DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 080 841	CE 000 054
AUTHOR TITLE	Dubin, Robert; Champoux, Joseph E. Workers' Central Life Interests and Personality Characteristics.
INSTITUTION	California Univ., Irvine. Graduate School of Administration.
SPONS AGENCY	Office of Naval Research, Washington, D.C. Personnel and Training Research Programs Office.
REPORT NO PUB DATE NOTE	TR-20 Aug 73 22p.
EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS	MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29 Aspiration; Employee Attitudes; Individual Characteristics; *Industrial Personnel; Job Satisfaction; *Personality; *Personality Assessment; Personal Values; *Self Concept

IDENTIFIERS *Central Life Interests (CLI)

ABSTRACT

The central life interests (CLI) of male industrial workers is shown to be related to their self-described personality characteristics. Workers who have a CLI in work have personality characteristics that accord with general expectations about what kinds of people may best fit the requirements of an industrial setting. Workers who have a CLI in nonwork settings have personality characteristics that may be described as non-fitting with an industrial environment. Individuals with no preference in CLI are viewed as searching for an anchor for their interests with the potential of finding it in the work institution. The implications of these findings are discussed. (Author)

FILMED FROM BEST AVAILABLE CO

WORKERS' CENTRAL LIFE INTERESTS AND PERSONALITY CHARACTERISTICS

ROBERT DUBIN

JOSEPH E. CHAMPOUX

Technical Report No. 20

August, 1973

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION GRIGIN ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

INDIVIDUAL-ORGANIZATIONAL LINKAGES

Project Directors

Robert Dubin

Lyman W. Porter

University of California Irvine, California 92664

Prepared under ONR Contract N00014-69-A-0200-9001

NR Number 151-315

ï

Distribution of this document is unlimited. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

Security Classification DOCUMENT CO (Security classification of title, body of abstract and index) OFIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) University of California	
Security classification of title, body of abstract and index. OFIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	
OFIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	an gamotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)
University of California	20. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
	Unclassified
Graduate School of Administration	2b. GROUP
Irvine, California	
REPORT TITLE	
WORKERS' CENTRAL LIFE INTERESTS	S AND PERSONALITY CHARACTERISTICS
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Scientific Technical Report #20	
AUTHORISI (First name, middle initial, last name)	
Robert Dubin	
Joseph E. Champoux	
oosepii 1. onampoun	
REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 76. NO. OF REFS
August 1973	14 10
AUGUST 1975	98. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
N00014-69-A-0200-9001 NR 151-315	
NUUU14-69-A-0200-9001 NR IJI-315 6. project no.	Technical Report #20
c.	95. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be ass
	this report)
d.	None
0. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	
permitted for any purpose of the United	ted. Reproduction in whole or in part ds States Government.
- SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Personnel and Training Research Progr Office, Office of Naval Research
3. ABSTRACT	
be related to their self-described have a CLI in work have personality expectations about what kinds of pe an industrial setting. Workers who personality characteristics that ma industrial environment. Individual as searching for an anchor for thei	(I) of male industrial worker is shown to personality characteristics. Workers who y characteristics that accord with general ersons may "best" fit the requirements of o have a CLI in non-work settings have ay be described as non-fitting with an als with no preference in CLI are viewed ir interests with the potential of . The implications of these findings
	· · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
D FORM 1473 (PAGE 1)	

٠

UNCLASSIFIED Security Classification

...

4 KEY WORDS		INKA LINKB		LINKC		LINKC	
	ROLE	wτ	ROLE	₩T	ROLE	wτ	
a start tita Tatawasta]					
Central Life Interests							
Personality		1					
Personality and Work							
Personality Characteristics	j		Ì	ļ			
rersonality characteristics.					} 		
		t l					
			Į				
		1					
		1					
···		F					
		.					
	1				i l		
· · ·	1						
				•			
]]		
			1	1			
			{				
					. 1		
		:					

FORM 1473 (BACK)

ì

WORKERS' CENTRAL LIFE INTERESTS AND PERSONALITY CHARACTERISTICS

Robert Dubin and Joseph E. Champoux¹

University of California, Irvine

It seems reasonable to suppose that orientations toward the social world in which people live will have some relationship to their personality characteristics. This certainly is the burden of theory and evidence from the psychiatric literature. The purpose of this paper is to test whether it is possible to demonstrate that a measure of orientation toward work, on the one hand, and a measure of personality of workers, on the other hand, bear some relationship to each other.

Theory

The concept of Central Life Interests (CLI) has been set forth elsewhere (Dubin, 1956). The basic notion is that individuals focus their major interests in a limited few, or even a single institutional setting which becomes central for organizing their most preferred activities. In institutions that are not central to individual, he is able to perform effectively through a largely instrumental orientation toward them. The affective self investment of the individual is made in the institutional setting that is his Central Life Interest (Faunce & Dubin, 1973). It then becomes important to determine whether the personality characteristics of the individual are associated with the selection of a CLI. For example, does a high Need for Achievement in the individual become associated with his choice of an organized group setting as a central life interest if he lives in a complex industrial society where the recognition for and reward of achievement is principally within organizations (Birney, 1968 reviews research and theory on n Ach)? Does a high Need for Affiliation in the individual become



associated with his choice of his family or friendship group as his CLI (Radloff, 1968 summarizes some of this field)?

Much of the research and theory dealing with the relation between personality and social setting in work organizations focused on managers and executives with a concern for determining whether successful and unsuccessful executives could be distinguished from each other according to their personality characteristics (Henry, 1949 is a very perceptive early analysis and Harrell & Harrell, 1973 is a recent example of continuing research). These and comparable researches do not directly link personality with CLI, although by suggesting that there is a "fit" between personality types and social settings, the direction of that research points to the problem of this paper.

This paper focuses on industrial workers among whom it is alleged that the "blue-collar blues" are rampant (Work in America, 1973). This widely discussed phenomenon may be linked to the fact that there is a poor fit between personality and work environment. Or it may be that people with different personality profiles are related in distinctive ways to their social environments, and that those persons who do not consider work important to them have personality characteristics that "fit" some other social setting than work. Among such non-work oriented persons the work environment may be instrumental for their significant lives away from work, and rather than being "blue" they are simply indifferent to the work environment. This intriguing possibility depends on whather there is some relationship between personality and CLI, and this study provides some positive clues in that direction.

Method

Sample



Central Life Interests and personality characteristics were measured in

a study of work attitudes conducted in 1971 among employees of one division of a telephone company located in a western state. The sample consisted of females who held non-supervisory clerical jobs and males who held a variety of blue-collar jobs concerned with the installation and maintenance of telephone equipment.

Subjects were informed through company channels of the general nature of the study and encouraged to participate. It was made clear to them that their participation was voluntary. All subjects were assured of the confidentiality of their responses.

Approximately 1,000 people were available for participation in the study. Of these, 605 (61%) completed the questionnaires. The major reason for the relatively low participation rate was the intense work load at several of the company's locations which prevented many people from being released from work. All data were obtained in small group sessions on company premises during regular working hours.

Research Instruments

<u>Central Life Interest</u>. An individual's central life interest was assessed with the Central Life Interest (CLI) questionnaire developed by Dubin (1956). The CLI questionnaire measures a person's central life interest by describing a behavior and asking for the setting in which it is preferred to enact the behavior. A respondent is presented with a specific behavior and three alternative settings for the occurrence of the behavior. One alternative specifies the work setting, another specifies some setting away from work, and the third indicates no preference as to the setting of the behavior. Thus, each of the alternatives to an item is considered to be a job, non-job, or no preference response (i.e., no locale preference).

The questionnaire contained 32 items convering behaviors dealing with membership in formal organizations, technological aspects of the environment, informal personal relations, and general everyday experiences. The job, nonjob, and no preference alternatives to each of the items were randomly ordered throughout the questionnaire.

In earlier work with the CLI, an individual's responses were examined to determine whether or not he could be scored job-oriented. If an individual could not be scored job-oriented, he was assigned to the non-job-oriented category. The scoring procedure was altered in a recent study (Dubin & Chempoux, 1973) to allow an individual to be explicitly scored job-oriented or non-job-oriented. Anyone who could not be clearly placed in one of these categories was considered to have no clear preference for either of these two sectors as a central life interest. The "no preference" central life interest is a very active orientation in which the individual chooses either joboriented or non-job-orlented responses without a strong preference across all items for either orientation. The no preference category is not to be interpreted as an "alienated" response. This modified scoring procedure was also used in the present study.

A subject was scored job-oriented if he chose at least one-half or 16 job-oriented responses to the 32 items in the questionnaire. Alternatively, a subject was scored job-oriented if a total of seventy percent or 22 joboriented and no preference alternatives were chosen with a minimum of forty percent or 13 of his total responses being job-oriented.

Comparable criteria were used to score an individual non-job-oriented. A subject was considered to be non-job-oriented if he chose at least one-half or 16 non-job-oriented responses. Alternatively, a subject was scored non-

job-oriented if a total of seventy percent or 22 non-job-oriented and no preference alternatives were chosen with a minimum of forty percent or 13 of his total responses being non-job-oriented.

If a subject could not be scored job-oriented or non-job-oriented, he was scored as having no preference in his central life interests.

<u>Personality Characteristics</u>. Ghiselli's (1971) Self-Description Inventory (SDI) was used to measure personality characteristics. This instrument presents a subject with 64 pairs of adjectives. For one-half of these pairs the subject chooses the one adjective in each pair that he believes is <u>most</u> descriptive of himself. For the remaining half of the pairs, the subject chooses the adjective in each pair he believes is <u>least</u> descriptive of himself. The thirteen personality scales measured by the instrument were scored according to the procedure described by Ghiselli. Of the 13 scales, only 11 were considered to be of relevance to this study (the Intelligence and the Maturity scales were not utilized).

Data Analysis

Only individuals with complete responses on both the CLI and SDI were used in the analysis. Complete responses were obtained from 427 Blue-Collar Males and 141 Clerical Females.

Each of the SDI scales differ in the possible range of scores. To facilitate interpretation of the means of the scales, each individual's score was standardized before using it in the analysis. The standard scores were computed for the two samples.

A multiple discriminant analysis, using the procedure described by Overall and Klett (1972, Ch. 10), was performed to determine whether the three CLI groups could be distinguished from each other in terms of personality characteristics.

Results

Since there were three CLI groups, two discriminant functions were computed for each sample. Only the first discriminant function for the Blue-Collar Males was statistically significant (p<.001).

Ęз.

The coefficients for the significant discriminant function for the Blue-Collar Males are shown in Table 1. The coefficients for the first discri-

. _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

Insert Table 1 About Here

minant function for the Clerical Females are also shown in the table. The SDI scales are rank ordered separately for each sample based on the absolute value of the coefficients. Though the discriminant function for the Clerical Females was not statistically significant, it is apparent that the SDI scales that are contributing the most to the CLI group differences are distinctive, and unlike those in the sample of males. Whether this is indicative of a true sex difference in personality characteristics of those with different CLI orientations is, based on these data, only speculative.

The first four personality characteristics listed for the Blue-Collar Males appear to be the most important in differentiating among the three CLI groups. These four are Decisiveness, Need for Self-Actualization, Need for Job Security, and Need for Occupational Achievement. Initiative and Supervisory Ability also appear to be contributing to differentiating among the groups but to a lesser extent. The remaining five characteristics contribute virtually nothing to differentiating individuals with different CLI orientations.

The mean standardized scores of the three CLI groups for each SDI scale are shown in Table 2 for the two samples. The scales are in the same order



as in Table 1.

Insert Table 2 About Here

7.

Individuals with specific CLI orientations appear to possess a set of personality characteristics that is highly consistent with their orientation. The job-oriented males are distinguished from the other two CLI groups by having much higher scores on the Decisiveness, Initiative, and Supervisory Ability scales, and a very low score on the Need for Job Security scale. Job-oriented people evidently see themselves as decisive or able to make quick decisions, taking the initiative, and possess greater ability to direcc the work of others than is true of the self evaluation by individuals with other CLI orientations. Individuals who possess these personality characteristics are more likely to find opportunities to express them at work than away from work.

The non-job-oriented males scored lowest of the three groups on the Decisiveness, Need for Occupational Achievement, Initiative, and Need for Self-Actualization scales and highest on the Need for Job Security scale. The first three of these five personality characteristics would be best expressed in the work setting. The low scores of the non-job CLI workers on these scales accords with their central life interests being focused away from work. Their instrumental view of work is revealed in the importance of job security to them.

Male workers with no preference in CLI display a pattern of personality characteristics such that they are unique in some and more similar to one of

They have the highest Need for Self-

the other CLI groups on others.

Actualization and Need for Occupational Achievement, and they have the lowest score on Supervisory Ability of the three groups. They are almost identical to the job-oriented group in their low Need for Job Security, and almost identical to the non-job-oriented in their low score on the Initiative scale. On the remaining important scale, Decisiveness, they score midway between the other two CLI groups.

We have already established through the discriminant analysis that the female clerical workers in the three CLI groups cannot be distinguished from each other at a statistically significant level in terms of their personality characteristics. It is, therefore, only suggestive of possible personality differences to examine the results of comparing the mean scores for each CLI group on the personality scales, as displayed in Table 2. The non-joboriented women are low in their scores on their Need for Job Security, Need for Power Over Others, and Self Assurance, relative to the job-oriented women. However, the non-job-oriented females are high in their Need for Financial Reward whereas their job-oriented peers score low on this need. The women with no preference in CLI score between the other two groups on each of the five most important scales except Need for Job Security on which they are like the job-oriented.

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to find out whether there is some meaningful fit between personality and the source of Central Life Interests of workers. For male blue-collar workers there are some remarkably interesting relationships. We will discuss the job-oriented the non-job-oriented, and those with no preference in CLI in that order.



This group of job-oriented workers rate their own personality characteristics as though they were personnel specialists writing ideal personality profiles for "good" workers. Workers with a job-oriented CLI express their self image as possessing the personal requisites for getting ahead in the world of work. They rate themselves highest of the three groups on Decisiveness, Initiative, and Supervisory Ability, clearly highly valued personality characteristics in the idealization of American industrial character. This self image is buttressed by their low evaluation of their own need for job security, perhaps because they feel they are good enough that job security can be taken for granted. It is also notable that they do not see themselves as having a great need for Self-Actualization (compared with the other two groups) which could certainly make sense for a group of people who are joboriented and who may already be self-actualizing in this, their preferred institutional environment.

The workers in the sample who possessed a non-job CLI rated their own personalities very much in accord with this orientation. They evaluated themselves lowest of the three groups on Decisiveness, Need for Occupational Achievement, and Initiative and highest in their Need for Job Security. They clearly are not the strivers in the industrial setting and look to it largely to satisfy their need for a secure job. The non-job oriented workers have an even lower Need for Self-Actualization that do job-oriented workers, which may indicate that they, too, are already self-actualizing, but not in the work institution.

It will be recalled that the individuals who have no preference in CLI are searching for an anchor for their central life interests, sometimes preferring a work setting for activities, sometimes a non-work setting, with

ERIC.

neither arena dominating the preferences. In the very process of seeking an anchor for the central life interests they may not yet feel self-actualized, and indeed, this group rates itself highest of the three on Need for Self-Actualization. They also rate themselves the highest in Need for Occupational Achievement, which perhaps, indicates that they have a lively interest in the work setting, and a need for this environment to be more rewarding. The "in between" position of this group is revealed by the fact that on the other three important dimensions of personality they are more like the job-oriented on one, more like the non-job oriented on another, and midway between the two groups on a third.

These results are suggestive of the fact that the central life interests of persons and their personality characteristics are related. This relationship is specific to the requirements of performance in the institutional setting which is preferred. We found a good fit between personality and the work institution among those who had a CLI in work. We found an understandable and appropriate non-fit between personality and the work institution among those who had a CLI in some non-work institutional setting. We also found that those who had not yet established an anchor for their CLI had personality characteristics and needs that could very well be compatible with the work setting, if more rewards and self-actualizing opportunities were available in it.

Perhaps a general conclusion is that personality characteristics may be institutional-specific. This is a lead in a new direction that complements the long-time concern with studying personality in relation to occupations and professions.



References

- Birney, Robert C. Research on the achievement motive. In Edgar F. Borgatta and William W. Lambert (Eds.). <u>Handbook of Personality Theory and Research</u>. Chicago: Rand McNally. 1968.
- Dubin, Robert. 'Industrial Workers' worlds: A study of the 'central life interests' of industrial workers. <u>Social Problems</u>. 1956. <u>3</u>. 131-142.
- Faunce, William and Dubin, Robert. Individual investment in working and living. In Louis E. Davis and Albert Cherns (Eds.). <u>Quality of Working</u> <u>Life</u>. Forthcoming. 2. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Ghiselli, Edwin E. <u>Explorations in managerial talent</u>. Pacific Palisades, Ca.: Goodyear Publishing Co. 1971.
- Harrell, Thomas W. and Harrell, Margaret S. The personality of MBA's who reach general management early. <u>Personnel Psychology</u>. 1973. <u>26</u>. 127-134. Henry, William E. The business executive: Psychodynamics of a social role.

American Journal of Sociology. 1949. 54. 286-291.

- Overall, J. E. and Klett, C. J. <u>Applied multivariate analysis</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill. 1972.
- Radloff, Ronald. Affiliation and social comparison. In Edgar F. Borgatta and William W. Lambert (Eds.). <u>Handbook of Personality Theory and Research</u>. Chicago: Rand McNally. 1968.
- Tatsuoka, M. M. <u>Discriminant analysis: The study of group differences</u>.
 Champaign, Il.: Institute for Personality and Ability Testing. 1970.
 <u>Work in America</u>. Report of a special task force to the Secretary of Health, education and welfare. Cambridge, Mass.: The MIT Press. 1973.



Footnotes

¹This research was carried out under a contract from the Office of Naval Research (Contract No. N00014-69-A-0200-9001 NR 151-315)

The authors wish to express their appreciation to John Stampfl for the statistical work in connection with this study.

TABLE 1

Discriminant Function Coefficients for

Self-Description Inventory Scales

Blue-Collar Males		Clerical Females				
Self-Description		Self-Description				
Inventory Scale Co	efficients	Inventory Scale Coe	Coefficients			
Decisiveness	1.00	Need for Job Security	.74			
Need for Self-Actualization	60	Need for Power Over Others	.60			
Need for Job Security	52	Need for High Financial Reward	55			
Need for Occupational Achievement	nt41	Self-Assurance	.45			
Initiative	.26	Masculinity-Femininity	19			
Supervisory Ability	22	Initiative	.18			
Working Class Affinity	.10	Decisiveness	14			
Need for Power Over Others	10	Need for Self-Actualization	.04			
Self-Assurance	.10	Need for Occupational Achievemen	nt01			
Need for High Financial Reward	.00	Supervisory Ability	-,01			
Masculinity-Femininity	.00	Working Class Affinity	.01			
Total Discriminatory Power ¹	7%	Total Discriminatory Power	3%			
Total Discriminable Variance ²	50.92	Total Discriminable Variance	8.66			
d.f.	22	d.f.	22			
	p<.001		n.s.			
N	427	N	141			

¹Total discriminatory power was measured by the Omega Squared statistic described by Tatsuoka (1970).

²The total discriminable variance computed by the procedure in Overall and Klett (1972, Ch. 10) is approximately distributed as a chi-square variate with degrees of freedom as noted.



TABLE 2

Standard Score Means for Each Self-Description

Inventory Scale by Central Life Interest Group

Blue-Collar Males				Clerical Females			
Self-Description				Self-Description			
Inventory Scale	NJ*	NP	JO	Inventory Scale	ŊJ	NP	J0
Decisiveness	31	02	.47	Need for Job Security	15	.03	.03
Need for Self-				Need for Power Over			
Actualization	10	.04	05	Othera	20	.03	.17
Need for Job Security	.25	06	07	Need for High			
Need for Occupational				Financial Reward	.16	.00	31
Achievement	13	.04	02	Self-Assurance	12	•00	•25
Initiative	07	02	.19	Masculinity-Femininity	01	01	.07
Supervisory Ability	.06	05	.14	Initiative	07	01	• 22
Working Class Affinity	03	01	.09	Decisiveness	03	.02	06
Need for Power Over				Need for Self-			
Others	07	.04	07	Actualization	.11	04	.10
Self-Assurance ·	15	.04	.02	Need for Occupational	ť		
Need for High				Achievement	.02	02	.13
Financial Reward	.03	.02	13	Supervisory Ability	.07	03	.09
Masculinity-Femininity	10	.05	09	Working Class Affinity	12	.04	05
N	30	281	66	N	26	101	14

*NJ = Non-job-oriented; NP = No Preference; JO = Job-oriented.



DISTRIBUTION LIST

NAVY

- 4 Dr. Marshall J. Farr, Director Personnel & Training Research Programs Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217
- 1 Director ONR Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston, MA 02210 ATTN: C. M. Harsh
- 1 Director ONR Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, CA 91101 ATTN: E. E. Gloye
- Director ONR Branch Office
 536 South Clark Street Chicago, IL 60605 ATTN: M. A. Bertin
- 6 Director Naval Research Laboratory Code 2627 Washington, DC 20390
- 12 Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station, Building 5 5010 Duke Street Alexandria, VA 22314
 - 1 Chairman Behavioral Science Department Naval Command and Management Division U. S. Naval Academy Luce Hall Annapolis, MD 21402
- 1 Chief of Naval Technical Training Naval Air Station Memphis (75) Millington, TN 38054 ATTN: Dr. G. D. Mayo
- 1 Chief of Naval Training Naval Air Station Pensacola, FL 32508 ATTN: CAPT Allen E. McMichael

- 1 LCDR Charles J. Thiesin, Jr., MSC, USN 4024 Naval Air Development Center Warminster, PA 18974
- 1 Commander Maval Air Reserve Naval Air Station Glenview, IL 60026
- 1 Commander Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy AIR-413C Washington, DC 20360
- 1 Dr. Harold Booher NAVAIR 415C Naval Air Systems Command 5600 Coumbia Pike Falls Church, VA 22042
- 1 CAPT John F. Riley, USN Commanding Officer U.S. Naval Amphibious School Coronado, CA 92155
- 1 Special Assistant for Manpower OASN (M&RA) The Pentagon, Room 4E794 Washington, DC 20350
- 1 Dr. Richard J. Niehaus Office of Civilian Manpower Mgmt. Code 06A Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20390
- 1 CDR Richard L. Martin, USN COMFAIRMIRAMAR F-14 NAS Miramar, CA 92145
- 1 Research Director, Code C6 Research and Evaluation Dept. U.S. Naval Examining Center Great Lakes, IL 60088 ATTN: C. S. Winiewicz



- 1 Program Coordinator , Bureau of Medicine & Surgery (Code 71G) Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20372
- Commanding Officer Naval Medical Neuropshcyiatric Research Unit San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Technical Reference Library Naval Medical Research Institute National Naval Medical Center Bethesda, MD 20014
- 1 Chief Bureau of Medicine & Surgery Research Division (Code 713) Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20372
- 1 Dr. John J. Collins Chief of Naval Operations (OP-987F) Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20350
- 1 Technical Library (Pers-11B) Bureau of Naval Personnel Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20360
- 1 Head, Personnel Measurement Staff Capital Area Personnel Office Ballston Tower #2, Room 1204 801 N. Randolph Street Arlington, VA 22203
- Technical Director Naval Personnel Research and Development Center San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Dr. Bernard Rimland Naval Personnel Research and Development Center San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Commanding Officer Naval Personnel Research and and Development Center San Diego, CA 92152



- 1 Supertindent Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 92940 ATTN: Library (Code 2124)
- 1 Mr. George N. Graine Naval Ship Systems Command (SHIPS 03H) Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20360
- 1 Technical Library
 Naval Ship Systems Command
 National Center, Building 3
 Room 3S08
 Washington, DC 20360
- 1 Chief of Naval Training Support Code N-21 Building 45 Naval Air Station Pensacola, FL 32508
- 1 Dr. William L. Maloy Principal Civilian Advisor for Training and Education Naval Training Command, Code 01A Pensacola, FL 32508
- 1 CDR Fred Richardson
 Navy Recruiting Command
 BCT #3, Room 215
 Washington, DC 20370
- 1 Mr. Arnold Rubinstein Naval Material Command (NMAT-03424) Room 820, Crystal Plaza #6 Washington, DC 20360

ARMY

- 1 Commandant U.S. Army Institute of Administration ATTN: EA Fort Benjamin Harrison, IN 46216
- 1 Armed Forces Staff College Norfold, VA 23511 ATTN: Library
- 1 Director of Research U.S. Army Armor Human Research Unit ATTN: Library Building 2422 Morade Street Fort Knox, KY 40121

- 1 Commanding Officer ATTN: LTC Montgomerv USACDC - PASA Ft. Benjamin Harrison, IN 46249
- 1 Commandant United States Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSIN-H Fort Benning, GA 31905
- 1 U.S. Army Research Institute Commonwealth Building, Room 239 1300 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209 ATTN: Dr. R. Dusek
- 1 Mr. Edmund F. Fuchs U.S. Army Research Institute 1300 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209
- 1 Dr. Stanley L. Cohen Work Unit Area Leader Organizational Development Work Unit Army Research Institute for Behavioral & Social Science 1300 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209

AIR FORCE

- 1 Headquarters, U.S. Air Force Chief, Personnel Research and Analysis Division (AF/DPSY) Washington, DC 20330
- 1 Research and Analysis Division AF/DPXYR Room 4C200 Washington, DC 20330
- 1 AFHRL/MD 701 Prince Street Room 200 Alexandria, VA 22314
- 1 Personnel Research Division
 AFHRL
 Lackland Air Force Base
 Texas 78236
- 1 AFOSR(NL) 1400 Wilson Boulevard Ar.ington, VA 22209

1 CAPT Jack Thorpe, USAF Department of Psychology Bowling Green State University Bowling Green, OH 43403

MARINE CORPS

- 1 COL George Caridakis Director, Office of Manpower Utilization Headquarters, Marine Corps (A01H) MCB Quantico, VA 22134
- 1 Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor (Code Ax) Commandant of the Marine Corps Washington, DC 20380
- 1 Mr. E. A. Dover Manpower Measurement Unit (Code A01M-2) Arlington Annex, Room 2413 Arlington, VA 20370

COAST CUARD

1 Mr. Joseph J. Cowan, Chief Psychological Research Branch (P-1) U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters 400 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20590

OTHER DOD

- 1 Lt. Col. Austin W. Kibler, Director Human Resources Research Office Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209
- 1 Dr. Ralph R. Canter Director for Manpower Research Office of Secretary of Defense The Pentagon, Room 3C980 Washington, DC 20301

OTHER GOVERNMENT

1 Dr. Lorraine D. Eyde Personnel Research & Development Center U.S. Civil Service Commission, Room 3458 1900 E. Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20415 1 Dr. Very Urry Personnel Research & Development Center U.S. Civil Service Commission Washington, DC 20415

MISCELLANEOUS

- 1 Dr. Richard C. Atkinson Stanford University Department of Psychology Stanford, CA 94305
- 1 Dr. Bernard M. Bass University of Rochester Management Research Center Rochester, NY 14627
- Mr. Michael W. Brown Operations Research, INC. 1400 Spring Street Silver Spring, MD 20910
- 1 Century Research Corporation 4113 Lee Highway Arlington, VA 22207
- 1 Dr. Kenneth E. Clark University of Rochester College of Arts and Sciences River Campus Station Rochester, NY 14627
- 1 Dr. Rene' V. Dawis University of Minnesota Department of Psychology Minneapolis, MN 55455
- 1 Dr. Marvin D. Dunnette University of Minnesota Department of Psychology N492 Elliott Hall Minneapolis, MN 55455
- 2 ERIC Processing and Reference Facility 4833 Rugby Avenue Bethesda, MD 20014
- 1 Dr. Victor Fields Department of Psychology Montgomiry College Rockville, MD 20850

- 1 Dr. Edwin A. Fleishman American Institutes for Research 8555 Sixteenth Street Silver Spring, MD 20910
- Mr. Paul Foley Naval Personnel R&D Laboratory Washington Navy Yard
 Washington, DC 20374
- 1 Dr. Albert S. Glickman American Institutes for Research 8555 Sixteenth Street Silver Spring, MD 20910
- 1 Dr. Duncan N. Hansen Florida State University Center for Computer-Assisted Instruction Tallahassee, FL 32306
- 1 Dr. Richard S. Hatch Decision Systems Associates, Inc. 11428 Rockville Pike Rockvill, MD 20852
- 1 Dr. M.D. Havron Human Sciences Research, Inc. Westgate Industrial Park 7710 Old Springhouse Road McLean, VA 22101
- 1 Human Resources Research Organization Division #3 P.O. Box 5787 Presidio of Monterey, CA 93940
- 1 Human Resources Research Organization Division #4, Infantry P.O. Box 2086 Fort Benning, GA 31905
- Human Resources Research Organization Division #5, Air Defense P.O. Box 6057 Fort Bliss, TX 79916
- 1 Human Resources Research Organization Division #6, Library P.O. Box 428 Fort Rucker, AL 36360
- Dr. Lawrence B. Johson Lawrence Johnson and Associates, Inc. 200 S Street, N.W., Suite 502 Washington, DC 20009

4

- 1 Dr. Norman J. Johnson Carnegie-Mellon University School of Urban and Public Affairs Pittsburgh, PA 15213
- 1 Dr. E. J. McCormick Purdue University Department of Psychological Sciences Lafayette, IN 47907
- Dr. Robert R. Mackie Human Factors Research, Inc. 6780 Cortona Drive Santa Barbara Research Park Goleta, CA 93017
- 1 Mr. Edmund Marks 109 Grange Building Pennsylvania State University University Park, PA 16802
- 1 Mr. Luigi Petrullo 2431 North Edgewood Street Arlington, VA 22207
- 1 Dr. Robert Pritchard Assistant Professor of Psychology Purdue University Lafayette, IN 47907
- Dr. Joseph W. Rigney Behavioral Technology Laboratories University of Southern California 3717 South Grand Los Angeles, CA 90007
- 1 Dr. Leonard L. Rosenbaum, Chairman Department of Psychology Montgomery College Rockville, MD 20850
- 1 Dr. Benjamin Schneider University of Maryland Department of Psychology College Park, MD 20742
- 1 Dr. Arthur I. Siegel Applied Psychological Services Science Center 404 East Lancaster Avenue Wayne, PA 19087

- 1 Mr. Emanuel P. Somer, Head Naval Personnel Research and Development Center San Diego, CA 92152
- Dr. David J. Weiss University of Minnesota Department of Psychology Minneapolis, MN 55455
- 1 Dr. Anita West Denver Research Institute University of Denver Denver, CO 80210
- 1 Dr. Charles A. Ullmann Director, Behavioral Science Studies Information Concepts Incorporated 1701 No. Ft. Myer Drive Arlington, VA 22209

