

ABSTPAC?
The purpose of this investigation vas to determine the relationship between reading ability and academic performance of tunior college freshman and to what degree a measure of reading ability could predict academic performance. The 313 pensacola Junior College freshman for whom 1970 Reading Index Scores on the plorida Twolfth Grade rest were available, and who had completed a minimum of 12 hours course work in both the fall and spring terms, 1979-72, were used as subfects. The criterion for academic performance was the cumulative GPA. On the basis of the criteria used and the resultant findings, it was concluded that: (1) The Reading Index Score was forni to be a significant predictor of the cumulative GPA in the freshan year of college in terms of the institution participating in this study: (2) The linear trend showed that 23 percent of the variation in GDA could be accounted for by variance in the Reading Indox score. The report includes a review of the literature as well is tablar lata and a discussion of the statistical methods. (Author/mJK)
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## CHAPTER I

LTSROULCTION

## CONTEXT OF THE PROBLEM

## BEST COFY Avallable

Successin college may depend largely upon the individual's aolility to read, and failure in college may result largely from the individual' e inability to road.

Historical evidence has proved that achievement in reading is cruclai to schoiastic performance. Joseph Tremonti (47) commentsi
"Reading skills are the very heart of the junior college progran. just as a cable is composed of nimerous wires which are interwoven, so, too . . reading ability is composed of many skills which are interwoven. . . there are four growth areas in reading skills - word identisication, speed, meaning or comprehension, and study skills. Each area ontails many siillls and sub-skilis."

Reading is a prosressive skill. A gross deficiency in reading on the junior college level prompts one to generalize about the chronic effect

Vany coileges provice remediai reading as a part of their interin sbulies. Vunerous studies Ludicate that college students can signifisaritig ingrore both their reading speed and reading comprehension. inyertieiges, the giejtion is whetrer a student who is deficient in oushe reading sidils can, at this period of academis pursuit, make readinis prupress suficloient to s'stadr collegiate requirenentis.

Accomiriz to fowzrd èrans (7), there are two optlaistic assump:ioris wrich urderide speciai reading prozransu

> The ficst assumption is that the spectal reading instruction will slenificantly improve the reading ablity of a majouty of the students varolled, The socond assiption is that the gains in learning ability, inciuding increased learning rate, will bit sustained follouing termination o. the course, and, presumably, positively affect academic performance,

On the college level, where the iarger portions of information are attalned througn the individual's reading and where reading difficulty cannot be compensated by oral examinations, deficiencies become more marisediy noticeable and tend to ccafimin a negative self-inage of fallure. Loiz Mueril (28) concludess
"The blunt truth ls that many of the academically 111 at ease, college attending students read so far behind their peers, and so much below expect3d stardards of conjrehension and facility, that they do need a modifiec form of remedial reading help. Yet, if we say they do, they elther avoid our labs thua ciesigrated, or else tiney enter burdened witil a sence of self-regating shame."

Large nunbers of college freshinen not onily exhibit reading diEALCuity out are understandabiy j.oath to read and, most particulariy, to de piaced in rearing remectation.

ELice Listerin reading programs are conducted on both a compule. sozf mid voiuntary basis, it is conceirable that some border-line readice disaolitis stidents would not be compeiled to enroll while some hizin reading auiilty stucients might voiuntarily enroll. Because of tinese possibilities, the students inciuded in this study will consis: 0 i join thoso siluients who did recalve interim instruction in sgating mit inong wh dit not. Tinls study does not direct itself


 if. roingeg.
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STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM
The purpose of this study was to cietermine the relationship between roading ability and academic performance of junior college freshmen and to what degree a neasure of reading ability could predict academle performance.

Statamit or mie hypotiesis
This atudy expected to show that there is a positive relationship between roading ablilty and acacomic porformance on the college lovel and that a measure of reading ability couli predict acacemic performance on the coileze level.

## SATIOHALE ROR THE RYFOTRESIS

## Lopical Rationale

Although reading siill should be prerequinite to scholastic perEomance on any levei, large numbers of students who have serious reading defielencies somehow manage to ereduate from high school and enter college. josegh Sremonti's study in 1969 (47) reveals that 25 yercent of our high school sraduates are seriously hardicapped readers and that an oven iareger percent have reading deficiencies. These statistics have subjocted the teacing of reacinig to sevore criticism, but this critioisa ha3 done iltise to reduce the numbers of handicapped readers from bocoming figg scioo: 5ratuates.
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musi be met primariny through skills acquired from the teaching of reading. On this level where reading difficulty cannot be compensated by oral examinations and whese larger portions of information are attained through the indivilumi's reailng, the positive relationship between reading abjlity and academic performance is a logical yrediction.

## Empiricai Rationale

This incoeasol awareness of ieading ability as $1 t$ aifects academic performance in sollege has, according to Fulker (10), produced some elght hundred citailons of published studios which involve college students in some piase of reading in the past fow years.

Baribe (2) in his "Reading-Inprivement Services in Colleges and Universithes," rejozied that about 75 percent of the colleges surveyed oifered remediai help in reading and about half of those indicated that their arograuls had develojed aiter 1950.

Two studies repertad to the i95j liational Reading Cenference were concemad with survoys of reading procrams. Aukerman (1) sent "opinionnalres" to $30 j$ state college and university presidents. One hundred and thicty-adeht of the presidents replied that tiney had reading prograna. Howevor, the prosidants who gave positive regiles also indicated a need for shEstantive a.d deflnitive data wh regard to effectiveness OE zeailing prostais in promoting stanizicant academic gains.
miurston (1-5) rapored a questlomalre survey of ccllege readinö
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"Wany teachers and researchers were quite content to report simple ormparisons of pree and post-training scores as proof of inatiuctional efficiency. . there has been little attempt to determine or promote a practical transfer of training to the task at hand - . . even the possible contribution to academic grades, perhaps one of the greatast justifications for reading improvenent, was usually iznored."

This ircreased awaieness of reading ability as it affects academic performance has grom from empirical evidence. Therefore, it would seem appropriate to assume that this study would support the prediction of positive relationship between reading ability and acadealc performance and would provide further empirical evidence that reading ability is most essential for success in college.

## OPENTIONAL DEFEIITIONS OF THE VARLABLES

The $\mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ Mjpe E Continuous Variables in thjes ex post Eacto study, deineated by Tuckman (49, p. 37, 59, 124), were definei as EOllous:

Reading ablilty was measured by state-wide reading test scores, Academic periomance was weasured by cumulative graderpoint averages.

Therg will be no relationship betreen reading ability, as measurcd of the Reaning Index Score on the Florida Twelfth Grade Test, and academic pariustanso, as measired of the cumulative orade-point average in the Sreshman jear of coisego.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Morida monety 3-ungoot }
\end{aligned}
$$


includea Aptitude, English, Social Studies, Natural Sciences, and Mathematics and 1s adininistezed to all senfors in Forida high schools in October of each acailemic year.

## Peading Ir.dex Score

The Reading Index Score is a composite score derived by sumning the Verbal Score on the Aptitude Test, one-half of the English Scoze, and one-half of the Social Studies Score obtained from the Florida Twelfth Grade Test. (See Appendix C)

Maxinin Scores and Scoring Formulas

Tost

| Maxinum <br> Score | Scoring <br> Formula |
| :--- | :--- |

3ook I Aptitude

| Verbai | 50 | Number Right |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Quantitative | 50 | Number Right |
| Bnelish | 85 | Number Right |
| 300k II | Social Studies | 65 |
| Natural Sciences | 60 | Number Right |
| Nathematics | 60 | Number Right |
| Reading Index | 125 | Composite |

## Asedsmic Pexpomance

Aii erades eamed tivinig the siret and second term of the fresinan jear by each atident lriciuded in tils investigation will be coded on 2 :0. $5-2010: \operatorname{scaig}(4-A, 3=B, 2=C, 1=D, 0=F)$. For each course tre riaione of hours whil te mi.ithjlied of the numeric rade code. These prolde: wis then be swared and divited oy the totan number of course
 Eor ino Erosimars jear.

The students used in this investigation included the total population of students who had taken the Florida Twelfth Grade Test and who had conpleted the first and secomi tems of the freshman foar with a minhman of 12 hours of course work during each of the two tems at Pensacola Junior College during the 1971-72 acsdemic year.

Each of the students identified as froshien and included in this investifation, tiserefore, had a Florida involfth Grade Test Score pub1sined in tino Fall 1970 Percentile ianks Florida State-hide Twelfth Grids Pesting proszan, an arnual 1isting sponsored by the University of Fiorida. Each of tine students had graduated from a Florida higin scinooi in 2972 and had completed a minimum of 24 hours of course work during tine iresimaii year at Pensacola junior College.

## ASSUNTMIONS AND DEIMITATIONS

Sie Feading Index Score on the Florida Twelfth Grade Test was assumed to be a valid instrinent for determining the student's reading ablity.

This assinption was appropriate because the Reading Index Score detemines the placement of students into remedial readrig courses. Gorgriijo sporida junior Coileges require or recommend that each stionat wio scores jelow the parcentice rank of 30 on the Reading
 Fuatho tidex zoorg on tiog Fordia inaizin Crade Test is considered




 academic performance that appear on official transcripts. further, scholastic honors and awaris or academic probation and suspension are based on the student's grade-point average. Therefore, the cumulative grade-point average is considered to be the criterion for measuring the student's academic performance.

The students used in this study were assumed to represent tine population at Pensacola Junior College. There is no fonow reason for belleving that this 1971-72 academic year and this junior college is not typical of a much larger population of college freshmen. Strictly considered, however, the conciusions can oniy be generalized to the particular population studied.

SIGiIfTCAVE OF THE STUDY
This investigation will extend emplrical research which concerns the reiationship between reading ability and academic performance and will provide data that may aid educators who are directly or indirectiy invoived with the teaching of reading. Ooservations concerning this relationsinip could encourage educators on the pre-college level to renew their already conscientious efforts to improve the reading skills of their students. In the broadest possible terms, this study might sligesest the need Sor further research which would provide empirical ovilence truat reading instruction on the junior college level is succossiui in promotirag significant academic gains. Academic performarce reiztive to readiry ability has not previously been systematicailij studied at Persacola Junior College.

## CHAPTER II

REVIEN ON THE LITEATURE

## BEST COPY AVALLABLE

## AN OVERVIEW

The college student is approaching that stage of his life where he will soon have to deal with society directiy and prove his abilities outside the imited es:? romment of the classroom.

A number of studies have been reported which have attemptied to anaiyze components of success or fallure of students entering college. The past four decades have produced some 220 or more articles, dissertations, and books dealing in some fashion with junior-community college reading sifils. This literature is unevenly difiused in twenty-three different joumals varying in scope and purpose from the Junior College joumin', responsible for fifty-six arificles, to the American Journal of Ciinical ïpnosis, hosting one one article. Ten different yearbooks and sonference proceedings, twenty-two dissertations, sixteen Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) releases, nine monographs, and occasionai papers round out the list oi vehicles according to Kerstiens (23).

The geographical origins of this potpourril of literature reveal some interesting extremes for its lack of patterm. Twenty-nine states are responsibie for all the iiterature - Califormia having produced 38 percent, Sisw York 6.5 percent, and Texas 6 percent with the other 26 states trailins far benind. Pernaps even more interesting is the variety of discipiines represented. The sociologist, psychologist, pychiatrist, linguist, cibiictnerapist, counseior, optometrist, rietorician, Lrstractional tech-
nolcist, and mathemajenicist are a few professionals who have concerned themselves with tine teaching of reading. Such a harlequin of verbiage does not make for consistency in findings, content, or style (23). herg, in chronoiosical order from the earliest publication in 1929 te the present, are annotations of ten noteworthy contributions; Frojably the first record of research and development in junior college reading/study skilis was contributed by Von Kleinsmid and Touton (51). Tiis comprehensive monograph notes that the inferior work of lower division students is frequently due to inadequate reading preparation and inadequate knowledge of the "laws of learning." This research questions whether anytining fundamental to the teaching of college-level reading has emerged in the field during the last forty jears.

Miklas (27) contributed perhaps the most comprehensive and detailed study in his survey of fifty-seven colleges, twenty-eight of which were junior colleges. He reports that 75 percent of jurior colleges have remediad reading programs, 81 percent of the practitioners perceive that other staff members are indifferent to the program, and a high percentage beileve that heary teaching loads, inadequate tests, and administrative indifierence hamper their effectiveress.

Tine most incredible of the studies surveged was that of Mullins (29) who sugeested that professional disparagement of the tachistoscope results ir the device's uninspired use. Muilins explains a vertical periphery testricia of reacing three-iline phrases at .1 second exposure time. He ciains that pro- and post-testing on the SRA Better Reading Book Two pro-



index increase of from 174 WPM to 13,445 WPM or that readers became 77 times more efficient in one semester's treatment.

The most estimable study, presented by Newnan (32), gives an objective, frank, and graphic survey that assesses the severe handicaps of junior college students and offers a complete program descriptions training in perceptual accuracy; multilevel materiais for reading, spelifng, and vocobulary; motivational medias and selection of staff who wiil "adjust their approaches until they find suitable ways to serve the reading needs of their own particular students."

Holding that there is a danger of "overspecialization in a fragmenting of the student's performance, and that the reading specialist is a case in point," iorthen (54) gives a most reproving summation. He states that "when the matrix of learning is such that it can convince him (the nngiish instructor) of the value of the machine and the speciailst, he will even go willingly to them for help."

Kaunierski (21) reports an exemplary finding in college and adult reading where five to eight percent of the student population is enrolled in a "costly but profitable" nongraded course structure in reading/study sixills, complemented by a referral.laboratory. This study in the Lorain County Community College provides some objective measures Oi the progran's success, which is attributed to "the many beneficial factors of 'separate' department status; comoination of courses and independent iabs; an enormous supply of materials; cooperation from tive aciniristration; an outstanding staff; and a community with foresignt."

A mos: carefully controlied study, reported by Tascow (44) at the Sorirai Cregon Community Coileze, gives a comparison of two Iffij-hour cobrsns dosigned to incroase vocabiliars, roading comprehension, and reading rate, Une course met ilve dajs a weak for ten weoks; the other
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course met two days a week for twenty weeks. Pre- and post-testing on the Neison-Denny Reading Test, Forms $A$ and $B$, indicated that the shortterm course was as efiective as the long-term course, and a re-test ten weoks after course tomination indlcated that the intensive training avalied slifthtly higher retention of sifills.

Feuers' (9) most sobering study at the University of Califormia anaiyzes reading sidils and five other variables and indicates that there is no significant relationship between subject CPA and comprehension or vocabulary measures, and, further, that IQ does not relate to college GRA or aubject GPA. "It appears that high general reading ability gives no assurance of acadomic success nor, conversely, does iow reading abiilty assure failure in some subject areas."

Bossone ( $\mathrm{H}_{\text {) }}$ ) reinvents the wheel in a most ineifectual reacing skilis study at the City University of Niow York. He claims a "inique dinension" anci assumes that an unrandomized poll of 496 student's feelings about their academic problems would correlate highly with the "pexformance levei of reading/study sijills." This survey, replete with sixteer pazes of grapin, iznores extant research and supporting objective measures.

At Moorpari College, Strunpi (42) gives a most refreshing look at the teacining of colleze-ievel reading. He defines reading as "having a love afizir with a book" and he evaluates the reading specialist's primary sunction as motirational as he describes "the most galastrophic readLus prosrain, RHia!" Strunpt also advocates that the practioner should be a maie who has experignced some difiliculty in his own schooling, and that a ceating iacoratory shouid be replete with a "dreaming ceriter."

Anese extrens inconsistensies in eindings and attitudes relative to $\dot{\sim}$ nior coiiege-levei reading logicaily suggest a ciose look at the "sjstem."

Since reading skill or the lack of it affecte learming in most. diaclplines, crities from both inalde and outalds of the teaching of reading arena aro calling for a fundanental doparture from the provaliing educational structure and are emphasizing the necessity of a larger role for the individual in the total educative process. Some of these critics Ancludar Paul Goodman, John iolt, Don Butcofsky, Blleen Sargent, and John Valsey.

Despite the apparant success of many students in terms of grades, promotion, and Eraduation, the critics maintiain that the eross inadequacies of reading skilis preclude any real achievement or auccess for tigese siudente. Paui Goodian (11) suggests that:
> "rie are so mesmerized by the operation of a system with the appropriate name, for instance 'Education,' that we assimite tinat it musi be working somewhat, though admittedily not perfectly, when perhaps it has ceased to fulfill its function altogetier and migit oven be preventing the function, for instance education."

John rioit (16) ecinoes this rationale with an even broader scope:
". . . there is a more important sense in which almost all erildren Eai: except for a hardful, they fall to dovelop mors than a tiry part of the tremendous capacity for leaming, understandirg, and creating with which they were bom and of whicin they made full use during the first two or thres jears of their lives."

Dor. Extcoisky's "Anj Leaming Sixills Taught in iigh School (5)?" seates that anorg inferior study practices related to reading, 65 percen: of the students in his Learning Sikills Center at the University of Lnianare failed to read sources other than tine textboik. Fifty gexeent finioc to uss the table of contents of a textoook as a frame of roieranco. Fortj-two percent Eilied to use clues such as questions, headinga, and sumaries to guide tingle reading. Twonty-oight percent
suriered from word-by-word readlng.
beit cupy avainale
Elleen Sargent (37) belleves that beyond beling taught the sixill of reading, "ibgen school students howld know what is actually invoived in
 a theocetical understanding of reading."

Jois Valzoy's exisation for Toworton (50) shares the awareness of dindoquactes in the sjsten and reflectst
"An enomous anount of present-day leaming in the schoola could on mational exanination be found to Des quito purposoloss, whilst a substantial amount of what would be dseiful is not taught; and what is taucint, wingther purposeiul oz purposeless, is often belis taught extrenely neésiclenthy."

Aithough the teaciling of reading need not bear the sull responsi-
 ine thacris. of tinds sequantial sikil la paramount. Spache (40) says that " . . . a college student can anticipate meeting 50 to 60 neid words jer weok," and this chaidenge zust be met primarily through skilis acquired from tire teachirg of reading.

The well-mox aneilsh essajist and poet Joseph Addison points the wajı

```
            "agading is to the nimi what exercise is to the body.
                As ij the ong, health lis preserved, strengthened, and
                invigozated; bj the other, virtue (which is the heaith
                OE the mind) is kopt ailvo, cherisiod, and conflrmed."
    ujsepn Trenonti (1.7) wouid have shocked Seventeenth Century Addison
with tre EOLiowirg resent stuly:
```

    "i. is minj as i6 militon Americans are functionai
        Lilitgrises. Tinej carrot read ajove the 4 th
        grada ingei.
    2. Eirint misison adia os orer tho afr of 25 cannot
        reart the ofsivaient of a daly newspaper.
        j. Twor:j-3eron persont of amy draftees fail the
        Brotir exaniriations jeraisse they aro disabled
        readezi.
    besi cupy avaluble
4. Aiseen jercunt of our high school graduates are aertousiy handicappec readurs."

Hoporwiy, the teaching of roading will find compatibllity with arithung "roilop, the aigenteenti Century meilah novellat, who proalsed hls young Erionda:

> "rith reisence to thle hajit of reading I nake bold to tell you that it to your pass to the greatest, the purest, and tha wost joriect pleasure that God has prejured for ids creatures."

Tals "perfect pleasuro" and utilitarian tool, reading skill, has been a tophe of interest, concem, and research which has addressed stools to hirrovement and has grom proportionately greater in each of the past successive \{our decades.

和
Readina, the basic tool of edseation and one of the mest limporiont
 with each deade since 2930 accoring to owiker (10).

Fros 2945 through 1952, Traxier and Tomsend (46) nuted that there
 soijosg ard adit loved that at the nigh school level. Sumers (43) rejorsed trat dinost trice as many doctoral theses concerred college roadners be:weor 2950 and 2950 than between 1930 and 2950.
 reforisirj the rising atatus and practices of remediai and corrective
 : 1002.


 Howevar, low or negative correlations between radias achlevomont and college success have been reported by yoqueen (26), Musphy and Duvis (30), and Preston and Botel (\%).
 hoip given in college reating probzans ujon grades or scholastic achlevement. Guncerson (12) found that benerits from roading instruction or calns in reading sidll resulting from colloge reading prograins were mont pertinent to success in courses such as religion, hiatory, sociolozy,
 dred male sreshmen, fourd timat tine reading courso requized of the seiested coiloge ireshaen did seen to heip atudents in verioul courses anc in thelr readlag.

In 2902 3i00mer (3) found tinat an experinental group nade sieniaicantily greator galns in reading speed and comprohension than did a conErOL Eroup. Eiconer concluded, however, that gains in reading skill were not related to acadenic achievenant or to gains in such. Felnberg, Long, and Roseninoci ( 0 ) EOunc no "statistically significant differences in elifer best scorg gairs or coiiogs grades" after freshmen students at the Sity Coijege of rien York had jarticipated in a mandatory reading skijis co:irse, aill (16) rejorted that comprehension, technical and enostaj vocajuiary, and reaidng rate of Indiana University freshmon 1mprovec after participation in a compulsory sixtren-session reading COMTse.

A 3íjoct analjsis of a reading program at New York City Commundty Goiiexa ir 1563 was prosentat bj digison (3i). dinetj-six stucionti wero



three bottar secilona da whicin apeod raading was otrossed, rato gadna ranged from 75 so 104 WPM. The median reading griade levol in the thrie bettor sectona hacrowed from elghth jrade to ol wenth grade. Apzrox-
 a crade anificions to matricheate to tho degroo proyran" accordinis to ashboviment at a tenti-erade Levei on the Diamostic noading Tests. os tite totai $90^{\circ}$ stujenis th the profzah, howevar, less than halis showed reading extia, agyroababtely onewititin of the students showed no coins, and approxtiatiaiy one-thind of the sitidents showed reaciong loss.





 EOE any $00^{\circ}$ the three groups.

 corpcotarision sinarions in compinsorj reading courses. iowever, correlations dacied statistlcai sichlídeance.
in inhy ing inconsistancies ir ELruligg contiried in studies by








asfichantiy wi ibe anj of tho seaester to remaln in achool. Stebens (42) reported that a checis with asiduremant in eubject areas. indicated that aiuctenta ware lising the sixills that had been soquirad in the reading 20 OH 2 a 。

However, koliy abic yech (22) found "ne indscation" that a group of
 monta ir ceading periorwance as roijocsed in tirelr erade-golnt avozader. Rogenaburg ( 3 ) found no signicicant difforonces between grade-point




 tainon ir.o cowise in reacing and a matcined groun of students who had rot biken tha cowreg.
 in $\operatorname{iziN}$, ies icsain ( 25 ; to conciucie that the progran was not efioctive in


 troo 5ting, anj it dld not resuit ha a sterificantly nigner proportion Of jasizurs ojzades after onc senester of romecilation.






 thage our techiojugy what progress to the polat that we may bo ablo to getmulato and analyag ina way in windeh an individunl learns to dacodo
 reanding based on infomation theory, agociative thinking, and readablibto."

On the basiss of the evidence aurreyed, it is roasonabie to assife tiat reports ciaining succoss or adateting dailuze in the teachlig of reanting ca tio coileg lovel sistain the noed for furtioz livestheation consecting ins roiazlensinds baeween reading abllity and schoiastic anindevomant.

## Cithear



## 

The subjects for thla investigation consiztad of Pensacola junior Coilege seudgits. ivery student wio met the critezia for this investigation was seiected.

OHE PROCEDUTE

 06 tive oasis of the soliowing exiteztas

- Daci of the studonts compioted a minimun of i2 hours of course worit during the fiall Tom 197i.

2. Zach of the studenta stosequently conpleted a minhinit of 12 hours oí courso work during ine Sywiog Torm 1972.
3. Einch of the studentc radi a leading Indox Seore or: the rlorita Tiwolith Crade rest whish whs jobilsied Ln tho 1970 perconthe

 sored by the üncercity of ilorida.
riss rathois of sajestion jlelcied a population whth the foiiowinc desceigidro charactericilcs
4. Euch of the students inciuied in this investigation took the inordda ivelfth Grade Tast in Desobys, 1970, and graduated from a Elocida hidit achood. in June, 1971.
5. Iath at the abdonts biatuled in thls investligation, having graduated from high sshocl in June, 1971, had had no previous coilege watio
6. Each of the students ineluded in this investigation, having eraduated from high school in June, 1971, was approxinately seventeen to twenty years of age.

This netion oí selection eifininaced transÉer atudents, sophouoce



 sci.00i .


 gos:ie seore obsinhad of shandict the Verbal Score on the Aptitude "'as:, ora-inis of tha Ergilsh Score, and one-half of the Social Stiongo تcoco. The it is a jercen:itie zank based on the performance
 -200.



scale, where $4=A, 3=B, 2=C, 1=D$, and $0=F$, the weighted average of the Fall Term 1971 grade-point average and the Spring Term 1972 gradepoint average yielded the CCPA. ${ }^{1,2}$

## Statistical treatment

The statistical procedures used in the treatment of data were: 1) inear regression analysis and 2) correlation analysis.

In this investigation the Step-Wise Multiple Regression Program set forth by IBM Scientific Subroutine Pacikage ${ }^{3}$ was used to determine the coefficient of linear correlation and the regression coefficients derived from the CGPA and RI variables. The t-test was used to determine the significance of the slope of the linear regression line, using the .05 level of significance. A table of critical Values of the Pearson Product Moment Correlation Coefficient (Tuckman, 1972, p. 371) was used to test the significance of the correlation coefficient at the .05 level of significance.
$i_{\text {Ining rad }}$ ia:a of Cinuiztive Graciewjoint Averages (CGPA) and Reading Index Scores ( $A_{I}$ ) Sor the totai population of 313 students are reported in Apzanisix $\lambda$, 28. 36-40.
2 nen thiniztad raw data of the Xean Cu7ulative Grade-Point Averages (CGPA) ard tho Rear dearing Index Scores (RI) For the total population of 313 siudents are reported in ippendix 3, p. 42.
3irg major portion of tho stintistisal computations used in this study
was perfomed by the تु\% 360 computer at The Univarsity of West Fiorida.

## CHAPTER IV

## AMAOSIS Miv RESULTS

The basic element in the desion of this investigatios was the relailonship between the two variables Reading Index Score (RI) on the Florida Twelfth Grade Test (SAT) and the cumulative grade-point averare (CGPA) for each of the students inciuded in this investigation.

The Step-Wise Multiple Regression Program from the IBM Scientific Subroutine Package was used to determine the statistical relationstip betreen the CCPA ard RI of college icesimen and to provide a regression anaiysis for purposes oí determining tine linear equation to jiedict CGPA From RI.

The analyses of the data are presented in Tables 1, 2, ard 3:
Table 1 reports the data for the regression equation which was used to determine the innear trend between CGFA and RI. The model empiojed to represent this relationship was the inear equation $Y=a+b X$ where $\underline{Y}$ was the CGPA (tine criterion), a was the intercept (constant), $\underline{\underline{O}}$ was tine regression coefzicient, and $\underline{X}$ was the $R I$ (the predictor).

TABLE 1
TiE LITEACEPA, a, ADD TNE SLOPA, b, FOR

$$
y=a+b x
$$

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | $a$ | $b$ |
| 313 | 1.354 .02 | .01350 |

The regression equation formulated was as followst

$$
y=1.35462+.01358 x
$$

The major or primary hypothesis was:
agoothois l. There will be no rolationship botween reading ability, as measured by the $R$ if on the SRT, and academic performances, as measured by the CCPA in the freshman year of college.

To test and fully explore the first null hypothesis it was necessaxy to generate and test additional hypotheses. In ordex to test the significance of the slope, the following hypothesis was generated,

Bpotinesis 2. The two variailes RI and CGPA are not linearly related. This nuli ajpotiesis was rejected at the .05 level of confidence. The t-values derived excesded the table values given for the .05 level of confidence. A comparison of computed t-values with critical values (41, p. 370) showed that the inear regression was significant at the .05 level uf confidence. Therefore, there was evidence to support a strong inear relationship ostween $R I$ and CGPA.

Tabie 2 reports the data for the t-test which was used to determine the sigrificance of the siope of the ingear regression line.

TABLE 2
COMP:MED t-VALUES FOR TESTING TME
SIGiIfighice of tie sLope of
tie leiear regression lime
$\left.\begin{array}{ccc}\hline & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Conpited } \\ \text { t-Vaiues }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Sismificant } \\ \text { at } 05 \text { Level }\end{array}\right]$

In order to deturmine the drecion of tio linear relationshdp between the CCPA and Bi and to discem the strength of this relationship, the following null hypothesis was generated
ficothesis 3. The equation of the line of best fit will not predict Cuph irom a given RI. In other words, $\underline{b}$, the slope of the true regression line will da zero. The null hypothesis was rejected at the .05 level of significance. The values derived exceeded the values reported ( $41,2.371$ ) for the .05 level of confidence. There. fore, the equation of the ine of best fit will predict CGPA from a givon RI.

Tabie 3 roports the data for the coefficient of inear correlation Detween $\operatorname{di}$ and CGPA winich was designated by $r$ and has a unitiess ninioer
 tire direction (fositive or iosative) and an indication oi the strongtin Oi the observed inear ceiailor between the CGPA and RI.

## TABLE 3

COEATALAM OF LILEAR CORRELATIO:
3ジunai RI ND CGPa

| $:$ | z | Stenificant at .05 Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 313 | . 40 3 | yes |

## RESULTS

The findings indicate that the original hypothesis (Hypothesis 2) 2at ba rejacted as it reiates to the variables (CopA and RI). Two statistical tests have shown that the relationship between $X$ and $Y$ is too great to be attributable solely to chance. There is a significant relationship between CGPA and RI.

In the regression equation, a substitution of a particular student's RI score for $X$ predicts the probable CGPA that a freshman will earn.

The example which follows illustrates the use of the regression model ior predicting the CGPA.

If a student had an RI score of 71, his most likely CGPA would compute,

$$
y=2.35462+.02358(71)=2.32
$$

The coefiicient of detemination $\left(x^{2}\right)$, which is an indication of the strength of the linear relationship between CGPA and RI, revealed the amount Oi variance accounted for by the variables. The percent of variance accounted Eor was as foilowar

$$
(0.403)^{2}=23 ; \%
$$

The certral quastion of this stidy was: will there be a relationship cetwgen academic periormance and reading ability and will reading ability, deilioed in this study as RI, predict acadenic performance, as measured by SciA enrued during the Erestman year of college? A study group of 313 stidert sujojets was seiected for araljsis.

Sias Eindinas indicated a posltive and signleicant relationship cetwon trie reading aubility, as measured by RI, and academic porformance,
as meabury by CGis the irestinan yeur oi college, for the subjects under conslduration. Using the rejression model $Y a+b X$ where $Y$ was the Lio'd (tino criterion), i上 was tho intercept (constant), $\underline{b}$ was the regres-
 Do a shembitcant predictor of the probable CGPA in the irestuman year of coilese. Contebation anaijses, ebploying the coeificient of datemination, zevoaled a linear trend whicin showed that 23 percent of the variailon in GGRA could je accounted for by variation in RI. The results of the analyses and findings were significant at the .05 levei of confldence.

## CHAPTEA V

SiJiodrai aid conccisiniss

Tine purpose of this investigation was to determine the relationship jetween reaining ablility and academic performance of junior college frechmen and to what degree a measure of reading ability could predict academic periomance. IE a positive and sienificant relatiorsilip could be deterniond, ther, a measirement of readir. ability might be used to prodict a projaidie cuminasive grade-podnt average at the end os the iresimar. year


 Laros̃oinss.

Spectílially, the jroblow maj de formulatec as a search ior a rela:ionshia ioniotei. a dependent variajic $Y$ and an inciependent variable $X$. Tra vacieaine : Eor this irvestigation was the stucert's cumulative gradom point averaga for the faesiman jear in college and the independent varlable $X$ was the sturient's Rearling index Score on tine Floricia Trelith Grade Test.

A iniear rojression model was used to predict a student's cumulative yana-jolit average at the eni of the Ereshman year in college as a func:ion of fils Readire Indra Score. The objective was to ascertain tine tajroe of reiationsin:p betineen these varlables since this aight be useifu tro amasionos osizicers in identifyine potentially successful or ursuccessiA stutarin.

Firg taba wand in this sodje were selacted Erom the etudent body at pens.bcoia juridor Coliege.. Ëvery stulant who had congloted a mindinu of 12
hurs of course work during the sall Term 1971 and a minimun of 12 hours of course work durtng the Sjring Term 1972 and had a published Reading Index Score on the Florlda Twelit' Crade Test in the 1970 Parcentile Hanke边 investifation.

Anaiysis of the data rovealed ineaningful relationship between the variablea. The Reading Index Score on the Florida Twelfth Grade Test was found to be a signiflcant predictor of the probable cumulative grade-point average ln the freshan year of college. Correlation analyses, employing the coeificlent oi detemination, reveaied a linear trend widch showed that 23 percent of the vartation in COPA could be accounted for by variation in il at the insticution surveyed. Result of the analysis were significant at .05 levei of confidence.

Tine ijpothesis was postulated that reading abllity would have a sigma:"icant roiationsinis to academic performance and that a positive and signisicant corrolation would be found betwesn cumulative grade-point average ar. Reading indox Score. The Eindings of this research support this nypotinesis.

This ensearch and the findings sugeest furtiner research that is nooded ir tho area of reading ability and academic performance on the juridor coin- 29 leval.

The avaibisio studies or the effoctivences of remedial reading 20.E3日3 Lit two joar coilezes have reported results lareely in terms of

 skisis, bist the eridencos dous not bast the furtior assumption that such
 coijege radidig prograns assine that the utility of sixilis attained in a
reabliag cuariso is transierred and ajplled to other courses. However, adeigute engirical evblence that wowid indicate ouch transfor and appilcation of axills is perhaps laciking at the institution included in this stiniz.

Al thou in the djecific objectives of remedial reading courses vary wideif, the furdanental purpose of these courses is ultimately to prepare the meracindeving student for particljation in a recular course of study. The measwremert of the efiectiveness of reading courses on the college levei should be the extent to which students demonstrate improved perEomarne in and matrickiation fron the nollege prozram.

The ilindics of thds research have proved the positive and sloiiİsari corcobation betreen reading aodlity and academse performance of line jubior coidege irosinen used in this stuciy anci have, thus, provied enjicical avidunce that reauing ability is most essential ior success in juijor coliege. In the broadest possible terms, this researcin has sugeqsied the need for iurther research which might provide enpirical aviderice that readling instruction on the junior college level is succosisiui la promoting significant academic gains.
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## APPETDIX A



 AVI COSPLEAD TVi3 1971-72 ACADEIIC YEAR

APTBNDIX A

## CUSIULATIVE GAADE-EOLIT AVARAGES (CGPA) AND <br> READING INDEX SCORES (RI)

FOR PENSACOLA JUNIOR COLLBCE STUDENTS 1971-72

## BEST COPY AMAILABLE

| Student Nimoer | CGPA | 8 I | Student Nunber | CGPA | 315. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.47 | 71 | 32 | 2.00 | 90 |
| 2 | 1.89 | 40 | 33 | 2.50 | 64 |
| 3 | 3.25 | 80 | 34 | 2.37 | 84 |
| 4 | 2.07 | 95 | 35 | 2.80 | 94 |
| 5 | 2.04 | 54 | 36 | 2.68 | 4.5 |
| 6 | 1.96 | 54 | 37 | 2.39 | 15 83 |
| ? | 2.04 | 85 | 38 | 3.20 | 76 |
| 8 | 2.03 | 49 | 39 | 2.30 | 83 |
| 9 | 1.41 | 23 | 40 | 2.53 | 61 |
| 10 | 1.85 | 56 | 42 | 3.97 | 94 |
| $1 i$ | 2.29 | 96 | 42 | 3.14 | 97 |
| 12 | 2.09 | 73 | 43 | 2.46 | 77 |
| 13 | 2.00 | 71 | 4 | 2.51 | 54 |
| 14 | 1.93 | 65 | 45 | 2.96 | 85 |
| 15 | 2.53 | 90 | 46 | 2.48 | 93 |
| 15 | 1.58 | 73 | 47 | 2.70 | 88 |
| 17 | 2.51 | 79 | 48 | 3.36 | 99 |
| 15 | 2.22 | 39 | 49 | 2.12 | 64 |
| 19 | 2.09 | 45 | 50 | 2.93 | 56 |
| 20 | 1.65 | 4.7 | 51 | 1.93 1.93 | 79 |
| 2. | 2.70 | 61 | 52 | 2.12 | 50 |
| 22 | 1.95 | 52 | 53 | 1.48 | 07 |
| 23 | 2.34 | 69 | 54 | 2.35 | 97 |
| $2!$ | 2.145 | 73 | 55 | 2.35 2.00 | 66 |
| 2.5 | 2.43 | 66 | 56 | 3.62 | 95 |
| 25 27 | 2.82 2.03 | 60 | 57 | 2.17 | 85 |
| 2.3 | 2.03 2.33 | 40 | 58 | 1.89 | 49 |
| 2.1 | 2.35 | 8 | 60 | 2.71 | 98 |
| 30 | 2.62 | 27 | 61 | 2.24 | 42 |
| 31 | 3.10 | 69 | 62 | 1.82 | 83 |

()

Appendix A - Conthnued

| Student Buitiar | cuad | H | Student Bunioer | COPA | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63 | 2.54 | 85 | 108 | 3.06 | 87 |
| C6. | 2.03 | 65 | 109 | 2.22 | 87 |
| 65 | 2.00 | 51 | 110 | 1.45 | 31 |
| ¢6 | 2.95 | 84 | 111 | 3.25 | 97 |
| $6 ?$ | $2.21 \%$ | 89 | 112 | 2.40 | 61 |
| 63 | 2.10 | 54 | 113 | 3.31 | 98 |
| 59 | 3.16 | 81 | 114 | 2.50 | 79 |
| 70 | 3.08 | 79 | 115 | 1.42 | 49 |
| 7 | 2.40 | 73 | 116 | 2.33 | 61 |
| 72 | 2.25 | 90 | 117 | 2.25 | 74 |
| 73 | 3.12 | 97 | 118 | 1.3? | 71 |
| 74 | 2.00 | 83 | 119 | 2.43 | 87 |
| 75 | 2.82 | 98 | 120 | 2.29 | $\because 4$ |
| 75 | 2.96 | 90 | 121 | 2.84 | $\therefore$ |
| $?$ | 2.03 | Cis | 12.2 | 2.32 | 514 |
| 78 | 2.10 | 56 | 123 | 1.69 | Vó |
| 79 | 2.60 | 64 | 124 | 2.45 | 84 |
| 80 | 2.33 | 85 | 125 | 2.96 | 88 |
| 81 | 1.71 | 45 | 126 | 2.24 | 45 |
| 82 | 2.75 | 61 | 127 | 2.42 | 89 |
| 83 | -. 53 | 84 | 128 | 1.68 | 86 |
| 816 | 2.77 | 85 | 129 | 1.36 | $?$ |
| 85 | 1.53 | 71 | 130 | 2.60 | 92 |
| 85 | 2.33 | 64 | 131 | 2.96 | 84 |
| 87 | 2.37 | 68 | 132. | 2.58 | 85 |
| 33 | 1.93 | 77 | 133 | 1.42 | 34 |
| 39 | 2.146 | 80 | 134 | 2.76 | 93 |
| 90 | 2.30 | 87 | 135 | 2.90 | 74 |
| $9:$ | 2.10 | 60 | 136 | 2.32 | 86 |
| 92 | 1.4.4 | 08 | ? 37 | 3.72 | 99 |
| 93 | 2.37 | 90 | \% | 2.43 | 73 |
| 916 | 2.23 | 74 | 137 | 2.53 | 80 |
| 95 | 2.17 | 3: | 140 | 1.90 | 84 |
| 95 | 2.05 | 60 | 141 | 1.88 | 60 |
| 97 | 2.65 | 93 | 142 | 2.27 | 79 |
| 93 | 3.55 | $8 \%$ | 143 | 2.214 | 52 |
| 97 | 3.64 | 9 | 144 | 1.66 | 80 |
| 100 | 2.00 | 88 | 145 | 2.12 | 58 |
| 101 | 1.35 | 61 | 145 | 2.67 | 84 |
| 102. | 2.75 | 85 | 147 | 2.03 | 47 |
| 103 | 1.57 | 56 | 148 | 2.60 | 80 |
| i0\% | 2.05 | 93 | 149 | 2.82 | 90 |
| 105 | 2.11 | 80 | 150 | 2.32 | 71 |
| 105 | 2.03 | 93 | 151 | 2.03 | 76 |
| 107 | 2.00 | 49 | 152 | 2.77 | 86 |

## Apperdix A - Contlnued

| Student Bumber | CuSi | 2.1 | Student Sunber | CGPA | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 153 | 2.14 | 83 | 198 | 2.34 | 83 |
| $15 \%$ | 1.27 | 77 | 199 | 2.96 | 54 |
| 255 | 1.55 | 79 | 200 | 2.00 | 73 |
| 156 | 1.88 | 74 | 201 | 1.93 | 68 |
| 157 | 1.83 | 40 | 202 | 2.19 | 71 |
| 158 | 2.53 | 77 | 203 | 1.79 | 80 |
| 159 | 2.15 | 4 | 204 | 2.25 | 86 |
| 160 151 | 3.03 1.80 | 89 | 205 | 3.03 | 97 |
| 162 | 1.00 2.85 | 81 76 | 206 | 3.00 | 97 |
| 163 | 2.44 | 49 | 208 | 2.08 1.91 | 89 29 |
| 164 | 2.35 | 93 | 209 | 2.54 | 96 |
| i6́5 | 1.74 | 56 | 210 | j. 79 | 98 |
| 166 | 2.12 | 52 | 211 | $\bigcirc$ | 66 |
| 16? | 1.73 | 45 | 212 | 2.36 | \% |
| 160 | 2.30 | 60 | 213 | 1.55 | 50 |
| 169 170 | 1.93 3.13 | 89 | 214 | 1.70 | 93. |
| 171 | 3.13 2.00 | 95 92 | 215 | 2.35 2.00 | $8 i$ |
| 172 | 3.27 | 93 | 216 | 2.00 2.15 | 45 21 |
| 173 | 1.63 | 81 | 218 | 2.00 | 60 |
| 176 | 2.78 | 87 | 219 | 1.94 | 69 |
| i75 | $2.3 i$ | 98 | 220 | 1.75 | 74 |
| 175 | 1.79 | 74 | 221 | 2.35 | 81 |
| 177 | 1.68 | 54 | 222 | 3.86 | 99 |
| 173 | 2.23 | 81 | 223 | 2.07 | 39 |
| 179 | 2.75 | 52 | 224 | 1.92 | \%'j |
| 130 | $\therefore .35$ | 9.1 | 225 | 1.92 2.60 | 56 |
| je | 2.25 | $8{ }^{8}$ |  | 1.84 | 4.7 |
| 132 | 2.60 | 85 | 227 | 2.70 | 68 |
| 293 | 2.57 | 89 | 228 | 1.89 | 47 |
| $10 \%$ | 2.2. | 63 | 229 | 1.88 | 45 |
| 105 | 2.50 | 39 | 230 | 1.57 | 38 |
| i35 | 2.39 | 52 | 231 | 2.11 | 87 |
| 197 109 | 2.20 | 56 | 232 | 3.31 | 98 |
| 109 | 1.55 | 4.3 | 233 | 2.57 | 91 |
| $=9$ $i 0$ | 2.94 2.73 | 76 | 234 | 2.10 | 51 |
| 19 | 2.73 | 76 | 235 | 2.25 | 93 |
| 192 | 2.20 1.79 | 69 $7 i$ | 236 237 | 3.03 | 95 |
| 193 | 3.55 | 93 | 2.33 | 3.36 2.16 | 95 39 |
| i) | 1.25 | 71 | 239 | 2.10 | 79 |
| 295 | 2.53 | 52 | 240 | 2.63 | 49 |
| 195 | 2.35 | 74 | 241 | 3.16 | 74 |
| 297 | 2.807 | 97 | 242 | 2.42 | 76 |

```
Aspendix A - Contluked
```

| Student Siniber | Cose | 21 | Student Sunber | Cspa | III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \cdot 3$ | 2.56 | 94 | 289 | 3.21 | 63 |
| 2'an | 3.40 | 83 | 2.90 | 2.37 | 74 |
| 2.5 | 2.33 | 8. | 291 | 2.00 | 58 |
| 265 | 1.83 | 77 | 292 | 2.96 | 42 |
| 2.6 | 2.67 | 99 | 293 | 2.90 | 97 |
| 243 | 2.43 | 56 | 294 | 1.96 | 52 |
| 21.9 | 2.56 | 71 | 295 | 2.57 | 89 |
| 250 | 2.76 | 54 | 296 | 1.86 | 87 |
| $25:$ | 2.30 | 52 | 297 | 2.22 | 56 |
| 252 | 2.11 | 63 | 293 | 3.20 | 05 |
| 253 | 2.65 | 80 | 299 | 2.73 | 93 |
| 2.54 | 1.68 | 90 | 300 | 2.61 | 76 |
| 255 | 2.13 | 76 | 301 | 3.58 | 96 |
| 255 | 2.36 | 94 | 302 | 1.96 | 9j |
| 25 | i, ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | $\cdots$ | 3.93 | 2.67 | 91 |
| 250 | 3.60 | 95 | 30 ! | 2.25 | 03 |
| 299 | 2.650 | 80 | 305 | 2.00 | 6 |
| 260 | 1.76 | 85 | 305 | 2.08 | 63 |
| 26 | 2.03 | 54 | 307 | 2.20 | 5 |
| 262 | 2.31 | 73 | 303 | 3.46 | 86 |
| 263 | 2.78 | 97 | 309 | 1.92 | 68 |
| 20 | 1.81 | 8. | 320 | 3.45 | 96 |
| 265 | 2.95 | 94 | 312 | 2.13 | 61. |
| 265 | . 52 | 61 | 312 | 2.33 | 88 |
| 267 | 2.53 | 89 | 323 | 3.00 | 77 |
| 26 | 2.24 | 55 |  |  |  |
| 298 | 2.23 | 76 |  |  |  |
| 270 | 2.33 | 80 |  |  |  |
| 27. | 2.510 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 272 | -. 70 | 58 |  |  |  |
| 273 | 2.00 | 69 |  |  |  |
| 27.0. | - 5.5 | 83 |  |  |  |
| 275 | 2, \%\% | 52 |  |  |  |
| 275 | 2,13 | 40 |  |  |  |
| 277 | 2.11 | 79 |  |  |  |
| 273 | 2.17 | 07 |  |  |  |
| $2 \%$ | 2.21 | 92 |  |  |  |
| 230 | 2.02 | 61 |  |  |  |
| 2:i | 3.60 | 9.3 |  |  |  |
| 2\%? | 2.0.3) | 97 |  |  |  |
| $23)$ | 2.0.0. | 7\% |  |  |  |
| 2\% | 2.21 | 77 |  |  |  |
| 235 | 2.33 | 75 |  |  |  |
| 25 | 2.10 | 6.6 |  |  |  |
| 297 | 2.59 | 4.5 |  |  |  |
| 20 రె | 2.60 | 47 |  |  |  |
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## APPETDIX

## CUNTALIVE GAADE-FOLIT AVERAGES (CGPA) AND TEANLIC INDEX SCORES (RI) <br> TABUTATED RAN MTAA OF

TiË SZudisir goputation micludad mi this Divestication

|  | Mean CGPA | Standard <br> Doviation | Nean RI | Standari <br> Deviation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3 i 3$ | 2.36024 | 0.52814 | 72.57826 | 18.78525 |

## APRENDEX C


IS DEATVM FTHOM THE FTORTM
THNETH CRADE TESST

A READNG LNDEX SCORE DOA THE THELETH


Wisthout any additional testing tine or any modification in the adilindstative details for giving the test, it will be possible begundig with the fiail 2967 Progran to provide an index of reading aollity as a supplementary score from the basic battery.
rinla score will be reported in percentlle raniks obtained in the same way as tine rarks are for the five tests (aptitude, inglish, social studies, naturai sciences, and mathematics) in the basic cattery.

Tho sotal score used as one measure for qualifying for adinission to a state university in E"ozida will bo obtained from the five basic teste as in tiae past. In other words, the supplemental test will make no change in the total score. Indirectiy it will be involved in the totai score because it is derived solely from the tests in the basic oatters.

The reading index will be ootained from a composite of the verbal portion of the aptitude test, the English test and the social studies test. To tinds conposits score, the verioal part of the aptitude test wili contribute about $40 \%$, the anelish test about $35 \%$, and the social stiddies tast about 2 gh. Some validity studies involving several junior colleges in riordda indicate that there would be a nigh corrolation batidear the roading index ootalned in the fashion indicated and reguar tests of reading.

Tills supplementary score being provided in the ball of 1967 will De useful in dentifylog students who may need additional testing for diagnosis of reading difilcultles．Thus，reference to the new supple－ Foments dore may maris it possible to reduce tine amount oi testing beyond the twelfth Grade battery to a small segment of incoming freshmen，whereas previously the entire group was tested for possible weakness that will now be covered by the supplemental score．

Sound of University Examiners Gainesville，FLorida Ap512 1967
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