

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 111 501

PS 008 017

AUTHOR

Lamb, Michael E.

TITLE

Infant Attachment to Mothers and Fathers.

PUB DATE

Apr 75

NOTE

11p.; Paper presented at the Biennial Meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development (Denver, Colorado, April 10-13, 1975)

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 Plus Postage

DESCRIPTORS

*Attachment Behavior; Behavioral Science Research; *Fathers; *Infant Behavior; *Parent Child Relationship; Psychological Needs; Social Development; *Socialization

ABSTRACT

This study examined father-infant and mother-infant relationships by observing infants and parents in their homes. The subjects were 20 infants, 10 boys and 10 girls, 7 and 8 months of age. Each infant was visited twice when both parents were at home. All visits were made by the same two persons: a male observer, who maintained a narrative account of infant and adult behaviors and a female visitor, who provided an alternative interactive partner for the child. Comparisons were made between the frequencies of affiliative- and attachment behaviors (including smiling, looking, vocalizing, reaching, approaching, and seeking to be held) which were directed by the infant toward each adult. Results of multivariate analyses showed a significant preference by infants for their fathers over their mothers and the visitor, and for their mothers over the visitor. When data were compared on the individual measures, neither parent emerged as a preferred attachment object but there was far more affiliative type interaction with father than mother. It was noted that fathers also engaged in more physically stimulating and unpredictable games. The author suggests that the prominence of play in the father-infant relationship helps to make the father a person with whom interaction is pleasurable, varied, and unpredictable.

(Author/BRT)

* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
* to obtain the best copy available. nevertheless, items of marginal *
* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *

ED 111501
PS 00801
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

INFANT ATTACHMENT TO MOTHERS AND FATHERS*

Michael E. Lamb

Yale University

* The study is one of a series of researches carried out in the Ecology of Human Development Program under a grant from the Foundation for Child Development. The study was undertaken as a dissertation project in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the doctoral degree in the Graduate School at Yale University. The author expresses his gratitude to William Kessen, Phoebe Ellsworth, Katherine Nelson, Uri Bronfenbrenner and the other reviewers of the Ecology of Human Development Program, Thomas M. Achenbach, Mary D. Ainsworth, and Greta G. Fein for their contributions to the conduct of the study. Thanks are also offered to Marcia Halperin for her comments on an earlier draft of the paper, and to Kinthi Sturtevant, Judith McBride, and particularly Jamie E. Lamb for their invaluable contributions to the collection and reduction of the data.

Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development, Denver, Colorado, April 10-13, 1975.

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 111 502

PS 008 019

AUTHOR Jennings, Kay D.
TITLE People vs. Object Orientation in Preschool Boys and Girls.
PUB DATE 12 Apr 75
NOTE 16p.; Paper presented at the Biennial Meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development (Denver, Colorado, April 12, 1975)
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 Plus Postage
DESCRIPTORS *Behavior Patterns; Classroom Observation Techniques; Cultural Factors; *Interpersonal Relationship; Play; *Preschool Children; Sex Differences; *Sex Stereotypes; *Social Behavior
IDENTIFIERS Object Orientation; *People Orientation

ABSTRACT

This study was undertaken to explore the cultural stereotype that boys are more object-oriented and girls are more people-oriented. A total of 38 white, middle class, preschool children were observed during their free play hour at nursery school when a variety of people and objects were freely available to them. Each child was observed with a time sampling method for approximately 50 minutes over three nonconsecutive days. The observer, who was not aware that sex was a relevant variable, rated the children's play behavior with regard to its focus and context. The focus was categorized as being directed toward people, objects, or other and the context as being solitary, parallel, associative, or cooperative play. The mean scores on focus and context were summed to form a single index of People versus Object Orientation. The results showed no differences between boys and girls on People versus Object Orientation or on either of the component measures of focus or context. One minor difference between the sexes was that the most object-oriented and the most people-oriented children tended to be boys, while most girls tended to divide their attention fairly evenly between people and objects. (Author/JMB)

* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
* to obtain the best copy available. nevertheless, items of marginal *
* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *

ED111502

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

People vs. Object Orientation in Preschool

Boys and Girls*

Kay D. Jennings, Ph.D.

Social and Behavioral Sciences Branch

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development

*Presented on April 12, 1975 at the Biennial Meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development, Denver, Colorado

11002

People vs. Object Orientation in Preschool

Boys and Girls

One of our cultural stereotypes is that boys and men are more interested in objects whereas girls and women are more interested in people.

This stereotype has sometimes found its way into the scientific literature. For example, in an extensive review of the literature on sex differences, Garai and Scheinfeld (1968) concluded that it is "reasonably well established....(that) from earliest infancy on, males exhibit a greater interest in objects and their manipulation whereas females show a greater interest in people and a greater capacity for the establishment of interpersonal relationships (p. 270)." Similar conclusions have been reached by Hutt (1972) in a separate review of the literature; and T. Moore (1967) has based a theory of intellectual development upon these presumed sex differences in interest.

Despite these repeated assertions of sex differences in interest in people and objects, little empirical evidence supports this notion.

Garai and Scheinfeld, for example, cite only a single study of children under six years (Goodenough, 1957) to support their conclusion.

In this study, Goodenough found that preschool girls, in comparison to boys, more frequently drew people in spontaneous drawing and more frequently mentioned people in spontaneous conversation with a tester. Goodenough's conclusion that girls show more interest in people was highly inferential as she did not observe the everyday activities of

the children. In two other empirical studies, in which the play activities of young children were actually observed, the authors have also interpreted their findings as indicating sex differences in people versus objects. In both of these studies, the observational systems used were quite differentiated and differences found in a single category of play were interpreted as reflecting differences in interest in people or objects. In the first study, Brindley, Clarke, Hutt, Robinson, and Wethli (1973) found that girls engaged in "significantly and substantially more social interactions" than boys. However, if this category of social interaction is combined with three others that seem to indicate an equally strong interest in people, (that is, "rough and tumble," "running, chasing play," and "agonistic interactions") then the differences are sharply reduced and seem most unlikely to be statistically significant. (It is not possible to compute a new *t*-test from the published data.) In the second study, Pedersen and Bell (1970) found that boys more frequently "manipulated physical objects" than did girls. However, if this category of play is combined with a separate category of "manipulating clay and dough," then the sex differences disappear. One additional study by Little (1968, 1969) is quite frequently cited to support the notion of sex differences in interest. Yet only brief abstracts of this research have been published, and neither the methods nor the results are clearly stated; furthermore, only a single oblique reference is made in these abstracts to findings of sex differences. In summary, there is only weak empirical evidence

to support the notion that preschool girls are more interested in people while boys are more interested in objects.

In contrast to the weak evidence favoring sex differences, ten additional studies were found that indicated no sex differences in interest in people versus objects in young children. Table 1 contains a list of these studies and their findings (as well as the studies previously discussed). In none of these ten studies were individual differences in interest in people versus objects specifically assessed; instead, degree of interest must be inferred from such variables as frequency of peer and adult social interaction, (Reuter & Yunik, 1973), degree of social participation (i.e., amount of group, parallel, and self play) (Smith & Connolly, 1972), or amount of alone play (Heathers, 1955). Perhaps because of the indirectness of these studies, current thinking on sex differences in young children (see for example, Post & Hetherington, 1974; Matheny, Dolan & Wilson, 1974; Waldrop & Halverson, 1975) continues to suggest that differences in interest do exist despite the preponderance of empirical findings favoring no sex differences.

Method.

The present study directly examined the issue of preferences for different types of interactions. The observed interactions were classified into a scale of People versus Object Orientation, which was defined as the proportion of time the children were observed to interact with people as compared to objects. To assess Orientation, the children were observed when a variety of people and objects were

freely available to them in a setting that was part of their everyday life, that is, the nursery-school free play hour.

Two aspects of play behavior relating to degree of interest in people and objects were differentiated. The first and most important aspect was the focus of attention, which was determined mainly by where the child's eyes were directed. Possible categories were people, objects, both, or other; 'other' consisted of activities that could not be adequately described as attention to people or objects, such as play with pets or listening to music. The second aspect was the interpersonal context of play; that is, was the child engaged in solitary, parallel, associative, or cooperative play (see Parten, 1932). The use of the two measures, focus and context, in observing play behavior allowed the accurate recording of play behavior that did not fit the concept of a single dimension of orientation. For example, a child assisting others in building a fort while discussing plans would be coded as having an object focus, but a social (that is, associative) context. Conversely, solitary role-playing, for example feeding a doll, would be coded as having a social (that is, people) focus but a solitary context. In actual fact, play activities like these, involving a social focus but a non-social context or vice versa, were found to be quite rare. Thus most of the children's play behavior could be adequately characterized as either people oriented or object oriented.

Both focus and context were rated once a minute on a three-point scale, with three indicating a focus on people or a social content.

The mean scores on focus and context were summed to form a single index of People vs. Object Orientation. Each child was observed with a time sampling method for about 50 minutes over three nonconsecutive days. The observer was not aware that sex was a relevant variable. Inter-observer reliability of the observational categories was established prior to the collection of data. Reliability coefficients were .93 for focus and .98 for context.

The sample consisted of 38 white, middle class children from three suburban nursery school classes. There were 22 boys and 16 girls. Their mean ages were the same: 4 years and 10 months for both the boys and the girls.

Results and Discussion

The results were quite clear. No differences were found between boys and girls in mean scores on People vs. Object Orientation ($t = .41$, n.s.), nor on either of the component measures, that is, the focus of attention ($t = .42$, n.s.) or the interpersonal context of play ($t = 1.47$, $p = .15$). On the measure of focus, the boys' mean score was 2.04 and the girls' mean score was 2.00, indicating that both boys and girls divided their attention evenly between people and objects. Scores on context were considerably higher, 2.6 for the boys and 2.7 for the girls, indicating that most of the children's play occurred in a social group involving either associative or cooperative play (both scored as three for the present purposes). In short, boys and girls were indistinguishable in the degree of interest they showed to people and objects.

The issue must be raised of whether the present measures of Orientation were sensitive enough to detect possible sex differences. In another aspect of the study, scores on focus, context, and Orientation were found to relate to certain intellectual abilities as predicted (see Jennings, in press), thereby suggesting that the present measures were sensitive to a meaningful dimension of individual differences.

One minor qualification must be made to the assertion of no sex differences. The variance of the boys' scores was significantly greater than that of the girls' on Orientation ($F(21, 15) = 3.29$, $p < .05$) and context ($F(21, 15) = 3.13$, $p < .05$) and approached significance on focus ($F(21, 15) = 2.39$, $p < .10$). That is, the most object-oriented children and the most people-oriented children tended to be boys. Most girls, on the other hand, tended to be in the middle of the distribution, dividing their attention fairly evenly between people and objects. It is difficult to find a satisfactory interpretation of these differences in variance. The central issue, however, is the lack of mean differences between sex groups; boys and girls did not differ in their interest in people versus objects.

Conclusions

The assertion that boys are more thing-oriented and girls are more people-oriented fits in well with our cultural stereotypes of the nature of boys and girls. Perhaps this is why the notion is so popular--even in scientific circles. Despite this popularity, there is very little evidence to support the notion. Quite the contrary, the findings

from the present study indicate that preschool boys and girls are quite similar in this respect, and this conclusion is bolstered by the findings of quite a number of other studies in which interest in people and objects has been less directly assessed. There may well be differences in the manner in which preschool boys and girls interact with people and differences in what objects they choose to manipulate; but in terms of global interest or orientation, preschool boys and girls seem indistinguishable.

The issue of whether girls, as compared to boys, are more interested in people or more sociable can be approached in a number of different ways. In the present paper, the specific question asked was whether girls, as compared to boys, more frequently interacted with people than objects. Maccoby and Jacklin (1974), in their recent review of the literature over a large age range, approached the issue of possible sex differences in sociability in a number of other ways. For example, they looked at sensitivity to social cues, friendship patterns, susceptibility to social influence, and achievement motivation for tasks with objects as compared to social tasks. From these other perspectives, Maccoby and Jacklin concluded that girls do not differ from boys in sociability.

Thus there now exists a large body of findings refuting the notion that girls are more people-oriented and boys more object-oriented. Nonetheless this notion persists, perhaps because of our tendency to remember a result that fits in with our established way of thinking, while quickly forgetting a dozen other results that disconfirm our

stereotypes. The findings of the present study clearly indicate that when both people and objects are freely available, preschool boys choose to interact with people about as frequently as girls do and, vice versa, preschool girls tend to interact with objects about as frequently as boys do.

References

- Barnes, K. E. Preschool play norms: A replication. Developmental Psychology, 1971, 5, 99-103.
- Brindley, C., Clarke, P., Hutt, C., Robinson, I. & Wethli, E. Sex differences in the activities and social interactions of nursery school children. In R. Michael & J. Crook (Eds.) Comparative Ecology and Behavior of Primates. London: Academic Press, 1973.
- Clark, A., Wyon, S. & Richards, M. Free play in the nursery school child. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 1969, 10, 205-216.
- Cramer, P. & Hogan, K. A. Sex differences in verbal and play fantasy. Developmental Psychology, 1975, 11, 145-154.
- Garai, J. & Scheinfeld, A. Sex differences in mental and behavioral traits. Genetic Psychological Monographs, 1968, 77, 169-299.
- Goodenough, E. Interest in persons as an aspect of sex differences in the early years. Genetic Psychological Monographs, 1957, 55, 287-323.
- Heathers, G. Emotional dependence and independence in nursery school play. The Journal of Genetic Psychology, 1955, 87, 37-57.
- Honzik, M. P. Sex differences in the occurrence of materials in the play constructions of preadolescents. Child Development, 1951, 22, 15-35.
- Hutt, C. Sex differences in human development. Human Development, 1972, 15, 153-170.

- Jennings, K. D. People versus object orientation, social behavior, and intellectual abilities in preschool children. Developmental Psychology, in press.
- Laosa, L. M. & Brophy, J. E. Effects of sex and birth order on sex-role development and intelligence among kindergarten children. Developmental Psychology, 1972, 6, 409-415.
- Little, B. R. Psychospecialization: Functions of differential interest in persons and things. The Bulletin of British Psychological Society, 1968, 21, 113.
- Little, B. R. Sex differences and comparability of three measures of cognitive complexity. Psychological Reports, 1969, 23, 607-611.
- Maccoby, E. E. & Jacklin, C. N. The psychology of sex differences. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press, 1974.
- Matheny, A. P., Jr., Dolan, A. B. & Wilson, R. S. Boyley's behavior record: Relations between behaviors and mental test scores. Developmental Psychology, 1974, 10, 696-702.
- McGandless, B. R. & Marshall, H. R. Sex differences in gender consciousness and participation of preschool children. Child Development, 1957, 28, 421-425.
- Moore, N. V., Evertson, C. M. & Brophy, J. E. Social support: Functional reconsiderations. Developmental Psychology, 1974, 10, 830-834.
- Moore, T. Language and individuality: A longitudinal study from eight years. Part I Patterns of development in language. Human Development, 1967, 10, 38-706.

- Parten, M. B. Social participation among preschool children. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1932, 27, 243-269.
- Pedersen, F. & Bell, R. Sex differences in preschool children without histories of complications of pregnancy and delivery. Developmental Psychology, 1970, 3, 10-15.
- Post, B. & Hetherington, E. M. Sex differences in the use of proximity and eye contact in judgments of affiliation in preschool children. Developmental Psychology, 1974, 10, 881-889.
- Reuter, J. & Yunik, G. Social interaction in nursery schools. Developmental Psychology, 1973, 9, 319-325.
- Smith, P. K. & Connolly, K. J. Patterns of play and social interaction in preschool children. In N. Blurton-Jones (Ed.) Ethological Studies of Child Behavior. London: Cambridge University Press, 1972.
- Waldrop, M. F. & Halverson, C. F., Jr. Intensive and extensive peer behavior: Longitudinal and cross-sectional analyses. Child Development, 1975, 46, 19-26.
- Walters, J., Pearce, D. & Dahms, L. Affectional and aggressive behavior of preschool children. Child Development, 1957, 28, 15-26.
- Whiting, B. & Edwards, C. P. A cross-cultural analysis of sex differences in the behavior of children aged three through eleven. The Journal of Social Psychology, 1973, 91, 171-183.

Table 1
Studies of Sex Differences in Interest
in People versus Objects

<u>Study</u>	<u>Source of Data</u>	<u>Major Findings</u>
A. Studies of sociability in play in preschool children		
Heathers, 1955	Observations of nursery-school free-play behavior (N = 20, age = 2 yrs., N = 20, age = 4 - 5 yrs.)	No sex differences in time spent in social play or in amount of social interaction.
McCandless & Marshall, 1957	Observations of nursery-school free-play behavior (N = 48)	No sex differences in amount of positive or negative interactions with peers or with adults.
Walters, Pearce & Dahms, 1957	Observations of nursery-school free-play behavior (N = 124, age = 3 - 5 yrs.)	No sex differences in amount of positive social interactions, verbal or physical.
Clark, Wyon & Richards, 1969	Observations of nursery-school free-play behavior (N = 40, age = 3½ yrs.)	No sex differences in sociability (number of companions/interval).
Barnes, 1971	Observations of nursery-school free-play behavior (N = 42, age = 3 - 5 yrs.)	No sex differences in amount of cooperative play, associative play, or parallel play.
Smith & Connolly, 1972	Observations of nursery-school free-play behavior (N = 40, age = 3 - 4 yrs.)	No sex differences in participation (composite of group, parallel, and self play).
Brindley, Clarke, Hutt, Robinson & Wethli, 1973	Observations of nursery-school activities (N = 40, age 3½ - 5 yrs.)	Girls engaged in significantly more "Social interaction" ($p < .01$); but if category of "Social interaction" is combined with "Rough and tumble," "Running/chasing," and "Agonistic," sex differences are drastically reduced, surely not significant.

Table 1 (Cont.)

<u>Study</u>	<u>Source of Data</u>	<u>Major Findings</u>
Reuter & Yunik, 1973	Observation of nursery-school activities (N = 131, age = 3 - 5 yrs.)	No sex differences in percentage of time spent in social interaction with peers or with adults.
Whiting & Edwards, 1973	Cross-cultural observational study of 7 cultures, including United States (N = 24 in most cultures; age = 3 - 11 yrs.)	No sex differences in greeting or in initiating or engaging in friendly interaction.
Jennings, in press	Observations of nursery-school free-play behavior (N = 38, age = 4½ yrs.)	No sex differences in People vs. Object Orientation (a composite of focus of attention and interpersonal context of play)
B. Studies of play with objects of solitary play in preschool children		
Heathers, 1955	(see above)	No sex differences in time spent in alone play.
Clark, Wyon & Richards, 1969	(see above)	No sex differences in time spent alone.
Pedersen & Bell, 1970	Observations of nursery-school activities (N = 55, age = 2½ yrs.)	Boys more often manipulated physical objects (e.g., blocks, toys); girls more often manipulated clay and dough. No sex differences when manipulating objects is combined with manipulating clay and dough.
Barnes, 1971	(see above)	No sex differences in amount of solitary play.
Laosa & Brophy, 1972	Observations of kindergarten free-play behavior (N = 93, age = 5½ yrs.)	No sex differences in amount of solitary play.
N. Moore, Evertson & Brophy, 1974	Observations of nursery-school free-play behavior by classroom teachers (N = 116, age = 5½ yrs.)	No sex differences in amount of solitary play.

Table 1 (Cont.)

<u>Study</u>	<u>Source of Data</u>	<u>Major Findings</u>
Jennings, in press	(see above)	(see above)
C. Other empirical studies		
Honzik, 1951	Scenes constructed during doll play under instructions to make an "exciting scene out of an imaginary moving picture." (N = 163, age 11 yrs., N = 164, age 12 yrs., N = 154, age 13 yrs.)	Girls used more persons (dolls) in their scenes; boys used more blocks and vehicles.
Goodenough, 1957	Spontaneous drawings (N = 247, age = 2 - 4 yrs.); Spontaneous verbalizations during testing (N = 52, age = 2 - 4 yrs.)	Girls more frequently drew people; girls more frequently mentioned people.
Little, 1968, 1969	Method and subjects unclear. A paper-and-pencil scale for measuring differential interest was developed. (Only very brief summaries of this research are published.)	Findings unclear. Only reference to sex differences is as follows: "...females, relative to males, appear to specialize in persons rather than things (Little, 1968) at the behavioral and interest levels (1969, p. 608)
Cramer & Hogan, 1975	Replication of Honzik's study. Scenes constructed during doll play under instructions to make an "exciting scene out of an imaginary moving picture" (N = 45, age 3 - 6 yrs., N = 47, age = 9 - 12 yrs.)	Girls used more persons (dolls) in their scenes (both age groups); boys used more blocks and vehicles (both age groups).