

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 081 051

CS 500 402

AUTHOR Irwin, Ruth Beckey
TITLE Judgments of Vocal Quality, Speech Fluency, and Confidence of Southern Black and White Speakers.
PUB DATE Nov 73
NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Assn. (New York, November 1973)

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29
DESCRIPTORS Language Fluency; *Negro Dialects; Oral Communication; *Regional Dialects; Self Concept; Social Dialects; *Speech Evaluation; Speech Habits

ABSTRACT

Comparative judgments of vocal quality, speech fluency, and confidence of black and white speakers in southern universities were tested to determine the interrelationships of (1) perception of vocal quality and judgment of confidence in the voice, (2) quality and fluency, and (3) speech fluency and judgment of confidence, and to ascertain the differences between black and white speakers' vocal quality, speech fluency, and confidence. A short passage, used as a stimulus, was read by a test group of 25 Negro and 25 Caucasian students. Using a seven-point scale, it was concluded that: (1) the 50 speakers were correctly identified as to race by a majority of 36 college students serving as listener/judges, (2) vocal quality and speech fluency were both perceived to be significantly better for white speakers, (3) confidence as perceived in the voice was also significantly better for white speakers, and (4) relationships between all combinations of quality, fluency, and confidence were significant. (Author/CH)

ED 081051

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

JUDGMENTS OF
VOCAL QUALITY, SPEECH FLUENCY, and CONFIDENCE
OF SOUTHERN BLACK AND WHITE SPEAKERS

Ruth Beckey Irwin

The Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio 43210

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPY-RIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Ruth Beckey Irwin

TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION. FURTHER REPRODUCTION OUTSIDE THE ERIC SYSTEM REQUIRES PERMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT OWNER."

Ruth B. Irwin, Ph.D.
Speech and Hearing Science Section
Department of Communication
154 North Oval Drive
Columbus, Ohio 43210

500 402

Abstract: Judgments of Vocal Quality, Speech Fluency, and Confidence of
Southern Black and White Speakers by Ruth Beckey Irwin,
The Ohio State University

The present study was concerned with comparative judgments of vocal quality, speech fluency, and confidence of black and white speakers in southern universities. Specific objectives were to determine whether the following were related: (1) perception of vocal quality and judgment of confidence in the voice, (2) quality and fluency, (3) speech fluency and judgment of confidence; and to ascertain the differences between black and white speakers in vocal quality, speech fluency, and confidence. A short passage was used as stimuli, to be read by 25 Negroes and 25 Caucasians. Using a seven-point scale, the following results were obtained: (1) the 50 speakers were correctly identified as to race by a majority of 36 listeners; (2) vocal quality and speech fluency were both perceived to be significantly better for white speakers; (3) confidence as perceived in the voice was also significantly better for white speakers; (4) relationships between all combinations of quality, fluency, and confidence were significant.

The study of Negro speech has been primarily concerned with linguistic skills such as vocabulary, grammar, and syntax. Some attention has been given to the phonology of Negro speech, but very little objective observation (Pederson, 1964; Stewart, 1964; Green, 1963) of the paralinguistic features of Negro speech (pitch, rhythm, stress, and voice) has been done. Stewart describes Negro dialect as including "paralinguistic features such as voice quality, syllable dynamics, and speech stylistic uses of pitch."

A few studies (Wolfe, 1968; Harms, 1961) indicate possible social implications of Negro speech. According to Harms, listeners from different social strata were capable of rating social status from recorded taped samples. The high-status speakers were found to be more "credible" than low-status speakers. Southern Negro students were found by Wolfe to be significantly poorer than the other social groups (Southern Caucasian, Northern Negro, Northern Caucasian) in dialect, general speech acceptability, and occupational speech acceptability. The Southern Negro was also less intelligible than the Northern Negro and Northern Caucasian but there was no difference between the Southern Negro and Southern Caucasian in intelligibility.

The relationship of personality to dialect has been studied by Markel, Eisler, and Reese (1967) who found that regional dialect was a significant factor in judging personality. Dialect also had significant effect on how judges rated competency of the speaker (Buck, 1968).

The purpose of the present investigation was to extend the information concerning the differences between black and white speakers in vocal quality, speech fluency, and perceived confidence as heard in the voice. The relationships among these characteristics were also to be considered.

METHOD

Materials

The Passage. To control for effects of content and to emphasize a wide

spread of 40 different phonemes which are found in Standard American English, the following passage (Thomas, 1958) was selected.

Aunt Mary Jane is eighty years old and a very poor writer, and we were very worried about her. After a while, we saw a very trim barn yard with seven donkeys and ten hogs. Overhead a goose honked, and a dense fog crept in from the lake where fish were aimlessly jumping. We heard frogs in the swamp on a peninsula, and after eleven miles came out into a very barren desolate area.

The Speakers

The speakers were 25 Negroes and 25 Caucasians from southern universities who have lived the major part of their lives in the eastern part of North Carolina (Wolfe, 1968). This area is essentially the dialectal region described by Kurath and McDavid (1961) as the Albemarle Sound and Neuse Valley Region. Rank (freshman and senior) and sex were evenly distributed in the groups.

Recording and Test-Tape

Tape recordings of the speakers reading the Thomas passage were made on the Uher recorders (Models 4000 and 5000) with standard Uher microphones at tape speed of three and three-quarters inches per second. The recordings were made under conditions of relative quiet in empty classrooms. A research associate obtained these recordings. From these taped recordings of the Thomas passage, a short segment was selected for the stimuli. A test-tape was made by randomizing the 25 black and 25 white speakers. Three samples preceded the items to be rated.

Rating Scales

A seven-point scale in which 7 represents "bad" and 1 represents "good"

was used. The judges were instructed not to use the middle space, 4, thereby eliminating the neutral category. Three items were selected for rating with descriptions for extreme ends of the scale. The following scale was used:

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1. Highly Fluent	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Highly Nonfluent
2. Sounds Confident	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Sounds Unsure
3. Vocal Quality Most always pleasing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Most always displeasing

Subjects

Thirty-six students, enrolled in an introductory course in stuttering, judged the 53 samples for identification of whether the speaker were black or white; they also judged the vocal quality of the 53 samples on the 7-point rating scale.

Twenty-one students, in another introductory stuttering class, judged the 53 samples for speech fluency and confidence as expressed in the voice of the speakers.

Procedure

Instructions to the 36 judges who identified race and vocal quality of the 50 speakers were as follows:

This tape consists of 53 speech samples recorded by college students. All of the students are from the southern states of the U.S.A. and all of them have southern accents. Both blacks and whites participated in this program. The task is to determine in each segment whether the student is black or white. Use B for black and W for white. Also, rate the students for voice quality. The scale ranges from 1 to 7. Rating 1 being most always pleasing, and rating 7 being

almost always non-pleasing. Do not use 4.

The 29 subjects, who rated speech fluency and confidence perceived in the speech and voice, were given these instructions: "Score on a seven-point scale in which 7 represents 'bad' and 1 represents 'good.' Check space for rating. Do not use middle space." Each subject judged both the fluency and confidence for the 50 speakers with three trials preceding the judging.

Three pages on which to make ratings were distributed. The entry for each sample was exactly the same, for example:

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Sample <u>1</u>	1. The person is								
	highly fluent	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	highly non-fluent
	2. The person sounds								
	confident	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	insure

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Each of the 50 speakers were correctly identified as to race by the majority (90% or more) of the 36 judges. The means and t values between blacks and whites were determined for each of the three dimensions: vocal quality, speech fluency, and confidence as perceived in speech. The results, as shown in Table 1, indicate that the vocal quality of the white speakers (M=2.68) was perceived by 36 judges as significantly better (t value, .01 level) than the vocal quality of black speakers (M=3.27). (Table 1 about here)

Speech fluency, as perceived by 29 judges, was significantly better (t value, .001 level) for white speakers (M=1.9) than for black speakers (M=3.0). Confidence expressed in the voice of the white speakers (M=2.3) was judged by 29 listeners to be significantly better (t value, .01 level) than the perceived confidence in the voices of black speakers (M=3.8).

Relationships (Pearson Product Moment) between all possible combinations of vocal quality, fluency, and confidence were determined. As shown in Table 2, all rs are significant at the .01 level; vocal quality and speech fluency, r=0.75;

vocal quality and confidence in voice, $\underline{r} = .59$; speech fluency and confidence, $\underline{r} = 0.82$. (Table 2 about here)

The reliability coefficients (Winer, pp. 124-132, 1972) for the judges were as follows: (1) Fluency, 29 judges, $\underline{r} = .98$; (2) Voice, 36 judges, $\underline{r} = .97$; and (3) Confidence, 29 judges, $\underline{r} = .98$.

SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the differences between 25 black and 25 white speakers from southern universities in vocal quality, speech fluency, and confidence as perceived in the voice. These speakers recorded a short passage from Thomas for evaluation by judges on a seven-point scale. The results indicated that the 50 speakers were correctly identified as to race by the majority of the 36 judges. Vocal quality and speech fluency were perceived as significantly better for white speakers than for the black speakers. Confidence as perceived in the voice of the speakers was also significantly higher for white speakers than for black speakers. The relationships between all possible combinations of vocal quality, fluency, and confidence indicated significant correlations, ranging from 0.59 to 0.82.

It would seem that implications might be made from the voice and rhythm of the speaker. Judgments about personality do seem to be affected by vocal quality ($\underline{r} = 0.59$), and by speech fluency ($\underline{r} = 0.82$). As vocal quality or speech fluency is improved, it would appear that judgments about the confidence of the speaker would also improve. These observations would have implications, not only for all speakers, but also for speech-handicapped persons.

TABLE 1.

Means and t values for differences between black and white speakers for judgments of vocal quality, speech fluency, and confidence (df , 48).

	Black	White	t
Vocal Quality (36 judges)	3.27	2.68	2.76*
Speech Fluency (29 judges)	3.0	1.9	5.52**
Confidence (29 judges)	3.8	2.3	4.41**

** $t_{.001} \geq 3.55$ (40 df used)

* $t_{.01} \geq 2.70$

Scale. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

1. Most always pleasing.Most always displeasing
2. Highly fluentHighly nonfluent
3. ConfidentNonconfident

TABLE 2.

Correlation matrix for relationships between all possible combinations of vocal quality, fluency, and confidence in voice for black (25) and white (25) speakers.

	Vocal Quality	Speech Fluency	Confidence
Vocal Quality	$\frac{r}{1.00}$	$\frac{r}{0.75}^*$	$\frac{r}{0.59}^{\hat{z}}$
Speech Fluency		1.00	0.82^*
Confidence as Expressed in Voice			1.00

$$\frac{r}{.01} \geq 0.35 \text{ (df, 49)}$$

REFERENCES

- Buck, Joyce F. The effects of negro and white dialectal variations upon attitudes of college students. Speech Monographs, 1968, 35, 181-186.
- Green, C.G. Negro dialect, the last barrier to integration. Journal of Negro Education, 1963, 32, 81-93.
- Harms, L.S. Listeners' judgments of status cues in speech. Quarterly Journal of Speech, 1961, 47, 164-168.
- Kurath, H., and McDavid, R.I. Jr. The Pronunciation of English in the Middle Atlantic States. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press, 1961.
- Markel, N.N., Eisler, R.M., and Reese, H.W. Judging personality from dialect. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 1967, 6, 33-35.
- Pederson, L.A. Non-standard Negro speech in Chicago. In Roger Shuy (Ed.) Social Dialects and Language Learning. Chicago, Ill.: National Council of Teachers of English, 1964.
- Stewart, W. Foreign language teaching methods in quasi-foreign language situations. In W. Stewart (Ed.) Speech and the Teaching of English. Washington, D.C.: Center for Applied Linguistics, 1964.
- Thomas, C.K. An Introduction to the Phonetics of American English. New York: Ronald Press, 1958.
- Wolfe, Virginia S. The social significance of Negro speech. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, The Ohio State University, 1968.
- Winer, B. J. Statistical Principles in Experimental Design, pp. 124-132. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1972.