W. A., & Epstein, N. B. *The silent majority: Families of emotionally healthy college students*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969.
- White, A. M., Fichtenbaum, L., & Dollard, J. Measured relationships between sexual motivation and anxiety. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 544-549.
- Whitener, R. W., & Nikelly, A. Sexual deviations in college students. *Student Medicine*, 1961, 10, 99.
- Whittington, H. G. *Psychiatry on the college campus*. New York: International Universities Press, 1963.
- Williams, A. F. Validation of college problem-drinking scale. *Journal of Projective Techniques and Personality Assessment*, 1967, 31(1), 33-40.
- Wilson, J. *Education and the concept of mental health*. New York: Humanities Press, 1968.
- Wilson, J. R., Robeck, M. C., & Michael, W. B. *Psychological foundations of learning and teaching*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.
- Wilson, W. R. Relation of sexual behaviors, values, and conflicts to avowed happiness. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 17, 371-378.
- Wilson, W. R. Correlates of avowed happiness. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1967, 67, 294-306.
- Wishner, J. The concept of efficiency in psychological health and in psychopathology. *Psychological Review*, 1955, 62, 69-80.
- Wittenberg, R. M. *The troubled generation: Toward understanding and helping the young adult*. New York: Association Press, 1967.
- Worchel, P., & Byrne, D. (Eds.) *Personality change*. New York: Wiley, 1964.
- Wright, J. C. Personal adjustment and its relationship to religious attitudes and certainty. *Religious Education*, 1959, 54, 521-523.
- Wright, J. J. Sex role crises in college students. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1965, 14, 92-96.

Wright, J. J. Reported personal stress sources and adjustment of entering freshmen. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 371-373.

Young, K. *Personality and problems of adjustment*. (2nd ed.) New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1952.

Young, R. D., & Frye, M. Some are laughing; some are not—Why? *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 18, 747-754.

Zimmer, H. Self-acceptance and its relation to conflict. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1954, 18, 447-449.

### Development of Self-Confidence, Self-Acceptance and an Appropriate Self-Concept

Every student wants to develop confidence in himself. A goal of the college and other interested persons is similarly to enable the individual to gain his self-confidence. Another common goal is to develop an appropriate self-concept, but what is more or less appropriate depends upon who is judging. A church-college official might be expected to have a different concept of the ideal student self-concept than would an official from an exclusive private nonsectarian college. Parents who are from a different cultural, economic, or class background would similarly have different ideals for their children. Even student peer groups maintain a variety of norms for what is the ideally perceived self-concept.

It is apparent that appropriateness is a relative concept. From a psychological standpoint, an "appropriate self-concept" might be thought of as sense of identity which is realistic, which has positive ideals, and which leads to the development of the full potentialities of the person. It is determined to the extent that it is congruent with the person's background, needs, and previous learning. Thus, depending on the person and his situation, any of the preceding examples might be considered an appropriate self-concept. And more than one self-concept may be appropriate for the same person.

Concerning the preceding, psychologists of one theoretical orientation might view appropriate self-concepts differently from those holding other theoretical viewpoints. Therefore, the reader should not consider the above definition as best or even particularly better than any other. The reason it was chosen is that it is broad enough to apply to a wide range of psychological theories of human behavior.

The purpose of presenting the research in this area is *not* to explore the best self-concept for a certain type of person in a particular situation. Rather it is to explore those factors which are common to various self-concepts, to indicate how sharply defined the term is, and to present factors related to

change in self-concept. For example, it might be hypothesized that creative dramatic actors must have experienced misadjustment, sorrow, and/or hardships (including lack of confidence) in their past in order to be proficient in their work.

### **Success Viewed as Development of Self-Confidence, Self-Acceptance, and an Appropriate Self-Concept: Selected Annotations**

**Back and Paramesh** (1969) studied the interrelationships of self-perception, social perception, and self-presentation. Students from three distinct cultures representing three different types of social character that had been outlined by Riesman provided the samples for comparisons: 65 tradition-oriented students from the University of Madras in India; 65 self-oriented students (inner-directed) from North Carolina College, a predominantly black American college; and 65 students oriented toward others (other-directed) from Duke University, a predominantly white American college. The authors assumed social behavior would be affected by self-image, which in turn would be influenced by the culture from which it emerged. Its expression would change as the situation demanded, along with the information one sought of others in those same situations.

The students were asked to report on their self-images (Who-Are-You questions), how they would like to be perceived and what they would like to find out about others at a friendly social gathering, and what image they would like to project when interviewing for a job as well as the information they would like to receive if they had been doing the hiring. Each group showed a distinct pattern conforming to its social structure: The black Americans emphasized abilities and other achievement characteristics; the white Americans emphasized attitudes, values, and interests; and the Indian students emphasized demographic origins (ascribed values) in describing themselves. When sex differences were compared, it was found that women scored higher on ascribed characteristics and men scored higher on the other two categories.

When comparisons were made between the self-image and the projected image, the social situation and the job interview situation, the Indian group changed the most. These students seemed to be most sensitive to the specific situation switching the criteria between the purely social situation and the job situation in an appropriate way. In contrast the U.S. white group had their self-image already pretty well adapted to interpersonal relations and thus did not change much. The U.S. Negroes exhibited a transitional pattern. While they were highest on achievement traits in the self-image, they de-emphasized it in the specific situations; and in the job situation they emphasized ascribed traits.

**Denmark and Guttentag** (1966) investigated whether the self-concepts and educational concepts of women with little or no college education would be modified by participation in a special accelerated program for adults (30 years of age or older) at Queens College. From cognitive-disonance theory they hypothesized that college attendance would decrease the discrepancy between the ideal self-concept and the actual self-concept. They further hypothesized that the effort expended during the college semester would result in a positive shift in the evaluation of academic roles, i.e., the "student" and the "college graduate" roles.

A total of 18 women were accepted and entered into the program. A control group of 18 women who had requested applications but never returned them was matched to the experimental group on age, family status, and socioeconomic background. All of the subjects in both groups completed eight 12-part semantic-differential forms prior to and after one college semester: "studying," "learning," "lectures," "me as I would like to be," "me right now," "student," "group discussion," and "college graduate."

The first hypothesis was supported. Initially, the discrepancy between present self and ideal self was smaller for the experimental group than for the control group; and it had decreased even further for the experimental group by the end of the semester, while the control group discrepancy remained the same.

The second hypothesis was only partially supported. Following one semester of college, the experimental group had a positive shift in evaluating the "student" role but no shift in evaluating the "college graduate" role. Once again, the control group exhibited no change from pretest to posttest.

---

**Hershenson** (1967) correlated sense of identity as defined by Erickson (congruence between self-image and the image of self attributed to others), occupational fit (the degree to which the person sees himself fit for his chosen occupational role), and enculturation (the person's acquisition of his own culture) for a sample of 162 Harvard juniors. The *Brownfain Social Conflict Index* was used to measure sense of identity; the *Christie and Budnitzky Social Desirability Scale* was used to measure enculturation, and a special scale was constructed to measure occupational fit.

When zero-order correlations were computed, it was found that sense of identity was positively related to the extent the students perceived themselves as fitting into anticipated occupational roles. Sense of identity was also positively related to degree of enculturation, and degree of enculturation was positively related to occupational fit. The association between sense of identity and occupational fit became insignificant when the contribution of enculturation was partialled out.

Differences between pairs of means for several student subgroups were tested for significance using 2-tailed *t* tests. No significant differences were found between graduates of public high schools and graduates of private high schools. However, when students holding traditional values were compared with students holding emergent values, the former subgroup had a significantly higher mean on the *Social Conflict Index* (and, therefore, a weaker sense of identity) than did the latter.

---

**Knapp and Green** (1964) related personal characteristics to success-imagery scores for a sample of 111 undergraduate students at Wesleyan University. The success-imagery scales used for the study were experimental and projective in nature and were two of six scales based on metaphors which had been developed by Knapp several years earlier. The one scale was titled "success" and the other was titled "self-image." Zero-order correlations were calculated between these self-concept criterion scores and scores on the Allport-Vernon-Lindzey *Study of Values*, the *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory*, and the *Strong Vocational Interest Blank*. Factor analysis was then conducted for each criterion scale.

Success and self-image scores were found to correlate significantly with reliable and established personality measures. Buoyancy and optimism were associated with extroversive commitment, entrepreneurship, and the absence of neuroticism. Three factors were found for each of the criterion scales.

---

As a part of the preliminary screening procedure, the *Activity Vector Analysis* (AVA), a self-concept personality assessment instrument widely used in industry, was routinely administered to all applicants for field sales positions in a company which conducted job interviews each year with new college graduates throughout the country. Over the years officials at this company had noted that the personality profiles of young male college graduates clustered around a particular personality syndrome that was quite different from the distribution of personality profiles for the general population. Generally speaking, the profile was descriptive of an energetic, sociable, and self-confident person, an "All-American Boy" personality.

To explore the influence of college on self-concepts, **Merenda and Clarke** (1961) studied the 367 people who applied for field sales positions with the company during the first three months of 1959. College degrees were held by 171 of the men, and a majority of the remainder had at least one year of college. AVA profiles for all 367 men were plotted and coded for "social self," "basic self," and resultant responses, "the person he appears to be to others." These profiles were related to a general profile through use of a special correlation procedure, and additional comparisons were made between the profiles of the younger and those of the older subjects in the sample. Large differences were noted among the groups.

The results of the analyses were interpreted as clearly suggesting that in the process of acquiring a higher education, college students tend to acquire a stereotyped set of self-concepts which are characteristic of a relatively passive, nonaggressive, socially confident person. These characteristics applied to both the social and basic self-concepts of these former college men. It was assumed that these self-concepts were "reflections of an attitude toward social behavior which the college student acquires through the process of acculturation in the school setting where the desirability of possessing these ideal traits is likely to be imposed by the faculty and fellow students [p. 59]." The authors interpreted the data as suggesting that these ideal and somewhat unrealistic self-concepts were inculcated at college and were not merely the naive self-perceptions of young, worldly, unwise men. And it was noted that the stereotype changed considerably as the men matured both socially and vocationally.

**Pallone** (1966) related perceived-self and ideal-self changes and congruence (based on *Q* sorts) to college and part-time work experiences during the freshman year for a group of 278 students in three different degree programs (arts, science, commerce). The *Q*-sort device contained 77 nonculturally weighted statements with self-referent themes. The statements were modeled upon statements found in personality inventories, and only those subsequently proving to have social neutrality were selected for the *Q*-sort instrument.

During the final week of the last semester, 26 students in each of the three degree groups were randomly selected from those who had not engaged in either vocationally oriented or "casual" work experience during the school year. Initial and final *Q* sorts for the 26 students selected from each group were intercorrelated, converted to *Z'* transformations, meaned, tested for significance using the *F* test, and reconverted. Then the same procedures were conducted for every student in each group who had part-time experience during the year that had relevance not only for his future vocational plans but also for his curricular program or his intended areas of concentration.

It was found that self-ideal congruence in commerce students appeared to "crystallize" relatively early in the college experience and that part-time work experience appeared to accelerate this crystallization. Arts students tended to be less congruent initially than did science or commerce students, exhibited greater self-exploration, and tended to reach a higher level of congruence than did other curricular groups in relation to the initial college experience. Overall trends suggested that vocationally oriented work experience tends to accelerate stable relationships between self- and ideal self-concepts.

Platt and Taylor (1967) selected 112 freshmen in introductory psychology classes at the University of Georgia who described themselves as either "never homesick" or "homesick at the present time." However, only 40 completed the study instrument due to scheduling conflicts or a lack of interest. The authors proposed to test the hypothesis that homesick college students would have a more impaired idea of their future and a greater disparity between concepts of their present and future selves.

The classification of students as homesick or not homesick was validated in two ways. The semantic differential was used and the Mann-Whitney U-Test was applied to test for differences between group medians, e.g., homesick students tended to see "present self" as more similar to "a homesick person" than did the non-homesick. When present-future comparisons were made between the group, the results supported the hypothesis. From this the authors posited that the self-ideal of a homesick individual may be an idealistic ideal which he cannot hope to attain with the means at his disposal. Since he does not have appropriate future goals, he is prone to look to the past for his ego gratification.

Rabinowitz (1966) explored changes in self-regard and changes in the relationship between self-regard and four *Biographical Inventory* scales (Independence, Achievement Competence, Social Participation, and Neuroticism) for 70 white, native-born males between their high school senior year (18 years of age) and 25 years of age. The self-score of a modified form of *Bills Index of Adjustment and Values* served as the measure of self-regard.

Zero order correlations between self-regard and the four *Biographical Inventory* scales were computed for the sample at age 18 and again for the sample at age 25, both before and after intelligence had been partialled out. Self-regard correlated positively with Achievement Competence and Social Participation at both ages prior to partialled out intelligence, but only for the 25-year olds when intelligence had been partialled out. This result suggests that intelligence is an important contributor to the relationship of self-regard to behavioral effectiveness during adolescence but not at age 25. Neuroticism had a significant negative correlation with self-regard in both cases for the 25-year olds but in neither case for the 18-year olds. All correlations between Independence and self-regard were near zero.

Self-regard at age 18 correlated .57 with self-regard at age 25, a finding which confirms that self-regard is relatively stable over the seven years. Another finding was that when a variety of additional variables were correlated with self-regard, several correlations were significant for the 18-year olds (high school average, aptitude, parental occupation level, educational level, peer-rated Achievement Competence, and adjustment) while only adjustment correlated significantly with self-regard for the 25-year olds.

Skager, Holland, and Braskamp (1966) studied mean change after one year on 11 self-ratings (four levels of appropriateness for each scale) for students at ten diverse colleges and universities. Six of the self-ratings were for personal characteristics (scholarship, expressiveness, practical-mindedness, popularity, sensitivity to the needs of others, and intellectual self-confidence) while five were for life goals and aspirations (becoming accomplished in the performing arts, becoming influential in public affairs, making a theoretical contribution to science, following a formal religious code, and being well-read). The institutions were selected from a sample of 48 colleges and universities on the basis of maximizing institutional differences and an acceptable student follow-up rate.

Means were compared across institutions only for students at the same initial level in order to account for floor and ceiling effects, e.g., those initially rating themselves "1" on a scale at an institution were compared on follow-up means only with those at the other institutions who initially rated themselves "1." A table of rankings on each response for the ten institutions was prepared for each scale. Then the consistency of rankings for each item was tested using Kendall's coefficient of concordance which converted into a chi square approximation and provided an estimate of the average Spearman rank correlation.

The results of the tests indicated that regardless of initial status, there was a consistent tendency for students at each college to show similar, relative amounts of change and to differ from students at other colleges. Differential changes were specifically observed on ratings for two personal characteristics (popularity and scholarship) and for three of the goals (religious values, public affairs, and contribution to science); and in each case change appeared to be related to several college characteristics. While some of the change measures were correlated with the initial college means, the findings did not appear to be accounted for solely by regression phenomena.

As a follow-up to the above study, Skager and Braskamp (1966) related change for the entire group of students (across the 10 colleges) to scores on 12 10-item scales measuring nonacademic accomplishments during college using Friedman Analysis of Variance by Ranks and averaged Spearman rank correlations. While the previous results had supported the hypothesis that changes in self-ratings are related to the characteristics of the colleges attended, the follow-up research supported the hypothesis that changes in self-ratings are related to the degree of success in various types of extracurricular experiences.

---

Sunn and Hill (1964) hypothesized that anxiety would increase the degree of correlation between self- and other-acceptance for a group of 92 students enrolled in psychology courses at two colleges. In addition to exploring this

relationship, they desired to ascertain the influence of anxiety on self-acceptance scores and on acceptance-of-others scores. Two measures of general anxiety—*Taylor Manifest Anxiety Scale* and *Sarason General Anxiety Questionnaire*—and one measure of test anxiety—*Sarason Test Anxiety Questionnaire*—were utilized in the study. The *Phillips Self-Other Questionnaire* was used to obtain a self-acceptance score and an acceptance-of-others score for each student.

When anxiety scores were correlated with discrepancy scores between self-acceptance and acceptance of others, significant negative correlations were found for all three anxiety measures. The implication was, although there are other possible interpretations, that anxiety disrupts the person's capacity to relate positively to himself and to others. A positive correlation ( $r=.35$ ) between self-acceptance and acceptance of others and negative correlations between anxiety and acceptance (which indicate that anxiety is significantly associated with both lowered self-acceptance and lowered acceptance of others) strongly support such an interpretation. Anxiety lowered self-acceptance at a greater rate than acceptance of others, however.

---

**Wattley** (1965) investigated students' confidence in college completion and actual achievement, the relationship between confidence and academic ability, interests, and personality. The sample for this study included 547 male engineering students broken down into three subgroups based on their expression of confidence about completing their educational program. Instruments used were grouped into three categories: ability measures (the *Minnesota Scholastic Aptitude Test* and the *Institute of Technology Math Test*), interest measures (the *Strong Vocational Interest Blank*), and personality measures (the *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory*—MMPI).

An analysis of variance was applied to the data, and the results indicated that an expression of confidence was not related to the measures of ability or interests, but it was significantly related to seven of the MMPI scales. When compared with the confident students on personality, the students who lacked confidence about their objectives were characterized by oversensitivity, compulsive behavior, and withdrawal from social contacts.

---

**Wyer** (1965) studied the interrelationship of self-acceptance, differences between parents' perceptions of their children, and goal-seeking effectiveness within a college setting. Instruments used included the *Scholastic Aptitude Test*, the *Adjective Check List*, and a 5-point 1-item scale to measure parental attitudes toward education. Measures were obtained of self-perceptions and self-acceptance for 393 males and 496 females who were students at the University of Iowa. Also collected were data on the fathers' and mothers'

perceptions and acceptance of these self-perceptions and on parents' attitudes toward academic pursuits.

An analysis of variance of the data indicated that self-acceptance and parental acceptance are related to academic effectiveness in males but not in females. The discrepancy between the fathers' and mothers' perceptions of their children related negatively to self-acceptance in females and in general to academic effectiveness for both males and females. Males whose parents either agreed that a college education was primarily for intellectual broadening or agreed that it was primarily for social broadening were more effective in goal-seeking than were those whose parents disagreed on this matter.

**Success Viewed as Development of Self-Confidence, Self-Acceptance, and an Appropriate Self-Concept: Published Literature.**

Aiken, E. G. Alternate forms of a semantic differential for measurement of changes in self-description. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, **16**, 177-178.

Aldous, J., & Kell, L. Child-rearing values of mothers in relation to their children's perceptions of their mother's control: An exploratory study. *Marriage and Family Living*, 1956, **18**, 72-74.

Aldous, J., & Kell, L. A partial test of some theories of identification. *Marriage and Family Living*, 1961, **23**, 15-19.

Angrist, S. S. Role conception as a predictor of adult female roles. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1966, **50**, 448-459.

Aronson, E. The theory of cognitive dissonance: A current perspective. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology*. Vol. IV. New York: Academic Press, 1965.

Back, K. W., & Paramesh, C. R. Self-image, information exchange and social character. *International Journal of Psychology*, 1969, **4**, 109-117.

Backman, C. W., Secord, P. F., & Pierce, J. R. Resistance to change in self-concept as a function of consensus among significant others. In C. W. Backman & P. F. Secord (Eds.), *Problems in social psychology: Selected readings*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1966.

Ballyn, L., & Kelman, H. C. The effects of a year's experience in America on the self-image of Scandinavians: A preliminary analysis of reactions to a new environment. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1962, **18**(1), 30-40.

- Baird, L. L. The effects of college residence groups on students' self-concepts, goals, and achievements. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1969, 47, 1015-1021.
- Baker, S. R. Factor analytic study of self-perception of graduate and undergraduate students. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1968, 26, 1073-1074.
- Bass, B. M., et al. Self, interaction, and task orientation inventory scores associated with overt behavior and personal factors. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1963, 23, 101-116.
- Beier, E. G., & Ratzburg, F. The parental identifications of male and female college students. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1953, 48, 569-572.
- Berenson, B. G., & Carkhuff, R. R. *Sources of gain in counseling and psychotherapy: Readings and commentary*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1967.
- Berger, C. R. Sex differences related to self-esteem factor structure. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1968, 32, 442-446.
- Berger, E. M. The relation between expressed acceptance of self and expressed acceptance of others. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1952, 47, 778-782.
- Bills, R. E. Rorschach characteristics of persons scoring high and low in acceptance of self. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1953, 17, 36-38.
- Bills, R. E. A validation of changes in scores on the index of adjustment and values as measures of changes in emotionality. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1953, 17, 135-138.
- Block, J., & Thomas, H. Is satisfaction with self a measure of adjustment? *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, 51, 254-259.
- Borgatta, E. F. A systematic study of interaction process scores, peer and self-assessments, personality and other variables. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1962, 65, 219-291.
- Borislow, B. Self-evaluation and academic achievement: In M. Kornrich (Ed.), *Underachievement*. Springfield, Ill.: Thomas, 1965.
- Boshier, R. A study of the relationship between self-concept and conservatism. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1969, 77, 139-140.
- Branan, J. M. Client reaction to counselor's use of self-experience. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 45, 568-572.

Braum, J. R. Search for correlates of self-actualization. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, **28**, 557-558.

Brehm, J., & Cohen, A. *Explorations in cognitive dissonance*. New York: Wiley, 1962.

Brookover, W. B., & Erickson, E. L. *Society, schools, and learning*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon, 1969.

Brown, C. M., & Ferguson, L. W. Self-concept and religious belief. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, **22**, 266.

Brownfain, J. J. Stability of the self-concept as a dimension of personality. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1952, **47**, 597-606.

Broxton, J. Self concepts of freshman women. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1963, **26**(4), 25-29.

Burke, R. L., & Bennis, W. G. Changes in perception of self and others during human relations training. *Human Relations*, 1961, **14**, 165-182.

Burton, M. V. The effect of college attendance upon personality as measured by the Bernreuter Personality Inventory. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1945, **38**, 708-711.

Campbell, D. P. *The results of counseling: Twenty-five years later*. Philadelphia: Saunders, 1965.

Campbell, J. P., & Dunnette, M. D. Effectiveness of T-group experiences in managerial training and development. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1968, **70**, 73-104.

Carlson, R. Stability and change in the adolescent's self-image. *Child Development*, 1965, **36**, 659-666.

Carlson, R. *On the structure of self-esteem: Comments on Ziller's formulation*. Research Bulletin RB-69-38. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1969.

Cartwright, R. D. Self-conception patterns of college students, and adjustment to college life. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1963, **10**, 47-52.

Catron, D. W. Educational-vocational group counseling: The effects on perception of self and others. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, **13**, 202-207.

- Chickering, A. W. Curriculum, teaching, and evaluation—Implications for student mental health development, and counseling. *NASPA Journal*, 1967, 5, 167-174.
- Chickering, A. W. *Education and identity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969.
- Coelho, G. V., Hamburg, D. A., & Murphy, E. B. Coping strategies in a new learning environment: A study of American college freshmen. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1963, 9, 433-443.
- Cohen, J. B. Self concept constraints upon dissonance reduction. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1968, 62, 151-152.
- Coombs, R. H. Social participation, self-concept and interpersonal valuation. *Sociometry*, 1969, 32, 273-286.
- Coopersmith, S. A method for determining types of self-esteem. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1959, 59, 87-94.
- Coopersmith, S. *The antecedents of self-esteem*. San Francisco: Freeman, 1967.
- Cosentino, F., & Heilbrun, A. B., Jr. Anxiety correlates of sex-role identity in college students. *Psychological Reports*, 1964, 14, 729-730.
- Couch, C. J. Self attitudes and degree of agreement with immediate others. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1958, 63, 491-496.
- Cowen, E. L. The "negative self concept" as a personality measure. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1954, 18, 138-142.
- Cowen, E. L. An investigation of the relationship between two measures of self-regarding attitudes. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1956, 12, 156-160.
- Cowen, E. L., et al. The correlates of manifest anxiety in perceptual reactivity, rigidity, and self-concept. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1957, 21, 405-411.
- Cowen, E. L., & Tongas, P. N. The social desirability of trait descriptive terms: Applications to a self-concept inventory. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1959, 23, 361-365.
- Crary, W. G. Note on the nature of self-regard. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 24, 487-490.
- Cross, K. P. *The junior college student: A research description*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1968.

- Crowne, D. P., & Marlowe, D. *The approval motive; studies in evaluative dependence*. New York: Wiley, 1964.
- Crowne, D. P., & Stephens, M. W. Self-acceptance and self-evaluative behavior: A critique of methodology. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1961, **58**, 104-121.
- Crowne, D. P., Stephens, M. W., & Kelly, R. The validity and equivalence of tests of self-acceptance. *Journal of Psychology*, 1961, **51**, 101-112.
- Cutsumbis, M. N. The relationship of certain sociological factors to self-reported anxiety as revealed by the Mooney Problem Check List. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, **28**, 577-581.
- Dean, D. G. Alienation: Its meaning and measurement. *American Sociological Review*, 1961, **26**, 753-758.
- Denmårk, F., & Gutténag, M. The effect of college attendance on mature women: Changes in self-concept and evaluation of student role. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1966, **69**, 155-158.
- Deo, P. Omissions and contradictions in the self-concepts of Panjab University students. *Psychological Studies*, 1966, **11**, 99-109.
- Deo, P., & Gupta, G. C. A comparative study of self-concept of arts, science, and professional college students. *Psychological Studies*, 1963, **8**, 52-60.
- Derbyshire, R. L., & Brody, E. Social distance and identity conflict in Negro college students. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1964, **48**, 301-314.
- Deutsch, M., & Solomon, L. Reactions to evaluations by others as influenced by self evaluations. *Sociometry*, 1959, **22**, 93-112.
- Diggory, J. C. *Self-evaluation: Concepts and studies*. New York: Wiley, 1966.
- Dole, A. A. Accuracy of retrospective self-reports by college students. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1968, **17**, 33-40.
- Draws, E. M. Counseling for self-actualization in gifted girls and young women. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1965, **12**, 167-175.
- Erikson, E. H. The problem of ego identity. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association*, 1956, **4**, 56-121.
- Erikson, E. H. A memorandum on identity and Negro youth. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1964, **20(4)**, 29-42.

- Erikson, E. H. *Identity, youth, and crisis*. New York: W. N. Norton, 1968.
- Fathi, A. Latent identity and person perception: An exploration in balance theory. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1967, 37, 283-289.
- Fennimore, F. Reading and the self-concept. *Journal of Reading*, 1968, 11, 447-451.
- Fisher, M. B., & Noble, J. L. *College education as personal development*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1960.
- Fisher, S., & Cleveland, S. E. *Body image and personality*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1958.
- Foot, N. N., & Cottrell, L. S. *Identity and interpersonal competencies*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1955.
- Friedenberg, E. Z., & Roth, J. A. *Self-perception in the university: A study of successful and unsuccessful graduate students*. Educational Monograph No. 80. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1954.
- Gallagher, B. G. *American caste and the Negro college*. New York: Gordian Press, 1966.
- Gibb, L. L. Home background and self-actualization attainment. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 49-53.
- Gilbreath, S. H. Group counseling with male underachieving college volunteers. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 45, 469-476.
- Goffman, E. *Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1963.
- Gordon, I. J. *Human development: From birth through adolescence*. New York: Harper & Row, 1969.
- Gordon, I. J., & Combs, A. W. The learner: Self and perception. *Review of Educational Research*, 1958, 28, 433-444.
- Goslin, D. A. Accuracy of self perception and social acceptance. *Sociometry*, 1962, 25, 283-296.
- Gould, L. J. Conformity and marginality: Two faces of alienation. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1969, 25(2), 39-63.
- Graham, J. R., & Barr, K. G. Q-sort study of the relationship between student's self-acceptance and acceptance of their college. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, 21, 779-780.

- Gray, S. W., & Klaus, R. The assessment of parental identification. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1956, 54, 87-114.
- Grebsstein, L. C. *Toward self-understanding: Studies in personality and adjustment*. Glenview, Ill.: Scott, Foresman, 1969:
- Greenberg, G. U., & Frank, G. H. Personality correlates of attitude change: The tendency to alter attitudes toward self in other-directed and inner-directed people. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1967, 76, 85-90.
- Grossack, M. M., Armstrong, T., & Lussiev, G. Correlates of self-actualization. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, 1966, 6, 87-88.
- Gruen, W. Rejection of false information about oneself as an indication of ego-identity. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1960, 24, 231-233.
- Hamachek, D. E., & Mori, T. Need structure, personal adjustment, and academic self-concept of beginning education students. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1964, 58, 158-162.
- Heath, D. H. *Growing up in college: Liberal education and maturity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.
- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr. Conformity to masculinity-femininity stereotypes and ego identity in adolescents. *Psychological Reports*, 1964, 14, 351-357.
- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr., & Hall, C. L. Resource mediation in childhood and identification. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines*, 1964, 5, 139-148.
- Hershenson, D. B. Sense of identity, occupational fit, and enculturation in adolescence. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 319-324.
- Hewitt, D., & Rulé, B. G. Conceptual structure and deprivation effects on self concept change. *Sociometry*, 1968, 31, 386-394.
- Hills, D. A., & Williams, J. E. Effects of test information upon self-evaluation in brief educational-vocational counseling. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1965, 12, 275-281.
- Hodgkins, B. J., & Stakenas, R. G. A study of self-concepts of Negro and white youth in segregated environments. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1969, 38, 370-377.
- Hollenbeck, G. P. Conditions and outcomes in the student-parent relationship. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1965, 29, 237-241.

- Hosford, R. E., & Briskin, A. S. Changes through counseling. *Review of Educational Research*, 1969, **39**, 189-207.
- Houtras, P. T., & Pederson, L. M. A comparison of affiliated and independent college students using the Bill's Index Adjustment of Values. *College of Education Record, University of North Dakota*, March-April 1968, **53**, 14-18. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1968, **4**, 15)
- Insel, S. A., Reese, C. S., & Alexander, B. B. Self-presentations in relation to internal and external referents. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1968, **32**, 389-395.
- Israel, J. Self-evaluation in groups. *Acta Sociologica*, 1958, **3**, 29-47.
- Jelinek, J. J. Philosophy and student's concepts of self. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1969, **17**, 27-31.
- Jervis, F. M. The meaning of a positive self-concept. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1959, **15**, 370-373.
- Jones, S. C., & Schneider, D. J. Certainty of self-appraisal and reactions to evaluations from others. *Sociometry*, 1968, **31**, 395-403.
- Jourard, S. M. Identification, parent-cathexis, and self-esteem. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1957, **21**, 375-380.
- Jourard, S. M., & Remy, R. M. Perceived parental attitudes, the self, and security. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1955, **19**, 364-366.
- Kaufmann, H. Task performance and responses to failure as functions of imbalance in the self-concept. *Psychological Monographs*, 1963, **77**(6, Whole No. 569).
- Keniston, K. *The uncommitted: Alienated youth in American society*. (Reprint). New York: Harcourt, Brace & World, 1965.
- Kenny, D. T. The influence of social desirability on discrepancy measures between real self and ideal self. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1956, **20**, 315-318.
- Kinch, J. W. A formalized theory of the self-concept. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1963, **68**, 481-486.
- Kipnis, D. M. Changes in self concepts in relation to perceptions of others. *Journal of Personality*, 1961, **29**, 449-465.
- Klausner, S. Z. Social class and self-concept. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1953, **38**, 201-205.

- Klein, E. B., & Gould, L. J. Alienation and identification in college women. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, **37**, 468-480.
- Knapp, R. H., & Green, H. B. Personality correlates of success imagery. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1964, **62**, 93-99.
- Knapp, R. R. Relationship of a measure of self-actualization to neuroticism and extraversion. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1965, **29**, 168-172.
- Koile, E. A., & Draeger, C. T-group member ratings of leader and self in a human relations laboratory. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, **72**, 11-20.
- Korman, A. K. Self-esteem as a moderator in vocational choice: Replications and extensions. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1969, **53**, 188-192.
- Kosa, J., Rachiele, L. D., & Schommer, C. O. The self-image and performance of socially mobile college students. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1962, **56**, 301-316.
- Kronovet, E., & Shirk, E. (Eds.) *In pursuit of awareness: The college student in the modern world*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1967.
- Kuhn, M. Self-attitudes by age, sex, and professional training. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1960, **1**, 39-55.
- Kuhn, M. H., & McPartland, T. S. An empirical investigation of self-attitudes. *American Sociological Review*, 1954, **19**, 68-76.
- LaBenne, W. D., & Green, B. I. *Educational implications of self-concept theory*. Pacific Palisades, Ca.: Goodyear, 1969.
- Laing, R. D. *The self and others*. (2nd ed.) New York: Pantheon, 1969.
- Larsen, K. S., & Schwendiman, G. Authoritarianism, self-esteem, and insecurity. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, **25**, 229-230.
- Lazowick, L. M. On the nature of identification. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, **51**, 175-183.
- LeMay, M. L., & Damm, B. J. The Personal Orientation Inventory (POI) as a measure of the self-actualization of underachievers. *Measurement and Evaluation in Guidance*, 1968, **1**, 110-114.
- LeMelle, T. J., & LeMelle, W. J. *The black college: A strategy for achieving relevancy*. New York: Praeger, 1969.
- Leuba, C. *Personality, interpersonal relations, and self-understanding*. Columbus, Ohio: Merrill Books, 1962.

- Levy, L. H. The meaning and generality of perceived actual-ideal discrepancies. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1956, **20**, 396-398.
- Lieb, J. W., & Snyder, W. U. Effects of group discussions on underachievement and self-actualization. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, **14**, 282-285.
- Lief, H. I. The sex revolution and the adolescent's search for identity. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1969, **39**, 193.
- Loevinger, J. The meaning and measurement of ego development. *American Psychologist*, 1966, **21**, 195-206.
- Lowe, C. M. The self concept: Fact or artifact? *Psychological Bulletin*, 1961, **58**, 325-336.
- Lundy, R. M., et al. Self-acceptability and descriptions of sociometric choices. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, **51**, 260-262.
- Lynn, D. B. *Parental and sex-role identification*. Berkeley, Ca.: McCutchan, 1969.
- Maehr, M. L., Mensing, J., & Nafzger, S. Concept of self and the reaction of others. *Sociometry*, 1962, **25**, 353-357.
- Manis, M. Social interaction and the self-concept. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, **51**, 362-370.
- Marcia, J. E. Development and validation of ego-identity status. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, **3**, 551-558.
- Marcia, J. E. Ego identity status: Relationship to change in self-esteem, "general maladjustment," and authoritarianism. *Journal of Personality*, 1967, **35**, 118-133.
- Margulies, N. Organizational culture and psychological growth. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 1969, **5**, 491-508.
- Martire, J. G. Relationships between the self-concept and differences in the strength and generality of achievement motivation. *Journal of Personality*, 1956, **24**, 364-375.
- Maslow, A. H. *Toward a psychology of being*. (2nd ed.) Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1968.
- Matteson, R. W. Self-estimates of college freshmen. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1956, **34**, 280-284.

- Matteson, R. W. Self-perceptions of students seeking counseling. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1958, **36**, 545-548.
- McClain, E. W., & Andrews, H. B. Some personality correlates of peak experiences—A study in self-actualization. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1969, **25**, 36-38.
- McConnell, T. R. The attainment of individuality. In *Urbanization and the college student*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1966.
- McDonald, R. L. Effects of sex, race, and class on self, ideal-self, and parental ratings in Southern adolescents. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1968, **27**, 15-25.
- McKinney, F. The sentence completion blank in assessing student self-actualization. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, **45**, 709-713.
- McPartland, T. S., & Cumming, J. H. Self conception, social class, and mental health. *Human Organization*, 1958, **17**(3), 24-29.
- McPhail, C. Respondents' judgments of self-statements. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1968, **9**, 202-209.
- Mehrens, W. A. Self-concepts of graduate students. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1967, **61**, 112-113.
- Merenda, P. F., & Clarke, W. V. Multiple inferential selves of male and female college students. *Journal of Psychological Studies*, 1960, **11**, 206-212.
- Merenda, P. F., & Clarke, W. V. Influence of college experience on the self-concepts of young male job applicants. *Journal of Psychological Studies*, 1961, **12**, 49-60.
- Merenda, P. F., & Clarke, W. V. Differences in results of inferential self measurement in self-concept analysis. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1967, **25**, 317-322.
- Mitchell, J. V., Jr. Goal-setting behavior as a function of self-acceptance, over- and underachievement, and related personality variables. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1959, **50**, 93-104.
- Miyamoto, S. F., & Dornbusch, S. M. A test of interactionist hypotheses of self-conception. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1956, **61**, 399-403.
- Mossman, B. M., III., & Ziller, R. C. Self-esteem and consistency of social behavior. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 1968, **73**, 363-367.

- Moustakas, C. *Personal growth: The struggle for identity and human values*. Cambridge: Doyle, 1969.
- Mukherjee, B. N. A discriminant analysis of self-ratings for college students having differential manifest anxiety. *Journal of Projective Techniques and Personality Assessment*, 1969, **33**, 72-77.
- Mulford, C. L. Self-actualization in a small college environment. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, **8**, 100-104.
- Murphy, L. B., & Raushenbush, E. *Achievement in the college years: A record of intellectual and personal growth*. New York: Harper, 1960.
- Murray, J. B. The identity image of the college student. *Psychological Reports*, 1964, **14**, 267-271.
- Musgrove, F. Social class and levels of aspiration in a technological university. *Sociological Review*, 1967, **15**, 311-322.
- Nisbett, R. E., & Gordon, A. Self-esteem and susceptibility to social influence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, **5**, 268-276.
- Norrell, G., & Grater, H. Interest awareness as an aspect of self-awareness. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1960, **7**, 289-292.
- Ohnmacht, F. W., & Muro, J. J. Self-acceptance: Some anxiety and cognitive style relationships. *Journal of Psychology*, 1967, **67**, 235-239.
- Omwake, K. T. The relationship between acceptance of self and acceptance of others shown by three personality inventories. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1954, **18**, 443-446.
- Pallone, N. J. College, work, and self-ideal congruence. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1966, **7**, 285-288.
- Patterson, F., et al. *Negro self-concept. Implications for school and citizenship*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1965.
- Payne, D. A., & Farquhar, W. W. The dimensions of an objective measure of academic self-concept. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1962, **53**, 187-192.
- Pedersen, D. M. Evaluation of self and others and some personality correlates. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, **71**, 225-244.
- Pepitone, A. D. *Attraction and hostility: An experimental analysis of interpersonal and self-evaluation*. New York: Atherton Press, 1964.

- Phillips, E. L. Attitudes toward self and others: A brief questionnaire report. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1951, 15, 79-81.
- Platt, J. J., & Taylor, R. E. Homesickness, future time perspective, and the self concept. *Journal of Individual Psychology*, 1967, 23, 94-97.
- Porter, L. G., & Stacy, C. L. A study of the relationship between self-ratings and parent-ratings for a group of college students. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1956, 12, 243-248.
- Prohansky, H., & Newton, P. The nature and meaning of Negro self-identity. In M. Deutsch, I. Katz, & A. R. Jensen (Eds.), *Social class, race, and psychological development*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1968.
- Quarantelli, E. L., & Cooper, J. Self-conceptions and others: A further test of median hypotheses. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1966, 7, 281-297.
- Rabinowitz, M. The relationship of self regard to the effectiveness of life experiences. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 139-143.
- Rauschenbush, E. *The student and his studies*. Middletown, Conn.: Wesleyan University Press, 1964.
- Reeder, L. G., Donohue, G. A., & Biblarz, A. Conceptions of self and others. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1960, 66, 153-159.
- Richards, J. M., Jr. A factor analytic study of the self-ratings of college freshmen. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1966, 26, 861-870.
- Riesman, D. College subcultures and college outcomes. In *Selection and educational differentiation*. Berkeley: Field Services Center and Center for the Study of Higher Education, 1959.
- Ring, K., Lipinski, C. E., & Braginski, D. The relationship of birth order to self-evaluation, anxiety reduction, and susceptibility to emotional contagion. *Psychological Monographs*, 1965, 79(10, whole No. 603).
- Romine, B. H., Jr., & Gehman, W. S. Tension in freshmen and senior engineering students. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1966, 26, 565-576.
- Rose, J. D. The role of others in self-evaluation. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1969, 10, 470-479.
- Rosen, E. Self-appraisal and perceived desirability of MMPI personality traits. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1956, 3, 44-51.

- Rosen, E. Self-appraisal, personal desirability, and perceived social desirability of personality traits. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1956, **52**, 151-158.
- Rosenberg, M. The association between self-esteem and anxiety. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 1962, **1**, 135-151.
- Rosenberg, M. *Society and the adolescent self-image*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1965.
- Rubins, J. L. Notes on the organization of the self. *American Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 1958, **18**, 171-193.
- Sander, D. L. Experimental educational advising in men's residence halls. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, **42**, 787-790.
- Sarbin, T. R. A preface to a psychological analysis of the self. *Psychological Review*, 1952, **59**, 11-22.
- Schmitt, R. L. Major role change and self change. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1966, **7**, 311-322.
- Schuh, A. J. Use of the semantic differential in a test of Super's vocational adjustment theory. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1966, **50**, 516-522.
- Sciortino, R. Factorial study and analysis of factor variance of general adaptability self-ratings from a combined sample of male and female subjects. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, **72**, 169-177. (a)
- Sciortino, R. Factorial study of general adaptability self-ratings by male and female subjects. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, **71**, 271-279. (b)
- Sciortino, R. Factorial study of intellectual self-ratings by male and female subjects. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, **73**, 9-17. (c)
- Sebald, H. *Adolescence. A sociological analysis*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1968.
- Secord, P. F., & Backman, C. W. Personality theory and the problem of stability and change in individual behavior: An interpersonal approach. *Psychological Review*, 1961, **68**, 21-32.
- Seeman, J. Personality integration in college women. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, **4**, 91-93.
- Segal, S. J. Implications of residential setting for development during college. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, **8**, 308-310.

- Segal, S. J., & Tiedeman, D. V. The case for delay in college entry: High school seniors' need time, guidance in identity search. *School Counselor*, 1968, 15, 167-171.
- Sheerer, E. T. An analysis of the relationship between acceptance of and respect for self and acceptance of and respect for others in ten counseling cases. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1949, 13, 169-175.
- Sherif, M., & Cantril, H. *The psychology of ego-involvements, social attitudes and identification*. New York: Wiley, 1966.
- Sherwood, J. J. Self identity and referent others. *Sociometry*, 1965, 28, 66-81.
- Shostrom, E. L. An inventory for the measurement of self-actualization. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1964, 24, 207-218.
- Shostrom, E. L., & Knapp, R. R. The relationship of a measure of self-actualization (POI) to a measure of pathology (MMPI) and to therapeutic growth. *American Journal of Psychotherapy*, 1966, 20, 193-202.
- Silber, E., & Tippett, J. S. Self-esteem: Clinical assessment and measurement validation. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 16, 1017-1071.
- Silverman, I. Self-esteem and differential responsiveness to success and failure. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, 69, 115-119.
- Skager, R. W., & Braskamp, L. A. *Changes in self-ratings and life goals as related to student accomplishment in college*. ACT Research Report No. 16. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1966.
- Skager, R. W., Holland, J. L., & Braskamp, L. A. *Changes in self-ratings and life goals among students at colleges with different characteristics*. ACT Research Report No. 14. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1966.
- Sloan, R. B., et al. Aggression and effects of upbringing in normal students. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1962, 7, 374-384.
- Smith, G. M. Six measures of self-concept discrepancy and instability: Their interrelations, reliability, and relations to other personality measures. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1958, 22, 101-112.
- Smith, P. A. A factor analytic study of the self-concept. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1960, 24, 191.

- Smith, P. A. A comparison of three sets of rotated factor analytic solutions of self-concept data. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1962, 64, 326-333.
- Snyder, B. R., & Kaufman, I. The identity crisis in the university setting. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1963, 11, 259-276.
- Solway, K. S., & Fehr, R. C. Situational variables and self-acceptance. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 253-254.
- Steiner, I. D. Reactions to adverse and favorable evaluations of one's self. *Journal of Personality*, 1968, 36, 553-563.
- Stock, D. An investigation into the interrelations between the self concept and feelings directed toward other persons and groups. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1949, 13, 176-180.
- Stock, D. A survey of research on T-groups. In L. P. Bradford, J. R. Gibb, & K. O. Benne (Eds.), *T-group theory and laboratory method*. New York: Wiley, 1964.
- Stotland, E., & Dunn, R. E. Identification, "oppositeness," authoritarianism, self-esteem, and birth order. *Psychological Monographs*, 1962, 76(9, Whole No. 528).
- Stotland, E., & Hillmer, M. L., Jr. Identification, authoritarian defensiveness, and self-esteem. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1962, 64, 334-342.
- Stotland, E., Katz, D., & Patchen, M. The reduction of prejudice through the arousal of self-insight. *Journal of Personality*, 1959, 27, 507-531.
- Stotland, E., & Patchen, M. Identification and changes in prejudice and in authoritarianism. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, 62, 265-274.
- Strauss, A. *Mirrors and masks: The search for identity*. Glencoe, Ill.: Free Press, 1959.
- Sulnn, R. M. The relationship between self-acceptance and acceptance of others: A learning theory analysis. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, 63, 37-42.
- Sulnn, R. M., & Hill, H. Influence of anxiety on the relationship between self-acceptance and acceptance of others. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1964, 28, 116-119.

- Taylor, D. M. Changes in the self concept without psychotherapy. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1955, 19, 205-209.
- Thistlethwaite, D. C. The recognition of excellence. *College and University*, 1961, 36, 282-295.
- Tippett, J. S., & Silber, E. Autonomy of self-esteem: An experimental approach. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1966, 14, 372-385.
- Torrance, E. P. Personality dynamics of under-self-evaluation among intellectually gifted college freshmen. In E. P. Torrance (Ed.), *Talent and education: Present status and future directions*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1960.
- Turner, R. H., & Vanderlippe, R. H. Self-ideal congruence as an index of adjustment. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1958, 57, 202-206.
- Veldman, D. J., & Bown, O. H. Personality and performance characteristics associated with cigarette smoking among college freshmen. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1969, 33, 109-119.
- Videback, R. Self-conception and the reaction of others. *Sociometry*, 1960, 23, 351-359.
- Vincent, J. An exploratory factor analysis relating to the construct validity of the self-concept labels. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, 28, 915-921.
- Walsh, W. B. Validity of self-report. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 18-23.
- Walsh, W. B. Validity of self-report: Another look. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 180-186.
- Walsh, W. B. Self-report under socially undesirable and distortion conditions. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 569-574.
- Ward, W. D., & Day, C. R. Perceived similarity to parents as related to perceived similarity to other adults. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, 29, 739-742.
- Warren, J. R. Self concept, occupational role expectation, and change in college major. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1961, 8, 164-169.
- Washburn, W. C. Factors associated with levels of self-conceptualization in high school students. *California Journal of Educational Research*, 1961, 12, 200-206.

- Watley, D. J. Performance and characteristics of the confident student. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1965, 43, 591-596.
- Watson, G. H. Emotional problems of gifted students. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1960, 39, 98-105.
- Weinstein, E. A., & Beckhouse, L. S. Audience and personality factors in presentation of self. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1969, 10, 527-537.
- Weinstein, E. A., & Black, C. R. Factors mediating the effects of other's responses on the self. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1969, 39, 189-193.
- Windholz, G. Divergent and convergent abilities of semantic content as related to some personality traits of college students. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1967, 27, 1015-1023.
- Wittermans, T., & Kraus, I. Structural marginality and social work. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1964, 48, 348-360.
- Wright, E. W. A comparison of individual and multiple counseling for test interpretation interviews. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1963, 10, 126-134.
- Wright, L. Construct validity of Duncan's Personality Integration Scale. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1966, 26, 471-478.
- Wyer, R. S. Self-acceptance, discrepancy between parents' perceptions of their children, and goal-seeking effectiveness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 2, 311-316.
- Wylie, R. C. *The self concept: A critical survey of pertinent research literature*. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1961.
- Wylie, R. C. Self ratings, level of ideal-self ratings, and defensiveness. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 16, 135-150.
- Zeleny, L. D. Experimental appraisal of a group learning plan. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1940, 34, 37-42.
- Ziller, R. C. The alienation syndrome: A triadic pattern of self-other orientation. *Sociometry*, 1969, 32, 287-300.
- Ziller, R. C., et al. Self-esteem: A self-social construct. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1969, 33, 84-95.
- Zimmer, H. Self-acceptance and its relation to conflict. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1954, 18, 447-449.

### **Adjustment to and Satisfaction with the Collegiate Environment**

Adjustment to college is undoubtedly related to psychological adjustment for many students, but it has been included as a separate category. Psychological adjustment indicates an overall personal ability to see reality, to adapt, and to cope with one's situation. Orientation programs are a primary method colleges have used to help students adjust to college and they are designed for normal, psychologically adjusted young people.

Adjustment to college includes an ability to cope not only with the new situations confronted in the college environment but also with positive reactions to and satisfactions with college. A student may be quite well adjusted psychologically and still be unhappy or unwilling to adjust to the college situation. In fact, it is possible that some students do not adjust to the college environment primarily because they are highly adjusted psychologically.

Far too little effort has been expended by most colleges to study the effects on college adjustment of different types of students made by various campus student personnel programs and to explore new ways of helping their students. Few studies were found in the published literature that attempted to provide such insights about college adjustment. In fact, most of the insights gained came from studies categorized in the grades and persistence section of the survey (Lenning, et al., in press) where former students were asked why they dropped out of school.

Much of the literature in this area is concerned with student ratings of satisfaction concerning faculty, teaching methods, different types of teaching media, etc. Most of this research did not relate such ratings to various student types and classroom situations, however.

### **Success Viewed as Adjustment to and Satisfaction with the Collegiate Environment: Selected Annotations**

Utilizing concepts from the theory of roles in complex organization, Baird (1969) examined the relations of graduate students to other students, their spouses, employers, professors, and departments. Variables which seemed relevant from both role theory and the literature on graduate students were considered as parts of a theoretical model of the role of the graduate student, and 5-point Likert-type items were developed to measure each variable. Two outcome, or criterion, scales were also developed: commitment to the field and desire for academic positions.

All scales were intercorrelated, and the resulting matrix subjected to principle components factor analysis with follow-up Varimax rotation. Five factors were extracted. From the results, it seems that a large part of the role relations and general adaptation of students to graduate school is a function of these five variables: the extent of the student's involvement in graduate

peer groups, the rigor of academic demands, the degree of ambiguity and conflict in professors' demands, the accessibility of the faculty, and the degree of tension the student experiences from these relations.

The factor "Peer Interaction in Support of Academic Values" suggests the special importance of students' relations with one another to their careers in graduate school. Greater interaction with other graduate students seems to lead to greater commitment to the field.

Boyer and Michael (1968) used the *College and University Environment Scales* (CUES) to compare the perceptions of college seniors with the perceptions of faculty members at seven small religiously oriented colleges and to compare the student perceptions to perceptions of seniors at four well-known but diverse colleges and universities. The seven colleges were affiliated with the Council for the Advancement of Small Colleges. There were a total of 462 students and 278 faculty who completed the CUES. For each CUES scale, the institutional scores of each group of faculty members and of each group of seniors at the seven colleges were calculated, averaged, and converted to percentile rank equivalents. The percentile ranks were then plotted for each scale so that pattern comparisons could be made.

The perceptions of the faculty members and of the seniors were quite close, although the faculty perception of "emphasis the college puts on scholarship" was slightly higher than for students. When raw scores were weighted according to the number of students and faculty at each college, prior to converting to percentile rank equivalents, almost identical profiles were obtained. Next, the senior student profile was compared with senior student profiles at four well-known but quite dissimilar institutions (Antioch, Purdue, Reed, and UCLA). Striking differences from those four colleges were noted. The high-scale scores of the seven colleges with strong religious programs indicated a strong sense of community feeling and of propriety among these students. How strong the feeling was is indicated by the decidedly higher scores they obtained on these two scales over the highest of the four colleges. In addition, they were ranked above all colleges except Purdue on "practicality" and below every college except Purdue on "awareness." They were ranked about even with Purdue and UCLA but below Antioch and Reed on "scholarship."

Coelho, Hamburg, and Murphy (1963) studied the coping strategies used by typical able and well-adjusted students in making the transition from high school to college. From a volunteer group of 105 seniors who ranked in the top half of their class at a suburban Washington, D.C., public high school and who were planning to go to colleges away from home, 14 were selected

(9 females and 5 males) on the basis of demonstrated competence in (a) academic work in school, (b) interpersonal closeness with a peer, and (c) participation in extracurricular activities and social groups. Five of the students enrolled in well-known 4-year liberal arts colleges of the "Ivy League" variety; two went to intellectually demanding colleges with predominantly scientific and technical programs; three chose small, less prestigious, and less academically competitive colleges strong in vocationally oriented programs and religious education; and four girls enrolled in state universities (two in the large local university, one in an Eastern university, and one in a Midwestern university). Qualitative findings for the study were derived from a set of 11 in-depth interviews conducted with each subject over an 18-month period (four in the spring term of the senior high school year, three during the following summer, and four spread throughout the freshman year of college).

An impressive diversity of patterns of coping behavior in the new academic environment was noted which included projecting clear self-image as an effective doer, mobilizing new combinations of skills, using assets to test new images of growth potential, using upperclassmen as resource persons, identifying with faculty-at-a-distance, recentering one's efforts within a long-term purpose, working out alternative sources of gratification (e.g., in the extracurricular), remodeling prefabricated images of a vocational role, setting intermediate goals in working out long-term plans, referring to the high academic standards by which they were selected, and using interpersonal supports.

Coping functions involved not only self-manipulation of feelings and attitudes in maintaining a sense of worth but also active exploration and use of the interpersonal environment, which often led to broadening the basis of the student's self-esteem. In dealing with difficult or distressing situations they sought out and learned from various kinds of interpersonal relationships. Friendships and peer-group relationships were especially helpful for the student coping with new and perplexing socio-academic problems, and they sometimes helped in giving the student an expanded sense of his potentialities and new career lines for further growth.

It seems reasonable to regard such coping behavior as involving complex skills acquired through long sequences of experiences with considerable transfer of learning from one stressful episode to another. The resolution of early disappointments (within a moderate range of severity) was helpful in coping with the disappointments encountered in transition from high school to college; and mastery of a stressful experience in college tended to contribute to a sense of strength, efficacy, or resourcefulness. Ultimate mastery of a difficult distressing experience tends to enhance self-esteem.

Cole and Ivey (1967) hypothesized that student responses to orientation evaluations represent reactions to the total university environment rather than to the specific orientation program. Therefore, they determined that a study of orientation programs which utilized a control group not attending the orientation was needed. In response to this need, they designed a study which they hoped would provide answers to the following questions: (a) Are students who have attended a pre-college orientation and counseling program more certain of their choice of college major than other students? (b) Are attending students more confident than the other students of their chances of academic success? (c) Do the attending students differ from others in their preference for sources of help with personal and academic problems? (d) Do attending students differ from others in their attitudes toward academic achievement, social life, counseling, and the university? (e) Do attending students differ from others in measured academic ability and in first-term GPA?

The subjects consisted of 300 high school seniors who planned to enroll at Colorado State University for the 1964 fall quarter. Letters were sent to half of the students on a random basis inviting them and their parents to attend a special pre-college summer counseling and orientation program. The program involved an orientation-to-college lecture, campus tours, meetings with faculty members, and group and individual educational-vocational counseling. Those attending the summer orientation program and their parents completed a special questionnaire at the end of the program. An enthusiastic response to the program was received by both students and parents.

A questionnaire was mailed to all of the subjects in the study at the beginning of the fall quarter to collect data that could provide answers to the research questions formulated for the study. Completed questionnaires were received from 230 students out of the 264 who actually enrolled at the university, and the group sizes were as follows: (a) invited to attend the summer orientation but did not attend—63, (b) attended the summer orientation—77, (c) not invited to the summer orientation—90. Differences among the three groups on questionnaire responses, *Scholastic Aptitude Test* scores, and GPAs were minimal (although it was found that attenders had a more realistic picture of their expected grades). This result could mean that attendance at the summer orientation program had little or no effect. Just as probable, however, is that any differential effects were cancelled out by the usual orientation following registration and the sharing of attending students with those who did not attend. The students in all three groups went through the regular Welcome Week orientation program in September. The study clearly raises as many or more questions as it answers.

**Constantinople** (1967) used 188 freshmen and 165 juniors from the College of Arts and Science at the University of Rochester to relate scores on the *Perceived Instrumentality of the College Test* (PICT) to satisfaction with the institution and to degree of happiness experienced by the students. The PICT consists of 14 statements, each of which might be described as a goal in the college experience. The students rated each goal statement for the importance of the goal in their own college experience and the degree to which the university was perceived as helping or hindering progress toward that goal. A 7-point graphic scale was used by the student to indicate his degree of satisfaction or dissatisfaction with the university. Each student was also asked to indicate which one of ten statements best described his average level of happiness or unhappiness during the current academic year. Data were gathered during the first two weeks of March.

Relationships of the PICT with the criteria were explored using Pearson product-moment correlations and *t* tests. Analysis-of-variance procedures were used to explore sex and class differences in ratings. The summed product of the goal ratings correlated positively with rating of satisfaction with college and also differentiated between students claiming relatively high as compared with low average levels of happiness during the academic year. Mean evaluation ratings decreased from the freshman to the junior year, while instrumentality ratings tended to increase. In most instances, females gave higher ratings of both evaluation and instrumentality than did males.

---

**Demos** (1967) discussed the problems of integrating the commuter college student to the college campus. These problems center around the following four difficulties: (a) the difficulty of getting commuter students involved in college activities outside of the classroom, (b) the difficulty of developing meaningful personal contacts with members of college faculty and administration, (c) the difficulty of developing close personal contacts with other students on the campus, (d) the difficulty of breaking the barrier of anonymity and general depersonalization that develops as a result of the three foregoing difficulties.

Because of these difficulties, a syndrome of psychological problems can develop characterized by apathy, depression, lack of affective reaction, and concomitant identity crisis. Considerable effort needs to be expended to keep the students on the campus—in essence, to actually “manipulate” their environment, create a desire to stay, and provide facilities so that they can and do remain on campus and participate to some degree in activities outside the classroom. Special programs by the Student Affairs Office and the College Union can help. Also useful would be providing financial aid for those who cannot participate because they “must work to put themselves through school.” Students and faculty must help, too, and one way is through more numerous informal contacts. Occasionally, perceptive and more mature stu-

dents can be employed to help provide those aspects of the therapeutic environment that are needed. Since nonparticipating students may be frustrated and even threatened by close personal relationships, thus reinforcing negative feelings; it was suggested that the initial contacts be in group activities, such as a ski club or discussion club, that allow the student to "get outside of himself." The principle is that in a benign, gentle, relatively nonthreatening situation, success and acceptance are much more likely to occur and improvement to follow.

---

Drabek 1966) studied student preferences in professor-student classroom role relations. He wanted to answer two specific questions: (a) Do students vary along a continuum from active to passive in the professor-student role relationships they prefer in the classroom? (b) Can these preferences be explained in terms of differential characteristics of the students? There may be a relationship between social inadequacy and preference for passive, conforming modes of classroom participation. On the other hand, the student's preferred classroom role may merely represent a continuation of the kind of role definitions to which he is accustomed outside the classroom.

A total of 740 students (approximately 70%) responded to a questionnaire sent to 1,249 undergraduates attending a large Midwestern university. The questionnaire contained a dogmatism scale (half the items from the 40-item *Rokeach Dogmatism Scale*), a creativity scale (half the items from the 32-item *Creative Attitude Scale* developed by Torrance), a 12-item religious ideology scale, the first section of the *McLean Social and Religious Concepts Inventory*, a 5-item scale dealing with parental attitude toward learning, and an item asking them to list any extracurricular activities in which they occupied leadership positions while in high school or college. Scores on the *Ohio State Psychological Examination* were available for a measure of "mental potential."

A "known" criterion group was formed of students nominated by ten professors as meeting the criterion of the "active" student. Of the 75 names suggested, 58 (80%) had completed the questionnaire. Another "active" and a "passive" group were formed by using the upper and lower quartiles on the distribution of scores obtained on a professor-student role relations inventory especially prepared for the study.

Mean scores on the dogmatism, the creativity, and the religious ideology scales were compared for the active and passive groups through use of *t* tests. Since the remaining independent variables did not meet the criteria of interval level measurement, the combined group was split into high level and low level on each of those variables (mental potential; number of serious magazines; father's education; mother's education; frequency of discussion

with parents of national or international issues; frequency of parental use of public, private, or university library; and number of extracurricular activities [none versus three or more]). Then means for each pair of groups on the professor-student role relations inventory were compared using *t* tests.

It was discovered that the students varied markedly in the types of professor-student relations they preferred in the classroom. High mental potential, high creativity, and low dogmatism scores were found to be associated with preference for a more active student role. Preference for a more active student role was also associated with students whose parents subscribed to a number of "serious" magazines, who frequently discussed issues of national and international importance, and who made frequent use of the libraries. Subscription to orthodox religious beliefs and leadership in extracurricular activities of an intellectual nature represent styles of roles outside the classroom that correspond to active and passive classroom role preferences, respectively. When the independent variables were used in a regression equation to predict scores on the professor-student role relations inventory, a multiple correlation of .397 was obtained.

---

Hiltunen (1965) attempted to identify the characteristics, motivations, and problems of the adults classified as freshmen at a state university that had no special programs or regulations for adults. If the adult is to resume his education, he must adapt to a system intended primarily for young people; and because of this situation, adults may need special help. The sample for the study consisted of 32 men and 41 women daytime students who were 23 years of age or older, who had enrolled at Louisiana State University in New Orleans for the first time in September of 1963, and who had completed less than 30 hours of previous college work. A questionnaire was mailed to each subject to gather data on the student's background, his home and family responsibilities, outside employment, and future plans. There were also three open-ended questions which had the student respond according to his needs and feelings.

Observation of the percentages, separately for males and females, indicated that the men were seeking higher education primarily to achieve job-upgrading while the women felt more of a need to learn for enlightenment even when job preparation was part of their objective. The men were weak in verbal skills and made less than average grades the first semester, which raised questions about whether they would reach their objective. On the other hand, the women had above-average skills and first semester grades and appeared able to reach their goal unless home responsibilities would interfere. (Of course, it must be remembered that these groups were small.)

The results suggested the need for more extensive counseling for the adult students, especially men, for them to really adapt to the university environ-

ment and to have a better understanding of themselves and the curricula they are planning to pursue. Also, a definite need for the university to provide special extracurricular opportunities for adult students was indicated. No one had participated in any organized campus activity during the first semester, and only a small number indicated that they planned to do so. Yet, when asked if they would be interested in forming a group whose ages and interests would be similar to their own, half of them said "yes."

---

**Isaacson and associates (1964)** had two groups of introductory psychology students at the University of Michigan (691 in the fall semester and 569 in the spring semester) rate their teachers on a 46-item questionnaire. The questionnaire was derived largely from factor analyses of 145 items that had been used in previous studies. The questionnaire data were factor analyzed separately by sex and semester using Kaiser's method of factor analysis. Six factors were found which were consistent for both semesters (even though different students and teachers were involved): skill, overload, structure, feedback, group interaction, and student-teacher rapport. Interestingly, no factors were found which were common to only men or to only women or to only the first semester or the second semester.

As a follow-up to the above, **Isaacson (1964)** followed the same procedures except that he used a different student evaluation form and used students in an introductory economics course instead of students in a psychology course. Once again six stable factors were found. In spite of the fact that a different evaluation questionnaire was being used in a different curricular area, four of the factors were the same as found earlier: teacher skill, student rapport, overload, and structure. The other two factors, unique to the economics course, were "change in beliefs" and "value of the course." The failure to find the other two factors found earlier ("evaluation" and "interaction") suggests that some factors in student evaluations of instructors are general across course areas while some are unique to specific course areas. On the other hand, the different instrument may merely not have contained items that would tap the other two factors.

---

**Martin (1968)** attempted to evaluate perception of college along a pattern of self-theory. The sample included 83 freshmen enrolled in an introductory psychology course, 12 faculty members, and 12 graduate students at the University of Saskatchewan. The only instrument used was a modified *Q* sort which included 70 statements relevant to college life.

An index of satisfaction was the product-moment correlation ( $r$ ) between students' real and ideal *Q*-sort responses according to Block's method. For measures of central tendency,  $r$  was transformed to Fisher's  $z$  and a mean  $z$

was calculated and the results then converted to an equivalent  $r$ . The general pattern indicated initial satisfaction with college, but this tended to decrease by the end of the first year. There was no statistically significant relationship between the degree of satisfaction and level of academic achievement at the end of the first year.

**Neidt and Sjogren (1968)** explored changes in students' attitudes toward a course as a result of the media used and as a result of class size. The sample included students enrolled in lower division courses as follows: 837 students at Colorado State University, 230 students at the University of Colorado, and 533 students at the University of Missouri. The courses were classified by instructional medium and enrollment as follows: programmed instruction (331 students in German, audiovisual methods, English composition, written communication, and anatomy); educational television (323 students in anthropology, agricultural economics, and general psychology); small classes using lecture and discussion methods (363 students in educational psychology, German, and English composition); and large classes using the lecture method (598 students in anthropology, educational psychology, and English composition). The term for each course was divided into five equal increments and a scale administered after each segment which measured attitudes toward the method of instruction, attitudes toward expectation fulfillment in the course, and attitude toward course content.

Regression procedures were used to develop a quadratic equation for each method of instruction which when plotted described the changes in attitude scores as the students progressed through the courses. The mean scores at all points were by far the highest for the programmed instruction group, followed by the means for television instruction, small-class instruction, and large-class instruction, in that order. There was a consistent decline from one time period to another in programmed instruction, television, and small-class instruction, with the decline being much larger for the first two methods. It did appear that the first two were leveling off at points higher than the third method, however. Only a slight decline was found for large-class instruction over the first three measurements of attitudes, and then the satisfaction leveled off from the third to the fourth measurement point and started to rise slightly by the fifth measurement point.

When the subscale means were examined, the same patterns were noted. The differences were so pronounced that in only two instances were there overlaps between the *lowest* mean on a subscale in a high group and the *highest* mean on the same scale in an adjacent group: (a) "attitude toward content" scale for the programmed instruction and educational TV groups, (b) "attitude toward methods" scale for the television and small-class groups.

It should be remembered in interpreting these findings that the courses dif-

ferred in subject-matter content across groups, although there was some overlap. Not only will this in itself possibly affect course attitudes but also some of the courses will probably attract students with different abilities, interests, and personalities, etc., than will other courses. It would have been preferable if some matching across groups on course type and student type could have preceded the study.

---

**Pervin** (1967a) related satisfaction with the environment to perceived self-environment similarity for a sample of 365 Princeton undergraduate volunteers using the *Transactional Analysis of Personality and Environment* (TAPE), a semantic differential instrument. Concepts of self, college, and ideal self were rated on 52 polar-adjective scales; and satisfaction with environment was indicated on five other scales of the TAPE.

When product-moment correlations between satisfaction and discrepancy scores on the TAPE were computed, self-college similarity was found to be significantly related to ratings of satisfaction with the college environment. Interaction data (self-college discrepancy scores) were found to be superior predictors of satisfaction than were data based on self or on college perceptions alone, and environmental satisfaction did not appear to reflect satisfaction with self. The results also suggested that where ratings are given on concepts such as Self, College, and Ideal Self, both the relative distances between the ratings and the direction (ordering of the ratings) need to be considered.

**Pervin** (1967b) next studied student-college interaction for a sample of 3,016 students from 21 colleges, using the TAPE again. Analysis indicated that discrepancies between student perceptions of themselves and their college were related to dissatisfaction with college.

---

**Rand** (1968) searched for the answer to two questions: (a) What is the relationship between student satisfaction with his college and the extent to which certain of his characteristics match those of others at his college? (b) Will matched student and institutional characteristics used in combination lead to a discrimination between different degrees of student satisfaction? It was hoped that some light could be shed on the validity of the assumption underlying most popular college guides, which is that a student will be more satisfied if he chooses a school with a student population similar to himself in personality, interests, attitudes, etc.

The sample involved 7,257 end-of-year freshmen at 24 diverse colleges. Selected as variables were 14 scales from the *American College Survey*, a questionnaire administered to freshmen throughout the country in the spring

of 1964 for a broad scale series of studies. Measures included the six scales of Holland's *Vocational Preference Inventory*, *American College Test* scores (4 scales), and the *Student Orientation Survey* which gave scores for Trow's four subcultural orientations. Satisfaction with college was indicated by a 3-point scale (very satisfied, satisfied, and dissatisfied). Separate analyses were conducted for universities, 4-year colleges, and junior colleges and for males and females. Institutional means were computed on the 14 scales for each of the 24 colleges. Deviation scores for each individual student were obtained by subtracting his scores from the means for his college. Then analyses were conducted using the deviation scores.

To get answers to the first research question, the student groups were split into three groups on each variable according to the magnitude of their deviation scores: top 27%, bottom 27%, and the remainder. Then chi-square tests were used to test for differences in satisfaction between the groups for each variable. A total of 18 of the 84 null hypotheses were rejected at the .05 level. No clear pattern appeared to emerge with respect to any of the 14 variables for any group.

The Rao method of multiple-factor analysis was used to suggest conclusions for the second research question. The results indicated significant discriminations of the deviation scores on satisfaction for university males and females. Partial discriminations were possible for junior college males, while no discriminations were possible for junior college females, 4-year college males, and 4-year college females.

The results seemed to indicate that the relationship between satisfaction and matching is minimal and complex. It was concluded that there are very few patterns of matching of individuals and institutions which are related to satisfaction with college choice.

---

An earlier study (Solomon, Bezdek, and Rosenberg, 1963), had indicated among other things that "clear, expressive," and "warm" teacher behavior was related to positive student evaluations of college teachers. Therefore, Solomon (1966) conducted a study to replicate the earlier one and to see if the same factors of teacher behavior would emerge under different conditions, with simpler measurement techniques and with a different and larger sample. The sample was composed of 229 instructors from a broad range of adult evening courses at five institutions: Hofstra College, University College of Syracuse University, University of North Carolina Extension, the University of Nebraska, and the University of Colorado. A questionnaire in which the students of these instructors described their teachers' behaviors was the instrument used. The questionnaire was a modified version of the one used in the previous study.

The class means on the 69 items in the questionnaire were used as teachers' scores. These scores were factor analyzed using the principle-components method with Varimax rotation. Ten factors were extracted that accounted for 68% of the total variance; and these factors were interpreted as follows: (a) lecturing vs. encouragement of broad, expressive student participation; (b) energy, facility of communication vs. lethargy, vagueness; (c) criticism, disapproval, hostility vs. tolerance; (d) control, factual emphasis vs. permissiveness; (e) warmth, approval vs. coldness; (f) obscurity, difficulty of presentation vs. clarity; (g) dryness vs. flamboyance; (h) precision, organization vs. informality; (i) nervousness vs. relaxation; and (j) impersonality vs. personal expression. The factors were quite similar to those found in the earlier study.

Next, the teachers' factor scores were divided into high and low groups, and these groups were related to the following course characteristic variables using chi-square tests: class size (ten or more students vs. nine or less), "basic" vs. "applied" courses, and course areas. No significant relationships were found for course size; but on the next variable it was found that in basic courses, teachers tended to be more nervous, to be more critical and disapproving, and to use more lecturing. Conversely, teachers of applied courses tended to be more relaxed and tolerant, and encouraged relatively more broad, expressive student participation. Concerning course areas, the following was found: social science teachers exceeded chance frequencies in permissiveness, coldness, clarity, and nervousness; humanities teachers exceeded chance frequencies in encouraging broad, expressive student participation, warmth, and nervousness; natural science and mathematics teachers exceeded chance frequencies in lecturing, control and factual emphasis, obscurity and difficulty of presentation, and impersonality; and teachers of practical courses exceeded chance frequencies for relaxation and personal expression.

---

Walsh and MacKinnon (1969) investigated the effect of an experimental program in the College of Arts and Sciences of the Ohio State University on student perceptions of the university. The experimental male group and the experimental female group of freshmen were grouped together in a sequence of English and history courses during the year. The students also lived in close proximity and took their meals in the same dining room. In addition, faculty members were available for individual consultation, planned dinners, discussion groups, and cultural groups. It was hoped that this would turn out to be an environment that was rewarding and challenging. A control group of Arts students was randomly selected from the residence hall rosters, and they interacted in the environment normally encountered by new students.

Both groups completed the *College and University Environment Scales*

103

(CUES) upon entrance in terms of their expectations about the university environment. Five months later, 69 males and 41 females (out of 161) in the experimental group and 62 males and 78 females (out of 246) in the control group completed the CUES again, this time in terms of their experiences in the environment. Differences between group means on pre-test and post-test and on change scores, plus interaction between sex and experimental condition, were explored for each CUES using 2-factor analysis of variance (AN-OVA) for unequal sample sizes. Follow-up *t* tests were used to take a closer look at significant ANOVA results.

For every group all five CUES dimensions on the post-test mean perception scores were significantly lower than the pretest mean expectation scores. However, on all scales except practicality (the exception being for the experimental female group) the decrease was larger for the experimental groups than for the control groups. The control female group exhibited the least change on most of the dimensions, while the experimental female group had the greatest change on most dimensions.

The results suggest that the special program did have an impact on the participating students, perhaps giving them more realistic perceptions of the college environment. However, there was a serious limitation in the study in that the experimental students were self-selected.

Walsh and Russell (1969) attempted to investigate the differences in personal adjustment problems between freshman college students who made congruent choices of major and those who made incongruent choices according to Holland's theory of vocational choice. The sample included 124 male and female students living in the residence halls at the Ohio State University. Instruments used included the *Vocational Preference Inventory* (VPI) and the *Mooney Problem Check List*.

Separate analyses were conducted for males and females using analysis of variance for unequal numbers, with follow-up *t* tests. For the congruent group, college major was consistent with the dominance scale on the VPI. For the incongruent group, college major tended to be inconsistent with the dominant VPI scale. Students making congruent curricular choices reported fewer personal adjustment problems when compared with the incongruent group.

Wright (1964) and his associates focused their attention on the sources of tension and stress within the university that can be related to typical activities conducted there. They were interested in the nature of the stress, the way in which it is perceived by students, and its possible spread from student to

student or subgroup to subgroup. The major areas explored for stress-relief effect were (a) academic, (b) organization or affiliation, (c) administrative, (d) treatment or counseling, and (e) social and personal.

Beginning with almost 300 activities that included every formal or informal aspect of university life, the focus was empirically narrowed to 26 activities. A total of 121 women and 181 men in two undergraduate general psychology courses at the University of Florida were asked to rate the amount and the duration of stress associated with each of the activities and to also rate their attitude toward the activity on a like-dislike continuum. Exactly the same activities were then used to elicit the amount and the duration of relief or satisfaction afforded and to elicit the attitude toward them in this light.

The results for the students examined during the last term, in which a semester system was in effect suggested that for both men and women, as satisfaction with self increased, the amount of stress perceived in the environment tended to decrease. The subject's attitude toward this stress, that is, his like-dislike score, was also negatively correlated for men. This negative correlation indicated that as his self-satisfaction increased, he felt better about the stress he experienced. For women this correlation was significantly positive which was just opposite that of the men.

The general academic area was perceived as most stressful. For compensating relief activities that produce relatively little stress, the areas of physical education and sports ranked high as did social activities, academic counseling, and medical treatment. In general, the overall relief effect exceeded the stress effect reported by students. This result would support the contention that despite the stress associated with higher education, the experience is still predominantly satisfying.

The university adopted a 14-week trimester system for the following fall, and this change resulted in a more rigid time schedule; thus many habits were challenged. When the procedures were repeated with the remaining students, there were changes in the results. The most striking difference was the relative susceptibility of the men's activity-stress scores to change when the shift was made from the semester system to the trimester system. Eighteen of the mean scores for men had changed in the positive direction. Furthermore, the correlations between stress and satisfaction with self were now both significant at the .05 level, whereas this correlation had been significant only for men before the changeover. Another change was that whereas the semester group had a significant positive correlation of .49 between perceived stress and grade point average (with little or no relationship,  $r = .09$ , between high school achievement scores and present college grades), there were no significant correlations between the various measures and grade point average for the trimester group.

### Success Viewed as Adjustment to and Satisfaction with the Collegiate Environment: Published Literature

Acker, D. C., Danskin, D. G., & Kennedy, C. E., Jr. Student characteristics in curriculum planning. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, 8, 381-384.

Adamek, R. J., & Goudy, W. J. Identification, sex, and change in college major. *Sociology of Education*, 1966, 39, 183-199.

Akenson, D. H., & Beecher, R. S. Speculations on change of college major. *College and University*, 1967, 42, 175-180.

Akka, R. I. The Middle Eastern student on the American college campus. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, 15, 251-254.

Allert, E. Self-concept of a college suicide. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1969, 10, 236-239.

Anderson, B. D. *Introduction to college*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1969.

Anderson, C. L. The student looks at his learning. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1954, 2, 65-66.

Anderson, R. P., & Kell, B. L. Student attitudes about participation in classroom groups. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1954, 48, 255-267.

Angell, R. G. *A study in undergraduate adjustment*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1930.

Ankeeff, A. M. Factors affecting student evaluation of college faculty members. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1953, 37, 458-460.

Apostal, R. A. College subcultures and peer independence. *College of Education Record, University of North Dakota*, March 1969, 54, 114-119.

Arkoff, A. *Adjustment and mental health*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1968.

Aronson, E., & Mettee, D. R. Dishonest behavior as a function of differential levels of induced self-esteem. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 9, 121-127.

Arch, M. J. Nondirective teaching in psychology: An experimental study. *Psychological Monographs*, 1951, 65(4, Whole No. 321).

- Astin, A. W. Further validation of the Environmental Assessment Technique. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1963, 54, 217-226.
- Astin, A. W. Distributions of students among higher educational institutions. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1964, 55, 276-287.
- Astin, A. W. Classroom environment in different fields of study. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1965, 56, 275-282.
- Astin, A. W., & Holland, J. L. The Environmental Assessment Technique: A way to measure college environments. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1961, 52, 308-316.
- Baird, L. L. The effects of college residence groups on students' self-concepts, goals, and achievements. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1969, 47, 1015-1021.
- Baird, L. L. A study of the role relations of graduate students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, 60, 15-21.
- Baker, R. W. Pattern of initial contacts with a university psychological clinic and its relation to academic stressors. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1963, 19, 361-363.
- Baker, R. W., & Nidorf, J. Pattern of occurrence of psychological disturbances in college students as a function of year level. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1964, 20, 530-531.
- Baker, S. R. A comparative study of perceptions of a university environment between honor and nonhonor freshman groups. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1966, 26, 973-976.
- Baker, S. R. The relationship between student residence and perception of environmental stress. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1966, 7, 222-224.
- Bane, C. L. The lecture vs. the class-discussion method of college teaching. *School and Society*, 1925, 21, 300-302.
- Banta, T. J. Attitudes toward a programmed text: "The Analysis of Behavior" compared with "A Textbook of Psychology." *AV Communication Review*, 1963, 11, 227-240.
- Barnette, W. L., Jr. Feedback from bachelor of arts psychology graduates. *American Psychologist*, 1961, 16(6), 184-188.
- Baskin, S. Experiment in independent study. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1962, 31, 183-185.

- Bath, J. A. Problems of college students. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1961, 3, 33-36.
- Bauman, M. H. Psychosocial problems in a men's college. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1969, 17, 437-441.
- Bayer, A. E., & Astin, A. W. Violence and disruption on the U. S. campus, 1968-1969. *Educational Record*, 1969, 50, 337-350.
- Beach, L. R. Study of personality in church-related liberal arts college. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, 8, 105-108.
- Beal, P. F., & Williams, D. A. An experiment with mixed-class housing assignments at the University of Oregon. In ACUHO Research and Information Committee, *Student Housing Research*, an insert of the *ACUHO News*, February 1968. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1968, 3, 502-503).
- Beals, R. L., & Humphrey, N. D. *No frontier to learning: The Mexican student in the United States*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1957.
- Becker, H. S., et al. *Boys in white: Student culture in medical school*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1961.
- Becker, H. S., Geer, B., & Hughes, E. C. *Making the grade: The academic side of college life*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1968.
- Becker, S. L., Goodstein, L. D., & Mittman, A. Relationships between the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory and the College Characteristic Index. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1965, 6, 219-223.
- Bell, N. T., Burkhardt, R. W., & Lawhead, V. B. *Introduction to college life: Meanings, values, and commitment*. (2nd ed.) Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1966.
- Bendig, A. W. The use of student-rating scales in the evaluation of instructors in introductory psychology. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1952, 43, 167-175.
- Bendig, A. W. An inverted factor analysis study of student-rated introductory psychology instructors. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1953, 21, 333-336.
- Bendig, A. W. Student achievement in introductory psychology and student ratings of the competence and empathy of their instructors. *Journal of Psychology*, 1953, 36, 427-433.

Bendig, A. W. A factor analysis of student ratings of psychology instructors on the Purdue scale. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1954, 45, 385-393.

Bendig, A. W. Ability and personality characteristics of introductory psychology instructors rated competent and empathic by their students. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1955, 48, 705-709.

Bendig, A. W., & Hountras, P. T. Anxiety, authoritarianism, and student attitude toward departmental control of college instruction. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1959, 50, 1-7.

Bennett, J. W., & McKnight, R. K. Misunderstanding in communication between Japanese students and Americans. *Social Problems*, 1956, 3, 243-256.

Bennett, J. W., Passin, H., & McKnight, R. K. *In search of identity: The Japanese overseas scholar in America and Japan*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1958.

Berdie, R. F. The prediction of college achievement and satisfaction. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1944, 28, 239-245.

Berdie, R. F. College expectations, experiences, and perceptions. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1966, 7, 336-344.

Berdie, R. F. Student ambivalence and behavior. In L. E. Dennis and J. F. Kauffman (Eds.), *The college and the student: An assessment of relationships and responsibilities in undergraduate education by administrators, faculty members, and public officials*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1966.

Berdie, R. F. Change in university perceptions during the first two college years. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1960, 9, 85-89.

Berdie, R. F., Philapil, B., & Im, I. J. *Graduating seniors' satisfaction with the university*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Bureau of Institutional Research, 1968.

Berelson, B. *Graduate education in the United States*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1960.

Bidwell, C. E., et al. Undergraduate careers: Alternatives and determinants. *School Review*, 1963, 71, 299-316.

Bigelow, G. S., & Egbert, R. L. Personality factors and independent study. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1968, 62, 37-39.

- Bills, R. E. Investigations of student centered teaching. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1952, **46**, 313-319.
- Birney, R. C., & Taylor, M. J. Scholastic behavior and orientation to college. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1959, **50**, 266-274.
- Blackman, A. F., Fletcher, J. L., & Yanofsky, S. M. Students rate their profs and courses. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 1967, **48**, 266-269.
- Bobren, H. M. Student attitudes toward instructional television: Additional evidence. *AV Communication Review*, 1960, **8**, 281-283.
- Bobren, H. M., & Siegel, S. L. Student attitudes towards closed-circuit instructional television. *AV Communication Review*, 1960, **8**, 124-128.
- Bogue, E. G. Student appraisal of teaching effectiveness in higher education: Summary of the literature. *Educational Quest*, 1967, **11**, 6-10.
- Bonjean, C. M., & McGee, R. Scholastic dishonesty among undergraduates in differing systems of social control. *Sociology of Education*, 1965, **38**, 127-137.
- Bonjean, C. M., & McGee, R. Undergraduate scholastic dishonesty: A comparative analysis of deviance and control systems. *Social Science Quarterly*, 1965, **46**, 289-296.
- Bönney, M. E. A study of friendship choices in college in relation to church affiliation, in-church preferences, family size, and length of enrollment in college. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1949, **29**, 153-166.
- Boyer, E. L., & Michael, W. B. Faculty and student assessments of the environments of several small religiously oriented colleges. *California Journal of Educational Research*, 1968, **19**, 59-66.
- Bradfield, L. E. College adjustment and performance of low-income freshman males. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, **46**, 123-129.
- Bradley, N. E. The Negro undergraduate student: Factors relative to performance in predominantly white state colleges and universities in Tennessee. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1967, **36**, 15-23.
- Bridges, P. K., Jones, M. T., & Leak, D. A comparative study of four psychological concomitants of anxiety. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1968, **19**, 141-145.
- Brown, D. R. Student stress and the institutional environment. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1967, **23**(3), 92-107.

- Brown, N. C. (Ed.) *Orientation to college learning: A reappraisal*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1961.
- Brown, R. D. Manipulation of the environmental press in a college residence hall. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1968, **46**, 555-560.
- Brown, W. F. Student-to-student counseling for academic adjustment. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1965, **43**, 811-817.
- Broxton, J. A. A method of predicting roommate compatibility for college freshmen. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1962, **25**, 169-174.
- Buckner, D. R. The influence of residence hall alcoholic beverage and study hour regulations on student behavior. In ACUHO Research and Information Committee, *Student Housing Research*, an insert of the *ACUHO News*, February 1968. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1968, **3**, 504).
- Barnett, C. W., & Badger, F. W. *The learning climate in the liberal arts college: An annotated bibliography*. Charleston: Morris Harvey College, 1970.
- Byrne, J. T. *A study of student problems in Catholic men's colleges*. Catholic University of America Educational Research Monographs, Vol. 20, No. 6. Washington: Catholic University of America Press, 1957.
- Calabro, H. Student initiative for curricular change. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1969, **17**, 164-165.
- Carney, R. E., & McKeachie, W. J. Personality, sex, subject matter, and student ratings. *Psychological Record*, 1966, **16**, 137-144.
- Carpenter, F., Van Egmond, E., & Jochem, J. Student preference of instructor types as a function of subject matter. *Science Education*, 1965, **49**, 235-238.
- Cartwright, R. D. Self-conception patterns of college students and adjustment to college life. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1963, **10**, 47-52.
- Cassery, P. L. What college students say about advanced placement: Part I. *College Board Review*, Fall 1968, **69**, 6-10. (a)
- Cassery, P. L. What college students say about advanced placement: Part II. *College Board Review*, Fall 1968, **70**, 18-22. (b)

- Centra, J. A. Student perceptions of residence hall environments: Living-learning vs. conventional units. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 266-272.
- Chase, C. I., & Warren, S. *Freshmen view the college scene: Opinions before and after the initial semester*. Indiana Studies in Prediction No. 11. Bloomington: Indiana University, 1969.
- Chilton, S. Better never than late. *College & University*, 1965, 41, 77-79.
- Clark, K. E., & Keller, R. J. Student ratings of college teaching. In R. E. Eckert & R. J. Keller (Eds.), *A university looks at its program*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1954.
- Clements, B. E. Transitional adolescents, anxiety, and group counseling. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1966, 45, 67-71.
- Coelho, G. V., Hamburg, D. A., & Murphy, E. B. Coping strategies in a new learning environment: A study of American college freshmen. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1963, 9, 433-443.
- Coelho, G. V., et al. Predicting coping behavior in college: A prospective use of the Student-TAT. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 1969, 149, 386-397.
- Coffman, W. E. Determining students' concepts of effective teaching from their ratings of instructors. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1954, 45, 277-286.
- Cohen, S. M. This is psychology? *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1968, 16, 43-47.
- Cole, C. W., & Ivey, A. E. Differences between students attending and not attending pre-college orientation. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, 8, 16-21.
- Collins, M. The college experience through the eyes of the students. In L. B. Murphy & E. Raushenbush (Eds.), *Achievement in the college years: A record of intellectual and personal growth*. New York: Harper, 1960.
- Constantinople, A. Perceived instrumentality of the college as a measure of attitudes toward college. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 5, 196-201.
- Cook, J. B., Hoss, M. A., & Vargas, R. *The search for independence: Orientation for the junior college student*. Belmont, Calif.: Brooks-Cole, 1968.

- Cook, M. O. College students change majors. *School and Society*, 1965, **93**, 271-273.
- Coombs, R. H., & Davies, V. Socio-psychological adjustment in collegiate scholastic success. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1967, **61**, 186-189.
- Cosgrove, D. J. Diagnostic rating of teacher performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1959, **50**, 200-204.
- Crannell, C. W. A preliminary attempt to identify the factors in student-instructor evaluation. *Journal of Psychology*, 1953, **36**, 417-422.
- Crawford, P. L., & Bradshaw, H. L. Perception of characteristics of effective university teachers: A scaling analysis. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, **28**, 1079-1085.
- Creager, J. A. *A multiple-factor analysis of the Purdue Rating Scale for Instructors*. Purdue University Studies in Higher Education No. 70. Lafayette, Ind.: Purdue University, Division of Education, 1950.
- Creager, J. A. Use of research results in matching students and colleges. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, **9**, 312-319.
- Cregier, D. M. Direct involvement of students. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1968, **16**, 26-27.
- Davie, J. S. Satisfaction and the college experience. In B. M. Wedge (Ed.), *Psychosocial problems of college men*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1958.
- Davie, J. S., & Hare, A. P. Button-down collar culture: A study of undergraduate life at a men's college. *Human Organization*, 1956, **14**(4), 13-20.
- Davis, F. J. Perspectives of Turkish students in the United States. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1963, **48**, 47-57.
- Davis, J. A. *Stipends and spouses: The finances of American arts and sciences graduate students*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1962.
- deBruin, H. C. Quality instruction. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1967, **15**, 214-215.
- Demos, G. D. Problems of integrating the commuter college student to the college campus. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, **15**, 291-294.
- Dennis, L. E., & Kauffman, J. F. *The college and the student*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1966.

- Deutsch, M. Discussion and critique of some variables in the social psychology of school-to-college transition. *Journal of Educational Sociology*, 1960, **33**, 300-304.
- Donk, L. J., & Oetting, E. R. Student-faculty relations and the faculty advising system. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, **9**, 400-403.
- Doty, B. A. Some academic characteristics of the mature coed. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1967, **61**, 163-165.
- Downie, N. M. Student evaluation of faculty. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1952, **23**, 495-496, 503.
- Drabek, T. E. Student preferences in professor-student classroom role relations. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1966, **36**, 87-97.
- Drucker, A. J., & Remmers, H. H. Do alumni and students differ in their attitudes toward instructors? *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1951, **42**, 129-143.
- Duerr, E. C. Police on the campus: Crisis at SFSC. *Educational Record*, 1969, **50**, 126-130.
- Duvall, W. H. Student-staff evaluation of residence hall environment. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1969, **10**, 52-58.
- Eaton, M. T., Strough, L. C., & Muffly, R. B. Programmed instruction in basic psychopathology. *Journal of Medical Education*, 1964, **39**, 86-89.
- Eberly, C. G., & Cech, E. J. Residence hall program and perception of university environment. *College Student Survey*, 1968, **2**, 65-70.
- Eckland, B. K. Social class and college graduation: Some misconceptions corrected. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1964, **70**, 36-50.
- Edmonson, J. B., & Mulder, F. J. Size of class as a factor in university instruction. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1924, **9**, 1-12.
- Ehrlich, R. S. A new approach in orienting students. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1969, **17**, 206-207.
- Ellis, R. A., & Lane, W. C. Social mobility and social isolation: A test of Sorokin's dissociative hypothesis. *American Sociological Review*, 1967, **32**, 237-253.
- Ellsworth, H. *The college male: An examination of the problems and pitfalls of campus life*. Derby, Conn.: Monarch Books, 1964.

Elton, C. F., & Rose, H. A. Within-university transfer: Its relation to personality characteristics. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1966, 50, 539-543.

Elton, C. F., & Rose, H. A. Personality characteristics of students who transfer out of engineering. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 45, 911-915.

Epperson, D. C. Student alienation in the college community. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1966, 14, 193.

Estrin, H. A. Effective and ineffective engineering instructors. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1965, 13, 137-139.

Estrin, H. A., & Godwin, L. R. Evaluating English teaching. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1962, 10, 193-196.

Estrin, H. A., Godwin, L. R., & Goode, D. R. (Eds.) *College and University Teaching*. Dubuque, Iowa: Brown, 1964.

Falk, G. The student views his professor. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1968, 16, 193-196.

Faw, V. A psychotherapeutic method of teaching psychology. *American Psychologist*, 1949, 4, 104-109.

Feldhusen, J. F. The effects of small and large group instruction on learning of subject matter, attitudes, and interests. *Journal of Psychology*, 1963, 55, 357-362.

Feldman, K. A. Studying the impacts of colleges on students. *Sociology of Education*, 1969, 42, 207-237.

Ferguson, M. A. Adult students in an undergraduate university. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1966, 7, 345-348.

Fischer, R. F. Pleasantness and unpleasantness: The subjective dimension of college student experiences. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 195-198.

Fisher, M. B., & Noble, J. L. *College education as personal development*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1960.

Fong, S. M., & Peskin, H. Sex-role strain and personality adjustment of China-born students in America: A pilot study. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 1969, 74, 563-567.

- Fox, D. E. Presentation of attrition study. In E. J. McGrath (Ed.), *The liberal arts college's responsibility for the individual student*. New York: Columbia University Teachers College Press, 1966.
- Frank, A. Patterns of student stress. In L. E. Dennis & J. F. Kauffman (Eds.), *The college and the student*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1966.
- Frederiksen, N., & Shrader, W. B. *Adjustment to college: A study of 10,000 veteran and nonveteran students in sixteen American colleges*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1951.
- Freedman, A. S. Problems freshmen face. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1966, 14, 189-190.
- Freedman, M. B. The passage through college. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1956, 12(4), 13-28.
- Freedman, M. B. *Impact of college*. Washington: United States Government Printing Office, 1960.
- Freedman, M. B. Studies of college alumni. In N. Sanford (Ed.), *The American college: A psychological and social interpretation of the higher learning*. New York: Wiley, 1962.
- Freedman, M. B. *The college experience*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1967.
- Freethill, M. F. Authoritarian bias and evaluation of college experiences. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1967, 15, 18-19.
- French, J. W. Aptitude and interest score patterns related to satisfaction with college major field. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1961, 21, 287-294.
- French, J. W. The kinds of items that work in an interest activities index. *American Educational Research Journal*, 1965, 2, 101-112.
- Friedenberg, E. Z., & Roth, J. A. *Self-perception in the university: A study of successful and unsuccessful graduate students*. Educational Monograph No. 80. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1954.
- Gadzella, B. M. Characteristics of college returnees. *College Student Survey*, 1968, 2, 60-64, 70.
- Gadzella, B. M. College students' views and ratings of an ideal professor. *College and University*, 1968, 44, 89-96.

- Gaff, J. G. Environmental assessment of an innovative cluster college. In C. Fincher (Ed.), *Institutional research and academic outcomes. Proceedings of the Eighth Annual Forum on Institutional Research, Sponsored by the Association for Institutional Research*, 1968.
- Gage, N. L. The appraisal of college teaching: An analysis of ends and means. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1961, 32, 17-22.
- Gale, R. F. A comparative study of the college experiences of graduates of the core and of the conventional curricula. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1959, 27, 283-296.
- Gamble, G. W. Pre-college experiences and curriculum changes by college students. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1962, 40, 561-564.
- Gamson, Z. F. Performance and personalism in student-faculty relations. *Sociology of Education*, 1967, 40, 279-301.
- Gardner, D. Students' perception of certain university aspects. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1969, 17, 196-198.
- Garneski, T. M., & Heimann, R. A. Summer group counseling of freshmen. *Junior College Journal*, 1967, 37(8), 40-41.
- Garyerick, C. M., & Carter, H. D. Instructor ratings and expected grades. *California Journal of Educational Research*, 1962, 13, 218-221.
- Gates, M., & Burnett, C. W. Students' perceptions of professors. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1969, 17, 234-236.
- Gayles, A. R. Lecture vs. discussion. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1966, 14, 95-99.
- Geiso, C. J., & Sims, D. M. Perceptions of a junior college environment. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 40-43.
- Getzels, J. W., & Jackson, P. W. The teachers personality and characteristics. In N. L. Gage (Ed.), *Handbook of research on teaching*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1963.
- Gezi, K. I. Arab students' perceptions of American students. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1961, 45, 441-447.
- Gezi, K. I. Factors associated with student adjustment in cross-cultural contact. *California Journal of Educational Research*, 1965, 16, 129-136.

- Gibb, L. M., & Gibb, J. R. The effects of the use of participation action groups in a course in general psychology. *American Psychologist*, 1952, 7, 247.
- Gibb, C. A. Classroom behavior of the college teacher. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1955, 15, 254-263.
- Golburgh, S. J., & Glanz, E. C. Group counseling with students unable to speak in class. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1962, 4, 102-106.
- Golden, M. P., & Rosen, N. A. Student attitudes toward participation in university administration: An empirical study related to managerial prerogatives. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1966, 7, 323-330.
- Goldsen, R. K., et al. *What college students think*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1960.
- Goodman, P. *Growing up absurd: Problems of youth in the organized system*. New York: Random House, 1956.
- Goodrich, T. A., & Pierson, R. R. Pre-college counseling at Michigan State University. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1959, 37, 595-597.
- Gotthell, E., et al. Stress, satisfaction, and performance: Transition from university to medical college. *Journal of Medical Education*, 1969, 44, 270-277.
- Gottlieb, D. Processes of socialization in American graduate schools. *Social Forces*, 1961, 40, 124-131.
- Gottlieb, D. College climates and student subcultures. In W. B. Brookover (Ed.), *The college student*. New York: Center for Applied Research in Education, 1965.
- Gowin, D. B., & Payne, D. A. Evaluating instruction: Cross-perceptions of college students and teachers. *School Review*, 1962, 70, 207-219.
- Graff, R. W., & Cooley, G. R. Adjustment of commuter and resident students. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1970, 11, 54-57.
- Graff, R. W., & Hansen, J. C. Relationship of OAIS scores to college achievement and adjustment. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1970, 11, 129-134.
- Graham, J. R., & Barr, K. G. Q-Sort study of the relationship between students' self-acceptance and acceptance of their college. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, 21, 779-780.

Grande, P. P. Persistence and change of educational objectives of engineering freshmen. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1964, 6, 98-101.

Graves, G. O., & Ingersoll, R. W. Comparison of learning attitudes. *Journal of Medical Education*, 1964, 39, 100-111.

Greeley, A. M. Criticism of undergraduate faculty by graduates of Catholic colleges. *Review of Religious Research*, 1965, 6, 96-106.

Grinstead, M. J., & Gregóry, C. L. Relevant concepts via the semantic differential with factor analysis: An empirical test. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1969, 10, 391-399.

Gruber, H. E., & Weitman, M. The growth of self-reliance. *School and Society*, 1963, 91, 222-223.

Grygier, T. The resident and the commuter: A comparative study of social and emotional problems of students at the University of Toronto. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, 15, 295-301.

Guetzkow, H., Kelly, E. L., & McKeachie, W. J. An experimental comparison of recitation, discussion, and tutorial methods in college teaching. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1954, 45, 193-207.

Guthrie, D., Shepard, L. B., & Stone, S. A. Student nominations for teaching awards. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1969, 17, 249-253.

Haigh, G. V., & Schmidt, W. The learning of subject matter in teacher-centered and group-centered classes. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1956, 47, 295-301.

Halleck, S. L. Hypotheses of student unrest. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 1968, 50, 2-9.

Harp, J., & Taietz, P. Academic integrity and social structure: A study of cheating among college students. *Social Problems*, 1966, 13, 365-373.

Harris, T. L., Kiefert, J. J., & Darby, M. D. Attitudes expressed by students toward a beginning course in educational psychology. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1969, 62, 344-350.

Hartman, B. J. Survey of college students' problems identified by the Mooney Problem Check List. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 22, 715-716.

Havermarm, E., & West, P. S. *They went to college: The college graduate in America today*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & Co., 1952.

Hayes, R. B. A way to measure classroom teaching-effectiveness. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 1963, 14, 168-176.

Haywood, C. R. Independent study for freshmen. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1968, 16, 279-280.

Heard, A. *The lost years in graduate education*. Atlanta: Southern Regional Education Board, 1963.

Hecker, D. L., & Lezotte, L. W. Transitional patterns and achievement of transfer students at the technical, associate and baccalaureate levels of higher education. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1969, 63, 107-110.

Hedegard, J. M., & Brown, D. R. Encounters of some negro and white freshmen with a public multiversity. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1969, 25(3), 131-144.

Heilbrun, A. B., Jr. Personality differences between adjusted and maladjusted college students. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1960, 44, 341-346.

Heilbrun, A. B., Jr. Parental identification and college adjustment. *Psychological Reports*, 1962, 10, 853-854.

Heilbrun, A. B., Jr. Sex-role identity and achievement motivation. *Psychological Reports*, 1963, 12, 483-490.

Heiss, A. M. Berkeley doctoral students appraise their academic programs. *Educational Record*, 1967, 48, 30-44.

Heist, P. Diversity in college student characteristics. *Journal of Educational Sociology*, 1960, 33, 279-291.

Hendrix, V. L. Junior college environment scales. In C. Fincher (Ed.), *Institutional research and academic outcomes. Proceedings of the Eighth Annual Forum on Institutional Research, Sponsored by the Association for Institutional Research*, 1968.

Hershey, G. L., Shepard, L. V., & Krumboltz, J. D. Effectiveness of classroom observation and stimulated teaching in an introductory educational psychology course. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1965, 58, 233-236.

Hill, R. J. *A comparative study of lecture and discussion methods*. New York: Fund for Adult Education, 1960.

Hiltunen, W. A. Adults as college freshmen. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1965, 6, 208-211.

- Hoffman, B. B. The involvement of curricula in student course changes. In G. N. Drewey (Ed.), *The instructional process and institutional research change. Proceedings of the Seventh Annual Forum of the Association for Institutional Research*, 1967.
- Hoffman, L. R., Burke, R. J., & Maier, N. F. Participation, influence, and satisfaction among members of problem-solving groups. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 16, 661-667.
- Hoffman, R. W. Students portray the excellent teacher. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1963, 11, 21-24.
- Holland, J. B. The image of the instructor as it is related to class size. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1954, 23, 171-177.
- Hosford, R. E., & Briskin, A. S. Changes through counseling. *Review of Educational Research*, 1969, 39, 189-207.
- Hoyt, K. B., & Moore, G. D. Group procedures in guidance and personnel work. *Review of Educational Research*, 1960, 30, 158-167.
- Hubbell, R. N., Frantz, T. T., & Lenning, O. T. An experiment with discipline and a recommendation for change. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1969, 62, 366-369.
- Hudelson, E. *Class size at the college level*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1928.
- Ichikawa, A. Observations of college students in acute distress. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1961, 10, 184-191.
- Ichikawa, A. Foreign students in crisis: Clinical observations. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1966, 15, 182-185.
- Isaacson, R. L., et al. Dimensions of student evaluations of teaching. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1964, 55, 344-351.
- Isaacson, R. L. Student evaluations of recitation sections in beginning economics. In W. J. McKeachie, R. L. Isaacson & J. E. Milholland, *Research on the characteristics of effective college teaching*. (United States Office of Education, Cooperative Research Project No. 850) Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, 1964.
- Isaacson, R. L., McKeachie, W. J., & Milholland, J. E. Correlation of teacher personality variables and student ratings. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1963, 54, 110-117.

- Ivey, A. E. A three year evaluation of a college freshman week program. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1963, 5, 113-118.
- Jackson, P. W., & Getzels, J. W. Psychological health and classroom functioning. A study of dissatisfaction with school among adolescents. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1959, 50, 295-300.
- James, N. E. Values and perceptions of college students. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1963, 5, 119-124.
- James, N. E. Attrition, attenuation, and adaptability of state university students. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1966, 7, 91-96.
- James, R. W. Preexisting attitudes of college students to instructional television. *AV Communication Review*, 1964, 12, 325-336.
- Jesseph, J. R. Pre-college orientation conferences and subsequent behavior of freshmen. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1966, 7, 289-294.
- Johnson, D. M., & Smith, H. C. Democratic leadership in the college classroom. *Psychological Monographs*, 1953, 67(11, Whole No. 361).
- Jones, R. L. The college adjustment of superior high school students who participated in a program of acceleration. *Exceptional Children*, 1966, 32, 632-635.
- Jones, W. R. Affective tolerance and typical problems of married and unmarried college students. *The Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1958, 37, 126-128.
- Kaplan, S. Searching behavior in undergraduates. *Psychology in the Schools*, 1964, 1, 403-405.
- Katzell, M. E. Expectations and dropouts in schools of nursing. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1968, 52, 154-157.
- Kazmier, L. J. Repeated exposure to programmed instruction and student attitude. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 19, 985-986.
- Keniston, K. The sources of student dissent. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1967, 23(3), 108-137.
- Keniston, K. Report analysis: Fact finding commission on Columbia disturbances. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1969, 39, 373-379.
- Kennedy, C. E., & Danskin, D. G. Integrative experiences of college students. Second Annual Progress Report of NIMH Project Grant MH 19045. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1970, 5, 365-366)

- Kidd, J. W. An analysis of social rejection in a college men's residence hall. *Sociometry*, 1951, **14**, 226-234.
- Kidd, J. W. Personality traits as barriers to acceptability in a college men's residence hall. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1953, **38**, 127-130.
- King, F. W. The MMPI F scale as a predictor of lack of adaptation to college. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, **15**, 261-269.
- Kipnis, D. Social immaturity, intellectual ability, and adjustive behavior in college. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1968, **52**, 71-80.
- Kipnis, D., Lane, G., & Berger, L. Character structure, vocational interest, and achievement. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, **16**, 335-341.
- Kissel, S. Stress-reducing properties of social stimuli. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, **2**, 378-384.
- Kleinmuntz, B. Annotated bibliography of MMPI research among college populations. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1962, **9**, 373-396.
- Knoell, D. M. Problems of the transfer student. In K. M. Wilson (Ed.), *Research related to college admissions*. Atlanta: Southern Regional Education Board, 1963.
- Knoell, D. M., & Medsker, L. L. *Articulation between two-year and four-year colleges*. Berkeley: University of California, Center for the Study of Higher Education, 1964.
- Knoell, D. M., & Medsker, L. L. *From junior to senior college: A national study of the transfer student*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1965.
- Knop, E. From a symbolic-interactionist perspective: Some notes on college dropouts. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1967, **60**, 450-452.
- Koenig, K., & McKeachie, W. J. Personality and independent study. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1959, **50**, 132-134.
- Krauskopf, C. J., Elder, D., & Mapeli, D. Some characteristics of students who transfer from engineering to arts and sciences. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1964, **12**, 187-191.
- Kronovet, E. Leaders and isolates among college freshmen. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1969, **17**, 185-186.
- Kysar, J. E. Social class and adaptation of college students: A review and prospectus. *Mental Hygiene*, 1966, **50**, 398-405.

Lane, B. R. An experiment with programmed instruction as a supplement to teaching college mathematics by closed-circuit television. *Mathematics Teacher*, 1964, 57, 395-397.

Langens, T. F. Student assessment of teaching effectiveness. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1966, 14, 22-25.

Larimer, G. S., & Sinclair, W. W. Some effects of two-way television on social interaction. *AV Communication Review*, 1969, 17, 52-62.

Latamgne, J. E. Do married men succeed in college? *Journal of School Health*, 1959, 29, 81-91.

Leftwich, W. H., & Remmers, H. H. *A comparison of graphic and forced-choice ratings of teaching performance at the college and university level*. Purdue University Studies in Higher Education No. 92. Lafayette, Ind.: Purdue University, Division of Education, 1962.

LeMay, M. L. Research on group procedures with college students: A review. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, 8, 286-295.

Lenning, O. T., et al. *Nonintellective correlates of grades, persistence, and academic learning in college: The published literature through the decade of the sixties*. ACT Monograph No. 14. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1974.

Lewis, E. C., Wolins, L., & Yelsma, J. J. The academic interests of college women: A factorial study. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 46, 258-262.

Lewis, L. S. Students' images of professors. *Educational Forum*, 1968, 32, 185-190.

Lewis, L. S. The value of college to different subcultures. *School Review*, 1969, 77, 32-40.

Lifson, N., Rempel, P., & Johnson, J. A. A comparison between lecture and conference methods of teaching physiology. *Journal of Medical Education*, 1956, 31, 376-382.

Lindahl, C. Impact of living arrangements on student environmental perceptions. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, 8, 10-15.

Lindeman, R. H., Gordon, R. E., & Gordon, K. K. Further relationships between blood chemical values and college student performance and attitudes. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1969, 18, 156-161.

Lloyd, B. J. A questionnaire portrait of the freshman coed: Early adjustment. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1965, **28**, 160-166.

Lloyd, B. J. Retouched picture: Follow-up of a questionnaire portrait of the freshman coed. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1967, **30**, 174-177.

Lobenthal, J. S., Jr. The catabolism of a student revolt. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1969, **40**, 717-730.

Locke, E. A. Relationship of task success to satisfaction: Further replication. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, **19**, 1132.

Locke, E. A. The relationship of task success to task liking: A replication. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, **18**, 552-554.

Locke, E. A. Further data on the relationship of task success to liking and satisfaction. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, **20**, 246.

Lovell, G. D., & Haner, C. F. Forced-choice applied to college faculty rating. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1955, **15**, 291-304.

Lunneborg, C. E. *Direct validation of differential prediction*. Seattle: University of Washington, Bureau of Testing, 1969. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1970, **5**, 254)

Lutz, S. W. *Do they do what they say they will do?* ACT Research Report No. 24. Iowa City, Iowa: American College Testing Program, 1968.

Lyman, J. L. Student suicide at Oxford University: The relevance of a university health service to student mental health. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1961, **10**, 218-234.

Lynch, A. Q. Perception of peer leadership influence. In ACUHO Research and Information Committee, *Student Housing Research*, an insert of the *ACUHO News*, June 1969, **1**. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1970, **5**, 354-355)

Lynch, A. Q., & Hall, E. E. *Effects of the perception of peer leadership influence upon freshman women at the University of Florida*. Student Mental Health Project Bulletin No. 40. Gainesville: University of Florida, 1968. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1969, **4**, 366)

Macdonald, D. A. The relationship between leadership orientation and group productivity and satisfaction: The residence-halls section adviser and his section. In ACUHO Research and Information Committee, *Student Hous-*

ing Research, an insert of the *ACUHO News*, October 1968. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1969, 4, 327)

Macomber, F. G., & Siegel, L. A study of large-group teaching procedures. *Educational Record*, 1957, 38, 220-229.

Maloney, R. M. Group learning through group discussion: A group discussion implementation analysis. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1956, 43, 3-9.

Mamlet, L. N. Interruptions of the college career. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, 15, 243-245.

Marchand, J., & Langford, L. Adjustment of married students. *Journal of Home Economics*, 1952, 44, 113-114.

Marks, E. Personality and motivational factors in responses to an environmental description scale. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1968, 59, 267-274.

Marks, E. *Cognitive and incentive factors involved in within-university transfer*. Research Memorandum No. 69-2. Atlanta: Georgia Institute of Technology, Office of Evaluation Studies, 1969. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1969, 4, 413)

Martin, R. D. Freshman satisfaction with college. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 382-383.

Martin, W. B. *Conformity: Standards and change in higher education*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969.

Mason, W. R., Jr. Problems of married college students: Health education implications. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1966, 14, 270-276.

Matteson, R. W. Concomitants of changing curriculum preferences. *College and University*, 1953, 28, 223-235.

McArthur, C. Sub-culture and personality during the college years. *Journal of Educational Sociology*, 1960, 33, 260-268.

McClure, R. F. Birth order and school related attitudes. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 657-658.

McComas, J. D. Profiles of teachers. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1965, 13, 135-136.

McConnell, C. R. An experiment with television in the elementary course. *American Economic Review*, 1968, 58, 469-482.

- McFee, A. The relationship of students' needs to their perception of a college environment. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1961, 52, 25-29.
- McGrath, E. J. Characteristics of outstanding college teachers: Scholarship is the indispensable attribute. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1962, 33, 148-152.
- McKeachie, W. J. Student-centered versus instructor-centered instruction. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1954, 45, 143-150.
- McKeachie, W. J. Student ratings of faculty: A research review. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1957, 5, 4-8.
- McKeachie, W. J. Students, groups, and teaching methods. *American Psychologist*, 1958, 13, 580-584.
- McKeachie, W. J. The improvement of instruction. *Review of Educational Research*, 1960, 30, 351-360.
- McKeachie, W. J. Current research on teaching effectiveness. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1962, 10, 15-19.
- McKeachie, W. J. Research on teaching at the college and university level. In N. L. Gage (Ed.), *Handbook of research on teaching*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1963.
- McKeachie, W. J. Higher education. In P. H. Rossi & B. J. Biddle (Eds.), *The new media and education*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- McKeachie, W. J. Student ratings of faculty. *AAUP Bulletin*, 1969, 55, 439-444.
- McKeachie, W. J., & Hiler, W. The problem-oriented approach to teaching psychology. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1954, 45, 224-232.
- McKeachie, W. J., & Solomon, D. Student ratings of instructors: A validity study. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1958, 51, 379-382.
- McKeachie, W. J., et al. Individualized teaching in elementary psychology. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1960, 51, 285-291.
- McKeachie, W. J., Pollie, D., & Speisman, J. Relieving anxiety in classroom examinations. *Journal of Abnormal Social Psychology*, 1955, 50, 93-98.
- McPeck, B. L. The university as perceived by its subcultures: An experimental study. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1967, 30, 129-132.

- Mechanic, D. *Students under stress: A study in the social psychology of adaptation*. New York: Free Press of Glencoe, 1962.
- Meredith, G. M. Dimensions of faculty-course evaluation. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, 73, 27-32.
- Meyer, G. G. Psychiatric consultation for blind students preparing to enter college. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1969, 39, 303-304.
- Mill, C. R. Personality patterns of sociometrically selected and sociometrically rejected male college students. *Sociometry*, 1953, 16, 151-167.
- Miller, E. O. Nonacademic changes in college students. *Educational Record*, 1959, 40, 118-122.
- Moore, J. W., & Smith, W. I. Role of knowledge of results in programmed instruction. *Psychological Reports*, 1964, 14, 407-423.
- Morishima, J. K. A preliminary report: Effects on student achievement of residence hall groupings based on academic majors. In C. H. Bagley (Ed.), *Research on academic input. Proceedings of the Sixth Annual Forum of the Association of Institutional Research*, 1966.
- Morris, R., & Davidsen, O. *The two-way mirror: National status in foreign students' adjustment*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1960.
- Morton, R. K. Students' views of teaching. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1965, 13, 140-142.
- Musella, D., & Rusch, R. Student opinion on college teaching. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1968, 16, 137-140.
- Musgrove, F. Reference groups and relative deprivation in an English technological university. *Educational Sciences*, 1968, 2, 159-166.
- Musselman, G. C., Barger, B., & Chambers, J. L. Student need patterns and effectiveness in college. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1967, 23, 108-111.
- Nachman, M., & Opoichinsky, S. The effects of different teaching methods: A methodological study. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1958, 49, 245-249.
- Naumann, T. F. A laboratory experience in programmed learning for students in educational psychology. *Journal of Programmed Instruction*, 1962, 1(1), 9-18.

- Neidt, C. O., & Meredith, T. F. Changes in attitudes of learners when programmed instruction is interpolated between two conventional instruction experiences. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1966, 50, 130-137.
- Neidt, C. O., & Sjogren, D. D. Changes in student attitudes during a course in relation to instructional media. *AV Communication Review*, 1968, 16, 268-279.
- Nicolay, R. C., Walker, R. E., & Riedel, R. G. Anxiety as a correlate of personal problems. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 19, 53-54.
- Nikelly, A. G. *Mental health for students: A guide for adjusting to college*. Springfield, Ill.: Thomas, 1966.
- Nimnicht, N. The best way to teach freshman composition. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1967, 15, 186-187.
- Nowlis, D. P., & Cohen, A. Y. Mood-reports and the college natural setting: A day in the lives of three roommates under academic pressure. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 23, 551-566.
- Nudd, T. R. Satisfied and dissatisfied college roommates. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1965, 6, 161-164.
- Nudd, T. R., & Tessier, A. L. Assistance of handicapped students through a university residence hall program. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1965, 7, 151-153.
- Nunnally, J. C., Thistlethwaite, D. L., & Wolfe, S. Factored scales for measuring characteristics of college environments. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1963, 23, 239-248.
- Nystrom, R. K. Student attitudes toward campus architecture. *College Student Survey*, 1968, 2, 8-12.
- Olson, L. A. Living-learning units: As seen by the faculty. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1964, 35, 83-86.
- Olson, L. A. Students live and learn in residence units. *College and University Business*, 1965, 38(3), 73-75.
- Pace, C. R. Five college environments. *College Board Review*, 1960, 41, 24-28.
- Pace, C. R. When students judge their college. *College Board Review*, 1965, 58, 26-28.

- Pace, C. R., & Baird, L. Attainment patterns in the environmental press of college subcultures. In T. M. Newcomb & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Pace, C. R., & McFee, A. The college environment. *Review of Educational Research*, 1960, 30, 311-320.
- Pace, C. R., & Stern, G. G. An approach to the measurement of psychological characteristics of college environments. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1958, 49, 269-277.
- Pace, T. Roommate dissatisfaction in a college residence hall as related to various measurable factors. In ACUHO Research and Information Committee, *Student Housing Research*, an insert of the *ACUHO News*, February 1969. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1969, 5, 59-60)
- Penney, J. F., & Buckles, D. E. Student needs and services on an urban campus. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1966, 7, 180-185.
- Perry, R. A. Evaluation of teaching behavior seeks to measure effectiveness. *College and University Business*, 1969, 47(4), 18-22.
- Pervin, L. A. Reality and nonreality in student expectations of college. *Journal of Psychology*, 1966, 64, 41-48.
- Pervin, L. A. The college as a social system: A fresh look at three critical problems in higher education. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1967, 38, 317-322. (a)
- Pervin, L. A. Satisfaction and perceived self-environment similarity: A semantic differential study of student-college interaction. *Journal of Personality*, 1967, 35, 623-634. (b)
- Pervin, L. A. A twenty-college study of student x college interaction using TAPE (Transactional Analysis of Personality and Environment): Rationale, reliability, & validity. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, 58, 290-302. (c)
- Pervin, L. A., & Rubin, D. B. Student dissatisfaction with college and the college dropout: A transactional approach. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1967, 72, 285-295.
- Pervin, L. A., & Smith, S. H. Further test of the relationship between satisfaction and perceived self-environment similarity. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1968, 26, 835-838.

- Phillips, B. N. The "individual" and the "classroom group" as frames of reference in determining teacher effectiveness. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1964, 58, 128-131.
- Phillips, D. L. Deferred gratification in a college setting: Some costs and gains. *Social Problems*, 1966, 13, 333-343.
- Pierson, R. R. Changes of majors by university students. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1962, 40, 458-461.
- Platt, J. J., & Taylor, R. E. Homesickness, future time perspective, and the self concept. *Journal of Individual Psychology*, 1967, 23, 94-97.
- Pogue, F. G., Jr. Students' ratings of the "ideal teacher." *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1967, 15, 133-136.
- Quick, A. F., & Wolfe, A. D. The ideal professor. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1965, 13, 133-134.
- Rand, L. P. Effect on college choice satisfaction of matching students and colleges. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1968, 47, 34-39.
- Rao, S. N. A study of student morale. *Psychological Studies*, 1967, 12, 128-133.
- Rao, S. N. Problems of adjustment and academic achievement. *Journal of Vocational and Educational Psychology*, 1964, 10, 66-79.
- Rasmussen, G. R. An evaluation of a student-centered and instructor-centered method of conducting a graduate course in education. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1956, 47, 449-461.
- Ratterman, P. H. *The emerging Catholic university*. New York: Fordham University Press, 1968.
- Raushenbush, E. *The student and his studies*. Middletown, Conn.: Wesleyan University Press, 1964.
- Rayder, N. F. College student ratings of instructors. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1968, 37(2), 76-81.
- Rees, R. D. Dimensions of students' points of view in rating college teachers. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, 60, 476-482.
- Remmers, H. H. To what extent do grades influence student ratings of instructors? *Journal of Educational Research*, 1930, 21, 314-316.

Remmers, H. H. *The college professor as the student sees him: II.* Purdue University Studies in Higher Education No. 93. Lafayette, Ind.: Purdue University Measurement and Research Center, 1966.

Remmers, H. H., Davenport, K. S., & Potter, A. A. *The best and worst teachers in engineering.* Purdue University Studies in Higher Education No. 57. Lafayette, Ind.: Purdue University Measurement and Research Center, 1946.

Renner, R. R. A successful rating scale. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1967, 15, 12-14.

Rezler, A. G. The influence of needs upon the student's perception of his instructor. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1965, 58, 282-286.

Richardson, L. H. The commuter student's problem in synthesizing his life in a fragmented developmental setting. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, 15, 302-306.

Richmond, B. O., Mason, R. L., & Smith, V. Existential frustration and anomie. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1969, 32(3), 136-138.

Roberson, J. A. Teaching efficiency and class size. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 1959, 50, 37-40.

Roe, A. Automated teaching methods using linear programs. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1962, 46, 198-201.

Rosenberg, M. Psychological depression and educational attitudes. *Student Medicine*, 1956, 5, 5-20.

Rossmann, J. E. An experimental study of faculty advising. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 46, 160-164.

Rossmann, J. E. Released time for faculty advising: The impact upon freshmen. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1968, 47, 358-363.

Rothney, J. M., & Farwell, G. F. The evaluation of guidance and personnel services. *Review of Educational Research*, 1960, 30, 168-175.

Rowe, F. B. *Characteristics of women's college students.* Atlanta: Southern Regional Education Board, 1964.

Ruja, H. A student-centered instructor-rating scale. *Educational Administration and Supervision*, 1953, 39, 209-217.

Ruja, H. Outcomes of lecture and discussion procedures in three college courses. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1954, 22, 385-394.

Rust, R. M., & Davie, J. S. The personal problems of college students. *Mental Hygiene*, 1961, 45, 247-257.

Ryan, T. A. Research: Guide for teaching improvement. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1969, 17, 270-276.

Sasajima, M., Davis, J. A., & Peterson, R. E. Organized student protest and institutional climate. *American Educational Research Journal*, 1968, 5, 291-304.

Savage, B. M., & Wells, F. L. A note on singularity in given names. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1948, 27, 271-272.

Schqømer, J. R., & McConnell, W. A. *Is there a case for the freshman women residence hall?* Student Services Report No. 22. Fort Collins: Colorado State University, 1968. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1969, 4, 328)

Schoen, W. T. The campus climate: Student perceptions and faculty idealism. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1966, 60, 3-7.

Schramm, W. Learning from instructional television. *Review of Educational Research*, 1962, 32, 156-167.

Schuchmans, H. P. The double life of the commuter college student. *Mental Hygiene*, 1966, 50, 104-110.

Scott, F. D. *The American experience of Swedish students: Retrospect and aftermath*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1956.

Scott, J. W., & El Assal, M. Multiversity, university size, university quality and student protest: An empirical study. *American Sociological Review*, 1969, 34(5), 702-709.

Segal, B. E., Phillips, D. L., & Feldmesser, R. A. Social integration, emotional adjustment and illness behavior. *Social Forces*, 1967, 46, 237-246.

Segal S. J. Student development and counseling. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 1969, 19, 497-508.

Selby, H. A., & Woods, C. M. Foreign students at a high-pressure university. *Sociology of Education*, 1966, 39, 138-154.

Selltiz, C., et al. *Attitudes and social relations of foreign students in the United States*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, 1963.

Sewell, W. H., & Davidsen, O. M. *Scandinavian students on an American campus*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1961.

Seymour, W. R. Student and counselor perceptions of college environments. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 79-84.

Shane, H. G. How do they rate you, professor? *NEA Journal*, 1965, 54, 18-20.

Shaw, K. A. Accuracy of expectation of a university's environment as it relates to achievement, attrition, and change of degree objective. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 44-48.

Siegel, L., Macomber, F. G., & Adams, J. F. The effectiveness of large group instruction at the university level. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1959, 29, 216-226.

Siegel, L., & Siegel, L. The instructional gestalt: A conceptual framework and design for educational research. *AV Communication Review*, 1964, 12, 16-45.

Silber, E., et al. Adaptive behavior in competent adolescents: Coping with anticipation of college. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1961, 5, 354-365.

Simon, R. J., McCall, G., & Rosenthal, E. *A selective evaluation of their university by Negro and White undergraduates*, 1969.

Simono, R. B. Anxiety and involvement in counseling. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 497-499.

Sinnett, E. R. Socio-economic status and the use of campus facilities: A tale of two dormitories. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1965, 43, 993-996.

Slobin, D. Y., & Nichols, D. G. Student rating of teaching. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1969, 17, 244-248.

Small, J. J. *Achievement and adjustment in the first year at university*. Wellington: New Zealand Council for Educational Research, 1966.

Smalried, N. T., & Remmers, H. H. A factor analysis of the Purdue Rating Scale for Instructors. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1943, 34, 363-367.

Smith, H. C. Team work in the college class. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1955, 46, 274-286.

Smith, M. B. (Ed.) Attitudes and adjustment in cross-cultural contact: Recent studies of foreign students. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1956, 12 (1).

- Smith, N. H. The teaching of elementary statistics by the conventional classroom method versus the method of programmed instruction. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1962, **55**, 417-420.
- Smith, P. M. Some implications for freshmen orientation activities with Negro college students. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1964, **5**, 176-179.
- Snyder, B. R. Student stress. In T. F. Lunsford (Ed.), *The study of campus cultures*. The papers presented at the fourth annual Institute on College Self Study. Boulder, Colo.: Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education, 1963.
- Solleder, M. K. The personal health course and television instruction: Problems and potential. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1965, **13**, 511-520.
- Solomon, D., Rosenberg, L., & Bezdek, W. E. Teacher behavior and student learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1964, **55**, 23-30.
- Solomon, D. Teacher behavior dimensions, course characteristics, and student evaluations of teachers. *American Educational Research Journal*, 1966, **3**, 35-47.
- Solomon, D., Bezdek, W. E., & Rosenberg, L. *Teaching styles and learning*. Chicago: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1963.
- Spaights, E. Students appraise teachers' methods and attitudes. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1967, **15**, 15-17.
- Stark, M. Commuter and residence hall students compared. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1965, **44**, 277-281.
- Stembridge, B. P. A student's appraisal of the adequacy of higher education for Black Americans. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1968, **37**, 316-322.
- Stern, G. G. Student ecology and the college environment. *Journal of Medical Education*, 1965, **40**, 130-153.
- Stone, C. L. Sorority status and personality adjustment. *American Sociological Review*, 1951, **16**, 538-541.
- Stone, L. A., Skurdal, M. A., & Skeen, D. R. Hellenic affiliation scale. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, **9**, 332-333.
- Summerskill, J., & Darling, C. D. Sex differences in adjustment to college. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1955, **46**, 355-361.

- Sutter, E. G., & Reid, J. B. Learner variables and interpersonal conditions in computer-assisted instruction. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, **60**, 153-157.
- Tautfest, P. B. An evaluation technique for orientation programs. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1961, **3**(1), 25-28.
- Tead, O. The troubled student. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1963, **11**, 88-91.
- Teahan, J. E. Effect of group psychotherapy on academic low achievers. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy*, 1966, **16**, 78-85.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. College press and changes in study plans of talented students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1960, **51**, 222-234.
- Thomas, E. J., & Fink, C. F. The effects of group size. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1963, **60**, 371-384.
- Trathen, R. H. Two years of experimental work on various teaching methods and class sizes. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 1960, **50**, 380-387.
- Tuckman, B. W., & Oliver, W. F. Effectiveness of feedback to teachers as a function of source. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1968, **59**, 297-301.
- Tuel, J. K., & Shaw, M. C. The development of a scale to measure attitudinal dimensions of the educational environment. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1966, **26**, 955-963.
- Tussman, J. *Experiment at Berkeley*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1969.
- Van Dyne, L. The new Brown curriculum. *Change*, 1969, **1**(6), 14-15.
- Verinis, J. S. Interview and the Bernreuter Personality Inventory for screening adjustment problems in college students. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, **23**, 49-50.
- Vittenson, L. K. Areas of concern to Negro college students as indicated by their responses to the Mooney Problem Check List. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1967, **36**, 51-57.
- Voeks, V. W., & French, G. M. Are student-ratings of teachers affected by grades? The report of three studies at the University of Washington. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1960, **31**, 330-334.
- Volkwein, J. F. Freshmen roommates: Random vs. matched pairs. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1966, **7**, 145-146.

Wallace, W. L. *Student culture*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.

Walsh, W., & Mackinnon, R. D. Impact of an experimental program on student environmental perceptions. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1969, 10, 310-316.

Walsh, W. B., & Russell, J. H. III. College major choice and personal adjustment. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1969, 47, 685-688.

Ward, P. B. Attitudes and behavior in a hall of residence. *Universities Quarterly*, 1964, 19, 56-68.

Warren, J. R. Student perceptions of college subcultures. *American Educational Research Journal*, 1968, 5, 213-232.

Watson, J., & Lippitt, R. *Learning across cultures: A study of Germans visiting America*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Research Center for Group Dynamics, 1955.

Watts, W. A., Lynch, S., & Whittaker, D. Alienation and activism in today's college age youth: Socialization patterns and current family relationships. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 1-7.

Weaver, C. H. Instructor rating by college students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1960, 51, 21-25.

Webb, N. J. Student preparation and tape recording of course lectures as a method of instruction. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 16, 67-72.

Webb, S. C. Two cross validations of the opinion, attitude and interest survey. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1965, 25, 517-523.

Webb, S. C., & Crowder, D. G. Analyzing the psychological climate of a single college. *Teachers College Record*, 1965, 66, 425-433.

Westley, W. A., & Epstein, N. B. *The silent majority: Families of emotionally healthy college students*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969.

Williamson, J. A. Biographical factors and teacher effectiveness. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1969, 37(3), 85-88.

Wilson, K. M. *Of time and the doctorate: Report of an inquiry into the duration of doctoral study*. Atlanta: Southern Regional Education Board, 1965.

Wright, J. J. Environmental stress evaluation in a student community. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1964, 12, 325-336.

- Wright, J. J. Reported personal stress sources and adjustment of entering freshmen. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 371-373.
- Wyatt, F. Motives of rebellion: Psychological comments on the crisis of authority among students. *Humanitas*, 1969, 4, 355-373.
- Yamamoto, K., & Dizney, H. F. Eight professors—A study on college students' preferences among their teachers. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1966, 57, 146-150.
- Yarosz, E. J. The small group interview in the residence hall setting. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1963, 4, 227-230.
- Yonge, G. D. Personality correlates of the College and University Environment Scales. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, 28, 115-123.
- Zeleny, L. D. Experimental appraisal of a group learning plan. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1940, 34, 37-42.

## **SUCCESS VIEWED AS MOTIVATIONAL AND ASPIRATIONAL DEVELOPMENT**

It is generally acknowledged that man is a purposive being and that all people act with purpose. To an observer, however, other people sometimes do *not* act reasonably, and their behavior seems to lack purpose. Even so, human behavior is assumed to have reasons for its occurrence and often times observations of behavior begin to make sense only later when the person's underlying needs and goals become apparent. Psychologists have noted that even the psychotic's actions seem reasonable when looked at from his frame of reference.

Colleges do try to develop motivations and aspirations in students. Furthermore, they generally profess to help their students be more realistic or appropriate in their motivations, plans, expectations, and aspirations. Factors related to such student development (including vocational development) are the topic of this chapter.

### **Development of Self-Appraisal Habits, Realism, and Appropriate Aspirations**

Concerning factors related to the development of self-appraisal habits, realism, and aspirations, most of the studies have dealt with aspirations. Some work has been done on the aspirations of college students for graduate school, medical school, and particular occupations; but attention has been focused primarily on high school seniors and their aspirations to attend college.

Discrepancies between aspirations and expectations, and discrepancies of these from reality as noted by trained observers, are often used by researchers and counseling practitioners to describe the appropriateness of reality of a person's planning, decision-making, and evaluative functioning. The college may try to help the student change his aspirations, his expectancies, or both. For example, Shaw (1967) reviewed studies which suggest that "individuals who perceive a learning situation in which outside forces determine

the reinforcements are less likely to raise their expectancies for future reinforcement, even following success. They are also less likely to lower their expectancies as much after failure. [p. 571]."

College personnel typically desire that their students develop appropriate skills for planning and evaluating; and the student, his parents, and others usually see the development of such skills as desirable, also. Many students have developed these competencies only to a very small degree by the time they graduate from high school, and they may or may not decide it is worth the effort to develop these skills in college. However, they have often matured by the time they enter college and eventually come to recognize the importance of their college experience in this respect.

Reality is the key word in this section. Has the student learned how to evaluate and to plan realistically? Of course, the development of organizational ability is also important. The student cannot be realistic unless he can organize much information into an orderly and meaningful whole that will allow him to observe and to understand priorities, requirements, contexts, bottlenecks, critical incidences, when to generalize, etc. He must be able to set realistic and attainable goals and to develop appropriate immediate and long-range aspirations.

#### **Success Viewed as Development of Self-Appraisal Habits, Realism, and Appropriate Aspirations: Selected Annotations**

In order to compare the effects of different college characteristics on the student's motivation to obtain the PhD degree, Astin (1963a) employed a special input-output design (which controlled for differential college input) to study change in aspiration from college entrance to four years later for 6,544 National Merit finalists. The method used was analogous to analysis of covariance but was reported to have the advantage of being able to deal with non-linear relationships, categorical predictors, and interactions among predictors. The method was reported to be limited in one respect, however, in that it required large numbers of subjects.

Results of the analyses suggested that PhD aspiration is negatively affected by student body size, the percentage of males in the student body, and the amount of Conventional Orientation in the college environment. Social Orientation scores were also found to significantly affect PhD aspiration, but the relationship here was very complex. PhD aspiration tended to be reduced as a function of attending one of the Northeastern mens' colleges. Conversely, attending a coeducational liberal arts college appeared to increase the students' motivation to seek the PhD.

Crowne and Associates (1969), as a part of a larger project investigating self-evaluative behavior and its development, explored child-rearing antecedents of level of aspiration for 83 18-year-old young adults (46 males and 37 females) whose mothers had reported their child-rearing practices for another study 13 years earlier. Each person was contacted by telephone and offered an honorarium and travel expenses to come to Harvard University and to take several psychometric measures. Each subject was administered two personality scales and a level-of-aspiration task (the *Rotter Level of Aspiration Board*, a measure of goal-setting). Half of the subjects also completed a measure of sensitivity to emotional communications prior to taking the level-of-aspiration instrument.

Zero-order correlations were calculated between scores on each of 188 child-rearing variable scales and the level of aspiration scores (three different Rotter level-of-aspiration scores for each subject). A consistent and significant tendency was found for defensively high goal setting to be associated with early primitiveness toward aggression and dependency, high maternal anxiety, and more prevalent obedience problems. Maternal protectiveness seemed to lead to failure-avoidant level of aspiration. The authors cautioned that these findings, while spanning a 13-year period and showing consistency, should be considered suggestive rather than definitive because of the large number of comparisons which were made.

---

Gibb (1968) conducted an exploratory cross-sectional study of 250 first-semester students at a large Midwestern state university in order to identify home background factors which may be related to self-actualization. Scores on the *Personal Orientation Inventory (POI)*, which is purported to measure self-actualization, served as the criterion. Family background information was gathered with a questionnaire especially developed for the study.

Mean differences between POI scores and scores on the background variables were tested using the *t* test. Since it was strictly an exploratory study, the level of significance was set at .20. However, differences at the .05 and .01 levels were given primary consideration in interpretation.

It was found that students who scored higher on the measure of self-actualization tended not to be involved in active religious participation. Furthermore, they had parents with higher levels of formal education, came from families with one to three children, came from families where the mother had worked full-time, and came from families providing little or no religious training. Variables for which there were few, if any, significant mean differences were whether or not the students came from a broken home, whether the students came from a nuclear or an extended home, amount of time the father traveled away from the home, and religious affiliation.

---

In the fall of 1957 a total of 3,581 undergraduate seniors, graduate students, and professional students in various fields at 35 diverse colleges and universities throughout the country participated in a study by Gropper and Fitzpatrick (1959) of factors leading students to attend graduate school. A questionnaire was completed which gathered data on personal background plus perceptions about factors influencing the kind of education chosen and the level to which it was intended to be pursued.

Using chi-square analysis of associated frequencies ( $p < .01$ ), undergraduate seniors planning to attend graduate school were compared with the students already in graduate school. When only slight differences were discovered, comparisons were made between seniors planning to attend graduate school and those not planning to attend graduate school. Chief factors differentiating the two groups were ability, sex, and social and economic status. Men with high college grades and whose fathers had high occupational status and educational attainment, but undistinguished incomes, tended to go on to graduate school. Men whose college grades were less distinguished, but whose fathers had more distinguished incomes, tended to go on to professional school.

High school experiences were not found to influence plans to attend graduate school. Whatever encouragement the high school experience provided for college entrance appeared to be limited to that academic level only.

---

Herriott (1963) studied social determinants of educational aspiration for a group of 1,489 students at one high school in western Massachusetts. He was looking for the intervening variables which explain why certain status characteristics of students have been found to be predictors of educational aspiration. In addition, he wished to discover the ways in which these variables could be influenced to result in higher student educational aspirations. His hypothesis to be tested arose from a general theoretical model which pictured an individual's level of aspiration as being influenced primarily by (a) the level of his self-assessment relative to his assessment of others and (b) the level of the expectations which he perceives that significant others hold for his behavior.

The specific problem of individuals failing to develop their talents was considered by examining seven bases of self-assessment (intellectual motivation, intellectual ability, intellectual performance, economic motivation, economic performance, school social performance, and nonschool social performance) and eleven loci of expectations (father, mother, older sibling or relative, friend of same age, friend a few years older, junior high counselor, senior high counselor, junior high teacher, senior high teacher, adult friend of the family, and some other adult) revealed in a specially designed questionnaire. Analyses consisted of zero-order correlations and multiple correlations

between aspiration level and the 17 variables, plus 7th order partial correlations and an 18th order multiple correlation between aspiration level and the expectation variables with the association of the seven self-assessment variables and educational aspiration held constant.

Results indicated that the higher the level of self-assessment relative to others, the higher the level of educational aspiration; the higher the level of expectation perceived from significant others, the higher the level of educational aspiration. In addition, when the level of assessment relative to others was held constant, the more an expectation perceived from a significant other was valued, the stronger was the association between level of expectations perceived from significant others and level of educational aspiration.

---

Mulford (1967) applied hypotheses to the college situation which had been developed by Argyris (1957) from research in an industrial setting. His hypotheses were that self-actualization scores increase as students are upwardly mobile within a status hierarchy and that student productivity is a function of both a personal commitment to the college and to self-actualization.

A total of 93 students in a small, highly select Midwestern college were asked on a questionnaire to list their predispositions ("important experiences, desires, or areas of personal development one could reasonably expect to be part of a meaningful college career") and to indicate the importance of each predisposition on a scale from 1 to 3. The degree of actualization with regard to each predisposition was then indicated on a scale from 0 to 3, and the degree of self-actualization for a particular student was expressed as the ratio of the sum of products of importance times actualization divided by the sum of products of potency times 3.0. The self-actualization scores (which could range from .00 to 1.00) were then averaged for each sex separately for freshmen, sophomores, juniors, and seniors. A small but consistent trend for self-actualization scores to increase as students progressed from early in their college careers to late in their careers supported the first hypothesis, especially since the dropout rate at this college was small. There were no differences noted between men and women.

Mulford then computed correlations between self-actualization and various student background and present-status variables. Self-actualization was unrelated to the productivity variables but was significantly related to the variables indicative of commitment to this particular college. Next, 40 sign-test comparisons were made between GPA distributions for students at different grade levels (commitment) and levels of self-actualization. The second hypothesis, that student productivity is a function of both personal commitment to the college and self-actualization, could not be refuted by the data. Be-

cause of this finding, Mulford suggests that other extensions of Argyris' research should be applied to the college setting. For example, Argyris' research implies that maximum personal development will not occur until students are given significant roles to play in the organization's life.

---

Sherman (1968) used 78 undergraduate men at the University of Virginia to investigate the relationship between risk attitudes and strategic choice, as well as the influences of internationalist attitude and tolerance for ambiguity on strategic choice. Three personality instruments were administered to the subjects in the study: (a) *Kegan and Wallach Test of Social Risk Preference*, (b) *Sampson and Smith Scale of World Minded (internationalist) Attitude*, and (c) a special tolerance for ambiguity measure. Scores on these scales were related to scores on a measure of competitive strategic choice behavior using Spearman coefficient of rank correlations. The degree of association of all four measures was determined by the Kendall coefficient of concordance.

Analysis revealed an association among all four of the measures. Although all three personality measures were somewhat related to strategic choice, neither tolerance for ambiguity nor internationalism afforded as strong a relationship with strategic choice as did risk preference (risk attitude). Concerning the internationalism predictor, highly internationalistic subjects chose more competitive opportunities, not less. Thus, since competition rather than cooperation was emphasized by the scale, perhaps it does not identify genuine internationalism.

---

In a follow-up at the end of the sophomore year of 1,086 National Merit finalists initially planning to enroll in one of 15 major fields of study, Thistlethwaite (1962a) explored differences between students in different fields of training and tried to ascertain the effects of faculty and student press upon student plans to seek advanced training. Students completed a 200-item *Inventory of College Characteristics* which asked about certain student job values and offered students the opportunity to describe the pressures and activities—intellectual and social—which characterized the faculty and students in their major fields of study. The inventory included ten scales descriptive of the faculty in the subject's major field; ten scales descriptive of student associates in the classroom and living areas, and three scales descriptive of student values (intellectual orientation, social status orientation, and social welfare orientation).

Analysis of variance and *t*-test comparisons across curricular fields of study revealed that differences between the curricular areas were greatest with respect to student social welfare orientation and faculty press for humanism, scientism, and vocationalism. When level of educational aspiration became

the criterion, post-test aspiration levels were compared by analysis of variance for groups with different personal attributes. Then post-test differences between groups were adjusted for pretest differences in aspiration, and comparisons were made through the use of analysis of covariance. For men, but not for women, the results supported the hypothesis that faculty pressures and activities influence the student's desire to seek advanced training. Men who reported that their teachers exerted relatively strong press for independence, supportiveness, and affiliation tended to raise their aspirations for advanced training more than did men not reporting such press. In addition, exposure to honors programs or to peer groups characterized by openness to faculty influence resulted in more of an increase in educational aspirations for men.

Thistlethwaite followed up the preceding study with another longitudinal study (1962b) of 2,405 undergraduate men to see if he could rule out interpretations of the findings in terms of retrospective errors of recall or in terms of alleged anomalies related to covariance analyses. This time the students reported their level of aspiration at college entrance and again four years later at graduation. Results of the follow-up study confirmed and extended the previous findings. Men who reported that their teachers exerted strong press for enthusiasm, humanism, affiliation, independence, achievement, and supportiveness, or exerted weak press for compliance, tended to raise their aspirations for advanced training more than men not reporting such faculty press. Strong fellow-student press for estheticism also was related to an increase in aspiration.

Thistlethwaite and Wheeler (1966) studied the effects of teacher and peer subculture on student aspirations for a group of 1,772 students from 140 colleges. These students were surveyed at the beginning, middle, and end of college.

Multiple-regression equations were developed for predicting terminal dispositions to seek advanced graduate or professional training from eight precollege characteristics for which the authors desired to control: sex, degree aspiration at college entrance, National Merit Aptitude score, father's educational level, mother's educational level, number of freshman scholarship applications, family financial resources, and probable major field of study. Residual scores, interpreted as indices of the extent to which each student changed his educational aspiration from the level predicted by his status on the eight precollege variables, were correlated with scores on 20 college press scales (about the demands, expectations, or activities most characteristic of teachers and students at the student's college) and a variety of items concerning experiences often considered critical in shaping students' motivations to pursue a scientific or scholarly career. In addition, a factor analysis of the college press scales was conducted.

Fourteen of 33 college press scales and three of nine factors correlated significantly with the residual scores for men, but none of the correlations with residual scores for women was statistically significant. The disposition to seek advanced training was shown by an increasing number of students as exposure to college increased.

Winter, Griffith, and Kolb (1968) were involved in a research program with the stated aim of developing a method for self-directed personal change and of understanding the psychological processes involved in successful personal-change efforts. The major emphasis of the method was on self-research. The individual was given responsibility for diagnosing his own problem, setting his own goals, and accomplishing change by his own efforts. When business school students participating in self-analytic groups used this method to change themselves in a previous study (Kolb, Winter, and Berlew, 1968), change was found to be related to the individual's commitment to his change goal and the amount of feedback given by other group members. Improving the change method to increase goal commitment and feedback increased the percentage from 5% to 61% for students experiencing successful change. The purpose of the present study, however, was to discover the characteristics which differentiated those not able to achieve personal change from those who were able to achieve such change.

A content analysis of self-descriptive essays written by college students revealed several distinct differences between those who attained successful change (N 13) and those who were not successful in attaining change. Those experiencing change more frequently exhibited the ability to think conditionally about themselves and the ability to postulate future possibilities for oneself with the implicit recognition that these goals have not been attained. Those not experiencing change appeared deficient in these abilities and more frequently described themselves with little recognition of alternative possibilities. Secondly, those not experiencing change showed more confusion and uncertainty about their present selves. They expressed great concern about defining "reality," expressed the belief that they were playing artificial roles, were vague about how they were perceived by others, and were indecisive about their own thoughts and actions.

#### **Success Viewed as Development of Self-Appraisal Habits, Realism, and Appropriate Aspirations: Published Literature.**

Adams, B. N., & Meidam, M. T. Economics, family structure, and college attendance. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1968, 74, 230-239.

Alexander, C. N., Jr. Ordinal position and social mobility. *Sociometry*, 1968, 31, 285-293.

- Alexander, C. N., Jr., & Campbell, E. Q. Peer influences on adolescent educational aspirations and attainments. *American Sociological Review*, 1964, 29, 568-575.
- Alker, H. A. Rationality and achievement: A comparison of the Atkinson-McClelland and Kogan-Wallach formulations. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 207-224.
- Anderson, S. C. Effects of confrontation by high- and low-functioning therapists. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 411-416.
- Antonovski, A., & Lerner, M. J. Occupational aspirations of lower class Negro and White youth. *Social Problems*, 1959, 7, 132-138.
- Argyris, C. *Personality and organization*. New York: Harper, 1957.
- Astin, A. W. A re-examination of college productivity. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1961, 52, 173-178.
- Astin, A. W. Influences on the student's motivation to seek advanced training: Another look. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1962, 53, 303-309.  
(a)
- Astin, A. W. "Productivity" of undergraduate institutions. *Science*, 1962, 136, 129-135. (b)
- Astin, A. W. Differential college effects on the motivation of talented students to obtain the PhD. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1963, 54, 63-71. (a)
- Astin, A. W. Undergraduate institutions and the production of scientists. *Science*, 1963, 141, 334-338. (b)
- Astin, A. W. Socio-economic factors in the achievements and aspirations of the merit scholar. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, 42, 581-586.
- Astin, A. W. *Who goes where to college?* Chicago: Science Research Associates, 1965.
- Astin, A. W. Comment on "A student's dilemma: Big-fish—little pond or little fish—big pond." *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 20-22.
- Astin, A. W., & Nichols, R. C. Life goals and vocational choice. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1964, 48, 50-58.
- Astin, A. W., & Panos, R. J. *The educational and vocational development of college students*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1969.

- Astin, H. S. *The women doctorate in America: Origins, career, and family*. New York: Russel Sage Foundation, 1969.
- Atkinson, J. W., et al. The achievement motive, goal setting, and probability preferences. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, 60, 27-36.
- Austrin, H. R. Cross validation of an attitude scale for the identification of high and low academic achievers. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1965, 58, 426-428.
- Backman, C. W., & Secord, P. F. *A social psychological view of education*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & World, 1968.
- Baird, L. L. The degree goals of college applicants. *College & University*, 1968, 43, 308-327.
- Baird, L. L. *Patterns of educational aspirations*. ACT Research Report No. 32, Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1969.
- Bandura, A., & Walters, R. H. *Social learning and personality development*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1963.
- Barron, F. Some personality correlates of independence of judgment. *Journal of Personality*, 1953, 21, 287-297.
- Bayer, A. E. Birth order and college attendance. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 1966, 28, 480-484.
- Bell, G. D. Processes in the formation of adolescents' aspirations. *Social Forces*, 1963, 42, 179-186.
- Bell, R. R., & Stub, H. R. (Eds.) *The sociology of education: A sourcebook*. (Rev. ed.) Homewood, Ill.: Dorsey Press, 1968.
- Bennett, W. S., Jr., & Gist, N. P. Class and family influences on student aspirations. *Social Forces*, 1964, 43, 167-173.
- Berdie, R. F., & Hood, A. B. How effectively do we predict plans for college attendance? *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1966, 44, 487-493.
- Berger, A. S. Some factors influencing expectation of academic employment. *School Review*, 1965, 73, 129-143.
- Berger, R. M., Guilford, J. P., & Christensen, P. R. A factor-analytic study of planning abilities. *Psychological Monographs*, 1957, 71(6, Whole No. 435).
- Bohrnstedt, G. W. Social mobility aspirations and fraternity membership. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1969, 10, 42-52.

Bordua, D. J. Educational aspirations and parental stress on college. *Social Forces*, 1960, **38**, 262-269.

Boyer, E. L., & Michael, W. B. Outcomes of college. *Review of Educational Research*, 1965, **35**, 277-291.

Boyle, R. P. Community influence on college aspirations: An empirical evaluation of explanatory factors. *Rural Sociology*, 1966, **31**, 277-292.

Boyle, R. P. On neighborhood context and college plans. *American Sociological Review*, 1966, **31**, 706-707.

Boyle, R. P. The effect of the high school on students' aspirations. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1966, **71**, 628-639. Reprinted in R. E. Grinder (Ed.), *Studies in adolescence: A book of readings in adolescent development*. (2nd ed.) London: Collier-Macmillan, 1969.

Brazziel, W. F., Jr. Occupational choice in the Negro college. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1961, **39**, 739-742.

Brodie, D. Q., & Suchman, E. A. Socioeconomic status, students' evaluations and educational desires. *Social Science Quarterly*, 1968, **49**, 253-261.

Brown, N. C. (Ed.) *Higher education: Incentives and obstacles*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1960.

Burchinal, L. G. Differences in educational and occupational aspirations of farm, small-town, and city boys. *Rural Sociology*, 1961, **26**, 107-121.

Burnstein, E. Fear of failure, achievement motivation, and aspiring to prestigious occupations. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, **67**, 189-193.

Campbell, D. P. *The results of counseling: Twenty-five years later*. Philadelphia: Saunders, 1965.

Campbell, E. Q., & Alexander, C. N. Structural effects and interpersonal relationships. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1965, **71**, 284-289.

Caro, F. G. Deferred gratification, time conflict, and college attendance. *Sociology of Education*, 1965, **38**, 332-340.

Caro, F. G., & Philflad, C. T. Aspirations and expectations: A re-examination of the bases for social class differences in the occupational orientations of male high school students. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1965, **49**, 465-475.

- Chapman, D. W., & Volkman, J. A social determinant of the level of aspiration. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1939, **34**, 225-238.
- Clark, B. R. The "cooling-out" function in higher education. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1960, **65**, 569-576.
- Cohen, A. G., & Guthrie, G. M. Patterns of motivation for college attendance. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1966, **26**, 89-98.
- Cohen, E. G. Parental factors in educational mobility. *Sociology of Education*, 1965, **38**, 404-425.
- Coleman, C., & Shertzler, B. Changes in career aspirations. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1963-64, **12**, 133-139.
- Coleman, J. S., et al. *Equality of educational opportunity*. Washington: United States Office of Education, 1966.
- Cope, R. G. Can psychological variables used by economists aid in predicting college enrollments and persistence? *College & University*, 1966, **42**, 35-40.
- Cordaro, L. L., & Shontz, F. C. Psychological situations as determinants of self-evaluations. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, **16**, 575-578.
- Corfield, V. K. The role of arousal and cognitive complexity in susceptibility to social influence. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, **37**, 554-566.
- Cross, K. P. *The junior college student: A research description*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1968.
- Crowne, D. P. Family orientation, level of aspiration, and interpersonal bargaining. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, **3**, 641-645.
- Crowne, D. P., et al. Some developmental antecedents of level of aspiration. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, **37**, 73-92.
- Crowne, D. P., & Stephens, M. W. Self-acceptance and self-evaluative behavior: A critique of methodology. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1961, **58**, 104-121.
- Dameron, L. E. Mother-child interaction in the development of self-restraint. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 1955, **86**, 289-308.
- Davis, F., & Olesen, V. L. Initiation into a women's profession: Identity problems in the status transition of coed to student nurse. *Sociometry*, 1963, **26**, 89-101.

- Davis, J. A. *Great aspirations: The graduate school plans of America's college seniors*. Chicago: Aldine, 1964.
- Deutsch, M., & Gerard, H. B. A study of normative and informational social influence upon individual judgment. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, **51**, 629-636.
- Deutscher, I., & Montague, A. Professional education and conflicting value systems: The role of religious schools in the educational aspirations of nursing students. *Social Forces*, 1956, **35**, 126-131.
- Diggory, J. C. *Self-evaluation: Concepts and studies*. New York: Wiley, 1966.
- Dole, A. A. Looking backward: How consistent are retrospective reasons for going to college. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, **15**, 263-268.
- Doleys, E. J., & Renzaglia, G. A. Accuracy of student prediction of college grades. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1963, **41**, 528-530.
- Douvan, E., & Kaye, C. Motivational factors in college entrance. In N. Sanford (Ed.), *The American college: A psychological and social interpretation of the higher learning*. New York: Wiley, 1962.
- Drews, E. M. Counseling for self-actualization in gifted girls and young women. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1965, **12**, 167-175.
- Duncan, O. D., Haller, A. O., & Portes, A. Peer influences on aspirations: A reinterpretation. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1968, **74**, 119-137.
- Dynes, R. R., Clarke, A. C., & Dinitz, S. Levels of occupational aspiration: Some aspects of family experience as a variable. *American Sociological Review*, 1956, **21**, 212-215.
- Ekstrom, R. B. Early admission to college. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1964, **57**, 408-412.
- Elder, G. H., Jr. Occupational level, achievement motivation, and social mobility: A longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, **15**, 1-7.
- Ellis, R. A., & Lane, W. C. Social mobility and career orientation. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1966, **50**, 280-296.
- Eskola, A. Level of aspiration in career selection. *Acta Sociologica*, 1961, **5**, 239-248.
- Feather, N. T. Subjective probability and decision under uncertainty. *Psychological Review*, 1959, **66**, 150-164.

- Festinger, L. Wish, expectation, and group standards as factors influencing level of aspirations. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1942, **37**, 184-200.
- Fichter, J. H. Career preparation and expectations of Negro college seniors. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1966, **35**, 322-335.
- Flanagan, J. C., et al. *The American high school student: The identification, development, and utilization of human talents*. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh, Project Talent Office, 1964.
- Frank, J. D. The influence of the level of performance in one task on the level of aspiration in another. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 1935, **18**, 159-171.
- Gerard, H. B., Blevans, S. A., & Malcolm, T. Self-evaluation and the evaluation of choice alternatives. *Journal of Personality*, 1964, **32**, 395-410.
- Gibb, L. L. Home background and self-actualization attainment. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, **9**, 49-53.
- Gist, N. P., & Bennett, W. S., Jr. Aspirations of Negro and White students. *Social Forces*, 1963, **42**, 40-48.
- Glenn, N. D. Negro prestige criteria: A case study in the bases of prestige. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1963, **68**, 645-657.
- Goldsen, R. K., et al. *What college students think*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1960.
- Gotthell, E., et al. Stress, satisfaction, and performance: Transition from university to medical college. *Journal of Medical Education*, 1969, **44**, 270-277.
- Gottlieb, D., & Hodgkins, B. College student subcultures: Their structure and characteristics in relation to student attitude change. *School Review*, 1963, **71**, 266-289.
- Greeley, A. M. Influence of the "religious factor" on career plans and occupational values of college graduates. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1963, **68**, 658-671.
- Greeley, A. M. *Religion and career: A study of college students*. New York: Sheed & Ward, 1963.
- Greeley, A. M. Religious and academic career plans: A note on progress. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1967, **72**, 668-672.

- Greeley, A. M. *From backwater to mainstream: A profile of Catholic higher education*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.
- Greenberg, H., Marvin, C., & Bivins, B. Authoritarianism as a variable in motivation to attend college. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1959, **49**, 81-85.
- Greene, R. L., & Clark, J. R. Birth order and college attendance in a cross-cultural setting. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, **75**, 289-290.
- Grigg, C. M. *Recruitment to graduate study: College seniors' plans for post-graduate education and their implementation the year after commencement*, 1965. Atlanta: Southern Regional Education Board, 1965.
- Gropper, G. L., & Fitzpatrick, R. *Who goes to graduate school? A study of the decision to enter graduate training*. Pittsburgh: American Institute for Research, 1959.
- Gurin, P. Social class constraints on the occupational aspirations of students attending some predominantly Negro colleges. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1966, **35**, 336-350.
- Gurin, P., & Epps, E. Some characteristics of students from poverty backgrounds attending predominantly Negro colleges in the deep South. *Social Forces*, 1966, **45**, 27-40.
- Gurin, P., & Katz, D. *Motivation and aspiration in the Negro college*. (United States Office of Education Project No. 5-0787) Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Survey Research Center, 1966.
- Haller, A. O. The influence of planning to enter farming on plans to attend college. *Rural Sociology*, 1957, **22**, 137-141.
- Haller, A. O., & Butterworth, C. E. Peer influence on levels of occupational and educational aspiration. *Social Forces*, 1960, **38**, 289-295.
- Haller, A. O., & Sowell, W. H. Farm residence and levels of educational and occupational aspiration. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1957, **62**, 407-411.
- Harris, E. E. Some comparisons among Negro-White college students: Social ambition and estimated social mobility. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1966, **35**, 351-368.
- Hathaway, S. R., Monachesi, E. D., & Erickson, M. L. Relationship of college attendance to personality characteristics and earlier delinquent behavior. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1960, **1**, 97-106.

Havighurst, R. J., & Neugarten, B. L. *Society and education*. (3rd ed.) Boston: Allyn & Bacon, 1967.

Havighurst, R. J., & Rogers, R. R. The role of motivation in attendance at post-high school educational institutions. In B. S. Hollinshead, *Who should go to college?* New York: Columbia University Press, 1952.

Heller, H. L. Strengthening character traits of college underachievers. *Phi-Delta Kappan*, 1968, 49, 592-593.

Herriott, R. E. Some social determinants of educational aspiration. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1963, 33, 157-177.

Hewer, V. H. Evaluation of a criterion: Realism of vocational choice. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 289-294.

Hind, R. R., & Wirth, T. E. The effect of university experience on occupational choice among undergraduates. *Sociology of Education*, 1969, 42, 50-69.

Hjelle, L. A. Personality characteristics associated with interpersonal perception accuracy. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 579-581.

Holder, T., Carkhuff, R. R., & Berenson, B. G. Differential effects of the manipulation of therapeutic conditions upon high- and low-functioning clients. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 63-66.

Holland, J. L. Undergraduate origins of American scientists. *Science*, 1957, 126, 433-437.

Holland, J. L. Determinants of college choice. *College and University*, 1959, 35, 11-28.

Hollender, J. W. Development of a realistic vocational choice. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 314-318.

Holt, R. R. Effects of ego-involvement upon levels of aspiration. *Psychiatry*, 1945, 8, 299-317.

Hood, A. B. Educational and personality factors associated with unusual patterns of parental education. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1967, 61, 32-34.

Hood, A. B. *What type of college for what type of student?* Minnesota Studies in Student Personnel Work No. 14. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, 1968.

- Houstras, P. T., & Pederson, L. M. A comparison of affiliated and independent college students using the Bill's Index of Adjustment and Values. *College of Education Record, University of North Dakota*, (March-April) 1968, 53, 14-18. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1968, 4, 15)
- Hunt, D. E., & Hardt, R. H. The effect of upward bound programs on the attitudes, motivation, and academic achievement of Negro students. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1969, 25(3), 117-129.
- Irwin, M., Tripodi, T., & Bieri, J. Affective stimulus value and cognitive complexity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 5, 444-448.
- Isaacson, R. L. Relation between achievement, test anxiety, and curricular choices. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, 68, 447-452.
- Israel, J. Self-evaluation in groups. *Acta Sociologica*, 1958, 3, 29-47.
- Jaffe, A. J., & Adams, W. College education for U. S. youth: The attitudes of parents and children. *American Journal of Economics and Sociology*, 1964, 23, 269-283.
- Jaffe, A. J., Adams, W., & Meyers, S. G. *Negro higher education in the 1960's*. New York: Praeger, 1968.
- Jessor, R., Carman, R. S., & Grossman, P. H. Expectations of need satisfaction and drinking patterns of college students. *Quarterly Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, 1968, 29, 101-116.
- Johnson, C. S. *The Negro college graduate*. College Park, Md.: McGrath, 1969.
- Kagan, N. Group procedures. *Review of Educational Research*, 1966, 36, 274-287.
- Kahl, J. A. Educational and occupational aspirations of 'common man' boys. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1953, 23, 186-203.
- Kahoe, R. Motivation-hygiene aspects of vocational indecision and college achievement. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1966, 44, 1030-1036.
- Kasl, S. V., Brooks, G. W., & Cobb, S. Serum urate concentrations in male high-school students: A predictor of college attendance. *American Medical Association Journal*, 1966, 198, 713-716.
- Kimball, R. B. Do scholarships help? *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1968, 46, 782-785.

- Kinnane, M. The prestige of college teaching: Some perceptions of undergraduates and Woodrow Wilson Fellows. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1965-66, 14, 141-145.
- Knapp, R. H., & Goodrich, H. B. *Origins of American scientists*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1952.
- Knapp, R. H., & Greenbaum, J. J. *The younger American scholar: His collegiate origins*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1953.
- Knapp, R. R. Relationship of a measure of self-actualization to neuroticism and extraversion. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1965, 29, 168-172.
- Knop, E. The student dilemma: Role conflict. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1969, 17, 171-175.
- Koib, D. A., Winter, S. K., & Barlow, D. E. Self-directed change: Two studies. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 1968, 4, 453-471.
- Krauss, I. Sources of educational aspirations among working-class youth. *American Sociological Review*, 1964, 29, 867-879.
- Kronovet, E. Senior orientation. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1967, 15, 38-39.
- Kuvlesky, W. P., & Bealer, R. C. A clarification of the concept "occupational choice." *Rural Sociology*, 1966, 31, 265-276.
- Kuvlesky, W. P., & Ohlendorf, G. W. A rural-urban comparison of the occupational status orientations of Negro boys. *Rural Sociology*, 1968, 33, 141-152.
- Lang, M. Student apathy. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1967, 15, 33-37.
- Lerner, M. J. Evaluation of performance as a function of performer's reward and attractiveness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 1, 355-360.
- Lewis, C. A., Bradley, R. L., & Rothney, J. M. Assessment of accomplishments of college students four years after high school graduation. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1969, 47, 745-752.
- Lewis, L. S. The value of college to different subcultures. *School Review*, 1969, 77, 32-40.

- Lindenfeld, F. A note on social mobility, religiosity, and students' attitudes towards premarital sexual relations. *American Sociological Review*, 1960, 25, 81-84.
- Littig, L. W. Negro personality correlates of aspiration to traditionally open and closed occupations. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1968, 37, 31-36.
- Little, J. K. The Wisconsin study of high school graduates. *Educational Record*, 1959, 40, 123-128.
- Little, J. K. *Explorations into the college plans and experiences of high school graduates*. Madison: University of Wisconsin, 1960.
- Little, J. K. The occupations of non-college youth. *American Educational Research Journal*, 1967, 4, 147-153.
- Lowe, G. A. Education, occupation of fathers and parental contributions to educational expenses as factors in career aspiration among male Jamaican students. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1966, 35, 230-236.
- Mahone, C. H. Fear of failure and unrealistic vocational aspiration. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, 60, 253-261.
- Mann, J. H. Studies of role performance. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1961, 64, 213-307.
- Marston, A. R. Imitation, self-reinforcement, and reinforcement of another person. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 2, 255-261.
- Maton, J. Regional differences in educational participation: A regression analysis of cross-sectional data on participation coefficients. *Sociology of Education*, 1966, 39, 276-287.
- Matteson, R. W. Aspiration levels of college freshmen. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1957, 6, 21-24.
- Matteson, R. W. Educational experiences, academic interests, and curriculum choices. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1961, 39, 717-720.
- McClure, R. F. Birth order and school-related attitudes. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 657-658.
- McDill, E. L., & Coleman, J. High school social status, college plans, and interest in academic achievement: A panel analysis. *American Sociological Review*, 1963, 28, 905-918.
- McDill, E. L., & Coleman, J. Family and peer influences in college plans of high school students. *Sociology of Education*, 1965, 38, 112-126.

- McKeachie, W. J., & Solomon, D. Student ratings of instructors: A validity study. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1958, 51, 379-382.
- Mercer, C. V. The dimensions of desiderata: A refinement of concepts. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1968, 53, 56-67.
- Messick, S., & Kogan, N. Personality consistencies in judgment: Dimensions of role constructs. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 1966, 1, 165-175.
- Michael, J. A. High school climates and plans for entering college. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 1961, 25, 585-595.
- Michael, J. A. On neighborhood context and college plans (II). *American Sociological Review*, 1966, 31, 702-706.
- Middleton, R., & Grigg, C. M. Rural-urban differences in aspirations. *Rural Sociology*, 1959, 24, 347-354.
- Miller, I. W., & Haller, A. O. A measure of level of occupational aspiration. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, 42, 448-455.
- Moulton, R. W. Effects of success and failure on level of aspiration as related to achievement motives. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 1, 399-406.
- Mulford, C. L. Self-actualization in a small college environment. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, 8, 100-104.
- Musgrove, F. Social class and levels of aspiration in a technological university. *Sociological Review*, 1967, 15, 311-322.
- Muthayya, B. C. Estimates of success and failure limits before and after experience with the tasks in a level of aspiration situation. *Psychological Studies*, 1967, 12, 46-52.
- Nam, C. B. Family patterns of educational attainment. *Sociology of Education*, 1965, 38, 393-403.
- Nichols, R. C. Personality change and the college. *American Educational Research Journal*, 1967, 4, 173-190.
- Norrell, G., & Grater, H. Interest awareness as an aspect of self-awareness. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1960, 7, 289-292.
- Ohlendorf, G. W., & Kuvlesky, W. P. Racial differences in the educational orientations of rural youth. *Social Science Quarterly*, 1968, 49, 274-283.

- Pace, C. R., & Baird, L. Attainment patterns in the environmental press of college subcultures. In T. M. Newcomb, & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Pavalko, R. M. Aspirants to teaching: Some differences between high school senior boys and girls planning on a career in teaching. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1965, 50, 47-62.
- Pavalko, R. M. (Ed.) *Sociology of education: A book of readings*. Itasca, Ill.: F. E. Peacock, 1968.
- Pavalko, R. M., & Bishop, D. R. Peer influence on the college plans of Canadian high school students. *Canadian Review of Sociology and Anthropology*, 1966, 3, 191-200. (a)
- Pavalko, R. M., & Bishop, D. R. Socioeconomic status and college plans: A study of Canadian high school students. *Sociology of Education*, 1966, 39, 288-298. (b)
- Pavalko, R. M., & Walizer, M. H. Parental educational differences and the college plans of youth. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1969, 54, 80-89.
- Pepitone, A. D. *Attraction and hostility: An experimental analysis of interpersonal and self-evaluation*. New York: Atherton, 1964.
- Porter, P. B. Faculty evaluations of graduate students. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 24, 761-762.
- Rehberg, R. A., & Schafer, W. E. Participation in interscholastic athletics and college expectations. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1968, 73, 732-740.
- Rehberg, R. A., & Westby, D. L. Parental encouragement, occupation, education and family size: Artfactual or independent determinants of adolescent educational expectations? *Social Forces*, 1967, 45, 362-374.
- Richards, J. M., Jr. Life goals of American college freshmen. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 12-20.
- Richards, J. M., Jr., & Holland, J. L. A factor analysis of student "explanations" of their choice of a college. *Educational Sciences*, 1966, 1, 103-112.
- Ring, K., Lipinski, C. E., & Braginski, D. The relationship of birth order to self-evaluation, anxiety reduction, and susceptibility to emotional contagion. *Psychological Monographs*, 1965, 79(10, Whole No. 603).
- Ringuette, E. L. Selected personality correlates of mode of conflict resolution. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 2, 506-512.

Rosenfeld, H. M. Social choice conceived as a level of aspiration. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, 68, 491-499.

Rosenkrantz, P. S., & Crockett, W. H. Some factors influencing the assimilation of disparate information in impression formation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 2, 397-402.

Royce, J. R., & Smith, W. S. A note on the development of the Psycho-Epistemological Profile (PEP). *Psychological Reports*, 1964, 14, 297-298.

Ryan, T. A., & Krumboltz, J. D. Effect of planned reinforcement counseling on client decision-making behavior. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1964, 11, 315-323.

Sandford, C. T., Couper, M. E., & Griffin, S. Class influences in higher education. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1965, 35, 183-194.

Schoenfeldt, L. F. Education after high school. *Sociology of Education*, 1968, 41, 350-369.

Segal, S. J. Student development and counseling. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 1968, 19, 497-508.

Sewell, W. H. Community of residence and college plans. *American Sociological Review*, 1964, 29, 24-38.

Sewell, W. H., & Armer, J. M. Neighborhood context and college plans. *American Sociological Review*, 1966, 31, 159-168. (a)

Sewell, W. H., & Armer, J. M. Reply to Turner, Michael, and Boyle. *American Sociological Review*, 1966, 31, 707-712. (b)

Sewell, W. H., Haller, A. O., & Straus, M. A. Social status and educational and occupational aspiration. *American Sociological Review*, 1957, 22, 67-73.

Sewell, W. H., & Shah, V. P. Socioeconomic status, intelligence, and the attainment of higher education. *Sociology of Education*, 1967, 40, 1-23.

Sewell, W. H., & Shah, V. P. Parents' education and children's educational aspirations and achievements. *American Sociological Review*, 1968, 33, 191-209.

Sewell, W. H., & Shah, V. P. Social class, parental encouragement, and educational aspirations. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1968, 73, 559-572.

- Shaw, M. C. Motivation in human learning. *Review of Educational Research*, 1967, 37, 563-582.
- Sherman, R. Personality and strategic choice. *Journal of Psychology*, 1968, 70, 191-197.
- Shostrom, E. L., & Knapp, R. R. The relationship of a measure of self-actualization (POI) to a measure of pathology (MMPI) and to therapeutic growth. *American Journal of Psychotherapy*, 1966, 20, 193-202.
- Siegel, S. Level of aspiration and decision making. *Psychological Review*, 1957, 64, 253-262.
- Silber, E., et al. Competent adolescents coping with college decisions. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1961, 5, 517-527.
- Skager, R., & Weinberg, D. Relationships between selected social factors and extent of high school counseling. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 45, 901-906.
- Slocum, W. L. The influence of reference group values on educational aspirations of rural high school students. *Rural Sociology*, 1967, 32, 269-277.
- Smelser, W. T., & Stewart, L. H. Where are the siblings? A re-evaluation of the relationship between birth order and college attendance. *Sociometry*, 1968, 31, 294-303.
- Sommerfield, R. Social class background and professional aspirations. A study of selected pre-theological students. *Lutheran Quarterly*, 1963, 15, 345-352.
- Stewart, L. H. Non-occupational scale of the Strong Vocational Interest Blank and amount of college education. *California Journal of Educational Research*, 1958, 9, 137-140.
- Stice, G., Mollenkopf, W. G., & Torgerson, W. S. *Background factors and college-going plans among high-aptitude public high school seniors*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1956.
- Stotland, E., et al. The effects of group expectations and self-esteem upon self-evaluation. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1957, 54, 55-63.
- Stout, R. T. Social class and educational aspirations: A Weberian analysis. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1969, 47, 650-654.

- Stubbins, J. The relationship between level of vocational aspiration and certain personal data: A study of some traits and influences bearing on the prestige level of vocational choice. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1950, 41, 327-409.
- Sullivan, H. J. The effects of counseling as a college-encouragement procedure. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 93-97.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. College environments and the development of talent. *Science*, 1959, 130, 71-76.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. College press and student achievement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1959, 50, 183-191. (a)
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. Effects of social recognition upon the educational motivation of talented youth. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1959, 50, 111-116. (b)
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. College press and changes in study plans of talented students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1960, 51, 222-234.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. Honors programs and motivation to seek advanced training. *The Superior Student*, 1961, 4, 16-19.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. The recognition of excellence. *College and University*, 1961, 36, 282-295.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. Fields of study and development of motivation to seek advanced training. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1962, 53, 53-64. (a)
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. Rival hypotheses for explaining the effects of different learning environments. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1962, 53, 310-315. (b)
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. Diversities in college environments: Implications for student selection and training. In K. M. Wilson (Ed.), *Research related to college admissions*. Atlanta: Southern Regional Education Board, 1963.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. *Recruitment and retention of talented college students*. (United States Office of Education, Contract SAE 8368, No. OE-2-10-075) Nashville, Tenn.: Vanderbilt University, 1963.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L., & Wheeler, N. Effects of teacher and peers subcultures upon student aspirations. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1966, 57, 35-47.

Tomah, A. K. The impact of reference groups on the educational and occupational aspirations of women college students. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 1968, 30, 102-110.

Tomoda, Y. Occupational aspirations of Japanese high school students. *Educational Sciences*, 1968, 2, 217-225.

Torrance, E. P. Personality dynamics of under-self-evaluation among intellectually gifted college freshmen. In E. P. Torrance (Ed.), *Talent and education: Present status and future directions*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1960.

Trent, J. W. Encouragement of student development. *NASPA Journal*, 1966, 4, 35-45.

Trent, J. W., & Golds, J. *Catholics in college: Religious commitment and the intellectual life*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1967.

Tuddenham, R. D. The influence of a distorted group norm upon individual judgment. *Journal of Psychology*, 1958, 46, 227-241. (a)

Tuddenham, R. D. The influence of an avowedly distorted norm upon individual judgment. *Journal of Psychology*, 1958, 46, 329-338. (b)

Turner, R. H. Some family determinants of ambition. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1962, 46, 397-411.

Turner, R. H. *The social context of ambition: A study of high-school seniors in Los Angeles*. San Francisco: Chandler, 1964.

Turner, R. H. On neighborhood and college plans (I.) *American Sociological Review*, 1966, 31, 698-702.

Tyler, L. E. Research explorations in the realm of choice. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1961, 8, 195-201.

Uzzell, O. Influencers of occupational choice. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1961, 39, 666-669.

Uzzell, O. Occupational aspirations of Negro male high school students. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1961, 45, 202-204.

Waisanen, F. B. Self-attitudes and performance expectations. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1962, 3, 208-219.

Wallace, W. L. Institutional and life-cycle socialization of college freshmen. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1964, 70, 303-318.

- Wallace, W. L. Peer influences and undergraduates' aspirations for graduate study. *Sociology of Education*, 1965, **38**, 375-392.
- Wallace, W. L. *Student culture: Social structure and continuity in a liberal arts college*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Wallach, M. A., & Kogan, N. Sex differences and judgment processes. *Journal of Personality*, 1959, **27**, 555-564.
- Warkov, S., & Greeley, A. M. Parochial school origins and educational achievement. *American Sociological Review*, 1966, **31**, 406-414.
- Wegner, E. L. Some factors in obtaining postgraduate education. *Sociology of Education*, 1969, **42**, 154-169.
- Weiner, M., & Murray, W. Another look at the culturally deprived and their levels of aspiration. *Sociology of Education*, 1963, **36**, 319-321.
- Weiss, R. J. Aspirations and expectations: A dimensional analysis. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1961, **53**, 249-254.
- Werts, C. E. Social class and initial career choice of college freshmen. *Sociology of Education*, 1966, **39**, 74-85.
- Werts, C. E. A comparison of male vs. female college attendance probabilities. *Sociology of Education*, 1968, **41**, 103-110.
- Werts, C. E., & Watley, D. J. A student's dilemma: Big fish—little pond or little fish—big pond. *National Merit Scholarship Corporation Research Reports*, 1969, 5(3).
- Werts, C. E., & Watley, D. J. A student's dilemma: Big fish—little pond or little fish—big pond. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, **16**, 14-19.
- Wilson, A. B. Residential segregation of social classes and aspirations of high school boys. *American Sociological Review*, 1959, **24**, 836-845.
- Winter, S. K., Griffith, J. C., & Kolb, D. A. Capacity for self-direction. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1968, **32**, 35-41.
- Worchel, P., & McCormick, B. L. Self concept and dissonance reduction. *Journal of Personality*, 1963, **31**, 588-599.
- Wright, C. R. Changes in the occupational commitment of graduate sociology students: A research note. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1967, **37**, 55-62.

### Development of Motivation to Succeed

It is assumed that a multitude of complex factors are instigating any behavior. Because of the number and the complexity of factors involved, and because many of them are not apparent, we cannot understand the real "why" of many actions that we see around us. All we can do is infer. Therefore, terms such as "motivation" came about to indicate that there are reasons for the behavior which are too complex to follow or which are not apparent to the casual observer. "Motivation" can allow a person to "understand" the behavior without having to really explain it.

There are many different definitions that have been given for the term "motivation." However, all of the definitions agree that when an individual exhibits active, integrated, directed behavior he is being motivated in some way. They would also agree that we keep our behavior going in a direction because of motivation, and it is also motivation that causes us to pick a new direction from time to time. Additionally, these definitions seem to agree that motivation involves both intrinsic and extrinsic stimulation (although some would emphasize one over the other).

Because of the vagueness of the term and because some people use it to explain research results for which they have no specific explanation, some people contend that the term "motivation" is not important and should be done away with. For example, after reviewing literature on motivation, Shaw (1967) noted a trend in this direction and concluded as follows:

The concept of motivation appears to be changing in a subtle kind of way. While the concept of drive level persists, it is clear that those who have conducted research in this area now perceive that a host of variables, each one of which is in itself complex, are involved. If the present trend continues—and there is no reason to think that it will not—it is just possible that the term motivation may, in the not-too-distant future, have more historical than practical utility (p. 578).

The emphasis would seem to be toward examining specific situations, e.g., marriage, occupations, friends, neighbors, college, etc., and toward exploring biological, sociological and environmental, and perceptual determinants of specific motivational components within each situation. The motivational components, each which is complex in itself, can be broken down into three categories: instinctive and emotional (habit or reflex, drives, energies, anxieties, fears, and other such stimuli); purposive (positive and negative incentives or goals); and regulatory (weaknesses, counter-forces, perception of impending failure if his direction does not change, etc.).

It would seem, however, that the term "motivation" will continue to remain important for the social scientist because of the key role his subjects' concepts of it play in their behavior. Motivation designates a concept that is very important to the layman. A person's actions are often determined at least in

part by how he perceives that his actions will affect the motivations and resulting actions of those around him. In addition, achievement motivation (or need to achieve) definitely seems to be a quantifiable variable. Much research has been conducted and continues to be conducted on this variable ( $nAch$ ), and a number of instruments to measure it have been developed. As Shaw (1967) concluded, studies of  $nAch$  continue to move in the direction of examining more complex relationships with other personal and environmental characteristics; and there would appear to be other motivational factors involved in human achievement; but the term continues to merit promise and attention.

### Success Viewed as Development of Motivation to Succeed: Selected Annotations

**Breit** (1969) studied the arousal of achievement motivation in college students. A total of 47 men at the University of California, Los Angeles, were given a 10-minute "creative-writing" exercise in which two arousal conditions were produced by varying the essay instructions. To 25 of the students, instructions for an internal ascription for success (*I*) condition were given. They were told about two students taking an exam where Frank, who studied harder, did much better than John, and then asked to write a one-page essay on "how you really do control what happens to you." In an external ascription for success (*E*) condition, the remaining 22 subjects read a story about a student who claimed "one is not responsible for achievement outcomes" because he did well on an exam although he did not study.

Following the arousal induction, students in both groups were measured for achievement motivation and conformity. The achievement motivation measure gave an index of the slope of attractiveness of success (obtained from responses to a list of nine occupations) shown in previous studies to be steeper for high than for low achievement-oriented subjects. A *t*-test comparison between the slope indices of the two groups and another between the conformity indices of the two groups indicated that the *I* Condition group had more achievement motivation and less conformity than did the *E* Condition group. The procedure apparently did arouse achievement-related needs, and the results "strongly suggest that cognitions concerning the locus of success mediate achievement-related behavior [p. 541]."

**Bryan and Locke** (1967) used ten male and ten female paid college student volunteers from the University of Maryland to study the effectiveness of specific goal setting as a means of increasing motivation. Four criteria of motivation were used: boredom-interest, degree of task focus (concentration), intensity of task focus, and experienced effort. The task was simple addition with each problem consisting of three 2-digit numbers. The experiment was

introduced as a study of attitudes as a function of trial length. All subjects were told to do their best on each task. There were 12 task trials of steadily increasing length, and the subjects had 30 seconds between trials to fill out a separate rating scale for each criterion. On the basis of performance in relation to maximal ability and differences in attitude ratings toward the addition task, a low-motivation and a high-motivation group of six persons each were selected for two retests on the same task.

Two to three weeks after taking Test 1, both groups completed the same task trials again. This time the low-motivation group was given specific goals to reach while the high-motivation group was told to again do their best, and it was suggested they should do better this time because they had worked these same problems previously. Two to five weeks later, both groups returned for a second retest. Everything was the same except now the specific goal for each "low-motivation student" was 10% above his own best previous performance.

By the end of the second retest, the low-motivation group given specific instructions had "caught" the high-motivation group given instructions to do their best. They "caught" them both in terms of performance and in terms of favorable attitudes toward the task. The results suggest that specific goals can be used to motivate college students who bring a low degree of motivation to the task situation.

In a follow-up to the above experiment, Locke (1967) attempted to explore whether or not the positive findings of previous "knowledge of results experiments" could have resulted from different goal settings rather than from knowledge of results. A 2 x 2 fixed model design was carried out with the variables being knowledge of results versus no such knowledge and specific hard goals versus "do-best" goals. The sample consisted of 24 male and 12 female paid college student volunteers, and the task was simple addition of three 2-digit numbers presented on 3 x 5 cards from boxes holding 720 such cards.

The results of the second experiment indicated no difference between the knowledge and no knowledge of results group, while a significant goal effect was found in favor of subjects given hard goals. It was concluded that effects previously attributed to differential knowledge of results were actually due to different levels of motivation produced by the different goals.

Cortes and Gatti (1966), based on some of the research findings of McClelland and his associates, hypothesized that need for achievement (*nAch*) would be related to a person's physique. In particular, they hypothesized that mesomorphy (those who are strong, hard, athletic and muscular) would correlate positively with *nAch*. They tested out this hypothesis on a group of senior boys with a mean age of 17<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> years who were seniors at a private high

school in Boston. Also used was a sample of 100 delinquent boys with a mean age of 17½ years who had been convicted and sentenced by a court. Seventy of the delinquents were institutionalized and 30 were noninstitutionalized.

The subjects in both groups were somatotyped (a quantification of the primary physical components of the human constitution) using the objective, consistent, and convenient method devised by Parnell.<sup>1</sup> To measure *nAch*, each subject was administered a projective test, similar to Murray's *Thematic Apperception Test*, that McClelland had used in various countries for measuring *nAch* as well as other kinds of motivation. Each sample was then split in two according to *nAch* level and then chi-square tests were conducted with each subgroup further split, first on level of mesomorphy, then on level of ectomorphy, etc. Zero-order correlations were also computed between *nAch* and score on each somatotype. The 100 nondelinquents were administered a second *nAch* test, the *Decisions Test*; and the analytical procedures were reported for the nondelinquent group using the new *nAch* scores.

A significant and positive relationship was found between mesomorphy and *nAch* for both samples. Conversely, a significant negative relationship was found between ectomorphy and *nAch*. Endomorphy also had a positive correlation with *nAch* — .16, but it was not statistically significant at the .01 level. Although the results indicated that physique was a relevant variable in the area of *nAch*, no information is provided about which variable came first, physique or *nAch*, nor whether both variables were directly influenced by a third common factor.

Feather (1965) tested the hypothesis that subject expectations of success prior to task performance would be positively related to need for achievement (*nAch*) in a situation providing the opportunity for personal accomplishment. The sample consisted of 168 male external students attending a vacation school at the University of New England, Australia. The subjects first completed the *Test Anxiety Questionnaire*. One week later they were tested for *nAch* through use of the *Thematic Apperception Test* (TAT). The task consisted of an anagrams test with two different sets of instructions setting the experimental conditions. The task was presented as "moderately difficult" to 82 of the subjects and as "easy" to the other 86 subjects. Prior to commencing the task, all subjects in both groups rated their chances of solving all the anagrams on a 5-inch scale numbered from zero to 100 in equal steps of 20. In a postperformance questionnaire, the students were asked to estimate what they had considered their chances of success when the time was about half gone (middle expectation) and just before the time was up (terminal expectation).

<sup>1</sup>R. W. Parnell, *Behavior and physique: An introduction to practical applied somatometry*. London, E. Arnold, 1958.

Analysis of variance, *t* tests, and zero-order correlations were used to analyze the data. The results generally supported the hypothesis. In addition, it was found that initial expectation was negatively related to test anxiety. However, neither need for achievement nor test anxiety was significantly correlated with middle expectation or with terminal expectation. This suggests that once a person is involved in performance of a task, his present performance becomes the dominant influence in shaping his expectations of success.

An unexpected finding was a negative correlation between initial probability estimates and *nAch* scores for the easy condition. (A positive correlation was found for the moderately difficult condition.) Since the task was presented as easy, perhaps other motivations such as the need for social approval entered into the situation. Another hypothesis suggested was that subjects will tend to state a subjective probability of success that will maximize their resultant motivation.

---

Grande, Simons, and Pallone (1967) related perceptions of the college experience to the academic motivation of 280 entering college freshmen at a private Midwestern university. The *College Student Questionnaire* (CSQ) was utilized to obtain scores for each of the Clark and Trow categories of perception of the college experience: (a) academic, (b) vocational, (c) collegiate, and (d) nonconventional. The *Personal Values Inventory* (PVI) was then administered to obtain information on need for achievement, direction of aspirations, socio-economic status, influence of precollege peer groups, influence of the home, independence of planning, persistence, self-control, and high school record—all variables which impinge upon academic motivation.

A medians test was conducted to see if the "collegiates," "academics," and "vocationals" comprised the same population with respect to scores on the PVI. The group was split into two equally sized groups according to whether students were above the median on the CSQ Collegiate Scale, split a second time based on CSQ Academic Scale scores, and split a third time based on CSQ Vocational Scale scores. For each pair of subgroups the percentages above and below the mean on each PVI scale were determined and chi-square tests computed. Statistically significant differences were found for the following academic motivation variables: direction of aspirations, influence of precollege peer group, influence of the home, independence in planning, persistence, and self-control. In general, on those academic motivation variables where significant differences were obtained, the "collegiates" were lower while the "academics" were higher, while the "vocationals" tended to be at the grand population median value.

---

Hellbrun (1963) studied social value versus social behavior (SV-SB) consistency using a sample of 161 undergraduate student (82 males and 79 fe-

males) volunteers at the University of Iowa who were administered the *Need Scales*, the *Edwards Personal Preference Schedule*, and the *Adjective Check List*. He tested the hypothesis that the social demands of college and feminine sex-typed roles were somewhat incompatible, resulting in sex-role confusion among college females and among males with a more feminine identification. Suggesting this hypothesis were earlier studies which indicated that a substantial number of college women feel called upon to pretend inferiority to college men while, in fact, conceiving of themselves as equals and that men tend to deny women the "most basic masculine attributes of action, vigor, and achievement effectiveness [p. 484]."

Comparisons between males and females using *t* tests were made for the original sample and also for a replication sample of 60 males and 62 females from another large undergraduate class at the university. It was found that in general females had greater SV-SB inconsistency, but this was restricted to a class of behaviors relevant to achievement motivation only and not to a wider range of interpersonal roles. The same findings were obtained when more feminine males were compared with more masculine males. However, no differences in SV-SB consistency as a function of masculinity-femininity of identification were shown by females.

Hill (1966) searched for autobiographical correlates of achievement motivation in 352 freshman men and 337 freshman women who were entering the University of Texas. All of these students wrote an autobiography following a structured outline which insured that all of the following would be covered: relationships with both parents, childhood, adolescence, current circumstances, self-image, and work and study habits. To increase the probability of accurate reporting, randomly ordered code numbers were assigned, and the subjects were assured that the material was absolutely confidential and would be used only by the research staff.

An abridged form of the *California Psychological Inventory* (CPI), including the Achievement via Conformity (AC) scale and the Achievement via Independence (AI) scale, was administered to all of the subjects under the supervision of a male examiner. Previous research suggested that a male examiner may more successfully arouse intellectual achievement motivation in women students, and especially in entering freshmen in a moderately selective institution, than would a female examiner. For each of the CPI achievement scales and separately for males and females, groups were formed of those scoring in the bottom 27% and those scoring in the top 27%. Using chi-square analysis, the four groups were compared on the variables resulting from the content analysis of the autobiographies.

High-AC male and female students perceived their parental and sibling relationships as more positive than did the low-AC groups. The high-AI groups

reported a less favorable perception of their mothers than did the low-AI groups. High-AC females consistently reported themselves as happier, more successful, and better adjusted than did high-AC males. High-AI females were socially more successful, less self-critical, and better adjusted than were high-AI males. Apparently high-AC is quite rewarding for women but less rewarding for men. Concerning Achievement via Independence, it appeared that the motivation of high-AI men would have to be rewarded in ways other than those reported in their autobiographies for them to persist in this mode of behavior.

McClelland (1965b) coordinated an extensive research program which attempted to develop the achievement motive in adults. Drawing upon experience and results from this program plus the array of other research published in the area, he formulated a set of 12 propositions about motive acquisition. He then developed a table which listed seven input or independent variables plus five intervening variables conceived as entering into the motive change process and which outline his preliminary theory of motive acquisition.

McClelland's propositions are summarized as follows:

- (1) The more reasons one has in advance to believe that he can, will, or should develop a motive, and the more he perceives that developing a motive is consistent with the demands of reality (and reason), the more educational attempts which are designed to develop that motive are likely to succeed.
- (2) The more thoroughly one develops and clearly conceptualizes the associative network defining the motive, the more likely he is to develop the motive.
- (3) The more one can link the newly developed motive to related actions, the more that change in both thought and action is likely to occur.
- (4) The more one can link the newly developed motive to events in his everyday life, the greater is the probability that the motive will influence his thoughts and actions outside of the training experience.
- (5) The more one can perceive, and experience the newly conceptualized motive as improving his self-image and improving on prevailing cultural values, the more the motive is likely to influence his future thoughts and actions.
- (6) The more one commits himself to achieving *concrete* goals in life related to the newly formed motive, and the more he keeps a record of his progress toward achieving those goals, the more the motive is likely to influence his future thoughts and actions.

(7) Changes in motives are more likely to occur in a warm interpersonal atmosphere where the subject receives honest and open support and where he is respected by others as a person capable of guiding and directing his own future behavior.

(8) Changes in motives are more likely to occur and to persist if the new motive is a sign of membership in a new reference group.

---

Rosenfeld (1966) studied the relationships of ordinal birth position in the family to affiliation and achievement motives in five studies of high school and college students. Four of the studies assessed need for affiliation (*nAff*), need for achievement (*nAch*), and test anxiety under intentionally neutral conditions, while the fifth assessed only *nAff* under both neutral and aroused conditions. The samples for the studies were 85 male 12th-grade students in Dearborn, Michigan, and four student groups at the University of Kansas—87 students comprising an entire introductory psychology section, 162 freshman women in a residence hall, 97 freshman women from another residence hall, and 82 students in a social psychology class. Test anxiety was measured by the Mandler and Sarason *Test Anxiety Questionnaire*, while *nAff* and *nAch* were measured with the *Thematic Apperception Test* administered under standard group conditions.

Analysis of variance and *t* tests were used to analyze the group differences. None of the studies confirmed the hypothesis that first-borns are higher in *nAff* than are later-borns. However, some support was obtained for the hypothesis that first-borns with siblings are higher in *nAff* than are only children. Although first-borns tended to be lower than later-borns in test anxiety (which has been conceived of as an opposite to *nAch*), tests of the hypothesis that first-borns are higher in *nAch* than later-borns were generally negative. Complex interactions between sex, ordinal position, and stimulus items were discovered when exploring *nAch*. It was suggested that relationships found in previous studies between ordinal position and the measures of motivation may have resulted from typically uncontrolled testing conditions.

---

Kahl (1965) had called into question McClelland's (1961) contention that need for achievement (*nAch*) is vitally linked to occupational attainment. McClelland stated that the individual who has a "need to achieve" will tend to express it in the occupational realm. Kahl's reason for questioning McClelland's formulation was that much research had found only very small relationships between *nAch* and occupational achievement values, norms, and goals. He hypothesized that achievement on the level of psychological motivation was different from achievement on the cultural and social level of group norms which specify the goals toward which *nAch* is directed.

Scanzoni (1967) decided to study the hypothesis that achievement motivation as described by McClelland should be differentiated from occupational achievement goals as described by Kahl. A large, mostly white, metropolitan high school in a Northern city where students came from all different socio-economical levels provided the sample for the study. A 66-item questionnaire on socialization experiences and on occupational achievement values was administered to 504 juniors and seniors taking a required social studies course.

Zero-order correlations were computed between occupational achievement values and the kinds of child-rearing practices claimed by McClelland to lead to nAch. A complete lack of meaningful relationships was found for both sexes. The results therefore suggested that Kahl's hypothesis has a certain amount of validity:

### Success Viewed as Development of Motivation to Succeed: Published Literature

Abrams, S. The need system of college students. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1965, 66, 227-239.

Alker, H. A. Rationality and achievement: A comparison of the Atkinson-McClelland and Kogan-Wallach formulations. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 207-224.

Alper, T. G., & Greenberger, E. Relationship of picture structure to achievement motivation in college women. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 7, 362-371.

Alschuler, A. S. Teaching achievement motivation. In Aerospace Education Foundation, *Technology and innovation in education: Putting educational technology to work in American schools*. New York: Praeger, 1968.

Argyle, M., & Robinson, P. Two origins of achievement motivation. *British Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 1962, 1, 107-120.

Aronson, E., & Carlsmith, J. M. Performance expectancy as a determinant of actual performance. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1962, 65, 178-182.

Aschner, M. J., & Bish, C. E. *Productive thinking in education*. Washington: National Education Association, 1968.

Astin, A. W. Comment on "A student's dilemma: Big fish—little pond or little fish—big pond." *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 20-22.

Atkinson, J. W. The achievement motive and recall of interrupted and completed tasks. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 1953, **46**, 381-390.

Atkinson, J. W. Motivational determinants of risk-taking behavior. *Psychological Review*, 1957, **64**, 359-372.

Atkinson, J. W. (Ed.) *Motives in fantasy, action, and society: A method of assessment and study*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1958.

Atkinson, J. W. *An introduction to motivation*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1964.

Atkinson, J. W. The mainsprings of achievement-oriented activity. In J. D. Krumboltz (Ed.), *Learning and the educational process*. Chicago: Rand-McNally, 1965.

Atkinson, J. W., & Cartwright, D. Some neglected variables in contemporary conceptions of decision and performance. *Psychological Reports*, 1964, **14**, 575-590.

Atkinson, J. W., & Feather, N. T. (Eds.) *A theory of achievement motivation*. New York: Wiley, 1966.

Atkinson, J. W., & Litwin, G. H. Achievement motive and test anxiety conceived as motive to approach success and motive to avoid failure. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, **60**, 52-63.

Banks, O. *The sociology of education*. New York: Schocken, 1968.

Barry, R., & Wolf, B. *Motives, values, and realities: A framework for counseling*. New York: Columbia University Teachers College Press, 1965.

Beard, R. M. *Research into teaching methods in higher education: Mainly in British universities*. London: Society for Research into Higher Education, 1967.

Bell, D. R., & McManis, D. L. Parental behavior ratings by subjects classified as reward-seekers or punishment-avoiders. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, **19**, 519-524.

Bender, I. E., et al. *Motivation and visual factors: Individual studies of college students*. Hanover, N. H.: Dartmouth College Publications, 1962.

Berlyne, D. E. Conflict and arousal. *Scientific American*, 1966, **215**(2), 82-87.

Bindra, D. *Motivation: A systematic reinterpretation*. New York: Ronald Press, 1959.

- Bindra, D., & Stewart, J. (Eds.) *Motivation: Selected readings*. Baltimore: Penguin, 1966.
- Birch, D., & Veroff, J. *Motivation: A study of action*. Belmont, Calif.: Brooks/Cole, 1966.
- Birney, R. C., & Teevan, R. C. (Eds.) *Measuring human motivation: An enduring problem in psychology*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1962.
- Bolles, R. C. *Theory of motivation*. New York: Harper & Row, 1967.
- Bott, M. M. *Personality characteristics and educational-vocational motivation of three FM groups of university freshmen women*. College Park: University of Maryland, Maryland Counseling Center, 1964.
- Breit, S. Arousal of achievement motivation with causal attributions. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 539-542.
- Brown, J. S. *The motivation of behavior*. New York: McGraw Hill, 1961.
- Bryan, J. F., & Locke, E. A. Goal setting as a means of increasing motivation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1967, 51, 274-277.
- Butterfield, E. C. Locus of control, test anxiety, reactions to frustration and achievement attitudes. *Journal of Personality*, 1964, 32, 355-370.
- Buxton, C. E. Evaluations of three factors that might influence responses to tests of academic achievement motivation. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, 37, 241-247.
- Byrne, D., & Clore, G. L., Jr. Effectance arousal and attraction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology Monograph*, 1967, 6(4).
- Carney, R. E. The effect of situational variables on the measurement of achievement motivation. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1966, 26, 675-690.
- Carney, R. E. Sex chromatin, body masculinity, achievement motivation and smoking behavior. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, 20, 859-866.
- Carney, R. E., Mann, P. A., & McCormick, R. P. Validation of an objective measure of achievement motivation. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 19, 243-248.
- Carney, R. E., & McKeachie, W. Religion, sex, social class, probability of success, and student personality. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 1963, 3, 32-42.

- Caron, R. F., & Caron, A. J. Motivation as a determinant of retroactive inhibition. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 1965, 4, 17-24.
- Cattell, R. B., & Horn, J. An integrating study of the factor structure of adult attitude-interests. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1963, 67, 89-149.
- Cattell, R. B., & Warburton, F. W. *Objective personality & motivation tests: A theoretical introduction and practical compendium*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1967.
- Centi, P. Motivation to studies in college. *National Catholic Guidance Conference Journal*, 1969, 13(4), 77-82.
- Clark, E. L. Motivation of Jewish students. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1949, 29, 113-117.
- Clark, R. A., Teevan, R., & Ricciuti, H. N. Hope of success and fear of failure as aspects of need for achievement. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1956, 53, 182-186.
- Cofer, C. N., & Appley, M. H. *Motivation: Theory and research*. New York: Wiley, 1964.
- Cortés, J. B., & Gatti, F. M. Physique and motivation. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1966, 30, 408-414.
- Crockett, H., Jr. The achievement motive and differential occupational mobility in the United States. *American Sociological Review*, 1962, 27, 191-204.
- Crowne, D. P., et al. Some developmental antecedents of level of aspiration. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 73-92.
- Crowne, D. P., & Mariowé, D. *The approval motive: Studies in evaluative dependence*. New York: Wiley, 1964.
- Danesino, A., & Layman, W. A. Contrasting personality patterns of high and low achievers among college students of Italian and Irish descent. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, 72, 71-83.
- Dansereau, R. A. Motivating students. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1969, 17, 110-111.
- DeHaan, R. F., & Havighurst, R. J. *Educating gifted children*. (Rev. ed.) Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1961.
- Douvan, E. Social status and success strivings. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1956, 52, 219-223.

Douvan, E., & Kaye, G. Motivational factors in college entrance. In N. Sanford (Ed.), *The American college: A psychological and social interpretation of the higher learning*. New York: Wiley, 1962.

Epps, E. G. Negro academic motivation and performance: An overview. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1969, 25(3), 5-11.

Eysenck, H. J. (Ed.) *Experiments in motivation*. New York: Macmillan, 1964.

Farley, F. H. Introversion and achievement-motivation. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 19, 112.

Farley, F. H. Birth order, achievement-motivation and academic attainment. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, 37, 256.

Farquhar, W. W. An integrated research attack on academic motivation. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1962, 9, 84-86.

Feather, N. T. The relationship of persistence at a task to expectation of success and achievement-related motives. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, 63, 552-561.

Feather, N. T. The relationship of expectation of success to need achievement and test anxiety. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 1, 118-126.

Feld, S. C. Longitudinal study of the origins of achievement strivings. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 7, 408-414.

Feldman, S. (Ed.) *Cognitive consistency: Motivational antecedents and behavioral consequents*. New York: Academic Press, 1966.

Fisher, S. Achievement themes and directionality of autokinetic movement. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, 63, 64-68.

Forward, J. R. Group achievement motivation and individual motives to achieve success and to avoid failure. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 297-309.

Freedman, M. B. *The college experience*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1967.

French, E. G., & Lesser, G. S. Some characteristics of the achievement motive in women. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, 68, 119-128.

Fretz, B. R., & Schmidt, L. D. Psychology students' graphic expression of need for achievement. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1967, 25, 647-648.

- Glass, D. C., Canavan, D., & Schiavo, S. Achievement motivation, dissonance, and defensiveness. *Journal of Personality*, 1968, **36**, 474-492.
- Grande, P. P., Simons, J. D., & Pallone, N. J. The perception of the college experience and academic motivation. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1967, **61**, 65-67.
- Gurin, P., & Epps, E. Some characteristics of students from poverty backgrounds attending predominantly Negro colleges in the deep South. *Social Forces*, 1966, **45**, 27-40.
- Gurin, P., et al. Internal-external control in the motivational dynamics of Negro youth. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1969, **25**(3), 29-53.
- Gurin, P., & Katz, D. *Motivation and aspiration in the Negro college*. (United States Office of Education Project No. 5-0787) Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Survey Research Center, 1966.
- Haber, R. N. (Ed.) *Current research in motivation*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1966.
- Harper, R. J., et al. *The cognitive processes: Readings*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1964.
- Harris, E. E. Some comparisons among Negro-White college students: Social ambition and estimated social mobility. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1966, **35**, 351-368.
- Harvey, O. J. (Ed.) *Motivation and social interaction: Cognitive determinants*. New York: Ronald Press, 1963.
- Heckhausen, H. *The anatomy of achievement motivation*. Translated by K. F. Butler, R. C. Birney & D. C. McClelland. New York: Academic Press, 1967.
- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr. Sex-role identity and achievement motivation. *Psychological Reports*, 1963, **12**, 483-490.
- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr., Harrell, S. N., & Gilliard, B. J. Perceived maternal child-rearing patterns and the effects of social nonreaction upon achievement motivation. *Child Development*, 1967, **38**, 267-281.
- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr., & Orr, H. K. Perceived maternal child-rearing history and subsequent motivational effects of failure. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 1966, **109**, 75-89.
- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr., & Waters, D. B. Underachievement as related to perceived maternal child rearing and academic conditions of reinforcement. *Child Development*, 1968, **39**, 913-921.

- Heist, P. Motivation of college women today: A closer look. *Journal of the American Association of University Women*, 1962, **56**, 17-19.
- Hill, A. H. Autobiographical correlates of achievement motivation in men and women. *Psychological Reports*, 1966; **18**, 811-817.
- Hokanson, J. E. *The physiological bases of motivation*. New York: Wiley, 1969.
- Holt, R. R. (Ed.) *Motives and thought: Psychoanalytic essays in honor of David Rapaport*. New York: International Universities Press, 1967.
- Hunt, D. E., & Hardt, R. H. The effect of upward bound programs on the attitudes, motivation, and academic achievement of Negro students. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1969, **25**(3), 117-129.
- Kahl, J. A. Some measurements of achievement orientation. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1965, **70**, 669-681.
- Kasl, S. V., Brooks, G. W., & Cobb, S. Serum urate concentrations in male high-school students: A predictor of college attendance. *American Medical Association Journal*, 1966, **198**, 713-716.
- Katz, F. M. The meaning of success: Some differences in value systems of social classes. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1964, **62**, 141-148.
- Kausler, D. H. Aspirations level as a determinant of performance. *Journal of Personality*, 1958, **27**, 346-351.
- Kersh, B. Y. The motivating effect of learning by directed discovery. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1962, **53**, 65-71.
- Klinger, E. Fantasy need achievement as a motivational construct. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1966, **66**, 291-308.
- Klinger, E. Modeling effects on achievement imagery. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, **7**, 49-62.
- Klinger, E., & McNelly, F. W., Jr. Fantasy need achievement and performance: A role analysis. *Psychological Review*, 1969, **76**, 574-591.
- Knapp, R. H., & Garbutt, J. T. Time imagery and the achievement motive. *Journal of Personality*, 1958, **26**, 426-434.
- Knapp, R. H., & Green, H. B. Personality correlates of success imagery. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1964, **62**, 93-99.

- Kolb, D. A. Achievement motivation training for under-achieving high-school boys. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 2, 783-792. Reprinted in R. E. Grinder (Ed.), *Studies in adolescence: A book of readings in adolescent development*. (2nd ed.) London: Collier & Macmillan, 1969.
- Lang, M. Student apathy. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1967, 15, 33-37.
- Lefcourt, H. M. Internal versus external control of reinforcement: A review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1966, 65, 206-220.
- Lesser, G. S., Kravitz, R. N., & Packard, R. Experimental arousal of achievement motivation in adolescent girls. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, 66, 59-66.
- Lieblich, A. The effects of stress and the motivation to succeed on test risk. *Journal of Personality*, 1968, 36, 608-615.
- Lindeman, R. H., Gordon, R. E., & Gordon, K. K. Further relationships between blood chemical values and college student performance and attitudes. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1969, 18, 156-161.
- Lindzey, G. (Ed.) *Assessment of human motives*. New York: Rinehart, 1958.
- Littig, L. W. Negro personality correlates of aspiration to traditionally open and closed occupations. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1968, 37, 31-36.
- Locke, E. A. Motivational effects of knowledge of results: Knowledge or goal-setting? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1967, 51, 324-329.
- Madsen, K. B. *Theories of motivation: A comparative study of modern theories of motivation*. (2nd ed.) Cleveland: Howard Allen, 1964.
- Marston, A. R. Imitation, self-reinforcement, and reinforcement of another person. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 2, 255-261.
- Martire, J. G. Relationships between the self concept and differences in the strength and generality of achievement's motivation. *Journal of Personality*, 1956, 24, 364-375.
- Marx, M. H., & Tombaugh, T. N. *Motivation: Psychological principles and educational implications*. San Francisco: Chandler, 1967.
- Maslow, A. H. *Toward a psychology of being*. (2nd ed.) Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1968.

Mayo, G. D., & Manning, W. H. Motivation measurement. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1961, 21, 73-83.

McClelland, D. C. (Ed.) *Studies in motivation*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1955.

McClelland, D. C. *The achieving society*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1961.

McClelland, D. C. Achievement and entrepreneurship: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 1, 389-392. (a)

McClelland, D. C. Toward a theory of motive acquisition. *American Psychologist*, 1965, 20, 321-333. (b).

McClelland, D. C. Longitudinal trends in the relation of thought to action. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1966, 30, 479-483.

McClelland, D. C., et al. *The achievement motive*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1953.

McDill, E. L., & Coleman, J. High school social status, college plans, and interest in academic achievement: A panel analysis. *American Sociological Review*, 1963, 28, 905-918.

Mehrabian, A. Male and female scales of the tendency to achieve. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, 28, 493-502.

Mehrabian, A. Measures of achieving tendency. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1969, 29, 445-451.

Moss, H. A., & Kogan, J. Stability of achievement and recognition seeking behaviors from early childhood through adulthood. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, 62, 504-513. Reprinted in R. E. Grinder (Ed.), *Studies in adolescence: A book of readings in adolescent development*. (2nd ed.) London: Collier & Macmillan, 1969.

Moulton, R. W. Effects of success and failure on level of aspiration as related to achievement motives. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 1, 399-406.

Mukherjee, B. N. Achievement motivation and goal-setting behavior in the classroom. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1965, 35, 286-293.

Mukherjee, B. N. Some characteristics of the achievement-oriented person: Implications for the teacher-learning process. *Educational Sciences*, 1969, 3, 209-216.

Munz, D. C., Smouse, A. D., & Letchworth, G. Achievement motivation and ordinal position of birth. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, **23**, 175-180.

Murray, E. J. *Motivation and emotion*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1964.

*Nebraska Symposium on Motivation. Papers Vol. 1-*, 1953-, Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.

Neisworth, J. T., Deno, S. L., & Jenkins, J. R. *Student motivation and classroom management: A behavioristic approach*. Newark, Del.: Behavior Technics, 1969.

Nuttal, R. L. Some correlates of high need for achievement among urban northern Negroes. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, **68**, 593-600.

Pierce, J. V., & Bowman, P. H. Motivation patterns of superior high school students. In M. Kornrich (Ed.), *Underachievement*. Springfield, Ill.: Thomas, 1965.

Raynor, J. O. Future orientation and motivation of immediate activity: An elaboration of the theory of achievement motivation. *Psychological Review*, 1969, **76**, 606-610.

Raynor, J. O., & Smith, C. P. Achievement-related motives and risk taking in games of skill and chance. *Journal of Personality*, 1966, **34**, 176-198.

Reitman, W. R. Motivational induction and the behavior correlates of the achievement and affiliation motives. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, **60**, 8-13.

Rethlingshafer, D. *Motivation as related to personality*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1963.

Rosen, B. C. The achievement syndrome: A psychocultural dimension of social stratification. *American Sociological Review*, 1956, **21**, 203-211.

Rosen, B. C. Race, ethnicity, and the achievement syndrome. *American Sociological Review*, 1959, **24**, 47-60.

Rosen, B. C. Family structure and achievement motivation. *American Sociological Review*, 1961, **26**, 574-585.

Rosen, B. C. Race, ethnicity, and the achievement syndrome. In M. Kornrich (Ed.), *Underachievement*. Springfield, Ill.: Thomas, 1965.

Rosen, B. C., & D'Andrade, R. The psychosocial origins of achievement motivation. *Sociometry*, 1959, 22, 185-218.

Rosenfeld, H. M. Relationships of ordinal position to affiliation and achievement motives: Direction and generality. *Journal of Personality*, 1966, 34, 467-480.

Rotter, J. B. Generalized expectancies for internal versus external control of reinforcement. *Psychological Monographs*, 1966, 80(1, Whole No. 609).

Rotter, J. B., & Mulry, R. C. Internal versus external control of reinforcement and decision time. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 2, 598-604.

Sampson, E. E. Birth order, need achievement, and conformity. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1962, 64, 155-159.

Sanford, N. *Where colleges fail: A study of the student as a person*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1967.

Scanzoni, J. Socialization, *n* achievement, and achievement values. *American Sociological Review*, 1967, 32, 449-456.

Sciortino, R. Factorial analysis of motivational self-ratings from a combined sample of male and female subjects. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, 21, 573-576. (a)

Sciortino, R. Factorial study of motivational self-ratings by female subjects. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, 21, 565-570. (b)

Shaban, J., & Jecker, J. Risk preference in choosing an evaluator: An extension of Atkinson's Achievement-Motivation Model. *Journal of Experimental and Social Psychology*, 1968, 4, 35-45.

Shaffer, L. F., & Shoben, E. J., Jr. *The psychology of adjustment: A dynamic and experimental approach to personality and mental hygiene*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1956.

Shaw, M. C. Motivation in human learning. *Review of Educational Research*, 1967, 37, 563-582.

Skolnick, A. Motivational imagery and behavior over twenty years. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1966, 30, 463-478.

Sontag, L. W., & Kagan, J. The emergence of intellectual achievement motives. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1963, 33, 532-535.

Spence, K. W., & Spence, J. T. (Eds.) *The psychology of learning and motivation: Advances in research and theory*. 2 vols. New York: Academic Press, 1967-68.

Sprague, H. T. (Ed.) *Research on college students: Institute lectures considering recent research on college students' motivation, values and attitudes, and campus cultures*. Boulder: Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education, 1960.

Stacey, C. L., & DeMartino, M. F. (Eds.) *Understanding human motivation*. (Rev. ed.) Cleveland: Allen, 1963.

Strodbeck, F. L. Family interaction, values, and achievement. In D. C. McClelland, et al. *Talent and society: New perspectives in the identification of talent*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1958.

Swift, D. F. Social class and achievement motivation. *Educational Research*, 1966, 8, 83-95.

Teevan, R. C., & Birney, R. C. (Ed.) *Theories of motivation in learning: An enduring problem in psychology*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1964.

Teevan, R. C., & Smith, B. D. *Motivation*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1967.

Turner, R. H. Some family determinants of ambition. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1962, 46, 397-411.

Turner, R. H. *The social context of ambition: A study of high-school seniors in Los Angeles*. San Francisco: Chandler, 1964.

Veldman, D. J., & Bown, O. H. Personality and performance characteristics associated with cigarette smoking among college freshmen. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1969, 33, 109-119.

Verdier, P. A. *Bio-psychology: A biological approach to human motivation*. New York: Exposition Press, 1963.

Verinis, J. S., Brandsma, J. M., & Cofer, C. N. Discrepancy from expectation in relation to affect and motivation: Tests of McClelland's hypothesis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 9, 47-58.

Vernon, M. D. *Human motivation*. London: Cambridge University Press, 1969.

Veroff, J. Development and validation of a projective measure of power-motivation. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1957, 54, 1-8.

Veroff, J. Theoretical background for studying the origins of human motivation dispositions. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly of Behavior and Development*, 1965, 11, 3-18.

Veroff, J., et al. The use of the thematic apperception test to assess motivation in a nationwide interview study. *Psychological Monographs*, 1960, 74(12, Whole No. 499).

Veroff, J., Feld, S., & Gurin, G. Achievement motivation and religious background. *American Sociological Review*, 1962, 27, 205-217.

Veroff, J., & Peele, S. Initial effects of desegregation on the achievement motivation of Negro elementary school children. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1969, 25(3), 71-91.

Veroff, J., Wilcox, S., & Atkinson, J. W. The achievement motive in high school and college age women. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1953, 48, 108-119.

Walters, A. Symposium: Attitudes and values, and motivational systems of the American college student. *Catholic Psychological Records*, 1966, 4, 20-33. (*Psychological Abstracts*, 1966, 40, 10443)

Weiner, B. The effects of unsatisfied achievement motivation on persistence and subsequent performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 33, 428-442. (a)

Weiner, B. Need achievement and resumption of incompleting tasks. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 1, 165-168. (b)

Weiner, B. Achievement motivation and task recall in competitive situations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 3, 693-696. (a)

Weiner, B. Role of success and failure in the learning of easy and complex tasks. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 3, 339-344. (b)

Weiner, B. Implications of the current theory of achievement motivation for research and performance in the classroom. *Psychology in the Schools*, 1967, 4, 164-171.

Weiner, B., & Johnson, P. B., & Mehrabian, A. Achievement motivation and the recall of incompleting and completed exam questions. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1968, 59, 181-185.

Weinstein, M. S. Achievement motivation and risk performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1969, 13, 153-172.

- Werts, C. E., & Watley, D. J. A student's dilemma: Big fish—little pond or little fish—big pond. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 14-19.
- White, R. W. Motivation reconsidered: A concept of competence. *Psychological Review*, 1959, 66, 297-333.
- Winter, S. K., Griffith, J. C., & Kolb, D. A. Capacity for self-direction. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1968, 32, 35-41.
- Zander, A., & Forward, J. Position in group, achievement motivation and group aspirations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 8, 282-288.
- Zander, A., & Medow, H. Strength of group and desire for attainable group aspirations. *Journal of Personality*, 1965, 33, 122-139.
- Zimbardo, P. G. *The cognitive control of motivation: The consequences of choice and dissonance*. Glenview, Ill.: Scott, Foresman, 1969.

### Vocational Development

A person's vocation or his career is presumed to involve more than just making a living. It is generally acknowledged that colleges should help students decide on and grow into an occupation that will provide them with a livelihood, but more than that a career which will be fulfilling and rewarding to them and which will provide maximum benefit to society.

The major reason most students are going to college, and this is especially true of males, is to prepare themselves for a vocation. This is even true of private liberal arts colleges. The parents, the politicians, and the lay public also tend to see vocational preparation as the colleges' top priority. However, except for vocational-technical schools and many community colleges, most higher education institutions probably hold other goals to be just as important. This point was illustrated by Borow<sup>1</sup> as follows:

The N.C.R.C. Webster Grove study confirms, at least for boys, what survey after survey dating from the late 1920s has shown and what many in college humanities departments and those who draft the high-minded sections of college bulletins on philosophy and aims promptly forget. I am referring to the fact that entering students, especially males, consistently place a high premium on the vocational objectives of college training. Typically, they say that preparation for vocational life was a prime mo-

<sup>1</sup>Borow, H. Student decision making: When and how. In K. J. McCaffrey and E. King (Eds.) *College/career choice: Right student, right time, right place*. ACT Monograph No. 9 (Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1973) pp. 33-43.

tivator in their decision to enter college, and that, further, they look to college as a means of identifying a suitable field of work, of obtaining appropriate training in that field, and of preparing themselves to obtain a better job in what they see as a competitive and highly differentiated market. Not surprisingly, then, they want an attractive and congenial job, although they may not yet be ready to name it specifically, and they see the college degree as giving them a trump card to play. Almost 90% of the Webster Grove boys and girls looked to college as a way of discovering an interesting field of work, although a substantially higher percentage of the boys (85% vs. 63%) thought of an attractive position as "a job with a really good salary [pp. 38-39]."

The importance students place on the vocational preparation aspects of a college education is demonstrated by the growing discontent with college experienced by students during the early 70s as many graduates from college could not find jobs in their chosen field.

The dawn of the age of automation and the space age and the rapid strides in technology during the last two decades are continuing to increase educational requirements for a large part of the world of work. In addition, workers displaced from their jobs by these technological changes are having to be retrained. The colleges and universities of our country are called on by society to help meet these needs.

#### Success Viewed as Vocational Development: Selected Annotations

Astin (1965) attempted to identify some of the effects of different college environments on the career choices of exceptionally able students. The sample for the study consisted of 3,538 male undergraduates at 73 colleges who had been honored as finalists or who had received a letter of commendation from the 1957 Merit Scholarship program. In order to roughly equate the contribution of each institution in the analysis, a subsample of 1,010 students was selected in such a way that no single institution contributed more than 25 subjects to become the "college effects sample." The remaining 2,528 students were used to develop adjustment indices to control the college effects sample for initial choice of major field and initial choice of a career. Then step-wise multiple-regression analyses were conducted to adjust four different college graduation career-choice criteria for 19 other student input variables. For each criterion, input variables were permitted to enter the equation until no additional input variable was capable of producing a reduction in the residual sum of squares ( $p < .05$ ). The four criteria of college choice gathered, using a questionnaire at the time of graduation, were (a) realistic choice, (b) intellectual choice, (c) social choice, and (d) enterprising choice.

The effects of the college environment on each of the four types of career choice were determined by permitting the college characteristics to enter the regression equation after the input variables had been controlled. The 11 college environment measures consisted of the eight scales of the *Environ-*

*mental Assessment Technique* (student body size, ability level, and the percentage of students majoring in each of Holland's six general fields of study) plus institutional affluence, masculinity, and homogeneity as had been previously identified in a factor analysis of 33 college variables. Although the significant correlations were quite low, they supported the idea that a student's career choice is affected in a way that causes it to approach the model career in his undergraduate college environment. In other words, "a student's chance of eventually pursuing a particular type of career appears to be increased somewhat if he attends a college in which a relatively high proportion of the other students are planning careers of that type [pp. 33-34]."

Baird (1969) examined differences between college freshmen who had decided on a vocation and those who had not decided on a vocation to see if lack of such a choice appeared to result from student immaturity. Two separate studies were used to explore this question.

The sample for the first study consisted of 6,289 male and 6,143 female freshmen at 31 colleges throughout the country. The *American College Survey*, *Vocational Preference Inventory*, *Extracurricular Achievement Record*, *Preconscious Activity Scale*, and *Interpersonal Competency Scale* were the instruments used for the study; and they were administered near the end of the freshman year. Rather than use statistical tests to examine mean differences, such differences were merely observed to see if they were as large as one-quarter or one-third of the overall standard deviation. A total of 451 males and 295 females were undecided on a vocational choice at the end of the freshman year. The only real difference seemed to be that the undecided students were not nearly as vocationally oriented.

The second study involved a sample of 59,618 college-bound students who took the *American College Test* (ACT) in 1965-66 and who had indicated that they planned to obtain a bachelor's degree or higher. A total of 13,695 of these students were undecided on a vocation. Data for the study were ACT scores, high school grade point average, and "most important goal in attending college out of ten listed." No differences between the decided and the undecided college bound students on academic aptitude and high school grades were found. Once again, undecided students tended to be less vocationally oriented, and they were oriented more toward the intellectual than were the decided students.

It was concluded that there is no evidence to support the notion that most undecided students are maladjusted or abnormal. A further conclusion was that the freshman whose only problem is a lack of a vocational decision can probably be helped to reach a decision, but that he should be told that being undecided about a career not only is common but also may even be benefi-

cial. He needs to realize that vocational indecision does not make him different from other students.

Folsom (1969) examined *College Student Questionnaire* (CSQ) scale differences among Holland's six personality types which are the foundation of Holland's theory of vocational choice. The sample for the study consisted of 554 males and 449 females at the University of Maine who responded to the CSQ prior to enrolling as freshmen in 1966. The students were categorized into the six personality-type categories according to their intended major, using a classification scheme that had been developed having three judges classify the 69 college majors listed on the CSQ into the six categories. The Bartlett Test was then used to test for homogeneity of variance among the six groups on each CSQ scale. After the Bartlett Test results were found to be nonsignificant ( $p > .05$ ), the group means were compared for each scale using analysis-of-variance procedures. For those scales having a significant  $F$  value ( $p < .05$ ), Duncan's multiple-range test was employed to determine which group pairs were accounting for the significant  $F$  value.

The CSQ scales provided the basis for a comparison between Holland's descriptions of the six personality types and the way students in each group described themselves, providing concurrent validity information relevant to Holland's position. With the exception of the enterprising type, Holland's descriptions of the personality types were generally consistent with the ways in which the students described themselves using the CSQ.

An important goal of the predominantly Negro college is to enhance its students' capacity to choose an occupation rather than have the occupation be forced on them. For the college to perform this role, it is necessary to understand how social and family background, motivational characteristics, and certain educational experiences encourage or constrain consideration of alternatives and recognition of choice. Therefore, Gurin (1966) studied the effects of social class and family on the choices of students at ten predominantly Negro colleges in the South. Random samples of 50 males and 50 females from each class level at each college were administered various questionnaires in the fall of the year which gathered data on family background, high school experiences, educational and occupational goals, motivational characteristics, political and social attitudes, and college experiences. For purposes of a later study to explore the effects of the different colleges on freshman occupational aspirations, some of the questionnaires were readministered to all freshmen in the sample at the end of the year; but the focus in this study was on initial response.

Occupational choices were evaluated on several different dimensions: prestige, ability demands, personal desirability, and nontraditionality. For each of

these dimensions, occupational choice was related to the various independent variables using analysis of variance and chi-square analysis. Interestingly, social class effects on aspiration were conditioned by how long the student had been in college. When year in college was controlled, almost no social class differences were found for other than freshmen; and this was true for both men and women. These data suggest that college had the effect of minimizing the effect of social class differences on occupational aspiration, so that by the senior year it was almost impossible to differentiate any of the dimensions of aspiration according to the class backgrounds of the student. The major effect that did hold for seniors was the negative effect that strong parental influence had on how nontraditional the male's occupational choices were.

Social class did have major direct and indirect (through class-tied parental influence patterns) on the occupational choices of freshmen. High social status parents, as well as those who had the greatest influence on their children, seemed to encourage certain kinds of choices but to discourage others. For men students, for example, high-status parents facilitated occupational choices that were prestigious and highly demanding of ability, but discouraged the choice of highly nontraditional occupations. For women students, high status parents facilitated the choice of conventional occupations and roles socially appropriate for females, but discouraged the choice of demanding and difficult occupations.

Hellbrun (1969) related the degree of positive and negative vocational patterning on the *Strong Vocational Interest Blank* (SVIB) for late adolescent college students to the following: (a) type of parental identification, (b) masculinity-femininity of parental identification model, and (c) sex of offspring. The sample was made up of undergraduate students (47 males and 33 females) at Emory University. In addition to the SVIB, the *Adjective Check List* was also used.

An analysis of variance yielded results consistent with prior studies. Identification with father tended to be associated with a higher incidence of positive career interests in the son. A denial of interests in specific careers was mediated by identifying with either parent, given the appropriate sex typing of that parent.

Hind and Wirth (1969) explored the effect of university experiences on the occupational choices of undergraduate students. They hypothesized that undergraduates in *selective* universities would tend to change their occupational aspirations away from primarily academic toward less academically demanding occupations no matter what their level of academic performance

in college. Male students who enrolled as freshmen in September of 1961 and progressed continually toward a bachelor's degree were asked to report their occupational aspirations at four different times: (a) in their application for admissions, (b) at the time of enrollment, (c) six months after graduation, and (d) almost two years after graduation. Data were also obtained concerning academic major and cumulative grade point average for each student in the sample for each quarter of enrollment.

The original hypothesis was not supported by the data. The data suggested that these high-ability students were turned off by academic careers and traditional professions primarily if they received low average grades. Students receiving high college grades tended to remain in the field of their initial choice. An explanation for this result is that students as they progress through the university are in competition with equally bright students for a limited number of high grades. Since students tend to measure their ability with reference only to their classmates rather than to their age group as a whole, those receiving low grades would be expected to evaluate their academic ability negatively. Thus, they would be predicted to change to fields for which the highest grades are not a prerequisite, while those who are successful would tend to remain in their chosen field.

The results suggest that four years of highly competitive undergraduate education seem to drive many students away from occupations for which they are apparently qualified. If current practices on a campus are in fact driving capable students away from fields which they intended to pursue or in which they could make significant contributions to society, it may be that the university's policies, particularly grading policies, should be called into question. Whether the university's policies should be changed depends on, among other things, just how good the students are that are being lost from intellectually demanding fields and whether they are in fact capable of reaching their initially stated goals.

---

Holland and associates (1969) developed a revision of an earlier classification scheme (Holland, 1966) which organized occupations according to degree of psychological "relatedness" following Holland's theory of personality. Because of its theoretical simplicity and empirical base, the classification system was claimed to have much potential value for the vocational guidance of college students and for research. For example, a student could be directed to specific occupations that are similar to his initial vocational choice in psychological terms. Furthermore, the system provides an easy and reliable way for a counselor to tell how similar or dissimilar are a student's first and second vocational choices. The more similar are a student's first and second vocational choices, the more likely is the student to retain his first choice (Holland and Lutz, 1967).

One of the studies useful in the development of the revised classification system (Holland and Whitney, 1968) found the classification system to be unusually predictive of vocational aspirations over an 8- to 12-month period. Using the classification system, Holland and Whitney also found that changes in the occupational aspirations of college students usually follow orderly or lawful patterns. A total of 79% of the men and 93% of the women in freshman and sophomore samples (several thousand students) indicated successive vocational choices that were described as being definitely related, or lawful, to their initial choices.

To obtain the occupational classifications, the *Vocational Preference Inventory* (VPI) was administered to a large sample of subjects (23,078 4-year college students, and 20,313 2-year college students, plus some employed adults in specific occupations). A profile of VPI scale means was calculated for subjects classified in each occupational category (planning to enter that occupation or already in that occupation). Four-letter codes with each letter representing a VPI scale were then formed for each scale. The letters for an occupational code represent the four scales having the largest means for people in that occupational classification, and the four letters were ordered according to scale amplitude.

After intercorrelations among the six scales were calculated, it was noticed that vector lines representing the correlation value between each pair of scales could be arranged into a hexagon. The hexagon model provides an easy way for people (e.g., counselors) to remember which of the six broad occupational categories are most similar and which are the most dissimilar to any of the categories.

---

Kipnis, Lane, and Berger (1969) hypothesized that college students classified by test scores as impulsive would not be attracted to courses involving mathematics and physical sciences. This hypothesis was tested in two different studies. The sample included the freshman class at the University of Delaware, totaling 628 students.

Scores on a specially prepared 41-item biographical inventory and *Scholastic Aptitude Test* (SAT) scores were used for the first study which focused on choice of curricular major. The students were split into two ability groups using the SAT median as the dividing point; and each ability group was split into those enrolled in the College of Arts and Science, those enrolled in the College of Engineering, and those enrolled in the other colleges of the university. Group comparisons were then made using analysis of variance. Among the more intellectually able students, high-impulsive students less frequently enrolled in the College of Engineering; and those who did enroll in that college tended to do less well and to drop out more often during their first two years of college.

In the second study upperclassmen majoring in mathematics and physical science and those majoring in business were compared (using analysis of variance and correlations) on impulsiveness and rated on satisfaction with their major. The science and mathematics majors had lower impulsiveness scores than did the business majors, and high-impulsive science majors were less satisfied with choice of major. A zero-order correlation of  $-.67$  was found between impulsiveness and satisfaction with major for those enrolled in science and mathematics. It was also found that this relationship was moderated by intellectual ability. When the group of science and mathematics majors was limited to students with SAT Total scores of 1,000 or more, the correlation rose to  $-.88$ .

---

Osipow and Gold (1967) explored factors that might contribute to the development of inconsistent career preferences and tested the hypothesis that inconsistencies in occupational preferences reflect general personal and familial discord. Only by understanding why such inconsistencies occur can effective college aid in resolving such inconsistencies be provided.

From the entire population of entering male freshmen at Pennsylvania State University in 1966, two samples of 101 each were selected using random methods. The one group consisted of students whose first and second occupational choices were two to four categories apart in Roe's occupational classification system, while the other group had first and second choices that were zero to one category apart. From college admission data which were available, the following variables were utilized in the study: (a) degree of agreement between first occupational choice and curricular major chosen for enrollment, (b) student's expressed certainty about his career plans, (c) parental support of and satisfaction with student's educational and vocational preferences, (d) health and physical disabilities and general attitude toward health and physique, (e) *Scholastic Aptitude Test* Verbal and Mathematics discrepancy scores, (f) *Strong Vocational Interest Blank* pattern similarities to first and second occupational choices, and (g) stability, self-sufficiency and dominance scales of the *Bernreuter Personality Inventory*.

Comparisons between the two groups were made using *t* tests. The groups were found to be somewhat different in general academic ability, and the degree to which the interest inventory patterns supported the student's occupational choices. No significant differences on the family variables were noted. It was concluded that inconsistency of career preferences exhibited by incoming freshmen may in most cases merely be the result of students' recognition of their limited abilities.

---

Walsh and Lacey (1969) attempted to determine if college students assigned Holland's personality types would perceive themselves as changing in the di-

rection consistent with the profile for their type. The sample included 151 male college seniors at Ohio State University who were broken down into six groups (using curricular major) representing each of Holland's personal orientations. Six scales, one for each of Holland's personality areas, were constructed and used to collect data from all students in the sample; and these scales attempted to measure the impact of the college experience as perceived by the students.

The null hypothesis was that there would be no difference between mean scores for the six groups on a given scale. The hypothesis was tested using analysis of variance on the scale scores. Significant main effects were found for the Realistic, Intellectual, and Artistic scales.

The results suggested that perceived change in college for those three groups was toward being more like the profile for the type to which they had been assigned.

---

For 8,483 male sophomores at 248 heterogeneous colleges, **Werts** (1967) studied changes in career plans during the freshman year for those who upon enrolling were planning careers as engineers, teachers, physicians, businessmen, lawyers, chemists, accountants, and physicists. Those who changed career plans during the freshman year were compared with those not changing career plans on father's education, father's occupation, and ability level (high school GPA, college freshman GPA, and *National Merit Scholarship Qualifying Test* scores), using chi-square analysis. Results of the study indicated that in terms of ability and social class background, students who were unlike the majority of other students with the same initial career choice tended to change their career choices to fields where they would be more like other students. In addition, students choosing the same occupations as their fathers were less likely to change their career plans than were others making those initial career plans. The results seemed to support the "birds of a feather" theory which stresses changes that lead to greater homogeneity within each career group.

**Werts and Watley** (1968) later raised questions about the conclusions of the earlier study. They wondered if it were *not* possible for a student whose characteristics were consistent with those typically found in the career field he initially selected to become "incompatible" later because his characteristics changed. Conversely, they wondered if a student who was "deviant" in relation to his initial occupational choice could become "nondeviant" during his college years as a result of socialization experiences that made him more like the others in his field. Therefore, the data for the earlier study were reanalyzed to test out whether these additional hypotheses would be tenable.

If the "birds of a feather" model applied, each career-field group should have become more homogeneous on the socioeconomic and ability measures, and the differences between the groups should have increased during the freshman year in college. Analysis of variance across 48 career-field categories for about 16,000 males revealed decreasing  $F$  ratios for aptitude scores and high school grades (inconsistent with the theory) and increasing  $F$  ratios for college grades and father's education (consistent with the theory). Identical analyses across fields were conducted for about 14,000 females. Results for females fit the model even less because for those there was also a declining  $F$  ratio for father's education.

One possible implication of the results is that students' perceptions of their academic ability were modified in direct relationship to their academic performance and that these changed perceptions resulted in "appropriate" career-field changes. It was concluded that the "birds of a feather" model should probably be replaced by a model—yet to be devised—that considers how changes in personal characteristics, such as self-perception of academic ability, affect career choice.

#### Success Viewed as Vocational Development: Published Literature

Adamek, R. J., & Goudy, W. J. Identification, sex, and change in college major. *Sociology of Education*, 1966, 39, 183-199.

Angrist, S. S. Role conception as a predictor of adult female roles. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1966, 50, 448-459.

Arffa, M. S. The influence of volunteer experience on career choice. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1966, 14, 287-289.

Armatas, J. P., & Collister, E. G. Personality correlates of SVIB patterns. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1962, 9, 149-154.

Asby, J. D., Wall, H. W., & Osipow, S. H. Vocational certainty and indecision in college freshmen. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1966, 44, 1037-1041.

Astin, A. W. Undergraduate institutions and the production of scientists. *Science*, 1963, 141, 334-338.

Astin, A. W. Effect of different college environments on the career choices of high aptitude students. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1965, 12, 28-34.

Astin, A. W., & Nichols, R. C. Life goals and vocational choice. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1964, 48, 50-58.

Astin, A. W., & Panos, R. J. *The educational and vocational development of college students*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1969.

Astin, H. S. Career development during the high school years. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 94-98.

Back, K. W., Coker, R. E., Jr., & Donnelly, T.-G. Public health as a career in medicine: Secondary choice within a profession. *American Sociological Review*, 1958, 23, 533-541.

Baird, L. The undecided student—How different is he? *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1969, 47, 429-433.

Baird, L. L. The Indecision Scale: A reinterpretation. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 174-179.

Bayer, A. E., & Boruch, R. F. *The black student in American colleges*. ACE Research Report Vol. 4, No. 2. Washington: American Council on Education, 1969.

Beall, L. Vocational choice: The impossible fantasy and the improbable choice. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 86-92.

Becker, B., & Centi, P. Making college freshmen career conscious. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1958, 7, 21-22.

Becker, H. S., & Carper, J. W. The development of identification with an occupation. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1956, 61, 289-298.

Becker, H. S., & Carper, J. The elements of identification with an occupation. *American Sociological Review*, 1956, 21, 341-348.

Beilin, H. The application of general developmental principles to the vocational area. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1955, 2, 53-57.

Bennett, W. S., Jr., & Gist, N. D. Aspirations of Negro and White students. *Social Forces*, 1963, 42, 40-48.

Berger, A. S. Some factors influencing expectation of academic employment. *School Review*, 1965, 73, 129-143.

Berger, E. M. Vocational choices in college. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 45, 888-894.

Bidwell, C. E., et al. Undergraduate careers: Alternatives and determinants. *School Review*, 1963, 71, 299-316.

- Blake, V. R. Inner-other direction as the critical factor in scientific career choice. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 1969, 6, 366-373.
- Blau, P. M., et al. Occupational choice: A conceptual framework. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 1956, 9, 531-543.
- Blum, L. P. A comparative study of students preparing for five selected professions including teaching. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1947, 16, 31-65.
- Bohn, M. J., Jr. Psychological needs related to vocational personality types. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 306-309. (a)
- Bohn, M. J., Jr. Vocational maturity and personality. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1966, 15, 123-126. (b)
- Bohn, M. J., Jr. Vocational indecision and interest development in college freshmen. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 393, 396.
- Bordin, E. S., Nachmann, B., & Segal, S. J. An articulated framework for vocational development. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1963, 10, 107-116.
- Borow, H. College courses in vocational planning. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1960-1961, 9, 75-80.
- Brandt, J. E., & Hood, A. B. Effect of personality adjustment on the predictive validity of the Strong Vocational Interest Blank. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 547-551.
- Brazziel, W. F., Jr. Occupational choice in the Negro college. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1961, 39, 739-742.
- Brumkan, R. J. Perceived parental attitudes and parental identification in relation to problems in vocational choice. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 394-402.
- Burnham, P. S. Academic success and career choice. *College Board Review*, 1961, 45, 22-23.
- Burnstein, E. Fear of failure, achievement motivation, and aspiring to prestigious occupations. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, 67, 189-193.
- Campbell, D. P., & Johansson, C. B. Academic interests, scholastic achievements and eventual occupations. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 416-424.

Carkhuff, R. R., Alexik, M., & Anderson, S. Do we have a theory of vocational choice? *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 46, 335-345.

Carlén, L. O. Vocational decisions and high school experiences. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1960, 8, 168-170.

Carnes, G. D. Vocational interest characteristics of abnormal personalities. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1964, 11, 272-279.

Caro, F. G., & Philflad, C. T. Aspirations and expectations: A re-examination of the bases for social class differences in the occupational orientations of male high school students. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1965, 49, 465-475.

Case, H. M. College as a factor in occupational choice: A study of different perceptions by farm and nonfarm youth. *Journal of Educational Sociology*, 1956, 30, 191-199.

Centi, P. J. Vocational orientation of education students. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1959, 7, 197-201.

Clark, J. H. The interpretation of the MMPI profiles of college students: A comparison by college major subject. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1953, 9, 382-384.

Coker, R. E., Jr., Greenberg, B. G., & Kosa, J. Authoritarianism and Machiavellianism among medical students. *Journal of Medical Education*, 1965, 40, 1074-1084.

Cole, C. C., Jr. *Encouraging scientific talent*. New York: College Entrance Examination Board, 1956.

Cook, M. O. College students change majors. *School and Society*, 1965, 93, 271-273.

Cooley, W. W. Attributes of potential scientists. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1958, 28, 1-18.

Cooley, W. W. Predicting choice of a career in scientific research. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1963, 42, 21-28.

Cooley, W. W. Current research on the career development of scientists. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1964, 11, 88-93.

Cooley, W. W. Interactions among interests, abilities, and career plans. *Journal of Applied Psychology Monographs*, 1967, 51(5, Pt. 2).

- Coppedge, F. L. Relation of selected variables from high school records to occupational and college success. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1969, **63**, 71-73.
- Coulson, M. A., et al. Towards a sociological theory of occupational choice—A-critique. *Sociological Review*, 1967, **15**, 301-309.
- Crites, J. O. Ego-strength in relation to vocational interest development. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1960, **7**, 137-143.
- Crites, J. O. A model for the measurement of vocational maturity. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1961, **8**, 255-259.
- Crites, J. O. Parental identification in relation to vocational interest development. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1962, **53**, 262-270.
- Crites, J. O. Measurement of vocational maturity in adolescence: I. Attitude test of the Vocational Development Inventory. *Psychological Monographs*, 1965, **79**(2, Whole No. 595).
- Crites, J. O. *Vocational psychology: The study of vocational behavior and development*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.
- Currie, J. D., et al. Images of the professor and interest in the academic profession. *Sociology of Education*, 1966, **39**, 301-323.
- Dauw, D. C. Career choices of high and low creative thinkers. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1966, **15**, 135-140.
- Davis, F., & Olesen, V. L. Initiation into a women's profession: Identity problems in the status transition of coed to student nurse. *Sociometry*, 1963, **26**, 89-101.
- Davis, J. A. *Undergraduate career decisions: Correlates of occupational choice*. Chicago: Aldine, 1965.
- Davis, J. A. The campus as a frog pond: An application of the theory of relative deprivation to career decisions of college men. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1966, **72**, 17-31.
- Diederich, P. B. Components of the scientific attitude. *Science Teacher*, 1967, **34**(2), 23-24.
- Dole, A. A. Report determinants of educational choice. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, **42**, 564-571.
- Doyle, R. E. Career patterns of male college graduates. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1965, **44**, 410-415.

- Dunn, R. E. Two methods for predicting the selection of a college major. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1959, 6, 15-26.
- Dunnette, M. D. Vocational interest differences among engineers employed in different functions. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1957, 41, 273-278.
- Dunnette, M. D., Wernimont, P., & Abrahams, N. Further research on vocational interest differences among several types of engineers. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, 42, 484-493.
- Dynes, R. R., Clarke, A. C., & Dinitz, S. Levels of occupational aspiration: Some aspects of family experience as a variable. *American Sociological Review*, 1956, 21, 212-215.
- Elder, G. H., Jr. Achievement orientations and career patterns of rural youth. *Sociology of Education*, 1963, 37, 30-58.
- Elder, G. H., Jr. Occupational level, achievement motivation, and social mobility: A longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 1-7.
- Elliott, R. Use of a "conformity" scale to assess vocational interests of undergraduates. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 16, 969-975.
- Ellis, R. A., & Lane, W. C. Social mobility and career orientation. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1966, 50, 280-296.
- Elton, C. F. Male career role and vocational choice: Their prediction with personality and aptitude measures. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 99-105.
- Elton, C. F., & Rose, H. A. Within-university transfer: Its relation to personality characteristics. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1966, 50, 539-543.
- Elton, C. F., & Rose, H. A. Personality characteristics of students who transfer out of engineering. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 45, 911-915. (a)
- Elton, C. F., & Rose, H. A. Significance of personality in the vocational choice of college women. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 293-298. (b)
- Eskola, A. Level of aspiration in career selection. *Acta Sociologica*, 1961, 5, 239-248.
- Falk, L. L. Occupational satisfaction of female college graduates. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 1966, 28, 177-185.

- Fichter, J. H. Career preparation and expectations of Negro college seniors. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1966, 35, 322-335.
- Fischer, A. The importance of sibling position in the choice of a career in pediatric nursing. *Journal of Health and Human Behavior*, 1962, 3, 283-288.
- Fisher, M. B., & Noble, J. L. *College education as personal development*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1960.
- Folsom, C. H., Jr. An investigation of Holland's theory of vocational choice. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 260-266.
- Ford, J., & Box, S. Sociological theory and occupational choice. *Sociological Review*, 1967, 15, 287-299.
- Freeberg, N. E. *The Biographical Information Blank as a predictor of student achievement and vocational choice: A review*. Research Bulletin RB-66-51. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1966.
- Freeberg, N. E. The Biographical Information Blank as a predictor of student achievement: A review. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, 20, 911-925.
- French, J. W. *Comparative prediction of success and satisfaction in college-major fields. Part I. The study and the correlational results*. Research Bulletin RB-59-10. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1959.
- French, J. W. Aptitude and interest score patterns related to satisfaction with college major field. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1961, 21, 287-294. (a)
- French, J. W. *Comparative prediction of success and satisfaction in college major fields. Part II. Pooling and analysis of results and conclusions*. Research Bulletin RB-61-7. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1961. (b)
- French, W. L. Can a man's occupation be predicted? *Journal of Counseling Psychology*. 1959, 6, 95-99.
- Friedenberg, E. Z. Why students leave science. *Commentary*, 1961, 32, 144-155.
- Galinsky, M. D. Personality development and vocational choice of clinical psychologists and physicists. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*. 1962, 9, 299-305.
- Gamble, G. W. Pre-college experiences and curriculum changes by college students. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1962, 40, 561-564.

- Gehman, W. S., & Gehman, I. H. Stability of engineering interests over a period of four years. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, 28, 367-376.
- George, P. M. A balance approach to occupational commitment, values, and perceptions. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1967, 37, 291-295.
- Gilbert, J. Conceptual preferences associated with choice of quantitative and nonquantitative college majors. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1963, 67, 219-274.
- Ginzberg, E. Toward a theory of occupational choice. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1952, 30, 491-494.
- Ginzberg, E., et al. *Occupational choice: An approach to a general theory*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1951.
- Ginzberg, E., & Herma, L. *Talent and performance*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1964.
- Glick, P., Jr. Anticipated occupational frustration. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1963, 11, 91-94.
- Glick, P., Jr. Anticipated occupational frustration: A follow-up report. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1965, 14, 62-66.
- Goldschmid, M. L. Prediction of college majors by personality tests. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 302-308.
- Gottlieb, D. Processes of socialization in American graduate schools. *Social Forces*, 1961, 40, 124-131.
- Gough, H. G., Durlinger, G. W., & Hill, R. E., Jr. Predicting performance in student teaching from the California Psychological Inventory. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1968, 59, 119-127.
- Greeley, A. M. Influence of the "religious factor" on career plans and occupational values of college graduates. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1963, 68, 658-671. (a)
- Greeley, A. M. *Religion and career: A study of college graduates*. New York: Sheed & Ward, 1963. (b)
- Greeley, A. M. Religion and academic career plans: A note on progress. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1967, 72, 668-672.
- Greeley, A. M. *From backwater to mainstream: A profile of Catholic higher education*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.

Green, L. B., & Parker, H. J. Parental influence upon adolescents' occupational choice: A test of an aspect of Roe's theory. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1965, 12, 379-383.

Gribbons, W. D., Halperin, S., & Lohnes, P. R. Applications of stochastic models in research on career development. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 403-408.

Gribbons, W. D., & Lohnes, P. R. Seven-year follow-up validities of readiness for vocational planning scales. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 46, 22-26.

Gribbons, W. D., & Lohnes, P. R. *Emerging careers: A study of 111 adolescents*. New York: Teachers College Press, 1968.

Grigg, A. E. Childhood experience with parental attitudes: A test of Roe's hypothesis. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1959, 6, 153-155.

Grinols, D. R., & Bartlett, J. W. Developmental studies of students of medicine: I. Differences between early and late deciders. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1969, 17, 353-356.

Gurin, P. Social class constraints on the occupational aspirations of students attending some predominantly Negro colleges. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1966, 35, 336-350.

Gysbers, N. C., Johnston, J. A., & Gust, T. Characteristics of homemaker—and career-oriented women. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 541-546.

Hadley, R. G., & Levy, W. V. Vocational development and reference groups. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1962, 9, 110-114.

Hagen, D. Careers and family atmosphere: An empirical test of Roe's theory. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1960, 7, 251-256.

Haller, A. O., & Sewell, W. H. Farm residence and levels of educational and occupational aspiration. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1957, 62, 407-411.

Hammond, M. Motives related to vocational choices of college freshmen. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1956, 3, 257-261.

Harren, V. A. The vocational decision-making process among college males. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 271-277.

- Hearn, H. L., Manning, P. K., & Habenstein, R. W. Identity and institutional imperatives: The socialization of the student actress. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1968, 9, 47-63.
- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr. Parental identification and the patterning of vocational interests in college males and females. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 342-347.
- Hershenson, D. B. Sense of identity, occupational fit, and enculturation in adolescence. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 319-324.
- Hershenson, D. B. Life-stage vocational development system. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 23-30.
- Hershenson, D. B., & Roth, R. M. A decisional process model of vocational development. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 368-370.
- Hewer, V. H. Evaluation of a criterion: Realism of vocational choice. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 289-294.
- Hilton, T. L. Career decision-making. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1962, 9, 291-298.
- Hind, R. R., & Wirth, T. E. The effect of university experience on occupational choice among undergraduates. *Sociology of Education*, 1969, 42, 50-69.
- Hodge, R. W., & Hodge, P. Occupational assimilation as a competitive process. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1965, 71, 249-264.
- Holland, J. L. A theory of vocational choice. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1959, 6, 35-45.
- Holland, J. L. Some explorations of theory of vocational choice: I. One- and two-year longitudinal studies. *Psychological Monographs*, 1962, 76(26, Whole No. 545).
- Holland, J. L. Explorations of a theory of vocational choice. Part I: Vocational images and choice. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1963, 11, 232-239 (a)
- Holland, J. L. Explorations of a theory of vocational choice and achievement: II: A four-year prediction study. *Psychological Reports*, 1963, 12, 547-594 (b)
- Holland, J. L. Exploration of a theory of vocational choice. Parts II and III: Self-descriptions, coping behavior, competencies, and vocational preferences. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1963, 12, 17-24 (c)

- Holland, J. L. Explorations of vocational choice, Part IV: Vocational day-dreams. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1964, 12, 93-97.
- Holland, J. L. *The psychology of vocational choice: A theory of personality types and model environments*. Waltham, Mass.: Blaisdell, 1966.
- Holland, J. L. Explorations of a theory of vocational choice, Part VI: A longitudinal study using a sample of typical college students. *Journal of Applied Psychology Monograph Supplement*, 1968, 52(1, Pt. 2).
- Holland, J. L. A psychological classification scheme for vocations and major fields. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 278-288.
- Holland, J. L., et al. *An empirical occupational classification derived from a theory of personality and intended for practice and research*. ACT Research Report No. 29. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1969.
- Holland, J. L., & Lutz, S. W. *Predicting a student's vocational choice*. ACT Research Report No. 18. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1967.
- Holland, J. L., & Lutz, S. W. The predictive value of a student's choice of vocation. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1968, 46, 428-434.
- Holland, J. L., & Nichols, R. C. The development and validation of an indecision scale: The natural history of a problem in basic research. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1964, 11, 27-34. (a)
- Holland, J. L., & Nichols, R. C. Explorations of a theory of vocational choice: III. A longitudinal study of change in major field of study. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, 43, 235-242. (b)
- Holland, J. L., & Whitney, D. R. *Changes in the vocational plans of college students: Orderly or random?* ACT Research Report No. 25. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1968.
- Holland, J. L., & Whitney, D. R. Career development. *Review of Educational Research*, 1969, 39, 227-237.
- Hollander, M. A., & Parker, H. J. Occupational stereotypes and needs: Their relationship to vocational choice. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1969, 18, 91-98.
- Hollender, J. W. Development of a realistic vocational choice. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 314-318.

- Hoppock, R. A theory of occupational choice. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1957, 5, 152.
- Howard, V. A study of academic preferences and their apparent relationship to student change in a program of general education with particular reference to students in the various science areas. *Science Education*, 1956, 40, 316-321.
- Hoyt, K. B. The specialty oriented student research program: A five year report. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1968, 16, 169-176.
- Hunt, R. A. Self and other semantic concepts in relation to choice of a vocation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1967, 51, 242-246.
- Isaacson, R. L. Relation between achievement, test anxiety, and curricular choices. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, 68, 447-452.
- Kahoe, R. Motivation-hygiene aspects of vocational indecision and college achievement. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1966, 44, 1030-1036.
- Katz, J., et al. *No time for youth: Growth and constraint in college students*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.
- Kemp, C. G. Dogmatism in vocational choice. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1960, 9, 43-45.
- Kinloch, G. C., & Perrucci, R. Social origins, academic achievement, and mobility channels: Sponsored and contest mobility among college graduates. *Social Forces*, 1969, 48, 36-45.
- Kipnis, D., Lane, G., & Berger, L. Character structure, vocational interest, and achievement. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 335-341.
- Kirkpatrick, C., & DeFleur, M. Influence of professors on the flow of talent to the academic profession. *Social Forces*, 1960, 38, 296-302.
- Klopfer, L. E., & Cooley, W. W. The history of science cases for high schools in the development of student understanding of science and scientist. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 1963, 1, 33-47.
- Knapp, R. H., & Goodrich, H. B. *Origins of American scientists: A study made under the direction of a committee of the faculty of Wesleyan University*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1952.
- Koelsche, C. L. Characteristics of potential scientists. *Science Education*, 1965, 49, 72-79.

- Kokosh, J. MMPI personality characteristics of physical and social science students. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, **24**, 883-893.
- Korman, A. K. Self-esteem variable in vocational choice. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1966, **50**, 479-486.
- Korman, A. K. Self-esteem as a moderator of the relationship between self-perceived abilities and vocational choice. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1967, **51**, 65-67.
- Korman, A. K. Self-esteem as a moderator in vocational choice: Replications and extensions. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1969, **53**, 188-192.
- Krauskopf, C. J., Elder, D., & Mapeli, D. Some characteristics of students who transfer from engineering to arts and sciences. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1964, **12**, 187-191.
- Krulee, G. K., & Nadler, E. B. Studies of education for science and engineering: Student values and curriculum choice. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 1960, **7**, 146, 158.
- Kuhlen, R. G., & Thompson, G. G. (Eds.) *Psychological studies of human development*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1963.
- Kulberg, G. E., & Owens, W. A. Some life history antecedents of engineering interests. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1960, **51**, 26-31.
- Kuvlesky, W. P., & Bealef, R. C. A clarification of the concept "occupational choice." *Rural Sociology*, 1966, **31**, 265-276.
- LaBue, A. C. Personality traits and persistence of interest in teaching as a vocational choice. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1955, **39**, 362-365.
- Lehmann, I. J. American college students and the socialization process. In W. B. Brookover, et al., *The college student*. New York: Center for Applied Research in Education, 1965. (a)
- Lehmann, I. J. Curricular differences in selected cognitive and affective characteristics. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1965, **2**, 103-110. (b)
- Lewis, E. C., Wolins, L., & Yelsma, J. J. The academic interests of college women: A factorial study. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, **46**, 258-262.
- Lipsett, L. Social factors in vocational development. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1962, **40**, 432-437.

- Lipsman, C. K. Maslow's theory of needs in relation to vocational choice by students from lower socio-economic levels. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1967, 15, 283-288.
- LoCascio, R. Continuity and discontinuity in vocational development theory. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 46, 32-36.
- Lowe, G. A. Education, occupation of fathers and parental contributions to educational expenses as factors in career aspiration among male Jamaican students. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1966, 35, 230-236.
- Lutz, S. W. *Do they do what they say they will do?* ACT Research Report No. 24. Iowa City, Iowa: American College Testing Program, 1968.
- Madaus, G. F., & O'Hara, R. P. Vocational interest patterns of high school boys: A multivariate approach. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 106-112.
- Mahone, C. H. Fear of failure and unrealistic vocational aspiration. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, 60, 253-261.
- Malnig, L. R. Fear of paternal competition: A factor in vocational choice. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 46, 235-239.
- Mandelstam, A. B., & Blitz, R. C. Summer employment of students: A local study. *Industrial Relations*, 1967, 6, 339-352.
- Marks, E. *Cognitive and incentive factors involved in within-university transfer*. Research Memorandum No. 69-2. Atlanta: Georgia Institute of Technology, Office of Evaluation Studies, 1969. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1969, 4, 413)
- Marr, E. Vocational maturity and specification of a preference. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1969, 18, 45-47.
- Martin, A. M., & Bendig, A. W. Personality needs of college students selecting teaching as a career. *Journal of Psychological Studies*, 1961, 12, 111-120.
- Martocchia, C. T. Authoritarianism and college major. *Psychological Reports*, 1964, 15, 510.
- Masih, L. K. Career saliency and its relation to certain needs, interests, and job values. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 45, 653-658.
- Matteson, R. W. Concomitants of changing curriculum preference. *College and University*, 1953, 28, 223-235.

- Matthews, E., & Tiedeman, D. V. Attitudes toward career and marriage and the development of life style in young women. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1964, 11, 375-384.
- McArthur, C., & Stevens, L. B. The validation of expressed interests as compared with inventoried interests: A fourteen year follow-up. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1955, 39, 184-189.
- Merton, R. K., Reader, G., & Kendall, P. L. (Eds.) *The student-physician: Introductory studies in the sociology of medical education*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1957.
- Miller, A. W., Jr. Learning theory and vocational decisions. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1968, 47, 18-23.
- Morse, H. T., & Dressel, P. L. (Eds.) *General education for personal maturity: The role of courses in personal adjustment, preparation for marriage, and vocational planning*. Dubuque, Iowa: Brown, 1960.
- Mowesian, R., Heath, B. G., & Rothney, J. M. Superior students' occupational preferences and their fathers' occupations. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1966, 45, 238-242.
- Mulvey, M. C. Psychological and sociological factors in prediction of career patterns of women. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1963, 68, 309-386.
- Munday, L. A., Braskamp, L. A., & Brandt, J. E. The meaning of unpatterned vocational interests. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1968, 47, 249-256.
- Murphy, L. B., & Raushenbush, E. (Eds.) *Achievement in the college years: A record of intellectual and personal growth*. New York: Harper, 1960.
- Musgrave, P. W. Toward a sociological theory of occupational choice. *Sociological Review*, 1967, 15, 33-46.
- Nachmann, B. Childhood experience and vocational choice in law, dentistry, and social work. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1960, 7, 243-250.
- National Research Council Office of Scientific Personnel. *Careers of PhD's: Academic versus nonacademic; a second report on follow-up of doctorate cohorts, 1935-1960*. Washington: National Academy of Sciences, 1968.
- Nichols, R. C. Career decisions of very able students. *Science*, 1964, 144, 1315-1319.
- Norman, R. O., & Redlo, M. MMPI personality patterns for various college major groups. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1952, 36, 404-409.

- O'Hara, R. P. Vocational self concepts of boys choosing science and non-science careers. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1967, 27, 139-149.
- O'Hara, R. P., & Tiedeman, D. V. Vocational self concept in adolescence. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1959, 6, 292-301.
- Olive, L. E. Relationships of values and occupational role perceptions for freshmen and senior students in a college of engineering. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 114-120.
- O'Neil, A. M. Childhood memories and grade level selected for teaching. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 177-178.
- Oppenheimer, E. A. The relationship between certain self constructs and occupational preferences. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 191-197.
- Osipow, S. H. Consistency of occupational choices and Roe's classification of occupations. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1966, 14, 285-286.
- Osipow, S. H. *Theories of career development*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1968.
- Osipow, S. H., & Ashby, J. D. Vocational Preference Inventory high point codes and educational preferences. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1968, 47, 126-129.
- Osipow, S. H., Ashby, J. D., & Wall, H. W. Personality types and vocational choice: A test of Holland's theory. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1966, 45, 37-42.
- Osipow, S. H., & Gold, J. A. Factors related to inconsistent career preferences. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 46, 346-349.
- Osipow, S. H., & Gold, J. A. Personal adjustment and career development. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 439-443.
- Osipow, S. H., & Phillips, G. M. Vocational development through the introductory speech class. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1967, 16, 48-52.
- Pace, C. R., & Baird, L. Attainment patterns in the environmental press of college subcultures. In T. M. Newcomb & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Pallone, N. J., & Hosinski, M. Reality-testing a vocational choice: Congruence between self, ideal, and occupational percepts among student nurses. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 45, 666-670.

- Parker, A. W. Career and marriage orientation in the vocational development of college women. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1966, 50, 232-235.
- Pavalko, R. M. Aspirants to teaching: Some differences between high school senior boys and girls planning on a career in teaching. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1965, 50, 47-62.
- Perrone, P. A. Vocational development. *Review of Educational Research*, 1966, 36, 298-307.
- Phillips, B. S. Expected value deprivation and occupational preference. *Sociometry*, 1964, 27, 151-160.
- Pierson, R. R. Changes of majors by university students. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1962, 40, 458-461.
- Psathas, G. Toward a theory of occupational choice for women. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1968, 52, 253-268.
- Quarantelli, E. L. School-learned adjustments to negative self-images in high status occupational roles: The dental student example. *Journal of Educational Sociology*, 1961, 35, 165-171.
- Rand, L. Masculinity or femininity? Differentiating career-oriented and home-making-oriented college freshmen women. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 444-449.
- Renzler, A. G. Characteristics of high school girls choosing traditional or pioneer vocations. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 45, 659-665.
- Risch, C., & Beymer, L. A framework for investigating career choice of women. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1967, 16, 87-92.
- Roe, A. A psychological study of eminent biologists. *Psychological Monographs*, 1951, 65(14, Whole No. 331). (a)
- Roe, A. A psychological study of physical scientists. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1951, 43, 121-235. (b)
- Roe, A. A psychological study of eminent psychologists, and anthropologists, and a comparison with biological and physical scientists. *Psychological Monographs*, 1953, 67(2, Whole No. 352).
- Roe, A. *The psychology of occupations*. New York: Wiley, 1956.
- Roe, A. Early determinants of vocational choice. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1957, 4, 212-217.

- Roe, A., & Siegelman, M. *The origin of interests*. Washington: American Personnel and Guidance Association, 1964.
- Rosenberg, M. *Occupations and values*. Glencoe, Ill.: Free Press, 1957.
- Schmieding, O. A., & Jensen, S. F. American Indian students: Vocational development and vocational maturity. *Vocational and Guidance Quarterly*, 1968, 17, 120-123.
- Schuh, A. J. Use of the semantic differential in a test of Super's vocational adjustment theory. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1966, 50, 516-522.
- Schutz, R. A., & Blocher, D. H. Self-concepts and stereotypes of vocational preferences. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1960, 8, 241-244.
- Segal, S. J. A psychoanalytic analysis of personality factors in vocational choice. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1961, 8, 202-210.
- Segal, S. J. Student development and counseling. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 1968, 19, 497-508.
- Selvin, H. C. The impact of university experiences on occupational plans. *School Review*, 1963, 71, 317-329.
- Sewell, W. H., Haller, A. O., & Portes, A. The educational and early occupational attainment process. *American Sociological Review*, 1969, 34, 82-92.
- Sewell, W. H., Haller, A. O., & Straus, M. A. Social status and educational and occupational aspiration. *American Sociological Review*, 1957, 22, 67-73.
- Sewell, W. H., & Orenstein, A. M. Community of residence and occupational choice. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1965, 70, 551-563.
- Sharp, L. M. Graduate study and its relation to careers: The experience of a recent cohort of college graduates. *Journal of Human Resources*, 1966, 1(2), 41-58.
- Sherlock, B., & Cohen, A. The strategy of occupational choice: Recruitment to dentistry. *Social Forces*, 1966, 44, 303-313.
- Shopper, M., & Levy, N. B. Emotional difficulties in nursing students: Childhood factors. A preliminary report. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1967, 16, 180-184.
- Shrable, K., & Moulton, R. W. Achievement fantasy as a function of variations in self-rated competence. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1968, 27, 515-528.

- Siegel, A. E., & Curtis, E. A. Familial correlates of orientation toward future employment among college women. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1963, 54, 33-37.
- Siegelman, M., & Peck, R. F. Personality patterns related to occupational roles. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1960, 61, 291-349.
- Simmons, D. D. Self-concept, occupational stereotype, and engineering career plans. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, 20, 514.
- Simons, J. B. An existential view of vocational development. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1966, 44, 604-610.
- Slakter, M. J., & Cramer, S. H. Risk taking and vocational or curriculum choice. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1969, 18, 127-132.
- Smith, L. G. What caused college students to study engineering? *Engineering Education*, 1960, 50, 537-540.
- Sommerfield, R. Social class background and professional aspiration: A study of selected pre-theological students. *Lutheran Quarterly*, 1963, 15, 345-352.
- Sprey, J. Sex differences in occupational choice patterns among Negro adolescents. *Social Problems*, 1962, 10, 11-22.
- Stahmann, R. F. Predicting graduation major field from freshman entrance data. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 109-113.
- Steimel, R. J., & Suziedelis, A. Perceived parental influence and inventoried interests. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1963, 10, 289-295.
- Steinmann, A. Women's attitudes towards careers. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1959, 8, 15-18.
- Sternberg, C. Personality trait patterns of college students majoring in different fields. *Psychological Monographs*, 1955, 69(18, Whole No. 403).
- Stewart, L. H. Mother-son identification and vocational interests. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1959, 60, 31-63.
- Stockin, B. S. A test of Holland's occupational level formulation. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, 42, 599-602.
- Stubbins, J. The relationship between level of vocational aspiration and certain personal data: A study of some traits and influences bearing on the prestige level of vocational choice. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1950, 41, 327-409.

Sugarman, M. Vocationalism in higher education: A review. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1969, 18, 103-109.

Super, D. E. Vocational adjustment: Implementing a self concept. *Occupations*, 1951, 30, 88-92.

Super, D. E. A theory of vocational development. *American Psychologist*, 1953, 8, 185-190.

Super, D. E. Dimensions and measurements of vocational maturity. *Teachers College Record*, 1955, 57, 151-163.

Super, D. E. Vocational development theory: Persons, positions, and processes. *Counseling Psychologist*, 1969, 1, 2-9.

Super, D. E. Vocational development: The process of compromise or synthesis. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1956, 3, 249-253.

Super, D. E. *The psychology of careers: An introduction to vocational development*. (1st ed.) New York: Harper, 1957.

Super, D. E. A developmental approach to vocational guidance: Recent theory and results. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1964, 13, 1-10.

Super, D. E. Vocational development theory in 1988: How will it come about? *Counseling Psychologist*, 1969, 1(1), 9-14.

Super, D. E., & Bachrach, P. B. *Scientific careers and vocational development theory: a review, a critique, and some recommendations*. New York: Columbia University, Teachers College Bureau of Publications, 1957.

Super, D. E., & Crites, J. O. *Appraising vocational fitness: By means of psychological tests*. (Rev. ed.) New York: Harper & Row, 1962.

Super, D. E., et al. *Vocational development: A framework for research*. New York: Columbia University, Teachers College Bureau of Publications, 1957.

Super, D. E., et al. *The vocational maturity of ninth-grade boys*. New York: Columbia University, Teachers College Bureau of Publications, 1960.

Super, D. E., et al. *Career development: Self-concept theory. Essays in vocational development*. New York: College Entrance Examination Board, 1963.

Sutton-Smith, B., Roberts, J. M., & Rosenberg, B. G. Sibling associations and role involvement. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly of Behavior and Development*, 1964, 10, 25-38. Reprinted in R. E. Grinder (Ed.), *Studies in adolescence: A book of readings in adolescent development*. (2nd ed.) London: Collier-Macmillan, 1969.

- Switzer, D. K., et al. Early experiences and occupational choices: A test of Roe's hypothesis. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1962, 9, 45-48.
- Teevan, R. C. Personality correlates of undergraduate field of specialization. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1954, 18, 212-214.
- Tennyson, W. W. Career development. *Review of Educational Research*, 1968, 38, 346-366.
- Terman, L. M. Scientists and nonscientists in a group of 800 gifted men. *Psychological Monographs*, 1954, 68 (Whole No. 378).
- Termat, L. M. Are scientists different? *Scientific American*, 1955, 192, 25-29.
- Terwilliger, J. S. Dimensions of occupational preference. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1963, 23, 525-542.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. College press and changes in study plans of talented students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1960, 51, 222-234.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. Fields of study and development of motivations to seek advanced training. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1962, 53, 53-64.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. Some ecological effects of entering a field of study. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, 60, 284-293.
- Thorndike, R., Weiss, D. J., & Dawis, R. V. Canonical correlation of vocational interests and vocational needs. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 101-106.
- Thumin, F. J. Personality characteristics of diverse occupational groups. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1965, 43, 468-470.
- Tiedeman, D. V., & Bryan, J. G. Prediction of college field of concentration. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1954, 24, 122-139.
- Tiedeman, D. V. Decision and vocational development: A paradigm and its implications. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1961, 40, 15-20.
- Tiedeman, D. V. Career development through liberal arts and work. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1965, 14, 1-7.
- Tiedeman, D. V., & O'Hara, R. P. *Career development: Choice and adjustment. Differentiation and integration in career development*. New York: College Entrance Examination Board, 1963.
- Tipton, R. M. Vocational identification and academic achievement. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 425-430.

Tomeh, A. K. The impact of reference groups on the educational and occupational aspirations of women college students. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 1968, 30, 102-110.

Tomoda, Y. Occupational aspirations of Japanese high school students. *Educational Sciences*, 1968, 2, 217-225.

Tucci, M. A. College freshmen and vocational choice. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1963, 12, 27-29.

Turrer, R. H. Role-taking, role standpoint, and reference-group behavior. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1956, 61, 316-328.

Tylér, L. E. Research explorations in the realm of choice. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1961, 8, 195-201.

Tyler, L. E. The antecedents of two varieties of vocational interests. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1964, 70, 177-227.

Underhill, B. Values and post-college career change. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1966, 72, 163-172.

Utton, A. C. Recalled parent-child relations as determinants of vocational choice. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1962, 9, 49-53.

Uzzell, O. Influences of occupational choice. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1961, 39, 666-669.

Uzzell, O. Occupational aspirations of Negro male high school students. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1961, 45, 202-204.

Vacchiano, R. B., & Adrian, R. J. Multiple discriminant prediction of college career choice. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1966, 26, 985-995.

Vander Well, A. R. *Influence of financial need on the vocational development of college-students*. ACT Research Report No. 36. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1970.

Vener, A. M. College education and vocational career. In W. B. Brookover, et al. *The college student*. New York: Center for Applied Research in Education, 1965.

Vineyard, E. E. A study of the independence of choice of science or non-science major and measures of personality traits. *Science Education*, 1959, 43, 130-133.

- Wagman, M. Perceived similarities in occupational value structure. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1968, 16, 275-281.
- Walberg, H.-J. A portrait of the artist and scientist as young men. *Exceptional Children*, 1969, 36, 5-11.
- Wall, H. W., Osipow, S. H., & Ashby, J. D. SVIB scores, occupational choices, and Holland's personality types. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1967, 15, 201-205.
- Walsh, W. B., & Laçey, D. W. Perceived change and Holland's theory. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 348-352.
- Walz, G. R. Vocational development process. *Review of Educational Research*, 1963, 33, 197-204.
- Warkov, S., & Greeley, A. M. Parochial school origins and educational achievement. *American Sociological Review*, 1966, 31, 406-414.
- Warren, J. R. Self concept, occupational role expectation, and change in college-major. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1961, 8, 164-169.
- Watley, D. J. Counselor predictive skill and differential judgement of occupational suitability. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 309-313.
- Watley, D. J. *Stability of career choices of talented youth*. National Merit Scholarship Corporation Research Reports 4(1). Evanston, Ill.: National Merit Scholarship Corporation, 1968.
- Watley, D. J. Career progress: A longitudinal study of gifted students. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 100-108.
- Watley, D. J. Career or marriage: A longitudinal study of able young women. National Merit Scholarship Corporation Research Reports 4(1). Evanston, Ill.: National Merit Scholarship Corporation, 1969.
- Watley, D. J., & Nichols, R. C. *Career decisions of talented youth: Trends over the past decade*. National Merit Scholarship Corporation Research Reports, 5(1). Evanston, Ill.: National Merit Scholarship Corporation, 1969.
- Watley, D. J., & Werts, C. E. Career selection: Turnover analysis and the "birds of a feather" theory. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 254-259.
- Weiss, T. M. Measured differences in identification between science and non-science majors. *Science Education*, 1962, 46, 58-61.

- Weitz, H., Clarke, M., & Jones, O. The relationships between choice of a major field of study and academic preparation and performance. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1955, 15, 28-38.
- Werts, C. E. Social class and initial career choice of college freshmen. *Sociology of Education*, 1966, 39, 74-85.
- Werts, C. E. *Career choice patterns: Ability and social class*. National Merit Scholarship Corporation Research Reports, 2(3). Evanston, Ill.: National Merit Scholarship Corporation, 1966.
- Werts, C. E. Career changes in college. *Sociology of Education*, 1967, 40, 90-95. (a)
- Werts, C. E. Career choice patterns. *Sociology of Education*, 1967, 40, 348-358. (b)
- Werts, C. E. Paternal influence on career choice. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 48-52.
- Werts, C. E., & Watley, D. J. Determinants of changes in career plans during college. *Sociology of Education*, 1968, 41, 401-405.
- Wheeler, C. L., & Carnes, E. F. Relationships among self-concepts, ideal-self-concepts, and stereotypes of probable and ideal vocational choices. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 530-535.
- White, B. J. The relationship of self concept and parental identification to women's vocational interests. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1959, 6, 202-206.
- Whitney, D. R. Predicting from expressed vocational choice: A review. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1969, 48, 279-286.
- Wilson, E. K. The entering students: Attributes and agents of change. In T. M. Newcomb & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Wright, C. R. Changes in the occupational commitment of graduate sociology students: A research note. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1967, 37, 55-62.
- Wright, E. W. A comparison of individual and multiple counseling for test interpretation interviews. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1963, 10, 126-134.
- Wurtz, R. E. Developmental intelligence and vocational development. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 584-586.

Zelan, J. Religious apostasy, higher education and occupational choice. *Sociology of Education*, 1968, 41, 370-379.

Ziller, R. C. Vocational choice and utility for risk. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1957, 4, 61-64.

Zytowski, D. G. Characteristics of male university students with weak occupational similarity on the Strong Vocational Interest Blank. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1965, 12, 182-185.

Zytowski, D. G. An exploration of the prestige values of college majors. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1966, 15, 46-49.

Zytowski, D. G. *Vocational behavior: Readings in theory and research*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1968.

Zytowski, D. G. Toward a theory of career development for women. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1969, 47, 660-664.

## SUCCESS VIEWED AS SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT

There appears to be general agreement that the college experience should help a student develop socially. It probably would not be too extreme to see social development and academic achievement and learning, along with occupational development, as the prime criteria for college success.

The most important social interest for many students may be popularity or to be regarded as a leader on campus. For some students, the goal may be to develop social finesse and skills that will be valuable in business or in other endeavors after graduation from college. Some students may merely desire to "get along with others" or to develop cherished friendships or to find a spouse. And, of course, there are the students who primarily desire to achieve recognition in some extracurricular area. From the viewpoint of the college and society, and perhaps even for some students and their parents, an equally important goal will be to develop a respect for others and their views.

Fraternalities and sororities have often given development in the social area as their primary basis for existing. It would be interesting to know to what extent changes in social expectations are responsible for the relative demise of these organizations. Perhaps future research can give them new life by pointing out comprehensive factors needed for maximum social development to take place and ways to improve on their methods of social development.

This sociological area seems to be increasing in popularity as an area for research. Hoyt's review of the literature concluding that college grades are not related to vocational success after college suggests one reason for the interest in social development.<sup>1</sup> A second reason is that vocational success and adjustment often seem to depend (assuming the person has the ability and

<sup>1</sup>D. P. Hoyt, *The relationship between college grades and adult achievement: A review of the literature*. ACT Research Report No. 7 (Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1965).

interest required) largely on social factors and ability to get along with others. The importance of social factors is clear for sales, persuasive, and other verbal occupations; but it is also important for almost every other occupation. Inability to get along with associates is probably cited more often than any other reason for termination of employment.

### Development of Social Awareness, Popularity, Social Skills, and Interpersonal Relationships

Everyone has social needs, and such needs can be satisfied only by the reaction of and/or the interaction with other people. Social approval is a particularly important motive for human beings, and college students are no exception. For example, more students would probably prefer to be a campus leader, a varsity athlete, a homecoming queen, or just socially popular than to be valedictorian of their class. Many of them would see such accomplishments as real college success, even if they were *not* doing well academically.

Young people in high school and college seem to have especially strong needs for acceptance by age and sex peers. As a result, strict conformity to youth norms and current fads is *not* uncommon among young people, even in this day of individuality and "doing your own thing." On the other hand, there are many diverse peer groups on a typical campus to which students can relate and whose values they can emulate. Such diversity of groups and their interaction with students having quite different characteristics result in the need for research in this area to be differential and selective rather than general and completely cross-campus.

Campus officials and parents are especially concerned about social maturity. However, blind conformity to campus-culture expectations and mores is not what college officials would perceive as social maturity. On the other hand, conformity to social expectations is also important to their view of social development. The emphasis on social status, being accepted, and being well thought of continues after college and seems to saturate our entire society. Being "too different," ill at ease, or lacking certain common social skills will lead to rejection or to a lack of acceptance by the group or person with which the individual desires to associate. A lack of social acceptance in college or after college can have serious consequences for the student's self-concept and for almost every other type of student development and adjustment discussed in this book. Such potential consequences emphasize how important it is for each student to continue developing interpersonal awareness and human relations skills that will give him reasonable access to the social groups and statuses to which he realistically aspires.

### Success Viewed as Development of Social Awareness, Popularity, Social Skills, and Interpersonal Relationships: Selected Annotations

Several researchers had found that if one person finds another person's behavior "rewarding," he will tend to like that person. Aronson and Linder (1965) wished to test the additional hypothesis that a gain in esteem is a more important reward than invariant esteem and that loss of esteem is a more potent "punishment" than invariant negative esteem. Therefore, they randomly divided 80 female students in introductory classes at the University of Minnesota into four experimental groups. Visually separated from each other in the laboratory experiment setting, the coeds orally interacted in 2-person groups over a series of brief meetings. Each subject for all four groups was told by the experimenter that since she had arrived before her partner for the experiment, she would be his helper and assist him perform a verbal conditioning experiment on the other student (who was actually a confederate). The subject was informed that the partner would be told it was an experiment of how people form impressions of other people, while in actuality the purpose was to see if his special procedure could increase the rate of plural nouns employed above that obtained in the partner's control conversation.

The same confederate was used throughout the experiment, and her evaluations of the subjects were different for the four groups as follows: Group 1—evaluations all highly positive, Group 2—evaluations all quite negative, Group 3—evaluations negative at first but gradually became positive, and Group 4—evaluations positive at first but gradually became negative. After each 3-minute meeting with their partners, the subjects were allowed to eavesdrop on the conversation between her partner and the experimenter (in which the partner evaluated the subject). The subject had been asked to count the number of plural nouns used by her partner in reporting to the experimenter.

At the end of the experiment, the girl was interviewed by the experimenter's research supervisor who was ignorant of the subject's experimental group membership (in order to avoid bias). This neutral interviewer informed each subject that one's feelings toward the other can affect voice inflection; and in order to account for this in the statistical analysis of the data, he needed to know what her feelings were about her partner. It was found that the subjects liked the confederate *best* when her overheard evaluations moved from negative to positive and *least* when her overheard evaluations moved from positive to negative.

Berenson, Carkhuff, and Myrus (1966) selected 18 men and 18 women from the undergraduate student body at the University of Minnesota for a study of the interpersonal functioning of college students and the effect of training

upon their functioning. One-third of each sex was placed into each of three groups: (a) a training group which employed 16 hours of quasi-therapeutic experiences over an 8-week period plus previously validated research scales that measure empathy, positive regard, genuineness, concreteness, and self-exploration; (b) a training control group which did everything the first group did (the trainers were equated on interpersonal functioning) with the exception of the employment of the research scales; and (c) a control group which received no training experience.

Pre- and postexperiment measures were taken for all three groups on the basis of four indices which assessed empathic understanding, positive regard, genuineness, concreteness, and the degree of self-exploration elicited in others. The four indices were objective tape ratings, inventory reports of standard interviewees, inventory report of significant others, and inventory self-reports. No statistically significant differences in pre-experiment levels of interpersonal functioning (using *t* tests) were found for the three groups, with overall interpersonal functioning being at a low level. It was suggested from this result that college students generally function at less than minimally facilitative interpersonal levels when they are cast in a helping role.

In general, the study hypothesis was supported by the post-experiment data. The training group consistently demonstrated the greatest amount of change in interpersonal functioning, and the training control group demonstrated higher levels of post-experiment interpersonal functioning than did the control group on a majority of the comparisons made.

Broxton (1962) explored the interpersonal attraction factors involved in roommate satisfaction for college women. The sample consisted of 289 freshman women in three residence halls at the University of Kentucky who had been assigned roommates by the housing office prior to their arrival on campus. At the beginning of the school year, all of the women were informed that roommate changes would be allowed after five weeks, by which time most initial interpersonal exploration among college students has taken place according to previous research. At a group meeting in December, the subjects were encouraged to change roommates at the end of the first semester because the opportunity of living with two different students, instead of one, would be an enriching freshman experience. They were assured that changing roommates did not imply a failure to adjust.

Those who had changed roommates were compared with the satisfied roommate who did not change using responses to the *Personal Schedule Inventory*, a personal background instrument. Chi-square analysis revealed that the satisfied roommates were more similar than the other roommates on certain moral factors (church attendance, church approval of drinking, personal approval of smoking, and personal approval of drinking); studying and sleeping

habits; father's education and salary; and size of high school graduating class. Although the two groups did not differ significantly on church affiliation, average church attendance most effectively differentiated the two groups. Similarly, although the two groups did not differ on actual drinking habits, the satisfied roommates were more similar on their attitudes toward drinking. One of the most striking discrepancies between the two groups was their percentage of agreement on approximate number of study hours. Concerning sleeping habits, similarity in sleeping with the window open was the major differentiating factor.

**Coombs** (1969) proposed a cyclic model for explaining the relationship between social participation, self-concept, and interpersonal valuation. The three propositions were (a) an increase in participation in a specific social situation leads to increasingly favorable evaluations from others in such situations, (b) an increase in favorable evaluations from others in a specific social situation leads to a more favorable self-concept with regard to such situations, and (c) an increase in favorable self-conception pertaining to a specific social situation leads to greater participation in such situations.

To test the model, advantage was taken of a student-sponsored dance at a large campus where 220 male and 220 female college students were paired by a computer. Only 2.6% of the paired couples knew each other slightly from before, and only 0.8% of them were well acquainted, so the confounding effects of previous interaction were largely eliminated. A 6-month longitudinal study beginning with this initial encounter involved the subjects completing three different questionnaires concerning interpersonal responses and the effect of self-concept and previous dating participation on those responses.

Zero-order correlations between variables of social participation, self-concept, and interpersonal valuation, and partial correlations with certain variables held constant tended to support the model; and none of the data were incongruous to the model. This general consistency of empirical evidence seemed to suggest that the model may have potential for explaining voluntary participation in social settings.

**Horowitz** (1967) correlated Project Talent scores with popularity and rejection scores achieved with members of their own and the opposite sex for 1,437 male and 1,505 female students at eight high schools. The high schools selected were about the same size (the number of students in each grade ranged from 57 to 161), but each one came from a different one of the eight regional areas defined by the U S Office of Education. A total of 15 predictor variables were selected from 56 Project Talent variables on the basis of pre-

liminary analysis results. Four kinds of sociometric scores served as the criteria: (a) same sex attraction score, (b) opposite sex attraction score, (c) same sex rejection score, and (d) opposite sex rejection score.

Zero-order correlations and a multiple correlation with the predictor variables were computed for each criterion variable. It was found that popularity correlated higher with the predictors than did rejection criteria. In multiple-correlation prediction, the best predictors for both popularity and rejection were English test total score, information about and interest in sports, and socio-economic status. A particularly important additional variable for males' popularity was their knowledge of sports. Although athletes were chosen more frequently than were scholars, the "athlete-scholars" were most popular of all. Some of the predictor variables seemed to relate only to popularity or only to rejection.

Marston and Levine (1964) studied the relationship between interaction patterns reported in a questionnaire and the typology of group orientation yielded by the *Bass Orientation Inventory* for a sample of 360 college students at Purdue University. The inventory was designed to classify a person's social orientation as task-oriented (concerned about getting the job done), interaction-oriented (intrinsic satisfaction from the mere fact of interacting with others and is more concerned with harmonious relationships with others than with solving the group's problems), or self-oriented (concerned primarily with the direct reasons of the interaction to himself, with the group acting as a sounding board for the expression of his personal needs). The *Orientation Inventory* was included along with questions on interaction patterns, political attitudes, and biographical background. Students falling into Bass' three types were compared on the other data gathered using chi-square analysis.

The results of the study indicated that females were more interaction-oriented, while engineering students were more task-oriented. The task-oriented students preferred friends having an intellectual-cultural orientation. Self-oriented students were less frequently officers of extracurricular organizations. Interaction-oriented students reported discussing various topics more often, and they tended to belong more frequently to fraternities and sororities.

Reilly, Commins, and Steffic (1960) studied the "complementarity" of personality needs in friendship choice among 25 pairs of sophomore and 25 pairs of junior female Catholic college students using the *Edwards Personal Preference Schedule* (EPPS) and the *Allport-Vernon Study of Values* (SOV). Each girl completed the EPPS and two days later predicted her partner's need profile on the EPPS. Relative scores of the six values on the SOV were also obtained.

Correlations were computed for self-perceived needs of friends, phenomenal needs of friends, and self-perceived needs of nonfriends with scores on each of the 15 EPPS personality need scales. No relationship was found in regard to self-perceived personality needs of friends, nor was there any evidence of mutual need satisfaction between friends. Friends did not see themselves as more consistently complementary, nor was there a relationship of similarity of personality needs. Friends did tend to be slightly similar in values.

Rosenfeld and Nauman (1969) explored the effect of dogmatism upon the development of informal relationships using two 34-person units of a large women's residence hall at the University of Kansas. Freshman women were selected because they were grouped according to age, and they usually lacked previous experience in extended peer-group living away from home. During the fifth and tenth week of the semester the students who had agreed to participate in a "study of social living" responded to the *Rokeach Dogmatism Scale* and several other instruments which gathered data on demographic characteristics; attitudes; interests; and motivation for achievement, affiliation, and approval. During those same weeks, a specially designed questionnaire asked each woman to describe her relationship with each of her 33 dormitory peers. Using matrices of responses, estimates of each woman's "typical interpersonal standing" within the group were derived from the average ratings she received along each dimension. Another instrument was also administered which gathered the frequency and time spent in interpersonal contact and communication with the subject. Communication data were gathered for four content contexts: (a) academic, (b) intellectual, (c) personal, and (d) social.

Zero-order correlations were calculated between dogmatism and the various interpersonal measures. Although there were differences between the two dormitory units, a general finding was that the dogmatic subjects increasingly received negative ratings from their peers. However, the dogmatic subjects kept up contact with their peers by initiating most of the interactions (There was no relationship between dogmatism and the amount of contact with peers.) The dogmatic women appeared to be insensitive to the negative receptions they received from their peers.

Secord and Backman (1964) studied interpersonal congruency, perceived similarity, and friendship in a sample of 152 college students. Subjects described themselves and their best friend of the same sex (one week apart) on ranking scales for ten needs which had been developed from the *Edwards Personal Preference Record*. For each self need, the students were divided into those with a z-score above and below the mean on that need. For each resulting pair of groups, t-tests were then made to compare z-

score means for the way they perceived their friends on a particular need. This procedure was repeated for each of the ten group pairs on each of the ten needs, resulting in a total of 100 *t*-test comparisons.

Twenty-two of the 100 *t* values were significant at the .05 level with almost half of them significant at the .001 level. Six of the *t* values were interpreted as resulting from both need similarity (the perception by a person that his friend is similar to himself) and congruency (which exists when the person's perceived self and the self that the person perceives his friend to perceive are congruent). Ten of them were interpreted as resulting primarily from similarity, and five of them were interpreted as resulting primarily from congruence. Both of these conditions were therefore suggested to be important for a friendship to develop.

Taylor (1968) hypothesized that there would be differential rates of increase over time in intimate and nonintimate levels of mutual activities and information exchanges between roommates in college and that specific factors can be identified which account for such differences in the development of interpersonal relations. In particular, it was predicted that dyads composed of two high-revealing individuals (to best friend as target) would exhibit a greater breadth of penetration (the variety of activities engaged in, the amount of information exchanged, etc., per unit time) than dyads composed of two low revealers.

A self-disclosure questionnaire, which requested students to indicate whether or not they had revealed information about themselves to their roommates in each of 40 content areas, was administered to 695 freshman men during their first week at the University of Delaware. Pairs in which both members were strangers before coming to the university and in which both members were either high or low revealers were selected as subjects for the study. Fifteen dyads were composed of high-revealing members and 15 were composed of low-revealing members, with additional pairs of subjects being used as a control group. The students also completed a 30-item roommate questionnaire in which they indicated whether they had engaged in particular activities during the preceding three weeks (e.g., have you borrowed from or loaned money to your roommate? Have you invited your roommate to your home?). The subjects were told that they would be participating in research related to Navy future weapons systems, and along with this they were interested in how men go about getting to know each other.

Both questionnaires were readministered to the subjects during weeks 3, 6, 9, and 13 of the semester. A 3-dimensional factorial design with repeated measures was used for the study with the independent variables being time, intimacy level, and predisposition to reveal oneself to others. The dependent variables were the number of mutual roommate activities and amounts of

self-disclosure to roommate. Results were that mutual activities and self-disclosure both increased over time, although nonintimate or superficial exchanges of activities and information continued to occur to a greater extent than intimate ones for all groups. In addition, dyads composed of high revealers engaged in significantly greater amount of exchange at all points than did the dyads composed of low revealers, and this result was especially true for intimate areas of exchange. It was concluded that the results offered support for a general theoretical framework of social penetration processes.

### Success Viewed as Development of Social Awareness, Popularity, Social Skills, and Interpersonal Relationships: Published Literature

Alexander, C. N., Jr. Ordinal position and social mobility. *Sociometry*, 1968, 31, 285-293.

Alexander, N., & Woodruff, R. J. Determinants of college success. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1940, 11, 479-485.

Altman, I., & Haythorn, W. W. Interpersonal exchange in isolation. *Sociometry*, 1965, 28, 411-426.

Allrocchi, J. Dominance as a factor in interpersonal choice and perception. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1959, 59, 303-308.

Argyle, M. *The psychology of interpersonal behavior*. Harmondsworth, Middlesex, England: Penguin, 1967.

Argyle, M., & Dean, J. Eye-contact, distance, and affiliation. *Sociometry*, 1965, 28, 289-304.

Argyle, M., & Kendon, A. The experimental analysis of social performance. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology*. Vol. 3. New York: Academic Press, 1965.

Armstrong, J. C. Perceived intimate friendship as a quasi-therapeutic agent. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 137-141.

Aronson, E., & Carlsmith, J. M. Performance expectancy as a determinant of actual performance. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1962, 65, 178-182.

Aronson, E., & Linder, D. Gain and loss of esteem as determinants of interpersonal attractiveness. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 1965, 1, 156-171.

- Aronson, E., & Worchel, P. Similarity versus liking as determinants of interpersonal attractiveness. *Psychonomic Science*, 1966, 5, 157-158.
- Asch, M. J. Nondirective teaching in psychology: An experimental study. *Psychological Monographs*, 1951, 65(4, Whole No. 321).
- Bach, G. R. Marathon group dynamics: I. Some functions of the professional group facilitation. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, 20, 995-999
- Bach, M. L. Factors related to student participation in campus social organizations. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1961, 54, 337-348.
- Back, K. W., & Paramesh, C. R. Self-image, information exchange and social character. *International Journal of Psychology*, 1969, 4, 109-117.
- Baird, L. L. A study of the role-relations of graduate students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, 60, 15-21. (a)
- Baird, L. L. The effects of college residence groups on students' self-concepts, goals, and achievements. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1969, 47, 1015-1021. (b)
- Baker, F., & O'Brien, G. L. Birth order and fraternity affiliation. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1969, 78, 41-43.
- Bandura, A., & Walters, R. A. *Social learning and personality development*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1963.
- Banta, T. J., & Hetherington, M. Relations between needs of friends and finances. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, 66, 401-404.
- Bauer, E. J. Student peer groups and academic development. *College Student Survey*, 1967, 1, 22-31.
- Bauman, M. H. Psychosocial problems in a men's college. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1969, 17, 437-441.
- Bechtel, R. B., & Rosenfeld, H. M. Expectations of social acceptance and compatibility as related to status discrepancy and social motives. *Journal of Personal and Social Psychology*, 1966, 3, 344-349.
- Becker, H. A. What do they really learn at college? *Transaction*, 1(1), 14-17.
- Beier, E. G., Rossi, A. M., & Garfield, R. L. Similarity plus dissimilarity of personality: Basis for friendship? *Psychological Reports*, 1961, 8, 3-8.

- Berenson, B. G., Carkhuff, R. R., & Myrus, P. The interpersonal functioning and training of college students *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, 13, 441-446
- Berkowitz, W. R. Perceived height, personality, and friendship choice *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 24, 373-374
- Bermann, E., & Miller, D. R. The matching of mates. In R. Jessor & S. Feshback (Eds.), *Cognition, personality, and clinical psychology*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1967
- Bieri, J. Cognitive complexity-simplicity and predictive behavior *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, 51, 263-268
- Bieri, J. Complexity-simplicity as a personality variable in cognitive and preferential behavior. In D. W. Fiske & S. R. Maddi, *Functions of varied experience*. Homewood, Ill.: Dorsey, 1961
- Blau, P. M. A theory of social integration *American Journal of Sociology*, 1960, 65, 545-556
- Blood, R. O., Jr. Uniformities and diversities in campus dating preferences *Marriage and Family Living*, 1956, 18, 37-45
- Blood, R. O., Jr., & Nicholson, S. O. The attitudes of American men and women students toward international dating *Marriage and Family Living*, 1962, 24, 35-41 (a)
- Blood, R. O., Jr., & Nicholson, S. O. International dating experiences of American women students *Marriage and Family Living*, 1962, 24, 129-136 (b)
- Bohrnstedt, G. W. Social mobility aspirations and fraternity membership *Sociological Quarterly*, 1969, 10, 42-52
- Bolton, C. D., & Kammeyer, K. W. *The university student: A study of student behavior and values*. New Haven: College and University Press, 1967
- Bonney, M. E. A sociometric study of the relationship of some factors to mutual friendship on the elementary, secondary, and college levels *Sociometry*, 1946, 9, 21-47
- Bonney, M. E. A study of friendship choices in college in relation to church affiliation, in-church preferences, family size, and length of enrollment in college *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1949, 29, 153-166
- Bonney, M. E., Hoblit, R. E., & Dreyer, A. H. A study of some factors related to sociometric status in a men's dormitory *Sociometry*, 1953, 16, 287-301

- Borgatta, E. F. A systematic study of interaction process scores; peer and self-assessments, personality and other variables. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1962, **65**, 219-291.
- Borgatta, E. F., & Evans, R. R. Social and psychological concomitants of smoking behavior and its change among university freshmen. In E. F. Borgatta & R. R. Evans (Eds.), *Smoking, health, and behavior*. Chicago: Aldine, 1968.
- Bouchard, T. J., Jr. Personality, problem-solving procedure, and performance in small groups. *Journal of Applied Psychology Monograph*, 1969, **53**(1, Pt. 2).
- Bovard, E. W., Jr. The experimental production of interpersonal affect. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1951, **46**, 521-528.
- Brewer, R. E. Attitude change, interpersonal attraction, and communication in a dyadic situation. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, **75**, 127-134.
- Brislin, R. W., & Lewis, S. A. Dating and physical attractiveness: Replication. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, **22**, 976.
- Bronfenbrenner, U., Harding, J., & Gallwey, M. The measurement of skill in social perception. In D. C. McClelland, et al, *Talent and society: New perspectives in the identification of talent*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1958.
- Brown, R. D. Manipulation of the environmental press in a college residence hall. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1968, **46**, 555-560.
- Broxton, J. A. A method of predicting roommate compatibility for college freshmen. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1962, **25**, 169-174.
- Broxton, J. A. A test of interpersonal attraction predictions derived from balance theory. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, **66**, 394-397.
- Burke, R. L., & Bennis, W. G. Changes in perception of self and others during human relations training. *Human Relations*, 1961, **14**, 165-182.
- Byrne, D. Interpersonal attraction and attitude similarity. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, **62**, 713-715. (a)
- Byrne, D. Interpersonal attraction as a function of affiliation need and attitude similarity. *Human Relations*, 1961, **14**, 283-289. (b)

Byrne, D. Response to attitude similarity-dissimilarity as a function of affiliation need. *Journal of Personality*, 1962, **30**, 164-177.

Byrne, D. Attitudes and attraction. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*. Vol. IV. New York, Academic Press, 1965.

Byrne, D., & Clore, G. L., Jr. Predicting interpersonal attraction toward strangers presented in three different stimulus modes. *Psychonomic Science*, 1966, **4**, 239-240.

Byrne, D., & Clore, G. L., Jr. Effectance arousal and attraction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology Monograph*, 1967, **6**(4).

Byrne, D., Clore, G. L., Jr., & Worchel, P. Effect of economic similarity-dissimilarity on interpersonal attraction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, **4**, 220-224.

Byrne, D., & Griffitt, W. A developmental investigation of the law of attraction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, **4**, 699-702. (a)

Byrne, D., & Griffitt, W. Prestige as a factor in determining the effect of attitude similarity-dissimilarity on attraction. *Journal of Personality*, 1966, **34**, 434-444. (b)

Byrne, D., & Griffitt, W. Similarity versus liking: A clarification. *Psychonomic Science*, 1966, **6**, 295-296. (c)

Byrne, D., Griffitt, W., & Stefaniak, D. Attraction and similarity of personality characteristics. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, **5**, 82-90.

Byrne, D., London, O., & Reeves, K. The effects of physical attractiveness, sex, and attitude similarity on interpersonal attraction. *Journal of Personality*, 1968, **36**, 259-271.

Byrne, D., McDonald, R. D., & Mikawa, J. Approach and avoidance affiliation motives. *Journal of Personality*, 1963, **31**, 21-37.

Byrne, D., & Nelson, D. Attraction as a linear function of proportion of positive reinforcements. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, **1**, 659-663.

Byrne, D., & Rhoney, R. Magnitude of positive and negative reinforcements as a determinant of attraction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, **2**, 884-889.

- Byrne, D., & Wong, T. J. Racial prejudice, interpersonal attraction, and assumed dissimilarity of attitudes. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1962, 65, 246-253.
- Campbell, E. Q., & Alexander, C. N. Structural effects and interpersonal relationships. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1965, 71, 284-289.
- Campbell, J. P., & Dunnette, M. D. Effectiveness of T-group experiences in managerial training and development. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1968, 70, 73-104.
- Carkhuff, R. R., Piaget, G., & Pierce, R. The development of skills in interpersonal functioning. *Counselor Education and Supervision*, Winter 1968, 7, 102-106.
- Carkhuff, R. R., & Truax, C. B. Toward explaining success and failure in interpersonal learning experience. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1966, 44, 723-728.
- Cash, W. L., Jr. Personal and social adjustment of youth. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1961, 3, 66-69.
- Centra, J. A. Student perceptions of residence hall environments: Living-learning vs. conventional units. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 266-272.
- Chickering, A. W. College residences and student development. *Educational Record*, 1967, 48, 179-186. (a)
- Chickering, A. W. Curriculum, teaching, and evaluation—Implications for student mental health development, and counseling. *NASPA Journal*, 1967, 5, 167-174. (b)
- Chickering, A. W. *Education and identity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969.
- Chickering, A. W., McDowell, J., & Campagna, D. Institutional differences and student development. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, 60, 315-326.
- Clark, B. R., & Trow, M. The organizational context. In T. M. Newcomb & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Coelho, G. V., Hamburg, D. A., & Murphy, E. B. Coping strategies in a new learning environment: A study of American college freshmen. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1963, 9, 433-443.

- Cohen, A. R. Experimental effects of ego-defense preference on interpersonal relations. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1956, **52**, 19-27.
- Coleman, J. C. The perception of interpersonal relationships during adolescence. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, **39**, 253-260.
- Connors, C. K. Birth order and needs for affiliation. *Journal of Personality*, 1963, **31**, 408-416.
- Coombs, R. H. Social participation, self-concept and interpersonal valuation. *Sociometry*, 1969, **32**, 273-286.
- Crandall, J. E. Self-perception and interpersonal attraction as related to tolerance-intolerance of ambiguity. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, **37**, 127-140.
- Cronbach, L. J. Processes affecting scores on "understanding of others" and "assumed similarity." *Psychological Bulletin*, 1955, **52**, 177-193.
- Crowne, D. P., & Liverant, S. Conformity under varying conditions of personal commitment. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, **66**, 547-555.
- Crowne, D. P., & Marlowe, D. A new scale of social desirability independent of psychopathology. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1960, **24**, 349-354.
- Crowne, D. P., & Marlowe, D. *The approval motive: Studies in evaluative dependence*. New York: Wiley, 1964.
- De Leon, P. H., De Leon, J. L., & Swihart, P. J. Relationship of accuracy of self-perception and peer ratings. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, **29**, 966.
- Dember, W. N. Birth order and need affiliation. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, **68**, 555-557.
- Denzin, N. K. The significant others of a college population. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1966, **7**, 298-310.
- Des Soto, C. B. Learning a social structure. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, **60**, 417-421.
- Dittes, J. E. Attractiveness of group as function of self-esteem and acceptance by group. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1959, **59**, 77-82.
- DiVesta, F. J. Instructor-centered and student-centered approaches in teaching a human relations course. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1954, **38**, 329-335.

- DiVesta, F. J. Effects of confidence and motivation on susceptibility to informational social influence. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1959, 59, 204-209.
- Doll, E. A. *The measurement of social competence: A manual for the Vineland Social Maturity Scale*. Vineland, N. J.: Educational Publishers, Educational Test Bureau, 1953.
- Dohk, L. J., & Oetting, E. R. Student-faculty relations and the faculty advising system. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 400-403.
- Dunnette, M. D. People feeling: Joy, more joy, and the "Slough of Despond." *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 1969, 5, 25-44.
- Eddy, E. D., Jr. *The college influence on student character: An exploratory study in selected colleges and universities made for the Committee for the Study of Character Development in Education*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1959.
- Ehrlich, H. J., & Lipsey, C. Affective style as a variable in person perception. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 522-540.
- Exline, R. V. Effects of sex, norms, and affiliation motivation upon accuracy of perceptions of interpersonal preferences. *Journal of Personality*, 1960, 28, 397-412.
- Exline, R. V. Effects of need for affiliation, sex, and the right of others upon initial communications in problem-solving groups. *Journal of Personality*, 1962, 30, 541-556.
- Exline, R. V. Explorations in the process of person perception: Visual interaction in relation to competition, sex, and need for affiliation. *Journal of Personality*, 1963, 31, 1-20.
- Farnsworth, D. L. Social and emotional development of students in college and university, Part II. *Mental Hygiene*, 1959, 43, 568-576.
- Feldman, K. A., & Newcomb, T. M. *The impact of college on students*. Vol. I. *An analysis of four decades of research*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969. (a)
- Feldman, K. A., & Newcomb, T. M. *The impact of college on students*. Vol. II. *Summary tables*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969. (b)
- Fiedler, F. E. *Leader attitude and group effectiveness*. Urbana, Ill.: University of Illinois Press, 1958.

- Fiedler, F. E., Hutchins, E. B., & Dodge, J. S. Quasi-therapeutic relations in small college and military groups. *Psychological Monographs*, 1959, 73(3, Whole No. 473).
- Fiedler, F. E., Warrington, W. G., & Blaisdell, F. J. Unconscious attitudes as correlates of sociometric choice in a social group. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1952, 47, 790-796.
- Fisher, M. B., & Noble, J. L. *College education as personal development*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1960.
- Foots, N. N., & Cottrell, L. S. *Identity and interpersonal competencies*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1955.
- Friedman, S. T., & Sherrill, D. Interpersonal attraction as a function of freedom of choice. *Journal of Psychology*, 1968, 68, 281-285.
- Gage, N. L., & Cronbach, L. J. Conceptual and methodological problems in interpersonal perception. *Psychological Review*, 1955, 62, 411-422.
- Gamson, Z. F. Performance and personalism in student-faculty relations. *Sociology of Education*, 1967, 40, 279-301.
- Garrison, K. C., & Jones, F. R. *The psychology of human development*. Scranton, Penn.: International Textbook Co., 1969.
- Gerard, H. B., & Greenbaum, C. W. Attitudes toward an agent of uncertainty reduction. *Journal of Personality*, 1962, 30, 485-495.
- Gerard, H. B., & Rotter, G. S. Time perspective, consistency of attitude, and social influences. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, 62, 565-572.
- Gerson, W. M. The college sorority as a social system. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1969, 53, 385-394.
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. Awareness contexts and social interaction. *American Sociological Review*, 1964, 29, 669-679.
- Goffman, E. *Strategic interaction*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1969.
- Goldstein, J. W., & Rosenfeld, H. M. Insecurity and preference for persons similar to oneself. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 253-268.
- Golightly, C., & Byrne, D. Attitude statements as positive and negative reinforcements. *Science*, 1964, 146, 798-799.

- Gorman, B. Distance and intervening opportunity among college students. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1966, 36, 80-86.
- Goslin, D. A. Accuracy of self perception and social acceptance. *Sociometry*, 1962, 25, 283-296.
- Gough, H. G. Predicting social participation. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1952, 35, 227-233.
- Gough, H. G. Appraisal of social maturity by means of the CPI. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 1966, 71, 189-195.
- Greenleaf, E. A. Co-educational residence halls: An evaluation. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1962, 25, 106-111.
- Griffitt, W. B. Interpersonal attraction as a function of self-concept and personality similarity-dissimilarity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 4, 581-584.
- Griffitt, W. B. Personality similarity and self-concept as determinants of interpersonal attraction. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1969, 78, 137-146.
- Grinder, R. E. Relations of social dating attractions to academic orientation and peer relations. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1966, 57, 27-34.
- Grygier, T. The resident and the commuter: A comparative study of social and emotional problems of students at the University of Toronto. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1967, 15, 295-301.
- Gustad, J. W. Factors associated with social behavior and adjustment: A review of the literature. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1952, 12, 3-19.
- Haggard, E. A. Personality dynamics and intellectual achievement. In L. B. Murphy & E. Raushenbush (Eds.), *Achievement in the college years: A record of intellectual and personal growth*. New York: Harper, 1960.
- Hagstrom, W. O. & Selvin, H. C. Two dimensions of cohesiveness in small groups. *Sociometry*, 1965, 28, 30-43.
- Hamid, P. N. Changes in person perception as a function of dress. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, 29, 191-194.
- Harrison, A. A. Exposure and popularity. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 359-377.

- Hassenger, R. (Ed.) *The shape of Catholic higher education*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1967.
- Hatch, S. *Student residence: A discussion of the literature*. London: Society for Research into Higher Education, 1968.
- Heath, D. H. *Growing up in college: Liberal education and maturity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.
- Heider, F. *The psychology of interpersonal relations*. New York: Wiley, 1958.
- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr. Sex-role identity and achievement motivation. *Psychological Reports*, 1963, 12, 483-490.
- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr., & Tiemeyer, E. B. Relationships between perceived maternal child rearing experiences and projective responses to censure-control cues in normal males. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 1968, 112, 3-14.
- Hendrick, C., & Hawkins, G. Race and belief similarity as determinants of attraction. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, 29, 710.
- Hilgard, J. R., Staight, D. C., & Moore, U. S. Better-adjusted peers as resources in group therapy with adolescents. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, 73, 75-100.
- Hill, R. An experimental study of social adjustment: An experimental study of the effects of staff stimulation to participation in extra-curricular activities on the scholastic achievement and social adjustment of college students. *American Sociological Review*, 1944, 9, 481-494.
- Hjelle, L. A. Personality characteristics associated with interpersonal perception accuracy. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 579-581.
- Hoffman, L. R. Similarity of personality: A basis for interpersonal attraction? *Sociometry*, 1958, 21, 300-308.
- Hoffman, L. R., & Maier, N. F. An experimental reexamination of the similarity-attraction hypothesis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 3, 145-152.
- Holland, J. L., & Baird, L. L. An interpersonal competency scale. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, 28, 503-510.
- Hollander, E. P., & Webb, W. B. Leadership, followership, and friendship: An analysis of peer nominations. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, 50, 163-167.

- Horowitz, H. Interpersonal choice in American adolescents. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, **18**, 371-374.
- Horowitz, H. Prediction of adolescent popularity and rejection from achievement and interest tests. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, **58**, 170-174.
- Hoult, T. F. Experimental measurement of clothing as a factor in some social ratings of selected American men. *American Sociological Review*, 1954, **19**, 324-328.
- Izard, C. E. Personality similarity and friendship. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, **61**, 47-51. (a)
- Izard, C. E. Personality similarity, positive affect, and interpersonal attraction. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, **61**, 484-485. (b)
- Izard, C. E. Personality similarity and friendship: A follow-up study. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, **66**, 598-600.
- Jackson, D. N., & Messick, S. Individual differences in social perception. *British Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 1963, **2**, 1-10.
- Jackson, R., & Winkler, R. C. A comparison of pledges and independents. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, **43**, 379-382.
- Jellison, J. M., & Mills, J. Effect of similarity and fortune of the other on attraction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, **5**, 459-462.
- Jennings, H. H. *Leadership and isolation: A study of personality in interpersonal relations*. (2nd ed.) New York: Longmans & Green, 1950.
- Jessor, R., Carman, R. S., & Grossman, P. H. Expectations of need satisfaction and drinking patterns of college students. *Quarterly Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, 1968, **29**, 101-116.
- Jones, F. E. Authoritarianism as a determinant of first-impression formation. *Journal of Personality*, 1954, **23**, 107-127.
- Jones, S. C. Some determinants of interpersonal evaluating behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, **3**, 397-403.
- Jourard, S. M. Age trends in self-disclosure. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly of Behavior and Development*, 1961, **7**, 191-197.
- Jourard, S. M. Self-disclosure and Rorschach productivity. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1961, **13**, 232.

- Jourard, S. M. Self-disclosure patterns in British and American college females. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1961, **54**, 315-320.
- Jourard, S. M., & Lasakow, P. Some factors in self-disclosure. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1958, **56**, 91-98.
- Kanungo, R. Sociometric ratings and perceived interpersonal behavior. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1966, **68**, 253-268.
- Katz, H. A., & Rotter, J. B. Interpersonal trust scores of college students and their parents. *Child Development*, 1969, **40**, 657-661.
- Kerckhoff, A. C., & Davis, K. E. Value consensus and need complementarity in mate selection. *American Sociological Review*, 1962, **27**, 295-303.
- Kidd, J. W. An analysis of social rejection in a college men's residence hall. *Sociometry*, 1951, **14**, 226-234.
- Kidd, J. W. Personality traits as barriers to acceptability in a college men's residence hall. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1953, **38**, 127-130.
- Kiesler, C. A., & Goldberg, G. N. Multi-dimensional approach to the experimental study of interpersonal attraction: Effect of a blunder on the attractiveness of a competent other. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, **22**, 693-705.
- King, S. H. The psycho-social development of Harvard undergraduates. In *The American college and student personality*. New York: Social Science Research Council, 1960.
- Kipnis, D. M. Changes in self concepts in relation to perceptions of others. *Journal of Personality*, 1961, **29**, 449-465.
- Kipnis, D. M. Social immaturity, intellectual ability, and adjustive behavior in college. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1968, **52**, 71-80.
- Kleiner, R. J. The effects of threat reduction upon interpersonal attractiveness. *Journal of Personality*, 1960, **28**, 145-155.
- Kogan, N., & Tagiuri, R. Interpersonal preference and cognitive organization. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1958, **56**, 113-116.
- Kolb, D. A., Winter, S. K., & Berlew, D. E. Self-directed change: Two studies. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 1968, **4**, 453-471.
- Kuhlen, R. G., & Thompson, G. G. (Eds.) *Psychological studies of human development*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1963.

- Laing, R. D., Phillipson, H., & Lee, A. R. *Interpersonal perception: A theory and a method of research*. New York: Springer, 1966.
- Landis, J. T. Dating maturation of children from happy and unhappy marriages. *Marriage and Family Living*, 1963, 25, 351-353.
- Lanyon, R. I. Measurement of social competence in college males. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1967, 31, 495-498.
- Larimer, G. S., & Sinclair, W. W. Some effects of two-way television on social interaction. *AV Communication Review*, 1969, 17, 52-62.
- Larson, R. F., & Leslie, G. R. Prestige influences in serious dating relationships of university students. *Social Forces*, 1968, 47, 195-202.
- Lerner, M. J., & Becker, S. Interpersonal choice as a function of ascribed similarity and definition of the situation. *Human Relations*, 1962, 15, 27-34.
- Lerner, M. J., Dillehay, R. C., & Sherer, W. C. Similarity and attraction in social contexts. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 5, 481-486.
- Leuba, C. *Personality, interpersonal relations, and self-understanding*. Columbus: Merrill Books, 1962.
- Levine, G. N., & Sussmann, L. A. Social class and sociability in fraternity pledging. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1960, 65, 391-399.
- Levine, L. S. *Personal and social development: The psychology of effective behavior*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1963.
- Lindenfeld, F. A note on social mobility, religiosity, and students' attitudes towards premarital sexual relations. *American Sociological Review*, 1960, 25, 81-84.
- Lindzey, G., & Urdan, J. A. Personality and social choice. *Sociometry*, 1954, 17, 47-63.
- Lorr, M., & McNair, D. M. An interpersonal behavior circle. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, 67, 68-75.
- Lott, A. J., & Lott, B. E. Group cohesiveness as interpersonal attraction: A review of relationships with antecedent and consequent variables. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1965, 64, 259-309.
- Lundy, R. M. Self-perceptions regarding masculinity-femininity and descriptions of same and opposite sex sociometric choices. *Sociometry*, 1958, 21, 238-246.

- Lundy, R. M., et al. Self-acceptability and descriptions of sociometric choices. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, 51, 260-262.
- Lutzker, D. R. Internationalism as a predictor of cooperative behavior. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 1960, 4, 426-436.
- Maisonneuve, J., Palmade, G., & Fourment, C. Selective choices and propinquity. *Sociometry*, 1952, 15, 135-140.
- Manis, M. Social interaction and the self concept. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, 51, 362-370.
- Mann, R. D. A review of the relationships between personality and performance in small groups. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1959, 56, 241-270.
- Mann, R. D., Gibbard, G. S., & Hartman, J. J. *Interpersonal styles and group development: An analysis of the member-leader relationship*. New York: Wiley, 1967.
- Marlowe, D., & Gergen, K. J. Personality and social interaction. In G. Lindzey & E. Aronson (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology*. Vol. III. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1968.
- Marston, A. R., & Levine, E. M. Interaction patterns in a college population. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1964, 62, 149-154.
- Mayo, C. W., & Crockett, W. H. Cognitive complexity and primacy-recency effects in impression formation. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, 68, 335-338.
- McCall, G. J., & Simmons, J. L. *Identities and interactions: An examination of human associations in everyday life*. New York: Free Press, 1966.
- McClelland, D. C., et al. *Talent and society: New perspectives in the identification of talent*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1958.
- McClure, R. F. Birth order and school related attitudes. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 657-658.
- McCullers, J. C., & Plant, W. T. Personality and social development: Cultural influences. *Review of Educational Research*, 1964, 34, 599-610.
- McDaniel, C. O., Jr. Dating roles and reasons for dating. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 1969, 31, 97-107.
- McGrath, J. E., & Altman, I. *Small group research: A synthesis and critique of the field*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1966.

- McGuigan, F. J. Psychological changes related to intercultural experiences. *Psychological Reports*, 1958, 4, 55-60.
- Mehlman, B. Similarity in friendships. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1962, 57, 195-202.
- Meissner, W. W. Parental interaction of the adolescent boy. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 1965, 107, 225-233.
- Meltzer, B., Crockett, W. H., & Rosenkrantz, P. S. Cognitive complexity, value congruity, and the integration of potentially incompatible information in impressions of others. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 4, 338-343.
- Merenda, P. F., & Clarke, W. V. Influence of college experience on the self-concepts of young male job applicants. *Journal of Psychological Studies*, 1961, 12, 49-60.
- Messick, S., & Kogan, N. Personality consistencies in judgment: Dimensions of role constructs. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 1966, 1, 165-175.
- Miles, M. B. Human relations training: Processes and outcomes. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1960, 7, 301-306.
- Mill, C. R. Personality patterns of sociometrically selected and sociometrically rejected male college students. *Sociometry*, 1953, 16, 151-167.
- Miller, A. G. Psychological stress as a determinant of cognitive complexity. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 23, 635-639.
- Miller, N., et al. Similarity, contrast, and complementarity in friendship choice. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 3, 3-12.
- Moore, J. C., Jr. Status and influence in small group interactions. *Sociometry*, 1968, 31, 47-63.
- Morse, H. T., & Dressel, P. L. (Eds.) *General education for personal maturity: The role of courses in personal adjustment, preparation for marriage, and vocational planning*. Dubuque, Iowa: Brown, 1960.
- Mossman, B. M., & Ziller, R. C. Self-esteem and consistency of social behavior. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 1968, 73, 363-367.
- Mueller, W. J. The influence of self insight on social perception scores. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1963, 10, 185-191.

- Mussen, P. H., & Porter, L. W. Personal motivations and self-conceptions associated with effectiveness and ineffectiveness in emergent groups. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1959, 59, 23-27.
- Myers, A. Team competition, success, and the adjustment of group members. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1962, 65, 325-332.
- Newcomb, T. M. The prediction of interpersonal attraction. *American Psychologist*, 1956, 11, 575-586.
- Newcomb, T. M. *The acquaintance process*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1961.
- Newcomb, T. M. Research on student characteristics: Current approaches. In L. E. Dennis & J. F. Kauffman (Eds.), *The college and the student: An assessment of relationships and responsibilities in undergraduate education by administrators, faculty members, and public officials*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1966.
- Nidoff, L. J., & Crockett, W. H. Cognitive complexity and the integration of conflicting information in written impressions. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1965, 66, 165-169.
- Novak, D. W., & Lerner, M. J. Rejection as a consequence of perceived similarity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 9, 147-152.
- Nudd, T. R. Satisfied and dissatisfied college roommates. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1965, 6, 161-164.
- Olmsted, M. S. Character and social role. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1957, 63, 49-57.
- Owen, W. L., & Pedersen, D. M. Effects of anxiety and stress on accuracy of interpersonal perception. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, 28, 637-638.
- Pace, C. R., & Baird, L. Attainment patterns in the environmental press of college subcultures. In T. M. Newcomb & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Pang, H., & Frost, L. J. College couples on the Caring Relationship Inventory. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 22, 956.
- Pedersen, D. M., & Williams, B. R. Effects of the interpersonal game upon intra- and interpersonal concepts, personality characteristics, and interpersonal relationships. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 22, 116-118.
- Pepitone, A. D. *Attraction and hostility: An experimental analysis of interpersonal and self-evaluation*. New York: Atherton Press, 1964.

- Petrullo, L., & Bass, B. M. (Eds.) *Leadership and interpersonal behavior*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1961.
- Phillips, D. L. Deferred gratification in a college setting: Some costs and gains. *Social Problems*, 1966, **13**, 333-343.
- Plogg, S. C. The disclosure of self in the United States and Germany. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1965, **65**, 193-203.
- Precker, J. A. Similarity of valuing as a factor in selection of peers and near authority figures. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1952, **47**, 406-414.
- Press, A. N., Crockett, W. H., & Rosenkantz, P. S. Cognitive complexity and the learning of balanced and unbalanced social structures. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, **37**, 541-553.
- Priest, R. F., & Sawyer, J. Proximity and peership: Bases of balance in interpersonal attraction. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1967, **72**, 633-649.
- Rabinowitz, M. The relationship of self regard to the effectiveness of life experiences. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, **13**, 139-143.
- Reader, N., & English, H. B. Personality factors in adolescent female friendships. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1947, **11**, 212-220.
- Reilly, J. W., & Robinson, F. P. Studies of popularity in college: I. Can popularity of freshmen be predicted? *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1947, **7**, 67-72. (a)
- Reilly, J. W., & Robinson, F. P. Studies of popularity in college: II. Do dormitory arrangements affect popularity? *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1947, **7**, 327-330. (b)
- Reilly, M. A., Commins, W. D., & Stefic, E. C. The complementarity of personality needs in friendship choice. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, **61**, 292-294.
- Reiss, I. L. Social class and campus dating. *Social Problems*, 1965, **13**, 193-205.
- Richardson, H. M. Community of values as a factor in friendships of college and adult women. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1940, **11**, 303-312.
- Ring, K., Braginsky, D., & Braginsky, B. Performance styles in interpersonal relations: A typology. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, **18**, 203-220.

- Ring, K., & Wallston, K. A test to measure performance styles in interpersonal relations. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, **22**, 147-154.
- Rodgers, D. A. Relationship between real similarity and assumed similarity with favorability controlled. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1959, **59**, 431-433.
- Rogers, E. M., & Havens, A. E. Prestige rating and mate selection on a college campus. *Marriage and Family Living*, 1960, **22**, 55-59.
- Rosenfeld, J. M. Some perceptual and cognitive correlates of strong approval motivation. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1967, **31**, 507-512.
- Rosenfeld, H. M. Social choice conceived as a level of aspiration. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, **68**, 491-499.
- Rosenfeld, H. M. Relationships of ordinal position to affiliation and achievement motives: Direction and generality. *Journal of Personality*, 1966, **34**, 467-480.
- Rosenfeld, H. M., & Franklin, S. S. Arousal of need for affiliation in women. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, **3**, 245-248.
- Rosenfeld, H. M., & Jackson, J. Temporal mediation of the similarity-attraction hypothesis. *Journal of Personality*, 1965, **33**, 649-656.
- Rosenfeld, H. M., & Nauman, D. J. Effects on dogmatism on the development of informal relationships among women. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, **37**, 497-511.
- Rossi, P. H. Research strategies in measuring peer group influence. In T. M. Newcomb & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *Chicago peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Rujas, H. Outcomes of lecture and discussion procedures in three college courses. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1954, **22**, 385-394.
- Rychlak, J. F. The similarity, compatibility, or incompatibility of needs in interpersonal selection. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, **2**, 334-340.
- Sacks, J. M. Social adjustment and verbal expression of activity-passivity and feeling tone. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, **16**, 486-490.
- Sappenfield, B. R. Stereotypical perception of a personality trait in fraternity members. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, **29**, 460-462.

- Sarnoff, I., & Zimbardo, P. G. Anxiety, fear, and social affiliation. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, **62**, 356-363.
- Sawatzky, D. D., & Zingle, H. W. Accurate interpersonal perception and open-mindedness. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, **29**, 395-400.
- Sawyer, J. The Altruism Scale: A measure of co-operative, individualistic, and competitive interpersonal orientation. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1966, **71**, 407-416.
- Scanzoni, J. Socialization, achievement, and achievement values. *American Sociological Review*, 1967, **32**, 449-456.
- Schachter, S. Deviation, rejection, and communication. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1951, **46**, 190-207.
- Schachter, S. *The psychology of affiliation: Experimental studies of the sources of gregariousness*. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1959.
- Schopler, J. Social power. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology*. Vol. II. New York: Academic Press, 1965.
- Schutz, W. C. *FIRO: A three-dimensional theory of interpersonal behavior*. New York: Rinehart, 1958.
- Scott, F. D. *The American experience of Swedish students: Retrospect and aftermath*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1956.
- Secord, P. F., & Backman, C. W. Interpersonal congruency, perceived similarity, and friendship. *Sociometry*, 1964, **27**, 115-127.
- Segal, B. E. Contact, compliance, and distance among Jewish and non-Jewish undergraduates. *Social Problems*, 1965, **13**, 66-74.
- Selltiz, C., et al. *Attitudes and social relations of foreign students in the United States*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1963.
- Sermul, M. J. The effects of coeducation on attitudes of male college students. *Journal of Educational Sociology*, 1961, **35**, 11-17.
- Sewell, W. H., & Davidsen, O. M. *Scandinavian students on an American campus*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1961.
- Shapiro, K. J., & Alexander, I. E. Extraversion-introversion, affiliation, and anxiety. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, **37**, 387-406.
- Sherif, M. *Social interaction: Process and products*. Chicago: Aldine, 1967.

- Sherwood, J. J. Self-report and projective measures of achievement and affiliation. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1966, **30**, 329-337.
- Shinn, A. H. *A study of social living in Catholic women's colleges in the United States*. Catholic University of America Educational Research Vol. 22, No. 2. Washington: Catholic University of America Press, 1959.
- Shipman, M. D. *Participation and staff-student relations: A seven year study of social changes in an expanding college of education*. London: Society for Research into Higher Education, 1963.
- Simon, W., & Gagnon, J. H. On psychosexual development. In D. A. Goslin (Ed.), *Handbook of socialization theory and research*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1969.
- Skager, R., & Weinberg, D. Relationships between selected social factors and extent of high school counseling. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, **45**, 901-906.
- Smith, A. J. Similarity of values and its relation to acceptance and the projection of similarity. *Journal of Psychology*, 1957, **43**, 251-260.
- Smith, D. C. *Personal and social adjustment of gifted adolescents*. Washington: Council for Exceptional Children, 1962.
- Smith, H. C. *Personality development*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1968.
- Sommer, R. Student reactions to four types of residence halls. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, **9**, 232-237.
- Spaulding, C. B. Relative attachment of students to groups and organizations. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1966, **50**, 421-435.
- Steele, F. I. Personality and the "laboratory style." *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 1968, **4**, 25-45.
- Stock, D. A survey of research on T-groups. In L. P. Bradford, J. R. Gibb & K. O. Benne (Eds.), *T-group theory and laboratory method*. New York: Wiley, 1964.
- Stone, C. I. Sorority status and personality adjustment. *American Sociological Review*, 1951, **16**, 538-541.
- Sullivan, C., Grant, M. Q., & Grant, J. D. The development of interpersonal maturity: Applications to delinquency. *Psychiatry*, 1957, **20**, 373-385.

- Sumner, F. C., & Lee, J. A. Some resemblances between friends of like sex and between friends of unlike sex among a group of Negro college students. *Journal of Psychology*, 1941, **12**, 199-201.
- Tagiuri, R., Blake, R. R., & Bruner, J. S. Some determinants of the perception of positive and negative feelings in others. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1953, **48**, 585-592.
- Tagiuri, R., & Petruccio, L. (Eds.) *Person perception and interpersonal behavior*. Stamford, Calif.: Stanford University Press, 1958.
- Taylor, D. A. The development of interpersonal relationships: Social penetration processes. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, **75**, 79-90.
- Thibaut, J. W., & Coules, J. The role of communication in the reduction of interpersonal hostility. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1952, **47**, 770-777.
- Thibaut, J. W., & Kelley, H. H. *The social psychology of groups*. New York: Wiley, 1959.
- Thomson, E. A., & Papalia, A. S. Attitudes of independent men toward social opportunities at a fraternity oriented college. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1964, **6**, 88-89.
- Thorndike, R. L., & Stein, S. An evaluation of the attempts to measure social intelligence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1937, **34**, 275-285.
- Thorpe, J. G. A study of some factors in friendship formation. *Sociometry*, 1955, **18**, 207-214.
- Toch, H., & Smith, H. C. (Eds.) *Social perception: The development of interpersonal impressions*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1968.
- Treadwell, Y. Bibliography of empirical studies of wit and humor. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, **20**, 1079-1083.
- Triandis, H. C., Vassilious, V., & Thomanek, E. K. Social status as a determinant of respect and friendship acceptance. *Sociometry*, 1966, **29**, 396-405.
- Tukey, R. S. Intellectually-oriented and socially-oriented superior college girls. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1964, **27**, 120-127.
- Turner, R. H. Preoccupation with competitiveness and social acceptance among American and English college students. *Sociometry*, 1960, **23**, 307-325.

- Van der Ryn, S., & Silverstein, M. *Dorms at Berkeley: An environmental analysis*. Berkeley: University of California, Center for Planning and Development Research, 1967.
- Veldman, D. J., & Brown, O. H. Personality and performance characteristics associated with cigarette smoking among college freshmen. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1969, **33**, 109-119.
- Volkwein, J. F. Freshmen roommates: Random vs. matched pairs. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1966, **7**, 145-146.
- Vreeland, F. M., & Corey, S. M. A study of college friendships. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1935, **30**, 229-236.
- Vreeland, R. S. Patterns of heterosexual relations among Harvard men. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1968, **16**, 335-338.
- Wallace, W. L. Institutional and life-cycle socialization of college freshmen. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1964, **70**, 303-318.
- Wallace, W. L. *Student culture: Social structure and continuity in a liberal arts college*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Waller, W. The rating and dating complex. *American Sociological Review*, 1937, **2**, 727-734.
- Walster, E. The effect of self-esteem on romantic liking. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 1965, **1**, 184-197.
- Walster, E., et al. Importance of physical attractiveness in dating behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, **4**, 508-516.
- Walster, E., & Walster, B. Effect of expecting to be liked on choice of associates. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, **67**, 402-404.
- Ward, W. D., Day, C. R., & Hamlin, R. L. Perceived similarity to parents as related to responsivity to social reinforcement. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, **29**, 951-957.
- Watson, J., & Lippitt, R. *Learning across cultures: A study of Germans visiting America*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Research Center for Group Dynamics, 1955.
- Weinstein, E. A. The development of interpersonal competence. In D. A. Goslin (Ed.), *Handbook of socialization theory and research*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1969.

- Weinstein, E. A., et al. Interpersonal strategies under conditions of gain or loss. *Journal of Personality*, 1968, **36**, 616-634.
- Westlake, H. G. *Relationships: A study in human behavior*. Boston: Ginn, 1969.
- Williams, J. E. *Conflict between freshmen male roommates*. College Park: Maryland Counseling Center, 1967.
- Wilson, D. T. Ability evaluation, postdecision dissonance, and co-worker attractiveness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, **1**, 486-489.
- Wilson, E. K. The entering student: Attributes and agents of change. In T. M. Newcomb & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Winch, R. F. Courtship in college women. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1949, **55**, 269-278.
- Winslow, C. N. A study of the extent of agreement between friends' opinions and their ability to estimate the opinions of each other. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1937, **8**, 433-442.
- Worchel, P., & Byrne, D. (Eds.) *Personality change*. New York: Wiley, 1964.
- Wright, J. J. Sex role crises in college students. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1965, **14**, 92-96.
- Wright, P. H. Personality and interpersonal attraction: Basic assumptions. *Journal of Individual Psychology*, 1965, **21**, 127-136.
- Wylie, R. C. Self ratings, level of ideal-self ratings, and defensiveness. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, **16**, 135-150.
- Zajonc, R. B., & Burnstein, E. The learning of balanced and unbalanced social structures. *Journal of Personality*, 1965, **33**, 153-163. (a)
- Zajonc, R. B., & Burnstein, E. Structural balance, reciprocity, and positivity as sources of cognitive bias. *Journal of Personality*, 1965, **33**, 570-583. (b)
- Zajonc, R. B., & Sherman, S. J. Structural balance and the induction of relations. *Journal of Personality*, 1967, **35**, 335-350.
- Zeleny, L. D. Experimental appraisal of a group learning plan. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1940, **34**, 37-42.

Zimbardo, P., & Formica, R. Emotional comparison and self-esteem as determinants of affiliation. *Journal of Personality*, 1963, 31; 141-162.

Zimmerman, S. F., Pederson, D. M., & Smith, K. H. The factorial determination of types of conforming individuals. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, 72, 101-107.

Zuereshi, M. Y. The generality of social perception scores. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1959, 58, 317-322.

Zytowski, D. G. Internal-external control of reinforcement and the Strong Vocational Interest Bank. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 177-179.

### Development of Leadership Skills

A question of first importance about leadership in college students asks what characteristics are necessary for effective leadership in various types of groups and for various kinds of situations. Clearly the leader (captain) of a football team will need to be a different type of individual than the leader of an organization such as a cultural-literary club. Yet, leaders even in such diverse groups may have some common characteristics that differentiate them from students in general and that result in effective leadership.

A second question is whether collegiate experiences of various types can develop leadership qualities and which types of college experience are most conducive to leadership development. Military officials are convinced that teaching principles of leadership to their men and giving practice in applying these principles will result in effective leaders, at least for those men who have some leadership characteristics to begin with and who are amenable to leadership development. Implied in this concept is another question about whether certain student characteristics will better allow the student to develop in the leadership area with proper training and experiences. Many college officials, especially in the student personnel area, see one of their major roles as promoting the development of leadership.

Students, parents, society, and others are also concerned about leadership development. For example, it is *not* uncommon for prospective employers to consider evidence of leadership ability as being more important than the grades which the student received while in college. Campus leadership experiences are probably more similar to what goes on in many later occupational roles than is true of any other experience as a college student. Furthermore, as Hoyt's review pointed out, research has found negligible relationships between grades and later vocational success.<sup>1</sup> Also there is for-

<sup>1</sup>D. P. Hoyt, *The relationship between college grades and adult achievement: A review of the literature*. ACT Research Report No. 7 (Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1965)

mal evidence, as well as subjective personal evidence noted by many employers, that college leadership roles are important for leadership success on the job. Roskens found significant relationships for males at a large university between college leadership participation and post-college leadership.<sup>2</sup> Those with high post-college leadership scores were not primarily overall leaders in the college, but they were college leaders in specific areas. In addition participation as leaders in nonacademic organizations was more predictive of post-college leadership than was leadership in academic organizations.

### Success Viewed as Development of Leadership Skills: Selected Annotations

**Brewer** (1968) studied leadership persistency for selected high school leaders through three years of college. Three hundred high school leaders who entered the University of Arizona were classified after three years into three groups: college leaders ( $n=73$ ), college nonleaders ( $n=130$ ), and college dropouts ( $n=96$ ). Analysis of variance and chi-square procedures were used to compare persisters with nonpersisters on seven academic, biographic, and demographic variables including strength of leadership in high school.

College leaders who had been high school leaders tended to come from larger high schools. Significant differences were found between leaders and dropouts on high school and college grade point average and size of high school and between nonleaders and dropouts on high school and college grade point average. No difference was found to be related to sex. Significantly more leaders were members of fraternities or sororities in college than were nonleaders on dropouts.

**Carson and Parker** (1966) related leadership to personality for a sample of 356 freshman males at Brigham Young University. Leadership scores were assigned on the basis of an arbitrary weighting of high school and church offices previously held. The students in the top and bottom quarters of the leadership distribution were compared on *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory* and *California Psychological Inventory* means through the use of *t* tests. Comparisons were also made between the extreme groups and the middle group.

The top group and the middle group were similar on the personality measures studied, but both differed from the bottom group. The nonleaders appeared to be more confused, depressed, cvasive, anxious, and less self-assured than students in either the top or middle group.

<sup>2</sup>R. W. Roskens, "Relationship between leadership participation in college and after college," *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1960, 39, 110-114.

Gough (1969) obtained a sample of 90 male high school student leaders and 89 female high school leaders nominated by principals in 15 schools for which *California Psychological Inventory* (CPI) scores were available. The CPI scores for these leaders were compared by sex with CPI scores for 1,121 males and 1,290 females at eight other high schools. When *t*-test comparisons ( $p < .01$ ) were made of means for the two groups, it was discovered that male leaders scored higher than did the male controls for 17 of the 18 scales and female leaders scored higher than did the female controls on 11 of the 18 scales. When a 5-variable multiple-regression equation was developed using stepwise regression analysis, the equation (or index) included dominance, self-acceptance, well-being, and achievement via independence weighted positively and good impression weighted negatively.

Next, the equation was tried out on a cross-validation sample of 164 college students. A correlation of .34 was found between the index predictions and scores on a leadership scale developed by Carson (Carson and Parker, 1966). A total of 50% of the CPI index highs fell in the high category of the leadership scale, 41% of the middle index scores fell in the middle leadership category, and 57.1% of the low index scores fell in the low leadership category. Conceptual analysis of the index showed it to be diagnostic of dominance, self-confidence, and aggressiveness at the one pole and of caution, patience, and submissiveness at the other pole.

Harville (1969) derived predictive formulas for early identification of potential leaders on campus. The sample included 82 leaders and 79 nonleaders at the University of South Carolina. The author made use of data on the *Scholastic Aptitude Test* (SAT) and the *16 Personality Factor Questionnaire*, as well as official records at the University of South Carolina and responses to a short questionnaire developed for the study.

Discriminant function statistical analysis yielded four variables which significantly added to differentiating the two groups. Leaders were more group dependent and controlled than the nonleaders; they scored much higher on SAT-Verbal; and they scored slightly lower on SAT-Mathematics. When the 4-variable prediction formula derived was applied to the combined group of leaders and nonleaders, 71% of the leaders and nonleaders were classified correctly. It was concluded that the formula might hold promise for early identification of potential leaders, but to validate the formula the author felt that it would be absolutely necessary to conduct a longitudinal investigation of leaders identified by the formula. No discriminant analysis cross-validation group was used in this particular study.

Jaffee (1968) reviewed studies that examined leadership-attempting behavior and the conditions under which it may be manipulated. He found that likelihood of leadership attempting depends on a complex array of many situational and perceptual variables and depends to a great extent on there being some relatively stable characteristics of the attempter. The situation can largely be explained, however, in relation to three major variables: the individual's perceptions of his abilities relative to the group, the punishment potential of the group, and the subjective payoff for group or individual success.

Important in the perception category are the following: degree to which the person feels he is correct in a given course of action, the amount of relevant information he possesses, his status within the group, previous experience within a group or with similar groups, and the person's acceptance in the group. Variables mentioned in the punishment category were "status of the other group members" and "group size."

Since leadership attempting depends primarily on the continually changing set of perceptions of an individual toward a group or a situation in which the person is functioning, and since specific considerations were found by the research, it was concluded that leadership attempting should be modifiable. Leadership could be modified by changing the situation or the perceptions of a given student up to the point where reinforcement from within the group becomes necessary to maintain or to increase the desired behavior.

A series of studies at the University of Indiana (Winborn and Jansen, 1967, 1969; Jansen and Winborn, 1968; Jansen, Winborn, and Martinson, 1968) compared social-political action leaders to four other categories of selected group leaders: religious organization leaders, university residence hall leaders, activities leaders, and fraternity-sorority leaders. Comparisons were also made between leaders of liberal and conservative social-political action subgroups and between men and women for all five of the original groups. Comparisons were made using 16 *Personality Factor Questionnaire* scores (16PF), demographic and self-perception variables, and scores on the *College and University Environment Scales* (CUES). Comparison methodologies included analysis of variance, chi-square tests of association, and *t*-tests.

Significant differences among the five categories of leadership occurred on 10 of the 16PF scales, on four of the five CUES scales, and on almost all of the demographic and self-perception variables that were examined. Differences between liberal and conservative social action leaders were observed for six of the 16PF scales, three of the five CUES scales, and on about half of the self-description variables. (One study looked at some additional variables, but only for the five groupings). Significant differences between men and women were found for four of the five CUES scales, five of the 16PF scales, and on several of the background variables.

Kumar (1965) studied values (as measured by the Allport-Vernon *Study of Values*) in student leadership by comparing a group of 50 male student leaders with a group of 50 male nonleaders. All subjects were students at Allahabad University in India, and the leaders had all held elective student offices. The nonleader group was drawn at random from the general student population of the university, and the two groups were matched on "amount of education." Over half of the students in each group were graduate students.

Comparisons between the leaders and nonleaders were made using *t*-tests. It was found that leaders tended to score lower on the theoretical and religious scales and higher on the social and economic scales. No significant trend emerged for the other value dimensions.

In an earlier study using 16 male business students divided into four teams, Palmer (1962a) had found that task ability (performance on a course test) accounted for 88% of the variance in effective leadership. (It was also found that it was more certain that low-ability persons would follow than that high-ability persons would lead.) Therefore, a follow-up study was conducted (Palmer, 1962b) in order to do the following: present further evidence about the relationship between ability and effective leadership, to compare the relationship of task-ability versus *successful* leadership with task-ability versus effective leadership, and to test the hypotheses suggested by results of the previous study using different subjects.

Task ability (performance on psychology course tests) was related to successful and effective leadership for a sample of 46 undergraduate students at Louisiana State University. The students first took the test individually, then divided into 4- and 5-person groups and arrived at consensus answers, and finally took the test again individually. Objective measures of task ability, successful leadership, and effective leadership were derived from sets of item responses.

Results indicated that task ability made significant contributions both to successful leadership, accounting for 44% of the variance, and to effective leadership, accounting for 69% of the variance. Thus, task ability contributed significantly more (25% more) to effective leadership than to successful leadership as was expected. Followership was, as predicted, substantially better accounted for by low ability than leadership was by high ability; this was true of both kinds of leadership, but was more pronounced in successful leadership.

Reed (1967) wished to explore the hypothesis that different types of leaders are found in different leadership positions. Therefore, comparisons on *American College Test* (ACT) scores, high school rank, college grade point aver-

ages. *Vocational Preference Inventory* (VPI) scores, and *California Psychological Inventory* (CPI) scores were made between student government leaders and residence hall leaders at the University of Maryland. There were 52 student government leaders and 306 residence hall leaders, but some of the students had data missing for each variable.

When *t* tests of the group mean differences were conducted, it was discovered that the student government leaders had significantly higher ACT Composite scores but did not have better grades in high school and college than did the residence hall leaders. The VPI comparisons suggested that the student government leaders were less realistic, less intellectual, and more artistic than were the residence hall leaders. CPI comparisons indicated that the student government leaders were more dominant, had greater capacity for status, had a higher degree of sociability, possessed a higher degree of social presence, and possessed a higher degree of self-acceptance than did the residence hall leaders.

It was concluded that different types of leaders are found in different leadership positions. This may imply that students who are identified most closely as one "type" of leader would be happiest in that area of leadership. However, the data could also be interpreted to imply that the leadership position changes the person. If such is true, a student might prefer to seek a position of leadership which has the possibility of developing desired personal characteristics different from his own rather than a position where experience leads to traits similar to those he already has.

Rohde (1958) wished to demonstrate the existence of leadership ability and to isolate and study those individuals having a lot of leadership ability. By leadership ability he did *not* mean leading ability (the ability which enables one to become leader of a group by winning the acclaim of group members) or leadership personative ability (the ability to create in his superiors the idea he is a competent leader), but rather he meant the ability to successfully lead a group to its designated goal.

To determine the effect of the executor's task ability on group performance, 24 teams of three men each were formed from a group of 111 white male students at Oregon State College. One member of each team was specified as the man in charge (executor) of his team's efforts to solve a maze problem utilizing electric plugs, lights, and switches. A pretest situation was used to select only those high and low in task ability as leaders. Follower motivation and executor prestige were systematically varied among the groups. A Pearson product-moment correlation of .29 was found between group success and the task ability of the executor, but this correlation was not statistically significant from zero for the size of sample.

The variance in group success attributable to the leader's executive ability was shown mathematically to equal the correlation between the success scores of two groups similar in all respects except that the followers were different. Therefore, in a second experiment 33 pairs of 3-man groups were formed in which the leader was the only member common to the paired groups. A correlation of only .07 was found between the success scores of the paired groups.

Further mathematical derivation indicated that the total variance in group success attributable to any group member, irrespective of role, was equal to the correlation between the success scores of two groups similar in all respects except possession of only one common member. From the data, 150 such pairings could be made; and the subsequently computed correlation of .13 was once again nonsignificant.

No evidence was found in the experiments to support the claim that any one individual is consistently more determinative of group success than any other individual, irrespective of his role in the group. The possibility was raised that the concept of leadership ability is nothing more than a popular myth arising from errors common to anecdotal evidence.

#### Success Viewed as Development of Leadership Skills: Published Literature

- Anderson, L. R., & Fiedler, F. E. The effect of participatory and supervisory leadership on group creativity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1964, 48, 227-236.
- Armilla, J. Anxiety in taking the role of the leader. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, 68, 550-552.
- Armilla, J. Predicting self-assessed social leadership in a new culture with the MMPI. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1967, 73, 219-225.
- Baird, L. L. The achievements of bright and average students. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, 28, 891-899.
- Baird, L. L. Big school, small school: A critical examination of the hypothesis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, 60, 253-260. (a)
- Baird, L. L. The effects of college residence groups on students' self-concepts, goals, and achievements. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1969, 47, 1015-1021. (b)
- Baird, L. L. Factors in the continuance of accomplishment from high school to college. *Measurement and Evaluation in Guidance*, 1969, 2, 5-18. (c)

- Baird, L. L. Prediction of accomplishment in college: A study of achievement. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, **16**, 246-253. (d)
- Baird, L. L., & Richards, J. M., Jr. *The effects of selecting college students by various kinds of high school achievement*. ACT Research Report No. 23. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1968.
- Bartos, O. J., & Kalish, R. A. Sociological correlates of student leadership in Hawaii. *Journal of Educational Sociology*, 1961, **35**, 65-72.
- Bass, B. M. An approach to the objective assessment of successful leadership. In B. M. Bass & I. A. Berg (Eds.), *Objective approaches to personality assessment*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1959.
- Bass, B. M. *Leadership, psychology, and organizational behavior*. New York: Harper, 1960.
- Bass, B. M., & Coates, C. H. Forecasting officer potential using the leaderless group discussion. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1952, **47**, 321-325.
- Bass, B. M., et al. Interacting effects of control, motivation, group practices, and problem difficulty on attempted leadership. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1958, **56**, 352-356.
- Bass, B. M., et al. Situational and personality factors in leadership among sorority women. *Psychological Monographs*, 1953, **67**, (16, Whole No. 356).
- Bell, G. B., & French, R. L. Consistency of individual leadership position in small groups of varying memberships. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1950, **45**, 765-767.
- Bellows, R. *Creative leadership*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1959.
- Bidwell, C. E., et al. Undergraduate careers: Alternatives and determinants. *School Review*, 1963, **71**, 299-316.
- Binder, A., Wolin, B. R., & Terebinski, S. J. Leadership in small groups: A mathematical approach. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 1965, **69**, 126-134.
- Borg, W. R., & Tupes, E. C. Personality characteristics related to leadership behavior in two types of small group situational problems. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1958, **42**, 252-256.
- Brewer, R. E. Leadership persistency for selected high school leaders through three years of college. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1966, **7**, 206-212.

Browne, C. G., & Cohn, T. S. (Eds.) *The study of leadership*. Danville, Ill.: Interstate Printers & Publishers, 1958.

Burke, W. W. Leadership behavior as a function of the leader, the follower, and the situation. *Journal of Personality*, 1965, **33**, 60-81.

Carson, G. L., & Parker, C. A. Leadership and profiles on the MMPI and CPI. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1966, **7**, 14-18.

Carter, L. F., & Nixon, M. An investigation of the relationship between four criteria of leadership ability for three different tasks. *Journal of Psychology*, 1949, **27**, 245-261.

Cattell, R. B., & Stice, G. F. Four formulae for selecting leaders on the basis of personality. *Human Relations*, 1954, **7**, 493-507.

Cobb, K. Measuring leadership in college women by free association. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1952, **47**, 126-128.

Daugherty, R. A., & Waters, T. J. Closure flexibility, field dependence and student leadership. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, **29**, 256-258.

DiVesta, F. J. Instructor-centered and student-centered approaches in teaching a human relations course. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1954, **38**, 329-335.

Drake, R. M. A study of leadership. *Character and Personality*, 1944, **12**, 285-289.

Dua, P. S. Personality characteristics differentiating women leaders from nonleaders in a university. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1964, **27**, 128-132.

Dubno, P. Decision time characteristics of leaders and group problem-solving behavior. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1963, **59**, 259-282.

Dubno, P. Leadership, group effectiveness, and speed of decision. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1965, **65**, 351-360.

DuBryn, A. J. Trait and situational approaches in the development of a leadership inventory. *Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 1963, **1**, 28-37.

Eichler, G. A. *Studies in student leadership: Controlled experiments in the teaching of leadership with a quantitative analysis of the components of leadership*. Penn State Studies in Education No. 10. University Park: Pennsylvania State College, 1934.

- Elton, C. F., & Shevel, L. R. *Who is talented? An analysis of achievement.* ACT Research Report No. 31. Iowa City, Iowa: American College Testing Program, 1969.
- Exline, R. V., & Eldridge, C. Machiavellian scores of volunteer student counselors and student government officers. *Psychological Reports*, 1963, **13**, 853-854.
- Fiedler, F. E. *Leader attitude and group effectiveness.* Urbana, Illinois: University of Illinois Press, 1958.
- Fiedler, F. E. Leader attitudes, group climate, and group creativity. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1962, **65**, 308-318.
- Fiedler, F. E. Leadership and leadership effectiveness traits. In E. P. Hollander & R. G. Hunt (Eds.), *Current perspectives of social psychology.* New York: Oxford University Press, 1963.
- Fiedler, F. E. A contingency model of leadership effectiveness. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology.* Vol. 1. New York: Academic Press, 1964.
- Fiedler, F. E. The contingency model: A theory of leadership effectiveness. In H. Proshansky & B. Siedenberg (Eds.), *Basic studies in social psychology.* New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1965.
- Fiedler, F. E. The effect of leadership and cultural heterogeneity on group performance: A test of the contingency model. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 1966, **2**, 237-264.
- Fiedler, F. E. *A theory of leadership effectiveness.* New York: McGraw-Hill, 1967.
- Flaherty, Sr. M. R. Personality traits of college leaders. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1967, **60**, 377-378.
- Fleishman, E. A., Harris, E. F., & Burt, H. E. *Leadership and supervision in industry: An evaluation of a supervisory training program.* Columbus: Ohio State University, Bureau of Educational Research, 1955.
- Fox, A. M. Temperament and attitude correlates of leadership behavior. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1967, **27**, 1167-1168.
- Frutcher, B., & Skinner, J. A. Dimensions of leadership in a student cooperative. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 1966, **1**, 437-445.

- Gallo, P. S., & McClintock, C. G. Behavioral, attitudinal, and perceptual differences between leaders and non-leaders in situations of group support and non-support. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1962, **56**, 121-133.
- Ganzhorn, B. The neurotic competitor in student leadership status. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1965, **6**, 156-160.
- Gibb, C. A. The principles and traits of leadership. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1947, **42**, 267-284.
- Gibb, C. A. Leadership. In G. Lindzey (Ed.), *Handbook of social psychology*. Vol. II. *Special fields and applications*. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1954.
- Gibb, C. A. Leadership. In G. Lindzey & E. Aronson (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology*. Vol. IV. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1968.
- Gibb, C. A. (Ed.) *Leadership: Selected readings*. Harmondsworth, Middlesex, England: Penguin Books, 1969.
- Giblette, J. F., & Florestano, T. E. *A comparison of student leaders and non-leaders in entering ability, achievement, personality characteristics and demographic characteristics*. Research Report No. 7-64. College Park: Maryland University Counseling Center, 1964.
- Goldman, L. Construction of a student leadership rating scale. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1957, **36**, 87-94.
- Goldman, M., & Fraas, L. A. The effects of leader selection on group performance. *Sociometry*, 1965, **28**, 82-88.
- Gordon, L. V., & Medland, F. F. Leadership aspiration and leadership ability. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, **17**, 388-390.
- Gough, H. G. A leadership index on the California Psychological Inventory. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, **16**, 283-289.
- Gouldner, A. W. (Ed.) *Studies in leadership: Leadership and democratic action*. New York: Harper, 1950.
- Hanawalt, N. G., Richardson, H. M., & Hamilton, R. J. Leadership as related to Bernreuter personality measures. II: An item analysis of responses of college leaders and nonleaders. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1943, **17**, 251-267.
- Harville, D. L. Early identification of potential leaders. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1969, **10**, 333-335.

- Haythorn, W., et al. The effects of varying combinations of authoritarian and equalitarian leaders and followers. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1956, **53**, 210-219.
- Hemphill, J. K. *Situational factors in leadership*. Columbus: Ohio State University Bureau of Educational Research, 1949.
- Hill, W. A situational approach to leadership effectiveness. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1969, **53**, 513-517.
- Hodges, H. M., Jr. Campus leaders and nonleaders. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1953, **37**, 251-255.
- Holland, J. L. Some limitations of teacher ratings as predictors of creativity. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1959, **50**, 219-223.
- Holland, J. L. The prediction of academic and nonacademic accomplishment. *Proceedings of the 1966 Invitational Conference on Testing Problems*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1967.
- Holland, J. L., & Astin, A. W. The prediction of the academic, artistic, scientific, and social achievement of undergraduates of superior scholastic aptitude. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1962, **53**, 132-143.
- Holland, J. L., & Nichols, R. C. Prediction of academic and extracurricular achievement in college. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1964, **55**, 55-65.
- Holland, J. L., & Richards, J. M., Jr. *Academic and nonacademic accomplishment in a representative sample taken from a population of 612,000*. ACT Research Report No. 12. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1966.
- Holland, J. L., & Richards, J. M., Jr. Academic and nonacademic accomplishment in a representative sample of students taking the American College Tests. *College and University*, 1967, **43**, 60-71. (a)
- Holland, J. L., & Richards, J. M., Jr. The many faces of talent: A reply to Werts. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, **58**, 205-209. (b)
- Hollander, E. P. Leadership, innovation, and influence: An overview. In E. P. Hollander & R. G. Hunt (Eds.), *Current perspectives in social psychology*. (3rd ed.) New York: Oxford University Press, 1967.
- Hollander, E. P., & Julian, J. W. Studies in leader legitimacy, influence, and innovation. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology*. Vol. V. New York: Academic Press, 1965.

- Hollander, E. P., & Julian, J. W. Leadership. In E. F. Borgatta & W. W. Lambert (Eds.), *Handbook of personality theory and research*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1968.
- Hollander, E. P., & Julian, J. W. Contemporary trends in the analysis of leadership processes. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1969, **71**, 387-397.
- Hollander, E. P., & Webb, W. B. Leadership, followership, and friendship: An analysis of peer nominations. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, **50**, 163-167.
- Hunter, E. C., & Jordan, A. M. An analysis of qualities associated with leadership among college students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1939, **30**, 497-509.
- Jaffee, C. L. Leadership attempting: Why and when? *Psychological Reports*, 1968, **23**, 939-946.
- Jaffee, C. L., & Furr, R. Number of reinforcements, conditional leadership, and expectancy of reward. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, **76**, 49-53.
- Janda, K. F. Towards the explication of the concept of leadership in terms of the concept of power. *Human Relations*, 1960, **13**, 345-363.
- Jansen, D. G., & Winborn, B. B. Perceptions of a university environment by social-political action leaders. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1968, **47**, 218-222.
- Jansen, D. G., Winborn, B. B., & Martinson, W. D. Characteristics associated with campus social-political action leadership. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, **15**, 552-562.
- Jennings, H. H. *Leadership and isolation: A study of personality in interpersonal relations*. New York: Longmans, Green, 1943.
- Joffson, R. T., & Frandsen, A. N. The California Psychological Inventory profile of student leaders. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1962, **41**, 343-345.
- Jones, A. J. *The education of youth for leadership*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1938.
- Kalish, R. A., & Bartos, O. J. Survey of student attitudes towards campus activities at the University of Hawaii. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1960, **39**, 292-299.
- Kanungo, R. Sociometric ratings and perceived interpersonal behavior. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1966, **68**, 253-268.

- Kelly, J. A study of leadership in two contrasting groups. *Sociological Review*, 1963, 11, 323-335.
- Kidd, J. W. Positive and negative leadership traits in a college men's residence hall. *North Central Association Quarterly*, 1955, 29, 360-362.
- Kipnis, D., & Wagner, C. Character structure and response to leadership power. *Journal of Experimental Research in Personality*, 1967, 2, 16-24.
- Kirkhart, R. O. Minority group identification and group leadership. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1963, 59, 111-117.
- Kirscht, J. P., Lodahl, T. M., & Haire, M. Some factors in the selection of leaders by members of small groups. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1959, 58, 406-408.
- Klopf, G. *College student government*. New York: Harper, 1960.
- Krishnan, B. The leadership qualities among the college students as assessed by the "L" scale of the Mysore Personality Inventory. *Psychological Studies*, 1965, 10, 23-37.
- Kronovet, E. Leaders and isolates among college freshmen. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1969, 17, 185-186.
- Krumboltz, J. D. The relation of extracurricular participation to leadership criteria. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1957, 35, 307-314.
- Krumboltz, J. D., Christal, R. E., & Ward, J. H., Jr. Predicting leadership ratings from high school activities. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1959, 50, 105-110.
- Kumar, P. A study of value-dimensions in student leadership. *Psychological Studies*, 1965, 10, 73-79.
- Larsen, K. S., & Larsen, K. J. Leadership, group activity and sociometric choice in service sororities and fraternities. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, 28, 539-542.
- Lerner, M. J., & Becker, S. Interpersonal choice as a function of ascribed similarity and definition of the situation. *Human Relations*, 1962, 15, 27-34.
- Lewin, K., Lippitt, R., & White, R. K. Patterns of aggressive behavior in experimentally created "social climates." *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1939, 10, 271-299.

Lewis, C. A., Bradley, R. L., & Rothney, J. M. Assessment of accomplishments of college students four years after high school graduation. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1969, 47, 745-752.

Liddle, G. The California Psychological Inventory and certain social and personal factors. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1958, 49, 144-149.

Lynch, A. O. Perception of peer leadership influence. In ACUHO Research and Information Committee, *Student Housing Research*, an insert of the *ACUHO News*, June 1969. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1970, 5, 354-355)

Mann, R. D., Gibbard, G. S., & Hartman, J. J. *Interpersonal styles and group development: An analysis of the member-leader relationship*. New York: Wiley, 1967.

Marak, G. E. The evolution of leadership structure. *Sociometry*, 1964, 27, 174-182.

McClelland, D. C., et al. *Talent and society: New Perspectives in the identification of talent*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1958.

Megargee, E. I. Influence of sex roles on the manifestation of leadership. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1969, 53, 377-382.

Megargee, E. I., Bogart, P., & Anderson, B. J. Prediction of leadership in a simulated industrial task. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1966, 50, 292-295.

Nafe, R. W. A psychological description of leadership. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1930, 1, 248-266.

Nelson, P. D. Similarities and differences among leaders and followers. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1964, 63, 161-167.

Newton, M. E. Developing leadership potential. *Nursing Outlook*, 1957, 5, 400-403.

Nichols, R. C. Nonintellective predictors of achievement in college. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1966, 26, 899-915

Nichols, R. C., & Holland, J. L. Prediction of the first year college performance of high aptitude students. *Psychological Monographs*, 1963, 77(7, Whole No. 570).

Nichols, R. C., & Holland, J. L. The selection of high aptitude high school graduates for maximum achievement in college. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, 43, 33-40.

Nosal, W. S. *A primer for counseling the college male*. Dubuque, Iowa: Brown, 1968.

Page, D. P. Measurement and prediction of leadership. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1935, 41, 31-43.

Palmer, G. J., Jr. Task ability and effective leadership. *Psychological Reports*, 1962, 10, 863-866. (a)

Palmer, G. J., Jr. Task ability and successful and effective leadership. *Psychological Reports*, 1962, 11, 813-816. (b)

Pepinski, P. N., Hemphill, J. K., & Shevitz, R. N. Attempts to lead, group productivity, and morale under conditions of acceptance and rejection. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1958, 57, 47-54.

Petrullo, L., & Bass, B. M. (Eds.) *Leadership and interpersonal behavior*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1961.

Pierson, G. W. *The education of American leaders: Comparative contributions of U.S. colleges and universities*. New York: Praeger, 1969.

Pryer, M. W., Flint, A. W., & Bass, B. M. Group effectiveness and consistency of leadership. *Sociometry*, 1962, 25, 391-397.

Reed, M. D. *Differential characteristics of student leader groups identified by leadership role*. Research Report No. 14-67. College Park: University of Maryland Counseling Center, 1967.

Reynolds, H. H. Efficacy of sociometric ratings in predicting leadership success. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 19, 35-40.

Richards, J. M., Jr., Holland, J. L., & Lutz, S. W. Assessment of student accomplishment in college. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, 8, 360-365. (a)

Richards, J. M., Jr., Holland, J. L., & Lutz, S. W. Prediction of student accomplishment in college. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, 58, 343-355. (b)

Richards, J. M., Jr., & Lutz, S. W. Predicting student accomplishment in college from ACT Assessment. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1968, 5, 17-29.

Richardson, H. M., & Hanawalt, N. G. Leadership as related to the Bernreuter personality measures: I. College leadership in extracurricular activities. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1943, 17, 237-249.

- Roberts, R. J. *Prediction of college performance of superior students*. NMSC Research Report Vol. 1, No. 5. Evanston: National Merit Scholarship Corporation, 1965.
- Rohde, K. J. Theoretical and experimental analysis of leadership ability. *Psychological Reports*, 1958, 4, 243-278.
- Ross, M. G., & Hendry, C. E. *New understandings of leadership: A survey and application of research*. New York: Association Press, 1957.
- Shaw, M. E. A comparison of two types of leadership in various communication nets. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, 50, 127-134.
- Shaw, M. E., & Blum, J. M. Effects of leadership style upon group performance as a function of task structure. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 3, 238-242.
- Sinha, D., & Kumar, P. A study of certain personality variables in student leadership. *Psychological Studies*, 1966, 11, 1-8.
- Sinha, S. K. An evaluation of NCC training in the development of leadership qualities. *Psychological Studies*, 1969, 14, 132-136.
- Skager, R., & Weinberg, D. Relationships between selected social factors and extent of high school counseling. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 45, 901-906.
- Smith, E. E., & White, H. L. Wit, creativity, and sarcasm. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1965, 49, 131-134.
- Stephenson, T. E. The leader-follower relationship. *Sociological Review*, 1959, 7, 179-195.
- Stogdill, R. M. Personal factors associated with leadership: A survey of literature. *Journal of Psychology*, 1948, 25, 35-71.
- Stout, R., & Briner, C. Leadership. In R. L. Ebel (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of educational research*. (4th ed.) Toronto: Macmillan, 1969.
- Strang, R. M. *Group work in education*. New York: Harper, 1958.
- Thurstone, L. L. *A factorial study of perception*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1944.
- Tosi, D. J., Quarantá, J. J., & Frumkin, R. M. Dogmatism and student teacher perceptions of ideal classroom leadership. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1968, 27, 750.

- Trapp, E. P. Leadership and popularity as a function of behavioral predictions. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, 51, 452-457.
- VanEyl, E. P. The concept of leadership. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1962, 10, 214-216.
- Weinberg, C. Institutional differences in factors associated with student leadership. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1965, 49, 425-436.
- Weinberg, C. Social attitudes of Negro and white student leaders. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1966, 35, 161-167.
- Weschler, I. R. *The leader and creativity*. New York: Association Press, 1962.
- Williamson, E. G. The group origins of student leaders. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1948, 8, 603-612.
- Williamson, E. G., & Hoyt, D. Measured personality characteristics of student leaders. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1952, 12, 65-78.
- Winborn, B. B., & Jansen, D. G. Personality characteristics of campus social-political action leaders. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 509-513.
- Winborn, B. B., & Jansen, D. G. Profile of social-political action leaders. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1969, 10, 7-11.
- Zdep, S. M., & Oakes, W. I. Reinforcement of leadership behavior in group discussion. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 1967, 3, 310-320.
- Zeleny, L. D. Experimental appraisal of a group learning plan. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1940, 34, 37-42.

### Development of a Respect for Others and Their Views

Lack of respect for others has been in the national limelight during the last decade, especially regarding prejudice and ethnocentrism in the area of race and ethnic relations. Educating for a respect of others and their views has obtained a high priority status at all levels of education. The riots and other problems of the sixties made it clear that prompt action was called for. Equal opportunity legislation and its implementation have been major factors in forcing outward compliance and in bringing antagonistic groups into contact with one another. However, most authorities see education as the only real hope for developing underlying attitudes and respect for others and their views.

A prejudice means that there is unrealistic support for an opinion or attitude. It is any opinion, attitude, or feeling about a person or thing which is not based on experience, knowledge, thought, and reason, or else is based on experience, knowledge, thought, or reason that is definitely and obviously inadequate. One further clarification is that a prejudice, unlike a simple misconception (ordinary prejudgment), actually resists any and all evidence that tries to unseat it. Evidence to the contrary becomes threatening and causes a person to become defensive. The person will distort and see things that really are not there so that the prejudice may be maintained. Also, one prejudice can lead to other prejudices.

According to Allport (1964), the terrible race riots in several large United States cities during the year 1943 caused psychologists to concentrate their study mainly upon personality as a factor in ethnic prejudice. More and more, however, the trend has been to view the family, the social community and group action, economic factors, political factors, geographic factors, and cultural factors as primary determinants of prejudice.

Allport agreed that social forces are important, but he stated that a focus on personality factors is just as important. He contended that only through the study of individual personalities can we come to understand the complex pathways that lead from abstract social forces to concrete personal acts.

"No man would say anything at all, nor do anything at all, unless he harbored within himself—in his own personality—the appropriate habits, or expectations, or mental sense, or attitudes—call them what you will [1968, p. 191]."

One proposition about which there seems to be universal agreement among authorities in the field is that prejudice is learned, not instinctive; no child is born prejudiced. Youngsters have to be taught to hate. Before they have been taught such distinctions, it has not occurred to children to distinguish black from white or children of one religion from those of another. But they observe the words, actions, and reactions of others. It is not long before they are indoctrinated by parents, peers, teachers, television, magazines, etc.

Consider an example reported by Reed (1960).

A little girl (let us call her Jane) has been taught by her parents that children whose names end in "ski" should be avoided because they are "not nice." Jane supposes it has something to do with being dirty because she knows that to be dirty is "not nice." Jane is to start kindergarten, and her first day is rather frightening. It is saved for her, however, by a lovely little girl named Marie who smiles and whom Jane finds a lot of fun to play with at recess. Marie even gives Jane half of her sandwich at lunchtime; and because of Marie, Jane forgets all about her fears. Jane is very happy with this new friend until she learns that Marie's last name ends in "ski." Then she discovers that Marie is rather dirty, and she does not like Marie any more.

Important to the prejudice-developmental process may be the seeming tendency for people to want to consider what they hear as facts. Even college students sometimes take what an author says in a book as fact without even knowing the author or the reasons for his assertion.

Another important factor in the child's development of prejudice, which was evident in the example cited, is said to be overgeneralization. There is a tendency even for adults to overgeneralize or overcategorize. Thus, when adults say something, children may associate it with a similar sounding word or may in some other way get the wrong picture.

A prejudice can also be developed through contact with a bias object or objects of the prejudice. The action of one individual or a small group of individuals may cause someone to judge all individuals in that classification as "bad." Thus, he might say that a black person cannot be trusted because he saw such a person steal in the store.

It is easy for a person to judge another quickly and strictly on first impressions or on the first information heard about that person. Thus, there was a man who had a strong dislike for the Democratic party because he felt that it was trying to lead the country to socialism. He considered it a threat to his goal which was to gain wealth. When a new neighbor moved in next door, it was discovered in the first conversation that the neighbor was a Democrat. Because of this discovery, the original man had a mild but immediate dislike for the neighbor, and it grew with time "for no apparent reason at all." If the neighbor had said something at first to classify him with other strong positive goals and rewards of the original man, then a positive attitude instead of a negative attitude may have developed. There are many other hypothesized reasons for prejudice to occur in a person, but the important consideration here is that prejudice seems to be formed in various ways and for various reasons. From this conclusion it might be hypothesized that a variety of methods should be used if a college wishes to reduce prejudice in all its students. For example, students using prejudice as a means of expressing aggression would probably not respond to the same college-sponsored remedial measures as would students who use prejudice to meet status and security needs or who are prejudiced in a certain way simply because their peers are.

A major purpose of the research in this area would certainly be to discover the predominant prejudices present and the causes and backgrounds of prejudice development in various groups of students on campus, and for various types of colleges. Such research would help the college to better understand its student body. Also necessary are studies to discover whether college experiences are reducing prejudice on campus. Just as important, however, would be to explore which, if any, measures are most effective in reducing different prejudices for different groups of college students.

The emphases in this discussion have been on prejudice. The college's goal, however, is to also develop a respect for the views and rights of others for cases where prejudice is not involved. From this viewpoint, even if someone's views are obviously in error and foolish, disrespect for and ridicule of that person are not justified. A basic tenet of democracy is that each individual and idea have a right to be heard, and each idea ought to be given a fair examination. On the other hand, it is opposed to blind acceptance of an idea for the sake of social conformity. But just because you reject an idea after examining it does not mean that you have the right to hold a proponent of the idea up to disrespect and ridicule.

### Success Viewed as Development of a Respect for Others and Their Views: Selected Annotations

Kinnick and Plottor (1967) studied change in attitudes toward "Negroes" and "integration" for 46 subjects enrolled in a federally sponsored graduate training institute directed toward the problem. Change in this group was compared with such change in a control group of 29 student members of a regular graduate seminar in education at the University of Auburn using *t* tests. It was discovered that modification of racial attitudes and an increasingly favorable acceptance of school desegregation were achieved in the course when respected sources broke the former unanimity against school desegregation and the Negro stereotype. Therefore, Caffrey, Anderson, and Garrison (1969) wondered whether exposure to the undergraduate life at a Southern university would have any effect on the liberalization of racial attitudes. In addition to exploring this hypothesis, they explored whether there was any influence of a student's sex upon racial attitudes.

A group of 60 students were selected at random from the freshman and senior population at an almost entirely segregated (ratio of 1 to 100) white Southern university and divided into four equally sized groups (only 15 students per group): freshman males, freshman females, senior males, and senior females. The only condition for selection was that the student be a resident of the state in which the university was located. All subjects were administered a 10-item Likert-type Negro Attitude Test, where each item was scored on a 5-point continuum from strongly disagree to strongly agree. These scores were analyzed in a 2 x 2 x 2 factorial design "to determine the influence" of school class, student sex, and educational background of parents upon racial attitudes.

The *F* value for parental education was not significant. However, the college seniors were significantly less prejudiced than were freshmen ( $p < .01$ ). Some interaction ( $p < .08$ ) was found with students' sex and class level. Male freshmen had the highest prejudice mean, followed by female freshmen, female seniors, and male seniors, in that order. The college experience would ap-

pear to have had an effect on prejudice, although no noncollege control group was involved in the study for comparison purposes.

---

**Dodd and Strang** (1966) compared 25 "high prejudiced" and 72 "low prejudiced" freshman elementary education women students at the New York State University College at Buffalo on selected biographical information and personality traits as measured by the *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory* (MMPI). The biographical information (religious feelings, family background, feelings of security, adjustment to the college environment, and rural or urban residence) was gathered through use of a 6-item multiple-choice questionnaire. A special MMPI prejudice scale developed by Gough was used to classify students as being highly prejudiced, prejudiced an average amount, and not prejudiced.

Results from chi-square analysis supported the idea that there is a linkage between prejudicial attitudes and social adjustment problems. High-prejudiced women tended to have more feelings of insecurity and had demonstrated only fair-to-poor nonacademic adjustment. Another finding was that a larger percentage of the high-prejudiced women came from rural and semi-rural backgrounds than was true for the low-prejudiced women.

---

**Garrison** (1961) explored the relationship of background factors to world-minded attitudes in college students, with "worldmindedness" defined as a value orientation favoring a world view of the problems of humanity with mankind rather than the nation as the primary reference group. Sampson and Smith's *Worldminded Attitude Scale* was administered to 301 students in introduction to education, educational psychology, and adolescent psychology courses at the University of Georgia.

A progressive increase in the worldmindedness attitude score means from freshmen to seniors was found, and the scores for women tended to be slightly higher than the scores for men. Students from the South or Southeast had lower means than did students from outside the South, while Baptists and those with related denominational backgrounds had lower means than those with other religious backgrounds. "Occupation of father" seemed to be clearly related to worldmindedness attitudes, with those from a farm environment having the lowest means and those with fathers in professional occupations the highest means. There was a positive relationship noted between worldmindedness and grades in educational psychology, with students earning just average grades being rather anti-worldminded.

---

**Hofstaetter** (1952) wished to test the two dominant views concerning prejudice that were prevalent at that time: (a) the role a given minority plays in a given society gives rise to certain prejudices which reflect the locally prevailing tensions and (b) the existence of a given minority provides personalities of a given type—i.e., the authoritarian personality—an opportunity to channel their inner tensions in certain prejudices. It was his feeling that both of these positions contributed to an understanding of prejudice and that each of them taken separately might distort the picture.

To shed light on the problem, a questionnaire was developed which contained three kinds of statements to which the subjects in the study were to respond: (a) anti-Semitic, (b) anti-Negro, and (c) political-economic propositions. Then students in the author's course in social psychology administered the questionnaire to 187 subjects of their own choosing. The responses to the questionnaire were intercorrelated using tetrachoric correlations, and then factor analysis was conducted. Five largely independent factors were found: I. Anti-Negroism, II. Anti-Semitism, III. National Pride, IV. Puritanism, and V. Staté-Socialism. No justification could be seen for combining these independent dimensions of variability into one type, e.g., the authoritarian personality.

---

**Karlins, Coffman, and Walters** (1969) repeated a study that had been carried out 16 years earlier (Gilbert, 1951) and originally 35 years earlier (Katz and Brayly, 1933) on stereotyping in Princeton University students. Using 150 white freshman and sophomore men enrolled in introductory psychology courses, 90 who had graduated from public high schools and 60 who had graduated from private high schools, an attempt was made to examine the following: (a) the differences between the social stereotypes of public high school graduates and the stereotypes of "prep" school graduates; (b) the relationship between uniformity and favorableness of stereotypes; and (c) changes since the earlier studies in stereotype content, uniformity, and favorableness.

Each student was asked to select from a list of 84 adjectives those which seemed to him to be typical of each of ten racial-ethnic groups. After the students went over the resulting ten lists of words and marked the five words in each list most typical of the group, they were asked to rate the degree of favorableness or unfavorableness they associated with each adjective.

Analyses were conducted using Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranked *t* tests, chi-square tests, and rank-order correlations. It was discovered that stereotype uniformity had increased over Gilbert's findings for every group except Negroes, and it seemed similar in this respect to the findings of Katz and Brayly. The reservations expressed by the students when asked to stereotype, however, suggested that students had become more "sophisticated" and "objective" about making generalizations about other groups.

"Uniformity" and "favorableness" scores correlated significantly across the three generations of students. Although the collections of traits selected to characterize specific groups remained much the same from generation to generation, the relative popularities of these traits changed extensively with the recent students being much more liberal in their attitudes. The traits for a group were never rated all positive or all negative; and interestingly, the students assigned more favorable traits to the Japanese, Germans, Jews, and English than to themselves as Americans (compared to a number 1 ranking for "Americans" in the earlier studies). No differences in stereotypes were found between graduates of public and private high schools, whereas the studies of earlier generations had shown an "ethnocentrism gap" between independent and public school graduates.

---

Lehmann, Sinha, and Hartnett (1966) studied changes in stereotypic beliefs, dogmatism, and value orientation associated with college attendance for a sample of 1,747 Michigan State University students tested as freshmen and then retested four years later whether they were still in attendance or not. The *Inventory of Beliefs* was used to measure stereotypic beliefs, the *Differential Values Inventory* to measure value orientation, the *Rokeach Dogmatism Scale* to measure open-mindedness and authoritarianism, and an experience inventory to measure social and political attitudes and attitudes about the college.

Pearson product-moment correlations and analysis of covariance were used to make comparisons among the group graduating, the group having less than one year of college, the group having one to two years of college, and the group having two to three years of college. All analyses were completed separately for each sex. Regardless of sex and length of college attendance, all students (and former students) became less stereotypic in their beliefs, less dogmatic, and generally more outer-directed in their value orientation. No significant relationship was found between general academic aptitude and either the degree or the direction of these changes. Female students, regardless of time spent at college, underwent a more marked change in these attitudes and values than did males (although no significant difference was noted in stereotypic beliefs and dogmatism between males and females among the three control groups).

---

Leonard (1964) wondered if a 7- or 8-month foreign cultural contact by American college students can lead to significant change in intercultural understanding, attitudes, and values if an intensive orientation emphasizing such aspects precedes the departure. A total of 85 Adelphi University students who went overseas (over a period of four years) for one summer and one semester of foreign study and travel constituted the sample for the

study. Orientation for the students consisted of a semester course which met two hours per week.

Prior to orientation and again after returning from overseas, each student completed the *Lentz C-R Opinionaire*, a validated and widely used instrument that attempts to determine the extent to which the individual conserves old values and strives for new ones. The drop of eight points (more liberal) in mean test scores which occurred in less than a year compared to a 5-point decline in the scores of regular college students between the freshman and senior year. It would appear that if attitude-change goals are built into the program, foreign travel and study can produce a much greater change in a far shorter period of time than can a regular program of campus study. However, it should be noticed that although 52 students decreased an average of 18 points, 26 actually had higher scores (an average of 9.5 points higher). Seven students had no change in score.

Several factors were examined for their relation to attitude change. Older students changed more than did younger students, and all religious groups changed in the liberal direction. No change was found to be related to socio-economic status. The attitudes of the conservative students tended to change more than those of liberal students. High-I.Q. subjects were more liberal initially and thus changed the least. Countries visited and their relation to initial attitudes and change suggested that personality type is a more reliable predictor of attitude change in an overseas experience than is the nature of the experience itself.

---

Photiadis (1962) related amount of education completed to a variety of personality variables known to be related functionally to prejudice. The sample consisted of 300 adult men and women members of three Protestant churches in a Midwestern community. A number of scales were completed anonymously immediately after a church service.

Zero-order correlations indicated that all of the variables—orthodoxy, extrinsic belief, anomie, status concern, conservatism, authoritarianism, withdrawal tendencies and anti-social tendencies—were negatively related to number of years of education completed. However, when partial-correlation analysis was used to determine the relationship of education to each variable when the remaining variables were controlled, only orthodoxy, status concern, and withdrawal tendencies were still related to years of education completed.

---

Plant (1964) compared observed changes in dogmatism, ethnocentrism, and authoritarianism over a 2-year period for a group of highly promising invitees who participated in a special 2-year humanities program ( $n=119$ ) and an

equally promising group of invitees who declined to participate in the program ( $n=48$ ). Pre- and post-test measures were obtained for both groups on the following three scales: *Rokeach Dogmatism Scale*, *Adorno Ethnocentrism Scale*, and *Gough Authoritarianism Scale*.

When correlated  $t$  tests were used between the pre- and post-test means, it was found that both groups had significantly decreased scores for all three measures. However, without exception the net mean change was greater for the students enrolled in the special Humanities Program. The findings suggested that such a program can have a significant impact in reducing the prejudices of college students.

---

In an early study, Plant (1958) used  $t$  tests to compare ethnocentrism scores for men as compared with women, and for students in fraternities-sororities as compared with students in other college residence environments at San Jose State College. It was found that women students ( $n=273$ ) had less ethnocentrism than did men ( $n=232$ ) at both college entrance and after two years of college attendance with both groups exhibiting less ethnocentrism after the two years. Concerning fraternity-sorority membership, no significant differences were found between members and nonmembers at either point in time.

Plant also computed zero-order correlations between ethnocentrism scores and intelligence test scores (scores on the *American Council on Education Psychological Examination*). There was a significant correlation found for women, although it was quite small ( $r=-.12$ ), and a nonsignificant correlation for men ( $r=-.04$ ). It was concluded that change in ethnocentrism associated with two years of college experience did not seem to be greater or lesser for students of varying intelligence.

The sorority-fraternity part of the study was repeated several years later (Plant, 1966), this time using only women. Once again no significant differences were found between sorority and nonsorority women. The same was true of comparisons on dogmatism and authoritarianism.

#### Success Viewed as Development of a Respect for Others and Their Views: Published Literature

- Adorno, T. W., et al. *The authoritarian personality*. New York: Harper, 1950.
- Allport, G. W. *The nature of prejudice*. Cambridge: Addison-Wesley, 1954.
- Allport, G. W. *Personality and social encounter*. Boston: Beacon, 1960.

- Allport, G. W. Prejudice: Is it societal or personal? *Journal of Social Issues*, 1962, 18(2), 120-134.
- Allport, G. W. Prejudice: Is it societal or personal? *Religious Education*, 1964, 59, 20-29.
- Allport, G. W. The religious context of prejudice. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 1966, 5, 447-457.
- Allport, G. W. *The person in psychology*. Boston: Beacon Press, 1968.
- Allport, G. W., & Kramer, B. M. Some roots of prejudice. *Journal of Psychology*, 1946, 22, 9-39.
- Ames, R. G., & Sakuma, A. F. Criteria for evaluating others: A re-examination of the Bozardus Social Distance Scale. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1969, 54, 5-24.
- Asch, M. J. Nondirective teaching in psychology: An experimental study. *Psychological Monographs*, 1951, 65, (Whole No. 321).
- Bardis, P. D. Social distance among foreign students. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1956, 41, 112-114.
- Berger, E. M. The relation between expressed acceptance of self and expressed acceptance of others. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1952, 47, 778-782.
- Berger, E. M. The relation between expressed acceptance of self and expressed acceptance of others. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1952, 47, 778-782.
- Bettelheim, B., & Janowitz, M. *Social change and prejudice*. New York: Free Press of Glencoe, 1964.
- Biswanath, R. Social integration attitude of the post graduate students toward certain westerners. *Psychological Studies*, 1967, 12, 39-45.
- Block, J. R., & Yuker, H. E. Correlates of an intellectual orientation among college students. *American Psychologist*, 1965, 20, 493.
- Blood, R. O., Jr., & Nicholson, S. O. International dating experiences of American women students. *Marriage and Family Living*, 1962, 24, 129-136.
- Bringmann, W. G. Re-examination of ethnocentrism and problem-solving rigidity. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, 20, 1069-1070.

- Brody, E. B., & Derbyshire, R. L. Prejudice in American Negro college students: Mental status, antisemitism and antiforeign prejudice. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1963, 9, 619-628.
- Byrne, D., Griffitt, W., & Stefaniak, D. Attraction and similarity of personality characteristics. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 5, 82-90.
- Byrne, D., & Wong, T. J. Racial prejudice, interpersonal attraction, and assumed dissimilarity of attitudes. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1962, 65, 246-253.
- Caffrey, B., Anderson, S., II., & Garrison, J. Change in racial attitudes of white southerners after exposure to the atmosphere of a southern university. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 555-558.
- Caffrey, B., & Capel, W. C. The predictive value of neutral positions in opinion and attitude research. *Journal of Psychology*, 1968, 69, 145-154.
- Caffrey, B., & Capel, W. C. Negro and war attitudes of the same persons measured at three points over a 33-year period. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 543-551.
- Campbell, D. T., & McCandless, B. R. Ethnocentrism, xenophobia, and personality. *Human Relations*, 1951, 4, 185-192.
- Carlson, E. R. Attitude change through modification of attitude structure. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1956, 52, 256-261.
- Catton, W. R., Jr., & Hong, S. C. The relation of apparent minority ethnocentrism to majority antipathy. *American Sociological Review*, 1962, 27, 178-191.
- Centers, R. An effective classroom demonstration of stereotypes. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1951, 34, 41-46.
- Child, I. L., & Doob, L. W. Factors determining national stereotypes. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1943, 17, 203-219.
- Coelho, G. V. *Changing images of America: A study of Indian students' perceptions*. New York: Free Press, 1958.
- Cole, S., Steinberg, J., & Burkheimer, G. J. Prejudice and conservatism in a recently integrated southern college. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 23, 149-150.
- Cook, S. W., & Sellitz, C. Some factors which influence the attitudinal outcomes of personal contact. *International Social Science Bulletin*, 1955, 7, 51-58.

Cooper, J. B., & Singer, D. N. The role of emotion in prejudice. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1956, 44, 241-247.

Crown, S. Some personality correlates of war-mindedness and anti-Semitism. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1950, 31, 131-143.

Culbertson, F. M. Modification of an emotionally held attitude through role playing. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1957, 54, 230-233.

Curtis, R. F., Timbers, D. M., & Jackson, E. F. Prejudice and urban social participation. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1967, 73, 235-244.

Darley, J. M. Fear and social comparison as determinants of conformity behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 4, 73-78.

Davidoff, M. D. *A study of empathy and correlates of prejudice toward a minority group*. Purdue University Studies in Higher Education No. 67. Lafayette, Ind.: Purdue University, Division of Education, 1949.

Derbyshire, R. L., & Brody, E. Social distance and identity conflict in Negro College students. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1964, 48, 301-314.

Diab, L. N. Authoritarianism and prejudice in Near-Eastern students attending American universities. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1959, 50, 175-187.

Dodd, J. M., & Strang, H. A comparison of prejudiced and nonprejudiced freshman elementary education women. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1966, 59, 424-426.

Dworkin, A. G. Stereotypes and self-images held by native-born and foreign-born Mexican Americans. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1965, 49, 214-224.

Eddy, E. M. Attitudes towards desegregation among Southern students on a Northern campus. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1964, 62, 285-301.

Edwards, A. L. Studies of stereotypes: I. The directionality and uniformity of responses to stereotypes. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1940, 12, 357-366.

Ehrlich, H. J., & Rinehart, J. W. A brief report on the methodology of stereotype research. *Social Forces*, 1965, 43, 564-575.

Eisenman, R., & Cole, S. N. Prejudice and conservatism in denominational college students. *Psychological Reports*, 1964, 14, 644.

Fishbein, M. (Ed.) *Readings in attitude theory and measurement*. New York: Wiley, 1967.

- Friedman, S. T., & Sherrill, D. Interpersonal attraction as a function of freedom of choice. *Journal of Psychology*, 1968, **68**, 281-285.
- Garrison, K. C. Worldminded attitudes of college students in a southern university. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1961, **54**, 147-153.
- Gilbert, G. M. Stereotype persistence and change among college students. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1951, **46**, 245-254.
- Gough, H. G. Studies of social intolerance: I. Some psychological and sociological correlates of anti-Semitism. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1951, **33**, 237-246. (a)
- Gough, H. G. Studies of social intolerance. II. A personality scale for anti-Semitism. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1951, **33**, 247-255. (b)
- Gough, H. G. Studies of social intolerance: III. Relationship of the Pr scale to other variables. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1951, **33**, 257-262. (c)
- Gough, H. G. Studies of social intolerance: IV. Related social attitudes. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1951, **33**, 263-269. (d)
- Grafton, T. H. An attitude scale on accepting Negro students. *Social Forces*, 1964, **43**, 38-41.
- Harding, J., et al. Prejudice and ethnic relations. In G. Lindzey and E. Aronson (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology*, Vol. V. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1968.
- Hendrick, C., & Hawkins, G. Race and belief similarity as determinants of attraction. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, **29**, 710.
- Himelhoch, J. Tolerance and personality needs: A study of the liberalization of ethnic attitudes among minority group college students. *American Sociological Review*, 1950, **15**, 79-88.
- Hofman, J. E., & Zak, I. Interpersonal contact and attitude change in a cross-cultural situation. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1969, **78**, 165-171.
- Hofstaetter, P. R. A factorial study of prejudice. *Journal of Personality*, 1952, **21**, 228-239.
- Holtzman, W. H., & Young, R. K. Scales for measuring attitude toward the Negro and toward organized religion. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, **18**, 31-34.
- Hunt, M. F., Jr., & Miller, G. R. Open- and closed-mindedness, belief-discrepant communication behavior, and tolerance for cognitive inconsistency. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, **8**, 35-37.

Hyman, H. H., & Sheatsley, P. B. Attitudes on desegregation. *Scientific American*, 1964 211(1), 16-23.

Jackson, D. N., & Messick, S. J. A note on ethnocentrism and acquiescent response sets. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1957, 54, 132-134.

Jellison, J. M., & Mills, J. Effect of similarity and fortune of the other on attraction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 5, 459-462.

Jones, V. Attitudes of college students and the changes in such attitudes during four years in college. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1938, 29, 14-25, 114-134.

Karlins, M., Coffman, T. L., & Walters, G. On the fading of social stereotypes: Studies in three generations of college students. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1969, 13, 1-16.

Kassof, A. The prejudiced personality: A cross-cultural test. *Social Problems*, 1958, 6, 59-67.

Katz, D., & Braly, K. W. Racial stereotypes of one hundred college students. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1933, 28, 280-290.

Katz, D., & Braly, K. W. Racial prejudice and racial stereotypes. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1935, 30, 175-193.

Katz, D., & McClintock, C. The measurement of ego defense as related to attitude change. *Journal of Personality*, 1957, 25, 465-474.

Katz, I. Experimental studies of Negro-White relationships. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology*, Vol. V. New York: Academic Press, 1965.

Kaufman, W. C. Status, authoritarianism, and anti-Semitism. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1957, 62, 379-382.

Kelly, J. G., Ferson, J. E., & Holtzman, W. H. The measurement of attitudes toward the Negro in the South. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1958, 48, 305-317.

Kinnick, B. C., & Plattor, S. D. Attitudinal change toward Negroes and school desegregation among participants in a summer training institute. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1967, 73, 271-283.

Kirtley, D. Conformity and prejudice in authoritarians of opposing political ideologies. *Journal of Psychology*, 1963, 70, 199-204.

- Kirtley, D., & Harkless, R. Some personality and attitudinal correlates of dogmatism. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 24, 851-854.
- Klein, E. B. Stylistic components of response as related to attitude change. *Journal of Personality*, 1963, 31, 38-51.
- Kolb, D. A., Winter, S. K., & Berlew, D. E. Self-directed change: Two studies. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 1968, 4, 453-471.
- Lehmann, I. J., Sinha, B. K., & Hartnett, R. T. Changes in attitudes and values associated with college attendance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1966, 57, 89-98.
- Lentz, T. F. The attitudes of world citizenship. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1950, 32, 207-214.
- Leonard, E. W. Attitude change in a college program of foreign study and travel. *Educational Record*, 1964, 45, 173-181.
- Linn, L. S. Verbal attitude and overt behavior: A study of racial discrimination. *Social Forces*, 1965, 43, 353-364.
- Lombardi, D. N. Factors affecting change in attitudes toward negroes among high school students. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1963, 32, 129-136.
- Long, B. Catholic-Protestant differences in acceptance of others. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1965, 49, 166-172.
- MacKinnon, W. J., & Centers, R. Authoritarianism and urban stratification. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1956, 61, 610-620.
- Mainer, R. E. *Attitude changes in intergroup education programs*. Purdue University Studies in Higher Education No. 19. Lafayette, Ind.: Purdue University, 1954.
- Maliver, B. L. Anti-Negro bias among Negro college students. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 2, 770-775.
- Martin, J. G. *The tolerant personality*. Detroit: Wayne State University Press, 1964.
- Martin, J. G., & Westie, F. R. The tolerant personality. *American Sociological Review*, 1959, 24, 521-528.
- McClain, E. W., & Andrews, H. B. Some personality correlates of peak experiences—A study of self-actualization. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1969, 25, 36-38.

- Middleton, R. Ethnic prejudice and susceptibility to persuasion. *American Sociological Review*, 1960, 25, 679-686.
- Mitnick, L. L., & McGinnies, E. Influencing ethnocentrism in small discussion groups through a film communication. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1958, 56, 82-90.
- Morris, R. T., & Davidsen, O. *The two-way mirror: National status in foreign students' adjustment*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1960.
- Noel, D. L. & Pinkney, A. Correlates of prejudice: Some racial differences and similarities. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1964, 69, 609-622.
- Omwake, K. T. The relationship between acceptance of self and acceptance of others shown by three personality inventories. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1954, 18, 443-446.
- Peak, H., & Morrison, H. W. The acceptance of information into attitude structure. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1958, 57, 127-135.
- Peak, H., Muney, B., & Clay, M. Opposites structures, defenses, and attitudes. *Psychological Monographs*, 1960, 74(8, Whole No. 495).
- Pettigrew, T. F. Regional differences in anti-Negro prejudice. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1959, 59, 28-36.
- Phillips, E. L. Attitudes toward self and others: A brief-questionnaire report. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1951, 15, 79-81.
- Photiadis, J. D. Education and personality variables related to prejudice. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1962, 58, 269-275.
- Piedmont, E. B. Changing racial attitudes at a southern university: 1947-1964. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1967, 36, 32-41.
- Pishkin, V., Pierce, C. M., & Mathis, J. L. Analysis of attitudinal and personality variables in relation to a programmed course in psychiatry. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1967, 23, 53-56.
- Plant, W. T. Changes in ethnocentrism associated with a four-year college education. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1958, 49, 162-165. (a)
- Plant, W. T. Changes in ethnocentrism associated with a two-year college experience. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 1958, 92, 189-197. (b)
- Plant, W. T. Sex, intelligence, and sorority or fraternity membership and changes in ethnocentrism over a two-year period. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 1958, 93, 53-57. (c)

- Plant, W. T. Longitudinal evaluation of nonintellectual changes associated with a special two-year humanities program. *Psychological Reports*, 1964, 15, 225-226.
- Plant, W. T. Longitudinal changes in intolerance and authoritarianism for subjects differing in amount of college education over four years. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1965, 72, 247-287.
- Plant, W. T. Changes in intolerance and authoritarianism for sorority and nonsorority women enrolled in college for two years. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1966, 68, 79-83.
- Plant, W. T., Telford, C. W., & Thomas, J. A. Some personality differences between dogmatic and nondogmatic groups. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1965, 67, 67-75.
- Posavac, E. J., & Triandis, H. C. Personality characteristics, race, and grades as determinants of interpersonal attitudes. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, 76, 227-242.
- Prentice, N. M. The influence of ethnic attitudes on reasoning about ethnic groups. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1957, 55, 270-272.
- Proenza, L., & Strickland, B. R. A study of prejudice in Negro and white college students. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1965, 67, 273-281.
- Prolifro, E. T., & Jensen, J. A. Comparison of some ethnic and religious attitudes of Negro and white college students in the deep South. *Social Forces*, 1952, 30, 426-428.
- Pyron, B. A factor-analytic study of simplicity-complexity of social ordering. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1966, 22, 259-272.
- Reed, R. Y. Prejudices: Effects on children. *Childhood Education*, 1960, 36, 203-205.
- Roberts, A. H., & Rokeach, M. Anomie, authoritarianism, and prejudice: A replication. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1956, 61, 355-358.
- Robin, S. S., & Story, F. Ideological consistency of college students: The bill of rights and attitudes towards minority groups. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1964, 48, 187-196.
- Rokeach, M. Political and religious dogmatism: An alternative to the authoritarian personality. *Psychological Monographs*, 1956, 70(18, Whole No. 425).

Rokeach, M., et al. *The open and closed mind: Investigations into the nature of belief systems and personality systems*. New York: Basic Books, 1960.

Rokeach, M., & Fruchter, B. A factorial study of dogmatism and related concepts. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1956, 53, 365-360.

Rose, A. M. *The roots of prejudice*. Paris: UNESCO, 1951.

Rose, A. M. Prejudice, anomie, and the authoritarian personality. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1966, 50, 141-147.

Rosenberg, M. J. Cognitive structure and attitudinal affect. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1956, 53, 367-372.

Rosenblith, J. F. A replication of "some roots of prejudice." *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1949, 44, 470-489.

Rotter, J. B. A new scale for the measurement of interpersonal trust. *Journal of Personality*, 1967, 35, 651-665.

Saenger, G. *The social psychology of prejudice: Achieving intercultural understanding and cooperation in a democracy*. (1st ed.) New York: Harper, 1953.

Saenger, G., & Flowerman, S. Stereotypes and prejudicial attitudes. *Human Relations*, 1954, 7, 217-238.

Sampson, D. L., & Smith, H. P. A scale to measure world-minded attitudes. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1957, 45, 99-106.

Sapientfield, B. R. Stereotypical perception of a personality trait in fraternity members. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, 29, 460-462.

Sarnoff, I., Katz, D., & McClintock, C. Attitude-change procedures and motivating patterns. In H. Proshansky & B. Seidenberg, *Basic studies in social psychology*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1965.

Sawatzky, D. D., & Zingle, H. W. Accurate interpersonal perception and open-mindedness. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, 29, 395-400.

Scodel, A., & Mussen, P. Social Perceptions of authoritarians and nonauthoritarians. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1953, 48, 181-184.

Segal, B. E. Fraternities, social distance, and anti-Semitism among Jewish and non-Jewish undergraduates. *Sociology of Education*, 1965, 38, 251-264.

- Segal, B. E. Contact, compliance, and distance among Jewish and non-Jewish undergraduates. *Social Problems*, 1965, **13**, 66-74.
- Segal, B. E., & Thomsen, P. K. Status orientation and ethnic sentiment among undergraduates. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1965, **71**, 60-67.
- Selvin, H. C., & Hagstrom, W. O. Determinants of support for civil liberties. *British Journal of Sociology*, 1960, **11**, 51-73.
- Sheerer, E. T. An analysis of the relationship between acceptance of and respect for self and acceptance of and respect for others in ten counseling cases. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1949, **13**, 169-175.
- Sherman, R. Personality and strategic choice. *Journal of Psychology*, 1968, **70**, 191-197.
- Simpson, R. L. Negro-Jewish prejudice: Authoritarianism and some social variables as correlates. *Social Problems*, 1959, **7**, 138-146.
- Sims, V. M., & Patrick, J. R. Attitude toward the Negro of the Northern and Southern college students. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1936, **7**, 192-203.
- Sister Mary Ellen. "Care": An after crisis action. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1969, **17**, 176-177.
- Smith, H. P. Do intercultural experiences affect attitudes? *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, **51**, 469-477.
- Smith, H. P., & Rosen, E. W. Some psychological correlates of worldmindedness and authoritarianism. *Journal of Personality*, 1958, **26**, 170-188.
- Smith, M. B. (Ed.) Attitudes and adjustment in cross-cultural contact: Recent studies of foreign students. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1956, **12** (1).
- Solomon, L. N. A group program for high-potential underachieving college freshmen. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1969, **39**, 304-305.
- Spilka, B., & Reynolds, J. F. Religion and prejudice: A factor-analytic study. *Review of Religious Research*, 1965, **6**, 163-168.
- Spilka, B., & Struening, E. L. A questionnaire study of personality and ethnocentrism. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1956, **44**, 65-71.

Stember, C. H. *Education and attitude change: The effect of schooling on prejudice against minority groups*. New York: Institute of Human Relations Press, 1961.

Stephenson, C. M. The relation between the attitudes toward Negroes of white college students and the college or school in which they are registered. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1952, **36**, 197-204.

Stephenson, C. M. The relation between the attitudes toward Negroes of seniors in a school of education and their major subject. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1955, **49**, 113-121.

Stock, D. An investigation into the interrelations between the self concept and feelings directed toward other persons and groups. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1949, **13**, 176-180.

Stotland, E., Katz, D., & Patchen, M. The reduction of prejudice through the arousal of self-insight. *Journal of Personality*, 1959, **27**, 507-531.

Stotland, E., & Patchen, M. Identification and changes in prejudice and in authoritarianism. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, **62**, 265-274.

Suinn, R. M. The relationship between self-acceptance and acceptance of others: A learning theory analysis. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, **63**, 37-42.

Suinn, R. M., & Hill, H. Influence of anxiety on the relationship between self-acceptance and acceptance of others. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1964, **28**, 116-119.

Surace, S. J., & Seeman, M. Some correlates of civil rights activism. *Social Forces*, 1967, **46**, 197-207.

Tajfel, H. Cognitive aspects of prejudice. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1969, **25**(4), 79-97.

Tisdale, J. R. Students with extrinsic religious values: A study in contrasting groups. *Review of Religious Research*, 1967, **9**, 11-15.

Triandis, H. C., & Vassilios, V. Frequency of contact and stereotyping. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, **7**, 316-328.

Vernecko, J. J. Religious behavior and prejudice: Some dimensions and specifications of the relationships. *Review of Religious Research*, 1966, **8**, 27-37.

- Vinacke, W. E. Explorations in the dynamic processes of stereotyping. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1956, **43**, 105-132.
- Wagman, M. Attitude change and authoritarian personality. *Journal of Psychology*, 1955, **40**, 3-24.
- Warner, L. G., & DeFleur, M. L. Attitude as an interactional concept: Social constraint and social distance as intervening variables between attitudes and action. *American Sociological Review*, 1969, **34**, 153-169.
- Watson, J., & Lippitt, R. *Learning across cultures: A study of Germans visiting America*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Research Center for Group Dynamics, 1955.
- Wicker, A. W. Attitudes versus actions: The relationship of verbal and overt behavioral responses to attitude objects. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1969, **25**(4), 41-78.
- Wieder, G. S. Group procedures modifying attitudes of prejudice in the college classroom. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1954, **45**, 332-344.
- Wilson, H. E. *American college life as education in world outlook*. Washington: American Council of Education, 1956.
- Wilson, W. C. Extrinsic religious values and prejudice. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, **60**, 286-288.
- Wilson, W. C. Development of ethnic attitudes in adolescence. *Child Development*, 1963, **34**, 247-256.
- Winslow, C. N. A study of the extent of agreement between friends' opinions and their ability to estimate the opinions of each other. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1937, **8**, 433-442.
- Wright, B. A. *Educating for diversity*. New York: John Day, 1965.
- Young, D. Some effects of a course in American race problems on the race prejudice of 450 undergraduates at the University of Pennsylvania. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1927, **22**, 235-242.
- Young, R. K., Benson, W. M., & Holtzman, W. H. Change in attitudes toward the Negro in a southern university. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, **60**, 131-133.
- Young, R. K., Clore, G., & Holtzman, W. H. Further change in attitude toward the Negro in a Southern university. In D. Byrne & M. L. Hamilton (Eds.), *Personality research: A book of readings*. New York: Prentice-Hall, 1966.

### Participation and/or Recognition in Extracurricular Activities

Extracurricular means "outside the curriculum," and all student activities outside of the curriculum have traditionally been classified in this way. In the decade of the sixties, there was a move within the student personnel profession to focus on student activities as important learning experiences constituting a co-curriculum, but the traditional concept still predominates. However, curriculum has been rapidly expanding into such out-of-class areas as independent study programs, work-study programs that take place both on and off of the campus, living-learning programs, travel and study in foreign countries, the 4-1-4 or 4-4-1 calendar, and the "free university." Such changes offer promise that student out-of-class activities once considered extracurricular, or at the most co-curricular, will someday be commonly considered an integral part of the college curriculum.

For some students, the major reason they are in college is to achieve in the extracurricular area. Thus, one student wishes to play intercollegiate athletics, a second wishes to excel in drama, a third wishes to participate in student government, etc. What they have in common is that getting a degree is secondary in importance to extracurricular participation and achievement. For such students, development in the extracurricular area is the primary criterion of their college success. Others may or may not concur about the validity of such a goal.

Extracurricular participation and/or achievement is merely one aspect or one type of college success for most students. Although this may represent a secondary source of success for many of these students, this does *not* mean that this achievement is less relevant or less important to them.

Although extreme emphasis by students on the extracurricular would be considered unfortunate by most college educators, many of them would judge the college unsuccessful in one respect if it did not support a healthy participation in some extracurricular activities. There are important perceived benefits emerging from this type of participation. Many of these purported benefits have been promoted by leaders in the student personnel field (Lloyd-Jones & Smith, 1954; Mueller, 1961; Stroup, 1964; Williamson, 1961). Their contentions regarding extracurricular participation is that this experience:

- aids in learning about interpersonal relations and how to relate oneself to, and get along with, others
- gives confidence in social skills, relationships, and dealing with others
- gives practical experience in cooperation, teamwork, and being an effective member of a group
- provides opportunity to grow in leadership skills

- gives opportunities for planning, managing, administering, and decision-making
- gives experiences in learning-by-doing in such areas as citizenship, work, family, etc.
- promotes creativity and originality
- promotes self-initiative, independence, responsibility, and self-discipline
- learns to accept defeats, to rebound from such defeats, and to *not* gloat in victories
- provides constructive energy and recreational outlets
- develops profitable habits, interests, and activities for retirement
- complements the classroom by allowing practical application of classroom concepts, theories, and principles to real-life situations
- provides opportunity for coming into contact with up-to-date and new knowledge that is not a part of the curriculum
- provides opportunities for seeing the relationships among the specialized areas of the academic curriculum, i.e., viewing them in the context of the whole
- promotes rational inquiry concerning the world around us
- helps develop a unique sense of being, i.e., of individuality
- develops a feel for and an appreciation of the "art of living"
- develops purposes, values, and a philosophy of life

Whether such claims are valid has *not* been empirically substantiated. However, these beliefs are held by many people who cite the subjective experience reported by students as supporting their contentions. And to many of the college officials such growth is just as important to "college success" as is "academic learning" and getting good grades.

#### **Success Viewed as Participation and/or Recognition in Extracurricular Activities: Selected Annotations**

**Bach** (1961) studied factors related to student participation in campus social organizations. A sample of 272 sophomores in a large Midwestern university

completed the *Chapin Social Participation Scale* (a scale measuring extracurricular participation), the *Washburne Social Adjustment Inventory*, and a background data sheet. Differences between groups of students falling into various extracurricular social participation categories were analyzed using *F*-ratio tests, critical-ratio tests, and chi-square tests. Separate analyses were conducted for men and women.

It was discovered that sex, age, marital status, military status, and number of hours worked per week (for both men and women) were significantly related to social participation, while social class was not significantly related. Men in agriculture and women in home economics had the highest social participation scores, as did fraternity and sorority residents. Although informal leisure activities generally did not differentiate the participants from the nonparticipants, men and women participants engaged in "bull" sessions more often than did nonparticipants, and women participants dated more than did women nonparticipants. Conversely, men participants attended movies, plays, and concerts and were active in sports less frequently than did men nonparticipants. Another factor related to social participation for men only was travel time and mode of travel for getting to the campus. No significant relationship was found for either sex between social adjustment and social participation.

**Baird** (1969b) wondered why some students who have outstanding extracurricular achievement records in high school become ordinary students in college, while other students with similar high school GPA and extracurricular records continue their extracurricular achievement at the same or higher levels in college. A total of 12,432 students were considered as high school "achievers" or "nonachievers" on the basis of their grades and scores on scales of high school extracurricular achievements in leadership, art, science, music, writing, and speech and drama. At the end of the sophomore year, 5,129 of the students (2,295 men and 2,834 women) or 43% completed college extracurricular achievement scales for the same six areas. Pretest comparisons of students with and without follow-up data indicated that only a small amount of bias was present.

Predictors for the study included scores on the *Vocational Preference Inventory*, the *Student Orientation Survey*, the *Preconscious Activity Scale*, the *Intrapersonal Competency Scale*, the *Dogmatism Scale*, the *Indecision Scale*, an intellectual resources in the home scale, a range of experience scale, potential achievement scales, competency scales, life goals scales, and self-rating scales. Separate analyses for high school achievers and nonachievers in each area were conducted with biserial correlations relating the predictor variables to the two categories of college achievement in that area (student achievers in the area and student nonachievers in the area).

Few of the students (high school achievers and nonachievers) achieved in

college. However, those high school nonachievers and achievers who did begin or continue to achieve in college were distinguishable from the other students by their life goals; a broad range of relevant experiences and skills; and a self-concept which implied interest, capacity, and persistence in the area.

Donovan and Olsen (1965) attempted to ascertain personality characteristics that would distinguish freshman women who would apply for appointive positions in a student activities program from freshman women who would not apply for such positions. A group of 48 unmarried freshmen living in a college dormitory who had not applied for positions in the activity program at Washington State University were paired and matched on grade point average and curricular major with a group of 48 dormitory women who had applied for such a position and who had also listed as their first preference an activity-type committee which was either recreational or intellectual-cultural as opposed to administrative-advisory. The *California Psychological Inventory* (CPI) was the personality instrument utilized in the study, and *t*-test comparisons between the two groups were made for means on each CPI scale and also for *Scholastic Aptitude Test* (SAT) means:

Significant differences between the groups were found on the following five CPI scales: Self-Acceptance, Sociability, Social Presence, Dominance, and Capacity for Status. No SAT mean differences were found, and it was also noted that the mean number of credit hours carried the first semester was 15.5 for both groups.

Holland and Nichols (1964) used a variety of scales to predict academic and extracurricular achievement in college for a sample of 1,000 National Merit finalists. Interests, goals, activities, self-concepts, aptitudes, and personality traits were measured. In addition to high school rank and *Scholastic Aptitude Test* scores, predictor scores were available for the *Vocational Preference Inventory* and the following scales: the *Indecision Scale*, a range of experiences scale, an intellectual resources in the home scale, a range of competencies scale, the *Deferred Gratification Scale*, the *Super-Ego Scale*, the *Independence of Judgment Scale*, an aspirations and goals scale, high school achievement scales, and self-rating scales. Criteria of achievement included grades and checklists of accomplishments in art, science, leadership, music, dramatic arts, and writing.

Intercorrelations were calculated and then multiple-regression equations were developed by selecting the most efficient ( $p < .01$ ) predictors from the 130 variables available. These procedures resulted in three to five variable multiple-regression equations for each criterion and each sex. Cross-valida-

tion of results using 437 other students revealed that records of past achievement and the *Potential Achievement Scales* developed from everyday activities and interests were generally superior to other kinds of variables as predictors of achievement in college. Expressed goals were next in predictive efficiency, followed by a variety of measures of lesser usefulness. *Scholastic Aptitude Test* scores failed to enter any of the prediction equations at this high level of aptitude.

Locke (1963) related intellectual abilities, personality traits, personal habits, and demographic variables to classroom and out-of-class achievements for 122 high school juniors and seniors attending a Cornell University summer school advanced science training program. All of the subjects were highly selected in terms of both aptitude and motivation. A total of 47 variables were intercorrelated and the correlations subjected to factor analysis. Factor scores for the 11 factors which resulted were then correlated separately by sex with scores on a classroom achievement factor and an out-of-class achievement factor which had been derived in an earlier study.

While "vocabulary" and "self-control" were found to be the most highly related to classroom achievement, along with "creative energy," which had a negative correlation for females and a positive correlation for males, "school and city size" and "originality" related most highly to out-of-class achievement. Those were the only two statistically significant correlations with out-of-class achievement for males. The females also had significant correlations for "independence versus socio-economic status," "research opportunities," and "vocabulary."

Mackenzie (1967) administered the *Extracurricular Achievement Record* (EAR), developed by Holland and Nichols (1964), to 104 first-year dentistry students at the University of Pittsburgh and attempted to use scores on that instrument to predict extracurricular activities in dental school. The three criterion measures of dental school extracurricular activities were (a) student candidacy in class elections, (b) student participation in giving table clinics at the Student American Dental Association Day, and (c) student application for summer research fellowships.

Each of the three criterion measures divided the students into two groups, participants and nonparticipants. Chi-square analysis revealed that scoring high on the EAR Leadership Scale predicted participation in dental school leadership activities, that scoring high on the EAR Scientific Scale predicted a tendency to seek the summer research positions, and that scoring high on all of the EAR scales predicted participation in the dental school professional activities. The results thus supported the predictive validity of the EAR in that

students who had participated in leadership, scientific, or general extracurricular activities in high school tended to continue similar activities in dental school. They also scored higher on the EAR.

---

**Richards, Holland, and Lutz** (1966a, 1966b, 1967a, 1967b, 1968) explored the predictive usefulness of twelve scales designed to measure notable extracurricular accomplishments in college, six scales measuring high school extracurricular accomplishments, and one academic accomplishment scale. Each of the extracurricular accomplishment scales focused on a particular area of activity, e.g., social, religious, music, etc.

A large sample of students who had a broad range of talent and who attended a variety of colleges completed the questionnaires. The correlations and multiple correlations computed indicated that the high school scales can predict extracurricular accomplishment in college with moderate reliability. Students participating in particular extracurricular activities in high school tended to also participate in those activities in college. Besides demonstrating that the high school activity scales had useful predictive validity, the results supported earlier findings which had suggested that nonacademic accomplishment is largely independent of academic potential and achievement. An additional finding was noted when the college achievement scales were administered to freshmen, sophomores, and seniors. In general, seniors had more extracurricular accomplishments of each kind than did sophomores; and sophomores had more extracurricular accomplishments of each kind than did freshmen.

---

**Schendel** (1965) studied personality differences between athletes and nonparticipants in athletics at three educational levels using the *California Psychological Inventory* (CPI). The comparisons were made for males only and involved 105 upperclass students at the University of Oregon (45 athletes and 60 athletic nonparticipants), plus 109 boys in 12th grade and 120 boys in 9th grade in the Eugene and Springfield, Oregon, public schools. To explore differences in means at each level, *t*-tests were used. In addition, CPI profile charts were prepared so that the mean pattern for one group could be compared with the mean pattern for the other group at each grade level.

From the findings, it was concluded that the college *nonparticipants* in athletics generally possessed desirable personal-social psychological characteristics to a greater extent than did the athletes. This was just the reverse of findings at the lower grade levels where the athletes exhibited more desirable characteristics than did the nonparticipants. Significant mean differences were found on 9 of the 18 scales for college athletes with nonparticipants having significantly higher means on 8 of the 18 scales, and athletes having a significantly higher mean on one scale.

At the 9th and 12th grade levels, significant differences (on 8 scales for Grade 9 and 4 scales for Grade 12) involved scales on which the athletes had the higher means, with the exception of 2 scales at the 12th grade level.

The nonparticipants in athletics in college, when compared with the college athletes, possessed more of the qualities which lead to status, were more conscientious and responsible, possessed greater tolerance, were more capable of independent judgment, had greater intellectual efficiency (intelligence was not controlled in this study), were more interested in the psychological needs of other people, were more adaptable in their thinking and social behavior, and had more feminine interests. The college athletes were more conventional in responding to social situations. Few differences in psychological characteristics existed between college athletes rated as substitutes, regular players, or outstanding athletes, although the substitutes were more like the nonparticipants in some respects.

---

For three classes of students who had entered as freshmen and graduated from Barnard College (N=633), **Schmeidler, Nelson, and Bristol (1959)** made within-group and between-group comparisons for the following three categories of students: (a) students who received academic or extracurricular honors; (b) students who withdrew, were on probation, engaged in extremely few extracurricular activities, or had severe psychological difficulties; and (c) students rated as potentially creative. The groups were formed on the basis of data from student records at graduation plus ratings from the college psychiatrist. The comparisons were based on *Scholastic Aptitude Test* (SAT) quartiles and group *Rorschach* adjustment ratings determined for each student at college entrance.

For subgroups within each of the above groups, cross-tabulation tables of frequencies were prepared which related *Rorschach* adjustment ratings to SAT quartiles. Using chi-square analysis, each subgroup was then compared with all other students in the sample on SAT quartiles and *Rorschach* ratings. It was found that not only was there a significant relationship between academic honors and SAT scores but also there was a significant relationship between extracurricular honors and SAT scores. Similarly, it was found that the *Rorschach* rating of adjustment was significantly related to both academic and extracurricular honors. When the focus was on the second major group, SAT scores were found to be related to withdrawal and probation, as expected, but not to the condition of engaging in very few extracurricular activities nor the condition of having severe psychological difficulty. *Rorschach* adjustment ratings were significantly related to withdrawal ( $p < .01$ ), had a suggestive relation to probation, and had a highly significant relation to "few extracurricular activities" and to "severe psychological difficulties" ( $p < .001$ ).

Concerning the students rated as potentially creative, it was found that they tended to be more intelligent, to achieve more academic and extracurricular honors, and also to behave differently from the other students.

Based on their athletic participation in high school, 456 men graduating from the U.S. Military Academy were classified into an athlete group (N=340) and an athletic nonparticipant group (N=116) by Werner and Gottzell (1966). The *Cattell Sixteen Personality Factor Inventory* (16PF), had been administered shortly after entrance and again shortly before graduation four years later. Since the proportion of athletes who graduated from the Academy was significantly greater than the proportion of athletic nonparticipants graduating, only students graduating were included in the study so that pre- and post-comparisons could be made for the same students.

When *t* tests were conducted on 16PF mean differences between the two groups at college entrance, it was found that the athletes were more sociable, dominant, enthusiastic, adventurous, tough, group dependent, sophisticated, and conservative than were the nonathletes. It was concluded that either athletic participation influences personality structure or else that students with certain personality structures elect to participate in athletics. Since all cadets must participate extensively in athletics during their four years at the academy, a basis was offered for testing the two hypotheses. If more change in personality would occur for the nonathlete group, the first hypothesis would be supported. If no differences in personality change would occur over the four years, the second hypothesis would receive support. Therefore, comparisons were made between the two groups on the amount of personality change and the pattern of personality change from college entrance to college graduation.

When the mean of the absolute sum of all 16PF score changes for athletes was compared with the score-change mean for nonathletes, the difference between the groups was *not* significant. In addition, the direction of change for the two groups was the same for 15 of the 16 scales, with at least one exception being expected by chance alone. Furthermore, examination of the patterns at college entrance and again at graduation, using zero-order correlations of the mean scores on the 16 scales, implied that the nonathletes did not become more like the athletes in personality structure. From this preliminary study, it would seem that the second hypothesis has more support than does the first, at least for the college years. However, it must be remembered that this was a preliminary study with students at a unique collegiate institution.

### Success Viewed as Participation and/or Recognition in Extracurricular Activities: Published Literature

Auble, C. S.; & Auble, D. The relation between high-school and college participation in extra-curriculum activities. *School Review*, 1953, 61, 541-543.

Bach, M. L. Factors related to student participation in campus social organizations. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1961, 54, 337-348.

Baird, L. L. The achievements of bright and average students. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, 28, 891-899.

Baird, L. L. Big school, small school: A critical examination of the hypothesis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, 60, 253-260. (a)

Baird, L. L. Factors in the continuance of accomplishment from high school to college. *Measurement and Evaluation in Guidance*, 1969, 2, 5-18. (b)

Baird, L. L. Prediction of accomplishment in college: A study of achievement. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 246-253. (c)

Baird, L. L., & Richards, J. M., Jr. *The effects of selecting college students by various kinds of high school achievement*. ACT Research Report No. 23. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1968.

Baker, F., & O'Brien, G. L. Birth order and fraternity affiliation. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1969, 78, 41-43.

Barocas, R., & Gorlow, L. Religious affiliation, religious activities, and conformity. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, 20, 366.

Bayer, A. E., & Boruch, R. F. *The black student in American colleges*. ACE Research Report Vol. IV, No. 2. Washington: American Council on Education, 1969.

Bidwell, C. E., et al. Undergraduate careers: Alternatives and determinants. *School Review*, 1963, 71, 299-316.

Bolton, C. D., & Kammeyer, K. W. *The university student. A study of student behavior and values*. New Haven, Conn: College and University Press, 1967.

Brock, T. C., & Becker, L. A. Volition and attraction in everyday life. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1967, 72, 89-97.

Bucher, C. A., & Dupree, R. K., Jr. *Athletics in schools and colleges*. New York: Center for Applied Research in Education, 1965.

Campbell, D. P. *The results of counseling: Twenty-five years later*. Philadelphia: Saunders, 1965.

Chapman, G. O. The effects of acceleration on social and academic achievement. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1961, 32, 143-148.

Dole, A. A. College students report on their use of time. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1959, 37, 633-637.

Donovan, G. L., & Olsen, L. C. Personality characteristics of freshmen women applying for positions in activities. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1965, 6, 236-239.

Dowell, L., Badgett, J., & Chevrette, J. Motor skills achievement and authoritarian dimensions. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1968, 27, 469-470.

Eichler, G. A. *Studies in student leadership: Controlled experiments in the teaching of leadership with a quantitative analysis of the components of leadership*. Penn State Studies in Education No. 10. State College: Pennsylvania State College, 1934.

Elton, C. F., & Shevel, L. R. *Who is talented? An analysis of achievement*. ACT Research Report No. 31. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1969.

Frantz, T. T. Student athletes: A unique population? *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, 8, 97-99.

Frederick, R. W. *Student activities in American education*. New York: Center for Applied Research in Education, 1965.

Graham, W. R. Creative and constructive idea men and their participation in activities. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1965, 72, 383-391.

Hall, R. L., & Willerman, B. The educational influence of dormitory roommates. *Sociometry*, 1963, 26, 294-318.

Harari, H. Level of aspiration and athletic performance. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, 28, 519-524.

Hare, A. P. An evaluation of extra-curriculum activities. *School Review*, 1955, 63, 164-168.

Hatch, S. *Student residence: A discussion of the literature*. London: Society for Research into Higher Education, 1968.

Herzog, S. J. Student participation in politics. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1966, 14, 196-199.

Hill, R. An experimental study of social adjustment: An experimental study of the effects of staff stimulation to participation in extracurricular activities on the scholastic achievement and social adjustment of college students. *American Sociological Review*, 1944, 9, 481-494.

Holland, J. L. The prediction of academic and nonacademic accomplishment. *Proceedings of the 1966 Invitational Conference on Testing Problems*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1967.

Holland, J. L., & Astin, A. W. The prediction of the academic, artistic, scientific, and social achievement of undergraduates of superior scholastic aptitude. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1962, 53, 132-143.

Holland, J. L., & Nichols, R. C. Prediction of academic and extracurricular achievement in college. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1964, 55, 55-65.

Holland, J. L., & Richards, J. M., Jr. Academic and nonacademic accomplishment: Correlated or uncorrelated? *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1965, 56, 165-174.

Holland, J. L., & Richards, J. M., Jr. *Academic and nonacademic accomplishment in a representative sample taken from a population of 612,000*. ACT Research Report No. 12. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1966.

Holland, J. L., & Richards, J. M., Jr. Academic and nonacademic accomplishment in a representative sample of students taking the American College Tests. *College and University*, 1967, 43, 60-71. (a)

Holland, J. L., & Richards, J. M., Jr. The many faces of talent: A reply to Werts. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, 58, 205-209. (b)

Kalish, R. A., & Bartos, O. J. Survey of student attitudes towards campus activities at the University of Hawaii. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1960, 39, 292-299.

King, D. N., & Fess, F. E. A pilot program for married students. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1969, 10, 324-327.

Kirchner, J. H., & Nichols, R. C. The utility of counselor, teacher, peer and self ratings for the prediction of student behavior. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1965, 12, 192-195.

Klopf, G. *College student government*. New York: Harper, 1960.

Kroll, W., & Petersen, K. H. Personality factor profiles of collegiate football teams. *Research Quarterly*, 1965, 36, 433-440.

- Lewis, C. A., Bradley, R. L., & Rothney, J. M. Assessment of accomplishments of college students four years after high school graduation. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1969, 47, 745-752.
- Lloyd-Jones, E., & Smith, M. R. *Personnel work as deeper teaching*. New York: Harper, 1954.
- Locke, E. A. Some correlates of classroom and out-of-class achievement in gifted science students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1963, 54, 238-248.
- Lynch, A. Q. Perception of peer leadership influence. In ACUHO Research and Information Committee, Student Housing Research, an insert of the *ACUHO NEWS*, June 1969. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1970, 5, 354-355).
- Mackenzie, R. S. Predictive validity of a biographical inventory in higher education. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1967, 51, 544-546.
- McClure, R. F. Birth order and school attitudes. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 657-658.
- Mueller, K. H. *Student personnel work in higher education*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1961.
- Nichols, R. C. Nonintellective predictors of achievement in college. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1966, 26, 899-915.
- Nichols, R. C., & Holland, J. L. Prediction of the first year college performance of high aptitude students. *Psychological Monographs*, 1963, 77(7, Whole No. 570).
- Nichols, R. C., & Holland, J. L. The selection of high aptitude high school graduates for maximum achievement in college. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, 43, 33-40.
- Oppelt, N. T. Characteristics and activities of married college students. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1965, 6, 228-232.
- Painter, E. G. Significant variables as predictors of early college marriage. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1967, 30, 111-114.
- Pease, J. Faculty influence and professional participation of doctoral students. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1967, 37, 63-70.
- Prien, E. P., & Lee, R. J. Analysis of ten criteria of student performance. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 17, 273-274.

Remmers, H. H., et al. *Studies in extracurricular activities: I. Purdue University Studies in Higher Education* No. 39. Lafayette, Ind.: Purdue University, Division of Education, 1940.

Richards, J. M., Jr., Holland, J. L., & Lutz, S. W. *The assessment of student accomplishment in college*. ACT Research Report No. 11. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1966. (a)

Richards, J. M., Jr., Holland, J. L., & Lutz, S. W. *The prediction of student accomplishment in college*. ACT Research Report No. 13. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1966. (b)

Richards, J. M., Jr., Holland, J. L., & Lutz, S. W. Assessment of student accomplishment in college. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, 8, 360-365. (a)

Richards, J. M., Jr., Holland, J. L., & Lutz, S. W. Prediction of student accomplishment in college. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, 58, 343-355. (b)

Richards, J. M., Jr., & Lutz, S. W. Predicting student accomplishment in college from ACT Assessment. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1968, 5, 17-29.

Roberts, R. J. *Prediction of college performance of superior students*. NMSC Research Reports: Vol. I, No. 4. National Merit Scholarship Corporation, 1965.

Rogers, E. M. The effect of campus marriages on participation in college life. *College and University*, 1958, 33, 193-199.

Ryan, E. D., & Foster, R. Athletic participation and perceptual augmentation and reduction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 6, 372-476.

Schendel, J. Psychological differences between athletes and nonparticipants in athletics at three educational levels. *Research Quarterly*, 1965, 36, 52-67.

Schmeidler, G. R., Nelson, M. J., & Bristol, M. Freshman Rorschachs and college performance. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1959, 59, 3-43.

Scott, W. A., & Scott, R. *Values and organizations: A study of fraternities and sororities*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1965.

Sobel, R. The alumnus son—A naturalistic study. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1966, 15, 149-155.

- Spaulding, C. B. Relative attachment of students to groups and organizations. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1966, 50, 421-435.
- Stark, M. Commuter and residence hall students compared. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1965, 44, 277-281.
- Steinzor, B. The development of intellectual qualities through the college years. In L. B. Murphy & E. Raushenbush (Eds.), *Achievement in the college years: A record of intellectual and personal growth*. New York: Harper, 1960.
- Strang, R. M. *Group work in education*. New York: Harper, 1958.
- Stricker, G. Interrelationships of Activities Index and College Characteristics Index scores. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 368-370.
- Stroup, H. *Toward a philosophy of organized student activities*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1964.
- Vroom, V. H. *Some personality determinants of the effects of participation*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1960.
- Wachtel, P. L., & Blatt, S. J. Energy deployment and achievement. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1965, 29, 302-308.
- Werner, A. C., & Gottheil, E. Personality development and participation in college athletics. *Research Quarterly*, 1966, 37, 126-131.
- Werts, C. E. The many faces of intelligence. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, 58, 198-204.
- Williamson, E. G. *Student personnel services in colleges and universities*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1961.
- Williamson, E. G., Layton, W. L., & Snöke, M. L. *A study of participation in college activities*. Minnesota Studies in Student Personnel Work No. 5. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1954.
- Yamamoto, K. Married students and leisure: An exploration. *College and University*, 1965, 40, 175-184.

## **SUCCESS VIEWED AS AESTHETIC-CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT**

Aesthetic-cultural development could be thought of in terms of artists developing their sensitivities and skills. This undoubtedly is the goal of art departments for their majors. However, many educators feel that the college should aid development in this area also for the typical student who will not be a professional practitioner in the arts. Some of these educators would only emphasize the development of aesthetic and cultural interests, appreciations, and feelings for the typical student. However, others would say that everyone has a need to develop a certain amount of artistic skills and creativity.

Those who promote aesthetic-cultural development in college assume that the college experience can have a significant effect on the typical student. On the other hand, there are some who feel that such development cannot take place unless the person has needed inborn qualities. Yet proponents of neither of the positions appear to have made serious efforts to empirically verify their contentions. If the college experience can, indeed, bring about development in this area, the question remains as to how much the development is contingent upon interaction between the characteristics of the student and the particular types of experiences that take place in the specific setting. Perhaps one type of student will exhibit more aesthetic-cultural development at one type of college than at other colleges, while other colleges may be more effective for a student with different characteristics than those of the first student.

### **Development of Aesthetic and Cultural Interests, Appreciations, and Feelings**

The development of aesthetic and cultural interests, appreciations, and feelings was important to many of the early Greek philosopher-educators who provided the beginnings of present-day educational philosophy. Ever since the Renaissance of the Middle Ages, such goals have been considered important by various segments of society, and they are a hallmark of liberal arts education. Some proponents of the "great books" and other similar pro-

grams would seemingly consider this the *most* important educational goal for colleges and universities.

In spite of the supposed importance of developing cultural appreciations and interests in college, research on the subject has been lacking. Very little published research was found which related development in aesthetic-cultural interests and appreciations to other nonintellective factors. Even more scarce were studies that explored factors related to change in such interests and appreciations which takes place during college. Whether the college experience can have an effect on the amount of change seemed to be purely a matter of conjecture, although subjective reports of students and others have implied that such is the case. Ways in which the college can best nurture and bring about this development for various kinds of students have even less foundation. How the college can increase or maximize such growth is primarily "arm chair" hypothesis and conjecture at this time. Yet, as mentioned previously, various educators consider this function an extremely important part of the college experience.

A number of studies comparing artists to nonartists are reviewed in the following major section of this chapter. Unless aesthetic-cultural interests and appreciations are controlled for, however, significant variables cannot be said to imply a relationship with artistic skills or with artistic creativity. Therefore, such studies could usefully compare successful artists with nonartists who lack artistic skills and creativity but who have strong aesthetic-cultural interests and appreciations. Needed for the present topic, however, are studies that compare nonartists having aesthetic interests and appreciations with nonartists lacking such interests and appreciations.

#### **Success Viewed as Development of Aesthetic and Cultural Interests, Appreciations, and Feelings: Selected Annotations**

Birney and Houston (1961) studied the artistic preferences and the effects on individual preferences when subjected to group preferences for 60 Amherst College freshmen selected at random. The subjects were shown 30 paintings, most of them from the romantic or modern periods, one set at a time on an opaque projector and were asked to rate each on a 10-point scale. Then they were administered three tests of creativity (the *Unusual Uses Test*, the *Figure Preference Test*, and the *Creative Mosaic Test*). The *Unusual Uses Test* had no correlation with the other two, so only the latter two tests were used to split the students into three equal groups according to how creative they were. Three months later eight of the paintings were used again and the subjects were subjected to uniform degrees of disagreement by the majority.

Even though personal esthetic preferences were involved, almost all of the subjects modified their ratings somewhat when a discrepant majority opinion

was reported. However, neither norm distance (the size of the discrepancy between the individual's prior judgment and that of the majority) nor instructional differences had any overall effect on the yielding which occurred. The subjects with higher creativity scores yielded less.

Various authors had suggested that the average preference rating of an aesthetically relevant stimulus be taken as a measure of its aesthetic value and that the degree to which a person agrees with the average preference ratings for various stimuli be taken as a measure of his aesthetic sensitivity. Therefore, Child (1962) tested this hypothesis by relating average preferences of college students to an external criterion of aesthetic value available in judgments made by art experts and to an independent measure provided by the *Bullay Test of Aesthetic Judgment*.

A group of 22 men at Stanford University and another group of 22 men at Yale University, both selected from introductory psychology courses without regard to their interest or competence in art, formed the sample. In individual sessions, the subjects were asked to react to 12 sets of postcard-size reproductions of paintings with each set composed of 60 pictures. They were to divide them into ten piles of six pictures each according to the appeal of each picture to them. After reacting to all of the sets, each subject was interviewed about the bases for his preferences and about his life history and relation to art. Several objective tests were also administered to each subject: *Barron-Welsh Art Scale*, *Sentence-Preference Test*, *Scholastic Aptitude Test-Verbal*, *Myers-Briggs Type Indicator*, and a tolerance for ambiguity and ambivalence questionnaire.

The average preferences for both groups bore little relationship to the aesthetic value of the paintings. Degree of agreement with the average also indicated little relationship to either criterion. When degree of agreement with aesthetic value was related to the other variables, several tentative conclusions were suggested. Agreement of preference with aesthetic value was in both groups positively related to knowledge about art, the interview-mention of aesthetic value, verbal aptitude, and tolerance for ambiguity and ambivalence. It was negatively related to viscerotonia, temperamental characteristics which center around love of comfort, relaxation, and friendly social relationships. In addition, although the correlations were not significant for either group, aesthetic value reportedly seemed related to intuition rather than to sensation and to perception rather than to judgment.

Frumkin (1960) studied personal factors in college students which are related to their artistic preferences. A total of 135 freshman and sophomore college students enrolled in sociology courses at the State University of New York in

Oswego completed the *Assessment of Painting Preferences* and the *Assessment of Familiarity with Paintings*. Other data for each student included the score obtained on the *Rokeach Dogmatism Scale*, age, social class status, and sex. The students were broken down into high and low groups on each of the predictor variables, and then Painting Preference score means were computed for both groups in each case. One-tailed *t* tests were used to explore differences for each pair of Painting Preference means.

Socio-economic status, sex, age, dogmatism, and familiarity with paintings were all found to be significantly related to painting preferences. It was concluded from this that "what an individual likes or dislikes in paintings is an important guide to the structure and dynamics of his personality" and that "painting preferences are a clue to understanding the intellectual climate in a culture".

Hall and Willerman (1963) formed groups of dormitory roommate pairs with various combinations of academic ability and then studied the effect of roommates on grades, study habits, and other activities, including attendance at the following five cultural events: concerts, plays, university convocations, art exhibits, and special lectures. An analogous study was conducted for roommates who had selected one another.

Two newly opened dormitories at the University of Minnesota were utilized for the study. The students in Dormitory A were all male and were mostly freshmen studying liberal arts, sciences, or engineering. The residents in Dormitory B were also largely freshmen, with male agricultural students in one wing and female home economics students in another wing, and with an administrative office and a large lounge shared by the two wings. All freshmen over 21 or veterans of military service, all foreign students, and all graduate students were left out of the study.

Mutual requests for particular roommates were honored. These were split into the following six groups: freshmen in Dorm A, upperclassmen in Dorm A, male freshmen in Dorm B, male upperclassmen in Dorm B, female freshmen in Dorm B, and female upperclassmen in Dorm B. For the experimental conditions 96 students were matched to 96 other students on high school rank (HSR), and roommates were assigned as follows: (a) 24 roommate pairs, both with high HSR; (b) 48 roommate pairs, one with high HSR and one with low HSR; (c) 24 roommate pairs, both with low HSR. Information for analysis came from a questionnaire administered after two months of attendance, administrative records, interviews, and reports from residence counselors. Chi-square tests comprised the statistical methods used to analyze the cultural activity data.

Concerning the frequencies of attendance at the five types of cultural activi-

ties, the majority of students reported no attendance and the frequency distributions were badly skewed. Therefore, comparisons were made in each category between those with no attendance and those attending at least once. Roommates were significantly more alike than expected in attendance at plays among both experimental cases ( $p < .05$ ) and mutually selected cases ( $p < .05$ ). In concerts and art exhibits, roommates for both experimental and mutual cases were more similar than expected, but not significantly so. In convocations and special lectures, the frequencies were about what would be expected with no tendency toward similarity for roommates. When total cultural participation was examined, the experimental roommates were no more similar than expected; and the same was true of mutually selected roommates. There was some evidence of similarity in frequency of attendance for the categories of mutually selected upperclass roommates; but this was probably a result of mutual selection rather than of influence by roommates because it did not appear among the experimental cases.

Hartley and Schwartz (1966) explored two hypotheses in terms of aesthetic judgment. The first hypothesis was that a person behaves in a manner consistent with his perception of himself. The second hypothesis was that subjects for whom a given value is more important to their personality will behave with more self-consistency than those for whom that value is relatively unimportant. If these hypotheses would be supported, it would suggest that aesthetic preference and appreciation is at least partially a function of a person's personality.

A total of 25 students in undergraduate psychology courses at the City College of New York who had previously been administered the Allport-Vernon-Lindzey *Study of Values* participated in three special sessions spaced a week apart. In the first session the students were exposed to 24 slides of varied paintings (traditional, realistic, impressionistic, modern, abstract) with the exposure for each slide being 45 seconds. For each painting the subjects were asked to write a description of the person who would most like the painting and another of the person who would least like the painting. At the second session each person was given his 24 pairs of attributes and asked to respond for each pair about where he would personally rank on a 10-point scale between the two attributes. For the third session slides of 12 additional paintings were added to the original 24, and the slides were randomly presented to the group for 45 seconds each. The subjects rated on a 6-point scale (ranging from "like very much" to "dislike very much") how much they liked each painting.

Tetrachoric correlations were computed between how much the students liked the paintings and their scores on the degree to which they thought they were characteristic of persons who like or dislike the paintings. The obtained values ranged from .85 to -.09, with the  $r$  equivalent of the mean  $z$  transmu-

tations of the  $r$ 's equal to .50 ( $p < .01$ ). Because of the positive relationship between the self-concept scores and the judging behavior, the first hypothesis was supported.

To test the second hypothesis, rank difference correlations were computed between the individual differences in the correlation coefficients obtained (degree of self-consistency) and the variation in scores obtained on the *Study of Values*. The rank difference correlation of .39 was statistically significant ( $p < .05$ ), which meant that the second hypothesis was also supported.

James (1963) hypothesized that the best students would be characterized by greater interest, knowledge, and participation in the cultural life of the university than is true of other students. To test this hypothesis, he administered a questionnaire to three groups of students at a Southwestern state university: (a) 117 talented successful students, (b) 101 talented unsuccessful students, and (c) 82 students selected at random from the student body. Those considered talented ranked in the top 15% on entrance ability tests or the top 10% on high school grades. Success was defined as having a GPA of from 1.0 to 2.0 where 1.0 was high. A 3.0 was required for graduation, and 3.01-5.0 was considered unsuccessful.

The questionnaire yielded objective data from which inferences could be made about attitudes, opinions, and preferences, e.g. the use students had made of cultural facilities and the familiarity gained with activities of this type. "Eyeball" examination of the frequencies for each group on the various items indicated that the first group exceeded both of the other groups in their professed participation in cultural activities, and they were able to substantiate these assertions by factual information. Interestingly, the talented unsuccessful group, although less culturally inclined than the successful group, also surpassed the randomly selected group in cultural interests and activity participation. It was concluded that "continuance of a high level of cultural offerings should serve to attract and retain the better students and thus appears to be directly related to maintaining the university as a quality institution scholastically" [p. 213].

Klein and Skager (1967) explored factors which constituted quality in drawings as evaluated by two different groups of experts and by laymen. They also explored whether laymen could be taught to judge quality in terms of the criteria used by the experts.

In an earlier study (Skager, Schultz, and Klein, 1966) where ratings of overall preference about 191 drawings by laymen plus experts at three schools of design were factor analyzed, there seemed to be three clusters of judges.

Within each cluster there was close agreement on which drawings were considered "good" or "bad." The clusters were Artists-I (mainly experts from a school of design in New England), Artists-II (mainly experts from two schools in the New York City area), and Laymen whose level of education was comparable to that of the experts but in fields other than art. Artists-I experts appeared to prefer deliberate styles of drawing, while Artists-II experts appeared to prefer spontaneous styles.

For the later study Klein and Skager (1967) selected from the pool of original drawings the 20 with the highest positive loadings on Artists-I and the 20 with the highest negative loadings on this viewpoint. Then the 20 drawings with the highest positive loadings and the 20 drawings with the highest negative loadings on Artists-II were selected. These 80 drawings were randomly mixed and given, along with definitions for "spontaneous" and "deliberate," to ten laymen. The laymen were asked to find two clearly "spontaneous" drawings and two clearly "deliberate" drawings. They were then supposed to use those four paintings as standards and classify the remaining 76 drawings into a "spontaneous" and a "deliberate" pile. The subjects worked independently and were unaware of the procedures used to select the 80 drawings.

A 3-dimensional contingency table (10 judges x 2 viewpoints x high and low quality) was constructed with each cell containing the number of drawings classified as "spontaneous." The total chi-square for the table was then partitioned. Since a statistically significant interaction between quality and viewpoints was found, separate chi-square values for each viewpoint were computed. In addition, profiles were made of the average number of drawings judged "spontaneous" for the two levels of quality in each viewpoint.

The results indicated that the Artists-I viewpoint was highly sensitive to the difference between "spontaneous" and "deliberate" but that the Artists-II viewpoint was not. Artists-II judges, therefore, appeared to be basing their evaluations on a dimension unrelated to the "spontaneity versus deliberateness" dimension.

The fact that the laymen judges did an excellent job of identifying high- and low-quality drawings on the Artist-I viewpoint supports the potential efficacy of art appreciation courses in instilling a knowledge about drawing quality. The laymen easily adopted an orientation that permitted them to replicate the aesthetic judgments of highly trained experts. On the other hand, this was a simplistic viewpoint of quality; whether or not they could be taught to recognize quality from other viewpoints remains to be seen. Furthermore, "recognizing quality" says nothing about whether and how an art appreciation course affects art appreciation in its students.

**Knapp (1962)** in a unique study related aesthetic choice to attitudes toward time. A questionnaire containing items dealing with the management of time and attitudes toward time was administered to 77 male undergraduates. Factor analysis revealed two significant factors: (a) a "time-servant versus time-master" factor in which attitudes of emotional concern and harassment over time were bipolar to attitudes and practices suggesting masterful management of time and scheduling and (b) a bipolar factor of "time obliviousness versus efficient time management."

Factor scores for the two factors were correlated with the preference ranking assigned each of the 30 tartans of the *Knapp Tartan Test*. The test consists of lithographic reproductions of Scottish tartans mounted on 5" x 8" cards selected to include a variety of textures, colors, degrees of contrast, etc. Each subject was to sort them into six groups of five each according to their aesthetic appeal. The "time master-servant" factor was significantly related to aesthetic preference for Scottish tartan designs such that "time-driven" persons preferred somber blue and green designs, while brighter designs of predominantly red and yellow were preferred by individuals with reported ease in the management of time. Results for the second factor were somewhat inconclusive, so they were not reported.

**Knapp and Green (1960)** had administered a test of aesthetic preference involving 40 slides of modern abstract art to 120 male undergraduates who recorded their preferences on a 7-point scale. Five factors were obtained when the ratings had been intercorrelated and factor analyzed. Preference for each of these five styles (factor scores) was then correlated with *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory*, *Strong Vocational Interest Blank*, and *Allport-Vernon Study of Values* scores. A number of significant relationships were found which resulted in a conclusion that patterns of aesthetic preferences are related to personality characteristics.

**Knapp and Wulff (1963)** further explored personality correlates of preferences for abstract and representational art using a sample of 86 male undergraduates. The *Myers-Briggs Type Indicator* and the *Allport-Vernon Study of Values* were used as personality measures. *Scholastic Aptitude Test* scores and *Terman Concept Mastery* scores also served as independent variables. The criterion, aesthetic interest, consisted of ratings of degree of pleasingness toward 36 still-life paintings shown on slides. The paintings were, on the basis of judges' ratings, divided into three groups: "abstract," "intermediate," or "representational."

Analysis of variance was used to compare means on the various independent variables for the three groups. Preference for abstract paintings was found to be positively associated with intuitive dispositions, high scores on aesthetic interest, superior verbal and math abilities, a family background of

greater intellectual cultivation, and superior performance at the precollegiate level. Preference for representational paintings represented the opposites of the above and was meaningfully associated with a high religious score and a low aesthetic score.

Windholz (1968) used a 2 x 2 analysis of variance design to find the relationship of creativity and intelligence constellations to 26 traits of temperament, interests, and values. The subjects for the study were 222 undergraduate students enrolled in introductory psychology courses at the University of North Carolina at Charlotte. Six convergent tests constituting the intelligence dimension and six divergent tests constituting the creativity dimension from Guilford's Structure of Intellect were administered to the sample along with the *Guilford-Zimmerman Temperament Survey*, the *Kudet Preference Record*, and the *Allport-Vernon-Lindzey Study of Values*.

Preference for the aesthetic was found to be related to both intelligence and creativity. A high level of creativity was found to be related to higher levels of literary and musical interests and the aesthetic experience of harmony and form, and it was found to be related to lower levels of appreciation of mechanical gadgets and computations and the practical and useful in daily life. A higher level of creativity was also found to be related to greater social participation, interest in helping others, and the valuing of people. A higher level of intelligence was related to higher emotional stability, lack of hypersensitivity, lower preoccupation with the other-worldly and the mystical, and greater preoccupation with the aesthetic experience of harmony and form. Lower levels of intelligence were related to neurotic tendencies, hypersensitivity, and preoccupation with the mystical, but not with preference for the aesthetic. These findings suggested the hypothesis that, contrary to popular opinion, aesthetic preference is not related to emotional instability, hypersensitivity, and mystical preoccupation.

### Success Viewed as Development of Aesthetic and Cultural Interests, Appreciations, and Feelings: Published Literature

Barron, F. X. Personality style and perceptual choice. *Journal of Personality*, 1952, 20, 385-401.

Barron, F. X. *Creativity and psychological health; origins of personal vitality and creative freedom*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1963.

Barron, F. X., & Welsh, G. S. Artistic perception as a possible factor in personality style: Its measurement by figure preference test. *Journal of Psychology*, 1952, 33, 199-203.

- Barton, A. H. *Studying the effects of college education: A methodological examination of changing values in college*. New Haven, Conn.: Hazen Foundation, 1959.
- Birney, R. C., & Houston, J. P. The effects of creativity, norm distance, and instructions on social influence. *Journal of Personality*, 1961, 29, 294-302.
- Carlsson, G., & Gesser, B. Universities as selecting, and socializing agents: Some recent Swedish data. *Acta Sociologica*, 1965, 9, 25-39.
- Chickering, A. W., McDowell, J., & Campagna, D. Institutional differences and student development. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, 60, 316-326.
- Child, I. L. Personal preferences as an expression of aesthetic sensitivity. *Journal of Personality*, 1962, 30, 496-512.
- Child, I. L. Observations on the meaning of some measures of esthetic sensitivity. *Journal of Psychology*, 1964, 57, 49-64.
- Child, I. L. Personality correlates of esthetic judgment in college students. *Journal of Personality*, 1965, 33, 476-511.
- Child, I. L., & Iwao, S. Personality and esthetic sensitivity: Extension of findings to younger age and to different culture. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 8, 308-312.
- Eiduson, B. T. Artist and nonartist: A comparative study. *Journal of Personality*, 1958, 26, 13-28.
- Eisenmann, R., & Johnson, P. Birth order, sex, perception, and production of complexity. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1969, 79, 113-110.
- Eysenck, H. J. A critical and experimental study of colour preferences. *American Journal of Psychology*, 1947, 54, 385-394.
- Freedman, M. B. *Impact of college*. Washington: United States Government Printing Office, 1966.
- Frumkin, R. M. Some factors in painting preferences among college students: An empirical study in the sociology of art. *Journal of Human Relations*, 1960, 9, 107-120.
- Gottsdanker, J. S. Intellectual interest patterns of figited college students. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, 28, 361-366.
- Granger, G. W. An experimental study of colour preferences. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1955, 52, 3-20.

Hall, R. L., & Willerman, B. The educational influence of dormitory room-mates. *Sociometry*, 1963, 26, 294-318.

Harris, D. Aesthetic awareness: A psychologist's view. *Art Education*, 1966, 19(5), 17-23.

Hartley, E. L., & Schwartz, S. Self-consistency, value strength and aesthetic judgments. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 19, 367-370.

Heist, P. (Ed.) *Education for creativity—A modern myth?* Berkeley: University of California, Center for Research and Development in Higher Education, 1967.

Hodnett, E. *The cultivated mind*. New York: Harper & Row, 1963.

Hogg, J. (Ed.) *Psychology and the visual arts: Selected readings*. Baltimore: Penguin, 1969.

Israeli, N. Set theory models for research concerning criticism and appreciation. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1959, 60, 97-120.

James, N. E. Interest and involvement of students in the cultural life of their university. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1963, 4, 212-214.

Johnson, O., & Knapp, R. H. Sex differences in aesthetic preferences. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1963, 61, 279-301.

Klein, S. P., & Skager, B. W. "Spontaneity versus deliberateness" as a dimension of esthetic judgment. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1967, 25, 161-168.

Knapp, R. H. Attitudes toward time and aesthetic choice. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1962, 56, 79-87.

Knapp, R. H. An experimental study of a triadic hypothesis concerning the sources of aesthetic imagery. *Journal of Projective Techniques and Personality Assessment*, 1964, 28, 49-54.

Knapp, R. H., & Ehlinger, H. Stylistic consistency among aesthetic preferences. *Journal of Projective Techniques and Personality Assessment*, 1962, 26, 61-65.

Knapp, R. H., & Green, S. Preferences for styles of abstract art and their personality correlates. *Journal of Projective Techniques and Personality Assessment*, 1960, 24, 396-402.

- Knapp, R. H., & Wulff, A. Preferences for abstract and representational art. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1963, 60, 255-262.
- Little, E. B., & Creaser, J. W. Epistemic curiosity and man's higher nature. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 23, 615-624.
- Machotka, P. Defensive style and esthetic distortion. *Journal of Personality*, 1967, 35, 600-622.
- Mohan, J., & Mohan, V. Personality and variability in aesthetic evaluation. *Psychological Studies*, 1965, 10, 57-60.
- Mohan, J., & Mohan, V. Neuroticism and variability in aesthetic preferences. *Psychological Studies*, 1967, 12, 101-106.
- Munro, T. The psychology of art: Past, present, future. *Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism*, 1963, 21, 263-282.
- Pace, C. R., & Baird, L. Attainment patterns in the environmental press of college subcultures. In T. M. Newcombin & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Platt, J. R. Beauty: Pattern and change. In D. W. Fiske & S. R. Maddi (Eds.), *Functions of varied experiences*. Homewood, Ill.: Dorsey, 1961.
- Smith, B. O. The logic of teaching in the arts. *Teachers College Record*, 1961, 63, 176-183.
- Taylor, R. E., & Eisenman, R. Perception and production of complexity by creative art students. *Journal of Psychology*, 1964, 57, 239-242.
- Terwilliger, R. F. Pattern complexity and affective arousal. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1963, 17, 387-395.
- Valentine, C. W. *The experimental psychology of beauty*. London: Methuen, 1962.
- Warren, J. R., & Heist, P. A. Personality attributes of gifted college students. *Science*, 1960, 132, 330-337.
- Wilson, E. K. The entering student: Attributes and agents of change. In T. M. Newcomb & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Windholz, G. The relation of creativity and intelligence constellations to traits of temperament, interest, and value in college students. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1968, 79, 291-299.

### Development of Aesthetic Creativity and Artistic Skills

Many knowledgeable people feel that real aesthetic creativity and artistic skills are more a product of heredity than they are of environmental development. Even if this is the case, however, inborn potential cannot be released without nurturance, practice, and application.

While acknowledging that prominent artists and authors must have had extraordinary native ability to achieve such a renowned status, some people emphasize that everyone can develop aesthetic creativity and aesthetic skills to the point where much personal enjoyment and satisfaction can result. Not only can such artistic creativity and skills result in citizens who support the arts but also it is necessary in some nonartistic occupations. Furthermore, this creativity will provide useful and relaxing activity throughout life. Such development throughout life could be especially important to relieve the boredom and feeling of unproductiveness that commonly mark the retirement years.

Many colleges wish to develop artistic creativity and aesthetic skills not only in their arts and humanities majors but also in their other students as well. They wish to be able to identify and to motivate students with a real potential for professional success in this area, but in addition they wish to be able to motivate other students to develop in this area for their own benefit. Finding which methods and approaches will result in desired aesthetic creativity and skills for students with different characteristics would be valuable to these colleges; however, most studies in this section merely look at differences between artists and nonartists. Michael (1964) expressed the research need in this area well when he stated that the assessment of creativity among college students requires a unified theory of the teaching-learning processes, recognition of differences in creative abilities needed and manifested in various curricula and college environments, a development of collaborative experimental programs, and technical advances in evaluative procedures.

A number of the studies referenced for this section were also listed for the creativity section of Chapter 3 because they explored both intellectual and aesthetic creativity. In addition, some of the research findings of Chapter 3 probably also apply to aesthetic creativity. However, although intellectual and artistic creativity are related to each other, they are not the same thing. Many people who are intellectually creative do not have an abundance of artistic creativity and skill. Conversely, skilled and creative artists, authors, and composers are not always intellectually inclined, although they tend to be.

Persons interested in this area would be advised to read the book by Havelka (1968). This book gives some real insights plus much especially stimulating theoretical discussion into the creative process in art.

### Success Viewed as Development of Aesthetic Creativity and Artistic Skills: Selected Annotations

Anastasi and Schaefer (1969) searched for biographical correlates of artistic and literary creativity using a sample of 400 female students (primarily juniors and seniors) from seven public high schools in greater New York. Those particular high schools were chosen because they offered courses and programs providing opportunities for creative activities, plus they had outstanding records of awards, prizes, and other indications of student achievement in art or writing. The total sample was comprised of four criterion groups of 100 students each: a creative-art group (CrA); a control group (CoA) matched to the CrA group on school attended, class and GPA; a creative-writing group (CrW), and a control group (CoW) matched to the CrW group on school attended, class; and GPA. Each control group student was enrolled in the same courses in art and writing as the creative student to whom he or she was matched. The two creative groups were selected using teachers' nominations and scores on the *Guilford Alternate Uses and Consequences Tests*. The control group students had all been nominated by teachers as having provided no evidence of creative achievement.

The 166-item biographical inventory used was developed for an earlier study (Schaefer and Anastasi, 1968) for exploring creativity in high school boys. In the earlier study a validity coefficient of .64 had been obtained for a scoring key to predict art-writing achievement. The art and writing fields were chosen for the present study because differentiation between creative and control groups was found to be greater in the combined art and writing group than in the science group they were also studying.

Each of the four groups was subdivided into two subgroups of 50 girls each, one to be employed for development of scoring keys and one for cross-validation of the scoring key for each artistic area. For each scorable item a phi coefficient was computed against the dichotomous criterion of creative versus control, one for art and one for writing. All items with phi coefficients of  $p < .20$  or better were considered for inclusion in the initial CrA and CrW scoring keys. A weight of 1 was assigned to items discriminating between the  $p < .20$  and  $p < .05$  levels, and a weight of 2 to items discriminating at the  $p < .05$  level or better. Items with higher frequencies in the creative group received positive weights, while those with higher frequencies in the control group received negative weights.

Cross-validation point-biserial correlations between biographical inventory scores and the dichotomous criterion were .34 for CrA and .55 for CrW. Final keys were constructed with items whose compound probability was derived from both initial and cross-validation samples, resulting in a 40-item CrA key and 82-item CrW key. The most conspicuous characteristic of the creatives in both fields was pervasive and continuing interest in their chosen field and absorption in its pursuit. In addition, it appeared that they had more unusual

experiences in their backgrounds than did controls, more unusual types of paternal discipline, more fathers with higher educational training, more cases where a musical instrument was played in the family (suggestive of the cultural level in the home); and more creatives reported having two or more hobby collections.

The CrA and CrW groups were not directly comparable because of differences in school and class distributions and GPAs. Differences between the keys suggested, however, that the CrW group had a stronger intellectual and "cultural" orientation and a greater breadth of interests, both in the students themselves and in their home backgrounds.

Brown (1965) in a 1-semester university education course attempted to develop creative sub-selves and noncreative sub-selves using special instructional procedures. Twenty-four females and one male constituted the experimental group which was subjected to four to six hours of special instruction per week. Two control groups were used, one with 23 girls and one with 15 girls. The subjects in all three groups were junior elementary education majors at the University of California, Santa Barbara.

The creative and noncreative sub-selves were crystallized around two characters in a children's book—a creativity symbol (William Elephant) and a noncreativity symbol (Old Owl)—which could be used to trigger the corresponding sub-self. These symbols and their concepts were continually reinforced in the informal sessions throughout the semester. A pre- and post-test of the *Barron-Welsh Art Scale* were given to the experimental group using normal instructions. One day after the post-test they retook the scale after being instructed to let the part of them that was William Elephant take the test with the rest of them shut off—"triggered creativity." Another day later they took the scale again with their Old Owl sub-self responding—"triggered noncreativity." One control group took the pretest and the post-test also. After the post-test, the story of William Elephant and Old Owl was read and discussed with them, and they took the scale again as William Elephant (but not again as Old Owl). The *Barron Complexity Scale* was given as a post-test (no pretest) and under triggered creativity instruction to the experimental group and the second control group.

Covariance analyses and *t* tests revealed experimental group score difference on the *Barron-Welsh Art Scale* between pretest and post-test ( $p < .001$ ) with even greater differences between scores on the post-test and the triggered conditions. The significant difference between post-test and triggered condition was noted for *Barron Complexity Scale* scores also. The creative sub-self scores indicated a preference for simplicity. Gain differences between the experimental and control groups were significant at the .05 level, with the experimental group showing the more change under triggered conditions.

Chambers (1969) reviewed the research literature on creativity and the theoretical contributions by Freud, Jung, Adler, Rank, the neo-Freudians, interpersonal theorists, trait theorists, and association theorists. Based on this review he defined creativity as "a multidimensional process of interaction between the organism and its environment which results in the emergence of new and unique products, the three main dimensions of creativity being level, field, and type" [p. 779]. Within this definition, 12 hypotheses were advanced to account for the what, why, and how of creativity:

1. The basic motivation for creative behavior resides in neural and muscular tissue and is originally elicited by novelty of the environment.
2. There are multiple bases for the development of strong motivation for high level of creativity in adults, arising from factors such as the drive for mastery, basic insecurities, curiosity, rivalry, etc.
3. Mental health has little effect on creativity—provided ego control is maintained—although it may serve as one of the bases for motivation.
4. A minimal level of divergent thinking abilities is essential for creative productivity.
5. A minimal level of convergent thinking abilities is essential for creative productivity.
6. A minimum level of special abilities is essential for creative production in certain fields.
7. A strong ego, a preference for complexity, esthetic sensitivity, and flexibility in thinking are all essential personality traits for creative work regardless of level, field, or type.
8. Six other personality traits are essential for high level creativity production: initiative, dominance, introversion, independence, perseverance, and a striving for excellence.
9. Flexibility in thinking is the main factor differentiating high-creative from low-creative work of equally productive persons.
10. The creative process consists of (a) exploration of the environment, (b) "inward turning" and concentration on association of previously internalized stimuli, and (c) manipulation of the environment (to produce the product) with frequent comparisons of the product against both internal and external criteria.
11. Too little stimulation or lack of stimulation in breadth in early lives, or a lack of stimulation in depth in later lives of persons will negatively affect the creative process in their adult lives.

12. A continuation of stimulation of a breadth nature from adolescence on will result in competing or distracting stimuli being introduced into the creative process in the adult lives of the persons and will negatively affect the creative process.

To test these hypotheses, subjects should be studied by field (e.g., painting, writing, acting) before combining the results for an overall view. The level and types of creative persons must be identified within field, and it is especially important that level and type be based on a critical evaluation of the product concerned. So that comparison among studies will be more meaningful, standard measuring instruments should be used whenever possible. In addition, all research designs should permit comparisons to be made among the traits and the dimensions of creativity.

---

There has long been a contention by some that turmoil, unhappy love affairs, and chaotic conditions are what lead to ingenuity and really creative, artistic output. For this reason, artists have in the past hesitated to undergo therapeutic treatment. Therefore, Fried (1964) conducted a longitudinal study of changes in artists' work patterns as they occurred after the first nine months of psychoanalytic treatment. He observed how work habits were affected once the artists, "under this impact of psychoanalytic therapy, began to dissolve some conflicts, exchange old conflicts for new ones, depart from regressive life habits, experience new balances between the id-ego-super ego structures, and repair specific ego-weaknesses" (p. 41). The sample for the study consisted of patients at the Postgraduate Center for Psychotherapy in New York who had achieved a considerable degree of artistic expression and technical skill and who were full-time painters, sculptors, writers, composers, performing musicians, or actors. Rather than focus on a large sample which would involve shorter treatment and a survey-type report, it was decided to concentrate on a smaller number of patients who could be treated more extensively and observed in depth. The sample consisted of one sculptor, three painters, one writer, and one actor-singer. Questionnaire responses and staff observations over a 3-year period provided the data for the study.

Ratings and questionnaire data were analyzed separately by two independent judges. It was found that the psychological adjustment of five of the six subjects had markedly improved and that this resulted in better and more effective creative work habits and patterns. All work patterns improved, although in different degrees. As therapy progressed, communication with their own unconscious improved greatly. It was also discovered that more ease in human relations added to and did not detract from the creative effort. The results suggest that an artist does not have to be unhappy in his or her personal life in order to summon sufficient energy to create. The artists

generally reported being more satisfied with their artistic output as a result of the psychotherapy.

---

Gross, Cattell, and Butcher (1967) studied the personality patterns of 63 artists (14 professionals and 49 art students), 28 craft students, and 63 lecturers and students who were not artists and served as a control group. Group means were compared using tests of significant differences, and comparisons were also made with student and general population norms, on Cattell's 16 *Personality Factor Questionnaire*.

Significant differences were found in mean scores between the artists and the control group on 12 factors. On 11 of the 12 factors, the scores of the craft students were intermediate between those of the artists and the controls. Artists tended to be characterized by a reserved, schizothymic tendency and by assertiveness, dominance, self-sufficiency, low emotional stability, low self-integration, and low superego strength. They were also more suspicious, more apprehensive, overwrought, and guilt prone. In terms of second-order factors, the artists were seen as slightly more introverted, anxious, experimenting, nonmoralistic, and sensitive.

---

Interest patterns related to creativity were sought by Helson (1965) from cluster analyses of the childhood interests reported by 135 Mills College seniors nominated by the faculty as being outstandingly creative. Two clusters were found to have consistent associations with creative criteria, and these were replicated on a sample of 139 women who were upper-division psychology students at the University of California: an imaginative-artistic cluster and a tomboy cluster. As a follow-up to the study, Helson (1966) explored personality factors related to the first cluster, the childhood pattern of imaginative and artistic interests. The same Mills College sample was used, and the personality measures were the *California Psychological Inventory* and the *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory*. In addition to the questionnaire completed by the students, the parents were sent a questionnaire.

Mills College women with imaginative-artistic (IA) syndrome characteristics (enduring interest in imaginative and artistic activity, mistrust of personal relationships, impulsivity, rebelliousness, investment in inner life, independence of judgment, and originality) but not nominated by the faculty as being creative were compared with the creative LA group on all available data using *t* tests. The hypotheses had been advanced that the creative IA women would be (a) more masculine, (b) more original, (c) more intelligent, and (d) have a stronger need for accomplishment than the "noncreative" IA women. The results confirmed only the last two hypotheses. The results also suggested that the creative IA women had stronger symbolic interests, stronger need for autonomy, a lesser need to act on impulse, and stronger motivation to take the

creative role than did the other IA women. In addition, their fathers were more intellectually oriented and placed more value on moral integrity than did the fathers of the other IA women.

Karang (1964) used a sample of 11-year-old elementary school children, a sample of 13-year-old elementary school children, and a sample of college students in Sweden to explore factors which according to Guilford's (1957) scheme are important for creative work in graphic art even though they do not concern production. The exploration was concentrated in the areas of memory (recognition and reproduction) and evaluation. Each elementary school group was split into an artistic group and a nonartistic group through independent judges rating the children's drawing. An artistic college student group was composed of students at the School of Art Teachers of the State College of Art-Crafts and Design, Stockholm. The other group of adults consisted of students at the Teachers' Training College at Uppsala. Instruments were constructed for recognition (subjects were asked to identify from a series of projected pictures those they had been shown 2 minutes previously for 2½ seconds each); reproduction (after viewing a simple figure for 2½ seconds, the subjects were given 15 seconds to reproduce the figure on paper before going on to the next one); and evaluation (from a simple projected figure surrounded by four half-size figures similar to the original, subjects had 15 seconds before changing to the next projected picture in the series, in which to decide on the small figure that was an exact half-size copy of the original).

Point-biserial correlations were computed on each test between the means of the artistic and nonartistic groups at each age level. Comparisons between the means for the adult groups were also made using *t* tests. Artistic ability was related to recognition scores only for the 11-year-old group. Memory and proportion scores were related to artistic ability for all three age levels.

Munsterberg and Mussen (1953) compared the personality structures of 30 outstanding art students and 30 nonart students at Ohio State University matched in age, sex, and years of college using 10 *Thematic Apperception Test* (TAT) cards and a questionnaire adapted from the Allport-Vernon *Study of Values*. The study was an attempt to check seven hypotheses about the personalities of artists which had been derived from psychoanalytic writings and research: (a) More artists have conflict with parents, have intense guilt feelings, are introverted and have a rich inner life, have creative and self-expression needs, and show need for acceptance of their work. (b) Fewer artists show overt aggression, show need for personal acceptance, and show compliance to parents' wishes. The traits, motivations, and conflicts involved

in the hypotheses were translated into TAT needs and press and categories of questionnaire responses.

Chi-square analysis indicated that significantly fewer artists than nonartists gave evidence of overt aggressive tendencies. More artists than nonartists had intense guilt feelings as well as a rich inner life and were introverted. The artists had stronger needs for creative self-expression but were unwilling or unable to comply with parents' or society's demands. All hypotheses except the first one were at least partially supported. Although there was no evidence of more artists experiencing conflict with their parents, there was evidence that these conflicts were handled differently by the two groups with more nonartists showing overt aggression and more artists leaving home in response to it.

---

Pine (1959) related thematic drive content to creativity for a sample of 13 female and 14 male able undergraduate students chosen on the basis of a *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory* profile indication of emotional stability. Instruments used were the *Thematic Apperception Test*, *Science Test*, *Humor Test*, *Consequences Test*, and *Brick Uses Test*.

Rank correlations constituted the statistical methodology for the study. The central finding was that higher quality literary productions included more drive content than did lower quality productions. A positive correlation was found between controlled drive expression and quality of production.

---

Skager, Schultz, and Klein (1965) studied quality and quantity of accomplishments as measures of creativity. An activities questionnaire composed of items describing achievement of a creative type was developed for use as the criterion instrument. For a sample of 292 entering college freshmen, quality and quantity scores were significantly correlated, although quality was more highly related to academic aptitude whereas quantity was more highly related to a measure of intellectual stimulation in the home.

Skager, Schultz, and Klein (1966) also studied differences in the ratings of quality of creative products among a heterogeneous group of judges—in other words, whether or not more than one point of view about quality was held by these judges. One hundred ninety-one sophomore students at the Rhode Island School of Design took a drawing test, with the drawings to be rated for esthetic quality by 28 artist and nonartist judges. Four points of view about quality were identified by factor analysis of correlations among judges. Evidence was found that personality, academic, and background characteristics of the students were differentially related to quality of their drawings as defined by the four points of view. Specifically, choice of major, academic

performance in line arts courses, scores on certain aptitude tests, and measures of wealth of cultural background had differential correlations with the points of view.

### Success Viewed as Development of Aesthetic Creativity and Artistic Skills: Published Literature

Anastasi, A., & Schaefer, C. E. Biographical correlates of artistic and literary creativity in adolescent girls. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1969, 53, 267-273.

Anderson, H. H. (Ed.) *Creativity and its cultivation*. New York: Harper & Row, 1959.

Barron, F. Personality style and perceptual choice. *Journal of Personality*, 1952, 20, 385-401.

Barron, F. The psychology of imagination. *Scientific American*, 1958, 199(3), 151-166.

Barron, F. The psychology of creativity. In T. M. Newcomb (Ed.), *New directions in psychology II*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1965.

Barron, F. *Creativity and personal freedom*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1968.

Barron, F., & Welsh, G. S. Artistic perception as a possible factor in personality style: Its measurement by figure-preference test. *Journal of Psychology*, 1952, 33, 199-203.

Barron, F. X. *Creativity and psychological health; origins of personal vitality and creative freedom*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1963.

Beittel, K. R. Art education. *Review of Educational Research*, 1967, 37, 205-212.

Bennett, G. K., Doppelt, J. E., & Madans, A. B. What is creativity? *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1968, 2, 197-210.

Birney, R. C., & Houston, J. P. The effects of creativity, norm distance, and instructions on social influence. *Journal of Personality*, 1961, 29, 294-302.

Brown, G. I. A second study in the teaching of creativity. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1965, 35, 39-54.

- Burkhart, R. C. The creativity-personality continuum based on spontaneity and deliberativeness in art. *Studies in Art Education*, 1960, 2(1), 43-65.
- Cashdan, S., & Welsh, G. S. Personality correlates of creative potential in talented high school students. *Journal of Personality*, 1966, 34, 445-455.
- Chambers, J. A. Beginning a multidimensional theory of creativity. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 779-799.
- Child, I. L. Personal preferences as an expression of aesthetic sensitivity. *Journal of Personality*, 1962, 30, 496-512.
- Child, I. L. Observations on the meaning of some measures of esthetic sensitivity. *Journal of Psychology*, 1964, 57, 49-64.
- Child, I. L. Personality correlates of esthetic judgment in college students. *Journal of Personality*, 1965, 33, 476-511.
- Dodson, R. E. Promoting artistic creativity. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1963, 11, 173-176.
- Domino, G. Maternal personality correlates of sons' creativity. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1969, 33, 180-183.
- Drevdahl, J. E. Factors of importance for creativity. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1956, 12, 21-26.
- Drevdahl, J. E.; & Cattell, R. B. Personality and creativity in artists and writers. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1958, 14, 107-111.
- Eiduson, B. T. Artist and nonartist: A comparative study. *Journal of Personality*, 1958, 26, 13-28.
- Eisenman, R. Complexity-simplicity preferences, involvement, and attitude change. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1968, 2, 128-132.
- Eisenman, R. Creativity, awareness, and liking. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1969, 33, 157-160.
- Eisenstadt, J. M. Problem-solving ability of creative and noncreative college students. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1966, 30, 81-83.
- Fischelli, V. R., & Welch, L. The ability of college art majors to recombine ideas in creative thinking. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1947, 31, 278-282.
- Fried, E. *Artistic productivity and mental health*. Springfield, Ill.: Thomas, 1964.

- Garfield, S. J., Cohen, H. A., & Roth, R.-M. Creativity and mental health. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1969, 63, 147-149.
- Getzels, J. W., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. On the roles, values, and performance of future artists: A conceptual and empirical exploration. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1968, 9, 516-530.
- Getzels, J. W., & Jackson, P. W. *Creativity and intelligence: Explorations with gifted students*. New York: Wiley, 1962.
- Golann, S. E. Psychological study of creativity. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1963, 60, 548-565.
- Gross, P. G., Cattell, R. B., & Butcher, H. J. The personality pattern of creative artists. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, 37, 292-299.
- Guilford, J. P. Creative abilities in the arts. *Psychological Review*, 1957, 64, 110-118.
- Guilford, J. P. Traits of creativity. In H. H. Anderson (Ed.), *Creativity and its cultivation*. New York: Harper & Row, 1959.
- Gutman, H. The biological roots of creativity. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1961, 64, 417-458.
- Hammer, E. F. Personality patterns in young creative artists. *Adolescence*, 1966, 1(4), 327-350.
- Hartley, E. L., & Schwartz, S. Self-consistency, value strength and aesthetic judgments. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 19, 367-370.
- Havelka, J. *The nature of the creative process in art: A psychological study*. Hague, Netherlands: Martinus Nijhoff, 1968.
- Haven, G. A., Jr. Creative thought, productivity, and the self-concept. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 16, 750-752.
- Heist, P. (Ed.) *The creative college student: An unmet challenge*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.
- Helson, R. Childhood interest clusters related to creativity in women. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1965, 29, 352-361.
- Helson, R. Personality of women with imaginative and artistic interests: The role of masculinity, originality, and other characteristics in their creativity. *Journal of Personality*, 1966, 34, 1-25.

- Helson, R. Effects of sibling characteristics and parental values on creative interest and achievement. *Journal of Personality*, 1968, **36**, 589-607.
- Hill, A. H. Attendance and withdrawal among high aptitude students. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1966, **7**, 279-281.
- Hogg, J. (Ed.) *Psychology and the visual arts: Selected readings*. Baltimore: Penguin, 1969.
- Holland, J. L. The prediction of academic and nonacademic accomplishment. *Proceedings of the 1966 Invitational Conference on Testing Problems*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1967.
- Holland, J. L., & Astin, A. W. The prediction of the academic, artistic, scientific, and social achievement of undergraduates of superior scholastic aptitude. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1962, **53**, 132-143.
- Holland, J. L., & Nichols, R. C. The development and validation of an indecision scale: The natural history of a problem in basic research. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1964, **11**, 27-34. (a)
- Holland, J. L., & Nichols, R. C. Prediction of academic and extracurricular achievement in college. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1964, **55**, 55-65. (b)
- Holland, J. L., & Richards, J. M., Jr. Academic and nonacademic accomplishment: Correlated or uncorrelated? *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1965, **56**, 165-174.
- Hyman, R. *Creativity and the prepared mind*. Research Monograph No. 1. Washington: National Art Education Association, 1965.
- Isaacs, A. F. Sources of motivation in creatively gifted adults. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 1961, **5**, 63-64.
- Israeli, N. Creative art: A self-observation study. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1962, **66**, 33-45. (a)
- Israeli, N. Creative processes in painting. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1962, **67**, 251-263. (b)
- Jackson, P. W., & Messick, S. The person, the product, and the response: Conceptual problems in the assessment of creativity. *Journal of Personality*, 1965, **33**, 309-329.
- Jackson, P. W., & Messick, S. J. Creativity. In P. London & D. Rosenhan (Eds.), *Foundations of Abnormal Psychology*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1968.

- Karang, G. Creative ability in graphic art: Some underlying factors. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 1964, 5, 59-64.
- Karlins, M., Schuerhoff, C., & Kaplan, M. Some factors related to architectural creativity in graduating architecture students. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1969, 81, 203-215.
- Kavolis, V. Economic correlates of artistic creativity. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1964, 70, 332-341.
- Kavolis, V. Political dynamics and artistic creativity. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1965, 49, 412-424.
- Klein, S., Skager, R., & Erlebacher, W. Measuring artistic creativity and flexibility. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1966, 3, 277-286.
- Klein, S. P. Creativity tests: What do they really measure? *Journal of the National Art Education Association*, 1967, 20, 23-24.
- Klein, S. P., & Skager, R. W. "Spontaneity versus deliberateness" as a dimension of esthetic judgment. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1967, 25, 161-168.
- Kris, E. *Psychoanalytic explorations in art*. New York: International University Press, 1952.
- Lindgren, H. C. Creativity expressed in drawing and its relationship to orneriness. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1968, 26, 490.
- Machotka, P. Defensive style and esthetic distortion. *Journal of Personality*, 1967, 35, 600-622.
- MacKinnon, D. W. Identifying and developing creativity. In *Selection and educational differentiation*. Berkeley: University of California, Field Service Center and Center for the Study of Higher Education, 1959.
- MacKinnon, D. W. The nature and nurture of creative talent. *American Psychologist*, 1962, 17, 484-495.
- MacKinnon, D. W. Assessing creative persons. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1967, 1, 291-304.
- Mackler, B., & Shontz, F. G. Life Style and creativity: An empirical investigation. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1965, 20, 873-896.
- Marksberry, M. L. *Foundation of creativity*. New York: Harper and Row, 1963.

- Martin, L. J. *Psychological investigations in creativity: A bibliography (1954-1965)*. Greensboro, N. C.: The Richardson Foundation, Creativity Research Institute, 1965.
- Mednick, M. T., & Mednick, S. A. (Eds.) *Research in personality*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1963.
- Mednick, S. A. The associative basis of the creative process. In M. T. Mednick & S. A. Mednick (Eds.), *Research in personality*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1963.
- Meier, N. C. Factors in artistic aptitude. *Psychological Monographs*, 1939, 51(5, Whole No. 231), 140-158.
- Michael, W. B. The realization of reliable and valid criterion measures for special undergraduate programs and courses aimed at the development and expression of creative behavior. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1964, 1, 97-102.
- Michael, W. B. (Ed.) *Teaching for creative endeavor: Bold new venture*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, Indiana Reports, 1968.
- Mooney, R. L., & Razik, T. A. (Eds.) *Explorations in creativity*. New York: Harper & Row, 1967.
- Morgan, D. N. Creativity today. *Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism*, 1953, 12, 1-24.
- Munro, T. The psychology of art: Past, present, future. *Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism*, 1963, 21, 263-282.
- Munsinger, H., & Kessen, W. Uncertainty, structure, and preference. *Psychological Monographs*, 1964, 78(9, Whole No. 586).
- Munsterberg, E., & Mussen, P. H. The personality structures of art students. *Journal of Personality*, 1953, 21, 457-466.
- Myden, W. Interpretation and evaluation of certain personality characteristics involved in creative production. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1959, 9, 139-158.
- Nichols, R. C., & Holland, J. L. Prediction of the first year college performance of high aptitude students. *Psychological Monographs*, 1963, 77(7, Whole No. 570).
- Nichols, R. C., & Holland, J. L. The selection of high aptitude high school graduates for maximum achievement in college. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, 43, 33-40.

Pickford, R. W. Factorial studies of aesthetic judgments. In A. A. Roback (Ed.), *Present-day psychology*. New York: Philosophical Library, 1955.

Pinè, F. Thematic drive content and creativity. *Journal of Personality*, 1959, **27**, 136-151.

Pine, F. Creativity and primary process: Sample variations. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 1962, **134**, 506-511.

Rank, O. *Art and artist: Creative urge and personality development*. New York: Agathon Press, 1968.

Raychaudhuri, M. *Studies in artistic creativity: Personality studies of the musician*. Calcutta, India: Bharati, 1966.

Ripple, R. E., & May, F. B. Caution in comparing creativity and I. Q. *Psychological Reports*, 1962, **10**, 229-230.

Roe, A. Artists and their work. *Journal of Personality*, 1946, **15**, 1-40.

Roe, A. The personality of artists. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1946, **6**, 401-408.

Roe, A. Painting and personality. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 1946, **10**, 86-100.

Rosen, J. C. The Barron-Welsh Art Scale as a predictor of originality and level of ability among artists. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1955, **39**, 366-367.

Schaefer, C. E. The Barron-Welsh Art Scale as a predictor of adolescent creativity. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1968, **27**, 1099-1102.

Schaefer, C. E. The self-concept of creative adolescents. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, **72**, 233-242.

Schaefer, C. E., & Anastasi, A. A biographical inventory for identifying creativity in adolescent boys. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1968, **52**, 42-48.

Skager, R. W., Klein, S. P., & Schultz, C. B. The prediction of academic and artistic achievement at a school of design. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1967, **4**, 105-117.

Skager, R. W., Schultz, C. B., & Klein, S. P. Quality and quantity of accomplishments as measures of creativity. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1965, **56**, 31-39.

- Skager, R. W., Schultz, C. B., & Klein, S. P. The multidimensional scaling of a set of artistic drawings: Perceived structure and scale correlates. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 1966, 1, 425-436. (a)
- Skager, R. W., Schultz, C. B., & Klein, S. P. Points of view about preference as tools in the analysis of creative products. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1966, 22, 83-94. (b)
- Smith, E. E., & White, H. L. Wit, creativity, and sarcasm. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1965, 49, 131-134.
- Smith, J. M., & Schaefer, C. E. Development of a creativity scale for the Adjective Check List. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 87-92.
- Summerfield, J. D., & Thatcher, L. (Eds.) *The creative mind and method: Exploring the nature of creativeness in American arts, sciences, and professions*. New York: Russel & Russel, 1964.
- Taylor, C. W. (Ed.) *Creativity: Progress and potential*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1964.
- Taylor, C. W., & Holland, J. Predictors of creative performance. In C. W. Taylor (Ed.), *Creativity: Progress and potential*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1964.
- Taylor, R. E., & Eisenman, R. Perception and production of complexity by creative art students. *Journal of Psychology*, 1964, 57, 239-242.
- Torrance, E. P. *Guiding creative talent*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1962.
- Wenkart, A. Creativity and freedom. *American Journal of Psycho-analysis*, 1963, 23, 195-204.
- Wild, C. Creativity and adaptive regression. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 2, 161-169.
- Windholz, G. The relation of creativity and intelligence constellations to traits of temperament, interest, and value in college students. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1968, 79, 291-299.

## SUCCESS VIEWED AS MORAL, PHILOSOPHICAL, AND RELIGIOUS DEVELOPMENT

College officials, including those at secular colleges and universities as well as those at church-related institutions, want the college to help students develop morals, values, healthy attitudes, and a philosophy of life. There is wide disagreement, however, about the actual change desired in students: Some officials want students to develop some specific moral and value system and philosophy of life while others merely want their students to develop a value system and a philosophy of life of some kind, of the student's own choosing. Indicative of the concerns society and colleges have in this area was the "outcry" that went up in the late fifties when Jacob's<sup>1</sup> large-scale study (1957) concluded that students generally exhibited little, if any, change in "basic values" during the college years. Much subsequent research on attitudes and values in college students was stimulated by Jacob's findings, and these have tended to indicate that some changes do tend to take place during the college years.

As in other areas, little has been done to ascertain the interactions of student characteristics and environments and to determine what particular aspects, if any, of the environment are having an effect(s). To illustrate, research on changes in religious attitudes and values has generally shown a decline in religious orientation during the college years. Yet, Knapp and Holzberg's<sup>2</sup> (1963) findings in their study of college students volunteering for a program of service to mental patients seem to suggest the possibility, although they did not look at change, that special programs and experiences could possibly increase students' religious interests. Furthermore, officials of some colleges under fundamental religious control would contend that numbers of their students are becoming more religious as a result of their college experiences.

<sup>1</sup> Jacob, P. E. *Changing values in college: An exploratory study of the impact of college teaching*. New York: Harper, 1957.

<sup>2</sup> Knapp, B. H., & Holzberg, S. D. Volunteering for service to mental patients. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1963, 28, 82-85.

Even in a study where nearly everyone changed toward less religiosity (Hites,<sup>3</sup> 1965), there were large individual differences in the amount of change found.

If a goal of the college is to instill values of citizenship, humanism, and other socially desirable values in its students, officials can turn to a number of studies using college students to explore the mechanics of attitude and value change and to develop special procedures that will bring about such change. Interestingly, some general studies which used college students solely because they were available for psychological experiments never become a really integral part of the literature on colleges and students because that was not their emphasis, e.g., Rosnow and Robinson's<sup>4</sup> (1967) book on experiments in persuasion. Yet, such studies can conceivably make important contributions if integrated with the college literature. Similarly, studies outside of the fields of education, psychology, and social psychology have sometimes been overlooked by psychologically oriented experts in the field.

#### **Development of Altruism, Humanism, Citizenship, and Moral Character**

This section of the chapter covers those references dealing with socialization or character development, i.e., the development of attitudes, values, and philosophies that are pretty much universally accepted by society. They may not be seen as desirable by all levels of our society, e.g., people in the ghetto, but they are considered foundations of our democracy and the middle class way of life which has always been a guiding factor in what the schools of our country teach. "For motherhood, God, and country" is a classic, though trite, statement which exemplifies this viewpoint. Such a character development mission is a basic goal of all elementary and secondary schools, and almost any college catalog also espouses one or more such goals. Many church-related colleges and others consider character development to be as important a reason for their existence as is the goal of preparing for an occupation or teaching intellectual knowledge.

In spite of the emphasis placed on socialization in many colleges and universities, little research has been conducted to explore how to best bring about such change or whether the institutions are, in fact, being successful in meeting their socialization goals. Most research has rather explored factors related to cheating, lawbreaking, and other negative activities and attitudes that the socialization experiences are meant to combat. Such research may add to our understanding of these phenomena and provide suggestions con-

<sup>3</sup>Hites, R. W. Change in religious attitudes during four years of college. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1965, 66, 51-63.

<sup>4</sup>Rosnow, R. L., & Robinson, E. J. (Eds.) *Experiments in persuasion*. New York: Academic Press, 1967.

cerning preventive measures that may be effective in reducing the incidence of such antisocial attitudes and actions.

Three socialization areas have already been covered in previous chapters: (a) development of an intellectual outlook and attitudes; (b) development of a respect for others and their views; and (c) development of aesthetic and cultural interests, appreciations, and feelings. Insights gained there may also be applicable to the development of altruism, humanism, citizenship, and moral character.

Indicative of the still common feeling that all colleges, not just those that are church-related or private nonsectarian, should attempt to influence student mores is the following statement by a former president of the University of Oklahoma, George I. Cross:<sup>5</sup>

Those responsible for higher education have stressed subject matter and the development of professional "know how" too much and have not done enough to develop in their students the moral values and ethical attitudes that will be necessary if the fruits of our research and laboratories and the products of our professional schools are to be used wisely in human affairs.

The great disparity between our ethical and moral development, as compared with our scientific and economic development, is the barrier which may prevent a wise use of the knowledge and power we now possess [p. 168].

#### **Success Viewed as Development of Altruism, Humanism, Citizenship, and Moral Character: Selected Annotations**

**Aronson and Mettee** (1968) used cognitive consistency theory to hypothesize that if a person is tempted to cheat, it will be easier for him to yield to the temptation if his self-esteem is low than if it is high. To test this hypothesis, 45 women students in introductory psychology classes at the University of Texas were randomly assigned to high, low, or neutral self-esteem conditions. All subjects came to the first session together and were given the self-esteem scales of the *California Psychological Inventory* (CPI), which was administered by a person who introduced himself as a member of the University Counseling Center staff. They were told that the experiment was concerned with ESP and personality characteristics and that ESP ability would be measured at the next session.

The subjects were assigned to arrive in groups of three at the second session. At the second session each subject was given an envelope which contained information about the CPI results that was actually unrelated to the actual results, and then they were sent to separate offices to look at the information contained therein. The subjects were, on a random basis, given

<sup>5</sup> Moral values and higher education. *School and Society*, 1956, 84, 168.

false feedback aimed at temporarily introducing either an increase, a decrease, or no change in self-esteem. They were then allowed to participate in a game of cards in which they were provided with opportunities to cheat under circumstances which made it appear impossible to be detected. Chi-square tests evaluating cheating frequency among the higher, lower, and no change in self-esteem conditions were significant at the .05 level. Significantly more people cheated in the low self-esteem condition than in the high self-esteem condition.

Barkley (1942), in an early study at the Women's College of the University of North Carolina, compared a group of 79 1-year commercial students with a group of 60 liberal arts students on the development of moral judgment through one year of college, and followed the liberal arts students through four years of college. The commercial students were treated exactly as freshmen except they lived in their own dormitory, as did the first-year liberal arts students.

The instrument used to measure change in moral judgment (pre-test and post-test) was the *Shields Moral Judgments Examination*, and several other pretest measurements were given between the second and sixth week on campus: the *Carnegie Mental Ability Test*; the *Thurstone Personality Schedule*; and three attitude instruments measuring attitude toward the law, attitude toward God, and attitude toward the church. Critical-ratio tests indicated that the liberal arts students had significant improvement in the development of moral judgment during the first year while the commercial students had no significant change. When beginning level of moral judgment was correlated with the other variables separately for both groups, it was not significantly related to neurotic tendency, attitudes toward God, attitudes toward the law, attitudes toward the church, or age in the first-year experience. However, level of moral judgment was significantly correlated with level of intelligence and with socio-economic status as measured by level of father's occupation.

When change in moral judgment was examined for the liberal arts students, it was discovered that there was a significant amount of change for each of the first three years. However, it tapered off after the end of the sophomore year, and there was but slight change during the senior year.

Bidwell and Vreeland (1963) were concerned about the conditions under which colleges are effective and the conditions under which colleges are ineffective as agents of moral socialization. They also were concerned about the socializing mechanisms or the forces in the college which prevent effective socialization. Since the few studies exploring socialization and value change in college had obtained mixed results and since most of the studies

did not differentiate subsystems within a college (nor did some of them differentiate types of colleges), the authors set out to develop a theoretical model that could explain the discrepancies found in the research literature. In applying the model to the studies in the literature, they concluded that there was more order in the study results than would at first appear.

The approach taken to develop such a model was to consider how the characteristics of colleges as formal organizations may affect the moral socialization of students, and colleges were placed in the class of organizations called "client-serving," and a typological framework for the study of this class of organizations was set forth. Characteristics of inducting organizations which adapt them to a technology of social interaction are (a) a normative authority structure in which active involvement opens the client member to organizational influence, (b) the client-member role is an organizational role, and (c) the client-member role is highly visible to the organization's staff. One may expect some socializing organizations to be more effective than others in changing client members' values and attitudes according to the variability and the scope of the client-member role and the variability of institutional goals. From this it can be hypothesized that (a) the strength of the moral impact of the college is directly related to the extent to which the involvement of students approaches the communal extreme and (b) the homogeneity (degree of convergence) of the moral impact of the college is directly related to the salience of moral aims among the college's goals.

When the two dimensions of "scope of client-member involvement" and "goals" are combined, they form a 4-cell typology: (a) a doctrinal-administered community (communal client-member involvement with moral goals) in which there is a very strong, homogeneous moral impact; (b) a procedural-administered community (communal client-member involvement with technical goals) in which there is strong, heterogeneous moral impact; (c) a doctrinal-administered association (associational client-member involvement with moral goals) in which there is moderate, homogeneous moral impact; and (d) a procedural-administered association (associational client-member involvement with technical goals) in which there is no significant moral impact. When the college is unified organizationally and is a single-purpose organization, the typological framework is appropriate to the total college. When the college is a multipurpose organization, the framework is more properly applied at the subsystem level. In cases where there are different institutional subsystems of differing types, the students may be exposed to cross pressures which have important effects upon their moral socialization.

The hypotheses are subject to modification by four problems endemic to socializing organizations: (a) recruitment and consensus, (b) the implicitness of the contracts, (c) surrogation in contracting, and (d) conflicting role definitions and structures of authority. Additional hypotheses concerning modifying effects of these organizational problems are as follows: (a) The moral impact

of the college is directly related to the level of moral consensus (interpersonal attractiveness and charisma) among the staff and students. (b) The moral impact of the college is directly related to the level of consensus over goals between the staff and the students. (c) The moral impact of the college is inversely related to the extent of surrogation in the utilitarian contract. (d) The moral impact of the college is inversely related to conflicting definitions of the student role by professional staff and administrators and to the scope of authority of the student administrators. Devices for maximizing the moral influence of the organization are (a) temporal and spatial manipulation, (b) manipulation of role structures, and (c) utilizing collective contexts:

---

**Bonjean and McGee (1965)** found extensive scholastic dishonesty at two large Southern state universities, although not to the same extent in each. They wondered if the difference between the two institutions was due to different student characteristics at the two universities or whether it was due to the different forms of social control being used. The two universities defined certain behaviors identically as constituting scholastic dishonesty, but differed in the techniques of control used: one used an honor system while the other used a proctor system for enforcement.

A random sample of 400 undergraduates from each university anonymously completed a personal background questionnaire which also asked them to react to six hypothetical "dishonesty" situations: (a) Would it be a violation of university rules? (b) Would your friends approve of this action? (c) Have you or would you do this in the same situation? (d) Would you favor action by the faculty discipline committee (or honor court)? Questionnaires were delivered and the sealed envelopes collected by undergraduates in the hope that a higher response rate would result. After 25 alternate students were selected and used as replacements for the nonresponders, 200 useable questionnaires were obtained from "Southeast University" (which had the internal control system) and 192 from "Southwest University" (which had the external control system).

Corrected chi-squares were computed to determine the significance of the associations between nine student characteristics and violation behavior, and a corrected phi coefficient was computed for each to assess the degree of association. The characteristics most closely associated with violation were fraternity-sorority membership and inactive or moderately active religious participation. Group attractiveness ranked third in degree of association with violation, but the relationship was not statistically significant. Length of time at the university did have a statistically significant relationship with violation in the expected direction, but the degree of association was less than for "group attractiveness." Low GPA, urban background, high father's occupational status, and being a male were each slightly associated with violation; but in no case was the association statistically significant. Attendance at a public versus a parochial high school had *no* relationship to violation.

All five situational variables were significantly related to actual or potential participation in the six situations of scholastic dishonesty. Listed in the order of degree of association with violation, they were (a) perceived source of control, (b) perception of friends attitudes, (c) perception of the formal norm, (d) perceptions of instructors' attitudes, and (e) fear of sanctions. It was concluded that situational characteristics appear to be more closely associated with violation than do personal background characteristics. Furthermore, when direct comparisons between the two universities were made, they were quite similar on the personal background characteristics but significantly different in the percentage of actual or potential violators of the system. Only 58% of the Southeast University sample had engaged or would engage in the six violation situations, while for the Southwest University sample the figure was 81%.

Educators are charged with the responsibility of helping students grow toward democracy, and yet they are forced to make selections and to apply decisions in this area largely by chance. The reason is that there has been no body of tested experience that is known to develop democracy. Therefore, **Freuhil** (1955) attempted to differentiate students with a democratic orientation from other students at Western Washington College of Education on the basis of competencies, life experiences, and other identifiable characteristics. First, 30 faculty members in ten committees of three members each selected 102 students whom they felt exemplified extremes in the presence or absence of a democratic attitude of respect for others. Then 1,068 unselected college students were given an advanced trial form of the *Problems in Human Relations Test*. The test was scored on a major or "Democratic" (D) scale and on four subscales designed to describe the nature of low D scores: Hard-boiled Autocrat (HA), Benevolent Autocrat (BA), Laissez-faire (LF), and Resort to Expert (RE).

Zero-order correlations were used to relate scores on the test to more than 50 variables based on biographical data, personality and interest inventory scores, academic ability, and high school data. Critical-ratio tests were used to compare the two faculty-selected groups on the same values. Sex had the most pervasive effect, with much more democracy existing among women than among men. Other variables significantly related to democratic orientation when using both kinds of statistical tests were academic competency (next in importance to sex); age; college tenure; personality; interests; critical thinking; community in which grew up; father's occupation, income, and education.

**Gough** (1966) compared nondelinquent males of average or above average social maturity (high school and college students and employed adults) with

institutionalized delinquents and adult prison inmates having a low level of social maturity using all 18 scales of the *California Personality Inventory* (CPI). An initial sample of 2,146 nondelinquents was compared with a sample of 881 delinquents.

When point-biserial correlations between the two groups were computed, it was found that 17 of the 18 scales differentiated the groups. Next, a 3-variable regression equation was developed which had positive weights for Responsibility and Sociability and a negative weight for Good Impression. Then a 6-variable equation was developed with positive weightings for Socialization, Responsibility, Flexibility, and Dominance and negative weightings for Good Impression and Communality. Both equations differentiated between the two groups. Similarly, among nondelinquents, they both differentiated between cheaters and noncheaters on course examinations and between more and less responsible high school students. Adjectival analysis showed high scorers on the equation to be dependable, foresighted, and capable.

Cross-validation of the equations was carried out with 2,482 nondelinquents and 409 delinquents. Comparisons were also made for cross-cultural validation using two samples of Italian men. In addition, comparisons were made between cheaters and noncheaters who were all nondelinquents. Both equations significantly differentiated the sets of cross-validation samples.

In several studies of Navy enlisted men and male students at Temple University, Kipnis (1968) related character development as measured by the *Intolerance Scale* to various subject behaviors and values. Analysis-of-variance procedures were utilized. Since previous studies had indicated that intellectual ability moderates the relationship between measures of character structure and behavior, the relation between character structure and behavior was investigated at several levels of intellectual ability whenever possible.

Findings of the study were that men classified as high in impulsivity in comparison to those classified as low in impulsivity were (a) less accepting of conventional middle-class values related to rules and regulations, (b) overestimated the passage of time, (c) were more easily instigated to aggression, (d) were less likely to experience feelings of shame or fear, and (e) reported more clique-like friendship relationships. There were indications that intelligence was acting as a moderator for many of these results.

Knapp and Holzberg (1964) compared personal characteristics of 85 Wesleyan University men volunteering for a program of service as companions to chronically ill mental patients with a control group of 85 men not participating

in the program. Scales used included the *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory*, the *Edwards Personal Preference Schedule*, and the *Allport-Vernon-Lindzey Study of Values*.

A *t* test was used to indicate the significant differences between the two groups of students on each instrument. Participants were slightly more religiously oriented, more morally concerned, more compassionate and capable of generosity, more introverted, and more idealistic in temper than were non-participants. It was suggested that a program such as this one serves "as an outlet for certain impulses of human generosity and altruism not normally available to students in the college environment [p. 85]."

**Rosen and Bates** (1967) explored the structure of socialization in the American graduate school at the departmental level, paying particular attention to the influence of certain structural factors typically ignored in most psychologically oriented discussions of the socialization process. Learning theories do not describe the content of a cue, do not explain why particular responses are rewarded, nor identify what is regarded as the rewards.

Based on long, continued participant observation and reading in the area, the authors are convinced that one cannot adequately understand the socialization process in an organization engaged in training discipline specialists without taking the following dimensions of social interaction into account: division of labor, the expression of affect and the flow of communication, the nature and distribution of power and authority, and the degree of consensus and conflict. The ideal structure of socialization in the graduate school results from motivated agents conveying all essential information to the neophytes accurately and completely. The agents also act as role models that embody, represent, and dramatize the goal toward which the neophytes are moving. The neophytes bring strong and appropriate motivation and background preparation to the task, plus other qualities and characteristics defined as essential by the agents and certified by them to be present in these neophytes. The neophyte is passive to the extent that he is the receiver of knowledge, yet he will be active in the pursuit of knowledge and in developing critical and innovating intellectual habits. In other words, the agent and the neophyte are bound together in a relationship lasting a period of years which is important to the agent and essential to the neophyte.

**Sawyer** (1966) developed an altruism scale in which altruism was conceived as "a characteristic that may vary within individuals as a function of the object of the altruism and of the commodity and situation in which it is expressed [p. 410]." For example, a person may be altruistic with time but not with money. Similarly he may be altruistic toward peers but not toward sub-

ordinates. In Sawyer's instrument the person is requested to report what he feels his behavior would be in various specific natural situations where the choices he makes will affect his own and another's welfare. To validate the instrument for generality and discriminability, altruism was measured both by ranking of outcomes and by direct scale estimation for three different groups of college students (social science students, business students, and YMCA students), each toward three different alters (friend, stranger, antagonist) and in two situations (college grades and salary after graduation).

Findings of the study were as follows: (a) The three college groups all differentiated among friend, stranger, and antagonist. (b) The YMCA students were generally more altruistic; they were more positively oriented toward the other's welfare for all three alters. (c) The social science and business students both had mean altruism scores near zero, but differed in the extent to which they discriminated among friend, stranger, and antagonist. (d) The business students maximized their own reward more than did students in the other two groups; they help themselves. (e) The social science students differentiated the most between friends and antagonists; they help those who help them. (f) YMCA female students were more altruistic than were YMCA male students, while social science female students had altruism scores similar to social science male students. (g) Authoritarianism as measured by the F scale correlated  $-.03$  with the altruism scores. (h) YMCA male students who were married had a mean altruism score of  $.39$  compared with a mean score of  $.15$  for YMCA male students who were single. (i) YMCA male students having no older sisters had a mean altruism score of  $.14$  while YMCA male students having at least one older sister had a mean altruism score of  $.47$ . The number of older brothers, younger brothers, or younger sisters was not related to altruism for these students.

---

Whitehurst (1969) investigated the potential impact on marriage as an institution of nonmarital living arrangements on campus. Background factors leading to nonmarital living arrangements on campus included such things as increased importance of higher education, liberal education, autonomy, and democratic decision-making; wide acceptance of a new honesty and involvement ethic among college students; and the failure of a deferred-gratification pattern, especially as it related to sex, the pill, alienation, etc.

A total of 55 college students involved in nonmarital living arrangements were interviewed and the data coded. From the data it was concluded that there are four nonmarital types: (a) the love child or promiscuous variety, (b) the weekender, (c) the experimental semester type, and (d) the premarital testing type. Each type was found to have its own particular interpersonal problems. It was suggested that this new adaptation of college students may have an important effect on the future of monogamous marriage in this country.

**Success Viewed as Development of Altruism, Humanism, Citizenship, and Moral Character: Published Literature**

- Aronson, E., & Mettee, D. R. Dishonest behavior as a function of differential levels of induced self-esteem. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, **9**, 121-127.
- Barkley, K. L. Development of the moral judgment of college students. *Character and Personality*, 1942, **10**, 199-212.
- Bazik, A. M., & Meyering, R. A. Characteristics of college students involved in discipline problems. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1965, **28**, 173-176.
- Benfari, R. C., & Calogeras, R. C. Levels of cognition and conscience typologies. *Journal of Projective Techniques and Personality Assessment*, 1968, **32**, 466-474.
- Berkowitz, L., & Connor, W. H. Success, failure, and social responsibility. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, **4**, 664-669.
- Berkowitz, L., & Daniels, L. R. Responsibility and dependency. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, **66**, 429-436.
- Berkowitz, L., & Daniels, L. R. Affecting the salience of the social responsibility norm: Effects of past help on the response to dependency relationships. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, **68**, 275-281.
- Bidwell, C. E., & Vreeland, R. S. College education and moral orientations: An organizational approach. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 1963, **8**, 166-191.
- Blaine, G. B., Jr. College students and moral values. In L. E. Dennis & J. F. Kauffman (Eds.), *The college and the student: An assessment of relationships and responsibilities in undergraduate education by administrators, faculty members, and public officials*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1966. (a)
- Blaine, G. B., Jr. *Youth and the hazards of affluence: The high school and college years*. New York: Harper & Row, 1966. (h)
- Block, J. R., & Yuker, H. E. Correlates of an intellectual orientation among college students. *American Psychologist*, 1965, **20**, 493.
- Bonjcan, C. M., & McGee, R. Scholastic dishonesty among undergraduates in differing systems of social control. *Sociology of Education*, 1965, **38**, 127-137. (a)

- Bonjean, C. M., & McGee, R. Undergraduate scholastic dishonesty: A comparative analysis of deviance and control systems. *Southwestern Social Science Quarterly*, 1965, **46**, 289-296. (b)
- Bowers, W. J. *Student dishonesty and its control in college*. (United States Office of Education Cooperative Research Project No. OE-1672.) New York: Columbia University, Bureau of Applied Social Research, 1964.
- Bowers, W. J. Normative constraints on deviant behavior in the college context. *Sociometry*, 1968, **31**, 370-385.
- Bowers, W. J., & Stannard, C. I. On fraternity membership and college cheating. *Social Problems*, 1967, **15**, 120-122.
- Brehm, M. L., & Back, K. W. Self image and attitudes toward drugs. *Journal of Personality*, 1968, **36**, 299-314.
- Brim, O. G., Jr., & Wheeler, S. *Socialization after childhood: Two essays*. New York: Wiley, 1966.
- Cardwell, J. D. The relationship between religious commitment and premarital sexual permissiveness: A five dimensional analysis. *Sociological Analysis*, 1969, **30**, 72-80.
- Carlsson, G., & Gesser, B. Universities as selecting and socializing agents: Some recent Swedish data. *Acta Sociologica*, 1965, **9**, 25-39.
- Chickering, A. W. *Education and identity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969.
- Chickering, A. W., McDowell, J., & Campagna, D. Institutional differences and student development. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1969, **60**, 315-326.
- Clark, D. L. Exploring behavior in a men's residence hall using the MMPI. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, **43**, 249-251.
- Clayton, R. R. Religious orthodoxy and premarital sex. *Social Forces*, 1969, **47**, 469-478.
- Cohen, J. W. (Ed.) *The superior student in American higher education*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1966.
- Crotty, W. J. Democratic consensual norms and the college student. *Sociology of Education*, 1967, **40**, 200-218.
- Crutchfield, R. S. Conformity and character. *American Psychologist*, 1955, **10**, 191-198.

Cummins, E. J. Are disciplinary students different? *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1966, **44**, 624-627.

Davis, F. Professional socialization as subjective experience: The process of doctrinal conversion among student nurses. In H. S. Becker (Ed.), *Institutions and the person*. Chicago: Aldine, 1968.

Denner, B. Did a crime occur? Should I inform anyone? A study of deception. *Journal of Personality*, 1968, **36**, 454-465.

Drucker, A. J. *Relationships between citizenship attitudes, parental education, and other variables*. Purdue University Studies in Higher Education No. 71. Lafayette, Ind.: Purdue University, Division of Education, 1950.

Eddy, E. D., Jr. *The college influence on student character: An exploratory study in selected colleges and universities made for the Committee for the Study of Character Development in Education*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1959.

Eddy, E. D., Jr. How the college influences character. In *The intellectual climate of the liberal arts college: Addresses and Proceedings of the 1963 Annual Meeting of the Western College Association*. Claremont, Calif.: Western College Association, 1963.

Elton, C. F., & Rose, H. A. Personality characteristics: Their relevance in disciplinary cases. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, **13**, 431-435.

Elton, C. F., & Rose, H. A. Personality characteristics of students who write letters to the editor. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, **9**, 253-255.

Empey, L. T. Delinquency theory and recent research. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 1967, **4**, 28-42. Reprinted in R. E. Grinder (Ed.), *Studies in adolescence: A book of readings in adolescent development*. (2nd ed.) London: Collier-Macmillan, 1969.

Faust, C. H., & Feingold, J. *Approaches to education for character: Strategies for change in higher education*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1969.

Feldman, K. A. Studying the impacts of colleges on students. *Sociology of Education*, 1969, **42**, 207-237.

Ferguson, L. W. Socio-psychological correlates of the primary attitude scales: I. Religionism; II. Humanitarianism. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1944, **19**, 81-98.

- Fishman, J. R., & Solomon, F. Youth and social action: An introduction. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1964, 20(4), 1-27.
- Freedman, M. B. The sexual behavior of American college women: An empirical study and an historical survey. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly of Behavior and Development*, 1965, 11, 33-48.
- Freehill, M. F. The democratic college student. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1955, 46, 477-487.
- Garfield, S. J., Cohen, H. A., & Roth, R. M. A correlative study of cheating in college students. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1967, 61, 172-173.
- Gelineau, V. A., & Kantor, D. Pro-social commitment among college students. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1964, 20(4), 112-130.
- Goldsen, R. K., et al. *What college students think*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1960.
- Gottlieb, D. Processes of socialization in American graduate schools. *Social Forces*, 1961, 40, 124-131.
- Gough, H. A. Appraisal of social maturity by means of the CPI. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 1966, 71, 189-195.
- Gough, H. G., McClosky, H., & Meehl, P. E. A personality scale for social responsibility. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1952, 47, 73-80.
- Greeley, A. M. & Rossi, P. H. *The education of Catholic Americans*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Haap, N., Smith, M. B., & Black, J. Moral reasoning of young adults: Political social behavior, family background, and personality correlates. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 10, 183-201.
- Halloran, J. D. *Attitude formation and change*. Leicester, England: Leicester University Press, 1967.
- Harp, J., & Taietz, P. Academic integrity and social structure: A study of cheating among college students. *Social Problems*, 1966, 13, 365-373.
- Harp, J., & Taietz, P. On fraternity membership and collegé cheating. *Social Problems*, 1967, 15, 122-124.
- Harrop, A., & Freeman, R. S. Senior college women: Their sexual standards and activity. Part II. Dating: Petting-coital practices. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1966, 29, 136-143.

Hathaway, S. R., & Monachesi, E. D. (Eds.) *Analyzing and predicting juvenile delinquency with the MMPI*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1953.

Havice, C. W. Religion on the American campus: A selected bibliography. Supplement to Dialogue on Campus, *ACURA Newsletter*, June 1969, 20. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1970, 5, 336)

Havice, C. W. (Ed.) *Campus values: Some considerations for collegians*. New York: Scribner, 1968.

Hemming, J. Some aspects of moral development in a changing society. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1957, 27, 77-78.

Herzog, S. J. Student participation in politics. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1966, 14, 196-199.

Hill, R. J. *A comparative study of lecture and discussion methods*. New York: Fund for Adult Education, 1960.

Hodinko, B. A. A study of student opinion regarding collegiate discipline situations. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1964, 5, 217-219, 225.

Hubbell, R. N., Frantz, T. T., & Lenning, O. T. An experiment with discipline and a recommendation for change. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1969, 62, 366-369.

Jessor, R., et al. *Society, personality, and deviant behavior: A study of a tri-ethnic community*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1968.

Johnson, R. E., & Klores, M. S. Attitudes toward cheating as a function of classroom dissatisfaction and peer norms. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1968, 62, 60-64.

Kaiser, H. E., & Britton, G. Intellectual and non-intellectual characteristics of students involved in dormitory disciplinary problems. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, 9, 393-396.

Kassarjian, W. M. A cross-cultural study of social character. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 19, 966.

Kennedy, G. (Ed.) *Education for democracy: The debate over the report of the President's Commission on Higher Education*. Lexington, Mass.: D. C. Heath, 1952.

Kilpatrick, D. G., et al. Dogmatism and personal sexual attitudes. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 23, 1105-1106.

- Kipnis, D. Behavior correlates of character maturity. *American Psychologist*, 1966, 21, 628.
- Kipnis, D. Studies in character structure. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 8, 217-227.
- Klinger, M. B., & Veroff, J. Cross-cultural dimensions in expressed moral values. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, 42, 899-903.
- Knapp, R. H., & Holzberg, J. D. Characteristics of college students volunteering for service to mental patients. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1964, 28, 82-85.
- Knowlton, J. Q., & Hamerlynck, L. A. Perception of deviant behavior: A study of cheating. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, 58, 379-385.
- Kohlberg, L. Moral education in the schools: A developmental view. *School Review*, 1966, 74, 1-30. Reprinted in R. E. Grinder (Ed.), *Studies in adolescence: A book of readings in adolescent development*. (2nd ed.) London: Collier-Macmillan, 1969.
- Krueger, C. Do "bad girls" become good nurses? *Transaction*, 1968, 5(8), 31-36.
- Larsen, D. E., & Baha, A. Norm qualities and deviant drinking behavior. *Social Problems*, 1968, 15, 441-450.
- LeMay, M. College disciplinary problems: A review. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 180-189.
- LeMay, M., & Murphy, T. A. MMPI patterns of college male disciplinary referrals. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, 8, 85-89.
- LeMay, M. L. Birth order and college misconduct. *Journal of Individual Psychology*, November 1968, 24, 167-169.
- Lembo, J. M. Altruistic attitudes among Catholic lay and religious female college students. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1967, 61, 71-74.
- Lerman, P. Argot, symbolic deviance and subcultural delinquency. *American Sociological Review*, 1967, 32, 209-224. Reprinted in R. E. Grinder (Ed.), *Studies in adolescence: A book of readings in adolescent development*. (2nd ed.) London: Collier-Macmillan, 1969.
- Lortie, D. C. From laymen to lawmen: Law schools, careers and professional socialization. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1959, 29, 352-369.

- Luchins, A. S., & Luchins, E. H. Motivation to tell the truth vs. social influences. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, **76**, 97-105.
- Mamlet, L. N. On the value of crisis in adolescence. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1968, **17**, 138-143.
- Mauss, A. L. Anticipatory socialization toward college as a factor in adolescent marijuana use. *Social Problems*, 1969, **16**, 357-364.
- McGuire, W. J. Personality and susceptibility to social influence. In E. F. Borgatta & W. W. Lambert (Eds.), *Handbook of personality theory and research*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1968.
- Minahan, A. *The college union and preparation for citizenship*. Ithaca, N. Y.: Association of College Unions International, 1957.
- Mirande, A. M. Reference group theory and adolescent sexual behavior. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 1968, **30**, 572-577.
- Moberg, D. O. Theological self-classification and ascetic moral views of students. *Review of Religious Research*, 1969, **10**, 100-107.
- Mueller, K. H. (Ed.) *Ethical values and student behavior*. Washington: National Association of Women Deans and Counselors, 1965.
- Murdoch, P. Exploitation-accommodation and social responsibility in a bargaining game. *Journal of Personality*, 1968, **36**, 440-453.
- Murphy, R. O., & Hanna, N. Campus views of male student conduct. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1964, **6**, 74-78.
- Niblett, W. R. *Moral education in a changing society*. London: Faber & Faber Ltd., 1963.
- Nyman, A. J., & LeMay, M. L. Differentiation of types of college misconduct offenses with MMPI subscales. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1967, **23**, 99-100.
- Olmsted, M. S. Character and social role. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1957, **63**, 49-57.
- O'Neill, M. A case for humane intelligence. In O. Butz (Ed.), *To make a difference. A student look at America: Its values, its society and its systems of education*. New York: Harper & Row, 1967.
- Pace, C. R., & Baird, L. Attainment patterns in the environmental press of college subcultures. In T. M. Newcomb & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.

- Park, P. Dimensions of drinking among male college students. *Social Problems*, 1967, 14, 473-482.
- Pease, J. Faculty influence and professional participation of doctoral students. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1967, 37, 63-70.
- Peck, R. F., & Havighurst, R. J. *The psychology of character development*. New York: Wiley, 1960.
- Peretti, P. O. Guilt in moral development: A comparative study. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 739-745.
- Perry, W. G., Jr. *Forms of intellectual and ethical development in the college years: A scheme*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1970.
- Pikunas, J., & Albrecht, E. J. *Psychology of human development*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1961.
- Rae-Grant, N. I. Student conflict—Activity, autonomy, and atonement. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1969, 39, 209-210.
- Rettig, S. Invariance of factor structure of ethical judgments by Indian and American college students. *Sociometry*, 1964, 27, 96-113.
- Rettig, S., & Pasamanick, B. Changes in moral values among college students: A factorial study. *American Sociological Review*, 1959, 24, 856-863. (a)
- Rettig, S., & Pasamanick, B. Changes in moral values over three decades, 1929-1958. *Social Problems*, 1959, 6, 320-328. (b)
- Rettig, S., & Pasamanick, B. Moral codes of American and Korean college students. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1959, 50, 65-73. (c)
- Rettig, S., & Pasamanick, B. Differences in the structure of moral values of students and alumni. *American Sociological Review*, 1960, 25, 550-555.
- Rettig, S., & Pasamanick, B. Invariance in factor structure of moral value judgments from American and Korean college students. *Sociometry*, 1962, 25, 73-84.
- Ribal, J. E. Social character and meanings of selfishness and altruism. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1963, 47, 311-321.
- Rosen, B. C., & Bates, A. P. The structure of socialization in graduate school. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1967, 37, 71-84.

Roskens, R. W., & Dizney, H. F. A study of unethical academic behavior in high school and college. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1966, 59, 231-234.

Ruppel, H. J., Jr. Religiosity and premarital sexual permissiveness: A methodological note. *Sociological Analysis*, Fall 1969, 30, 176-188.

Sanford, N. The development of social responsibility through the college experience. In E. J. McGrath (Ed.), *The liberal arts college's responsibility for the individual student*. New York: Columbia University, Teachers College Press, 1966.

Sanford, N. The development of social responsibility. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1967, 37, 22-29. (a)

Sanford, N. *Where colleges fail: A study of the student as a person*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1967. (b)

Sawyer, J. The Altruism Scale: A measure of co-operative, individualistic, and competitive interpersonal orientation. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1966, 71, 407-416.

Schick, M., & Somit, A. The failure to teach political activity. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 1963, 6(5), 5-8.

Schmitt, D. R. The invocation of moral obligation. *Sociometry*, 1964, 27, 299-310.

Schwartz, S. H. Awareness of consequences and the influence of moral norms on interpersonal behavior. *Sociometry*, 1968, 31, 355-369.

Scott, J. E. The American college sorority: Its role in class and ethnic endogamy. *American Sociological Review*, 1965, 30, 514-527.

Scott, W. A. Conceptions of normality. In E. F. Borgatta & W. W. Lambert (Eds.), *Handbook of personality theory and research*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1968.

Shafer, S. Political passions and rational actions. *Teachers College Record*, 1967, 68, 471-479.

Steinger, M. Attitudes toward cheating: General and specific. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 22, 1101-1107.

Stephenson, G. M. *The development of conscience*. New York: Humanities, 1966.

- Stewart, L., & Livson, N. Smoking and rebelliousness: A longitudinal study from childhood to maturity. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1966, **30**, 225-229. Reprinted in R. E. Grinder (Ed.), *Studies in adolescence: A book of readings in adolescent development*. (2nd ed.) London: Collier-Macmillan, 1969.
- Tatar, H. R. Dishonesty in college students. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1965, **13**, 331-340.
- Tead, O. *Character building and higher education*. New York: Macmillan, 1953.
- Tisdale, J. R., & Brown, F. G. Characteristics of college misconduct cases. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1965, **6**, 359-366.
- Torgoff, I., & Tesi, G. Effect of differences in achievement motivation and social responsibility on responses to moral conflict. *Journal of Personality*, 1968, **36**, 513-527.
- Trent, J. W., & Golds, J. *Catholics in college: Religious commitment and the intellectual life*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1967.
- Walker, B. R., & Walker, S. R. *The new immorality*. Garden City: Doubleday, 1968.
- Wescoe, W. C. Higher education and moral responsibility. In L. E. Dennis & J. F. Kauffman (Eds.), *The college and the student: An assessment of relationships and responsibilities in undergraduate education by administrators, faculty members, and public officials*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1966.
- White, W. F., Zielonka, A. W., & Gaier, E. L. Personality correlates of cheating among college women under stress of independent-opportunistic behavior. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1967, **61**, 68-70.
- Whitehurst, R. N. The double standard and male dominance in nonmarital living arrangements: A preliminary statement. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1969, **39**, 195.
- Williamson, E. G., Jorve, W., & Lagerstedt-Knudson, B. What kinds of college students become disciplinary cases? *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1952, **12**, 608-619.
- Wilson, J. *Moral education and the curriculum: A guide for teachers and research workers*. New York: Pergamon Press, 1969.

Wilson, J., Williams, N., & Sugarman, B. *Introduction to moral education*. Harmondsworth, Middlesex, England: Penguin, 1967.

Wilson, M. S. Do college girls conform to the standards of their parents? *Marriage and Family Living*, 1953, 15, 207-208.

Wood, F. C., Jr. *Sex and the new morality*. New York: Association, 1968.

Zelan, J. Religious apostasy, high education and occupational choice. *Sociology of Education*, 1968, 41, 370-379.

### **Development of Attitudes, Values, Beliefs, and a Particular Philosophy of Life**

An attitude is characterized by a positive or negative response to particular ideas, concepts, objects, persons, places, events, situations, etc. It is a predisposition to action and serves as a cue for action. Attitudes are of varying intensity and direction and are characterized by stability and consistency. They are not necessarily based on conceptions of what is desirable.

Preferences based upon a conception of what is desirable are known as "values." Values are learned goals, and when they become strong enough they become beliefs (which indicates a willingness to act). Within a person, values and beliefs are grouped into patterns called value systems or philosophies of life. Such value systems give the student answers for what life is all about, why he exists, and why the world exists.

To introduce the topic of value and attitude changes for college students, an excellent discussion by Dressel (1965) is herein summarized. Dressel stated that value changes during college are desirable for some students, although the nature or the direction of change cannot be unequivocally ascertained. He contended, however, that value changes during college are not desirable for all students, that the value patterns for some entering college students are already so well formed that no change is needed. (Otherwise the student may attain "too much" of the value.) On the other hand, certain students should not even be allowed to enter college, according to Dressel, because they have not reached an adequate point in their value development. For those changes which a college sees as being desirable in certain of its students, slow and gradual change rather than marked change should be the goal.

Concerning possible changes in values, five types of change were noted by Dressel. Those five types of change are as follows: (a) an increase in the awareness of values, value differences, and value conflicts; (b) changes in the hierarchical order of the student's values; (c) changes in the normative base upon which the student is basing his values; (d) shifts in the student's

position on a value continuum; and (e) bringing about a rejection of previously held values and replacing them with acceptance of new values.

Values can be classified as process values or ultimate values. Ultimate values are values which persons holding them consider preeminent and obvious. Although certain ultimate values such as truth, beauty, and goodness are generally accepted, Dressel concludes that these types of values pose problems of judgment rather than provide answers. Therefore, he says:

Many careful thinkers on the role of values in higher education tend toward the conclusion that only the process type of value should be set up as a definite goal toward which individuals might be moved as a result of their college experience. . . . Process values are those means or methods in which value is attached because they lead to wise judgments regarding the relationship of decisions and behavior in ultimate values. Generally, higher education in talking about process values, has, in the words of Edward D. Eddy, Jr., emphasized "the equality of intellectual dissatisfaction, logical thought, and integrity in thought and action [pp. 107-108]."

Next comes the question of how values are formed or changed. Possible agents listed for affecting change were imitation and habituation, identification, communication of affect, intervention in the pursuit of instinctual gratification, enforced obedience, disarming by vulnerability (which may result in behavior change but not value change), and communication of knowledge and cultivation of intellectual abilities. Underlying variables mentioned were the continuum extending from conscious to unconscious, internal-external initiation of the change, comparative or normative use of standards or patterns by an individual, the dichotomy of affect and intellect, and the continuum extending from introspection through to actual behavior.

What approaches to value change are appropriate to higher education? Dressel enunciated five principles: (a) Instructional practices in higher education should be so planned as to make the student conscious of value problems. (b) Higher education should approach values in ways which emphasize comparative rather than normative use of reference groups and authority. (c) Higher education should approach value changes in ways which encourage internal rather than external initiation of value change. (d) Higher education should approach values in ways which emphasize those values we have designated as process values, that is, those which relate to the manner in which judgments are made and decisions arrived at. (e) Values should be approached in such ways that individuals are encouraged to act on a value-oriented basis rather than to become so engrossed in values as to be incapable of making decisions.

Officials at many church-related and other colleges would disagree with Dressel's contention that only process value change should be the goal of a college. For this reason, references dealing with change in ultimate values during college have also been included in this section.

### Success Viewed as Development of Attitudes, Values, Beliefs, and a Particular Philosophy of Life: Selected Annotations

Comroy and Newmeyer (1965) factor analyzed political and social attitude items that had been rated for agreement-disagreement by 212 UCLA student and nonstudent volunteers. The radicalism-conservatism factor was examined to see how general it was or whether it was best considered to be a composite of substantially independent variables.

Intercorrelations were computed after which the matrix was factor analyzed using the minimum-residual method followed by Varimax rotation. Next, the nine orthogonal factors found were rotated obliquely, guided by simple structure and psychological meaningfulness as criteria. A table of correlations among the factors was formed which was subsequently analyzed by the principle-factor method to provide knowledge of the second-order factor domain.

Of the nine factors found, five of them had at least two factor loadings of over .60. Those five factors were religious attitudes, punitive attitudes, nationalism, welfare-state attitudes, and racial tolerance. A composite of the five factors might be considered radical-conservatism. Previous factor analytic studies on this topic in the literature which did not use rotation were purported to be deceiving because they had shown radical-conservatism as a general factor without any indication of the correlated primary factor-level components.

Although research had demonstrated to their satisfaction that significant changes in attitudes, values, and beliefs do occur between the freshman and senior years in college, Dressel and Lehmann (1965) could find no evidence that any one "factor from the multitude of college experiences explains changes in attitudes and values (p. 249)." The fact that change occurs during the college years does not even imply that the college had anything to do with it. Therefore, they conducted a study that would tell them something about degree and direction of change at various stages of progress through college and that would compare such changes to changes for students who withdrew over the four years.

The study involved 3,000 students from Michigan State University and two small liberal arts colleges. Instruments used included *Test of Critical Thinking*, *Inventory of Beliefs*, *Prince Differential Values Inventory*, *Rokeach Dogmatism Scale*, a locally developed college objectives checklist, two locally developed experience inventories, Allport-Vernon-Lindzey *Study of Values*, and *Wesley Rigidity Scale*. Data were collected from beginning freshmen and at the end of each year until they were graduating seniors. Only portions of the students were asked to complete certain instruments. Those who had

dropped out of the college received questionnaires through the mail. Supplementary data were collected by interviews with students during the sophomore and junior years and by interviews with academic personnel.

A myriad of data analysis methodologies were used to analyze the data. These included analysis of variance, analysis of covariance, multiple-discriminant function analysis, factor analysis, content analysis, and various subjective judgmental assessments.

It was concluded from the results of the study that the values and attitudes of college students do change during college and that the amount and nature of this change is generally related to the period of time spent at the college. However, change in varying degrees and in some cases even in different directions was noted for individual students and for identifiable groups of students.

Important differences in values and attitudes were noted for different demographic groups: males versus females (stereotyping in their beliefs, dogmatism, receptivity to new ideas, traditional-value orientation); Catholic versus Protestant versus Jewish students (traditional-value orientation); Protestant students from liberal versus fundamentalist sects (stereotyping in their beliefs, dogmatism); rural versus urban (traditional-value orientation); lower socio-economic class versus middle class versus upper class (stereotyping in their beliefs, traditional-value orientation); and curricular majors (stereotyping in their beliefs, dogmatism). Interestingly, no significant differences in attitudes and values were found between those whose parents were native-born and those whose parents were foreign born.

In general, students improved significantly in having fewer stereotypic beliefs during all four years of college and in moving away from traditional-value orientation during the first three years of college (but not the fourth year). In addition, during each of the four years they became more flexible and less authoritarian, more liberal in their views and opinions about standards of behavior, more aware of their life goals, less prejudiced, and more likely to question absolutes in morality and religion. In all of these changes, however, the major changes took place during the first two years of college. With only one exception (dogmatism for males) there was greater homogeneity at the end of the senior year than at the beginning of the freshman year. A small percentage of students changed in a negative direction, became more stereotypic and prejudiced in their views, less tolerant of others, less receptive to new ideas, and more authoritarian. For both males and females, there was no significant relationship between length of college attendance and decrease in stereotypic beliefs, decrease in dogmatism, receptivity to new ideas, and more open-mindedness.

Concerning student self-report, a sizable percentage of students felt they had undergone no marked change in their attitudes, values, beliefs, and in-

terests while at college. Although many reported that they had become less attached to a particular religious orientation, they reported *no* decrease of belief in the value of religion in a mature life. In general, they felt that the most significant thing that had happened to them or that they had learned while at college was to get along with all types of people. Discussion and bull sessions seemed to be the most potent factor in shaping their attitudes and values during college. Before the junior year, courses and instructors were rarely mentioned as having a marked impact upon student attitudes and values. Beginning with the junior year, however, the formal academic experiences (especially courses and instructors in their major fields) began to assume an increased, although not predominant, importance. For both males and females, instructors and courses in the humanities were most frequently reported as having had a reinforcing or modifying effect in values and attitudes.

After controlling for differences found in critical thinking ability between students at the university and students at the two liberal arts colleges, no significant difference was found between the two types of institutions (neither for males or females) in dogmatism or traditional-value orientation. There was a difference in stereotypic beliefs, however, with such beliefs being more in evidence at the liberal arts colleges.

---

**Goldstein and McGinnies (1964)** conducted a study that involved few subjects, but that is noteworthy because of its experimental nature. A 23-item Likert-type scale measuring attitudes toward the church was administered to 600 students in the introductory psychology course at the University of Maryland. Based on the results, 12 subjects were selected from each of three segments of the total distribution which resulted in a pro-church, a neutral, and an anti-church group (means were 35.0, 67.4, and 94.1, respectively). These students were to serve as listeners for the experiment.

An additional 12 subjects were chosen at random from the pro-church end of the distribution to serve as speakers and as objects of the differential social reinforcement effects that were anticipated from contrived audiences. Each of the 12 students read a strongly anti-church essay before 3-person audiences. Unknown to the subjects, four of them read to pro-church listeners, four read to neutral groups, and four read to anti-church persons. All groups discussed the reading for 12 minutes, then all 48 students were given the attitude scale again.

Pre-test versus post-test *t*-test comparisons revealed that all speakers had a change in attitude toward the church that was consistent with the position taken by the communication. None of the three listener groups changed attitudes significantly, however. A Mann-Whitney *U* test revealed that significantly less change was observed for those speaking to pro-church groups as

compared with those speakers addressing neutral or anti-church groups. The authors interpreted these findings as "supporting a reinforcement theory of attitude change in an induced compliance situation [p. 567]."

---

**Gottlieb and Hodgkins (1963)** studied the influence of the college student subculture on change in student attitudes and values for a sample of 977 college students who were completing their fourth year at a large Midwestern public university. Students completed a questionnaire which gathered information on their social origins, their attitudes and beliefs, their behaviors, their academic performance, and their subculture classification. Based on the questionnaire data, the students were classified into Trow's four subculture categories: vocational, nonconformist, academic, and collegiate. Of special concern were differences in reported attitude change during college for the four subculture groups.

Chi-square analysis indicated that more lower-class students were in the vocational subculture, while more middle- and upper-class students were in the academic subculture. Nonconformists received the highest grade point averages, while collegiates received the lowest. The greatest tendency was to shift to the academic subculture during college. The nonconformist group changed the most and the vocational the least in dependence. In attitudes toward religion, the nonconformist group changed the most, the academic next, then the vocational, and least of all the collegiate.

---

**Hites (1965)** studied change in religious attitudes of 60 students during four years at Birmingham Southern College, an institution affiliated with the Methodist Church. A 37-item religious-attitude questionnaire was completed by entering freshmen and four years later as graduating seniors. The questionnaire was completed again, along with Adorno's *California F Scale* and a biographical inventory.

Factor analyses of the inter-item correlation matrices were computed yielding three factors on which the item loadings were similar for both freshman and senior testings: (a) the function of religion and how revealed, (b) literal-nonliteral acceptance of the Bible, and (c) immortality and man's relation to the physical world. Change scores for the three factors were found by subtracting scores as seniors from scores as freshmen. Significant changes occurred on all three factors (although not shifting to the opposite extreme) from strong agreement to not-so-strong agreement or uncertainty. Though nearly everyone changed and all in the same direction, individual differences in amount of change were found.

Factor-change scores (corrected for the negative correlation of error variance) were intercorrelated and then correlated with freshman factor score.

and with scores on the *California F Scale*. Factor 1 correlated positively with the other two factors, although the correlation between Factor 2 and Factor 3 was not significant. Secondly, those who were the most conservative as freshmen tended to change the most in their attitudes. Furthermore, the amount of change on each factor was related significantly to *F-Scale* scores; students became more tolerant.

The differential change of various peer groups was also examined using chi-square tests of independence. College residence had no observed effect in most cases, although those men in the dormitories changed more on the second factor than did men living at home or women living in the dorms. When participants in campus religious organizations were compared with nonparticipants (limiting the two groups only to Methodists in order to increase homogeneity), participants changed significantly more than did nonparticipants on Factor 2; and the change was toward a less literal interpretation of religion. There were no significant differences among the three groups of curricular majors—teaching, ministry and Christian education, and medicine and dentistry—on any of the factor scores.

---

Huntley (1965) administered the Allport-Vernon-Lindzey *Study of Values* to 1,027 Union College men at entrance and again just before graduation to provide evidence on the question of changes in values during college. Critical-ratio tests of pre-test and post-test mean differences were calculated separately for nine different curricular groups.

Each group tended to reveal its own change pattern, and failure to keep the results separate would certainly account for some of the results reported elsewhere. Of 54 possible changes in values (nine groups x six values), 27 were significant beyond the .01 level. Evidence was also shown that value patterns were present at entrance, that for each curricular group there were one or two central values, and that over the four years of college there was an accentuation of the central values. For example, the premedical (science) majors had a significant increase in theoretical values over the four years, while the humanities majors had a significant decrease in theoretical values. Humanities majors had a significant increase in political values, while premedical (science) majors had a significant decrease in political values. Both groups changed significantly in a positive direction on aesthetic values, but the change was much larger for the humanities majors. Actually, all nine groups increased on aesthetic values and decreased on religious values. All groups except industrial administration majors decreased on economics values. The results for the other value scales were mixed.

---

Lehmann and Payne (1963) were interested in whether some experiential factors in college could be isolated which might explain the attitude and value

changes that take place in college students. Therefore, they conducted a study in which they compared groups of Michigan State University students who exhibited quite different "true" change during the freshman year on responses to two attitude and value measures: "stereotypic beliefs" and "traditional value orientation." The three groups for each scale were as follows: (a) those who had increased their score at least 1.5 standard deviations above the post-test scores predicted for them by regression analysis, (b) those who had decreased in score at least 1.5 standard deviations below their predicted post-test scores, and (c) the remainder, referred to as the "nonchangers." Instruments used included the *Inventory of Beliefs* and Prince's *Differential Value Inventory*.

The data were analyzed using chi-square tests of significance. Attitudes toward courses taken and toward cultural activities were found to be significantly related to changes in attitudes and values for women. For men, initial attitudes toward college rules and regulations and friends were related to attitude and value change. While informal extracurricular activities appeared to affect value change, the authors concluded that the formal academic experiences (courses and instructors) had relatively no impact on the students' attitudes and values. Another important finding was that the data suggested a reinforcement rather than a modification of prevailing values.

---

**Peterson and Koulack (1969)** tested the hypothesis that the relationship between induced attitude change and communication discrepancy is nonmonotonic. More specifically, they attempted to determine whether the amount of attitude change exhibited by an individual is predictable from his latitudes of acceptance and rejection and whether there is a curvilinear relationship between attitude change and communication discrepancy as predicted by Whitaker (1967).

The sample consisted of 72 undergraduates selected from about 1,000 students enrolled in introductory psychology courses at Washington State University. Subjects were selected on the basis of their responses to an attitude questionnaire, and they all indicated identical attitudes toward the Vietnam war on a scale measuring their acceptance or rejection of the war along a 10-factor continuum. Three weeks later the subjects were each asked to write a 500-word essay in favor of a particular position on the war. They were individually assigned to write on a position that was randomly selected to be from one to six positions away from their previously favored position. After the essay had been written, they were again asked to complete the 10-factor rejection-acceptance questionnaire.

Mean changes in scale position from pre- to post-test were analyzed using analysis of variance, with attention focusing on shift of most acceptable position and the latitude of acceptance relative to the most objectionable posi-

tion. Results indicated that the students changed their attitudes toward the various positions by showing an enlarged latitude of acceptance. Attitude change would seem to be most likely to occur in response to a communicated position which is not far removed from one's original position. The greatest shift among the undergraduates participating in this study occurred toward positions that were two and three positions removed from the end point of the latitude of acceptance. The most persuasive messages were those in Positions 4 and 5. The subjects at Positions 4 and 5 came to perceive the communication as lying within their latitudes of acceptance, although initially those positions were well within the students' latitudes of rejection.

---

**Rath and Misra (1963)** related selected personality variables to change of attitudes for a sample of 105 undergraduates in psychology courses at a university in India. A 6-item social and political attitude questionnaire, *Raven Progressive Matrices* test, *Souff Rigidty Questionnaire*, and *Eysenck Extroversion-Introversion Questionnaire* were administered with the attitude scale readministered after about a week following a special discussion session on the issues covered in the questionnaire.

When personality scores were correlated with attitude test deviation scores, it was found that the more an individual deviated from the group standard, the less was the change in attitude towards the norm group that resulted from the group discussion. There was no relationship between rigidity and amount of change of attitude toward the group norm after the group discussion, although there was a significant positive correlation between rigidity and the number of extreme attitudes held. More intelligent persons exhibited a greater change of attitudes toward the group norm after group discussion. Other findings were that those having a greater amount of neuroticism exhibited less conformity to the group and that the relationship between extroversion and change of attitude toward the group mean was not significant.

---

**Tennison and Snyder (1968)** attempted to define certain personality characteristics expressed in religious attitudes and practices. The sample included 132 males and 167 females enrolled in psychology courses at Ohio State University. The *Thurston and Chave Scale for Measuring Attitudes Toward the Church* (TCS), *Kirkpatrick Religiosity Scale* (KRS), and the *Edwards Personal Preference Schedule* (EPPS) were the instruments used:

A *Mean Religiosity Index* (MRI) was derived for each student by averaging his or her score on the TCS and the KRS. Pearson product-moment correlations were then computed between EPPS and MRI. Abasement, affiliation, and deference were significantly related to religiosity, while succorance was

not so related. The dominance and achievement scales were negatively related to religiosity, while endurance and nurturance were positively related to religiosity. No significant differences were obtained between personality needs and religiosity as a function of sex. However, a z test for MRI sex differences indicated that the females had a more positive attitude toward the church than did the male subjects ( $p < .0001$ ). Results of this study support a Freudian conceptualization of religion.

Trent and Medsker (1968), in their study of 10,000 high school graduates in 16 communities across the country, found that young adults appear to change their attitudes and values during the first four years following their high school graduation. In addition, such changes varied by sex; ability; family background; and whether the students went to college, entered the work force or became homemakers. In addition, amount of college seemed to be related to the amount of attitude change taking place. Changes in values and attitudes took place most in college persisters, followed by those who attended college but later withdrew, followed by employed youth, and followed finally by homemakers who experienced neither college nor employment the first four years after high school.

Questionnaires containing attitude and value scales were administered just prior to high school graduation and periodically for the next five years. Complete longitudinal data were obtained from nearly 50% of the original sample. The primary methodology used was chi-square analysis.

It was found that amount of attendance at college, parents' education and religious orientation, in addition to academic aptitudes, were clearly related to change in autonomy and intellectual disposition. Concerning the students themselves, other adherents to a very conservative or dogmatic religion hindered development of autonomy. Such students also tended to major in engineering, education, and natural science. Personality variables measured by the *Omnibus Personality Inventory* related to amount of value and attitude change were degree of independence, openness, flexibility, intellectual and aesthetic orientations, preference for abstract thought, tolerance for ambiguity, and lack of authoritarianism.

The specific impact of college on the students' attitudes and values seemed unclear. It may be that the college serves as a reinforcing agency rather than an agency to modify prevailing values. On the other hand, just as plausible is the hypothesis that the college facilitates change for those students predisposed to it.

Young, Dustin, and Holtzman (1966) studied changes in attitude toward organized religion as indicated by responses to surveys of undergraduate students taken over a 9-year period at the University of Texas. A random sample of undergraduate students at the University of Texas were administered the *R Scale* (an instrument to measure attitudes toward organized religion) in 1955, 1958, and 1964. Simple analysis-of-variance procedures conducted across years revealed that attitudes towards organized religion did not change significantly between 1955 and 1958. However, from 1958 to 1964 there was a significant change ( $p < .001$ ) to a less favorable attitude towards religion; and the change was more pronounced for men than for women.

In addition to sex, a number of other variables were examined to see if interactions were present. Several of the variables were found to be significantly related to attitude toward organized religion: grade point average, major academic field, religious preference, frequency of church attendance, and age and year in school. Several variables that had previously been shown to be related to attitude toward the Negro were not related to attitude toward religion: in-state versus out-of-state residence, father's income, and fraternity-sorority membership.

#### Success Viewed as Development of Attitudes, Values, Beliefs, and a Particular Philosophy of Life: Published Literature

Abelson, H. I. *Persuasion: How opinions and attitudes are changed*. New York: Springer, 1959.

Adelson, J., & O'Neill, R. P. Growth of political ideas in adolescence: The sense of community. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 4, 295-306.

Adinarayan, S. P., & Rajamanickam, M. A study of student attitudes toward religion, the spiritual and the supernatural. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1962, 57, 105-111.

Aldous, J., & Kell, L. A partial test of some theories of identification. *Marriage and Family Living*, 1961, 23, 15-19.

Allyn, J., & Festinger, L. The effectiveness of unanticipated persuasive communications. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, 62, 35-40.

Altus, W. D. Questionnaire items and types of intelligence. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 1961, 98, 265-271.

- Anderson, C. S., & Himes, J. S. Dating values and norms on a Negro college campus. *Marriage and Family Living*, 1959, 21, 227-229.
- Anderson, L. R., & McGuire, W. J. Prior reassurance of group consensus as a factor in producing resistance to persuasion. *Sociometry*, 1965, 28, 44-56.
- Anderson, N. H. Test of a model for opinion change. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1959, 59, 371-381.
- Apostal, R. A. Student subcultures and personal values. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 34-39.
- Appley, M. H., & Moeller, G. Conforming behavior and personality variables in college women. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, 66, 284-290.
- Apsler, R., & Sears, D. O. Warning, personal involvement, and attitude change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 9, 162-166.
- Arsenian, S. Change in evaluative attitudes during four years of college. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1943, 27, 338-349.
- Ash, P., & Abramson, E. The effect of anonymity on attitude-questionnaire response. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1952, 47, 722-723.
- Astin, A. W. Undergraduate institutions and the production of scientists. *Science*, 1963, 141, 334-338.
- Astin, A. W. Personal and environmental determinants of student activism. *Measurement and Evaluation in Guidance*, 1968, 1, 149-162.
- Atkins, A. L., Deaux, K. K., & Bieri, J. Latitude of acceptance and attitude change: Empirical evidence for a reformulation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 6, 47-54.
- Bailyn, L., & Kelman, H. C. The effects of a year's experience in America on the self-image of Scandinavians: A preliminary analysis of reactions to a new environment. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1962, 18(1), 30-40.
- Baird, L. L. *The educational goals of college-bound youth*. ACT Research Report No. 19. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1967.
- Baird, L. L. A study of the role relations of graduate students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, 60, 15-21.

Baird, L. L. The effects of college residence groups on students' self-concepts, goals, and achievements. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1969, 47, 1015-1021.

Bales, R. F., & Couch, A. S. The value profile: A factor analytic study of value statements. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1969, 39, 3-17.

Bandura, A. *Principles of behavior modification*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1969.

Bandura, A., & Walters, R. H. *Social learning and personality development*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1963.

Bardis, P. D. Religiosity among Jewish students in a metropolitan university. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1964, 49, 90-95.

Barkley, K. L. Relative influence of commercial and liberal arts curricula upon changes in students' attitudes. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1942, 15, 129-144.

Barnard, J. D. The lecture-demonstration versus the problem-solving method of teaching a college science course. *Science Education*, 1942, 26, 121-132.

Barocas, R., & Gorlow, L. Religious affiliation, religious activities, and conformity. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, 20, 366.

Barron, F. X. *Creative person and creative process*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1969.

Barshay, H. B. *Empathy: Touchstone of self-fulfillment*. New York: Exposition Press, 1964.

Barton, A. Measuring the values of individuals. *Religious Education*, 1962, 57, S-62-S-97.

Barton, A. H. *Studying the effects of college education: A methodological examination of changing values in college*. New Haven, Conn.: Hazen Foundation, 1959.

Baumgartel, H., & Goldstein, J. W. Need and value shifts in college training groups. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 1967, 3, 87-101.

Bay, C. Political and apolitical students: Facts in search of theory. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1967, 23(3), 76-91.

Beard, R. M. *Research into teaching methods in higher education; mainly in British universities*. London: Society for Research into Higher Education, 1967.

- Becker, H. S., & Geer, B. The fate of idealism in medical school. *American Sociological Review*, 1958, 23, 50-56.
- Becker, H. S., & Strauss, A. L. Careers, personality, and adult socialization. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1956, 62, 253-263.
- Becker, T. Patterns of attitudinal changes among foreign students. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1968, 73, 431-442.
- Bellows, R. *Creative leadership*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1959.
- Bem, D. J. An experimental analysis of self-persuasion. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 1965, 1, 199-218.
- Bender, I. E. Changes in religious interest: A retest after 15 years. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1958, 57, 41-46.
- Bennett, J. W., Passin, H., & McKnight, R. K. *In search of identity: The Japanese overseas scholar in America and Japan*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1958.
- Berkowitz, L., & Lunoy, R. M. Personality characteristics related to susceptibility to influence by peers or authority figures. *Journal of Personality*, 1957, 25, 306-316.
- Berscheid, E. Opinion change and communicator-communicatee similarity and dissimilarity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 4, 670-680.
- Bidwell, C. E., et al. Undergraduate careers: Alternatives and determinants. *School Review*, 1963, 71, 299-316.
- Bidwell, C. E., & Vreeland, R. S. College education and moral orientations: An organizational approach. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 1963, 8, 166-191.
- Bittner, J. R. Student value profiles of state and church-related colleges. *College Student Survey*, 1968, 2, 1-4.
- Bledsoe, J. C. A comparative study of values and critical thinking skills of a group of educational workers. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1955, 46, 408-417.
- Block, J. R. Haan, N., & Smith, M. B. Socialization correlates of student activism. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1969, 25(4), 143-177.

Block, J. R., & Yaker, H. E. Correlates of an intellectual orientation among college students. *American Psychologist*, 1965, 20, 493.

Bloom, B. S. *Stability and change in human characteristics*. New York: Wiley, 1964.

Bloom, B. S., & Webster, H. The outcomes of college. *Review of Educational Research*, 1960, 30, 321-333.

Blumberg, A. Some thoughts on the teaching of values. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1961, 2(3), 32-34.

Bohrstedt, G. W. Conservatism, authoritarianism and religiosity of fraternity pledges. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1969, 10, 36-43.

Boldt, W. J., & Stroud, J. B. Changes in the attitudes of college students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1934, 25, 611-619.

Bolton, C. D., & Kammeyer, K. W. *The university student: A study of student behavior and values*. New Haven, Conn.: College and University Press, 1967.

Bonjean, C. M., & McGeel, R. Scholastic dishonesty among undergraduates in differing systems of social control. *Sociology of Education*, 1965, 38, 127-137.

Bostrom, R. N., & Tucker, R. K. Evidence, personality, and attitude change. *Speech Monographs*, 1969, 36, 22-27.

Bostrom, R. N., Vlandis, J. W., & Rosenbaum, M. E. Grades as reinforcing contingencies and attitude change. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1961, 52, 112-115.

Bourque, L. B., & Back, K. W. Values and transcendental experiences. *Social Forces*, 1968, 47, 34-38.

Brameld, T. *Education as power*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1965.

Breemes, E. L., Remmers, H. H., & Morgan, C. L. Changes in liberalism-conservatism of college students since the depression. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1941, 14, 99-107.

Breer, P. E., & Locke, E. A. *Task experience as a source of attitudes*. Homewood, Ill.: Dorsey Press, 1965.

Brehm, J., & Cohen, A. *Explorations in cognitive dissonance*. New York: Wiley, 1962.

- Bressler, M., & Westoff, C. F. Catholic education, economic values, and achievement. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1963, **69**, 225-233.
- Brewer, M. B., & Crano, W. D. Attitude change as a function of discrepancy and source of influence. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, **76**, 13-18.
- Brewer, R. E. Attitude change, interpersonal attraction, and communication in a dyadic situation. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, **75**, 127-134.
- Brim, B. J. Attitude changes in teacher education students. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1966, **59**, 441-445.
- Brim, O. G., Jr., & Wheeler, S. *Socialization after childhood: Two essays*. New York: Wiley, 1966.
- Brittain, C. V. Adolescent choices and parent-peer cross-pressures. *American Sociological Review*, 1963, **28**, 385-391.
- Brock, T. C. Communicator-recipient similarity and decision change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, **1**, 650-654.
- Brock, T. C., & Balloun, J. L. Behavioral receptivity to dissonant information. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, **6**, 413-428.
- Brock, T. C., Greenwald, A. G., & Ostrom, T. M. (Eds.) *Psychological foundations of attitudes*. New York: Academic Press, 1968.
- Bronfenbrenner, U., Harding, J., & Gallwey, M. The measurement of skill in social perception. In D. C. McClelland, et al. *Talent and society: New perspectives in the identification of talent*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1958.
- Brooks, G. D., & Brooks, B. S. *The literature of student unrest*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Educational Technology Pub., 1969.
- Brooks, M. L. Religious denominations as reference groups among a college population. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 1968, **7**, 108.
- Brown, C. M., & Ferguson, L. W. Self-concept and religious belief. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, **22**, 266.
- Brown, D. G., & Lowp, W. L. Religious beliefs and personality characteristics of college students. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1951, **33**, 103-129.
- Brown, D. R. Value change in college women. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1962, **25**, 148-155.
- Brown, D. R., & Bystry, D. College environment, personality, and social ideology of three ethnic groups. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1956, **44**, 279-288.

Brown, L. B. The structure of religious beliefs. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 1966, 5, 259-272.

Buckhout, R. Changes in heart rate accompanying attitude change *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 4, 695-699.

Buckhout, R., & Rosenberg, M. J. Verbal reinforcement and attitude change. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 18, 691-694.

Bugelski, R., & Lester, O. P. Changes in attitudes in a group of college students during their college course and after graduation. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1940, 12, 319-332.

Burkhardt, R. W. (Chm) *Student values and teacher education*. Emporia: North Central Association of Colleges and Secondary School and Kansas State Teachers College, 1963.

Bushnell, J. Student values: A summary of research and future problems. In M. Carpenter (Ed.), *The larger learning: Teaching values to college students*. Dubuque, Ia: Brown, 1960.

Butler, A. C., & Carr, L. Purpose in life through social action. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, 74, 243-250.

Butt, S. D. Value and personality dimensions: Their constancies and their relationships. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 19, 1115-1124.

Butt, S. D., & Signori, E. I. Relationship of personality factors to conceived values in male university students. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 16, 609-617.

Butterfield, E. C. Locus of control, test anxiety, reactions to frustration and achievement attitudes. *Journal of Personality*, 1964, 32, 355-370.

Caffrey, B., Anderson, S., II., & Garrison, J. Change in racial attitudes of white Southerners after exposure to the atmosphere of a Southern university. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 555-558.

Caffrey, B., & Capel, W. C. The predictive value of neutral positions in opinion and attitude research. *Journal of Psychology*, 1968, 69, 145-154.

Campbell, A., & Eckerman, W. C. *Public concepts of the values and costs of higher education*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Survey Research Center, 1964.

Campbell, D. F., & Dennis, W. M. Religious involvement and intellectuality among university students. *Sociological Analysis*, 1968, 29(2), 79-93.

- Campbell, T. C. Alienation and authority. *Student World*, 1967, **60**, 349-358. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1968, **3**, 557)
- Canning, R. R., & Baker, J. M. Effect of the group on authoritarian and non-authoritarian persons. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1959, **64**, 579-581.
- Cantey, E., & Mull, H. K. A comparison to freshmen and seniors in a liberal arts college in respect of their understanding of social issues. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1942, **16**, 335-339.
- Cardwell, J. D. The relationship between religious commitment and premarital sexual permissiveness: A five dimensional analysis. *Sociological Analysis*, Summer 1969, **30**, 72-80.
- Carling, F. *Student generation: Religion and politics*. New York: Sheed & Ward, 1969.
- Carlson, E. R. Attitude change through modification of attitude structure. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1956, **52**, 256-261.
- Carney, R. E. Some correlates of religiosity. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 1961, **1**, 143-144.
- Carpenter, M. *Stimulating students to make critical value judgments*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1958.
- Carpenter, M. (Ed.) *The larger learning: Teaching values to college students*. Dubuque, Iowa: Brown, 1960.
- Carr, L., & Roberts, S. O. Correlates of civil-rights participation. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1965, **67**, 259-267.
- Cattell, R. B., & Horn, J. An integrating study of the factor structure of adult attitude-interests. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1963, **67**, 89-149.
- Cautela, J. R., & Wisocki, P.A. The use of imagery in the modification of attitudes toward the elderly: A preliminary report. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, **73**, 193-199.
- Chesin, S. E. Effects of differential housing on attitudes and values. *College Student Survey*, 1969, **3**, 62-66.
- Chickering, A. W. Institutional differences and student characteristics. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1966, **15**, 168-181.
- Chickering, A. W. College residences and student development. *Educational Record*, 1967, **48**, 179-186.

- Chickering, A. W. Institutional objectives and patterns of student change. In G. N. Drewry (Ed.), *The instructional process and institutional research. Proceedings of the Seventh Annual Forum of the Association for Institutional Research*, 1967.
- Chickering, A. W. Institutional objectives and student development in college. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 1967, 3, 287-304.
- Chickering, A. W. *Education and identity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969.
- Christenson, R. M., & Capretta, P. J. The impact of college on political attitudes: A research note. *Social Science Quarterly*, 1968, 49, 315-320.
- Chu, G. C. Student expatriation: A function of relative social report. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1968, 52, 174-184.
- Clark, B. R., & Trow, M. The organizational context. In T.-M. Newcomb & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Clark, E. T., & Propper, M. M. Alienation syndrome among Catholic male undergraduates. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 167-172.
- Cockburn, A., & Blackburn, R. (Eds.) *Student power: Problems, diagnosis, action*. Harmondsworth, Middlesex, England: Penguin, 1969.
- Coelho, G. V. *Changing images of America: A study of Indian students' perceptions*. New York: Free Press, 1958.
- Coelho, G. V. Personal growth and educational development through working and studying abroad. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1962, 18(1), 55-67.
- Cohen, A. R. Communication discrepancy and attitude change: A dissonance approach. *Journal of Personality*, 1959, 27, 386-396.
- Cohen, A. R. *Attitude change and social influence*. New York: Basic Books, 1964.
- Cohen, S. M. This is psychology? *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1968, 16, 43-47.
- Cole, D. L. Intercultural variations in recall of parental reward patterns. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1966, 50, 436-447.
- College influence on student values. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 1968, 50, 57-59.
- Committee on the Student in Higher Education. *The student in higher education*. New Haven, Conn.: Hazen Foundation, 1968.

- Comrey, A. L. Comparison of personality and attitude-variables. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1966, **26**, 853-860.
- Comrey, A. L., & Newmeyer, J. A. Measurement of radicalism-conservatism. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1965, **67**, 357-369.
- Cook, D. L. Relationship between emancipation status, personality, and teacher-pupil attitudes. In E. M. Hudelson (Ed.), *The 15th yearbook of the National Council on Measurement in Education*. New York: National Council on Measurement in Education, 1958.
- Cook, M. O. College students change majors. *School and Society*, 1965, **93**, 271-273.
- Cook, T. D., & Insko, C. A. Persistence of attitude change as a function of conclusion reexposure: A laboratory-field experiment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, **9**, 322-328.
- Corey, S. M. Attitude differences between college classes: A summary and criticism. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1936, **27**, 321-330.
- Corey, S. M. Changes in the opinions of female students after one year at a university. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1940, **11**, 341-351.
- Corfield, V. K. The role of arousal and cognitive complexity in susceptibility to social influence. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, **37**, 554-566.
- Corrozi, J. F., & Rosnow, R. L. Consonant and dissonant communications as positive and negative reinforcements in opinion change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, **8**, 27-30.
- Cottle, T. J. Family perceptions, sex role identity and the prediction of school performance. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1968, **28**, 861-886.
- Cox, D. F., & Bauer, R. A. Self-confidence and persuasibility in women. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 1964, **28**, 453-466.
- Croft, R. G., et al. Comparison of attitude changes elicited by live and videotape classroom presentations. *AV Communication Review*, 1969, **17**, 315-321.
- Cross, H. J., & Kawash, G. F. A short form and PARI to assess authoritarian attitudes toward child rearing. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, **23**, 91-98.
- Cross, K. P. College women: A research description. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1968, **32**, 12-21.

- Crotty, W. J. Democratic consensual norms and the college student. *Sociology of Education*, 1967, **40**, 200-218.
- Crowne, D. P., & Marlowe, D. *The approval motive: Studies in evaluative dependence*. New York: Wiley, 1964.
- Culbertson, F. M. Modification of an emotionally held attitude through role playing. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1957, **54**, 230-233.
- Cummins, E. J., & Kissiah, H. C. Trends in religious attitudes of college freshmen: 1961-66. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, **9**, 256-258.
- Das, J. P., & Nanda, P. C. Mediated transfer of attitudes. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1963, **66**, 12-16.
- Davis, F. J. Perspectives of Turkish students in the United States. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1963, **48**, 47-57.
- Dawson, G. G. Changing students' attitudes. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1966, **14**, 200-203.
- Day, H.P. Attitude changes of beginning teachers after an initial teaching experience. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 1959, **10**, 326-328.
- Deaux, K. A. Variations in warning, information preference, and anticipatory attitude change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, **9**, 157-161.
- Dellas, M., & Gaier, E. L. Modes of conformity of freshmen women at differently oriented colleges. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1969, **62**, 370-374.
- Deutscher, I., & Montague, A. Professional education and conflicting value systems: The role of religious schools in the educational aspirations of nursing students. *Social Forces*, 1956, **35**, 126-131.
- Dillehay, R. C., Insko, C. A., & Smith, M. B. Logical consistency and attitude change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, **3**, 646-654.
- Dilley, N. E. Personal values held by college students who enter a teacher education program. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 1957, **8**, 289-294.
- DiVesta, F. J. Instructor-centered and student-centered approaches in teaching a human relations course. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1954, **38**, 329-335.

- DiVesta, F. J. Effects of confidence and motivation on susceptibility to informational social influence. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1959, **59**, 204-209.
- DiVesta, F. J., & Merwin, J.C. The effects of need-oriented communications on attitude change. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, **60**, 80-85.
- Dixon, C. R. Courses in psychology and students' attitudes toward mental illness. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, **20**, 50.
- Dollar, R. J. Relationship between interpersonal values and temperament traits. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, **19**, 228.
- Doob, L. W. Some factors determining change in attitude. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1940, **35**, 549-565.
- Drabek, T. E. Student preferences in professor-student classroom role relations. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1966, **36**, 87-97.
- Dressel, P. L. Factors involved in changing the values of college students. *Educational Record*, 1965, **46**, 104-113.
- Dressel, P. L., & Lehmann, I. J. The impact of higher education on student attitudes, values, and critical thinking abilities. *Educational Record*, 1965, **46**, 248-258.
- Dukes, W. F. Psychological studies of values. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1955, **52**, 24-50.
- Dutton, W. H. Attitude change of elementary school student teachers and anxiety. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1962, **55**, 380-382.
- Eash, M.J., & Bennett, C. M. The effect of class size on achievement and attitudes. *American Educational Research Journal*, 1964, **1**, 229-239.
- Eberly, C. G. The influence of the fraternity ritual. *College Student Survey*, 1967, **1**, 9-10, 14.
- Eddy, E. D., Jr. *The college influence on student character: An exploratory study in selected colleges and universities made for the Committee for the Study of Character Development in Education*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1959.
- Eddy, E. D., Jr. How the college influences character. In the intellectual climate of the liberal arts college. *Addresses and Proceedings of the 1963 Annual Meeting of the Western College Association*. Claremont, Calif.: Western College Association, 1963.

- Eddy, E. M. Attitudes towards desegregation among Southern students on a Northern campus. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1964, **62**, 285-301.
- Edelstein, A. S. Since Bennington: Evidence of change in student political behavior. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 1962, **26**, 564-577.
- Eisenman, R. Complexity-simplicity preferences, involvement, and attitude change. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1968, **2**, 128-132.
- Elms, A. C. Influence of fantasy ability on attitude change through role playing. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, **4**, 36-43.
- Emme, E. E. Factors in the religious development of thirty-eight college students. *Religious Education*, 1941, **36**, 116-120.
- Farnsworth, P. R. Changes in "attitude toward war" during college years. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1937, **8**, 274-279.
- Faulkner, J. E., & DeJong, G. F. Religiosity in 5-D: An empirical analysis. *Social Forces*, 1966, **45**, 246-254.
- Fay, L. F. Student cathexis of the structures of religious socialization in a Catholic college. *Sociological Analysis*, Fall 1968, **29**, 136-143.
- Fay, P. J., & Middleton, W. C. Certain factors related to liberal and conservative attitudes of college students: I. Father's occupation; size of home town. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1940, **11**, 91-105.
- Feather, N. T. Acceptance and rejection of arguments in relation to attitude strength, critical ability, and intolerance of inconsistency. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, **69**, 127-136.
- Feather, N. T. Cognitive differentiation, attitude strength, and dogmatism. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, **37**, 111-126.
- Feldman, K. A. Change and stability of religious orientations during college: Part I. Freshman-senior comparisons. *Review of Religious Research*, 1969, **11**, 40-60.
- Feldman, K. A. Studying the impacts of colleges on students. *Sociology of Education*, 1969, **42**, 207-237.
- Feldman, K. A. Change and stability of religious orientations during college. Part II. Social-Structural correlates. *Review of Religious Research*, 1970, **11**, 103-128.

- Feldman, K. A., & Newcomb, T. M. *The impact of college on students*. Vol. I. *An analysis of four decades of research*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969. (a)
- Feldman, K. A., & Newcomb, T. M. *The impact of college on students*. Vol. II. *Summary tables*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969. (b)
- Feldman, S. (Ed.) *Cognitive consistency: Motivational antecedents and behavioral consequents*. New York: Academic Press, 1966.
- Ferguson, L. W. Socio-psychological correlates of the primary attitude scales: I. Religionism; II. Humanitarianism. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1944, 19, 81-98.
- Ferman, L. A. Religious change on a college campus. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1960, 1(3), 2-12.
- Festinger, L. Behavioral support for opinion change. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 1964, 28, 404-417.
- Festinger, L., & Maccoby, N. On resistance to persuasive communications. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, 68, 359-366.
- Fishbein, M. (Ed.) *Readings in attitude theory and measurement*. New York: Wiley, 1967.
- Fisher, M. B., & Noble, J. L. *College education as personal development*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1960.
- Fishman, J. A. Discussion of social change and student values, by P. E. Jacob. *Educational Records*, 1960, 41, 342-346.
- Fishman, J. R., & Solomon, F. Youth and social action: An introduction. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1964, 20(4), 1-27.
- Flacks, R. The liberated generation: An exploration of the roots of student protest. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1967, 23(3), 52-75.
- Footlick, J. K. *The college scene now*. Silver Springs, Md.: Newsbook, 1967.
- Frederick, R. W. *Student activities in American education*. New York: Center for Applied Research in Education, 1965.
- Fredericks, M. A., & Mundy, P. The relationship between social class, stress-anxiety responses, academic achievement, and internalization of professional attitudes of students in a medical school. *Journal of Medical Education*, 1967, 42, 1023-1030.

- Fredericks, M. A., & Mundy, P. Relations between social class, stress-anxiety responses, academic achievement, and professional attitudes of dental students. *Journal of Dental Education*, 1969, 33, 377-383.
- Freedman, J. L. Involvement, discrepancy, and change. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, 69, 290-295.
- Freedman, J. L., & Sears, D. O. Warning, distraction, and resistance to influence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 1, 262-266.
- Freedman, M. B. Changes in six decades of some attitudes and values held by educated women. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1961, 17(1), 19-28.
- Freedman, M. B. Studies of college alumni. In N. Sanford (Ed.), *The American college: A psychological and social interpretation of the higher learning*. New York: Wiley, 1962.
- Freedman, M. B. *Impact of college*. Washington: United States Government Printing Office, 1966.
- Freedman, M. B. *The college experience*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1967.
- Frerking, K. Religious participation of Lutheran students. *Review of Religious Research*, 1965, 6, 153-162.
- Gallagher, B. G. *American caste and the Negro college*. New York: Gordian Press, 1966.
- Garrison, K. C. Worldminded attitudes of college students in a Southern university. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1961, 54, 147-153.
- Garrison, K. C. The relationship of certain variables to church-sect typology among college students. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1962, 56, 29-32.
- Garrison, K. C., & Jones, F. R. *The psychology of human development*. Scranton, Penn.: International Textbook Co., 1969.
- Gelineau, V. A., & Kantor, D. Pro-social commitment among college students. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1964, 20(4), 112-130.
- George, P.M. A balance approach to occupational commitment, values, and perceptions. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1967, 37, 281-295.
- Gerard, H. B. Compliance, expectation of reward, and opinion change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 6, 360-364.

- Gerberich, J. R., & Warner, K. O. Relative instructional efficiencies of the lecture and discussion method in a university course in American National Government. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1936, 29, 574-579.
- Getzels, J. W., & Csikszentmihályi, M. On the roles, values, and performance of future artists: A conceptual and empirical exploration. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1968, 9, 516-530.
- Gilliland, A. R. Changes in religious beliefs of college students. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1953, 37, 113-116.
- Gilman, R. C. Postscript to the Jacob study. *Religious Education*, 1960, 55, 15-23.
- Ginzberg, E. (Ed.) *Values and Ideals of American youth*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1961.
- Glass, D. C., et al. Obesity and persuasibility. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 407-414.
- Glazer, P. M. The new left: A style of protest. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1967, 38, 119-130.
- Goldsen, R. K., et al. *What college students think*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1960.
- Goldstein, I., & McGinnies, E. Compliance and attitude change under conditions of differential social reinforcement. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, 68, 567-570.
- Goldstein, M. J. The social desirability variable in attitude research. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1960, 52, 103-108.
- Golightly, C., & Byrne, D. Attitude statements as positive and negative reinforcements. *Science*, 1964, 146, 798-799.
- Gordon, J. H. Value differences between freshmen and seniors at a state university. *College Student Survey*, 1967, 1, 69-70, 92.
- Gore, P. M., & Rotter, J. B. A personality correlate of social action. *Journal of Personality*, 1963, 31, 58-64.
- Gorfein, D. S. Methodological considerations in attitude change. *Psychological Reports*, 1963, 13, 475-484.
- Gottlieb, D. Processes of socialization in American graduate schools. *Social Forces*, 1961, 40, 124-131.

- Gottlieb, D., & Hodgkins, B. College student subcultures: Their structure and characteristics in relation to student attitude change. *School Review*, 1963, 71, 266-289.
- Grafton, C. L. The student in transition: A decade of change. *College Student Survey*, 1968, 2, 56-59.
- Greeley, A. M. A note on the origins of religious differences. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 1963, 3, 21-31.
- Greeley, A. M. Influence of the "religious factor" on career plans and occupational values of college graduates. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1963, 68, 658-671.
- Greeley, A. M. *Religion and career: A study of college graduates*. New York: Sheed & Ward, 1963.
- Greeley, A. M. The religious behavior of graduate students. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 1965, 5, 34-40.
- Greeley, A. M. The college blight on idealism. *Educational Record*, 1968, 49, 429-434.
- Greeley, A. M. *From backwater to mainstream: A profile of Catholic higher education*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.
- Greeley, A. M., & Rossi, P. H. *The education of Catholic Americans*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Greenbaum, C. W. Effect of situational and personality variables on improvisation and attitude change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 4, 260-269.
- Greenberg, G. U., & Frank, G. H. Personality correlates of attitude change: The tendency to alter attitudes toward in other-directed and inner-directed people. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1967, 76, 85-90.
- Greenwald, A. G., Brock, T. C., & Ostrom, T. M. *Psychological foundations of attitudes*. New York: Academic Press, 1968.
- Greenwald, A. G., & Sakumura, J. S. Attitude and selective learning: Where are the phenomena of yesteryear? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 7, 387-397.
- Guetzkow, H., Kelly, E. L., & McKeachie, W. J. An experimental comparison of recitation, discussion, and tutorial methods in college teaching. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1954, 45, 193-207.

Gustad, J. W. Changes in social attitudes and behavior: A review of the literature. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1951, 11, 87-102.

Haaland, G. A., & Venkatesan, M. Resistance to persuasive communications: An examination of the distraction hypotheses. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 9, 167-170.

Hadden, J. K. An analysis of some factors associated with religion and political affiliation in a college population. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 1963, 2, 209-216.

Halleck, S. L. Hypotheses of student unrest. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 1968, 50, 2-9.

Halloran, J. D. *Attitude formation and change*. Leicester, England: Leicester University Press, 1967.

Hammond, M. Attitudinal changes of "successful" students in a college of engineering. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1959, 6, 69-71.

Haney, R. E. The development of scientific attitudes. *Science Teacher*, 1964, 31(8), 33-35.

Hardy, K. R. Determinants of conformity and attitude change. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1957, 54, 289-294.

Hare, N. Conflicting racial orientation of Negro college students and professors. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1965, 34, 431-434.

Harris, E. E. Some comparisons among Negro-White college students: Social ambition and estimated social mobility. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1966, 35, 351-368.

Harrison, A. A. Response competition, frequency, exploratory behavior, and liking. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 9, 363-368.

Hartnett, R. T. *Differences in selected attitudes and college orientations between black students attending traditionally Negro and traditionally white institutions*. Research Bulletin RB-69-48. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1969.

Hartnett, R. T., & Peterson, R. F. Religious preference as a factor in attitudinal and background differences among college freshmen. *Sociology of Education*, 1968, 41, 227-327.

Harvey, O. J., Reich, J. W., & Wyer, R. S. Effects of attitude direction, attitude intensity, and structure of beliefs upon differentiation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 10, 472-478.

- Harvey, O.J., & Ware, R. Personality differences in dissonance resolution. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 7, 227-230.
- Hassenger, R. Catholic college impact on religious orientations. *Sociological Analysis*, 1966, 27, 67-79.
- Hassenger, R. The impact of Catholic colleges. In R. Hassenger (Ed.), *The shape of Catholic higher education*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1967. (a)
- Hassenger, R. (Ed.) *The shape of Catholic higher education*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1967. (b)
- Hassenger, R., & Weiss, R. The Catholic college climate. *School Review*, 1966 74, 419-445.
- Havermans, E., & West, P. S. *They went to college: The college graduate in America today*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & Co., 1952.
- Havens, J. The changing climate of research on the college student and his religion. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 1963, 3, 52-69.
- Havens, J. A study of religious conflict in college students. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1964, 64, 77-87.
- Havice, C. W. Religion on the American campus: A selected bibliography. Supplement to Dialogue on Campus, *ACURA Newsletter*, June 1969, 20. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1970, 5, 336)
- Havice, C. W. (Ed.) *Campus values: Some considerations for collegians*. New York: Scribner, 1968.
- Hayes, D. D. & Varley, B. K. Impact of social work education on students' values. *Social Work*, 1965, 10 (3), 40-46.
- Hearn, H. L., Manning, P. K., & Hafenstein, R. W. Identity and institutional imperatives: The socialization of the student actress. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1968, 9, 47-63.
- Heath, D. H. *Growing up in college: Liberal education and maturity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.
- Heist, P. (Ed.) *Education for creativity—A modern myth?* Berkeley: University of California, Center for Research and Development in Higher Education, 1967.
- Helmreich, R., Kuiken, D., & Collins, B. Effects on stress and birth order on attitude change. *Journal of Personality*, 1968, 36, 466-473.

- Helson, H., et al. Attitudes as adjustments to stimulus, background, and residual factors. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1956, 52, 314-322.
- Hershenson, D. B. Family religious background, secondary schooling, and value orientation of college students. *Sociological Analysis*, 1967, 28, 93-96.
- Hershenson, D. B. Sense of identity, occupational fit, and enculturation in adolescence. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 319-324.
- Heston, J. C. Measuring some effects of a "basic ideas" program. In G. N. Drewry (Ed.), *The instructional process and institutional research. Proceedings of the Seventh Annual Forum of the Association for Institutional Research*, 1967.
- Hill, R. J. *A comparative study of lecture and discussion methods*. New York: Fund for Adult Education, 1960.
- Hillman, K. G. Student evaluation of academic achievement. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1969, 10, 384-394.
- Hilton, T. L., & Korn, J. H. Measured change in personal values. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1964, 24, 609-622.
- Hites, R. W. Change in religious attitudes during four years of college. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1965, 66, 51-63.
- Hodgman, C. H. Achieving a degree: Everyone's problem. *National Association of College Admissions Counselors Journal*, 1969, 13(4), 5-8.
- Hofman, J. E., & Zak, I. Interpersonal contact and attitude change in a cross-cultural situation. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1969, 78, 165-171.
- Hofstadter, R. *Anti-intellectualism in American life*. New York: Knopf, 1969.
- Hollander, E. P. Competence and conformity in the acceptance of influence. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, 61, 365-369.
- Hopwood, K. L. Stability in freshman attitudes. *Journal of the National Association of Deans of Women*, 1954, 17, 125-129.
- Hopwood, K. L. Observations on student values at Hunter College. *Religious Education*, 1960, 55, 46-48.
- Houstras, P. T., & Pederson, L. M. A comparison of affiliated and independent college students using the Bill's Index of Adjustment and Values. *College of Education Record, University of North Dakota*, March-April 1968, 53, 14-18. (*College Student Personnel Abstracts*, 1968, 4, 15)

Hovland, C. I. Reconciling conflicting results derived from experimental and survey studies of attitude change. *American Psychologist*, 1959, 14, 8-17.

Hovland, C. I., et al. *The order of presentation in persuasion*. New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 1957.

Hovland, C. I., Harvey, O. J., & Sherif, M. Assimilation and contrast effects in reactions to communication and attitude change. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1957, 55, 244-252.

Hovland, C. I., & Janis, I. L. (Eds.) *Personality and persuasibility*. New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 1959.

Hovland, C. I., Janis, I. L., & Kelley, H. H. *Communication and persuasion: Psychological studies of opinion change*. New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 1953.

Hovland, C. I., & Weiss, W. The influence of credibility on communication effectiveness. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 1952, 15, 635-650.

Howard, V., & Warrington, W. The inventory of beliefs: Changes in beliefs and attitudes and academic success prediction. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1958, 37, 299-302.

Hoyt, D. P. The impact of student personnel work on student development. *NASPA Journal*, 1968, 5, 269-275.

Hunt, E. L. *The revolt of the college intellectual*. New York: Human Relations Aids, 1963.

Hunt, M. F., Jr., & Miller, G. R. Open- and closed-mindedness, belief-discrepant communication behavior, and tolerance for cognitive inconsistency. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 8, 35-37.

Hunter, E. C. Changes in general attitudes of women students during four years in college. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1942, 16, 243-257.

Huntley, C. W. Changes in study of values scores during the four years of college. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1965, 71, 349-383.

Huntley, C. W. Changes in values during the four years of college. *College Student Survey*, 1967, 1, 43-48.

Insko, C. A. Primacy versus recency in persuasion as a function of the timing of arguments and measures. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, 69, 381-391.

- Insko, C. A. Verbal reinforcement of attitude. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, **2**, 621-623.
- Insko, C. A. *Theories of attitude change*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1967.
- Israel, J. Experimental change of attitudes using the Asch-Affect. *Acta Sociologica*, 1963, **7**, 95-104.
- Itkin, W. Relationships between attitudes toward parents and parents' attitudes towards children. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 1955, **86**, 339-352.
- Ivey, A. E. A three year evaluation of a college freshman week program. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1963, **5**, 113-118.
- Jackson, R., & Winkler, R. C. A comparison of pledges and independents. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, **43**, 379-382.
- Jacob, P. E. *Changing values in college: An exploratory study of the impact of college teaching*. New York: Harper, 1957.
- Jacob, P. E. Social change and student values. *Educational Record*, 1960, **41**, 338-342.
- James, N. E. Values and perceptions of college students. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1963, **5**, 119-124.
- Janis, I. L. Personality correlates of susceptibility to persuasion. *Journal of Personality*, 1954, **22**, 504-518.
- Janis, I. L. Anxiety indices related to susceptibility to persuasion. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, **51**, 663-667.
- Janis, I. L. *Effects of fear arousal on attitude change: Recent developments in theory and experimental research*. Vol. III. New York: Academic Press, 1965.
- Janis, I. L., & Gilmore, J. B. The influence of incentive conditions on the success of role playing in modifying attitudes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, **1**, 17-27.
- Janis, I. L., Kaye, D., & Kirschner, P. Facilitating effects of "eating-while-reading" on responsiveness to persuasive communications. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, **1**, 181-186.
- Janis, I. L., & King, B. T. The influence of role playing on opinion change. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1954, **49**, 211-218.

Jensen, L. C., & Knecht, S. Type of message, personality, and attitude change. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, **23**, 643-648.

Johnson, D. M., & Smith, H. C. Democratic leadership in the college classroom. *Psychological Monographs*, 1953, **67**(11, Whole No. 361).

Johnson, H. H., Torcivia, J. M., & Poprick, M. A. Effects of source credibility on the relationship between authoritarianism and attitude change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, **9**, 179-183.

Jonassen, C. T. A comparison of political beliefs of college students in Norway and the United States. *Acta Sociologica*, 1966, **9**, 201-208.

Jones, L. V., & Morris, C. Relations of temperament to the choice of values. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1956, **53**, 345-349.

Jones, M. B. Religious values and authoritarian tendency. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1958, **48**, 83-89.

Jones, V. A. Attitudes of college students and the changes in such attitudes during four years in college. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1938, **29**, 14-25, 114-134.

Kagan, N. Group procedures. *Review of Educational Research*, 1966, **36**, 274-287.

Kahl, J. A. Some measurements of achievement orientation. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1965, **70**, 669-681.

Kalikow, D. W., & Carr, L. Determinants of civil rights activities. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, **74**, 111-116.

Kanfer, F. H. Issues and ethics in behavior manipulation. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, **16**, 187-196.

Kaplan, H. An appraisal of the present situation at Ohio State University with respect to changing religious and moral values on a campus: With special references to Jewish students. *Religious Education*, 1960, **55**, 43-45.

Karlins, M., Coffman, T. L. & Walters, G. On the fading of social stereotypes: Studies in three generations of college students. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1969, **13**, 1-16.

Katona, G. Attitude change: Instability of response and acquisition of experience. *Psychological Monographs*, 1958, **72**, (10, Whole No. 463).

- Katope, C. G., & Zolbrod, P. G. (Eds.) *Beyond Berkeley: A sourcebook in student values*. Cleveland: World Publishing, 1966.
- Katz, D. The functional approach to the study of attitudes. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 1960, **24**, 163-204.
- Katz, D., Sarnoff, I., & McClintock, C. Ego-defense and attitude change. *Human Relations*, 1956, **9**, 27-45.
- Katz, D., Sarnoff, I., & McClintock, C. The measurement of ego defense as related to attitude change. *Journal of Personality*, 1957, **25**, 465-474.
- Katz, D., & Stotland, E. A preliminary statement to a theory of attitude structure and change. In S. Koch (Ed.), *Psychology: A study of science*. Vol. III. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1959.
- Katz, E., & Lazarsfeld, P. *Personal influence*. Glencoe, Ill.: Free Press, 1964.
- Katz, H., et al. Physiological correlates of acceptable and unacceptable attitude statements. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, **17**, 78.
- Katz, J. Student activism: Its implications for education and career planning. *Journal of College Placement*, 1968-69, **29** (2), 32-35.
- Katz, J., et al. *No time for youth: Growth and constraint in college students*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.
- Kearney, N. C., & Rocchio, P. D. The effect of teacher education on the teacher's attitude. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1956, **49**, 703-708.
- Kelley, H. H., & Volkart, E. H. The resistance to change of group-anchored attitudes. *American Sociological Review*, 1952, **17**, 453-465.
- Kelman, H. C. Compliance, identification, and internalization: Three processes of attitude change. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 1958, **2**, 51-60.
- Kelman, H. C. Processes of opinion change. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 1961, **25**, 57-78.
- Keniston, K. *The uncommitted: Alienated youth in American society*. (Reprint) New York: Harcourt, Brace & World, 1965.
- Keniston, K. Why students become radicals. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1968, **17**, 107-118.
- Keniston, K. *Young radicals: Notes on committed youth*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & World, 1968.

- Kerlinger, F. N., & Kaya, E. The predictive validity of scales constructed to measure attitudes toward education. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1959, **19**, 305-317.
- Kerpelman, L. C. Student political activism and ideology: Comparative characteristics of activists and nonactivists. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, **16**, 8-13.
- Kerr, W. A. Correlates of politico-economic liberalism-conservatism. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1944, **20**, 61-77.
- Kerrick, J. S. The effect of relevant and non-relevant sources on attitude change. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1958, **47**, 15-20.
- Kiesler, C. A., Collins, B. E., & Miller, N. *Attitude change: A critical analysis of theoretical approaches*. New York: Wiley, 1969.
- Kiesler, C. A., & Kiesler, S. B. Role of forewarning in persuasive communications. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, **68**, 547-549.
- Kiesler, S. B., & Mathog, R. B. Distraction hypothesis in attitude change: Effects of effectiveness. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, **23**, 1123-1133.
- Kilpatrick, D. G., & Cauthen, N. R. The relationship of ordinal position, dogmatism, and personal sexual attitudes. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, **73**, 115-120.
- King, B. T., & Janis, I. L. Comparison of the effectiveness of improvised versus non-improvised role-playing in producing opinion changes. *Human Relations*, 1956, **9**, 177-186.
- Kitsay, P. M. *Radicalism and conservatism toward conventional religion: A psychological study based on a group of Jewish college students*. Teachers College Contributions to Education No. 919. New York: Columbia University, Teachers College, 1947.
- Klein, E. B. Stylistic components of response as related to attitude change. *Journal of Personality*, 1963, **31**, 38-51.
- Knapp, R. H. A study of the metaphor. *Journal of Projective Techniques and Personality Assessment*, 1960, **24**, 389-395.
- Knox, D. H., Jr., & Sporakowski, M. J. Attitudes of college students toward love. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 1968, **30**, 638-642.
- Kosa, J., & Schommer, C. O. Religious participation, religious knowledge, and scholastic aptitude: An empirical study. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 1961, **1**, 88-97.

- Kuhlen, R. G., & Thompson, G. G. (Eds.) *Psychological studies of human development*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1963.
- La Gaipa, J. J. Student power and dogmatism. *Journal of Psychology*, 1969, **73**, 201-207.
- Lagey, J. C. Does teaching change students' attitudes? *Journal of Educational Research*, 1956, **50**, 307-311.
- Lana, R. E. Familiarity and the order of presentation of persuasive communications. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, **62**, 573-577.
- Lana, R. E. Controversy of the topic and the order of presentation in persuasive communications. *Psychological Reports*, 1963, **12**, 163-170. (a)
- Lana, R. E. Interest, media, and order effects in persuasive communications. *Journal of Psychology*, 1963, **56**, 9-13. (b)
- Lana, R. E. Fathers and sons: Foundations of political belief. *American Sociological Review*, 1959, **24**, 502-511.
- Larsen, K. S. Authoritarianism, hawkishness and attitude change as related to high- and low-status communications. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, **28**, 114.
- Lawson, E. D., & Stagner, R. Group pressure, attitude change, and autonomic involvement. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1957, **45**, 299-312.
- Lehmann, I. J. Learning: III. Attitudes and values. *Review of Educational Research*, 1958, **28**, 468-474.
- Lehmann, I. J. Critical thinking ability, attitudes, and values among college students. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 1962, **13**, 376-385. (a)
- Lehmann, I. J. Some socio-cultural differences in attitudes and values. *Journal of Educational Sociology*, 1962, **36**, 1-9. (b)
- Lehmann, I. J. Changes in critical thinking, attitudes, and values from freshman to senior years. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1963, **54**, 305-315.
- Lehmann, I. J. American college students and the socialization process. In W. B. Brookover, et al., *The college student*. New York: Center for Applied Research in Education, 1965.
- Lehmann, I. J. Curricular differences in selected cognitive and affective characteristics. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1965, **2**, 103-110.

- Lehmann, I. J. Problems of methodology in the study of values. *College Student Survey*, 1967, 1, 35-42.
- Lehmann, I. J., & Dressel, P. L. *Critical thinking, attitudes, and values in higher education*. (United States Office of Education, Cooperative Research Project 590, Final report) East Lansing: Michigan State University, 1962.
- Lehmann, I. J., & Payne, I. K. An exploration of attitude and value changes of college freshmen. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1963, 41, 403-408.
- Lehmann, I. J., Sinha, B. K., & Hartnett, R. T. Changes in attitudes and values associated with college attendance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1966, 57, 89-98.
- Lenski, G. E. *The religious factor: A sociological study of religion's impact on politics, economics, and family life*. (Rev. ed.) Garden City, New York: Doubleday, 1963.
- Leonard, E. W. Attitude change in a college program of foreign study and travel. *Educational Record*, 1964, 45, 173-181.
- Leton, D. A. An evaluation of course methods in teaching child development. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1961, 55, 118-122.
- Leventhal, H., & Perloe, S. I. A relationship between self-esteem and persuasibility. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1962, 64, 385-388.
- Levin, M. M. Congruence and developmental changes in authoritarianism in college students. In J. Katz (Ed.), *Growth and constraint in college students: A study of the varieties of psychological development*. Stanford: Stanford University, Institute for the Study of Human Problems, 1967.
- Le Vine, R. A. American college experience as a socialization process. In T. M. Newcomb, & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Levitt, M. Note on "student rebellion against parental political beliefs." *Social Forces*, 1965, 43, 427-430.
- Levonian, E. Opinion change as mediated by an audience-tailored film. *AV Communication Review*, 1963, 11, 104-113.
- Lieberman, S. The effects of changes in roles on the attitudes of role occupants. *Human Relations*, 1956, 9, 385-402.
- Lief, H. I. The sex revolution and the adolescent's search for identity. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1969, 39, 193.

- Lindeman, R. H., Gordon, R. E., & Gordon, K. K. Further relationships between blood chemical values and college student performance and attitudes. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1969, **18**, 156-161.
- Lindenfeld, F. A note on social mobility, religiosity, and students' attitudes towards premarital sexual relations. *American Sociological Review*, 1960, **25**, 81-84.
- Linder, D. E., Cooper, J., & Jones, E. E. Decision freedom as a determinant of the role of incentive magnitude in attitude change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, **6**, 245-254.
- Lipset, S. M. Opinion formation in a crisis situation. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 1953, **17**, 20-46.
- Lipset, S. M. (Ed.) *Student politics*. New York: Basic Books, 1967.
- Lipset, S. M. The activists: A profile. *Public Interest*, 1968, **13**, 39-51.
- Lipset, S. M., & Altbach, P. G. (Ed.) *Students in revolt*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1969.
- Litvak, S. B. Attitude change by stimulus exposures. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, **25**, 391-396.
- Lloyd, B. J. Retouched picture: Follow-up of a questionnaire portrait of the freshman coed. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1967, **30**, 174-177.
- Locke, E. A. The relationship of task success to task liking and satisfaction. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1965, **49**, 379-385.
- Lombardi, J. *Student activism in junior colleges: An administrator's view*. Washington: American Association of Junior-Colleges, 1969.
- Luchins, A. S., & Luchins, E. H. Motivation to tell the truth vs. social influences. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, **76**, 97-105.
- Maccoby, E. E., et al. Social reinforcement in attitude change. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, **63**, 109-115.
- Maccoby, N., & Maccoby, E. E. Homeostatic theory in attitude change. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 1961, **25**, 538-545.
- Mainer, R. E. *Attitude change in intergroup education programs*. Purdue University Studies in Higher Education No. 83. Lafayette, Ind.: Purdue University, 1954.

- Martin, W. B. An answer for anomie. *Teachers College Record*, 1966, **68**, 21-32.
- Martin, W. B. *Conformity: Standards and change in higher education*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969.
- Maslow, A. H. *Toward a psychology of being*. (2nd ed.) Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1968.
- Matthews, E., & Tiedeman, D. V. Attitudes toward career and marriage and the development of life style in young women. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1964, **11**, 375-384.
- Mayo, C. C., Puryear, H. B., & Richek, H. G. MMPI correlates of religiosity in late adolescent college students. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 1969, **149**, 381-385.
- McCandless, F. D., & Weinstein, M. Relation of students' attitude changes to teaching techniques—a preliminary study. *Journal of Medical Education*, 1956, **31**(1), 47-55.
- McClelland, D. C., et al. *Talent and society: New perspectives in the identification of talent*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1958.
- McClintock, C. G. Personality syndromes and attitude change. *Journal of Personality*, 1958, **26**, 479-493.
- McClintock, C. G., & Turner, H. A. The impact of college upon political knowledge, participation, and values. *Human Relations*, 1962, **15**, 163-176.
- McConnell, T. R. Differences in student attitudes toward civil liberties. In R. L. Sutherland, et al. (Eds.), *Personality factors on the college campus: Review of a symposium*. Austin: Hogg Foundation for Mental Health, 1962.
- McDowell, J. V. Student role orientation in the freshman year: Its stability, change and correlates at thirteen small colleges. In G. N. Drewry (Ed.), *The instructional process and institutional research. Proceedings of the Seventh Annual Forum of the Association for Institutional Research*, 1967.
- McGuigan, F. J. Psychological changes related to intercultural experiences. *Psychological Reports*, 1958, **4**, 55-60.
- McGuigan, G. F., Payerle, G., & Horrobin, P. (Eds.) *Student protest*. Toronto: Methuen, 1968.
- McGuire, W. J. Cognitive consistency and attitude change. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, **60**, 345-353.

- McGuire, W. J. Resistance to persuasion conferred by active and passive prior refutation of the same and alternative counterarguments. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, 63 326-332.
- McGuire, W. J. Persistence of the resistance to persuasion induced by various types of prior belief defenses. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1962, 64, 241-248.
- McGuire, W. J. Inducing resistance to persuasion. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology*. Vol. I New York: Academic Press, 1964.
- McGuire, W. J. Personality and susceptibility to social influence. In E. F. Borgotta & W. W. Lambert (Eds.), *Handbook of personality theory and research*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1968.
- McGuire, W. J., The nature of attitudes and attitude change. In G. Lindzey & E. Aronson (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology*. Vol. III. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1969.
- McKeachie, W. J. Individual conformity to attitudes of classroom groups. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1954, 49, 282-289.
- McKeachie, W. J. Research on teaching at the college and university level. In N. L. Gage (Ed.), *Handbook of research on teaching*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1963.
- McNamara, R. J. Intellectual values: Campus and seminary. *Sociological Analysis*, 1964, 25, 200-211.
- McNulty, J. A., & Walters, R. H. Emotional arousal, conflict, and susceptibility to social influence. *Canadian Journal of Psychology*, 1962, 16, 211-220.
- Meer, S. J. Authoritarian attitudes and dreams. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, 51, 74-78.
- Meredith, G. M. Personality correlates to religious belief systems. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 23, 1039-1042.
- Merton, R. K., Reader, G., & Kendall, P. L. (Eds.) *The student-physician: Introductory studies in the sociology of medical education*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1957.
- Messer, M. The predictive value of marijuana use: A note to researchers of student culture. *Sociology of Education*, 1969, 42, 91-97.
- Messick, S. The perception of social attitudes. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1956, 52, 57-66.

- Middleton, R., & Putney, S. Political expression of adolescent rebellion. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1963, 68, 527-535.
- Middleton, R., & Putney, S. Student rebellion against parental political beliefs. *Social Forces*, 1963, 41, 377-383.
- Miller, E. O. Nonacademic changes in college students. *Educational Record*, 1959, 40, 118-122.
- Miller, H., & Wilson, W. Relation of sexual behaviors, values, and conflict to avowed happiness and personal adjustment. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 23, 1075-1086.
- Miller, N. Involvement and dogmatism as inhibitors of attitude change. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 1965, 1, 121-132.
- Miller, N., & Campbell, D. T. Recency and primacy in persuasion as a function of the timing of speeches and measurements. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1959, 59, 1-9.
- Miller, W. C. Film movement and affective response and the effect on learning and attitude formation. *AV Communication Review*, 1969, 17, 172-181.
- Mills, J. The effect of certainty on exposure to information prior to commitment. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 1965, 1, 348-355.
- Mills, J. Opinion change as a function of the communicator's desire to influence and liking for the audience. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 1966, 2, 152-159.
- Mills, J., & Aronson, E. Opinion change as a function of the communicator's attractiveness and desire to influence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 1, 173-177.
- Mills, J., & Jellison, J. M. Effect on opinion change of similarity between the communicator and the audience he addressed. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 9, 153-156.
- Miner, W. J., & Snyder, P. O. (Eds.) *Value change and power conflict in higher education*. Papers presented at the 11th Annual College and University Self-Study Institute. Boulder, Colo.: Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education, 1970.
- Mock, K. R., & Heist, P. *Potential activists: The characteristics and backgrounds of a capable and challenging minority*. Berkeley: University of California, Center for Research and Development in Higher Education, 1969.

- Mogar, R. E. Value orientations of college students: Preliminary data and review of the literature. *Psychological Reports*, 1964, 15, 739-770.
- Morris, C. W. *Varieties of human values*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1956.
- Morris, R. T., & Davidsen, O. *The two-way mirror: National status in foreign students' adjustment*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1960.
- Moustakas, C. *Personal growth: The struggle for identity and human values*. Cambridge: Doyle, 1969.
- Mueller, K. H. (Ed.) *Students and their values*. Washington: National Association of Women Deans and Counselors, 1966.
- Mukherjee, B. N. A cross-cultural study of social desirability judgments. *International Journal of Psychology*, 1967, 2, 25-32.
- Mull, H. K. A comparison of religious thinking of freshmen and seniors in a liberal arts college. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1947, 26, 121-123.
- Murphy, L. B., & Raushenbush, E. (Eds.) *Achievement in the college years: A record of intellectual and personal growth*. New York: Harper, 1960.
- Nelson, C. E. Anchoring to accepted values as a technique for immunizing beliefs against persuasion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 9, 329-334.
- Nelson, E. Radicalism-Conservatism in student attitudes. *Psychological Monographs*, 1938, 50(4, Whole No. 224), 1-32.
- Nelson, E. P. Patterns of religious attitude shift from college to fourteen years later. *Psychological Monographs*, 1956, 70(17, Whole No. 424),
- Newcomb, T. M. *Personality and social change: Attitude formation in a student community*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1943.
- Newcomb, T. M. The influence of attitude climate upon some determinants of information. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1946, 41, 241-302.
- Newcomb, T. M. Attitude development as a function of reference groups: The Bennington Study. In G. E. Swanson, T. M. Newcomb & E. L. Hartley (Eds.), *Readings in Social Psychology*. (Rev. ed.) New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1952.
- Newcomb, T. M. Persistence and regression of changed attitudes: Long-range studies. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1963, 19(4), 3-13.

- Newcomb, T. M. Research on student characteristics: Current approaches. In L. E. Dennis & J. F. Kauffman (Eds.), *The college and the student: An assessment of relationships and responsibilities in undergraduate education by administrators, faculty members, and public officials*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1966.
- Nisbett, R. E., & Gordon, A. Self-esteem and susceptibility to social influence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 5, 268-276.
- Nogee, P., & Levin, M. B. Some determinants of political attitudes among college voters. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 1958-59, 22, 449-463.
- Nolan, E. G., Bram, P., & Tillman, K. Attitude formation in high-school seniors: A study of values and attitudes. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1963, 57, 185-188.
- Nowicki, S., Jr. Conservatism and liberalism in college students. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 25, 252.
- O'Leary, V. E., & Hood, W. R. Latitudes of acceptance, rejection, and non-commitment, and attitudes toward self: A factor analytic study. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1969, 79, 283-284.
- Olive, L. E. Relationships of values and occupational role perceptions for freshmen and senior students in a college of engineering. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 114-120.
- Olson, L. Dormitory environment and student attitudes. *University College Quarterly*, 1966, 11(3), 20-29.
- Oram, P. G. Induction of action and attitude change: The function of role-self conflicts and levels of endorsement. *Journal of Psychology*, 1968, 68, 39-48.
- Osgood, C. E., & Tannenbaum, P. H. The principle of congruity in the prediction of attitude change. *Psychological Review*, 1955, 62, 42-55.
- Osgood, C. E., Ware, E. E., & Morris, C. Analysis of the connotative meanings of a variety of human values as expressed by American college students. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, 62, 62-73.
- Pace, C. R., & Baird, L. Attainment patterns in the environmental press of college subcultures. In T. M. Newcomb & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Papageorgis, D. Anticipation of exposure to persuasive messages and belief change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 5, 490-496.

- Parente, W., & McCleery, M. Campus radicalism and a relevant political science: Channel undergraduate interests constructively. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1968, 39, 316-325.
- Patterson, C. H. Effects of counselor education on personality. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 444-448.
- Pattillo, M. M., Jr., & MacKenzie, D. M. *Church-sponsored higher education in the United States: Report on the Danforth Commission*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1966.
- Pavalko, R. M. (Ed.) *Sociology of education: A book of readings*. Itasca, Ill.: F. E. Peacock, 1968.
- Peak, H., & Morrison, H. W. The acceptance of information into attitude structure. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1958, 57, 127-135.
- Peterson, P. D., & Koulack, D. Attitude change as a function of latitudes of acceptance and rejection. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1969, 11, 309-311.
- Phares, J. E. Internal-external control as a determinant of amount of social influence exerted. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 2, 642-647.
- Pikunas, J., & Albrecht, E. J. *Psychology of human development*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1961.
- Pilkington, G. W., Poppleton, P. K., & Róbershaw, G. Changes in religious attitude and practices among students during university degree courses. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1965, 35, 150-157.
- Pricert, S. S. Ethical and philosophical attitude patterns of student majors in four disciplines. *California Journal of Educational Research*, 1964, 15, 112-121.
- Prothro, E. T., & Jensen, J. A. Comparison of some ethnic and religious attitudes of Negro and White college students in the deep South. *Social Forces*, 1952, 30, 426-428.
- Pullias, E. V., et al. *Toward excellence in college teaching*. Dubuque, Iowa: Brown, 1964.
- Putney, S., & Middleton, R. Some factors associated with student acceptance or rejection of war. *American Sociological Review*, 1962, 27, 655-667.

- Pyron, B. A factor-analytic study of simplicity-complexity of social ordering. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1966, 22, 259-272.
- Quarantelli, E. L., Helfrich, M., & Yusty, D. Faculty and student perceptions in a professional school. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1964, 49, 32-45.
- Rasmussen, G. R. An evaluation of a student-centered and instructor-centered method of conducting a graduate course in education. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1956, 47, 449-461.
- Rath, R., & Misra, S. K. Change of attitudes as a function of some personality factors. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1963, 60, 311-317.
- Raths, L. E., Harmin, M., & Simon, S. B. *Values and teaching: Working with values in the classroom*. Columbus, Ohio: C. E. Merrill, 1966.
- Ratterman, P. H. *The emerging Catholic university*. New York: Fordham University Press, 1968.
- Raushenbush, E. *The student and his studies*. Middletown, Conn.: Wesleyan University Press, 1964.
- Raushenbush, E. Dependence, emancipation and values: Observations on the relations between college students and college adults. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1967, 37, 384-385.
- Rayder, N. F., & Neidt, C. O. Attitude change as a function of the number of scales administered. *AV Communication Review*, 1964, 12, 402-412.
- Reilly, W. J. *Opening closed minds and persuading others to act favorably*. New York: Harper & Row, 1964.
- Reiter, H. H. The effect of orientation through small-group discussion on modification of certain attitudes. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1964, 58, 65-68.
- Reiter, H. H. Small group discussion and modification of attitudes. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1964, 12, 24-25.
- Reiter, H. H. Relationship of buying preference and certain values of college students. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 18, 958.
- Remmers, H. H. (Ed.) *Further studies in attitudes, Series II*. Purdue University Studies in Higher Education No. 31. Lafayette, Ind.: Purdue University, 1936.

- Hemmers, H. H. (Ed.) *Further studies in attitudes, Series III*. Purdue University Studies in Higher Education No. 34. Lafayette, Ind.: Purdue University, 1938.
- Rich, J. M. Misconceptions concerning the teaching of values. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1968, **16**, 159-160.
- Richmond, B. O., Mason, R. L., & Smith, V. Existential frustration and anomie. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1969, **32**(3), 136-138.
- Riecken, H. W. The effect of talkativeness on ability to influence group solutions of problems. *Sociometry*, 1958, **21**, 309-321.
- Riesman, D. The "Jacob Report." *American Sociological Review*, 1958, **23**, 732-738.
- Ritchie, E., & Phares, J. E. Attitude change as a function of internal-external control and communicator status. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, **37**, 429-443.
- Robb, J. W. Educating persons: The dimension of human value. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1964, **35**, 497-502.
- Robin, S. S., & Story, F. Ideological consistency of college students: The bill of rights and attitudes towards minority groups. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1964, **48**, 187-196.
- Robinson, D. W. Student values: Methods of appraisal and some implications. *Liberal Education*, 1963, **49**, 226-234.
- Robinson, J. P., & Shaver, P. R. *Measures of psychological attitudes*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Institute for Social Research, 1969.
- Rokeach, M. Attitude change and behavioral change. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 1967, **30**, 529-550.
- Rokeach, M. A theory of organization and change within value-attitude systems. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1968, **24**(1), 13-33.
- Rokeach, M. *Beliefs, attitudes, and values: A theory of organization and change*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.
- Rokeach, M., et al. *The open and closed mind: Investigations into the nature of belief systems and personality systems*. New York: Basic Books, 1960.

- Rose, P. I. The myth of unanimity: Student opinions on critical issues. *Sociology of Education*, 1963, **37**, 129-149.
- Rosen, B. C., & Bates, A. P. The structure of socialization in graduate school. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1967, **37**, 71-84.
- Rosenberg, M. J. Cognitive structure and attitudinal affect. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1956, **53**, 367-372.
- Rosenberg, M. J., et al. *Attitude organization and change: An analysis of consistency among attitude components*. New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 1960.
- Rosnow, R. L. A delay-of-reinforcement effect in persuasive communication. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1965, **67**, 39-43.
- Rosnow, R. L. "Conditioning" the direction of opinion change in persuasive communication. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1966, **69**, 291-303.
- Rosnow, R. L., & Lana, R. E. Complementary and competing-order effects in opinion change. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1965, **66**, 201-207.
- Rosnow, R. L., & Robinson, E. J. (Eds.) *Experiments in persuasion*. New York: Academic Press, 1967.
- Rossman, P., et al. Religious values at Harvard. *Religious Education*, 1960, **55**, 24-42.
- Rotter, J. B. A new scale for the measurement of interpersonal trust. *Journal of Personality*, 1967, **35**, 651-665.
- Rubenstein, B., & Levitt, M. College rebels: Totem and taboo revisited. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1969, **39**, 206-207.
- Rule, B. G., & Renner, J. Involvement and group effects on opinion change. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, **76**, 189-198.
- Rüppel, H. J., Jr. Religiosity and premarital sexual permissiveness: A methodological note. *Sociological Analysis*, Fall 1969, **30**, 176-188.
- Sanai, M. The relation between social attitudes and characteristics of personality. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1952, **36**, 3-13.
- Sandgren, D. L., & Schmidt, L. G. Does practice teaching change attitudes toward teaching? *Journal of Educational Research*, 1956, **49**, 673-680.
- Sanford, N. *Where colleges fail: A study of the student as a person*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1967.

Sarbin, T. R., & Allen, V. L. Role enactment, audience feedback, and attitude change. *Sociometry*, 1964, 27, 183-193.

Sarnoff, I., & Katz, D. The motivational bases of attitude change. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1954, 49, 115-124.

Sarnoff, I., Katz, D., & McClintock, C. Attitude-change procedures and motivating patterns. In H. Proshansky & B. Seidenberg (Eds.), *Basic studies in social psychology*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1965.

Schein, E. H., & Bennis, W. G. (Eds.) *Personal and organizational change through group methods: The laboratory approach*. New York: Wiley, 1965.

Schiff, L. F. The obedient rebels: A study of college conversions to conservatism. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1964, 20(4), 74-95.

Schild, E. O. The foreign student as stranger, learning the norms of the host-culture. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1962, 18(1), 41-54.

Schwab, J. J. *College curriculum and student protest*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1969.

Scott, F. D. *The American experience of Swedish students: Retrospect and aftermath*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1956.

Scott, W. A. Attitude change through reward of verbal behavior. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1957, 55, 72-75.

Scott, W. A. Attitude change by response reinforcement: Replication and extension. *Sociometry*, 1959, 22, 328-335. (a)

Scott, W. A. Cognitive consistency, response reinforcement, and attitude change. *Sociometry*, 1959, 22, 219-229. (b)

Scott, W. A. An experiment in attitude change. In L. Grolow & W. Katkovsky (Eds.), *Readings in the psychology of adjustment*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1959.

Scott, W. A. Personal values in fraternities and sororities. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1965, 28, 187-192.

Scott, W. A., & Scott, B. *Values and organizations: A study of fraternities and sororities*. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1965.

Scriven, M. Student values as educational objectives. In R. L. Ebel (Chm.), *Proceedings of the 1965 Invitational Conference on Testing Problems*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1966.

- Sells, S., & Trites, D. Attitudes. In C. Harris (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of educational research*. New York: Macmillan, 1960.
- Seitz, S. B., & Cleland, C. C. Changing existing attitudes—A dissonance approach. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, **20**, 51-54.
- Selltiz, C., & Cook, S. W. Factors influencing attitudes of foreign students toward the host country. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1962, **18**(1), 7-23.
- Selltiz, C., et al. *Attitudes and social relations of foreign students in the United States*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1963.
- Selvin, H. C., & Hagstrom, W. O. Determinants of support for civil liberties. *British Journal of Sociology*, 1960, **11**, 51-73.
- Sewell, W. H., & Davidsen, O. M. *Scandinavian students on an American campus*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1961.
- Shaw, M. E., & Wright, J. M. *Scales for the measurement of attitudes*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1967.
- Sherif, C. W., & Sherif, M. (Eds.) *Attitude, ego-involvement, and change*. New York: Wiley, 1967.
- Sherif, C. W., Sherif, M., & Nebergall, R. E. *Attitude and attitude change: The social judgment-involvement approach*. Philadelphia: Saunders, 1965.
- Sherif, M., & Cantril, H. *The psychology of ego-involvements, social attitudes and identifications*. New York: Wiley, 1966.
- Sherman, J. R. Student perception of value change. *National Catholic Guidance Conference Journal*, 1968, **12**(4), 246-252.
- Siegel, A. E., & Siegel, S. Reference groups, membership groups, and attitude change. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1957, **55**, 360-364.
- Sieglman, A. W. A cross-cultural investigation of the relationship between introversion-extraversion, social attitudes and anti-social behavior. *British Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 1963, **2**, 196-208.
- Silverman, I. Differential effects of ego threat upon persuasibility for high and low self-esteem subjects. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, **69**, 567-572.
- Silverman, I. Role-related behavior of subjects in laboratory studies of attitude change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, **8**, 343-348.

- Silverman, I., Fprd, L. H., Jr., & Morganti, J. B. Inter-related effects of social desirability, sex, self-esteem, and complexity of argument upon persuasibility: *Journal of Personality*, 1966, **34**, 555-568.
- Simpson, I. H. Patterns of socialization into professions: The case of student nurses. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1967, **37**, 47-54.
- Singer, R. D. Verbal conditioning and generalization of prodemocratic responses. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1961, **63**, 43-46.
- Singer, S. Review and discussion of the literature on personality development during college. In J. Katz (Ed.), *Growth and constraint on college students: A study of the varieties of psychological development*. Stanford: Stanford University, Institute for the Study of Human Problems, 1967.
- Singh, P. N., Huang, S. C., & Thompson, G. G. A comparative study of selected attitudes, values, and personality characteristics of American, Chinese, and Indian students. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1962, **57**, 123-132.
- Skager, R. W., & Braskamp, L. A. *Changes in self-ratings and life goals as related to student accomplishment in college*. ACT Research Report No. 16. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1966. (a)
- Skager, R. W., Holland, J. L., & Braskamp, L. A. *Changes in self-ratings and life goals among students at colleges with different characteristics*. ACT Research Report No. 14. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1966. (b)
- Sloan, R. B., et al. Aggression and effects of upbringing in normal students. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1962, **7**, 374-384.
- Smith, A. J. Similarity of values and its relation to acceptance and the projection of similarity. *Journal of Psychology*, 1957, **43**, 251-260.
- Smith, B. O. Teaching and testing values. In R. L. Ebel (Chm.), *Proceedings of the 1965 Invitational Conference on Testing Problems*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1966.
- Smith, E. E. The power of dissonance techniques to change attitudes. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 1961, **25**, 626-639.
- Smith, H. E. *Secularization and the university*. Richmond: John Knox, 1968.
- Smith, H. P. Do intercultural experiences affect attitudes? *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, **51**, 469-477.

- Smith, M. B. (Ed.) Attitudes and adjustment in cross-cultural contact: Recent studies of foreign students. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1956, 12 (1).
- Smith, M. B. Attitude change. In D. L. Sells (Ed.), *Encyclopedia for the social sciences*. New York: Crowell-Collier & Macmillan, 1968.
- Smith, M. B. *Social psychology and human values: Selected essays*. Chicago: Aldine, 1969.
- Smith, T. E. Social class and attitudes toward fathers. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1969, 53, 217-226.
- Smith, W. G., Hansell, N., & English, J. T. Values and mental health in a college population: A follow-up report. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 1965, 140, 92-95.
- Solomon, A. Authoritarian attitude changes and group homogeneity. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1963, 59, 129-135.
- Solomon, F., & Fishman, J. R. Youth and peace: A psychological study of student peace demonstrators in Washington, D.C. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1964, 20(4), 54-73.
- Somit, A., et al. The effect of the introductory political science course on student attitudes toward personal political participation. *American Political Science Review*, 1958, 52, 1129-1132.
- Sprague, H. T. (Ed.) *Research on college students: Institute lectures considering recent research on college students' motivation, values and attitudes, and campus cultures*. Boulder, Colo.: Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education, 1960.
- Staats, A. W., & Staats, C. K. Attitudes established by classical conditioning. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1958, 57, 37-40.
- Staats, C. K., Staats, A. W., & Heard, W. G. Attitude development and ratio of reinforcement. *Sociometry*, 1960, 23, 338-350.
- Stanley, G. Personality and attitude correlates of religious conversion. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 1964, 4, 60-63.
- Stark, R. On the incompatibility of religion and science: A survey of American graduate students. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 1963, 3, 3-20.
- Steiner, I. D. Receptivity to supportive versus nonsupportive communications. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1962, 65, 266-267.

- Steinzor, B. The development of intellectual qualities through the college years. In L. B. Murphy & E. Raushenbush (Eds.), *Achievement in the college years: A record of intellectual and personal growth*. New York: Harper, 1960.
- Stember, C. H. *Education and attitude change: The effects of schooling on prejudice against minority groups*. New York: Institute of Human Relations Press, 1961.
- Stern, G. G. Student values and their relationship to the college environment. In H. T. Sprague (Ed.), *Research on college students: Institute lectures considering recent research on college students' motivation, values and attitudes, and campus cultures*. Boulder, Colo.: Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education, 1960.
- Stewart, C. C. Attitude change following counseling seminar. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1958, 37, 273-275.
- Stotland, E., Katz, D., & Patchen, M. The reduction of prejudice through the arousal of self-insight. *Journal of Personality*, 1959, 27, 507-531.
- Strodbeck, F. L. Family interaction, values, and achievement. In D. C. McClelland, et al., *Talent and society: New perspectives in the identification of talent*. Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1958.
- Students' activism reflection of parents'. *College and University Business*, 1968, 45(4), 18.
- Suedfeld, P. Attitude manipulation in restricted environments: I. Conceptual structure and response to propaganda. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1964, 68, 242-247.
- Suedfeld, P., & Vernon, J. Attitude manipulation in restricted environments: II. Conceptual structure and the internalization of propaganda received as a reward for compliance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1966, 3, 586-589.
- Swanson, C. J. *The in-between years: Looking at the college experience*. Minneapolis: Augsburg, 1967.
- Swinehart, J. W. Changes over time in student reactions to the surgeon general's report on smoking and health. *American Journal of Public Health*, 1966, 56, 2023-2027.
- Taylor, H. *Students without teachers: The crisis in the university*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.

- Taylor, I. A. Similarities in the structure of extreme social attitudes. *Psychological Monographs*, 1960, 74(2, Whole No. 489).
- Teigland, J. J. The relationship between measured teacher attitude change and certain personality characteristics. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1966, 60, 84-85.
- Telford, C. W., & Plant, W. T. *The psychological impact of the public two-year college on certain non-intellectual functions*. (United States Office of Education, Cooperative Research Branch Project SAE 8646) San Jose, Calif.: San Jose State College, 1963.
- Tennison, J. C., & Snyder, W. U. Some relationships between attitudes toward the church and certain personality characteristics. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 187-189.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. DeHaan, H., & Kamenetzky, J. The effects of "directive" and "nondirective" communication procedures on attitudes. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1955, 51, 107-113.
- Thurstone, L. L. *The measurement of values*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1959.
- Tisdale, J. R. Selected correlates of extrinsic religious values. *Review of Religious Research*, 1966, 7, 78-84.
- Tisdale, J. R. Students with extrinsic religious values: A study in contrasting groups. *Review of Religious Research*, 1967, 9, 11-15.
- Toch, J., & Cañtril, H. A preliminary inquiry into the learning of value. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1957, 48, 145-156.
- Tomkins, S. S., & Izard, C. (Eds.) *Affect, cognition, and personality: Empirical studies*. New York: Springer, 1965.
- Tooley, K. A developmental problem of late adolescence: Case report. *Psychiatry*, 1968, 31, 69-83.
- Trent, J. W., & Craise, J. L. Commitment and conformity in the American college. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1967, 23(3), 34-51.
- Trent, J. W., & Golds, J. *Catholics in college: Religious commitment and the intellectual life*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1967.
- Trent, J. W., & Medsker, L. L. *Beyond high school: A psychosociological study of 10,000 high school graduates*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1968.

- Tripp, P. A. Value making: A principal function of the college experience. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1966, 30, 7-10.
- Troll, L. E., Neugarten, B. L., & Kraines, R. J. Similarities in values and other personality characteristics in college students and their parents. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly of Behavior and Development*, 1969, 15, 323-336.
- Truax, C. B., & Carkhuff, R. R. *Recent advances in the study of behavior change*. Montreal: McGill University Press, 1963.
- Turner, R. H. Role-taking, role standpoint, and reference-group behavior. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1956, 61, 316-328.
- Turner, R. H. *The social context of ambition: A study of high-school seniors in Los Angeles*. San Francisco: Chandler, 1964.
- Umbarger, C. C., et al. *College students in a mental hospital: An account of organized social contacts between college volunteers and mental patients in a hospital community*. New York: Grune & Stratton, 1962.
- Underhill, R. Values and post-college career change. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1966, 72, 163-172.
- Uyeki, E. S., & Dodge, R. W. Generational relations in political attitudes and involvement. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1964, 48, 155-165.
- Vaccaro, L. C., & Covert, J. T. (Eds.) *Student freedom in American higher education*. New York: Columbia University, Teachers College Press, 1969.
- Vacchiano, R. B., Schiffman, D. C., & Crowell, A. V. Attitude change as a function of intensive training, dogmatism and authoritarianism. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 19, 359-362.
- Veldman, D. J., & Bown, O. H. Relationships of cigarette smoking to academic achievement, cognitive abilities, and attitudes toward authority. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 1968, 3, 513-517.
- Veroff, J., Feld, S., & Gurin, G. Achievement motivation and religious background. *American Sociological Review*, 1962, 27, 205-217.
- Vidulich, R. N., & Wilson, D. J. The environmental setting as a factor in social influence. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1967, 71, 247-255.
- Vittenson, L. K. Areas of concern to Negro college students as indicated by their responses to the Mooney Problem Check List. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1967, 36, 51-57.

- Vreeland, R., & Bidwell, C. Organizational effects of student attitudes: A study of the Harvard houses. *Sociology of Education*, 1965, 38, 233-250.
- Vreeland, R. S., & Bidwell, C. E. Clarifying university departments: An approach to the analysis of their effects upon undergraduates' values and attitudes. *Sociology of Education*, 1966, 39, 237-254.
- Wagman, M. Attitude change and authoritarian personality. *Journal of Psychology*, 1955, 40, 3-24.
- Wagner, R. V., & Sherwood, J. J. *The study of attitude change*. Belmont, Calif.: Brooks/Cole, 1969.
- Wallace, W. L. Institutional and life-cycle socialization of college freshmen. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1964, 70, 303-318.
- Wallace, W. L. *Student culture: Social structure and continuity in a liberal arts college*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Walters, A. Symposium: Attitudes and values, and motivational systems of the American college student. *Catholic Psychological Record*, 1966, 4, 20-33. (*Psychological Abstracts*, 1966, 40, 10443)
- Ward, W. D. Persuasibility as related to need autonomy. *Psychological Reports*, 1963, 12, 357-358.
- Warnath, C. F., & Fordyce, H. R. Inventoried values of entering college freshmen. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1961, 40, 277-281.
- Warner, L. G., & DeFleur, M. L. Attitude as an interactional concept: Social constraint and social distance as intervening variables between attitudes and action. *American Sociological Review*, 1969, 34, 153-169.
- Warr, P. B. Attitudes and behavior in a hall of residence. *Universities Quarterly*, 1964, 19, 56-68.
- Warren, J. R., & Heist, P. A. Personality attributes of gifted college students. *Science*, 1960, 132, 330-337.
- Wassel, W. Y. The influence of religion, socioeconomic status, and education on anomie. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1967, 8, 233-238.
- Watson, J. Some social and psychological situations related to change in attitude. *Human Relations*, 1950, 3, 15-56.
- Watson, J., & Lippitt, R. *Learning across cultures: A study of Germans visiting America*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Research Center for Group Dynamics, 1955.

Watts, W. A. Relative persistence of opinion change induced by active compared to passive participation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967, 5, 4-15.

Watts, W. A., & Whittaker, D. Free speech advocates at Berkeley. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 1966, 2, 41-62.

Weaver, G. R., & Weaver, J. H. *The university and revolution*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1969.

Webster, H. Changes in attitudes during college. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1958, 49, 109-117.

Webster, H., Freedman, M., & Heist, P. Personality changes in college students. In N. Sanford (Ed.), *The American college: A psychological and social interpretation of the higher learning*. New York: Wiley, 1962.

Weinstein, E., & Platt, G. M. *The wish to be free: Society, psyche, and value change*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1969.

Weinstock, H. R., et al. Logical consistency of student teachers in different educational environments. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1969, 37(3), 78-84.

Weiss, R. F., Bachanan, W., & Pasamanick, B. Social consensus in persuasive communication. *Psychological Reports*, 1964, 14, 95-98.

Weiss, R. F., Rawson, H. E., & Pasamanick, B. Argument strength, delay of argument, and anxiety in the "conditioning" and "selective learning" of attitudes. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology* 1963, 67, 157-165.

Weiss, W. Opinion congruence with a negative source on one issue as a factor influencing agreement on another issue. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1957, 54, 180-186.

Weisskopf-Joelson, E., et al. Relative emphasis on nine values by a group of college students. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 24, 299-310.

Westoff, C. F., & Potvin, R. H. *College women and fertility values*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1967.

Whitehurst, R. N. The double standard and male dominance in nonmarital living arrangements: A preliminary statement. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1969, 39, 195.

Whitely, P. L. A study of the Allport-Vernon test for personal values. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1933, 28, 6-13.

- Whittaker, J. O. Opinion change as a function of communication-attitude discrepancy. *Psychological Reports*, 1963, 13, 763-772.
- Wicker, A. W. Attitudes versus actions: The relationship of verbal and overt behavioral responses to attitude objects. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1969, 25(4), 41-78.
- Williams, E. L., Jr., & Williams, C. D. Relationships between authoritarian attitudes of college students, estimation of parents' attitudes, and actual parental attitudes. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1963, 61, 43-48.
- Williamson, E. G., & Cowan, J. L. *The American student's freedom of expression: A research appraisal*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1966.
- Wilson, E. K. The entering student: Attributes and agents of change. In T. M. Newcomb & E. K. Wilson (Eds.), *College peer groups: Problems and prospects for research*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Wilson, J. R., Robeck, M. C., & Michael, W. B. *Psychological foundations of learning and teaching*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.
- Wilson, M. S. Do college girls conform to the standards of their parents? *Marriage and Family Living*, 1953, 15, 207-208.
- Wilson, S. R. The effect of the laboratory situation on experimental discussion groups. *Sociometry*, 1969, 32, 220-236.
- Wilson, W., & Kawamura, W. Rigidity, adjustment, and social responsibility as possible correlates of religiousness: A test of three points of view. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 1967, 6, 279-280.
- Wilson, W., & Miller, H. Repetition, order of presentation, and timing of arguments and measures as determinants of opinion change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 9, 184-188.
- Wilson, W. R. Relation of sexual behaviors, values, and conflicts to avowed happiness. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 17, 371-378.
- Windholz, G. The relation of creativity and intelligence constellations to traits of temperament, interest, and value in college students. *Journal of General Psychology*, 1968, 79, 291-299.
- Wright, D., & Cox, E. Religious belief and co-education in a sample of sixth-form boys and girls. *British Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 1967, 6, 23-31. Reprinted in R. E. Grinder (Ed.), *Studies in adolescence: A book of readings in adolescent development*. (2nd ed.) London: Collier-Macmillan, 1969.

- Wright, J. C. Personal adjustment and its relationship to religious attitudes and certainty. *Religious Education*, 1959, 54, 521-523.
- Wright, J. M., & Harvey, O. J. Attitude change as a function of authoritarianism and punitiveness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, 1, 177-181.
- Wright, P. H. Attitude change under direct and indirect interpersonal influence. *Human Relations*, 1966, 19, 199-211.
- Wyatt, F. Motives of rebellion: Psychological comments on the crisis of authority among students. *Humanitas*, 1969, 4, 355-373.
- Young, R. K., Dustin, D. S., & Holtzman, W. H. Change in attitude toward religion in a southern university. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 18, 39-46.
- Zajonc, R. B. Attitudinal effects of mere exposure. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology Monograph Supplements*, 1968, 9(2).
- Zelan, J. Religious apostasy, higher education and occupational choice. *Sociology of Education*, 1968, 41, 370-379.
- Zeleny, L. D. Experimental appraisal of a group learning plan. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1940, 34, 37-42.
- Zimbardo, P. G. Involvement and communication discrepancy as determinants of opinion conformity. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1960, 60, 86-94.
- Zimbardo, P. G. The effect of effort and improvisation on self-persuasion produced by role-playing. *Journal of Experimental and Social Psychology*, 1965, 1, 102-120.
- Zimbardo, P. G., & Ebbesen, E. B. *Influencing attitudes and changing behavior: A basic introduction to relevant methodology, theory, and applications*. Reading, Mass Addison-Wesley, 1969.

## OTHER TYPES OF COLLEGE SUCCESS

The preceding chapters of this book have focused largely on college student development. Those are certainly important types of college success, and they benefit society as well as the individual students. However, there are other types of college success that are fully as important. *The problem was that little research was found for these other areas.*

The college success areas where little research was noted include the following: the various criteria of post-college success (i.e., what are the effects of college on the student months and years after graduation), the development of student power, the development in students of basic academic skills lacking upon entrance to the college, and the direct benefits to society and our country of our colleges and universities. A few additional criteria of college success were also found in one or more of the references which were reviewed.

In view of the meager number of research studies conducted in these remaining college success categories, annotations were not prepared for this chapter. The already overburdening length of this book also played a part in arriving at that decision.

### Post-College Success

The true test of the effects of a college education on students is whether the effects persist after college and how long they persist. However, only a small number of alumni studies were noted in the literature; and few of these have made major contributions toward understanding the effects of the college experience on later adult success. Examples of studies that have made important contributions are Newcomb and associates' (1967) study of Bennington College alumni, Føedman's (1962) report of Vassar College alumni, and Campbell's (1965) study of people who had been counseled as University of Minnesota students 25 years earlier. Many colleges and universities have probably done alumni studies of some kind, but the quality might be ques-

tioned. Anyway, the results of only a few of these local alumni studies have been published or reviewed in the published literature.

In addition to effects on personal incomes, occupational success, standard of living, and status, colleges would hopefully lead to other personal post-college benefits. Included in such a list would be enjoyment and appreciation of life, use of leisure time, contributions to society, social awareness and relationships, family life and effectiveness as parents, citizenship participation, concern for and service to others, efficiency and nonpollution in the consumption of society's goods and services, adjustment to the retirement years, etc. Research has been almost completely lacking on the impact of college on the noneconomic aspects of life following graduation from college.

Several studies were noted which attempted to assess the impact of a college education (and years of college attendance) on the annual income and the lifetime earnings of people. Other sources of data in this area are the studies of prominent or successful persons, e.g., Roe's (1953) studies of scientists. In such studies, however, college effects were only tangential to the focus of the study.

#### Success Viewed as Post-College Success: Published Literature

Albright, L. E., & Glennon, J. R. Personal history correlates of physical scientists' career aspirations. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1961, 45, 281-284.

Alciatore, R. E., & Eckert, R. E. *Minnesota Ph.D.'s evaluate their training: A study of the relationship of various Ph.D. programs to later career service and satisfaction*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1968.

Anderson, C. A. Regional and racial differences in relations between income and education. *School Review*, 1955, 63, 38-45.

Ashenfelter, O., & Mooney, J. D. Graduate education, ability, and earnings. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 1968, 50, 78-86.

Ashenfelter, O., & Mooney, J. D. Some evidence on the private returns to graduate education. *Southern Economic Journal*, 1969, 35, 247-256.

Astin, A. W. "Productivity" of undergraduate institutions. *Science*, 1962, 136, 129-135.

Astin, A. W. Undergraduate institutions and the production of scientists. *Science*, 1963, 141, 334-338.

- Astin, A. W. *Who goes where to college?* Chicago: Science Research Associates, 1965.
- Axelson, L. J. Graduate schools and the productivity of their graduates. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1960, **66**, 171-175.
- Barr, A. S. *Wisconsin studies of the measurement and prediction of teacher effectiveness: A summary of investigations.* Madison: Dunbar Publications, 1961.
- Bayer, A. E., & Folger, J. Some correlates of a citation measure of productivity in science. *Sociology of Education*, 1966, **39**, 381-390.
- Becker, G. S. Underinvestment in college education. *American Economic Review*, 1960, **50**(2), 346-354.
- Becker, G. S. *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis with special reference to education.* New York: National Bureau of Economic Research, 1964.
- Bennett, J. W., Passin, H., & McKnight, B. K. *In search of identity: The Japanese overseas scholar in America and Japan.* Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1958.
- Blaug, M. B. The private and social returns on investment in education: Some results for Great Britain. *Journal of Human Resources*, 1967, **2**, 330-346.
- Borland, M., & Yett, D. E. The cash value of college—for Negroes and for whites. *Transaction*, 1967, **5**, 44-49.
- Bressler, M., & Westoff, C. F. Catholic education, economic values, and achievement. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1963, **69**, 225-233.
- Campbell, A., & Eckerman, W. C. *Public concepts of the values and costs of higher education.* Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Survey Research Center, 1964.
- Campbell, D. P. *The results of counseling: Twenty-five years later.* Philadelphia: Saunders, 1965.
- Campbell, D. P., & Johansson, C. B. Academic interests, scholastic achievements, and eventual occupations. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, **13**, 416-424.
- Cohen, J. W. (Ed.) *The superior student in American higher education.* New York: McGraw-Hill, 1966.

College Placement Council. *A bibliography of selected research and statistical studies pertaining to college-trained manpower, 1960-66*. Bethlehem, Penn.: Author, 1967.

College Placement Council. *A bibliography of selected research and statistical studies pertaining to college-trained manpower, 1960-66: Supplement*. Bethlehem, Penn.: Author, 1969.

Coster, J. K., & Ihnen, L. A. Program evaluation. *Review of Educational Research*, 1968, **38**, 417-433.

Crane, D. Social class origin and academic success: The influence of two stratification systems on academic careers. *Sociology of Education*, 1969, **42**, 1-17.

Donovan, T. R. Socioeconomic and educational factors influencing the achievement level of individuals in large-scale organizations. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1962, **46**, 416-425.

Dunnette, M. D. Vocational interest differences among engineers employed in different functions. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1957, **41**, 273-278.

Dunnette, M. D., Wernimont, P., & Abrahams, N. Further research on vocational interest differences among several types of engineers. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, **42**, 484-493.

Eckland, B. K. Academic ability, higher education, and occupational mobility. *American Sociological Review*, 1965, **30**, 735-746.

Elduson, B. T. *Scientists: Their psychological world*. New York: Basic Books, 1962.

Estrin, H. A. Extracurricular activities and job satisfaction. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1958-1959, **7**, 107-110.

Estrin, H. A. Producing authors in the classroom. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1969, **17**, 39-43.

Fogel, W. The effect of low educational attainment on incomes: A comparative study of selected ethnic groups. *Journal of Human Resources*, 1966, **1**(2), 22-40.

Freedman, M. B. Studies of college alumni. In N. Sanford (Ed.), *The American college: A psychological and social interpretation of the higher learning*. New York: Wiley, 1962.

- Glaser, B. G. (Ed.) *Organizational careers: A sourcebook for theory*. Chicago: Aldine, 1968.
- Glick, P., Jr. Three-dimensional classification of the occupations of college graduates. *Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 1965-66, 14, 130-135.
- Glick, P. C., & Miller, H. P. Educational level and potential income. *American Sociological Review*, 1956, 21, 307-312.
- Greeley, A. M., & Rossi, P. H. *The education of Catholic Americans*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Hanoch, G. H. An economic analysis of earnings and schooling. *Journal of Human Resources*, 1967, 2, 310-329.
- Hansen, W. L. Total and private rates of return to investment in schooling. *Journal of Political Economy*, 1963, 71, 128-140.
- Hansen, W. L., & Weisbrod, B. A. *Benefits, costs, and finance of public higher education*. Chicago: Markham, 1969.
- Havemann, E., & West, P. S. *They went to college: The college graduate in America today*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & Co., 1952.
- Holland, J. L. Undergraduate origins of American scientists. *Science*, 1957, 126, 433-437.
- Houthakker, H. S. Education and income. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 1959, 41, 24-28.
- Hoyt, D. P. *The relationship between college grades and adult achievements: A review of the literature*. ACT Research Report No. 7. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1965.
- Hoyt, D. P. College grades and adult accomplishment: A review of research. *Educational Record*, 1966, 47, 70-75.
- Hunt, S. J. Income determinants for college graduates and return to educational investment. *Yale Economic Essays*, 1963, 3, 305-357.
- Jencks, C. Social stratification and higher education. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1968, 38, 277-316.
- Kelly, E. L., & Goldberg, L. R. Correlates of later performance and specialization in psychology. *Psychological Monographs*, 1959, 73(12, Whole No. 482).

- Kinloch, G. C., & Perrucci, R. Social origins, academic achievement, and mobility channels: Sponsored and contest mobility among college graduates. *Social Forces*, 1969, 48, 36-45.
- Kirchner, W. K., & Dunnette, M. D. The successful salesman, as he sees himself. *Personnel*, 1958, 35, 67-70.
- Knapp, R. H. *The origins of American humanistic scholars*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1964.
- Knapp, R. H., & Goodrich, H. B. *Origins of American scientists: A study made under the direction of a committee of the faculty of Wesleyan University*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1952.
- Knapp, R. H., & Greenbaum, J. J. *The younger American scholar: His collegiate origins*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1953.
- Kubie, L. S. Some unsolved problems of the scientific career, Part I. *American Scientist*, 1953, 41, 596-613.
- Kubie, L. S. Some unsolved problems of the scientific career, Part II. *American Scientist*, 1954, 42, 104-112.
- Ladinsky, J. Higher education and work achievement among lawyers. *Sociological Quarterly*, 1967, 8, 222-232.
- Lassiter, R. L., Jr. The association of income and education for males by region, race, and age. *Southern Economic Journal*, 1965, 32, 15-22.
- Lassiter, R. L., Jr. *The association of income and educational achievement*. Gainesville: University of Florida Press, 1966.
- Lehmann, I. J. American college students and the socialization process. In W. B. Brookover, et al., *The college student*. New York: Center for Applied Research in Education, 1965.
- Mahan, T. W., Jr. Diagnostic consistency and prediction: A note on graduate student skills. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1963, 42, 364-367.
- Martin, R. A. The inviolate, but invalid, employment predictors. *Personnel Journal*, 1968, 47, 20-22.
- Merrett, S. The rate of return to education: A critique. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 1966, 18, 289-303.
- Metzger, S. M., et al. Comparison of life style of honors, non-honors, women. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1969, 47, 671-674.

- Miles, C. C. Crucial factors in the life history of talent. In E. P. Torrance (Ed.), *Talent and education: Present status and future directions*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1960.
- Miller, H. P. Annual and lifetime income in relation to education: 1939-1959. *American Economic Review*, 1960, 50, 962-986.
- Mincer, J. Investment in human capital and personal income distribution. *Journal of Political Economy*, 1958, 66, 281-302.
- Muskin, S. J. (Ed.) *Economics of higher education*. Washington: United States Office of Education, 1962.
- National Research Council, Office of Scientific Personnel, Research Division. *Careers of PhD's: Academic versus nonacademic: A second report on follow-up of doctorate cohorts, 1935-1960*. Washington: National Academy of Sciences, 1968.
- Newcomb, T. M., et al. *Persistence and change: Bennington College and its students after twenty-five years*. New York: Wiley, 1967.
- Owens, W. A. Cognitive, noncognitive, and environmental correlates of mechanical ingenuity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1969, 53, 199-208.
- Pallett, J. E., & Hoyt, D. P. College curriculum and success in general business. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, 9, 238-245.
- Pattillo, M. M., Jr., & MacKenzie, D. M. *Church-sponsored higher education in the United States*. Report of the Danforth Commission. Washington: American Council on Education, 1966.
- Pierson, G. W. *The education of American leaders: Comparative contributions of United States colleges and universities*. New York: Praeger, 1969.
- Porter, A. Personality ratings by faculty as predictors of executive success for graduate business school alumni. *Occupational Psychology*, 1962, 36, 146-151.
- Porter, P. B. Faculty evaluations of graduate students. *Psychological Reports*, 1969, 24, 761-762.
- Price, P. B., et al. Measurement of physician performance. *Journal of Medical Education*, 1964, 39, 203-211.
- Roe, A. A Rorschach study of a group of scientists and technicians. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1946, 10, 317-327.

- Roe, A. Artists and their work. *Journal of Personality*, 1946, 15, 1-40.
- Roe, A. The personality of artists. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1946, 6, 401-408.
- Roe, A. Painting and personality. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 1946, 10, 86-100.
- Roe, A. Analysis of group Rorschachs of biologists. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 1949, 13, 25-43.
- Roe, A. Psychological examinations of eminent biologists. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1949, 13, 225-246.
- Roe, A. Analysis of group Rorschachs of physical scientists. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 1950, 14, 385-398.
- Roe, A. Psychological tests of research scientists. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 1951, 15, 492-495.
- Roe, A. A psychological study of physical scientists. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1951, 43, 121-235.
- Roe, A. A psychological study of eminent biologists. *Psychological Monographs*, 1951, 65(14, Whole No. 331).
- Roe, A. Analysis of group Rorschachs of psychologists and anthropologists. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 1952, 16, 212-224.
- Roe, A. A psychologist examines 64 eminent scientists. *Scientific American*, 1952, 187(5), 21-25.
- Roe, A. *The making of a scientist*. New York: Dodd & Mead, 1953.
- Roe, A. A psychological study of eminent psychologists and anthropologists, and a comparison with biological and physical scientists. *Psychological Monographs*, 1953, 67(2, Whole No. 352).
- Roe, A. Crucial life experiences in the development of scientists. In E. P. Torrance (Ed.), *Talent and education: Present status and future directions*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1960.
- Roe, A. The psychology of the scientist. *Science*, 1961, 134, 456-459.
- Rogers, D. C. *Private rates of return to education in the United States: A case study*. New Haven: Yale University, 1967.

- Roskens, R. W. Relationship between leadership participation in college and after college. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1960, 39, 110-114.
- Ryans, D. G. Prediction of teacher effectiveness. In C. W. Harris (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Educational Research*. New York: Macmillan, 1960.
- Sharp, L. The meaning of the bachelor's degree: Some recent survey findings. *Sociology of Education*, 1963, 37(3), 93-109.
- Simun, P. B., & Asher, J. W. The relationship of variables in undergraduate school and school administrator's ratings of first-year teachers. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 1964, 15, 293-302.
- Slocum, W. L. *Occupational careers: A sociological perspective*. Chicago: Aldine, 1966.
- Snyder, B. R., & Tossman, L. H. Gifted students and scientists. In H. H. Anderson (Ed.), *Creativity in childhood and adolescence: A diversity of approaches*. Palo Alto, Calif.: Science & Behavior Books, 1965.
- Solomon, D. Birth order, family composition and teaching style. *Psychological Reports*, 1965, 17, 871-874.
- Spaeth, J. L. Occupational attainment among male college graduates. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1970, 75, 632-644.
- Stone, T. H., & Athelstan, G. T. The SVIB for women and demographic variables in the prediction of occupational tenure. *Journal of the Applied Psychology*, 1969, 53, 408-412.
- Strauss, A. L., & Rainwater, L. *The professional scientist: A study of American chemists*. Chicago: Alden, 1962.
- Strauss, S., & Brechbill, H. Traits of scientists. *Science Education*, 1959, 43, 35-41.
- Strong, F. K. Jr. Validity of occupational choice. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1953, 13, 110-121.
- Taylor, C. W., & Ellison, R. L. Biographical predictors of scientific performances. *Science*, 1967, 155, 1075-1080.
- Tennyson, W. W. Career development. *Review of Educational Research*, 1968, 38, 346-366.
- Terman, L. M. Scientists and nonscientists in a group of 800 gifted men. *Psychological Monographs*, 1954, 68 (Whole No. 378).

- Terman, L. M. Are scientists different? *Scientific American*, 1955, 192, 25-29.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. College environments and the development of talent. *Science*, 1959, 130, 71-76.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. The college environment as a determinant of research potentiality. In C. Taylor & F. Barron (Eds.), *Scientific creativity: Its recognition and development*. New York: Wiley, 1963.
- Trent, J. W., & Medsker, L. L. *Beyond high school*. Berkeley: Center for Research & Development in Higher Education, 1967.
- Tucker, M. F., Cline, V. B., & Schmitt, J. R. Prediction of creativity and other performance measures from biographical information among pharmaceutical scientists. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1967, 51, 131-138.
- Underhill, R. Values and post-college career change. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1966, 72, 163-172.
- Van Zelst, R. H., & Kerr, W. A. Some correlates of technical and scientific productivity. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1951, 46, 470-475.
- Van Zelst, R. H., & Kerr, W. A. A further note on some correlates of scientific and technical productivity. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 1952, 47, 129.
- Van Zelst, R. H., & Kerr, W. A. Personality self-assessment of scientific and technical personal. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1954, 38, 145-147.
- Visher, S. S. Environmental backgrounds of leading American scientists. *American Sociological Review*, 1948, 13, 65-72.
- Walberg, H. J. A portrait of the artist and scientist as young men. *Exceptional Children*, 1969, 36, 5-11.
- Watley, D. J. Career progress: A longitudinal study of gifted students. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 100-108.
- Weisbrod, B. A., & Karpoff, P. Monetary returns to college education, student ability, and college quality. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 1968, 50, 491-497.
- West, S. S. Class origin of scientists. *Sociometry*, 1961, 24, 251-269.
- Wolfe, D., & Smith, J. G. The occupational value of education for superior high-school graduates. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1956, 27, 201-212.

Zimiles, H., et al. Personality aspects of teaching: A predictive study. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1964, 69, 101-149.

### Development of Student Power

After the Berkeley disruptions in 1964, "student power" increasingly became a topic of research as well as of conversation. The main college goal of a number of students has been to change the college or society through student power. These are the so-called "student activists." Student power is their major criterion of college success. Although some see the student apathy of the fifties returning during the seventies, student power concerns have not disappeared from the campuses of our country. Some of the studies listed in this section were concerned primarily with students who became activists merely because they were dissatisfied with various aspects of the college. Other concerned students were trying to bring about change on campus and/or in society because of involvement with social and/or political issues.

### Success Viewed as Development of Student Power: Published Literature

Abeles, E. *The student and the university: A background book on the campus revolt*. New York: Parents Magazine Press, 1969.

Altbach, P. G. The future of the American student movement. *Liberal Education*, 1966, 52, 313-324.

Altbach, P. G. The student movement and the American university. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 1966, 47, 424-427.

Altbach, P. G. Students and politics. *Comparative Education Review*, 1966, 10, 175-187.

Altbach, P. G. *Student politics and higher education in the United States: A select bibliography*. St. Louis: United Ministries in Higher Education; and Cambridge: Harvard University, Center for International Affairs, 1968.

Arkoff, A. An undergraduate course in higher education. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1969, 40, 643-648.

Astin, A. W. Personal and environmental determinants of college student activism. *Measurement and Evaluation in Guidance*, 1968, 1, 149-162.

Austin, C. G. Student protests and the establishment. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1968, 39, 223-225.

- Avorn, J. L. et al. *Up against the ivy wall: A history of the Columbia crisis*. New York: Atheneum, 1969.
- Axelrod, J., et al. *Search for relevance: The campus in crisis*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969.
- Bakke, E. W. Roots and soil of student activism. *Comparative Education Review*, 1966, 10, 163-174.
- Ball, D. W. Covert political rebellion as resentment. *Social Forces*, 1964, 43, 93-101.
- Barnes, H. E. The ivory tower rebel and his philosophy. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1965, 28, 66-73.
- Bay, C. Political and apolitical students: Facts in search of theory. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1967, 23(3), 76-91.
- Bayer, A. E., & Astin, A. W. *Campus disruption during 1968-1969*. ACE Research Reports Vol. IV, No. 3. Washington: American Council on Education, 1969.
- Bell, D., & Kristol, I. (Eds.) *Confrontation: The student rebellion and the universities*. New York: Basic Books, 1969.
- Berns, R. S. The Berkeley uprisings: A study of the conditions of riotous behavior. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 1967, 123, 1165-1169.
- Bettelheim, B. The college student rebellion: Explanations and answers. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 1969, 50, 511-514.
- Bittner, E. Radicalism and the organization of radical movements. *American Sociological Review*, 1963, 28, 928-940.
- Blöck, J. R. Haan, N., & Smith, M. B. Socialization correlates of student activism. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1969, 25(4), 143-177.
- Bloustein, E. J. The new student and his role in American colleges. *Liberal Education*, 1968, 54, 345-364.
- Brammer, L. M. The student rebel in the university: A world-wide view. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1967, 38, 257-262.
- Bronowski, J. Protest—past and present. *American Scholar*, 1969, 38, 535-546.
- Brooks, G. D., & Brooks, B. S. *The literature of student unrest*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Educational Technology Publications, 1969.

- Brown, J. A. Student power. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1969, 32, 97-103.
- Brown, M. *The politics and anti-politics of the young*. Beverly Hills: Glencoe Press, 1969.
- Brubacher, J. S. The anatomy of power. *Education Digest*, 1969, 35(3), 1-4.
- Butler, A. C., & Carr, L. Purpose in life through social action. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, 74, 243-250.
- Cahn, M. M. 1968-1969 San Francisco State College crisis: A minority report. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 1969, 51, 21-25.
- Carr, L., & Roberts, S. O. "Social action" as related to verbalized attitudes, self-concept, and background factors. *American Psychologist*, 1963, 18, 381.
- Carr, L., & Roberts, S. O. Correlates of civil-rights participation. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1965, 67, 259-267.
- Casey, T. J. Student unrest: Roots and solutions. *Liberal Education*, 1969, 55, 244-254.
- Chickering, S. B. How we got that way. *American Scholar*, 1967, 36, 602-607.
- Cockburn, A., & Blackburn, R. (Eds.) *Student power: Problems, diagnosis, action*. Harmondsworth, Middlesex, England: Penguin, 1969.
- Cohen, M., & Hale, D. (Eds.) *The new student left: An anthology*. Boston: Beacon, 1966.
- Coles, R. Psychiatric observations on students demonstrating for peace. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1967, 37, 107-111.
- Dennis, L. E., & Kauffman, J. P. *The college and the student*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1966.
- Dunham, E. A. *Colleges of the forgotten Americans: A profile of state colleges and regional universities*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.
- Duster, T. Student interests, student power, and the Swedish experience. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 1968, 11(5), 21-27.
- Exline, R. V., & Eldridge, C. Machiavellian scores of volunteer student counselors and student government officers. *Psychological Reports*, 1963, 13, 853-854.

- Fenton, J. H., & Gleason, G. *Student power at the University of Massachusetts: A case study*. Amherst: University of Massachusetts, Bureau of Government Research, 1969.
- Fishman, J. R., & Solomon, F. Youth and social action. I: Perspectives on the student sit-in movement. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1963, 33, 872-882.
- Fishman, J. R., & Solomon, F. Youth and social action: An introduction. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1964, 20(4), 1-27.
- Flacks, R. The liberated generation: An exploration of the roots of student protest. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1967, 23(3), 52-75.
- Flacks, R. Student activists: Result, not revolt. *Psychology Today*, 1967, 1(6), 18-23.
- Footlick, J. K. *The college scene now*. Silver Springs, Md.: Newsbook, 1967.
- Gales, K. E. A campus revolution. *British Journal of Sociology*, 1966, 17, 1-19.
- Gallagher, B. G. Student unrest. *College and University Business*, 1965, 38(4), 51-55.
- Gelineau, V. A., & Kantor, D. Pro-social commitment among college students. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1964, 20(4), 112-130.
- Ginzberg, E. The delayed revolution: Lessons from Columbia and Harvard. *Journal of College Placement*, 1970, 30(2), 38-42.
- Glazer, N. Student politics in a democratic society. *American Scholar*, 1967, 36, 202-217.
- Glazer, N. "Student power" in Berkeley. *Universities Quarterly*, 1968, 22, 404-424.
- Glazer, P. M. The new left: A style of protest. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1967, 38, 119-130.
- Goodman, P. *Growing up absurd: Problems of youth in the organized system*. New York: Random House, 1956.
- Gore, P. M., & Rotter, J. B. A personality correlate of social action. *Journal of Personality*, 1963, 31, 58-64.

- Graham, E. K. The Hampton Institute strike of 1927: A case study in student protest. *American Scholar*, 1969, **38**, 668-683.
- Grant, J. *Confrontation on campus: The Columbia pattern for the new protest*. New York: New American Library, 1969.
- Halleck, S. L. Hypothesis of student unrest. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 1968, **50**, 2-9.
- Halleck, S. L. Why students protest: A psychiatrist's view. *Think*, 1967, **33**, 2-7.
- Herzog, S. J. Student participation in politics. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1966, **14**, 196-199.
- Holzner, B. Institutional change, social stratification, and the direction of youth movements. *Journal of Educational Sociology*, 1962, **36**, 49-56.
- Hook, S. Second thoughts on Berkeley. *Teachers College Record*, 1965, **67**, 32-63.
- Jacobs, P., & Landau, S. *The new radicals: A report with documents*. New York: Vintage, 1966.
- Jansen, D. G., & Winborn, B. B. Perceptions of a university environment by social-political action leaders. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1968, **47**, 218-222.
- Jansen, D. G., Winborn, B. B., & Martinson, W. D. Characteristics associated with campus social-political action leadership. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, **15**, 552-562.
- Kalikow, D. W., & Carr, L. Determinants of civil rights activities. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1968, **74**, 111-116.
- Katope, C. G., & Zolbrod, P. G. (Eds.) *Beyond Berkeley: A sourcebook in student values*. Cleveland: World Publishing, 1966.
- Katz, J., & Sanford, N. The new student power and needed educational reforms. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 1966, **47**, 397-401.
- Katz, J. Student activism: Its implications for education and career planning. *Journal of College Placement*, 1968, **29**(2), 32-35.
- Kazin, M. Some notes on S. D. S. *American Scholar*, 1969, **38**, 644-655.
- Keniston, K. American students and the "political revival." *American Scholar*, 1962, **32**, 40-64.

- Keniston, K. The sources of student dissent. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1967, 23(3), 108-137.
- Keniston, K. Why students become radicals. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1968, 17, 107-118. (a)
- Keniston, K. *Young radicals: Notes on committed youth*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & World, 1968. (b)
- Keniston, K. Youth, change and violence. *American Scholar*, 1968, 37, 227-245. (c)
- Keniston, K. Report analysis: Fact finding commission on Columbia disturbances. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1969, 39, 373-379.
- Kerpelman, L. C. Student political activism and ideology: Comparative characteristics of activists and nonactivists. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 8-13.
- Kinohson, W. A. New power relationships on the campus: Enter the student and the non-academic employee. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1968, 39, 309-315.
- Korn, H. A. Higher education programs and student development. *Review of Educational Research*, 1969, 39, 155-171.
- Krout, M. H., & Stagner, R. Personality development in radicals: A comparative study. *Sociometry*, 1939, 2(1), 31-46.
- Lane, R. E. Fathers and sons: Foundations of political belief. *American Sociological Review*, 1959, 24, 502-511.
- Lipset, S. M. Student opposition in the United States. *Government and Opposition*, 1966, 1, 351-374.
- Lipset, S. M. (Ed.) *Student politics*. New York: Basic Books, 1967.
- Lipset, S. M. The activists: A profile. *Public Interest*, 1968, 13, 39-51.
- Lipset, S. M. Students and politics in comparative perspective. *Daedalus*, 1968, 97, 1-20.
- Lipset, S. M.; & Altbach, P. G. Student politics and higher education in the United States. *Comparative Education Review*, 1966, 10, 320-349.
- Lipset, S. M., & Altbach, P. G. (Eds.) *Students In revolt*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1969.

Lipset, S. M., & Wolin, S. S. (Eds.) *The Berkeley student revolt: Facts and interpretations*. Garden City, N. Y.: Doubleday, 1965.

Lobenthal, J. S., Jr. The catabolism of a student revolt. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1969, **40**, 717-730.

Lombardi, J. *Student activism in junior colleges: An administrator's view*. Washington: American Association of Junior Colleges, 1969.

Maes, J. L. Cultural causes for student activism. *Journal of Education*, 1969, **151**(3), 36-41.

May, H. F. The student movement: Some impressions at Berkeley. *American Scholar*, 1965, **34**, 387-399.

May, H. F. Living with crisis: A view from Berkeley. *American Scholar*, 1969, **38**, 588-605.

McCormack, T. H. The motivation of radicals. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1950, **56**, 17-24.

McCottry, S. B., Jr. Campus rebellion. *Journal of the American College Health Association*, 1969, **18**, 134-137.

McEvoy, J., & Miller, A. *Black power and student rebellion*. Belmont, Calif.: Wadsworth, 1969.

McGuigan, G. F., Payerle, G., & Horrobin, P. (Eds.) *Student protest*. Toronto: Methuen, 1968.

Middleton, R., & Putney, S. Student rebellion against parental political beliefs. *Social Forces*, 1963, **41**, 377-383.

Middleton, R., & Putney, S. Political expression of adolescent rebellion. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1963, **68**, 527-535.

Miller, M. V., & Gilmore, S. (Eds.) *Revolution at Berkeley: The crisis in American education*. New York: Dial Press, 1965.

Minter, W. J., & Snyder, P. O. (Eds.) *Value change and power conflict in higher education*. Papers presented at the Eleventh Annual College and University Self-Study Institute, 1969. Boulder: Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education, 1970.

Mock, K. R., & Heist, P. *Potential activists: The characteristics and backgrounds of a capable and challenging minority*. Berkeley: University of California, Center for Research and Development in Higher Education, 1969.

- Newfield, J. *A prophetic minority*. New York: New American Library, 1967.
- Orum, A. M., & Orum, A. W. The class and status bases of Negro student protest. *Social Science Quarterly*, 1968, **49**, 521-533.
- Otten, C. M. Ruling out paternalism: Students and administrators at Berkeley. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 1968, **11**(5), 28-33.
- Parente, W., & McCleery, M. Campus radicalism and a relevant political science: Channel undergraduate interests constructively. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1968, **39**, 316-325.
- Peterson, R. E. Organized student protest in 1964-65. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1967, **30**, 50-56.
- Peterson, R. E. *The scope of organized student protest in 1967-1968*. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1968.
- Peterson, R. E. The student left in American higher education. *Daedalus*, 1968, **97**, 293-317.
- Phares, J. E. Internal-external control as a determinant of amount of social influence exerted. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1965, **2**, 642-647.
- Quann, C. J. Student unrest: A double image. *College and University*, 1969, **44**, 256-262.
- Rae-Grant, N. I. Student conflict—Activity, autonomy, and atonement. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1969, **39**, 209-210.
- Roberts, S. O., & Carr, L. "Social action" participation as related to selected variables for Negro American college students. *American Psychologist*, 1961, **16**, 398.
- Rossman, M. The movement and educational reform. *American Scholar*, 1967, **36**, 594-600.
- Rubenstein, B., & Levitt, M. College rebels: Totem and taboo revisited. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1969, **39**, 206-207.
- Sasdjima, M., Davis, J. S., & Peterson, R. E. Organized student protest and institutional climate. *American Educational Research Journal*, 1968, **5**, 291-304.
- Schick, M., & Somit, A. The failure to teach political activity. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 1963, **6**(5), 5-8.

- Schiff, L. F. The obedient rebels: A study of college conversions to conservatism. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1964, 20(4), 74-95.
- Schreck, T. C., & Schaffer, R. H. Implications of the campus organization power structure for student personnel administrators. *NASPA Journal*, 1968, 5, 331-335.
- Schwab, J. J. *College curriculum and student protest*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1969.
- Scott, J. W., & El Assal, M. Multiversity, university size; university quality and student protest: An empirical study. *American Sociological Review*, 1969, 34(6), 702-709.
- Shoben, E. J., Jr. The Berkeley syndrome. *Teachers College Record*, 1965, 66, 620-623.
- Shoben, E. J., Jr. Toward remedies for restlessness: Issues in student unrest. *Liberal Education*, 1968, 54, 221-230.
- Skolnick, J. Student protest. *AAUP Bulletin*, 1969, 55, 309-326.
- Snyder, L. J. Campus conflict and strategies for student-administration bargaining. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1968, 31, 106-111.
- Soares, G. D. The active few: Student ideology and participation in developing countries. *Comparative Education Review*, 1966, 10, 205-219.
- Solnit, A. J., et al. Youth unrest: A symposium. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 1969, 125, 1145-1159.
- Solomon, F., et al. Civil rights activity and reduction in crime among Negroes. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1965, 12, 227-236.
- Solomon, F., & Fishman, J. R. The psychosocial meaning of nonviolence in student civil rights activities. *Psychiatry*, 1964, 27, 91-99. (a)
- Solomon, F., & Fishman, J. R. Youth and peace: A psychosocial study of student peace demonstrators in Washington, D. C. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1964, 20(4), 54-73. (b)
- Spender, S. *The year of the young rebels*. New York: Random House, 1969.
- Springer, G. P. Universities in flux. *Comparative Education Review*, 1968, 12, 28-38.

Strickland, B. R. The prediction of social action from a dimension of internal-external control. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1965, 66, 353-358.

Students' Activism Reflection of Parents. *College & University Business*, 1968, 45(4), 18.

Surace, S. J., & Seeman, M. Some correlates of civil rights activism. *Social Forces*, 1967, 46, 197-207.

Switzer, L. Student power works on public. *College and University Business*, 1968, 44(6), 52-53.

Taylor, H. The student revolution. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 1969, 51, 62-67.

Taylor, H. *Students without teachers: The crisis in the university*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.

Trow, M. Conceptions of the university: The case of Berkeley. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 1968, 11,(5), 14-21.

Vaccaro, L. C., & Covert, J. T. (Eds.) *Student freedom in American higher education*. New York: Columbia University, Teachers College Press, 1969.

Van Loon, E. Who are the activists? *American Education*, 1967, 4(1), 2-4.

Wallerstein, J. *University in turmoil: The politics of change*. New York: Atheneum, 1969.

Walsh, J. Confrontation at Stanford: Exit classified research. *Science*, 1969, 164, 534-537.

Watts, W. A., & Whittaker, D. Free speech advocates at Berkeley. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 1966, 2(1), 41-62.

Watts, W. A., & Whittaker, D. Profile of a nonconformist youth culture: A study of the Berkeley non-students. *Sociology of Education*, 1968, 41, 178-200.

Weaver, G. R., & Weaver, J. H. *The university and revolution*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, 1969.

Westby, D. L., & Braungart, R. G. Class and politics in the family backgrounds of student political activists. *American Sociological Review*, 1966, 31, 690-692.

White, H. Do American colleges and universities encourage their faculties and students to participate actively in politics? *College and University*, 1955, 30, 324-333.

Wilhelmsen, F. D. (Ed.) *Seeds of anarchy: A study of campus revolution*. Dallas: Argus Academic Press, 1969.

Williamson, E. G. Alienation of students: Have we missed their signals? *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1966, 30, 27-32.

Williamson, E. G., & Cowan, J. L. *The American student's freedom of expression: A research appraisal*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1966.

Winborn, B. B., & Jansen, D. G. Personality characteristics of campus social-political action leaders. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 509-513.

Winborn, B. B., & Jansen, D. G. Profile of social-political action leaders. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1969, 10, 7-11.

Wyatt, F. Motives of rebellion: Psychological comments on the crisis of authority among students. *Humanitas*, 1969, 4, 355-373.

### Development in Basic Educational Skills

Before a student can succeed academically, he must have reached a certain level on basic educational skills such as study skills, reading skills, writing skills, etc. One criterion of success for colleges is to help disadvantaged students to develop such skills so that they can persist in college. Of course, this may also be a criterion of success for these students. Reading laboratories and other procedures are used to help bring skill levels of other students up to the maximum usable level for each student.

Research on the development of basic educational skills in college students seemed to have been generally neglected in the past, except for study skills. However, with the advent of special college programs for disadvantaged minority-group students and with the community college emphasis in the sixties on remedial or developmental education programs, interest in this area has increased markedly.

### Success Viewed as Development in Basic Educational Skills: Published Literature

Ahmann, J. S., & Glock, M. D. The utility of study habits and attitudes inventory in a college reading program. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1957, 51, 297-303.

- Beard, R. M. *Research into teaching methods in higher education; mainly in British universities*. London: Society for Research into Higher Education, 1967.
- Berg, P. C., & Rentel, V. M. Improving study skills. *Journal of Reading*, 1966, 9, 343-348.
- Brown, F. G. Study habits and attitudes, college experience, and college success. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1964, 43, 287-292.
- Brown, W. F. Student-to-student counseling for academic adjustment. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1965, 43, 811-817.
- Brumkan, R. J., & Shen, F. Personality characteristics of ineffective, effective, and efficient readers. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1966, 44, 837-843.
- Carter, H. J., & McGinnis, D. J. *Reading: A key to academic success*. Dubuque, Iowa: Brown, 1967.
- Coffman, W. E., & Parry, M. E. Effects of an accelerated reading course on SAT-V scores. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, 46, 292-296.
- Dizney, H., Rankin, R., & Johnston, J. Eye-movement fixations in reading as related to anxiety in college females. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1969, 28, 851-854.
- Egerton, J. At San Mateo, readiness instead of remedies. *Southern Education Report*, 1968, 4(4), 20-23.
- Entwistle, D. R. Evaluation of study-skills courses: A review. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1960, 53, 243-251.
- Estabrook, M., & Sommer, R. Study habits and introversion-extroversion. *Psychological Reports*, 1966, 19, 750.
- Estrin, H. A. An engineering report writing course that works. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1968, 16, 28-30.
- Fennimore, F. Reading and the self-concept. *Journal of Readings*, 1968, 11, 447-451.
- Fretz, B. R., & Schmidt, L. D. Comparison of improvers and nonimprovers in an educational skills course. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1967, 14, 175-176.
- Gladstein, G. A. Study behavior of gifted stereotype and non-stereotype college students. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1960, 38, 470-474.

- Hall, R. L., & Willerman, B. The educational influence of dormitory roommates. *Sociometry*, 1963, 26, 294-318.
- Higgins, N., & Gage, G. Perceptual mode and reading improvement of college students. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1968, 26, 1249-1250.
- Holmes, J. Factors underlying reading disabilities at the college level. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 1954, 49, 3-95.
- Hood, A. B. An experiment utilizing residence counselors to teach educational skills. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1962, 4, 35-40.
- Jacobs, P. I. Large score changes on the scholastic aptitude test. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1966, 45, 150-156.
- Jamuar, K. K. Study habits and some personality variables. *Psychological Studies*, 1969, 14, 100-103.
- Jansen, D. G. Verbal and reading skills of students participating in a university reading and study skills program. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1967, 8, 181-184.
- Johnson, G. B. A comparison of two techniques for the improvement of reading skills at the college level. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1952, 46, 193-205.
- Kammann, R. A. Aptitude, study habits, and reading improvement. *Journal of Developmental Reading*, 1963, 6, 77-86.
- Kasdon, L. M. Early reading background of some superior readers among college freshmen. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1958, 52, 151-153.
- Knafle, J. D. Personality characteristics, social adjustment, and reading effectiveness in low-achieving, prospective college freshmen in a reading program. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1965, 59, 149-153.
- Lenning, O. T., Munday, L. A., & Maxey, E. J. Student educational growth during the first two years of college. *College and University*, 1969, 44, 145-153.
- Lorée, M. R., & Koch, M. B. Use of verbal reinforcement in developing group discussion skills. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1960, 51, 164-168.
- Lunneborg, C. E., & Lunneborg, P. W. Deviations from predicted growth of abilities for male and female college students. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1969, 6, 165-172.



- McDonald, A. S. What current research says about poor readers in high school and college. *Journal of Developmental Reading*, 1961, 4, 184-196.
- Michael, W. B., et al. Gains in various measures of communication skills relative to three curricular patterns in college. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1963, 23, 365-374.
- Murphy, V. M. Manifest anxiety and self-description with respect to study habits and attitudes. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1964, 6, 79-81.
- Neal, C. M. The relationship of personality variables to reading ability. *California Journal of Educational Research*, 1967, 18, 133-144. (a)
- Neal, C. M. Student ability: Its effect on reading-personality relationships. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1967, 27, 1145-1153. (b)
- Neidt, C. O. Use of videotaped instructional television for teaching study skills in a university setting. *AV Communication Review*, 1967, 15, 269-284.
- Nichols, R. C. Effects of various college characteristics on student aptitude test scores. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1964, 55, 45-54.
- Ray, D. D. The permanency of gains made in a college reading improvement program. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1965, 59, 17-20.
- Robinson, F. P. *Effective study*. (Rev. ed.) New York: Harper, 1961.
- Sawyer, R. N., & Martini, L. W. Specialized study skills, developmental-reading instruction and counseling. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1969, 37(4), 52-56.
- Silbiger, F., & Woolf, D. Visual-perception disabilities and reading ability at the college level. *Academic Therapy Quarterly*, 1967, 2, 157-165.
- Smith, D. P. (Ed.) *Learning to learn*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & World, 1961.
- Smith, D. P., et al. Reading improvement as a function of student personality and teaching method. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1956, 47, 47-59.
- Smith, D. P., & Wood, R. L. Noncognitive personality dimensions as indices of reading improvement at the college level. *American Psychologist*, 1953, 8, 438.
- Sommer, R. Study conditions in student residences. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1969, 10, 270-274.

- Sperrazzo, G., & Schurr, W. E. Personality changes and efficient reading. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1965, 21, 65.
- Stark, M. Commuter and residence hall students compared. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1965, 44, 277-281.
- Tresselt, M. E., & Richlin, M. Differential prognosis in a college study methods course. *Journal of Psychology*, 1951, 31, 81-89.
- Vollgast, A. Effects of social cues and task complexity in concept identification. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1967, 58, 36-40.
- Weaver, W. W., White, W. F., & Kingston, A. J., Jr. Affective correlates of reading comprehension. *Journal of Psychology*, 1968, 68, 87-95.
- Webb, S. C. The psychological components of scores for two tests of report writing ability. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1964, 24, 31-46.
- Weber, C. O. Reading inadequacy as habit. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1949, 40, 427-433.
- Zunker, V. G., & Brown, W. F. Comparative effectiveness of student and professional counselors. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1966, 44, 738-743.

### Benefits to Society and Miscellaneous Criteria

All of the previous categories of the college success covered in this book have implications for society as a whole. They contribute to the overall quality of life in our society. In addition, they affect the values, habits, and life styles we pass on to our children which in turn affects future generations of our society. However, there are additional societal criteria of college success that focus on society as a whole and/or on its various segments rather than on the individuals who compose the system.

Many people would agree that higher education should strive for accomplishments such as the following: to help the society decide where it is going; to take the lead and stimulate positive change and innovation; to conduct experimentation and develop basic knowledge, products, and procedures that will lead to a more rewarding and beneficial level of life for the members of the society; to help the perpetuation of society by holding wastes of its resources to a minimum; to raise the cultural life of the community by providing cultural events and opportunities; to meet the trained manpower needs of our nation which results in an increased gross national product and a higher standard of living throughout society; etc.

Several studies were found which tried to assess higher education's impact on the gross national product and on the economic standard of living. However, research seemed to be completely lacking for the noneconomic societal effects areas. Some of those impacts have been commonly observed, e.g., the scientific and medical advances made through research conducted by university personnel. Evidence is lacking for the existence of other desired societal impacts.

Some research was also found for miscellaneous criteria of college success which aim at individual students or which are considered college success by individual students. Examples of such miscellaneous categories of college success include the development in students of an ability and a willingness to "speak up" or to "stand up and be counted," the development of a willingness to take necessary chances and to be adventuresome, the development of time-awareness, success in finding a proper mate (the so-called Mrs. degree), etc.

Just because practically no published research was found for most of these miscellaneous categories of college success does *not* mean that they are unimportant or that research regarding them would be unfruitful. For example, finding a proper mate is probably the paramount college goal for some students, and research to better understand such students and their goals could possibly be quite helpful. Perhaps a major purpose of this chapter will be to call attention to such college goals and to stimulate research concerned with such criteria.

#### Success Viewed as Benefits to Society and Miscellaneous Criteria: Published Literature

Aiken, L. R., Jr. The relationships of dress to selected measures of personality in undergraduate women. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 1963, 59, 119-128.

Anderson, C. A., & Bowman, M. J. (Eds.) *Education and economic development*. Chicago: Aldine, 1965.

Astin, A. W. A re-examination of college productivity. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1961, 52, 173-178.

Astin, A. W. An empirical characterization of higher educational institutions. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1962, 53, 224-235.

Astin, A. W. Differential college effects on the motivation of talented students to obtain the PhD. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1963, 54, 63-71.

- Atchison, C. O. Relationships between some intellectual and nonintellectual factors of high anxiety and low anxiety Negro college students. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1968, 37(2), 174-178.
- Baird, L. L. The Indecision scale: A reinterpretation. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1968, 15, 174-179.
- Barratt, E. S. Anxiety and impulsiveness related to psychomotor efficiency. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 1959, 9, 191-198.
- Barron, F. Some personality correlates of independence of judgment. *Journal of Personality*, 1953, 21, 287-297.
- Bershay, H. B. *Empathy, touchstone of self-fulfillment*. New York: Exposition Press, 1964.
- Becker, G. S. Underinvestment in college education. *American Economic Review*, 1960, 50(2), 346-354.
- Becker, G. S. Investment in human capital: A theoretical analysis. *Journal of Political Economy Supplement*, 1962, 70(5), 9-49.
- Becker, G. S. *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education*. New York: National Bureau of Economic Research, 1964.
- Becker, H. A. What do they really learn at college? *Transaction*, 1964, 1, 14-17.
- Bennett, J. W., Passin, H., & McKnight, R. K. *In search of identity: The Japanese overseas scholar in America and Japan*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1958.
- Berlyne, D. E. *Conflict, arousal, and curiosity*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1960.
- Berlyne, D. E. Curiosity and exploration. *Science*, 1966, 153, 25-33.
- Biller, H. B., & Borsteimann, L. J. Masculine development: An integrative review. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly of Behavior and Development*, 1967, 13, 253-294.
- Blaug, M. B. The private and social returns on investment in education: Some results for Great Britain. *Journal of Human Resources*, 1967, 2, 330-346.
- Bowles, F. H., Pace, C. R., & Stone, J. C. *How to get into college*. (4th ed.) New York: E. P. Dutton & Co. Inc., 1968.

Bowman, M. J. Economics of education. *Review of Educational Research*, 1969, **39**, 641-670.

Campbell, A., & Eckerman, W. C. *Public concepts of the values and costs of higher education*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Survey Research Center, 1964.

Casserly, P. L. What college students say about advanced placement. Part I. *College Board Review*, 1968, **69**, 6-10, 28-34. (a)

Casserly, P. L. What college students say about advanced placement. Part II. *College Board Review*, 1968, **70**, 18-22. (b)

Clark, K. B., & Plotkin, L. *The Negro student at integrated colleges*. New York: National Scholarship Service and Fund for Negro Students, 1963.

Cohen, J. W. (Ed.) *The superior student in American higher education*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1966.

Crandall, J. E. Self-perception and interpersonal attraction as related to tolerance-intolerance of ambiguity. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, **37**, 127-140.

Eckland, B. K. College dropouts who came back. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1964, **34**, 402-420.

Elton, C. F., & Rose, H. A. Personality characteristics of students who write letters to the editor. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1968, **9**, 253-255.

Fager, R. E. Student and faculty conceptions of the "successful student." *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1958, **5**, 98-103.

Foa, U. G., Mitchell, T. R., & Lekhyananda, D. Cultural differences in reaction to failure. *International Journal of Psychology*, 1969, **4**, 21-25.

Frederick, R. W. *Student activities in American education*. New York: Center for Applied Research in Education, 1965.

Freedman, M. B. *Impact of college*. Washington: United States Government Printing Office, 1966.

Gallagher, B. G. *American caste and the Negro college*. New York: Gordian Press, 1966.

Ginzberg, E., et al. *Life styles of educated women*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1966.

- Golburgh, S. J., & Glanz, E. C. Group counseling with students unable to speak in class. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1962, 4, 102-106.
- Greeley, A. M. *The changing Catholic college*. Chicago: Aldine, 1967.
- Hansen, W. L. Total and private rates of return to investment in schooling. *Journal of Political Economy*, 1963, 71, 128-140.
- Hansen, W. L., & Weisbrod, B. A. *Benefits, costs, and finance of public higher education*. Chicago: Markham, 1969.
- Havighurst, R. J., & Neugarten, B. L. *Society and education*. (3rd ed.) Boston: Allyn & Bacon, 1967.
- Hjelle, L. A. Personality characteristics associated with interpersonal perception accuracy. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 579-581.
- Hobart, C. W., & Fahiberg, N. The measurement of empathy. *American Journal of Sociology*, 1965, 70, 595-603.
- Hoselitz, B. F. Investment in education and its political impact. In J. S. Coleman (Ed.), *Education and political development*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1965.
- Jaffe, A. J., Adams, W., & Meyers, S. G. *Negro higher education in the 1960's*. New York: Praeger, 1968.
- Janis, I. L. Personality correlates of susceptibility to persuasion. *Journal of Personality*, 1954, 22, 504-518.
- Jencks, C. Social stratification in higher education. *Harvard Educational Review*, 1968, 38, 277-316.
- Johnson, C. S. *The Negro college graduate*. College Park, Md.: McGrath, 1969.
- Kammeyer, K. Birth order and the feminine sex role among college women. *American Sociological Review*, 1966, 31, 508-515.
- Kogan, N., & Wallach, M. A. *Risk taking: A study in cognition and personality*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1964.
- Lefcourt, H. M. Need for approval and threatened negative evaluation as determinants of expressiveness in a projective test. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1969, 33, 96-102.

- Lindenfeld, F. A note on social mobility, religiosity, and students' attitudes towards premarital sexual relations. *American Sociological Review*, 1960, 25, 81-84.
- Little, E. B., & Creaser, J. W. Epistemic curiosity and man's higher nature. *Psychological Reports*, 1968, 23, 615-624.
- Lunneborg, P. W. Sex differences in aptitude maturation during college. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, 16, 463-464.
- Maehr, M. L., & Videbeck, R. Predisposition to risk and persistence under varying reinforcement-success schedules. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1968, 9, 96-100.
- Matteson, R. W. Experience-interest changes in students. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1955, 2, 113-121.
- Matteson, R. W. Academic interests and self description. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1962, 4, 17-19.
- McConnell, T. R. The attainment of individuality. In *Urbanization and the college student*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1966.
- Minter, W. J. & Thompson, I. M. (Eds.) *Colleges and universities as agents of social change*. Papers presented at the Tenth Annual College Self-Study Institute. Boulder: Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education, 1968.
- Mushkin, S. J. (Ed.) *Economics of higher education*. Washington: United States Government Printing Office, 1962.
- \*National Academy of Sciences. *The invisible university: Postdoctoral education in the United States*. Washington: Author, 1969.
- Newcomb, T. M. Research on student characteristics: Current approaches. In L. E. Dennis and J. F. Kauffman (Eds.), *The college and the student: An assessment of relationships and responsibilities in undergraduate education by administrators, faculty members, and public officials*. Washington: American Council on Education, 1966.
- Olsen, L. C. Interesting college students in research: *Improving College and University Teaching*, 1961, 9, 173-174.
- Pavalko, R. M. (Ed.) *Sociology of education: A book of readings*. Itasca, Ill.: F. E. Peacock, 1968.
- Pearson, P. H. Openness to experience as related to organismic valuing. *Journal of Personality*, 1969, 37, 481-496.

- Rease, J. Faculty influence and professional participation of doctoral students. *Sociological Inquiry*, 1967, **37**, 63-70.
- Pervin, L. A. The college as a social system: A fresh look at three critical problems in higher education. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1967, **38**, 317-322.
- Rabinowitz, M. The relationship of self regard to the effectiveness of life experiences. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1966, **13**, 139-143.
- Reilly, W. J. *Opening closed minds and persuading others to act favorably*. New York: Harper & Row, 1964.
- Ring, K., Lipinski, C. E., & Braginski, D. The relationship of birth order to self-evaluation, anxiety reduction, and susceptibility to emotional contagion. *Psychological Monographs*, 1965, **79**(10, Whole No. 603).
- Rogan, D. L. *Campus apocalypse: The student search today*. New York: Seabury, 1969.
- Ruppel, H. J., Jr. Religiosity and premarital sexual permissiveness: A methodological note. *Sociological Analysis*, 1969, **30**, 176-188.
- Sacks, J. M. Social adjustment and verbal expression of activity-passivity and feeling tone. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 1969, **16**, 486-490.
- Sanford, N. Social change and the college student. In W. A. Geier (Ed.), *Today's student and his university*. Nashville: Board of Education of the Methodist Church, Division of Higher Education, 1966.
- Schalock, R. L., & MacDonald, P. Personality variables associated with reactions to frustration. *Journal of Projective Techniques and Personality Assessment*, 1966, **30**, 158-160.
- Schultz, I. W. Capital formation by education. *Journal of Political Economy*, 1960, **68**, 571-583.
- Schultz, T. W. Investment in human capital. *American Economic Review*, 1961, **51**, 1-17.
- Schultz, T. W. Reflections on investment in man. *Journal of Political Economy Supplement*, 1962, **70**(5), 1-8.
- Schultz, T. W. *The economic value of education*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1963.
- Scott, F. D. *The American experience of Swedish students: Retrospect and aftermath*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1956.

- Scott, J. F. The American college sorority: Its role in class and ethnic endogamy. *American Sociological Review*, 1965, 30, 514-527.
- Sears, R. R., Maccoby, E. E., & Levin, H. *Patterns of child rearing*. Evanston, Ill.: Row, Peterson, 1957.
- Sears, R. R., Raw, L., & Alpert, R. *Identification and child rearing*. Stanford, Calif.: Stanford University Press, 1965.
- Spaulding, C. B. Relative attachment of students to groups and organizations. *Sociology and Social Research*, 1966, 50, 421-435.
- Strauss, S. On research ability in graduate students. *Journal of Higher Education*, 1961, 32, 443-448.
- Study Group in the Economics of Education. *The residual factor and economic growth*. Paris: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, 1964.
- Stuit, D. B. Evaluations of institutions and programs. *Review of Educational Research*, 1960, 30, 371-384.
- Thistlethwaite, D. L. Rival hypotheses for explaining the effects of different learning environments. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1962, 53, 310-315.
- Thoresen, C. E. Oral non-participation in college students: A study of characteristics. *American Educational Research Journal*, 1966, 3, 198-210.
- Thoresen, C. E. Video in the college classroom: An exploratory study. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1966, 45, 144-149.
- Trent, J. W., Athey, I. J., & Craise, J. L. Technology, education, and human development. *Educational Record*, 1965, 46, 93-103.
- Uejio, C. K., & Wrightsman, L. S. Ethnic-group differences in the relationship of trusting attitudes to cooperative behavior. *Psychological Reports*, 1967, 20, 563-571.
- Vaizey, J. *The economics of education*. Glencoe, Ill.: Free Press, 1962.
- Voeks, V. *On becoming an educated person: The university and college*. (2nd ed.) Philadelphia: Saunders, 1964.
- Wallach, M. A., Kogan, N., & Burt, R. B. Group risk taking and field dependence-independence of group members. *Sociometry*, 1967, 30, 323-338.

- Waller, F. O. (Ed.) *The American college and the making of an educated man*. Corvallis: Oregon State University, 1963.
- Weisbrod, B. A. The valuation of human capital, *Journal of Political Economy*, 1961, **69**, 425-436.
- Weisbrod, B. A. Education and investment in human capital. *Journal of Political Economy Supplement*, 1962, **70**(5), 106-123.
- Weisbrod, B. A. *External benefits of publication: An economic appraisal*. Princeton: Princeton University, Department of Economics, Industrial Relations Section, 1964.
- Welch, F. Measurement of the quality of schooling. *American Economic Review*, 1966, **56**, 379-392.
- Wenkart, A. Creativity and freedom. *American Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 1963, **23**, 195-204.
- Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education. *The individual and the system: Personalizing higher education*. Boulder: Author, 1967.
- Westoff, C. F., & Potvin, R. H. Higher education, religion and women's family-size orientations. *American Sociological Review*, 1966, **31**, 489-496.
- White, K. Social background variables related to career commitment of women teachers. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 1967, **45**, 648-652.
- Williamson, E. G., & Cowan, J. L. *The American student's freedom of expression: A research appraisal*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1966.
- Wilson, B. R. (Ed.) *Environment, the university, and the welfare of man*. Philadelphia: J. B. Lippincott, 1969.
- Wilson, K. M. *Of time and the doctorate: Report of an inquiry into the duration of doctoral study*. Research Monograph No. 9. Atlanta: Southern Regional Education Board, 1965.
- Winch, R. F., & Thrash, P. A. Aspects of college experience and their relevance to the activities of later life: A story of the 740 Northwestern Alumnae. *Journal of the National Association of Women Deans and Counselors*, 1963, **26**(4), 30-37.
- Wright, B. A. *Educating for diversity*. New York: John Day, 1965.
- Wright, J. C., & Scarborough, B. B. Relationship of the interests of college freshmen to their interests as sophomores and as seniors. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1958, **18**, 153-158.

## AUTHOR INDEX

Page numbers in boldface indicate bibliography or reference list items. All other numbers indicate citations in text.

A			
Abeles, E.	487	Altman, I.	295, 309
Abelson, H. T.	429	Altrocchi, J.	295
Abrahams, N.	266, 480	Altus, W. D.	32, 73, 429
Abramowitz, S. I.	106	Ames, R. G.	345
Abrams, S.	239	Amram, F. M.	32
Abramson, E.	430	Anastasi, A.	56, 384, 391, 397
Acker, D. C.	171	Anderson, B. D.	171
Adamek, R. J.	171, 261	Anderson, B. J.	333
Adams, B. N.	212	Anderson, C. A.	478, 502
Adams, J. F.	56, 199	Anderson, C. C.	32
Adams, S.	73	Anderson, C. L.	171
Adams, W.	221, 505	Anderson, C. S.	430
Adelson, J.	429	Anderson, D. R.	37
Adinarayan, S. P.	429	Anderson, H. H.	32, 33, 73, 391
Adorno, T. W.	72, 344	Anderson, L. R.	325, 430
Adrian, R. J.	282	Anderson, N. H.	430
Ahmann, J. S.	497	Anderson, R. P.	171
Aiken, E. G.	139	Anderson, S. C.	106, 213, 264, 346, 435
Aiken, L. R.	502	Andrews, F. M.	33, 51
Akenson, D. H.	171	Andrews, H. B.	149, 350
Akka, R. I.	106, 171	Andrews, M.	54
Alamshah, W. H.	32	Angell, R. C.	171
Albrecht, E. J.	89, 416, 462	Angrist, S. S.	139, 261
Albright, L. E.	35, 478	Anikeeff, A. M.	171
Alciatore, R. E.	478	Antonovski, A.	213
Aldous, J.	139, 429	Apostal, R. A.	73, 171, 430
Alexander, B. B.	146	Appley, M. H.	73, 242, 430
Alexander, C. N., Jr.	212, 213, 215, 295, 300	Apsler, R.	430
Alexander, I. E.	91, 134	Arasteh, A. R.	33
Alexander, N.	295	Arenson, S. J.	55, 88
Alexik, M.	264	Arffa, M. S.	261
Alfert, E.	72, 106, 171	Argyle, M.	239, 295
Alford, H. A.	32, 213, 239	Argyris, C.	209, 213
Alleman, S. A.	106	Arkoff, A.	106, 171, 487
Allen, R. M.	72	Armatas, J. P.	73, 261
Allen, V. L.	466	Armer, J. M.	226
Allison, J.	34	Armilla, J.	73, 325
Allman, T. S.	72	Armstrong, J. C.	106, 295
Allport, G. W.	72, 73, 337, 344, 345	Armstrong, T.	81, 145
Allyn, J.	429	Aronson, E.	139, 171, 239, 289, 295, 296, 409, 459
Alper, T. G.	239	Arsenian, S.	430
Alpert, R.	508	Arthur, R. J.	115
Alschuler, A. S.	239	Ashenfelter, O.	478
Altbach, P. G.	456, 487, 492		



- Bayer, A. E. 173, 214, 262,  
356, 479, 488  
 Bayley, N. 34  
 Bazik, A. M. 409  
 Beach, L. R. 65, 74, 173  
 Beal, P. F. 173  
 Bealer, R. C. 222, 273  
 Beall, L. 262  
 Beals, R. L. 173  
 Beard, R. M. 240, 431, 498  
 Beardsley, J. V. 53  
 Bechtel, R. B. 296  
 Becken, T. 262  
 Becker, B. 262  
 Becker, G. S. 479, 503  
 Becker, H. A. 74, 296, 503  
 Becker, H. S. 20, 173, 262,  
432  
 Rucker, L. A. 75, 365  
 Becker, S. L. 173, 308, 332  
 Becker, T. 432  
 Beckhouse, L. S. 156  
 Bednar, R. L. 25, 34  
 Beecher, R. S. 171  
 Beler, E. G. 140, 296  
 Beilin, H. 262  
 Beittel, K. R. 391  
 Belanger, M. 34  
 Bell, D. R. 240, 488  
 Bell, G. B. 326  
 Bell, G. D. 214  
 Bell, N. T. 173  
 Bell, R. R. 34, 214  
 Bell, W. 121  
 Belloni, M. 50  
 Bellows, R. 326, 432  
 Bem, D. J. 432  
 Bender, I. E. 240, 432  
 Bendig, A. W. 107, 173, 174,  
274  
 Benfari, R. C. 409  
 Bennett, C. M. 440  
 Bennett, G. K. 34, 391  
 Bennett, J. W. 174, 432, 479,  
503  
 Bennett, W. S. 214, 218, 262  
 Bennis, W. G. 141, 298, 466  
 Benson, W. M. 356  
 Berdie, R. F. 74, 107, 174,  
214  
 Bereiter, C. 74, 80  
 Berelson, B. 174  
 Berenson, B. G. 107, 117, 140,  
220, 289, 297  
 Berg, I. A. 73, 107  
 Berg, P. C. 498  
 Berger, A. S. 214, 262  
 Berger, C. R. 140  
 Berger, E. M. 140, 262, 345  
 Berger, L. 188, 258, 272  
 Berger, R. M. 214  
 Berlew, D. E. 222, 307, 350  
 Berlyne, D. E. 34, 240, 503  
 Berkowitz, L. 409  
 Berkowitz, W. R. 297, 432  
 Bermann, E. 297  
 Bernhardson, C. S. 74  
 Berns, R. S. 74, 488  
 Bernstein, B. 34  
 Berryman, G. H. 107  
 Berscheid, E. 432  
 Bettelheim, B. 345, 488  
 Beymer, L. 277  
 Bezdek, W. E. 200  
 Biblarz, A. 151  
 Bidwell, C. E. 174, 262, 326,  
365, 402, 409,  
432, 473  
 Bieri, J. 34, 221, 297,  
326  
 Bigelow, C. S. 174  
 Biller, H. B. 503  
 Bills, R. E. 74, 140, 175  
 Binder, A. 326  
 Bindra, D. 240, 241, 326  
 Binns, W. A. 107  
 Birch, D. 241  
 Birney, R. C. 30, 34, 55,  
175, 241, 250,  
372, 380, 391  
 Bischof, L. J. 74  
 Bish, C. E. 33, 239  
 Bishop, D. R. 225  
 Biswanath, R. 345  
 Bittner, E. 488  
 Bittner, J. C. 432  
 Bivins, B. 219  
 Black, C. R. 156  
 Black, J. 412  
 Blackburn, R. 437, 489  
 Blackman, A. F. 175  
 Blaine, G. B. 107, 109, 409  
 Blaisdell, F. J. 303  
 Blake, R. R. 316

- Blake, V. R. 263  
 Blatt, S. J. 34, 370  
 Blau, P. M. 263, 297  
 Blaug, M. B. 479, 503  
 Blaylock, B. 75  
 Bledsoe, J. C. 34, 432  
 Blevans, S. A. 218  
 Blitz, R. C. 274  
 Blochet, D. H. 278  
 Block, J. R. 12, 20, 107,  
 140, 345, 409,  
 432, 433, 488  
 Blood, B. O., Jr. 297, 345  
 Bloom, B. S. 35, 74, 433  
 Bloomberg, M. 35  
 Bloustein, E. J. 488  
 Blum, J. M. 335  
 Blum, L. P. 263  
 Blumberg, A. 433  
 Bobele, H. K. 110  
 Bobren, H. M. 175  
 Bogart, P. 333  
 Bogartz, W. 50  
 Bogue, E. G. 175  
 Bohn, M. J., Jr. 263  
 Bohrnstedt, G. W. 74, 112, 214,  
 297, 433  
 Boldt, W. J. 433  
 Bolles, R. C. 241  
 Bolton, C. D. 297, 365, 433  
 Bonjean, C. M. 175, 404, 409,  
 410, 433  
 Bonney, M. E. 74, 97, 107,  
 108, 175, 297  
 Bordiri, E. S. 263  
 Bordua, D. J. 215  
 Borg, W. R. 326  
 Borgatta, E. F. 74, 112, 140,  
 298  
 Borislow, B. 140  
 Borjand, M. 479  
 Brow, H. 252, 263  
 Borstelmann, L. J. 503  
 Boruch, R. F. 262, 365  
 Boshier, R. 140  
 Bostrom, R. N. 433  
 Bott, M. M. 241  
 Borque, L. B. 433  
 Bouchard, T. J. 35, 298  
 Bovard, E. W. 298  
 Bower, E. M. 108  
 Bowers, P. G. 35  
 Bowers, W. J. 410  
 Bowles, F. H. 503  
 Bowman, M. J. 502, 504  
 Bowman, P. H. 248  
 Bowman, T. F. 122  
 Bown, O. H. 108, 129, 155,  
 250, 472  
 Bownes, A. F. 127  
 Box, S. 267  
 Boyce, R. M. 108  
 Boyer, E. L. 74, 158, 175,  
 215  
 Boyle, R. P. 215  
 Braaten, L. J. 97, 108  
 Bradburn, N. M. 108  
 Bradfield, L. E. 175  
 Bradley, N. E. 175  
 Bradley, R. L. 222, 333, 368  
 Bradshaw, H. L. 178  
 Braginski, D. 151, 225, 312,  
 507  
 Braginsky, B. 312  
 Braly, K. W. 349  
 Bram, P. 461  
 Brameld, T. 433  
 Brammer, L. M. 488  
 Branan, J. M. 140  
 Brandsma, J. M. 250  
 Brandt, J. E. 263, 275  
 Braskamp, L. A. 137, 153, 275,  
 468  
 Braum, J. R. 141  
 Braumgart, R. G. 496  
 Brazziel, W. F., Jr. 215, 263  
 Brechbill, H. 485  
 Breemes, E. L. 433  
 Breer, P. E. 433  
 Breger, L. 50  
 Brehm, J. 141, 433  
 Brehm, M. L. 410  
 Breit, S. 232, 241  
 Brengelmann, J. C. 78  
 Breskin, S. 42  
 Bressler, M. 434, 479  
 Brewer, M. B. 434  
 Brewer, R. E. 298, 320, 326,  
 434  
 Bridges, P. K. 175  
 Brim, B. J. 20, 434  
 Brim, O. G. 35, 410, 434  
 Briner, C. 335  
 Bringmann, W. G. 35, 345  
 Briskin, A. S. 82, 146, 186

- Brislin, R. W. 298  
 Bristol, M. 56, 125, 363, 369  
 Brittain, C. V. 434  
 Britton, G. 413  
 Brock, T. C. 75, 365, 434, 445  
 Broder, L. J. 35  
 Brodie, D. O. 215  
 Brody, E. 143, 346, 347  
 Bronfenbrenner, U. 298, 434  
 Bronowski, J. 488  
 Brontman, M. 108  
 Brookover, W. B. 141  
 Brooks, B. S. 434, 488  
 Brooks, G. D. 434, 488  
 Brooks, G. W. 221, 245  
 Brooks, M. L. 434  
 Bgoverman, D. M. 122  
 Brown, C. G. 327  
 Brown, C. M. 141, 434  
 Brown, D. G. 75, 434  
 Brown, D. R. 75, 83, 175, 185, 434  
 Brown, F. G. 418  
 Brown, G. I. 35, 385, 391  
 Brown, J. A. 489  
 Brown, J. S. 241  
 Brown, L. B. 435  
 Brown, M. 489  
 Brown, N. C. 176, 215  
 Brown, O. H. 55, 317  
 Brown, R. D. 12, 13, 20, 176, 298  
 Brown, W. F. 176, 498, 501  
 Brownfain, J. J. 75, 141  
 Broxton, J. 141, 176, 290, 298  
 Brubacher, J. S. 489  
 Brumkan, R. J. 263, 498  
 Brunelle, E. A. 54  
 Bruner, J. S. 35, 316  
 Brunson, M. A. 20  
 Brush, A. L. 109  
 Bruyn, H. B. 109  
 Bryan, J. F. 232, 241  
 Bryan, J. G. 281  
 Bucher, C. A. 365  
 Buckhout, R. 435  
 Buckles, D. E. 195  
 Buckner, D. R. 176  
 Budner, S. 75  
 Buel, W. D. 35  
 Bugelski, R. 20, 435  
 Burchinal, L. G. 215  
 Burgess, M. 36  
 Burnham, P. S. 263  
 Burke, R. J. 50, 186  
 Burke, R. L. 141, 298  
 Burke, W. W. 327  
 Burkhardt, R. W. 173, 435  
 Burkhardt, R. C. 36, 75, 392  
 Burkheimer, G. J. 345  
 Burnett, C. W. 176, 182  
 Burns, H. W. 38  
 Burnstein, E. 215, 263, 318  
 Buros, O. K. 75  
 Burt, R. B. 508  
 Burton, M. V. 75, 141  
 Burton, W. H. 36  
 Burt, H. E. 328  
 Bushnell, J. 20, 435  
 Butcher, H. J. 36, 41, 44, 388, 393  
 Butler, A. C. 435, 489  
 Butt, S. D. 75, 435  
 Butts, D. P. 36  
 Butterfield, E. C. 241, 435  
 Butterworth, C. E. 219  
 Buxton, C. E. 241  
 Byrne, D. 75, 130, 241, 298, 299, 300, 303, 318, 346, 444  
 Byrne, J. T. 176  
 Bystryn, D. 75, 434
- D
- C
- Caffrey, B. 339, 346, 435  
 Cahn, M. M. 489  
 Calabro, H. 176  
 Caldwell, E. 75  
 Callis, R. 109  
 Calogeras, R. C. 409  
 Calvin, A. D. 109  
 Cameron, N. 76  
 Cameron, W. B. 20  
 Campagna, D. 21, 67, 76, 300, 380, 410  
 Campbell, A. 76, 435, 479, 504

Campbell, D. F.	14, 20, 435	Cartwright, R. D.	141, 176
Campbell, D. P.	109, 141, 215, 263, 366, 477, 479	Case, H. M.	264
Campbell, D. T.	346, 459	Casey, T. J.	489
Campbell, E. O.	213, 215, 300	Cash, W. L.	109, 300
Campbell, J. P.	141, 300	Cashdan, S.	36, 392
Campbell, T. C.	436	Cassell, W. A.	109, 124
Canavan, D.	244	Casserly, P. L.	176, 504
Canner, R. E.	176, 241, 436	Cassetta, R. K.	120
Canning, R. R.	436	Catron, D. W.	109, 141
Cantey, E.	436	Cattell, R. B.	36, 39, 76, 242, 327, 388, 392, 393, 436
Cantril, H.	153, 467, 471	Catton, W. R.	346
Capel, W. C.	346, 435	Cautela, J. R.	436
Caplovitz, D.	108	Cauthen, N. R.	84, 453
Capretta, P. J.	21, 437	Cebh, E. J.	179
Cardwell, J. D.	410, 436	Centers, R.	86, 346, 350
Carkhuff, R. R.	107, 109, 117, 140, 220, 264, 289, 297, 300, 472	Centi, P.	242, 262, 264
Carlin, L. O.	264	Centra, J. A.	21, 36, 177, 300
Carling, F.	436	Chambers, J. A.	36, 37, 386, 392
Carlsmith, J. M.	239, 295	Chambers, J. L.	76, 98, 109, 193
Carlsmith, L.	36	Chapman, D. W.	216
Carlson, E. R.	348, 436	Chapman, G. O.	366
Carlson, J. A.	32	Chase, C. I.	177
Carlson, J. S.	36	Chesin, S. E.	436
Carlson, R.	141	Chevrette, J.	366
Carlsson, G.	380, 410	Chiang, H.	76, 110
Carman, L. R.	107, 109	Chickering, A. W.	21, 37, 65, 66, 67, 69, 76, 110, 142, 300, 380, 410, 436, 437
Carman, R. S.	221, 306	Chickering, S. B.	489
Carnes, E. F.	284	Child, I. L.	77, 346, 372, 380, 392
Carnes, G. D.	109, 264	Chilton, S.	177
Caro, F. G.	215, 264	Chodorkoff, B.	110
Caron, A. J.	36, 242	Christal, R. E.	332
Caron, R. F.	242	Christensen, P. R.	37, 47, 61, 214
Carpenter, B.	36	Christenson, R. M.	437
Carpenter, F.	176	Christie, R.	77
Carpenter, M.	436	Christie, T.	41
Carpenter, S. T.	36	Chu, G. C.	437
Carper, J. W.	262	Cirese, S.	21
Carson, G. L.	320, 327	Clark, A. C.	217, 266
Carson, R. C.	76	Clark, E. R.	21, 216, 300, 437
Carr, L.	435, 436, 451, 489, 491, 494		
Carter, H. D.	182		
Carter, H. J.	498		
Carter, L. F.	327		
Cartwright, D.	240		
Cartwright, D. S.	109		

- Clark, D. L. 410  
 Clark, E. L. 242  
 Clark, E. T. 110, 437  
 Clark, J. H. 77, 264  
 Clark, J. R. 219  
 Clark, J. V. 110  
 Clark, K. B. 504  
 Clark, K. E. 177  
 Clark, R. A. 242  
 Clark, W. H. 37  
 Clark, W. V. 87  
 Clarke, M. 284  
 Clarke, W. V. 134, 149, 310  
 Clausen, J. A. 4  
 Clay, M. 351  
 Clayton, R. R. 410  
 Cleland, C. C. 467  
 Clements, B. E. 177  
 Cleveland, S. E. 144  
 Cline, V. B. 37, 55, 59, 486  
  
 Clore, G. L., Jr. 241, 299, 356  
 Coates, C. H. 326  
 Cobb, K. 327  
 Cobb, S. 221, 245  
 Cockburn, A. 437, 489  
 Coelho, G. U. 21, 37, 77, 110, 142, 158, 177, 300, 346, 437  
  
 Cofer, C. N. 242, 250  
 Coffman, T. L. 341, 349, 451  
 Coffman, W. E. 37, 177, 498  
 Cohen, A. 141, 216, 278, 301, 433  
  
 Cohen, A. R. 301, 437  
 Cohen, A. Y. 194  
 Cohen, E. G. 216  
 Cohen, H. A. 41, 114, 393, 410  
  
 Cohen, J. B. 142  
 Cohen, J. W. 21, 37, 410, 479, 504  
  
 Cohen, M. 489  
 Cohen, S. M. 177, 437  
 Cohn, T. S. 327  
 Coker, R. E., Jr. 262, 264  
 Cole, C. C., Jr. 264  
 Cole, C. W. 160, 177  
 Cole, D. L. 437  
 Cole, S. 95, 346, 347  
  
 Coleman, C. 216  
 Coleman, J. C. 301  
 Coleman, J. S. 216, 223, 247  
 Coleman, R. 37  
 Coles, R. 489  
 Colgrove, M. A. 37  
 Collier, B. N. 110  
 Collins, B. 447, 453  
 Collins, M. 177  
 Collister, E. G. 73, 261  
 Combs, A. W. 144  
 Commins, W. D. 292, 312  
 Comrey, A. L. 77, 83, 421, 438  
  
 Conners, C. K. 301  
 Connor, W. H. 409  
 Constantinople, A. 67, 77, 161, 177  
  
 Cook, D. L. 77, 438  
 Cook, J. B. 177  
 Cook, M. O. 178, 264, 438  
 Cook, P. 77  
 Cook, S. W. 346, 467  
 Cook, T. D. 438  
 Cooke, J. K. 110  
 Cooke, M. K. 110  
 Cooley, G. R. 183  
 Cooley, W. W. 264, 272  
 Coombs, R. H. 142, 178, 291, 301  
  
 Cooper, J. 151, 347, 456  
 Coopersmith, S. 142  
 Cope, C. S. 77, 100, 110  
 Cope, R. G. 216  
 Coppedge, F. L. 265  
 Cordaro, L. L. 216  
 Corey, S. M. 317, 438  
 Corfield, V. K. 216, 438  
 Corman, B. R. 37  
 Corrozi, J. F. 438  
 Cortes, J. B. 233, 242  
 Cosentino, J. E. 142  
 Cosgrove, D. J. 178  
 Coster, J. K. 480  
 Cottle, L. D. 111  
 Cottle, T. J. 77, 111, 438  
 Cottle, W. C. 77  
 Cottrell, L. S. 144, 303  
 Couch, A. 77  
 Couch, A. S. 431  
 Couch, C. J. 111, 142

Coules, J.	316		
Coulson, M. A.	265		
Couper, M. E.	226		
Covert, J. T.	472, 496		
Cowan, J. L.	475, 496, 509		
Cowen, E. L.	142		
Cox, D. F.	438		
Cox, E.	476		
Cox, R. D.	111		
Craise, J. L.	24, 471, 508		
Cramer, S. H.	279		
Crandall, J. E.	301, 504		
Crane, D.	480		
Crannell, C. W.	178		
Crano, W. D.	434		
Crary, W. G.	142		
Crawford, P. L.	178		
Creager, J. A.	178		
Creaser, J. W.	48, 382, 506		
Cregier, D. M.	178		
Crites, J. O.	77, 265, 280		
Crockett, H., Jr.	242		
Crockett, W. H.	226, 309, 310, 311, 312		
Croft, R. G.	438		
Cronbach, L. J.	37, 301, 303		
Cropley, A. J.	21, 32, 38		
Crosby, A.	38		
Cross, H. J.	438		
Cross, K. P.	21, 77, 142, 216, 438		
Crotty, W. J.	410, 439		
Crow, L. D.	111		
Crowder, D. G.	202		
Crowell, A. U.	472		
Crown, S.	347		
Crowne, D. P.	78, 143, 177, 216, 242, 301, 439		
Crutchfield, R. S.	38, 78, 410		
Csikszentmihalyi, M.	393, 444		
Culbert, S. A.	110, 111		
Culbertson, F. M.	347, 439		
Cumming, J. H.	149		
Cummins, E. J.	410, 439		
Cummings, J. H.	121		
Currie, I. D.	21		
Currie, J. D.	265		
Curtis, E. A.	279		
Curtis, R. F.	347		
Cutsumbis, M. N.	143		
			D
		Dabrowski, K.	78
		D'Andrade, R.	249
		Dalton, R. H.	78
		Damarin, F.	52, 78
		Dameron, L. E.	78, 216
		Damm, B. J.	147
		Dana, R. H.	48
		Danesino, A.	242
		Danet, B. N.	111
		Daniels, J. M.	54
		Daniels, L. R.	409
		Danskin, D. G.	171, 187
		Dansereau, R. A.	242
		Darby, M. D.	184
		Darley, J. M.	38, 78, 347
		Darling, C. D.	97, 108, 200
		Das, J. P.	439
		Datta, L.	38, 53
		Daugherty, R. A.	327
		Dauw, D. C.	38, 265
		Davenport, K. S.	197
		David, H. P.	78
		Davidoff, M. D.	347
		Davidson, O.	193, 199, 314, 351, 460, 467
		Davie, J. S.	111, 178, 198
		Davies, V.	178
		Davis, F. J.	178, 216, 265, 411, 439
		Davis, G. A.	38, 60
		Davis, J. A.	14, 21, 178, 198, 217, 265
		Davis, J. S.	494
		Davis, K. E.	307
		Davis, W. L.	88
		Dawis, R. V.	281
		Dawson, G. G.	439
		Day, C. R.	155, 317
		Day, H. P.	439
		Dean, D. G.	78, 111, 143
		Dean, J.	295
		Deaux, K. A.	439
		Deaux, K. K.	430
		DeBlasie, R.	111
		deBruin, H.	178
		DeFleur, M. L.	272, 356, 473
		DeHaan, H.	471
		DeHaan, R. F.	38, 242
		DeJong, G. F.	441

- DeLeon, J. L. 301  
 DeLeon, P. H. 301  
 Dellas, M. 439  
 DeMartino, M. F. 250  
 Dember, W. N. 301  
 DeMille, R. 38  
 Demos, G. D. 161, 178  
 Demos, G. G. 42  
 Denise, J. C. 38  
 Denmark, F. 133, 143  
 Denner, B. 411  
 Dennis, L. E. 178, 489  
 Dennis, W. M. 435  
 Deno, S. L. 248  
 Dent, J. K. 111  
 Dentler, R. A. 25, 38  
 Denzin, N. K. 301  
 Deo, P. 143  
 Derbyshire, R. L. 143, 346, 347  
 Des Soto, C. B. 301  
 Deutsch, M. 38, 143, 179, 217  
 Deutscher, I. 217, 439  
 Devereux, G. 111  
 Diab, L. N. 347  
 Dicken, C. F. 78  
 Diederich, P. B. 265  
 Diehl, T. H. 22  
 Diggory, J. C. 143, 217  
 Dillehay, R. C. 308, 439  
 Dilley, N. E. 439  
 Dinitz, S. 217, 266  
 Dittes, J. E. 301  
 DiVesta, F. J. 301, 302, 327, 439, 440  
 Dixon, C. R. 440  
 Dizney, H. F. 203, 417, 498  
 Dodd, J. M. 340, 347  
 Dodge, J. S. 113, 303  
 Dodge, R. W. 472  
 Dodson, R. E. 392  
 Dolby, L. L. 45  
 Dole, A. A. 143, 217, 265, 366  
 Doleys, E. J. 111, 217  
 Doll, E. A. 302  
 Dollar, R. J. 440  
 Dollard, J. 130  
 Domino, G. 38, 392  
 Donk, L. J. 179, 302  
 Donnelly, T. G. 262  
 Donohue, G. A. 151  
 Donovan, G. L. 360, 366  
 Donovan, T. R. 480  
 Doob, L. W. 346, 440  
 Doppelt, J. E. 34, 391  
 Dornbusch, S. M. 149  
 Doty, B. A. 39, 179  
 Doty, L. A. 39  
 Douvan, E. 217, 242, 243  
 Dowell, L. 366  
 Downie, N. M. 179  
 Doyle, R. E. 265  
 Drabek, T. E. 21, 162, 179, 440  
 Draeger, C. 147  
 Drake, R. M. 327  
 Dressel, P. L. 23, 39, 48, 87, 122, 275, 310, 421, 440, 455  
 Drevdahl, J. E. 39, 392  
 Drews, E. M. 39, 143, 217  
 Dreyer, A. H. 297  
 Dreyer, A. S. 39  
 Drucker, A. J. 179, 411  
 Dua, P. S. 327  
 Dubno, P. 327  
 DuBrin, A. J. 327  
 Duerr, E. C. 179  
 Dugan, R. D. 85  
 Dukes, W. F. 440  
 Duncan, C. B. 111  
 Duncan, O. D. 217  
 Dunham, E. A. 489  
 Dunn, R. E. 93, 154, 266  
 Dunnette, M. D. 141, 266, 300, 302, 480, 482  
 Duns, D. F. 81  
 Dupee, R. K. 365  
 Durflinger, G. W. 268  
 Duster, T. 489  
 Dustin, D. S. 429, 476  
 Dutton, E. 21, 112  
 Dutton, W. H. 440  
 Duvall, W. H. 179  
 Dvorak, E. J. 78, 112  
 Dworkin, A. G. 347  
 Dyer, D. 78, 112  
 Dynes, R. R. 217, 266



- Feldmesser, R. A. 126, 198  
 Fellows, E. W. 113  
 Fennimore, F. 144, 498  
 Fenton, J. H. 490  
 Ferguson, L. W. 141, 411, 434, 442  
 Ferguson, M. A. 180  
 Ferman, L. A. 442  
 Ferris, B. G., Jr. 123  
 Ferson, J. E. 349  
 Fess, F. E. 367  
 Festinger, L. 218, 429, 442  
 Fey, W. F. 115  
 Fichter, J. H. 218, 267  
 Fichtenbaum, L. 130  
 Fiedler, F. E. 113, 302, 303, 325, 328  
 Field, T. W. 21, 38  
 Fillenbaum, S. 40  
 Fink, C. F. 201  
 Finney, J. C. 79  
 Fischer, A. 267  
 Fischer, R. F. 180  
 Fishbein, M. 50, 347, 442  
 Fisher, M. B. 40, 80, 113, 144, 180, 267, 303, 442  
 Fisher, S. 144, 243  
 Fishman, J. A. 442  
 Fishman, J. R. 413, 442, 469, 490, 495  
 Fisichelli, V. R. 392  
 Fiske, D. W. 109  
 Fitzgerald, D. 33  
 Fitzpatrick, R. 208, 219  
 Flacks, R. 442, 490  
 Flaherty, S. M. 95, 328  
 Flanagan, J. C. 218  
 Flanagan, M. L. 40  
 Fleischman, E. A. 122, 328  
 Fletcher, J. L. 175  
 Flinn, J. H. 113  
 Flint, A. W. 334  
 Florestano, T. E. 329  
 Flowerman, S. 353  
 Floyd, W. A. 40, 80  
 Foa, U. G. 504  
 Fogel, W. 480  
 Folger, J. 479  
 Folsom, C. H. 255, 267  
 Fong, S. M. 80, 113, 180  
 Foote, N. N. 144, 303  
 Footlick, J. K. 442, 490  
 Ford, J. 267  
 Ford, L. H. 468  
 Fordyce, H. R. 473  
 Foreman, M. E. 99, 113  
 Formica, R. 319  
 Forward, J. 243, 252  
 Foster, R. 369  
 Fourment, C. 309  
 Fowler, R. D. 114  
 Fox, A. M. 328  
 Fox, D. E. 181  
 Fraas, L. A. 329  
 Frandsen, A. M. 40, 331  
 Frank, A. 181  
 Frank, G. H. 145, 445  
 Frank, J. D. 218  
 Franklin, S. S. 313  
 Frantz, T. T. 186, 366, 413  
 Frederick, R. W. 366, 442, 504  
 Fredericks, M. A. 442, 443  
 Fredericksen, N. 80, 181  
 Freeberg, N. E. 40, 267  
 Freedman, A. S. 181  
 Freedman, D. X. 114  
 Freedman, J. L. 443  
 Freedman, M. B. 41, 74, 80, 90, 94, 114, 181, 243, 380, 412, 443, 474, 477, 480, 504  
 Freehill, M. F. 181, 405, 412  
 Freeman, J. 41  
 Freeman, R. S. 412  
 French, E. G. 243  
 French, G. M. 201  
 French, J. W. 181, 267  
 French, R. L. 326  
 French, W. L. 267  
 Freking, K. 443  
 Fretz, B. R. 243, 498  
 Frick, J. W. 41, 80  
 Frick, W. B. 116  
 Fried, E. 114, 387, 392  
 Friedenber, E. Z. 141, 181, 267  
 Friedman, S. T. 303, 348  
 Fritzky, F. J. 52  
 Fromhart, M. U. 98, 112  
 Frost, L. J. 311

Frumkin, R. M. 80, 335, 373,  
380  
Frutcher, B. 328, 353  
Frye, M. 131  
Fulghum, M. K. 98, 112  
Funkenstein, D. H. 114  
Furr, R. 331

## G

Gadzella, B. M. 181  
Gaff, J. G. 182  
Gage, G. 499  
Gage, N. L. 182, 303  
Gagnoh, J. H. 315  
Gaier, E. L. 418, 439  
Gale, R. F. 182  
Gales, K. E. 490  
Galinsky, M. D. 267  
Gallagher, B. G. 30, 144, 443,  
490, 504  
Gallo, P. S. 329  
Gallup, H. F. 40, 41, 51  
Gallwey, M. 298, 434  
Gamble, G. W. 182, 267  
Gamson, Z. F. 182, 303  
Ganzhorn, B. 114, 329  
Garbutt, J. T. 245  
Gardner, D. 182  
Gardner, R. W. 41  
Garfield, R. L. 296  
Garfield, S. J. 41, 114, 393,  
412  
Garneski, T. M. 182  
Garrison, J. 346, 435  
Garrison, K. C. 114, 303, 339,  
340, 348, 443  
Garverick, C. M. 182  
Garwood, D. S. 26, 41  
Gates, M. 182  
Gatti, F. M. 233, 242  
Gayles, A. R. 182  
Geer, B. 20, 173, 432  
Gehman, I. H. 268  
Gehman, W. S. 151, 268  
Geiwitz, P. J. 81  
Gelineau, V. A. 412, 443, 490  
Gelso, C. J. 182  
George, P. M. 268, 443  
Gerard, H. B. 217, 218, 303,  
443

Gerberich, J. R. 444  
Gergen, K. J. 309  
Gerson, W. M. 303  
Gesser, B. 380, 410  
Getzels, J. W. 22, 41, 117,  
182, 187, 393,  
444  
Gezi, K. I. 182  
Gibb, C. A. 183, 329  
Gibb, J. R. 183  
Gibb, L. L. 144, 207, 218  
Gibb, L. M. 183  
Gibbard, G. S. 309, 333  
Giblette, J. F. 329  
Gibson, J. W. 42  
Gilbert, A. R. 81  
Gilbert, G. M. 348  
Gilbert, J. 268  
Gilbreath, S. H. 144  
Gilliland, A. R. 444  
Gilliard, B. J. 244  
Gilman, R. C. 444  
Gilmore, J. B. 450  
Gilmore, S. 493  
Ginsburg, G. P. 42  
Ginzberg, E. 268, 444, 490,  
504  
Giovacchini, P. L. 114  
Gist, N. P. 214, 218, 262  
Givens, P. R. 42  
Gladstein, G. A. 498  
Glanz, E. C. 183, 505  
Glaser, B. G. 303, 481  
Glass, D. C. 244, 444  
Glazer, N. 490  
Glazer, P. M. 444, 490  
Gleason, G. T. 42, 490  
Glenn, N. D. 218  
Glennon, J. R. 35, 478  
Glick, P. 268, 481  
Glock, M. D. 497  
Glover, B. V. 114  
Godwin, L. R. 180  
Goffman, E. 144, 303  
Golann, S. E. 42, 393  
Golburgh, S. J. 183, 505  
Golden, J. 114  
Golden, M. P. 183  
Goldberg, G. N. 307  
Goldberg, J. 75  
Goldberg, L. R. 481

- Goldman, M. 54, 329  
 Golds, J. 24, 59, 229, 259, 276, 418, 471  
 Goldschmid, M. L. 268  
 Goldsen, R. K. 183, 218, 412, 444  
 Goldstein, H. 42, 81  
 Goldstein, I. 423, 444  
 Goldstein, J. W. 303, 431  
 Goldstein, M. J. 444  
 Golightly, C. 303, 444  
 Goode, D. R. 180  
 Goodehough, D. R. 61  
 Goodman, P. 183, 490  
 Goodnow, J. J. 35  
 Goodrich, H. B. 47, 222, 272, 482  
 Goodrich, T. A. 183  
 Goodstein, L. D. 81, 114, 173  
 Gordon, A. 88, 150, 461  
 Gordon, I. J. 144  
 Gordon, J. H. 444  
 Gordon, K. K. 114, 189, 246, 456  
 Gordon, L. V. 329  
 Gordon, R. F. 114, 189, 246, 456  
 Gore, P. M. 444, 490  
 Gorfein, D. S. 444  
 Gorlov, L. 114, 365, 431  
 Gorman, B. S. 42, 304  
 Goslin, D. A. 144, 304  
 Gottheil, E. 72, 94, 183, 218, 364, 370, 415, 22, 183, 218, 268, 412, 424, 444, 445  
 Gottlieb, D. 15, 22, 183, 218, 268, 412, 424, 444, 445  
 Gottsdanker, J. S. 15, 22, 880  
 Goudy, W. J. 171, 261  
 Gough, H. A. 405, 412  
 Gough, H. G. 268, 304, 321, 329, 348, 412  
 Gould, L. J. 115, 119, 144, 147  
 Gouldner, A. W. 329  
 Gowan, J. C. 42  
 Gowin, D. B. 183  
 Graff, R. W. 183  
 Grafton, C. L. 445  
 Grafton, T. H. 348  
 Graham, E. K. 491  
 Graham, J. F. 144, 183  
 Graham, W. R. 42, 366  
 Grande, P. P. 184, 235, 244  
 Granger, C. W. 380  
 Grant, J. D. 93, 315, 491  
 Grant, M. O. 93, 315  
 Grafer, H. 150, 224  
 Graves, G. O. 42, 184  
 Gray, S. W. 145  
 Grazda, G. M. 115  
 Grebstein, L. C. 81, 115, 145  
 Greeley, A. M. 22, 42, 184, 218, 219, 230, 268, 283, 412, 445, 481, 505  
 Green, B. I. 147  
 Green, H. B. 134, 147, 245  
 Green, L. B. 269  
 Green, S. 378, 381  
 Greenbaum, C. W. 42, 303, 445  
 Greenbaum, J. J. 23, 47, 222, 482  
 Greenberg, B. G. 264  
 Greenberg, G. U. 145, 445  
 Greenberg, H. 219  
 Greenberger, E. 239  
 Greene, R. L. 219  
 Greenfield, N. S. 115  
 Greenleaf, E. A. 304  
 Greenwald, A. G. 434, 445  
 Gregory, C. L. 184  
 Gregory, I. 115  
 Gribbons, W. D. 269  
 Griffin, S. 226  
 Griffith, J. C. 95, 212, 230, 252  
 Griffith, W. B. 299, 304, 346  
 Grigg, A. E. 115, 269  
 Grigg, C. M. 219, 224  
 Grinder, R. E. 304  
 Grinker, R. R., Jr. 115  
 Grinker, R. R., Sr. 115  
 Grinols, D. R. 269  
 Grinstead, M. J. 184  
 Grofe, J. 115  
 Gropper, G. L. 208, 219  
 Gross, P. G. 388, 393  
 Grossack, M. M. 81, 145  
 Grossman, P. H. 221, 306  
 Gruber, H. E. 42, 184

Gruen, W.	145
Grygier, T.	115, 184, 304
Guetzkow, H.	184, 445
Guilford, J. P.	37, 43, 47, 61, 214, 393
Günderson, E. E.	115
Gupta, G. C.	143
Gurin, G.	251, 472
Gurin, P.	219, 244, 255, 269
Gust, T.	269
Gustad, J. W.	304, 446
Guthrie, D.	184
Guthrie, G. M.	216
Guthrie, J. T.	43
Gutman, H.	43, 393
Guttentag, M.	133, 143
Gysbers, N. C.	269

## H

Haaland, G. A.	446
Haan, N.	412, 432, 488
Häbenstein, R. W.	270
Haber, R. N.	244
Hadden, J. K.	446
Hadley, R. G.	269
Haefele, J. W.	43
Hafenstein, R. W.	447
Hagen, D.	269
Haggard, E. A.	43, 81, 304
Hagstrom, W. O.	304, 354, 467
Haigh, G. U.	184
Haiman, F. S.	81
Haire, M.	332
Hajda, J.	22, 115
Hale, D.	489
Hall, C. L.	145
Hall, C. S.	81
Hall, E. E.	190
Hall, R. L.	366, 374, 381, 499
Hall, W. B.	43
Halleck, S. L.	115, 184, 446, 491
Haller, A. O.	217, 219, 224, 226, 269, 278
Hallman, R. J.	43
Halloran, J. D.	412, 446
Halperin, S.	269
Halpern, G.	22

Halpern, W. I.	108
Hamachek, D. E.	81, 115, 145
Hamburg, D. A.	21, 37, 77, 110, 142, 158, 177, 300
Hamerlynck, L. A.	414
Hamid, P. N.	304
Hamilton, M. L.	75
Hamilton, R. J.	329
Hamlin, R. L.	317
Hamlin, R. M.	116
Hammer, E. F.	393
Hammond, M.	269, 446
Hanawalt, N. G.	329, 334
Haner, C. F.	190
Haney, R. E.	446
Hanlon, T. E.	116
Hanna, N.	415
Hanoch, G. H.	481
Hansell, N.	92, 127, 469
Hansen, J. G.	183
Hansen, W. L.	481, 505
Hanson, D. J.	81
Harari, H.	366
Harding, H.	54
Harding, J.	298, 348, 434
Hardt, R. H.	221, 245
Hardy, K. R.	446
Hardyck, C.	51
Hare, A. F.	178, 366
Hare, N. P.	446
Härkless, R.	84, 350
Harlow, H. F.	43
Harmin, M.	463
Harp, J.	184, 412
Harper, R. J.	43, 244
Harrell, S. N.	244
Harren, V. A.	269
Harris, B. M.	43
Harris, D.	44, 381
Harris, E. E.	219, 244, 446
Harris, E. F.	328
Harris, M. M.	87
Harris, T. L.	184
Harrop, A.	412
Harrison, A. A.	304, 446
Hart, H. H.	44
Hartman, B. J.	184
Hartley, E. L.	375, 381, 393
Hartman, J. J.	309, 333
Hartmann, H.	116

- Hartnett, R. T. 85, 342, 350,  
446, 455
- Harvey, O. J. 44, 81, 244,  
321, 446, 447,  
449, 476
- Harville, D. L. 329
- Hasan, P. 44
- Hassenger, R. 44, 81, 305,  
447
- Hatch, S. J. 305, 366
- Hathaway, J. S. 116
- Hathaway, S. R. 219, 413
- Havelka, J. 393
- Havemand, E. 184, 447, 481
- Haven, G. A. 44, 393
- Havens, A. E. 313
- Havens, J. 447
- Havice, C. W. 413, 447
- Havighurst, R. J. 38, 64, 220,  
242, 416, 505
- Hawkins, G. 305, 348
- Hayes, D. D. 447
- Hayes, R. B. 185
- Hays, L. D. 84
- Haythorn, W. W. 295, 330
- Haywood, C. R. 185
- Heard, A. 185
- Heard, W. G. 469
- Hearn, H. L. 270, 447
- Heath, B. G. 275
- Heath, D. H. 44, 81, 116,  
145, 305, 447
- Heath, R. 82
- Heath, S. R. 82
- Hecker, D. L. 185
- Heckhausen, H. 244
- Hedegard, J. M. 185
- Heidenreich, C. A. 82, 116
- Heider, F. 305
- Heilbrun, A. B., Jr. 82, 116, 142,  
145, 185, 235,  
244, 256, 270,  
305
- Heimann, R. A. 31, 61, 182
- Heinze, S. J. 57
- Heiss, A. M. 185
- Heist, P. 16, 22, 24, 44,  
94, 185, 245,  
381, 382, 393,  
447, 459, 473,  
474, 493
- Helfrich, M. 463
- Heller, M. L. 220
- Helmreich, R. 447
- Helper, M. M. 116
- Helson, H. 448
- Helson, R. 44, 45, 388,  
393, 394
- Hemming, J. 413
- Hemphill, J. K. 330, 334
- Hendrick, C. 305, 348
- Hendrix, V. L. 185
- Hendry, C. E. 335
- Hendry, C. H. 121
- Henkel, E. T. 45
- Herma, J. L. 268
- Hermann, M. G. 32
- Herriott, R. E. 208, 220
- Hershenson, D. B. 133, 145, 270,  
448
- Hershey, G. L. 185
- Herzberg, F. 116
- Herzog, S. J. 366, 413, 491
- Heston, J. C. 45, 116, 448
- Hetherington, M. 296
- Hewer, V. H. 220, 270
- Hewitt, D. 145
- Higgins, J. 45
- Higgins, N. 499
- Hildebrand, H. P. 82
- Hiler, W. 192
- Hilgard, J. R. 116, 305
- Hill, A. H. 236, 245, 394
- Hill, H. 137, 154, 355
- Hill, R. E., Jr. 268
- Hill, R. J. 185, 305, 367,  
413, 448
- Hill, W. 330
- Hillman, K. G. 448
- Hillmer, M. L., Jr. 93, 154
- Hills, D. A. 145
- Hillson, J. S. 116
- Hilton, T. L. 270, 448
- Hiltunen, W. A. 163, 185
- Himelhoch, J. 348
- Himes, J. S. 430
- Himmelstein, P. 116
- Hind, R. R. 220, 256, 270
- Hinton, B. L. 45
- Hites, R. W. 400, 424, 448
- Hitt, W. D. 45
- Hjelle, L. A. 220, 305, 505

Hobart, C. W.	505	Horowitz, H.	46, 117, 306
Hoblit, R. E.	297	Horowitz, M. J.	117
Hodge, P.	270	Horrall, B. M.	100, 117
Hodge, R. W.	270	Horrobin, P.	457, 493
Hodges, H. M.	330	Horst, P.	82
Hodgkins, B. J.	145, 218, 424, 445	Hoselitz, B. F.	505
Hodgman, C. H.	448	Hosford, R. E.	82, 146, 186
Hodinko, B. A.	413	Hosinski, M.	276
Hodnett, E.	381	Hoss, M. A.	177
Hoffman, B. B.	186	Hoult, T. F.	306
Hoffman, L. R.	186, 305	Hountras, P. T.	146, 174, 221, 448
Hoffman, M. L.	82	Houston, J. P.	34, 46, 372, 380, 391
Hoffmann, R. W.	186	Houthakker, H. S.	481
Hofman, J. E.	348, 448	Hovland, C. I.	449
Hofstadter, R.	12, 22, 448	Howard, V.	272, 449
Hofstaetter, P. R.	116, 341, 438	Hoyt, D. P.	46, 82, 287, 319, 336, 449, 481, 483
Hogg, J.	381, 394	Hoyt, K. B.	186, 272
Hokanson, J. E.	36, 61, 245	Huang, S. C.	468
Holder, J. R.	40	Hubbell, R. N.	186, 413
Holder, T.	117, 220	Hudelson, E.	186
Holland, J. B.	186	Hughes, E. C.	20, 173
Holland, J. L.	27, 45, 46, 58, 117, 137, 153, 172, 220, 225, 257, 270, 271, 305, 330, 333, 334, 360, 362, 367, 368, 369, 394, 396, 398, 468, 481	Hughes, H. K.	46
Hollander, E. P.	82, 305, 330, 331, 448	Hullfish, H. G.	18, 46
Hollander, M. A.	271	Humphrey, N. D.	173
Hollenbeck, G. P.	117, 145	Hunt, C. L.	112
Hollender, J. W.	220, 271	Hunt, D. E.	44, 220, 245
Holmes, J.	499	Hunt, E. L.	46, 449
Holt, R. R.	54, 220, 245	Hunt, M. F.	82, 348, 449
Holtzman, W. H.	109, 348, 349, 356, 429, 476	Hunt, R. A.	272
Holzberg, J. D.	399, 406, 414	Hunt, S. J.	481
Holzner, B.	491	Hunter, E. C.	331, 449
Honigmann, J. J.	82	Hunter, G. T.	117
Hood, A. B.	82, 214, 220, 263, 499	Huntley, C. W.	424, 449
Hood, W. R.	461	Hurlbut, Z. D.	46
Hook, S.	491	Hurley, J. R.	117
Hong, S. C.	346	Hutchins, E. B.	113, 303
Hoppock, R.	272	Hyman, H. H.	349
Hopwood, K. L.	448	Hyman, R.	46, 394
Horn, J.	242, 291, 436	Ianowitz, M.	345
		Ichikawa, A.	117, 186
		Ihnen, L. A.	480
		Im, I. J.	174
		Ingersoll, R. W.	42, 184
		Inkeles, A.	82

- Insel, S. A. 146  
 Insko, C. A. 438, 439, 449, 450  
 Irwin, M. 221  
 Isaacs, A. F. 394  
 Isaacson, R. L. 164, 186, 221, 272  
 Ismail, A. H. 129  
 Israel, J. 146, 221, 450  
 Israeli, N. 46, 381, 394  
 Itkin, W. 450  
 Ivey, A. E. 22, 160, 177, 187, 450  
 Iwao, S. 77, 380  
 Izard, C. 58, 83, 93, 306, 471
- Jackman, A. 40  
 Jackson, D. N. 41, 306, 349  
 Jackson, E. F. 347  
 Jackson, J. 313  
 Jackson, P. W. 22, 41, 46, 117, 182, 187, 393, 394  
 Jackson, R. 83, 306, 450  
 Jacob, P. E. 399, 450  
 Jacobs, J. 128  
 Jacobs, P. 491, 499  
 Jacobsen, T. E. 46  
 Jaffe, A. J. 221, 505  
 Jaffee, C. L. 322, 331  
 Jahoda, M. 83, 117  
 James, N. E. 187, 376, 381, 450  
 Jamison, K. 83  
 Janjuar, K. K. 499  
 Janda, K. F. 331  
 Janes, R. W. 187  
 Janis, I. L. 117, 449, 450, 453, 505  
 Jansen, D. G. 322, 331, 336, 491, 496, 499  
 Janzen, J. C. 50  
 Jecker, J. 249  
 Jeliak, J. J. 146  
 Jellison, J. M. 306, 349, 459  
 Jencks, C. 24, 481, 505  
 Jenkins, J. R. 248  
 Jennings, H. H. 306, 331
- Jensen, A. R. 38  
 Jensen, J. A. 352, 462  
 Jensen, L. C. 451  
 Jensen, S. F. 278  
 Jervis, F. M. 146  
 Jesseph, J. R. 187  
 Jessor, R. 221, 306, 413  
 Jochem, J. 178  
 Joesting, J. 46  
 Joesting, R. 46  
 Johansson, C. B. 283, 479  
 John, E. R. 47  
 Johnson, C. S. 221, 505  
 Johnson, D. M. 187, 451  
 Johnson, G. B. 499  
 Johnson, H. H. 83, 92, 451  
 Johnson, J. A. 189  
 Johnson, O. 381  
 Johnson, P. B. 251, 380  
 Johnson, R. E. 413  
 Johnson, R. J. 331  
 Johnston, J. A. 269, 498  
 Jonassen, C. T. 451  
 Jones, A. J. 331  
 Jones, E. E. 456  
 Jones, F. E. 47, 306  
 Jones, F. R. 114, 303, 443  
 Jones, T. U. 83, 451  
 Jones, M. B. 175  
 Jones, M. T. 284  
 Jones, G. 22, 187  
 Jones, R. L. 146, 306  
 Jones, S. C. 349, 451  
 Jones, W. R. 187  
 Jordan, A. M. 331  
 Jorve, W. 418  
 Jourard, S. M. 117, 118, 146, 306, 307  
 Julian, J. W. 330, 331  
 Julius, M. 50
- K.
- Kafka, E. 83  
 Kagan, J. 83, 249  
 Kagan, N. 221, 451  
 Kahl, J. A. 221, 238, 245, 461  
 Kahoe, R. 221, 272  
 Kaiser, H. E. 413  
 Kalikow, D. W. 451, 491

Kalish, R. A.	326, 331, 367	Kelley, H. H.	316, 449, 452
Kamenetzky, J.	471	Kellner, H.	47
Kammann, R. A.	499	Kelly, E. L.	184, 445, 481
Kammeyer, K. W.	297, 365, 433, 505	Kelly, J.	332, 349
Kanfer, F. H.	451	Kelly, R.	143
Kanter, S. A.	83	Kelman, H. C.	139, 430, 452
Kantor, D.	412, 443, 490	Kelvin, R. P.	118
Kanungo, R.	307, 331	Kemp, C. G.	272
Kaplan, H.	451	Kendall, P. L.	275, 458
Kaplan, M.	47, 118, 394	Kendon, A.	295
Kaplan, S.	187	Keniston, K.	77, 118, 146, 189, 452, 491, 492
Karang, C.	389, 395	Kennedy, C. E., Jr.	171, 187
Karlins, M.	47, 341, 349, 395, 451	Kennedy, G.	413
Karp, S. A.	61	Kenny, D. T.	118, 146
Karpoff, P.	486	Kerckhoff, A. C.	307
Kassarjian, W. M.	413	Kerkman, D.	107
Kasdon, L. M.	499	Kerlinger, F. N.	453
Kast, S. V.	221, 245	Kerpelman, L. C.	453, 492
Kassof, A.	349	Kerr, W. A.	453, 486
Katkovsky, M.	114	Kerrick, J. S.	453
Katona, G.	451	Kersh, B. Y.	245
Katope, C. G.	452, 491	Kessen, W.	396
Katz, D.	154, 219, 244, 349, 353, 355, 452, 466, 470	Ketterling, M. E.	83
Katz, E.	452	Kettner, N. W.	47
Katz, F. M.	245	Kibler, R. J.	42
Katz, H. A.	307, 452	Kidd, J. W.	188, 307, 332
Katz, I.	38, 349	Kiefert, J. J.	184
Katz, J.	83, 118, 272, 452, 491	Kiersch, T. A.	118
Katz, M. M.	121	Kiesler, C. A.	83, 307, 332
Katzell, M. E.	187	Kiesler, D. J.	110
Kauffman, J. F.	178, 489	Kiesler, S. B.	83, 453
Kaufmann, H.	146	Kilpatrick, D. G.	84, 413, 453
Kaufman, W. C.	349	Kilpatrick, F. J.	118
Kausler, D. H.	245	Kimball, R. B.	221
Kavolis, V.	394	Kinch, J. W.	146
Kawamura, W.	475	King, B. T.	450, 453
Kawash, G. F.	438	King, D. N.	367
Kaya, E.	453	King, F. W.	118, 188
Kaye, C.	217, 243	King, S. H.	118, 307
Kaye, D.	450	Kingston, A. J.	501
Kazin, M.	491	Kinloch, G. C.	272, 482
Kazmier, L. J.	187	Kinnane, M.	222
Kearney, N. C.	452	Kinnick, B. C.	339, 349
Kell, B. L.	171	Kinnison, W. A.	492
Kell, L.	139, 429	Kipinski, B. G.	120
Keller, R. J.	177	Kipnis, D.	84, 118, 146, 188, 258, 272, 307, 332, 406, 414

- Kirchner, J. H. 367  
 Kirchner, W. K. 482  
 Kirk, B. A. 122  
 Kirkhart, R. O. 332  
 Kirkpatrick, C. 272  
 Kirschnër, P. 450  
 Kirscht, J. P. 332  
 Kirtley, D. 84, 349, 350  
 Kirtner, W. L. 109  
 Kissef, S. 118, 188  
 Kissiah, H. C. 439  
 Kitsay, P. M. 453  
 Klaus, R. 145  
 Klausner, S. Z. 146  
 Klein, E. B. 119, 147, 350, 453  
 Klein, P. 47  
 Klein, S. P. 47, 56, 376, 377, 381, 390, 394, 397, 398  
 Kleiner, R. J. 307  
 Kleinmuntz, B. 47, 84, 119, 188  
 Klinger, E. 245  
 Klinger, M. B. 414  
 Klopf, G. 332, 367  
 Klopfer, L. E. 272  
 Klores, M. S. 413  
 Knaffle, J. D. 499  
 Knäpp, R. H. 23, 47, 134, 147, 222, 227, 245, 272, 378, 381, 382, 399, 406, 414, 453, 482  
 Knapp, R. R. 451  
 Knecht, S. 47  
 Kneller, G. F. 188  
 Knoell, D. M. 188  
 Knop, E. 188, 222  
 Knowlton, J. O. 414  
 Knox, D. H. 453  
 Koch, M. B. 499  
 Koelsche, C. L. 272  
 Koenig, K. 188  
 Kogan, J. 247  
 Kogan, N. 52, 60, 224, 230, 307, 310, 505, 508  
 Kohlberg, L. 414  
 Kohn, M. 119, 120  
 Koile, E. A. 84, 147  
 Kokosh, J. 273  
 Kolb, D. A. 95, 212, 222, 230, 246, 252, 307, 350  
 Korman, A. K. 147, 273  
 Korn, H. A. 23, 47, 84, 492  
 Korn, J. H. 448  
 Korzi, J. R. 119  
 Kosa, J. 147, 264, 453  
 Koulack, D. 426, 462  
 Kraines, R. J. 94, 472  
 Kramer, B. M. 345  
 Kraus, I. 156, 222  
 Krauskopf, C. J. 188, 273  
 Krawitz, R. N. 246  
 Kris, E. 394  
 Krishman, B. 332  
 Kristol, I. 488  
 Kroll, W. 367  
 Kronovet, E. 147, 188, 222, 332  
 Krop, H. 47  
 Krouf, M. H. 84, 492  
 Krueger, C. 414  
 Krulce, G. K. 273  
 Krumboltz, J. D. 90, 185, 226, 332  
 Kube, E. 120  
 Kubje, L. S. 47, 119, 482  
 Kuhlen, R. G. 119, 273, 307, 454  
 Kuhn, J. P. 86  
 Kuhn, M. 147  
 Kuiken, D. 447  
 Kulberg, G. E. 273  
 Kumar, P. 323, 332, 335  
 Kuvelsky, W. P. 222, 224, 273  
 Kysar, J. E. 100, 119, 188
- L
- L'Abate, L. 119  
 LaBenne, W. D. 147  
 LaBue, A. C. 273  
 Lacey, D. W. 94, 259, 283  
 Ladinsky, J. 482  
 LaForge, R. 84  
 LaGaipa, J. J. 454

Lagersfeldt, Knudson, B.	418	LeMay, M. L.	120, 147, 189, 414, 415
Lagey, J. C.	454	Lembo, J. M.	414
Laing, R. D.	147, 308	LeMelle, T. J.	147
Lambert, W. W.	74	LeMelle, W. J.	147
Lana, R. E.	454, 465	Lenning, O. T.	11, 48, 186, 189, 413, 499
Landau, S.	491	Lenski, G. E.	455
Landis, J. T.	308	Lentz, T. F.	350
Lane, B. R.	189	Leonard, E. W.	342, 350, 455
Lang, G.	188, 258, 272	Lerman, P.	414
Lane, R. E.	492	Leher, M. J.	213, 222, 308, 311, 332
Lane, W. C.	179, 217, 266	Leslie, G. R.	308
Lang, M.	222, 246	Lesser, G. S.	243, 246
Langen, T. F.	189	Lester, O. P.	20, 435
Langford, L.	191	Letchworth, G. E.	85, 248
Lantagne, J. E.	120	Leton, D. A.	455
Lantz, H. R.	84	Leuba, C.	23, 48, 85, 147, 308
Lanyon, R. I.	308	Levenson, E. A.	119, 120
Larimer, G. S.	189, 308	Leventhal, A. M.	120
Larsen, D.	414	Leventhal, D. B.	85
Larsen, K. J.	332	Leventhal, H.	455
Larsen, K. S.	147, 332, 454	Levin, H.	508
Larsen, M. J.	115	Levin, M. B.	461
Larson, R. F.	308	Levin, M. M.	85, 455
Lasakow, P.	307	Lévine, E. M.	292, 309
Lassiter, R. L.	482	Lévine, G. N.	308
Latamgne, J. E.	189	Lévine, L. S.	85, 308
Lathrop, W.	121	LeVine, R. A.	455
Laughlin, P. R.	47	Levinson, D. J.	82
Lawhead, V. B.	173	Levitt, M.	455, 465, 494
Lawson, E. D.	454	Levorian, E.	455
Layman, W. A.	242	Levy, L. H.	27, 48, 85, 120, 148
Layton, W. L.	370	Levy, N. B.	126, 278
Lazarsfeld, P.	452	Levy, N. J.	48
Lazarus, R. S.	84, 120	Levy, W. V.	269
Lazowick, L. M.	147	Lewin, K.	332
Leak, D.	175	Lewis, C. A.	222, 333, 368
Lee, A. R.	308	Lewis, E. C.	189, 273
Lee, J. A.	316	Lewis, L. S.	48, 189, 222
Lee, R. J.	368	Lewis, S. A.	298
Leeper, R. W.	85	Lezotte, L. W.	185
Lefcourt, H. M.	246, 505	Lichtenberg, P.	120
Leftwich, W. H.	189	Liddle, G.	333
Lehmann, J. J.	23, 27, 39, 48, 85, 273, 342, 350, 421, 425, 440, 454, 455, 482	Lieb, J. W.	148
Lehner, G. J.	120	Lieberman, L. R.	120
Leichty, M. M.	85	Lieberman, S.	455
Lekhyananda, D.	504	Liebllich, A.	246

- Lief, H. I. 148, 455  
 Lifson, N. 189  
 Lindahl, C. 189  
 Lindeman, R. H. 189, 246, 456  
 Lindenfeld, F. 223, 308, 456, 506  
 Linder, D. 289, 295, 456  
 Lindgren, F. 48  
 Lindgren, H. C. 48, 394  
 Lindzey, G. 81, 246, 308  
 Linn, L. S. 120, 350  
 Linn, R. L. 36  
 Linton, R. 85  
 Lipinski, C. C. 507  
 Lipinski, C. E. 151, 225  
 Lipinski, E. 120  
 Lippitt, R. 202, 317, 332, 356, 473  
 Lipset, S. M. 456, 492, 493  
 Lipsett, L. 273  
 Lipsey, C. 302  
 Lipsman, C. K. 274  
 Liptzin, M. B. 123  
 Littig, L. W. 223, 246  
 Little, E. B. 48, 382, 506  
 Little, J. K. 223  
 Litvak, S. B. 456  
 Litwin, G. H. 240  
 Liverant, S. 78, 301  
 Livson, N. 418  
 Lloyd, B. J. 190, 456  
 Lloyd-Jones, E. 357, 368  
 Lobenthal, J. S. 190, 493  
 LoCasolo, R. 274  
 Locke, E. A. 190, 232, 241, 246, 361, 368, 433, 456  
 Lodahl, T. M. 332  
 Loehlin, J. C. 85  
 Loevinger, J. 85, 148  
 Lohnes, P. R. 85, 269  
 Lombardi, D. N. 350  
 Lombardi, J. 456, 493  
 London, O. 299  
 Long, B. 350  
 Loree, M. R. 499  
 Lorr, M. 121, 124, 308  
 Lortie, D. C. 414  
 Lott, A. J. 308  
 Lott, B. E. 308  
 Lovelace, W. B. 48  
 Lovell, G. D. 190  
 Lowe, C. M. 148  
 Lowe, G. A. 223, 274  
 Lowe, W. L. 75, 434  
 Loy, J. W., Jr. 48  
 Lucas, C. J. 118  
 Lucas, F. H. 48  
 Lucifins, A. S. 415, 456  
 Luchins, E. H. 415, 456  
 Lundin, R. W. 86, 121  
 Lundy, R. M. 148, 308, 309  
 Lunghi, M. 124  
 Lunneborg, C. E. 49, 190, 499  
 Lunneborg, P. W. 49, 499, 506  
 Lunoy, R. M. 432  
 Lussiev, G. 81, 145  
 Lutz, S. W. 190, 271, 274, 334, 362, 369  
 Lutzker, D. R. 309  
 Lyle, E. 49  
 Lyman, J. L. 121, 190  
 Lynch, A. O. 190, 333, 368  
 Lynch, S. 202  
 Lynn, D. B. 148
- M**
- Maccoby, E. E. 456, 508  
 Maccoby, N. 442, 456  
 Macdonald, D. A. 190  
 MacDonald, P. 91, 507  
 Machotka, P. 382, 395  
 MacKenzie, D. M. 462, 483  
 MacKenzie, R. S. 361, 368  
 MacKinnon, D. W. 43, 49, 86, 395  
 MacKinnon, R. D. 168, 202  
 MacKinnon, W. J. 86, 350  
 Mackler, B. 25, 38, 49, 395  
 Macomber, F. G. 56, 191, 199  
 Madans, A. B. 34, 391  
 Madaus, G. F. 49, 50, 274  
 Maddi, S. R. 50, 86  
 Madison, P. 85, 86  
 Madsen, K. B. 246  
 Maehr, M. L. 148, 506  
 Maes, J. L. 493  
 Mager, R. F. 23  
 Magill, D. W. 14, 20  
 Mahan, T. W. 482

Mahone, C. H.	223, 274	Mason, W. R., Jr.	121, 191
Maier, N. F.	50, 186, 305	Mathis, J. L.	351
Mainer, R. F.	350, 456	Mathews, R.	51
Maisonneuve, J.	309	Mathog, R. B.	453
Malcolm, T.	218	Matkom, A. J.	86, 121
Maliver, B. L.	350	Maton, J.	223
Malnig, L. R.	274	Matsler, F.	16
Maloney, R. M.	191	Matteson, R. W.	23, 148, 149, 191, 223, 274, 506
Malpass, L. F.	128	Matthews, E.	275, 457
Maltzman, I.	50, 51	Mauss, A. L.	415
Mamlet, L. N.	191, 415	Maxey, E. J.	48, 499
Mandelstamm, A. B.	274	Maw, E. W.	23
Manis, M.	148, 309	Maw, W.	23
Mann, J. H.	223	May, F. B.	24, 55, 397
Mann, P. A.	241	May, H. F.	493
Mann, R. O.	309, 333	Mayhew, L. B.	39
Manning, P. K.	270, 447	Mayo, C. C.	457
Manning, W. H.	247	Mayo, C. W.	309
Mapelli, D.	188, 273	Mayo, G. D.	247
Marak, G. E.	333	McArthur, C.	86, 107, 191, 275
Marchand, J.	191	McCall, G.	199, 309
Marcia, J. E.	86, 121, 148	McCandless, B. R.	346
Marcus, D.	121	McCandless, F. D.	457
Margulies, N.	148	McClain, E. W.	86, 149, 350
Marks, E.	191, 274	McCleery, M.	462, 494
Marksberry, M. L.	51, 395	McClelland, D. C.	237, 247, 309, 333, 457
Marlowe, D.	78, 143, 242, 301, 309, 439	McClintock, C. G.	329, 349, 353, 452, 457, 466
Marquis, D. G.	86	McClosky, H.	412
Marr, E.	274	McClure, R. F.	191, 223, 309, 368
Marsh, R. W.	51	McComas, J. D.	191
Marston, A. R.	223, 246, 292, 309	McConnell, C. R.	191
Martin, A. M.	107, 274	McConnell, T. R.	16, 23, 149, 457, 506
Martin, J. G.	350	McConnell, W. A.	198
Martin, L. J.	51, 396	McCord, W. M.	88
Martin, L. W.	500	McCormack, T. H.	493
Martin, R. A.	482	McCormick, B. L.	230
Martin, R. D.	164, 191	McCormick, R. P.	241
Martin, W. B.	191, 457	McColtry, S. B.	493
Martinson, W. D.	322, 331, 491	McCrary, J. S.	84
Martire, J. G.	148, 246	McCullers, J. C.	86, 309
Martocchia, C. T.	274	McDaniel, C. O., Jr.	309
Marty, F. N.	109	McDermid, C. D.	51
Marvin, C.	219	McDill, E. L.	223, 247
Marx, M. H.	246	McDonald, A. S.	500
Masih, L. K.	274		
Maslow, A. H.	51, 76, 110, 121, 148, 246, 457		
Mason, R. L.	197, 464		

- McDonald, F. J. 51  
 McDonald, R. D. 299  
 McDonald, R. L. 121, 149  
 McDowell, J. 21, 67, 76,  
 300, 380, 410,  
 457  
 McEvoy, J. 493  
 McFee, A. 192, 195  
 McGee, R. 86, 175, 404,  
 409, 410, 433  
 McGinnies, E. 351, 423, 444  
 McGinnis, D. J. 498  
 McGrath, E. J. 192  
 McGrath, J. E. 309  
 McGuigan, F. J. 51, 56, 310,  
 457  
 McGuigan, G. F. 457, 493  
 McGuire, W. J. 415, 430, 457,  
 458  
 McKeachie, W. J. 51, 87, 176,  
 184, 186, 188,  
 192, 224, 241,  
 445, 458  
 McKinney, F. 149  
 McKnight, R. K. 174, 432, 479,  
 503  
 McManis, D. L. 240  
 McNair, D. M. 308  
 McNamara, R. J. 23, 458  
 McNelly, F. W., Jr. 245  
 McNulty, J. A. 458  
 McPartland, T. S. 121, 147, 149  
 McPeck, B. L. 192  
 McPhail, C. 149  
 McQuitty, L. L. 116, 121  
 Meade, R. D. 87  
 Meadows, M. E. 121  
 Mechanic, D. 121, 193  
 Medland, F. F. 329  
 Mednick, M. T. 51, 52, 87,  
 396  
 Mednick, S. A. 23, 46, 51, 52,  
 87, 396  
 Medow, H. 252  
 Medsker, L. L. 94, 188, 428,  
 471, 486  
 Meehl, P. E. 412  
 Meer, B. 52, 57  
 Meer, S. J. 458  
 Mefferd, R. B. 55  
 Megargee, E. I. 333  
 Mehlman, B. 310  
 Mehrabian, A. 87, 247, 251  
 Mehrens, W. A. 149  
 Meidam, M. T. 212  
 Meier, D. L. 121  
 Meier, N. C. 396  
 Meissner, W. W. 310  
 Meltzer, B. 310  
 Mendelsohn, F. 124  
 Mendelsohn, G. A. 122  
 Mensing, J. 148  
 Mercer, C. U. 224  
 Meredith, G. M. 87, 193, 458  
 Meredith, T. F. 194  
 Merenda, P. F. 87, 134, 149,  
 310  
 Merrett, S. 482  
 Merton, R. K. 275, 458  
 Merwin, J. C. 440  
 Messer, M. 458  
 Messick, S. J. 41, 46, 52, 78,  
 80, 87, 224,  
 306, 310, 349,  
 394, 401, 458  
 Mettee, D. R. 171, 409  
 Metzger, S. M. 482  
 Meyer, G. G. 193  
 Meyer, M. E. 88  
 Meyering, R. A. 409  
 Meyers, S. G. 221, 505  
 224  
 Michael, J. A. 52, 61, 74, 95,  
 130, 158, 175,  
 215, 396, 475,  
 500  
 Michael, W. B. 224, 351, 459,  
 462, 493  
 Middleton, R. 441  
 Middleton, W. C. 299  
 Mikawa, J. 483  
 Miles, C. C. 310  
 Miles, M. B. 186  
 Milholland, J. E. 193, 310  
 Mill, C. R. 52, 310  
 Miller, A. G. 275, 493  
 Miller, A. W. 128  
 Miller, C. 297  
 Miller, D. R. 87, 193, 459  
 Miller, E. O. 82, 348, 449  
 Miller, G. R. 105, 122, 459,  
 475, 481, 483

Miller, I. W.	224	Motto, R. L.	22
Miller, J. G.	43	Moulton, R. W.	224, 247, 278
Miller, M. V.	493	Moustakas, C. E.	23, 150, 460
Miller, N.	23, 310, 453, 459	Mouw, J. T.	29, 53
Miller, W. C.	459	Mowesian, R.	275
Milfon, T.	87	Mueller, K. H.	53, 357, 368, 415, 460
Mills, J.	306, 349, 459	Mueller, W. J.	310
Milone, H. S.	116	Muffly, R. B.	179
Minahan, A.	415	Mukherjee, B. N.	150, 247, 460
Mincer, J.	483	Mulder, F. J.	179
Minium, E. W.	70, 89	Mulford, C. L.	150, 209, 224
Mirande, A. M.	415	Mull, H. K.	436, 460
Mischel, W.	87	Mulry, R. C.	124, 249
Misra, S. K.	427, 463	Mulvey, M. C.	275
Mitchell, J. V., Jr.	149	Munday, L. A.	48, 275, 499
Mitchell, T. R.	504	Mundy, P.	442, 443
Mitnick, L. L.	351	Muney, B.	351
Mittman, A.	173	Munro, T.	382, 396
Miyamoto, S. F.	149	Munroe, R.	53
Moberg, D. O.	415	Munsinger, H.	396
Mock, K. R.	459, 493	Munsterberg, E.	87, 389, 396
Moeller, G.	73, 430	Munz, D. C.	248
Mogar, R. E.	460	Murdoch, P.	415
Mohan, J.	382	Muro, J. J.	150
Mohan, V.	382	Murphy, E. B.	21, 37, 77, 87, 142, 158, 177, 300
Mollenkopf, W. G.	227	Murphy, L. B.	23, 53, 87, 150, 275, 460
Monachesi, E. D.	219, 413	Murphy, R. O.	415
Montague, A.	217, 439	Murphy, T. A.	414
Mooney, J. D.	478	Murphy, V. M.	122, 500
Mooney, R. L.	52, 396	Murray, E. J.	248
Moore, G. D.	186	Murray, H. A.	87
Moore, J. C., Jr.	310	Murray, J. B.	150
Moore, J. W.	193	Murray, W.	230
Moore, U. S.	116, 305	Musella, D.	53, 193
Morgan, C. L.	433	Musgrave, P. W.	275
Morgan, D. N.	52, 396	Musgrave, F.	150, 193, 224
Morgan, J. B.	52	Mashkin, S. J.	506
Morganti, J. B.	468	Musick, V. A.	128
Mori, T.	81, 115, 145	Muskin, S. J.	483
Morishima, J. K.	193	Musselman, G. C.	193
Morris, C.	451, 460, 461	Mussen, P. H.	87, 311, 353, 389, 396
Morris, K.	193, 351, 460	Muthayya, B. C.	224
Morrison, H. W.	351, 462	Myden, W.	53, 396
Morrison, R. F.	52	Myers, A.	311
Morse, H. T.	87, 122, 275, 310	Myers, D. G.	88
Morton, J. T.	52	Myrus, P.	289, 297
Morton, R. K.	193		
Moss, F. A.	83, 247		
Mossman, B. M. III	149, 310		

## N

Nachman, M. 193  
 Nachmann, B. 263, 275  
 Nadler, E. B. 273  
 Nafe, R. W. 333  
 Nafzger, S. 148  
 Nahinski, J. 122  
 Nam, C. B. 224  
 Nanda, P. C. 439  
 Nauman, D. J. 293, 313  
 Naumann, T. F. 193  
 Neal, C. M. 500  
 Nebergall, R. E. 467  
 Needleham, W. E. 55  
 Neidt, C. O. 165, 194, 463,  
 500  
 Neisworth, J. T. 248  
 Nelson, C. E. 460  
 Nelson, D. 299  
 Nelson, E. 460  
 Nelson, M. J. 56, 109, 125,  
 363, 369  
 Nelson, P. D. 333  
 Neubeck, G. 122  
 Neygarten, B. L. 94, 220, 472,  
 505  
 Neuringer, C. 53  
 Newcomb, T. M. 22, 40, 43, 79,  
 88, 113, 302,  
 311, 442, 460,  
 461, 477, 483,  
 506  
 Newfield, J. 494  
 Newman, C. 88  
 Newmeyer, J. A. 421, 438  
 Newton, M. 333  
 Newton, P. 151  
 Niblett, W. R. 415  
 Nicholi, A. M., Jr. 122  
 Nichols, D. G. 199  
 Nichols, R. C. 29, 46, 53, 69,  
 88, 213, 224,  
 261, 271, 275,  
 283, 330, 333,  
 360, 367, 368,  
 394, 396, 500  
 Nicholson, S. O. 297, 345  
 Nicks, D. C. 122  
 Nicolay, R. C. 194  
 Nidorf, L. J. 106, 172, 311

Niedenthal, L. K. 103, 127  
 Nikelly, A. G. 118, 122, 130,  
 194  
 Nimnicht, N. 194  
 Nisbett, R. E. 88, 150, 461  
 Nixon, M. 327  
 Nixon, R. E. 88  
 Noble, J. L. 40, 80, 113,  
 144, 180, 267,  
 303, 442  
 Noel, D. L. 351  
 Noguee, P. 461  
 Nolan, E. G. 461  
 Noll, C. E. 108  
 Norbeck, E. 88  
 Norman, R. O. 88, 275  
 Norman, R. P. 122  
 Norrell, G. 150, 224  
 Nosal, W. S. 334  
 Novak, D. W. 311  
 Nowicki, S. 461  
 Nowlis, D. P. 194  
 Nudd, T. R. 194  
 Nugent, F. A. 110  
 Nunnally, J. C. 194  
 Nuttal, R. L. 248  
 Nyman, A. J. 415  
 Nystrom, R. K. 194

## O

Oakes, W. I. 336  
 O'Brien, G. L. 296, 365  
 O'Connor, J. P. 116  
 Oetting, E. R. 179, 302  
 Oelke, M. C. 121  
 O'Hara, R. P. 274, 276, 281  
 Ohlendorf, G. W. 222, 224  
 Ohnmacht, F. W. 53, 150  
 Ojha, A. B. 118  
 O'Leary, V. E. 461  
 Olesen, V. L. 216, 265  
 Olive, L. E. 276, 461  
 Oliver, W. F. 201  
 Olmsted, M. S. 311, 415  
 Olsen, L. C. 360, 366, 506  
 Olson, L. A. 194, 461  
 Omwake, K. T. 150, 351  
 O'Neil, A. M. 276  
 O'Neil, M. 415  
 O'Neill, R. P. 429

- Opochinsky, S. 193  
 Oppelt, N. T. 368  
 Oppenheimer, E. A. 276  
 Oram, P. G. 461  
 Orenstein, A. M. 278  
 Orr, H. K. 116, 244  
 Ort, R. S. 126  
 Orum, A. M. 494  
 Orum, A. W. 494  
 Ösgood, C. E. 461  
 Osipow, S. H. 259, 261, 276, 283  
 Ostrom, T. M. 434, 445  
 Otten, C. M. 494  
 Owen, W. L. 311  
 Owens, W. A. 53, 273, 483
- P**
- Packard, R. 246  
 Pace, C. R. 53, 194, 195, 225, 276, 311, 382, 415, 461, 503  
 Pace, T. 195  
 Paffenbarger, R. S., Jr. 122  
 Page, D. P. 334  
 Painter, E. G. 368  
 Pallett, J. E. 483  
 Pallone, N. J. 135, 150, 235, 244, 276  
 Palmade, G. 309  
 Palmer, G. J. 323, 334  
 Palmer, R. D. 122  
 Palonen, D. P. 117  
 Pang, H. 311  
 Panman, R. A. 55  
 Panos, R. J. 213, 262  
 Papageorgis, D. 461  
 Papalia, A. S. 316  
 Parnesh, C. R. 132, 139, 296  
 Parente, W. 462, 494  
 Park, P. 122, 416  
 Parker, A. W. 277  
 Parker, C. A. 25, 34, 320, 327  
 Parker, H. J. 269, 271  
 Parnes, S. J. 53, 54  
 Parloff, M. B. 36, 53  
 Parrish, H. M. 123  
 Parry, M. E. 36, 37, 498  
 Parsons, T. 88  
 Pasamanick, B. 416, 474  
 Pasca, A. E. 101, 123  
 Pasca, A. G. 123  
 Passin, H. 174, 432, 479, 503  
 Patchen, M. 154, 355, 470  
 Patrick, J. R. 354  
 Patterson, C. H. 88, 123, 462  
 Patterson, F. 150  
 Pattillo, M. M. 462, 483  
 Paul, G. L. 123  
 Pavalko, R. M. 225, 277, 462, 506  
 Payerle, G. 457, 493  
 Payne, D. A. 150, 183  
 Payne, I. K. 425, 455  
 Peak, H. 351, 462  
 Pearson, P. H. 88, 506  
 Pease, J. 368, 416, 507  
 Peck, R. F. 123, 279, 416  
 Pedersen, D. M. 88, 150, 311  
 Pederson, D. M. 319  
 Pederson, L. M. 146, 221, 448  
 Peel, E. A. 54  
 Peele, S. 251  
 Pemberton, W. A. 54  
 Penny, J. F. 195  
 Pepinski, P. N. 334  
 Pepitone, A. D. 150, 225, 311  
 Peretti, P. O. 416  
 Perloe, S. I. 455  
 Perrone, P. A. 277  
 Pérrucci, R. 272, 482  
 Perry, R. A. 195  
 Perry, W. G., Jr. 54, 416  
 Pervin, L. A. 166, 195, 507  
 Peskin, H. 80, 113, 180  
 Peters, J. M. 123  
 Petersen, K. H. 367  
 Peterson, P. D. 426, 462  
 Peterson, R. E. 198, 494  
 Peterson, R. F. 446  
 Petruccio, L. 312, 316, 334  
 Pettigrew, T. F. 351  
 Phares, J. E. 88, 462, 464, 494  
 Phelps, R. E. 88  
 Philapil, B. 174  
 Philflad, C. T. 215, 264  
 Phillips, B. N. 196

- Phillips, B. S. 277  
 Phillips, D. L. 123, 126, 196,  
 198, 312  
 Phillips, E. L. 151, 351  
 Phillips, G. M. 276  
 Phillips, W. B. 123  
 Phillipson, H. 308  
 Photiadis, J. D. 343, 351  
 Piaget, G. 300  
 Pickford, R. W. 397  
 Piedmont, E. B. 351  
 Pjerce, C. M. 351  
 Pierce, J. R. 139  
 Pjerce, J. U. 248  
 Pierce, R. A. 123, 300  
 Piers, E. U. 54  
 Pierson, G. W. 334, 483  
 Pierson, R. R. 183, 196, 277  
 Pietrasinski, Z. 19, 54  
 Pikunas, J. 89, 416, 462  
 Pilkington, G. W. 462  
 Pine, F. 54, 390, 397  
 Pinkney, A. 351  
 Pishkin, V. 351  
 Plant, W. T. 70, 71, 86, 89,  
 93, 309, 343,  
 344, 351, 352,  
 471  
 Platt, G. M. 474  
 Platt, J. J. 79, 136, 151,  
 196  
 Platt, J. R. 382  
 Plogg, S. C. 312  
 Plotkin, L. 504  
 Plotnik, R. J. 72  
 Plottor, S. D. 339, 349  
 Pogue, F. G. 196  
 Pollie, D. 192  
 Poppieion, P. K. 462  
 Poprick, M. A. 451  
 Porter, A. 483  
 Porter, L. G. 151  
 Porter, L. W. 311  
 Porter, P. B. 225, 483  
 Portes, A. 217, 278  
 Posavec, E. J. 352  
 Potter, A. A. 197  
 Potvin, R. H. 474, 509  
 Precker, J. A. 312  
 Prein, E. P. 368  
 Prentice, N. M. 352  
 Press, A. N. 312  
 Price, P. B. 483  
 Pricert, S. S. 462  
 Price-Williams, D. 88  
 Priest, R. F. 312  
 Proenza, L. 352  
 Prohansky, H. 151  
 Propper, N. M. 110, 437  
 Prothro, E. T. 352, 462  
 Pryer, M. W. 334  
 Psathas, G. 277  
 Pullias, E. U. 54, 89, 462  
 Pulubinskas, A. L. 89  
 Puryear, H. B. 457  
 Putney, S. 459, 462, 493  
 Pyron, B. 352, 463
- Q
- Quackenbush, J. F. 54  
 Quann, C. J. 494  
 Quaranta, J. J. 335  
 Quarantelli, E. L. 151, 277, 463  
 Quick, A. F. 196
- R
- Rabinowitz, M. 89, 123, 136,  
 151, 312, 507  
 Rachiele, L. D. 147  
 Rae-Grant, N. I. 416, 494  
 Rains, J. D. 59  
 Rainwater, L. 485  
 Rajamanickam, M. 429  
 Rand, L. P. 166, 196, 277  
 Rank, O. 397  
 Rankin, R. 498  
 Ranzoni, J. H. 60  
 Rao, S. N. 196  
 Rasmussen, G. R. 196, 463  
 Rath, R. 427, 463  
 Rath, L. E. 463  
 Ratterman, P. H. 196, 463  
 Ratzeburg, F. 140  
 Raushenbush, E. 23, 24, 53, 87,  
 89, 150, 151,  
 196, 275, 460,  
 463  
 Raw, L. 508  
 Rawson, H. E. 474  
 Ray, D. D. 500

- |                    |   |                      |   |
|--------------------|---|----------------------|---|
| Ray, W. S.         | 19, 54  | Richards, J. M., Jr. | 55, 151, 225,<br>326, 330, 334,<br>362, 365, 367,<br>369, 394 |
| Raychaudhuri, M.   | 397   | Richardson, H. M.    | 312, 329, 334   |
| Rayder, N. F.      | 196, 463  | Richardson, L. H.    | 197   |
| Raynor, J. O.      | 248   | Richek, H. G.        | 108, 123, 457   |
| Razik, T. A.       | 52, 396   | Richer, H. M.        | 72  |
| Reader, G.         | 275, 458  | Richlin, M.          | 501   |
| Reader, N.         | 312   | Richman, J. L.       | 109, 124  |
| Redlo, M.          | 88, 275   | Richmond, B. O.      | 197, 464  |
| Reece, M. M.       | 89  | Richter, R. H.       | 55  |
| Reed, M. D.        | 323, 334  | Rickert, R. K.       | 55  |
| Reed, R. Y.        | 337, 352  | Ricks, D. F.         | 102, 124, 130   |
| Reeder, L. G.      | 151   | Ridley, D. R.        | 30, 55  |
| Rees, M. E.        | 54  | Riecker, H. W.       | 464   |
| Rees, R. D.        | 196   | Riedel, R. G.        | 194   |
| Reese, C. S.       | 146   | Riesman, D.          | 24, 151, 464  |
| Reeves, K.         | 299   | Riker, H. C.         | 24, 55  |
| Rehberg, R.        | 225   | Rinehart, J. W.      | 347   |
| Reich, J. W.       | 446   | Ring, K.             | 151, 225, 312,<br>313, 507                                    |
| Reid, J. B.        | 201   | Ringuette, E. L.     | 225   |
| Reifler, C. B.     | 123   | Ripple, R. E.        | 24, 55, 397   |
| Reilly, J. W.      | 312, 463  | Risch, C.            | 277   |
| Reilly, M. A.      | 292, 312  | Ritchie, D. E.       | 88  |
| Reilly, W. J.      | 463, 507  | Ritchie, E.          | 464   |
| Reiser, M. F.      | 83  | Robb, J. W.          | 464   |
| Reiss, I. L.       | 312   | Robbins, W. T.       | 124   |
| Reiter, H. H.      | 463   | Robeck, M. C.        | 61, 95, 130,<br>475   |
| Reitman, W. R.     | 248   | Robershaw, G.        | 462   |
| Remmers, H. H.     | 179, 189, 196,<br>197, 199, 369,<br>433, 463, 464 | Roberson, J. A.      | 197   |
| Rempel, P.         | 189   | Roberts, A. H.       | 352   |
| Remy, R. M.        | 146   | Roberts, J. M.       | 280   |
| Renner, J.         | 465   | Roberts, R. J.       | 335, 369  |
| Renner, R. R.      | 197   | Roberts, S. M.       | 436   |
| Rentel, V. M.      | 498   | Roberts, S. O.       | 489, 494  |
| Renzaglia, G. A.   | 217   | Robertson, A. E.     | 89  |
| Restle, F.         | 54  | Robin, S. S.         | 352, 464  |
| Rethlingshafer, D. | 248   | Robinson, D. W.      | 464   |
| Rettig, S.         | 416   | Robinson, E. J.      | 400, 465  |
| Reynolds, H. H.    | 334   | Robinson, F. P.      | 312, 500  |
| Reynolds, J. F.    | 354   | Robinson, J. P.      | 464   |
| Rezler, A. G.      | 197, 277  | Robinson, N.         | 39  |
| Rhodes, M.         | 55  | Robinson, P.         | 239   |
| Rhomey, R.         | 299   | Rocchio, P. D.       | 452   |
| Ribal, J. E.       | 416   | Rodgers, I. A.       | 313   |
| Ricciuti, H. N.    | 242   | Roe, A.              | 55, 197, 277,<br>278, 397, 483,<br>484                        |
| Rice, D. G.        | 123   |                      |   |
| Rich, G. J.        | 89  |                      |   |
| Rich, J. M.        | 464   |                      |   |

- Rogan, D. L. 507  
 Rogers, C. R. 89, 124  
 Rogers, D. C. 484  
 Rogers, E. M. 313, 369  
 Rogers, M. S. 126  
 Rogers, R. R. 220  
 Rohde, K. J. 324, 335  
 Rokeach, M. 54, 90, 352, 353, 464  
 Romine, B. H., Jr. 151  
 Rook, A. 124  
 Rose, A. M. 353  
 Rose, H. A. 68, 79, 180, 266, 411, 504  
 Rose, J. D. 151  
 Rose, P. I. 465  
 Rosen, B. C. 248, 249, 407, 416, 465  
 Rosen, E. 151, 152, 354  
 Rosen, J. C. 397  
 Rosen, N. A. 183  
 Rosenbaum, M. E. 54, 433  
 Rosenberg, B. G. 54, 280  
 Rosenberg, L. 200  
 Rosenberg, M. 152, 197, 278, 353, 435, 465  
 Rosenfeld, H. M. 226, 238, 249, 293, 296, 303, 313  
 Rosenfeld, J. M. 313  
 Rosenkrantz, P. S. 226, 310, 312  
 Rosenthal, E. 199  
 Roskens, R. W. 320, 417, 485  
 Rosnow, R. L. 438, 465  
 Ross, J. 55  
 Ross, M. 102, 124, 335  
 Rossi, A. M. 296  
 Rossi, P. H. 313, 412, 445, 481  
 Rossman, M. 494  
 Rossman, P. 465  
 Rossmann, J. E. 197  
 Roth, J. A. 144, 181  
 Roth, R. M. 41, 114, 124, 270, 393, 412  
 Rothney, J. M. 197, 222, 275, 333, 368  
 Rotter, G. S. 303  
 Rotter, J. B. 91, 124, 249, 307, 353, 444, 465, 490  
 Rowe, F. B. 197  
 Roweton, W. E. 38  
 Royce, J. R. 226  
 Rubenstein, B. 465, 494  
 Rubenstein, E. A. 121, 124  
 Rubin, D. B. 195  
 Rubins, J. L. 152  
 Rujas, H. 124, 197, 198, 313  
 Rule, B. G. 90, 145, 468  
 Ruppel, H. J. 417, 465, 507  
 Rusch, R. 53, 193  
 Russel, J. H., III 169, 202  
 Rust, R. M. 124, 198  
 Ryan, E. D. 369  
 Ryan, F. L. 36  
 Ryan, T. A. 90, 198, 226  
 Ryans, D. G. 485  
 Rychlak, J. F. 313  
 Ryle, A. 124
- S
- Sabine, G. 1  
 Sacks, J. M. 313, 507  
 Saenger, G. 353  
 Sage, E. H. 90  
 Sahakian, W. S. 90  
 Sakuma, A. F. 345  
 Sakumura, J. S. 445  
 Sampson, D. L. 353  
 Sampson, E. E. 90, 249  
 Sanai, M. 466  
 Sander, D. L. 152  
 Sanders, E. M. 55  
 Sandford, D. L. 226  
 Sandgren, D. L. 466  
 Sandilands, M. L. 90  
 Sanford, N. 55, 56, 90, 94, 125, 249, 417, 466, 491, 507  
 Sanford, R. N. 90  
 Sappenfeld, B. R. 313, 353  
 Sarason, I. G. 91  
 Sarbin, T. R. 51, 152, 467  
 Sarnoff, I. 91, 314, 353, 452, 466  
 Sasajima, M. 198, 494  
 Saul, L. J. 91, 125  
 Savage, B. M. 125, 198  
 Sawatsky, D. D. 314, 353

Sawrey, J. M.	125	Schultz, K. U.	125
Sawyer, J.	312, 313, 407, 417	Schultz, T. W.	507
Sawyer, R. N.	500	Schurr, W. E.	501
Scanlon, J. C.	120	Schutz, R. A.	278
Scanzoni, J.	239, 249, 313	Schutz, W. C.	314
Scarborough, B. B.	509	Schwab, J. J.	466, 495
Schachter, S.	314	Schwartz, S.	375, 381, 393, 417
Schacter, S.	24, 56	Schwendiman, G.	147
Schaefer, C. E.	56, 384, 391, 397, 398	Sciortino, R.	152, 249
Schafer, W. E.	225	Scodel, A.	353
Schaffer, R. H.	495	Scott, F. D.	91, 198, 314, 466, 507
Schaie, K. W.	91	Scott, J. F.	417, 508
Schalock, R. L.	91, 507	Scott, J. W.	198, 495
Scheff, T. J.	125	Scott, R.	369, 466
Schein, E. H.	466	Scott, W. A.	125, 369, 417, 466
Schendel, J.	362, 369	Scriven, M.	466
Schiauo, S.	244	Sears, D. O.	430, 443
Schick, M.	417, 494	Sears, R. R.	508
Schiff, L. F.	466, 495	Sebald, H.	125, 152
Schiffman, D. C.	472	Sechrest, L.	125
Schild, E. O.	466	Secord, P. F.	91, 139, 152, 214, 293, 314
Schlicht, W. J., Jr.	125	Seeman, J.	125, 152
Schmeidler, G. R.	125, 363, 369	Seeman, M.	125, 355, 496
Schmidt, L. D.	243, 498	Segal, B. E.	126, 129, 198, 314, 353, 354
Schmidt, L. G.	466	Segal, S. J.	56, 91, 152, 153, 198, 226, 263, 278
Schmidt, W.	184	Seiden, R. H.	103, 109, 126
Schmieding, O. A.	278	Seitz, S. B.	467
Schmitt, D. R.	417	Selby, H. A.	198
Schmitt, J. R.	59, 486	Sells, S.	467
Schmitt, R. L.	152	Selltiz, C.	198, 314, 346, 467
Schmuller, A. M.	93	Selvin, H. C.	24, 278, 304, 354, 467
Schneider, D. J.	125	Sermul, M. J.	314
Schneiders, A. A.	146	Sewell, W. H.	199, 219, 226, 269, 278, 314, 467
Schoenfeldt, L. F.	226	Seymour, W. R.	199
Schoelandt, S. V.	85	Shaban, J.	249
Schoemer, J. R.	198	Shafer, S.	417
Schoen, R. A.	41	Shaffer, L. F.	91, 126, 249
Schommer, C. O.	147, 453	Shah, V. P.	226
Schontz, F. C.	49	Shane, H. G.	199
Schopler, J.	314	Shapiro, K. J.	91, 314
Schramm, W.	198		
Schreck, T. C.	495		
Schroder, H. M.	44, 91		
Schroeder, S. O.	107		
Schuchmans, H. P.	125, 198		
Schuerhoff, C.	47, 395		
Schuh, A. J.	152, 218		
Schultz, C. B.	56, 390, 397, 398		

- Sharp, L. M. 278, 485  
 Shaver, P. R. 464  
 Shaw, F. J. 126  
 Shaw, K. A. 199  
 Shaw, M. C. 201, 205, 227,  
 231, 249  
 Shaw, M. E. 335, 467  
 Sheatsley, P. B. 349  
 Sheerer, E. T. 153, 354  
 Shembert, K. M. 85  
 Shen, F. 498  
 Shepard, L. B. 184  
 Shepard, L. V. 185  
 Sherer, W. C. 308  
 Sheriff, C. W. 467  
 Sheriff, M. 153, 314, 449,  
 467  
 Sherlock, B. 278  
 Sherman, J. R. 467  
 Sherman, R. 210, 227, 354  
 Sherman, S. J. 318  
 Sherrill, D. 303, 348  
 Shertzer, B. 216  
 Sherwood, J. J. 153, 315, 473  
 Shevel, L. R. 328, 366  
 Shevitz, R. N. 334  
 Shinn, A. H. 315  
 Shipman, M. D. 315  
 Shirk, E. 147  
 Shoben, E. J. 91, 126, 249,  
 495  
 Shontz, F. C. 91, 216, 395  
 Shopper, M. 126, 278  
 Shostrom, E. 126, 153, 227  
 Shrable, K. 278  
 Shrader, W. B. 181  
 Shure, G. H. 126  
 Sidle, A. 126  
 Siebert, L. A. 91  
 Siegel, A. E. 279, 467  
 Siegel, L. 56, 191, 199  
 Siegel, M. 126  
 Siegel, S. L. 175, 227, 487  
 Siegelman, M. 278, 279  
 Siegman, A. W. 467  
 Signori, E. I. 75, 435  
 Silber, E. 93, 110, 126,  
 153, 155, 199,  
 227  
 Silbiger, F. 500  
 Silverman, I. 153, 467, 468  
 Silverstein, M. 24, 317  
 Simmons, D. D. 279  
 Simmons, J. L. 127, 309  
 Simon, R. J. 199  
 Simon, S. B. 463  
 Simon, W. 315  
 Simono, R. B. 91, 127, 199  
 Simons, J. B. 279  
 Simons, J. D. 235, 244  
 Simpkins, R. 56  
 Simpson, I. H. 468  
 Simpson, R. L. 354  
 Sims, D. M. 182  
 Sims, V. M. 354  
 Simun, P. B. 485  
 Sinclair, W. W. 189, 308  
 Singer, D. N. 347  
 Singer, E. 118  
 Singer, R. D. 468  
 Singer, S. 92, 468  
 Singh, P. N. 468  
 Sinha, B. K. 85, 342, 350,  
 455  
 Sinha, D. 335  
 Sinha, S. K. 335  
 Sিনnett, E. R. 103, 127, 199  
 Sister, Mary Ellen 354  
 Sjogren, D. D. 165, 194  
 Skager, R. W. 56, 137, 153,  
 227, 315, 335,  
 376, 377, 381,  
 390, 395, 397,  
 398, 468  
 Skeen, D. R. 200  
 Skinner, J. A. 328  
 Skolnick, A. 249  
 Skolnick, J. 495  
 Skurdal, M. A. 200  
 Slakter, M. J. 279  
 Sloan, R. B. 153, 468  
 Slobin, D. Y. 199  
 Slocum, W. L. 227, 485  
 Small, J. J. 199  
 Smatzried, N. T. 199  
 Smart, R. G. 127  
 Smelser, W. 128, 227  
 Smith, A. J. 315, 468  
 Smith, B. D. 250  
 Smith, B. O. 382, 468  
 Smith, C. P. 248  
 Smith, D. C. 127, 315

Smith, D. P.	500	Sontag, L. W.	249
Smith, E. E.	56, 335, 398, 468	Sorensen, M. A.	127
Smith, G. M.	127, 153	Spaeth, J. L.	485
Smith, H. C.	92, 187, 199, 315, 316, 451	Spaights, E.	200
Smith, H. E.	468	Spaulding, C. B.	315, 370, 508
Smith, H. P.	353, 354, 468	Speisman, J.	192
Smith, J. G.	486	Spence, J. T.	250
Smith, J. M.	56, 398	Spence, K. W.	250
Smith, K. H.	319	Spender, S.	495
Smith, L. G.	279	Sperrazzo, G.	501
Smith, M. B.	127, 199, 354, 357, 412, 432, 439, 469, 488	Spilka, B.	354
Smith, M. R.	368	Spitzer, S. P.	92
Smith, N. H.	200	Sporakowski, M. J.	453
Smith, P. A.	127, 153, 154	Sprague, H. T.	250, 469
Smith, P. G.	18, 46	Sprecher, T. B.	57
Smith, P. M.	200	Sprey, J.	279
Smith, S. H.	195	Springer, G. P.	495
Smith, T. E.	469	Springer, K. J.	60
Smith, V.	197, 464	Staats, A. W.	469
Smith, W. G.	92, 127, 469	Staats, C. K.	469
Smith, W. I.	193	Stacey, C. L.	250
Smith, W. J.	56	Stacy, C. L.	151
Smith, W. S.	226	Stagner, R.	84, 454, 492
Smock, C. D.	92	Stahmann, R. F.	279
Smouse, A. D.	248	Staight, D. C.	116, 305
Snoke, M. L.	370	Stakenas, R. C.	145
Snyder, B.	56, 127, 154, 200, 485	Stanley, G.	127, 469
Snyder, L. J.	495	Stannard, C. I.	410
Snyder, P. O.	459, 493	Stark, M.	200, 370, 501
Snyder, W. U.	148, 427, 471	Stark, R.	469
Soares, G. D.	495	Steele, F. I.	315
Sobel, R.	127, 369	Stefaniak, D.	299, 346
Soçcolich, C.	92	Stelic, E. C.	292, 312
Sokol, R.	126, 129	Steimel, F. J.	279
Solleder, M. K.	200	Stein, J.	107
Solnit, A. J.	495	Stein, M. I.	34, 52, 57, 92
Solomon, A.	469	Stein, S.	316
Solomon, D.	167, 192, 200, 224, 485	Steinberg, J.	386
Solomon, F.	412, 442, 469, 490, 495	Steiner, I. D.	83, 92, 154, 469
Solomon, L. N.	92, 134, 354	Steinhaus, A. H.	128
Solway, K. S.	154	Steininger, M.	417
Somil, A.	417, 469, 494	Steinmann, A.	279
Sommer, R.	315, 498, 500	Steinzor, B.	57, 92, 370, 470
Sommerfield, R.	227, 279	Stember, C. H.	355, 470
		Stembridge, B. P.	200
		Stephens, M. W.	143, 216
		Stephenson, G. M.	355, 417
		Stephenson, R. W.	57, 92
		Stephenson, T. E.	335

- Stern, G. G. 16, 24, 195,  
 200, 470  
 Stern, T. N. 24  
 Sternbach, R. A. 123  
 Sternberg, C. 92, 279  
 Stevens, L. B. 275  
 Stewart, C. C. 470  
 Stewart, C. T. 128  
 Stewart, H. 128  
 Stewart, J. 241  
 Stewart, L. H. 71, 93, 227,  
 279, 418  
 Stice, G. 227, 327  
 Stock, D. 154, 315, 355  
 Stock, J. R. 45  
 Stockey, M. R. 83  
 Stockhamer, N. 120  
 Stockin, B. S. 279  
 Stogdill, R. M. 335  
 Stone, C. L. 93, 200, 315  
 Stone, J. C. 503  
 Stone, L. A. 200  
 Stone, S. A. 184  
 Stone, T. H. 485  
 Story, F. 352, 464  
 Stotland, E. 93, 154, 227,  
 355, 452, 470  
 Stout, R. 227, 335, 340  
 Strang, H. 347  
 Strang, R. M. 335, 370  
 Straus, M. A. 226, 278  
 Strauss, A. 154, 303, 432,  
 485  
 Strauss, S. 57, 485, 508  
 Stricker, G. 370  
 Strickland, B. R. 352, 496  
 Stringer, L. A. 128  
 Strodbeck, F. L. 250, 470  
 Stromberg, E. L. 89  
 Strong, E. K. 485  
 Stroud, J. B. 433  
 Strough, L. C. 179  
 Stroup, H. 357, 370  
 Struening, E. L. 354  
 Stub, H. R. 34, 214  
 Stubbins, J. 228, 279  
 Stuit, D. B. 508  
 Stull, G. A. 123  
 Suchman, E. A. 215  
 Suczek, R. F. 84  
 Suedfeld, P. 57, 470  
 Sugarman, B. 419  
 Sugarman, M. 280  
 Suinn, R. M. 93, 138, 154,  
 355  
 Sullivan, C. 93, 315  
 Sullivan, H. J. 228  
 Sullivan, P. L. 128  
 Sultan, E. E. 57  
 Summerfield, J. D. 57, 398  
 Summerskill, J. 200  
 Sumner, F. C. 316  
 Super, D. E. 280  
 Surace, S. J. 355, 496  
 Sussmann, L. A. 308  
 Sutter, E. G. 201  
 Sutton-Smith, B. 55, 280  
 Suziedelis, A. 279  
 Swanson, C. J. 470  
 Swensen, C. H., Jr. 104, 128  
 Swift, D. F. 250  
 Swihart, P. J. 301  
 Swinehart, J. W. 470  
 Switzer, D. K. 281  
 Switzer, L. 496
- T
- Taft, R. 93  
 Tagliuri, R. 307, 316  
 Tajetz, P. 184, 412  
 Tajfel, H. 355  
 Tannenbaum, P. H. 461  
 Tatar, H. R. 418  
 Tate, M. T. 128  
 Taulbee, E. S. 128  
 Taufest, P. B. 201  
 Taylor, C. W. 57, 58, 398,  
 485  
 Taylor, D. A. 294, 316  
 Taylor, D. M. 128, 155  
 Taylor, H. 470, 496  
 Taylor, I. A. 471  
 Taylor, M. J. 175  
 Taylor, R. E. 136, 151, 196,  
 382, 398  
 Tead, O. 201, 418  
 Teahan, J. E. 201  
 Teevan, R. C. 93, 241, 242,  
 250, 281  
 Teicher, J. D. 128  
 Teigland, J. J. 471

Telford, C. W.	71, 89, 93, 125, 352, 471	Tipton, R. M.	281
Tennison, J. C.	427, 471	Tippett, J. S.	93, 153, 155
Tennyson, W. W.	281, 485	Tisdale, J. R.	355, 418, 471
Terabinski, S. J.	326	Toch, H.	316
Terman, L. M.	281, 485, 486	Toch, J.	471
Terrell, G.	42	Tombaugh, T. N.	246
Terwilliger, J. S.	281	Tameh, A. K.	229, 282
Terwilliger, R. F.	382	Tomkins, S. S.	58, 93, 471
Tesi, G.	418	Tomoda, Y.	229, 282
Tessier, A. L.	194	Tongas, P. N.	142
Tessman, L. H.	56, 485	Tooley, K.	93, 129, 471
Thatcher, L.	57, 398	Torcivia, J. M.	451
Thelen, M. H.	128	Torgerson, W. S.	227
Thibaut, J. W.	316	Torgoff, I.	418
Thistlethwaite, D. L.	24, 58, 155, 194, 201, 210, 211, 228, 281, 471, 486, 508	Torrance, E. P.	42, 58, 59, 129, 155, 229, 398
Thomanek, E. K.	316	Tosi, D. J.	335
Thomas, E. J.	201	Trapp, E. P.	336
Thomas, H.	107, 140	Trathen, R. H.	201
Thomas, J. A.	352	Treadwell, Y.	57, 92, 316
Thompson, G. G.	119, 273, 307, 454, 468	Trent, J. W.	24, 59, 94, 229, 418, 428, 471, 486, 508
Thompson, I. M.	506	Tresselt, M. E.	501
Thomsen, P. K.	354	Triandis, H. C.	316, 352, 355
Thomson, E. A.	316	Tripodi, T.	59, 221
Thoresen, C. E.	508	Tripp, P. A.	472
Thorndike, R. L.	58, 316	Trites, D.	467
Thorndike, R. M.	281	Troll, L. E.	94, 472
Thornie, F. C.	128	Trow, M.	21, 300, 437, 496
Thorpe, J. G.	316	Truax, C. B.	300, 472
Thorpe, J. S.	115	Tucci, M. A.	282
Thorpe, L. P.	93	Tucker, M. F.	37, 58, 59, 486
Thrash, P. A.	509	Tucker, R. K.	433
Thring, M. W.	58	Tuckman, B. W.	59, 201
Thumin, F. J.	281	Tuddenham, R. D.	229
Thurber, J. A.	50	Tuel, J. K.	201
Thurlow, H. J.	108	Tukey, R. S.	24, 316
Thurstone, L. L.	335, 471	Tupes, E. C.	326
Tiedeman, D. V.	153, 275, 276, 281, 457	Turner, H. A.	457
Tiemeyer, E. B.	305	Turner, R. H.	128, 155, 229, 250, 282, 316, 472
Tillman, K.	461	Turner, W. M.	59
Timberlake, J.	115	Tussman, J.	201
Timbers, D. M.	347	Tyler, L. E.	229, 282
Timmel, G. B.	128		
Tindall, R. H.	128		

## U

Uejio, C. K. 508  
 Ullman, A. D. 94  
 Umbarger, C. C. 472  
 Underhill, R. 282, 472, 486  
 Unger, S. M. 36  
 Urdan, J. A. 308  
 Utton, A. C. 282  
 Uyeki, E. S. 472  
 Uzzell, O. 230, 282

## V

Vaccaro, L. C. 472, 496  
 Vacchiano, R. B. 282, 472  
 Vaizey, J. 508  
 Valentine, C. W. 382  
 Vanderlippe, R. H. 129, 155  
 Vander Ryn, S. 24, 317  
 Vander Well, A. R. 282  
 Van Dyne, L. 201  
 Van Egmond, E. 176  
 VanEyl, E. P. 336  
 Van Kaam, A. L. 94  
 Van Loon, E. 496  
 Vannoy, J. S. 92  
 Van Zelst, R. H. 486  
 Vargas, R. 177  
 Varley, B. K. 447  
 Vassilious, V. 316, 355  
 Veenker, C. H. 129  
 Veldman, D. J. 129, 155, 250,  
 317, 472  
 Vencko, J. J. 355  
 Vener, A. M. 282  
 Venkatesan, M. 446  
 Verdier, P. A. 250  
 Verinis, J. S. 201, 250  
 Vernon, J. 57, 470  
 Vernon, M. D. 250  
 Vernon, P. F. 59, 94  
 Veroff, J. 241, 250, 251,  
 414, 472  
 Videbeck, R. 155, 506  
 Vidulich, R. N. 472  
 Vinacke, W. E. 59, 356  
 Vincent, J. 155  
 Vineyard, E. E. 282  
 Visher, S. S. 486  
 Vittenson, L. K. 201, 472

Vlandis, J. W. 433  
 Voeks, V. W. 201, 508  
 Wolfgang, A. 501  
 Volkart, E. H. 452  
 Volkman, J. 216  
 Volkwein, J. F. 201, 317  
 Volsky, T. 129  
 Vreeland, F. M. 317  
 Vreeland, R. S. 317, 402, 409,  
 432, 473  
 Vroom, V. H. 370

## W

Wachtel, P. L. 59, 370  
 Wagman, M. 94, 283, 356,  
 473  
 Wagner, C. 84, 332  
 Wagner, R. V. 473  
 Waisanen, F. B. 229  
 Walberg, H. J. 60, 283, 486  
 Walizer, M. H. 225  
 Walker, B. R. 418  
 Walker, R. E. 129, 194  
 Walker, S. R. 418  
 Walkup, L. E. 60  
 Wall, H. W. 261, 276, 283  
 Wallace, A. C. 94  
 Wallace, J. 125  
 Wallace, W. H. 60  
 Wallace, W. L. 202, 229, 230,  
 317, 473  
 Wallach, M. A. 60, 230, 505,  
 508  
 Waller, F. O. 509  
 Waller, W. 317  
 Wallerstein, J. 496  
 Wallston, K. 313  
 Walsh, J. 496  
 Walsh, T. M. 126  
 Walsh, W. B. 94, 155, 168,  
 169, 202, 259,  
 233  
 Walster, B. 317  
 Walster, E. 317  
 Walters, A. 251, 473  
 Walters, G. 341, 349, 451  
 Walters, R. A. 73, 296  
 Walters, R. H. 214, 431, 458  
 Walz, G. R. 283  
 Warburton, F. W. 76, 242

Ward, J.	60, 332	Weisbrod, B. A.	481, 486, 505, 509
Ward, W. D.	155, 317, 473	Weiss, R. F.	474
Ware, E. E.	461	Weiss, R. J.	126, 128, 230, 281, 447
Ware, R.	447	Weiss, T. M.	283
Warkov, S.	230, 283	Weiss, W.	449, 474
Warnath, C. F.	473	Weisskopf-Joelson, E.	128, 474
Warner, K. O.	444	Weitman, M.	184
Warner, L. G.	356, 473	Weitz, H.	130, 284
Warr, P. B.	202, 473	Welch, F.	509
Warren, J. R.	24, 94, 155, 202, 283, 382	Welch, L.	392
Warren, S.	177	Welch, W. W.	60
Warren, T. F.	60	Wellington, C. B.	60
Warrington, W. G.	303, 449	Wellington, J.	60
Washburn, W. C.	155	Wells, F. J.	60, 125, 198
Wassef, W. Y.	129, 473	Wells, M. B.	39
Waters, D. B.	244	Welsh, G. S.	36, 379, 391, 392
Waters, T. J.	327	Wenkart, A.	60, 398, 509
Watley, D. J.	138, 156, 230, 252, 260, 283, 284, 486	Wenkert, R.	24
Watson, D. L.	94, 128	Werner, A. C.	72, 94, 364, 370
Watson, G. H.	128, 156	Wernimont, P.	266, 480
Watson, J.	202, 317, 356, 473	Wertheimer, M.	42
Watts, W. A.	202, 474, 496	Werts, C. E.	230, 252, 260, 283, 284, 370
Weatherley, D.	94, 128	Weschler, I. R.	336
Weaver, C. H.	202	Wesco, W. C.	418
Weaver, G. R.	474, 496	Wessell, A.	95
Weaver, J. H.	474, 496	Wessman, A. E.	102, 124, 130
Weaver, W. W.	501	West, P. S.	184, 447, 481
Webb, N. J.	202	West, S. S.	486
Webb, S. C.	24, 60, 128, 202, 501	Westcott, M. R.	60
Webb, W. B.	305, 331	Westby, P. L.	225, 496
Weber, C. O.	501	Westie, F. R.	350
Webster, H.	74, 90, 94, 433, 474	Westlake, H. G.	318
Wedge, B. M.	128	Westley, W. A.	130, 202
Wegner, E. L.	230	Westoff, C. F.	434, 474, 479, 509
Weinberg, C.	336	Wheeler, C. I.	284
Weinberg, D.	227, 315, 335	Wheeler, G. R.	53
Weiner, B.	251	Wheeler, N.	211, 228
Weiner, M.	36, 230	Wheeler, R. M.	20
Weinlander, A. A.	60	Wheeler, S.	410, 434
Weinstein, E. A.	156, 317, 318, 474	White, A. M.	130
Weinstein, M. S.	251, 457	White, B. J.	284
Weinstock, H. R.	474	White, C.	116
Weisberg, P. S.	60	White, H. L.	56, 335, 398, 496

- White, K. 60, 509  
 White, R. K. 332  
 White, R. W. 95, 252  
 White, W. F. 72, 418, 501  
 Whitener, R. W. 130  
 Whitehurst, R. N. 408, 418, 474  
 Whitely, P. L. 474  
 Whitney, D. R. 271, 284  
 Whittaker, D. 202, 474, 496  
 Whittaker, J. O. 87, 475  
 Whittmore, R. G. 31, 42, 61  
 Whittington, H. G. 130  
 Wicker, A. W. 356, 475  
 Wiedner, G. S. 356  
 Wilcox, S. 251  
 Wild, C. 398  
 Wilhelmson, F. D. 497  
 Willerman, B. 366, 374, 381, 499  
 Williams, A. F. 130  
 Williams, B. R. 311  
 Williams, C. D. 475  
 Williams, D. A. 173  
 Williams, E. I. 475  
 Williams, F. E. 32, 58  
 Williams, J. E. 145, 318  
 Williams, N. 419  
 Williams, P. 16  
 Williams, R. L. 95  
 Williamson, E. G. 336, 357, 370, 418, 475, 497, 509  
 Williamson, J. A. 202  
 Wilson, A. B. 230  
 Wilson, B. R. 509  
 Wilson, D. J. 472  
 Wilson, D. T. 318  
 Wilson, E. K. 25, 284, 318, 382, 475  
 Wilson, H. E. 356  
 Wilson, J. 418, 419  
 Wilson, J. R. 61, 95, 130, 475  
 Wilson, K. M. 202, 509  
 Wilson, M. S. 419, 475  
 Wilson, R. C. 37, 61  
 Wilson, S. R. 475  
 Wilson, W. C. 356  
 Wilson, W. R. 104, 105, 130, 459, 475  
 Wilson, W. T. 76, 98, 109, 122  
 Winborn, B. B. 322, 331, 336, 491, 497  
 Winch, R. F. 318, 509  
 Windholz, G. 31, 61, 95, 156, 379, 382, 398, 475  
 Wing, C. W. 60  
 Winkler, R. C. 83, 306, 450  
 Winslow, C. N. 318, 356  
 Winter, S. K. 95, 212, 222, 230, 252, 307, 350  
 Winter, W. D. 55  
 Wirth, T. E. 220, 256, 270  
 Wishner, J. 130  
 Wisocki, P. A. 436  
 Witkin, H. A. 61  
 Wittenberg, R. M. 130  
 Wittermans, T. 156  
 Witty, P. A. 61  
 Wolf, B. 240  
 Wolfe, A. D. 196  
 Wolfe, S. 194  
 Wolffe, D. 486  
 Wolin, B. R. 326  
 Wolin, S. S. 493  
 Wolins, L. 189, 273  
 Wong, T. J. 300, 346  
 Wood, C. G. 61  
 Wood, F. C. 419  
 Wood, R. L. 500  
 Woodruff, R. J. 295  
 Woods, C. M. 198  
 Woolf, D. 500  
 Worchel, P. 116, 130, 230, 296, 299, 318  
 Worell, J. 61  
 Worell, L. 61  
 Wright, B. A. 356, 509  
 Wright, C. R. 230, 284  
 Wright, D. 475  
 Wright, E. W. 156, 284  
 Wright, J. C. 130, 475, 509  
 Wright, J. J. 105, 130, 131, 169, 202, 203, 318  
 Wright, J. M. 467, 475  
 Wright, L. 156

Wright, P. H.	318, 475	Zak, I.	348, 448
Wright, S. J.	61	Zander, A.	252
Wrightsmān, L. S.	508	Zdep, S. M.	61, 336
Wulff, A.	382	Zelan, J.	25, 285, 419, 476
Wurtz, R. E.	284	Zeleny, L. D.	95, 156, 203, 318, 336, 476
Wyatt, F.	203, 475, 497	Zerman, J. L.	109
Wyer, R. S.	138, 156, 446	Zielonka, A. W.	418
Wylie, R. C.	156, 318	Ziller, R. C.	149, 156, 285, 310
Wysocki, B. A.	92	Zimbardo, P. G.	252, 314, 319, 476
<b>Y</b>			
Yamamoto, K.	61, 203, 370	Zimiles, H.	487
Yanofsky, S. M.	175	Zimmer, H.	131, 156
Yaros, E. J.	203	Zimmerman, S. F.	319
Yelsma, J. J.	189, 273	Zingle, H. W.	314, 353
Yett, D. E.	479	Zolbrod, P. G.	452, 491
Yonge, G. E.	203	Zuereshi, M. Y.	319
Young, D.	356	Zunker, V. G.	501
Young, K.	131	Zurcher, L. A.	95
Young, R. D.	131	Zwicky, L.	17, 25
Young, R. K.	348, 356, 429, 476	Zytowski, D. G.	95, 285, 319
Yuker, H. E.	12, 20, 345, 409, 433		
Yusty, D.	463		
<b>Z</b>			
Zagona, S. V.	95		
Zajonc, R. B.	318, 476		

## ACT PUBLICATIONS

### ACT Monographs

ACT Monographs on selected topics in educational research are published periodically as a public service. Copies of the monographs may be obtained for \$3.00 each from ACT Publications, P.O. Box 168, Iowa City, Iowa 52240. Check or money order must accompany request.

Monograph Two: *The Two-Year College and Its Students: An Empirical Report*, edited by Leo A. Munday.

Monograph Three: *The Ghetto College Student: A Descriptive Essay on College Youth from the Inner City*, by Gordon D. Morgan.

Monograph Four: *Open Admissions and Equal Access*, edited by Philip R. Rever.

Monograph Five: *Financing Higher Education: Alternatives for the Federal Government*, edited by M. D. Orwig.

Monograph Six: *Assessment of Colleges and Universities*, edited by Fred F. Harclerod and Jean H. Cornell.

Monograph Eight: *Blueprint for Change: Doctoral Programs for College Teachers*, by Paul L. Dressel and Frances H. DeLisle.

Monograph Nine: *College/Career Choice: Right Student, Right Time, Right Place*, edited by Kenneth J. McCaffrey and Elaine King.

Monograph Ten: *Theories of Person-Environment Interaction: Implications for the College Student*, by W. Bruce Walsh.

Monograph Eleven: *The Vocational Interests of Young Adults*, edited by Gary R. Hanson and Nancy S. Cole.

Monograph Twelve: *Scientific and Technical Careers: Factors Influencing Development during the Educational Years*, by Philip R. Rever.

Monograph Thirteen: *College Teaching: Improvement by Degrees*, by Paul L. Dressel and Mary Magdala Thompson.

Monograph Fourteen: *Nonintellectual Correlates of Grades, Persistence, and Academic Learning in College: The Published Literature through the Decade of the Sixties*, by Oscar T. Lenning, Leo A. Munday, O. Bernard Johnson, Allen R. Vander Well, and Eldon J. Brue.

#### ACT Special Reports

The ACT Special Reports listed may be obtained, at the cost indicated, by writing to ACT Publications, P.O. Box 168, Iowa City, Iowa 52240. Check or money order must accompany request.

- No. 1 *When You Listen, This Is, What You Can Hear...*, by Gordon A. Sabine. \$3.00.
- No. 2 *Comprehensive Information Systems for Statewide Planning in Higher Education*. \$1.00.
- No. 3 *Teachers Tell It—Like It Is, Like It Should Be*, by Gordon A. Sabine. \$3.00.
- No. 4 *Special Degree Programs for Adults: Exploring Nontraditional Degree Programs in Higher Education*, by Roy Troutt. \$2.00.
- No. 5 *Emerging Students...and the New Career Thrust in Higher Education*. \$2.00.

- No. 6 *Planning for State Systems of Postsecondary Education*, edited by Fred F. Harcleroad. \$2.00.
- No. 7 *Students and State Borders*, by Robert F. Carbone. \$2.00.
- No. 8 *College and University Enrollments in the United States*, by Garland G. Parker. \$2.00.
- No. 10 *The Regional State Colleges and Universities Enter the 1970s*, by Fred F. Harcleroad, C. Theodore Molen, Jr., and Jack R. Rayman. \$3.00.
- No. 11 *Career Education and Transfer Program Enrollments in 2-Year Colleges, 1973-74*, by Garland G. Parker. \$2.00.
- No. 12 *Alternative Tuition Systems*, by Robert F. Carbone. \$3.00.
- No. 13 *Collegiate Enrollments in the U.S., 1974-75*, by Garland G. Parker. \$2.00.

#### ACT Research Reports

Reports of basic and applied educational research conducted by ACT are published periodically in this series. Printed copies of individual reports may be obtained, if available, from the Research and Development Division, The American College Testing Program, P.O. Box 168, Iowa City, Iowa 52240. The price of each report is \$1.00 and a check or money order must accompany each request.

The most recent reports in this series are listed below. A complete list can be obtained from ACT Publications.

- No. 55 *Predictions of Performance in Career Education*, by M. R. Novick, P. K. Jones, & N. S. Cole
- No. 56 *Predictors of Graduation from College*, by E. Nicholson
- No. 57 *Schooling and Subsequent Success: Influence of Ability, Background, and Formal Education*, by L. C. Solmon

- No. 58 *Common Fallacies about Heredity, Environment, and Human Behavior*, by A. Anastasi
- No. 59 *A Study of the College Investment Decision*, by W. W. McMahon, & A. P. Wagner
- No. 60 *Implementation of a Bayesian System for Decision Analysis in a Program of Individually Prescribed Instruction*, by R. L. Ferguson, & M. R. Novick
- No. 61 *Nationwide Study of Student Career Development: Summary of Results*, by D. J. Prediger, J. D. Roth, & R. J. Noeth
- No. 62 *Varieties of Accomplishment after College: Perspectives on the Meaning of Academic Talent*, by L. A. Munday, & J. C. Davis
- No. 63 *Patterns of Concentration in Large Foundations' Grants to U.S. Colleges and Universities*, by R. Colvard, & A. M. Bennett
- No. 64 *Vocational Choice Change Patterns of a National Sample of Community-Junior College Students*, by C. S. Scott, R. H. Fenske, & E. J. Maxey
- No. 65 *Considerations and Procedures in National Norming: An Illustration Using the ACT Assessment of Career Development and ACT Career Planning Program, Grades 8-11*, by D. L. Bayless, J. W. Bergsten, L. H. Lewis, & R. J. Noeth
- No. 66 *The Measurement of Economic Well-Being in Need Analysis Models*, by W. J. Goggin
- No. 67 *Assessing the Career Interests of College Youth: Summary of Research and Applications*, by G. R. Hanson
- No. 68 *Sex-Role Socialization and Employment Realities: Implications for Vocational Interest Measures*, by D. J. Prediger, & N. S. Cole