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## ABSTRACT

An examination of statewide testing program results for New Mexico are presented under the following headings: (1) Assessment of Mental Abilities, School Year 1972-73, Grade 1; (2) Assessment of Academic Aptitude and Achievement, School Year 1972-73, Grade 5; (3) Assessment of Acadeinic Aptitude and Achievement, Schọol Year 1972-73, Grade 8; and (4) ACT Report, February 1973. Conclusions include: (1) the New Mexico statewide first grade mean mental ability score is significantly lower than the national norm; (2) the statewide achievement mean for New ilexico fifth graders is significantly lower than the national norm; (3) eighth-grade achievement scores are significantly lower than the national norm; and (4) Anglo students are over-represented in percent of college-bound students, and Spanish-American students are under-represented. (СК)
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ASSESSMENT OF MENTAL ABILITIES
SCHOOL YEAR 1972-73
GRADE I

ASSESSMENT OF MENTAL ABILI'TIES
OF GRADE ONE, SCHOOL YEAR 1972-73
4
In designing the valuation scheme to which the Department of Education has committed itself, a critical consideration was that of making a valid assessment of the starting point of education in the state. Since the establishment of.a base line was felt to be crucial in the evaluation scheme, the assessment of the mental akilities of the total first grade population of the state appeared to be the logical first step. If the starting point could be determined, then subsequent curricular activity and emphasis could thereby be planned in a more objective manner.

Accordingly, the Otis-Lennon Mental Ability Test, Primary II, Form J, was administered initially to the entire first grade population in October 1971 and the results reported. This year's (1972-73) statewide test of the first grade children is a replication of previous testing -- the same test administered in the same month-under the same conditions.

PROCEDURE: Each district was asked to assign a test coordinator for the district through whom test-related communications could be channeled from the Department of Education. Much effort was made to keep all affected levels of the school informed. All testing was completed during October 1972. The children's teachers administered the tests according to specific instructions provided them in the manual for administration. The completed tests were then sent to the Department of Education for preliminary checking, and from there to the test company for scoring and for making the desired statistical analyses.

STATISTICAL TREATMENT: The answer sheet of each st lent was coded to indicate the name, date of birth, sex, ethnic background, school, district, size of district, and name of teacher. Printouts were obtained for the school and the State Department of Education showing test results in percentiles and raw scores by class in alphabetical order by student's last name. The district also was provided a class mean by school and a mean for the entire district. In addition, the State Department of Education has means by ethnic group for public and non-pubiic schocls as well as statewide summaries. Tests of the significance of the difference between means of the various groups were applied at the .01 level using the following furmula:


RESULTS: The results of the first grade mental abilities testing are shown fn tabular form in Tables I, II and III and are visually represented in Graph A. As Table I shows, the statewide mean of all students taking the test $(21,244)$ is 97.6 which is 2.4 points below the national norm of 100.0 , a statistically significant difference. Table I also shows the means of each group tested and the number of children in each of the groups both for public and non-public schools. The final section is the statewide totals for each group (public plus non-public) for the entire first grade population of the state.

Table II is a matrix which shows various groups and whether the comparison of mental ability means is different enough to be significant. For instance, reading from Table II, we can see that the
"Anglo" mean is 105.2 and the Spanish mean is 92.7 . This is a statistically significant difference and is so indicated in the row "Spanish" and the column "Anglo". Only one mean comparison was statistically not significant: the mean "Other/National".

In Table III, which compares the scores for 1971-72 and 1972-73, it is inceresting to note that Spanish surnamed students taking the test in $1972-73$ scored 2.7 above the $1971-72$ group ( $92.7-90.0$ ). This could be attributed to the fact that these are two different populations. However, in the October 1972 testing, 298 first graders in 20 districts were administered the test using a Spanish language translation of the directions which had been prepared by the test publisher. Those test.s were scored separately and the mean for that group w. 84.0. It is possible that this, too, might account for the difference.
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## TABLE I

## NEW MEXICO SCHOOLS

GRADE ONE，SCHOOL YEAR 1972－73
ACADEMIC APTITUDE SUMMARY＊

＂

\[

\]

> tested with the Otis－Lennon Mental Ability Test in October 1972
> DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
> SANTA FE，NEW MEXICO 87501
> FEBRUARY 1972

## SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN <br> GROUP MEANS ON FIRST GRADE OTIS－LENNON MENTAL AḂILITY TEST＊

| MEAN | GROUP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { 定 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 思 } \\ & \text { 曷 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ | 軍 | O ¢ M H | 员 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105.2 | ANGLO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92.7 | SPANISH | S |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85.1 | INDIAN | S | S |  | ． |  |  |
| 90.3 | NEGRO | S | S | S |  |  |  |
| 100.8 | OTHER | S | S | S | S |  |  |
| 97.6 | N．M． | S | S | S | S | S |  |
| 100.0 | NATIONAL | S | S | S | S | NS | S |

TABLE III

## COMPARISON OF MEAN TEST SCORES ON OTIS-LENNON MENTAL ABILITY TEST FOR <br> 1971-72 AND 1972-73 <br> BY ETHNIC GROUP

| GROUP | SCORE 1971-72 | SCORE 1972-73 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ANGLO | 103.9 | 105.2 |
| SPANISH | 90.0 | 92.7 |
| INDIAN | 83.6 | 85.1 |
| NEGP | 89.8 | 90.3 |
| OTHER | 98.9 | 100.8 |
| NON-PUBLIC | 100.0 | 101.2 |
| PUBLIC | 95.7 | 97.3 |
| TOTAL STATE | 96.0 | 97.6 |
| NATIONAL | 100.0 | 100.0 |

GRAPII $\lambda$
OLMAT MILAN SCORES BY ITTINIC GROUP


School Year 1971-7270\%
School Year 1972-73

CONCLUSIONS: 1. The New Mexico statewide first grade mean mental ability score of 97.6 is slightly, but significantly, lower than that of the national norm of 100 . However, 97.6 is probably not enough below the expected norm to be of great concern in the development of an educational program, since this falls within the standard error of six age deviation points for pupils aged 5-9.
2. The Anglo group scored slightly above the national norm, but the difference is stati: tically significant.
3. The Spanish, Negro and Indian groups scored significantly lower than the national norm, and the low means of these groups should be considered as an important educational problem if the skills measured by the OLMAT are essential to success in school.
4. The mean score of the "Other" group was not significantly different from the national norm.
5. All sub-group means differed significantly
from each other; that is, each sub-group scored significantly higher or lower than all other sub-groups.
6. Three distinct populations of mental ability are apparent in the test results, divided roughly along the ethnic lines of Anglo, Spanish and Indian. The Negro students' mean score is roughly the same as the Spanish and "Other" ethnic types score about the same as the Anglo group.
7. According to the OLMAT results, Spanish,

Indian and Negro children begin their schooling at a distinct disadvantage to the Anglo and "Other" children in terms of their entering-school experiences which are apparently important to success in the general academic curriculum.
8. Children enrolled in the non-public schocls score significantly higher than those in public schools, both statewide and in all of the ethnic groups. Non-public school children also score significantly higher than the national norm.

RECOMMENDATIONS: 1. Greater and more specific effort should be expended to enrich the educational experiences of minority group and bilingual children at the pre-first level and especially during the first year of school. Somehow, the educational gap which exists from the first day of school between the minority group children and children of the dominant culture must be closed. This gap exists at present in all of the grades tested, beginning with the first graae.
2. The statewide test of first grade
mental abilities has established a baseline, the beginning point, for various groups in our public schools. The conclusions reached from an analysis of the fir̂stetesting remain unchanged after analyzing the second testing. Although scores were slightly higher in the second testing, the vast disparity among the sub-groups still remains virtually the same.
3. The trend toward providing pre-school
experiences constitutes a significant change in existing conditions, and continued testing with the same, or similar, instruments, once the early childhood piogram is implemented, should provide valuable data on the effectiveness of the various objectives and approaches that may be devised.

ASSESSMENT OF ACADEMIC APTITUDE
AND ACHIEVEMENT, SCHOOL YEAR 1972-73
GRADE 5

# ASSESSIENT OF ACADEMIC APTITUDE AND ACHIEVEMENT, SCHOOL YEAR 1972-73 GRADE FIVE 

Four years of data now are available for the fifth grade both in achievement and academic aptitude, school years 1969-70, 70-71, 71-72 and 72-73. The Comprehensive Tests of Basic Skills (CTBS) were used in all years to assess achievement of the fifth grade students. In the sampling conducted during school years $1969-70$ and $70-71$, the California Test of Mental Maturity (CTMM) was used to assess academic aptitude. The publisher revised and re-named the test the California Short Form Test of Academic Aptitude (SFTAA), and this test was used during school years 1971-72 and 72-73.

ACHIEVEMENT TEST: The rationale used in the development of the CTBS required that the tests measure systematically those skills prerequisite to studying and learning in subject-matter courses. The tests were developed for national use by students who have been taught by different methods and different curriculums. The tests aim to measure those skills common to all curriculums and needed for success in using language and number skills in any school. Each student was administered tests in four basic skills areas including Reading, Language, Arithmetic and Study Skills. The entire achievement battery required approximately four hours to complete.

APTITUDE TEST: The CTMM and SFTAA are similar types of tests developed to assess the level of intellectual development attained by the student and to predict his potential rate of progress and level of success in school. The SFTAA was standardized by administration of the test to a national sample of 197,000 students and
stratified by geographic region, enrollment size of district and by public and non-public school. The entire test is usually administered in one school period of 45 minutes' duration.
. .-. PROCEDURE: Each district was asked to assign a test coordinator for the district through whom test-related communications could be chaneled from the Department of Education. All testing of all fifth grade students was completed during October 1972. Tests were administered according to precise instructions provided in the manual and in the pre-test workshops which were conducted within each district prior to the testing date. The completed tests were then sent by the local district to the Department of Education for preliminary checking. From there, the answer sheets were sent to the test scoring service.

STATISTICAL TREATMENT: The answer sheet for each student was coded to indicate the name, date of birth, sex, ethnic background, school, district, size of district and name of teacher. Score printouts were obtained for the school, the local district and the Department of Education showing test results in percentiles and standard scores for the SFTAA and grade equivalents - both anticipated and obiained - for the school by district and for the state as a whole. State means for ethnic groups and for district size were computed for the Department of Education.

RESULTS: The results of the fifth grade testing are shown in tabular form in Tables $i$, II, III, IV and V.

Table $I$ gives a summary of most of the data of statewide application, including achievement and academic aptitude means by ethnic group and district size, as well as for the non-public schools and the state and national norms.

The daté in Table $I$ corroborate findings of previous years and in other grades about ethnic sub-group scores in achievement; namely, that the Anglo group scores highest and above the national norm, followed by Spanish, Negro and Indian groups in that order. However, the range is wide within each of these groups with some students scoring well above the mean in each category. Means in schools from districts over 5,000 enrollment tend to be higher than those in smaller districts. The achievement mean for children attending non-public schools is 5.0 , approximately the expected score, and their academic aptitude score is 1.01 (one point above the expected score of 100). The mean grade equivalent score of all fifth grade children taking the test this year is 4.7, significantly lower than the expected 5.1.

Table II is a four-year comparison of achievement scores for the fifth grade which shows a strong consistency of mean scores for the various groúps and the state totals in the four years tested.

Table III is a three-year comparison of academic aptitude mean scores. The 1971-72 means are in most instances about 5 or 6 points below those of the previous two years. This is attributed to the change from CTMM to SFTAA rather than to a difference in populations. Table IV indicates that all means in the academic aptitude test differ significancly from each other. Scores on the academic aptitude test by ethnic group and district size for 1972-73 were not included in this year's reports.

Test results show that 2,600 fifth grade children scored in the high ability category, while at the other end of the scale, 5,986 children scored in the low ability range (See Table V).

It is interesting to note that those students at, and below, the 17th percentile are performing close to their anticipated level, while the greatest discrepancy between anticipated and actual scores occurs in the group at, and above, the 83 rd percentile.
NEW MEXICO SCHOOLS
GRADE FIVE, SCHOOL YEAR 1972-73
ACHIEVEMENT AND ACADEMIC APTITUDE TEST SUMMARY*

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { SANTA FE, NM } 87501 \\
\text { January, } 1973
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

NEW MEXICO SCHOOLS
TABLE II
GRADE 5
TOTAL ACHIEVEMENT MEANS BY GROUPS FOR FOUR YEARS

| GROUP | Mean Grade Eq. Score 1969-70 | Mean Grade Eq. Score 1970-71 | Mean Grade Eq. Score 1971-72 | Mean Grade Eq. Score 1972-73 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANGLO | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| SPANISH | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| IndIAN | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| NEGRO | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| OTHER | 4.3 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| 1-500 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.8 |
| 501-1000 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| 1001-5000 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| Over 5000 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Non-Public | NA | 5.6 | 5.1 | 5.0 |
| State | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.6 |
| National | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 |

NEW MEXICO SCHOOLS
TABLE III
GRADE 5 -
ACADEMIC APTITUDE SCORES BY GROUPS FOR THREE YEARS*

| GROUP | SCORE <br> $1969-70$ | SCORE <br> $1970-71$ | SCORE <br> $1971-72$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 111 | 109 | 103 |
| SPANISH | 96 | 95 | 91 |
| INDIAN | 92 | 91 | 85 |
| NEGRO | 92 | 93 | 89 |
| OTHER | 103 | 104 | 98 |
| NON-PUBLIC | NA | 108 | 101 |
| STATE | 102 | 102 | 96 |
| NATIONAL | $\ldots .100$ | 100 | 100 |

* Academic aptitude scores for ethnic groups were not provided for school year 1972-73.


## NEW MEXICO SCHOOLS

TABLE IV
SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN group means on grade five
ACADEMIC ABILITIES TEST SCHOOL YEAR 1971－72＊

| MEAN | GROUP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \text { 苞 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 落 } \\ & \text { 公 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 品 空 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { Hy } \\ & \text { 甸 } \\ & \text { 3 } \\ & \text { y } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103 | Anglo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91 | Spanish | S |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | Indian | S | S |  |  |  |  |
| 89 | Negro | S | S | S |  |  |  |
| 98 | Other | S | S | S | S |  |  |
| 96 | New Mexico | S | S | S | S | S |  |
| 100 | National | S | S | S | S | S | S |

[^0]$\wedge$ a'tava
 STATE MEANS - OCTOBER 1972 GRADE 5


CONCLUSIONS: 1. The statewide achievement mean for New Mexico fifth graders is a grade equivalent of 4.6 , as compared to the expected 5.1. This difference is statistically significant and merits some attention.
2. The statewide academic aptitude mean for the fifth grade is 96 , slightly, but significantly, below the national norm of 100 . Results of the same test in 1971-72 show exactly the same mean. This mean of 96 is probably not enough below the expected to be regarded as a serious problem, although the causes for which the sub-groups contribute to lowering the mean must be given attention. Means of ethnic subgroups on the academic aptitude test were not available this year.
3. The Anglo group scored slightly above the nationel norm on achievement, and the difference is statistically significant.
4. The Spanish, Indian and Negro groups scored significantly lower than the national norm on achievement and the possible causes for these scores should be given special attention.
5. All group means differed significantly from each other in achievement and all but the group "Other" differed significantly from the national norm.
6. The Spanish, Indian and Negro children begin their schooling at a distinct academic aptitude disadvantage to the Anglo and other children as revealed in the first grade test results. Noching measurable is accomplished in the next four years to narrow or overcome this handicap.
7. Children enrolled in the non-public schools score significantly higher than those in the public schools in achievement.
8. The children at both extremes of ability meri: greater attention.
9. No new revelations have been uncovered by the statewide tests of achievement and aptitude since their inauguration four years ago. Initial testing has been replicated three times in the fifth grade in subsequent years with only negligible changes in results. We now know fairly conclusively that New Mexico public school children achieve about one-half a school year below the national norms and that academic ability is very near the national norm. The ethnic subgroups vary considerably in both absility and achievement. Conclusions "4" through " 8 " above, also continue to be replicated.

RECÖMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE ACTION BY LEAS

1. Study district summary data and individual pupil data as they relate to district objectives. Involve teachers, board members and comunity.
2. Design special programs to remedy areas of deficiency.
3. Share with the State Department of Education and with other districts program designs that have prover successful.
4. Fay particular attention to programs for the 2,600 children in the high ability group, since it is here that we find the biggest difference between anticipated and obtained achievement.

Approximately half of the districts in the state have only two years of comparable data on fifth grade aptitude and achievement. It would appear advisable to continue to measure these attributes with the same

[^1]ASSESSMENT OF ACADEMIC APTITUDE
AND ACHIEVEMENT, SCHOOL YEAR 1972-73
GRADE 8

## ASSESSMENT OF ACADFMIC APTITUDE AND ACHIEVEMENT, SCHOOL YEAR 1972-73

The eighth grade testing was. carried out in conjunction with the testing of the fifth grade, and the same procedure was followed for both grades. All grade eight children were tested with the Comprehensive Tests of Basic Skills (CTBS) and the Short Form Test of Academic Aptitude (SFTAA). A total of 23,550 completed tests were scored for this grade level.

- ACHIEVEMENT TEST: The rationale used in the development of the CTBS required that the tests measure systematically those skills prerequisite to studying and leaming in subject-matter courses. The tests were developed for national use by students who have been taught by different approaches, and the tests are intended to measure those skills common to all curriculums and those needed for success in using language and number skills in any school. Each student was administered tests in four basic skills areas including Reading, Language, Arithmetic, and Study Skills, requiring approximately four hours to complete.

APTITLDE TEST: The California Test of Mental Maturity (CTMM), which was used in 1969 and 1970 sampling, and the SFTAA are similar types of tests and were developed to assess the level of intellectual development attained by the student and to predict his potential rate of progress and level of success in school. The SFTAA was standardized by administration of the test to a national sample of 197,000 students and stratified by geographic region, enrollment size of district, and by public and non-public school. The entire test is usually administered in one normal school period of approximately 45 minutes.

PROCEDURE: Each district was askec to assign a test coordinator for the district through whom test-related communications could be channeled
from the Department of Education. Testing of all eighth grade students was completed during October 1972. Tests were administered according to precise instructions provided in the manual and in pre-test workshops which were held prior to the testing date. The completed tests were then sent by the local district to the Department of Education for preliminary checking. From there the answer sheets were sent to the test scoring service.

STATISTICAL TREATMENT: The answer sheet of each student was coded to indicate the name, date of birth, sex, ethnic background, school, district, size of district and name of teacher. Score printouts were obtained for the school, the district and the State Department of Education showing test results in percentiles and standard scores for the SFTAA and grade equivalents for the CTBS by school, by district and foi the state as a whole. State means for ethnic groups and for district size were computed for the Department of Education.

RESULTS: Results are shown in tabular form in Tables I through VI. Table $I$ is a summary of most of the data pertinent to statewide study, including achievement and academic aptitude means by ethnic group and district size. This table also shows data for the non-public schools as well as state and national norms.

Eithth grade score means assume the pattern characteristic of the first and fifth grades, with the state mean being 7.2, almost a full grade below the expected score of 8.1. Among the ethnic classifications, the Anglo group scored slightly above the expected 8.1 grade equivalent with 8.2 .

It should be stressed that within each of the ethnic groups, there are students who score well above the national mean. Overall, however, performance is not only below the national mean but below the anticipated score for all ability groups in nearly all sub-tests (See Table II).

Of the eighth grade children who took this test, 2629 scored in the high ability category. At the lower end of the scale, 5170 sc̣ored in the low ability group.

Where in the fifth grade testing, Spanish-surnamed children score one grade below the Anglo group, in the eighth grade scoring they are 1.8 grade points below that group. The Anglo group maintains an expected score, but the Spanish group, rather than catching up, falis nearly another grade behind. Indian children, who are 1.5 grades behind the Anglo children in the fifth grade, are 2.8 grades behind them in the eighth grade. Eighth grade Negro children are exactly two grades below the national mean with a grade equivalent of 6.1. Non-public school children scored 7.7 which is almost one-half a grade below the national average $f(r$ this testing.

Table III shows a three-year comparison of total achievement scores for the eighth grade, with 1970-71 being a small sample. The years 1971-72 and 1972-73 are the results of the complete testings in October of each year.

Table IV is a three-year comparison of academic aptitude mean scores. The 1971-72 means are in most instances a few points below those of the previous two years, and this is attributed primarily to the change from the CTMM to the SFTAA.

Table $V$ indicates that all academic aptitude means shown in Table III differ significantly from each other except that the group "Other" does not differ significantly from the national norm group.

Table VI shows the average score of fifth grade students and the score these fifth graders make three years later in the eighth grade. The division is by ethnic subgroups in order to show the disparity ir progress during the three years. Only the group "Other" progresses at expected rate
or better. The other groups and the state do not progress in a way that is to be expected during these three years, with some group losing almost a year and the state as a whole losing seven-tenths of a year.
TABLE I
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## GRADE 8

Comprehensive Tests of Basic Skills and Short Form Test of Academic Aptitude


[^2]
## NEW MEXICO SCHOOLS

## TABLE III

GRADE 8
TOTAL ACHIEVEMENT MEANS BY GROUPS FOR 3 YEARS

|  | Grade Eq. <br> Score $1970-71$ | Grade Eq. <br> Score 1971-72 | Grade Eq. <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP |  |  |  |

NEW MEXICO SCHOOLS
TABLE IV
GRADE 8
ACADEMIC APTITUDE SCORES BY GROUPS FOR 4 YEARS

|  | SCORE <br> GROUP | SCORE <br> $1969-70-71$ | SCORE <br> 1971-72 | SCORE <br> 1972-73** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anglo | 111 | 109 | 103 | NA |
| Spanish | 92 | 95 | 91 | NA |
| Indian | 85 | 91 | 85 | NA |
| Negro | 86 | 93 | 88 | NA |
| Other | 108 | 106 | 100 | NA |
| Non-Public | NA | 103 | 100 | 102 |
| State | 106 | 100 | 97 | 97 |
| National | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |

[^3]
## NEW MEXICO SCHOOLS

TABLE V

SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN
GROUP MEANS ON GRADE EIGHT ACADEMIC APTITUDE TEST SCHOOL YLAR 1971－72＊

| MEAN | GROUP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 우́ } \\ & \text { 菖 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { r } \\ & \text { 条 } \\ & \text { 完 } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{4}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 으́ } \\ & \text { 嶌 } \end{aligned}$ | 匋 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103 | ANGLO |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91 | SPANISH | S |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | INDIAN | S | S |  |  |  |
| 88 | NEGRO | S | S | S |  |  |
| 100 | OTHER | S | S | S | S |  |
| 97 | N．M． | S | S | S | S | S |
| 100 | NATIONAL | S | S | S | S | NS |

＊＂S＂indicates a significant difference exists between the means of the groups compared；＂NS＂indicates no significant difference．

## TABLE VI

## average grade equivalent scores of eighth graide STUDENTS AND THEIR SCORES THREE YEARS EARLIER AS FIFTH GRADE STUDENTS <br> BY ETHNIC GROUPS

## FIFTH GRADE MEAN EQUIVALENT SCORE, 1969-70

EIGHTH GŔADE MEAN EQUIVALENT SCORE, 1972-73

GRADE
EQUIVALENT INCREASE IN 3 YEARS

| ANCLO | 5.5 | 8.2 | 2.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SPANISH | 4.3 | 6.4 | 2.1 |
| INDIAN | 3.7 | 5.5 | 1.8 |
| NEGRO | 3.9 | 6.1 | 2.2 |
| OTHER | 4.3 | 7.6 | 3.3 |
| STATE | 4.9 | 7.2 | 2.3 |
| NATIONAL | 5.1 | 8.1 | 3.0 |

1. The statewide total achievement mean for New Mexico eighth graders, based on a test population of 23,550 , is a grade equivalent of 7.2, as compared to a national norm of 8.1 This is nine months below what is expected of an eighth grade group.
2. The statewide academic aptitude mea: for the eighth grade is 97 , slightly below the "ational norm of 100 . This is probably not enough below the norm to be of serious concern. Of great concern, however, are the groups within the tota! population which bring the aptitude mean down, as shown by the figures for the 1971-72 testing in Table IV.
3. The Anglo group scored slightly above the national norms on both achievement and aptitude.
4. The Spanish, Indian and Negro groups scored significantly lower than the national norm on achievement (and aptitude in 1971-72). Bringing their achievem nt and aptitude up nearer the national norm should be regarded as a critical educational need in the state.
5. The tests administered in the eigith grade show essentially the same results as those administered at the fifth grade; namely, that there are three different populations of students in attendance, divided along the ethnic lines of Anglo, Spanish and Indian, with Negro students scoring below the Spanish and "Others" scoring below the Anglo group. The academic ability disadvantages which the minority groups began with in the first grade are still with them eight years later, and the difference in achievenent levels widens.
6. Non-public school children scored about one-half year below the national norm in achiev_ment in the eighth grade, although at the fifth grade they were scoring at the norm. The non-public aptitude norm was 102 , slightly above the norm.
7. Approximately 2,629 children in the eighth grade testing scored in the high ability category; about 5,170 children made scores indicating low ability.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The statewide tests of ability and achievement have been very revealing and are achieving the objective of determi..ing the status of educational progress of eighth grade children and the various subgroups of children in this grade. The tests have located and established conclusively several areas in critical need of attention. Each local education agency should study the test results with the intention of developing programs that will remedy the deficiencies revealed. Statewide, it would appear that both the high ability and low ability groups at this level are in need of special attention.
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In the school year 1971-72, 9,107 of the college-bound students in New Mexico high schools took the American College Test (ACT). This represented approximately $45 \%$ of the 18,388 twelfth $\Gamma \cdot$ ade students reported in the first forty day ADM for that year. Of this number, $92 \%$ were seniors, $5 \%$ were juniors, and $3 \%$ were classified as "other."

The major portion of the ACT consists of four sections, one eách in English, mathematics, social studies, and natural sciences. A composite score is derived from scores obtained on these four tests which are designed to measure the student's ability to perform the kinds of intellectual tasks typically required of college students. Most of the items are concerned with applying what has been learned and are not primarily concerned with specific and detailed subject matter.

In addition to the test battery, a Student Profile section has been added to aid colleges in educational planning and programming. It can also be of use to high schools in curriculum planning and counseling. It is designed to elicit information concerning the goals, background, personal needs (housing, part-time employment, financial aid), and nonclassroom achievements of the students. This is an ungraded exercise designed only to provide essential information to educational planners.

Findings discussed below are derived from The High School Profile Report, 1972, prepared by the Research Services of the American

College Testing Program, Iowa City, Iowa. In any presentation on test results, it is well to keep in mind that factors other than test scores bear on student performance, and that these data are general guides and not firm indicators of ability or lack of ability to perform college work.

The picture has not changed greatly from 1970-71. The total composite has dropped .1, from 18.5 in $1970-71$ to 18.4 in 1971-72. (See Table 1 and Graph A.) Looking at the scores summari zed in this composite, it is noted)that a decrease of .3 in girls' scores in mathematics and English is a contributing factor to this decline.

Comparing the scores for 1967-68 with those for 1971-72, it would appear that scores are decreasing in every area but the natural sciences. The social studies test shows the greatest decrement -- 17.7 in 1971-72, down from 19.3 in 1967-68. It may be that these particular tests are not relevant to the curriculum in New Mexico, but this calls for further investige ion.

It has been hypothesized that in some districts larger numbers of less academically oriented students have been encouraged to take the test in recent years, thereby depressing the over-all scores. A question might be raised as to whether any student who wishes to do so should be permitted to take the ACT. If the answer is affirmative, further questions regarding adequacy of academic preparation and counseling of these students for college entry need to be considered.
T A B L E $\underline{1}$
A C T MEANS - NEW MEXICO

|  | ENGLISH |  |  | MATHEMATICS |  |  | SOCIAL STUDIES |  |  | NA+URAL SCIENCES |  |  | COMPOSITE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR N | BOY | GIRL | TOTAL | BOY | CLRL | TOTAL | BOY | GIRL | TOTAL | BOY | GIRL | TOTAL | BOY | GIRL | TOTAL |
| $\begin{array}{rr} 1967-68 & 8,239 \\ B-4,348 \\ G-3,891 \end{array}$ | 17.5 | $\therefore 19.5$ | 18.5 | 19.6 | 17.0 | 18.4 | 19.8 | 18.8 | 19.3 | 21.5 | 19.9 | 20.3 | 19.7 | 18.7 | 19.2 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1968-69 \quad 7,843 \\ B-3,947 \\ G-3,896 \end{array}$ | 17.9 | 19.5 | 18.7 | 20.2 | 18.0 | 19.1 | 20.2 | 18.9 | 19.6 | 21.7 | 19.2 | 20.5 | 20.0 | 19.1 | 19.5 |
| $\begin{array}{rr} 1969-70 & 8,771 \\ B-4,403 \\ G-4,368 \end{array}$ | 17.2 | 18.8 | 18.0 | 20.4 | 18.0 | 19.2 | 19.7 | 18.1 | 18.9 | 21.5 | 19.7 | 20.6 | 19.8 | 18.8 | 19.3 |
| $\begin{array}{rr} 1970-71 & 9,091 \\ B-4,478 \\ G-4,613 \end{array}$ | 16.4 | 18.2 | 17.4 | 19.3 | 17.0 | 18.1 | 18.2 | 17.4 | 17.8 | 21.2 | 19.3 | 20.3 | 18.9 | 18.1 | 18.5 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1971-72-9,107 \\ B-4,501 \\ G-4,606 \end{array}$ | 16.3 | 17.9 | 17.1 | 19.3 | 16.7 | $18.0$ NATI | $18.2$ $\mathrm{AL} \text { MEA }$ | $17.2$ <br> S* | 17.7 | 21.3 | 19.1 | 20.2 | 18.9 | 17.9 | 18.4 |
| 2,674,485 |  |  | 18.2 |  |  | 19.0 |  |  | 19.4 |  |  | 20.1 |  |  | 19.3 |

[^4]

Examination of these questions is further indicated by the fact that New Mexico students report slightly higher grades on high school subjects and lower scores on the ACT than the national average. (See ACT Report - 1972.)

Educational plans of New Mexico high school graduates are summarized in Table 2.

TABLE 2
EDUCATIONAL PLANS - DEGREES SOUGHT

|  |  | 1969-70 | 1970-71 | 1971-72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vocational-Technical <br> (less than 2 years) | New Mexico | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
|  | National |  | 3\% |  |
| Two-year college | New Mexico | 12\% | 13\% | 14\% |
| degree | National |  | 14\% |  |
| Bachelor's degree or equivalent | New Mexico | 41\% | 41\% | 39\% |
|  | National |  | 44\% |  |
| Ore or two years graduate study | New Mexico | 23\% | 21\% | 20\% |
|  | National |  | 21\% |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Doctorate } \\ & \text { (Ph.D, M.D., etc.) } \end{aligned}$ | New Mexico | 11\% | 9\% | 11\% |
|  | National |  | 8\% |  |
| All others <br> H.S. diploma, J.D., <br> L.L.B.,B.D., etc. | New Mexico | 9\% | 10\% | 11\% |
|  | National |  | 10\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

The shift in National priorities from academic to vocational skills is reflected in this table in a slight decrease in percent of those planning on obtaining a bachelor's degree and going on to do graduate work,
with a corresponding increase in those choosing a two-year college degree. Increasing availability of community colleges may contribute to this trend also. Percent of those planning on two years or less of vocational-technical training remains constant at $4 \%$. (It should be remembered that this test is usually taken only by those planning on going on to college.)

The lower per capita income reported for New Mexico (\$3,131 compared with a national average of $\$ 3,921 *$ ) undoubtedly has a bearing on plans for higher education. Six percent of New Mexico students, as compared to three percent nationally, reported family income of less than $\$ 3,000$, and $59 \%$ of all New Mexico students taking the test in 1971-72 indicated they would need financial assistance all through college.

One section of the profile asks students to indicate their racial or ethnic background. Table 3 gives the responses to this question together with similar information from the Statewide Testing Program for 1971-72.

TABLE 3
PERCENT OF THOSE TESTED BY ETHNIC GROUP -- 1971-72

|  | GRADE 1 | GRADE 5 | GRADE 8 | ACT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anglo | 45 | 49 | 50 | 61 |
| Spanish | 43 | 41 | 40 | 22 |
| Indian | 9 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Negro | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Other, or prefer not to respond | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{N}=$ | 21,940 | 24,559 | 22,769 | 9,107 |

[^5]From these data it appears that Anglo students are over-represented in percent of college-bound students and Spanish-American students are under-represented, a situation that is not entirely unsuspected. These figures, however, do give an indication of the dimensions of the disparity.


#### Abstract

It would seem that conclusions reached earlier are still valid, i.e., that New Mexico students are well prepared in the area of the natural sciences; that we need to take a good look at what is being taught, and learned, in English, mathematics, and social sciences; that grading practices need to be evaluated; and that provisions for financial aid to qualified students need to be expanded. A further conclusion might be drawn that still greater effort must be made to ensure that abla students from all ethnic groups are encouraged to participate in higher education.


[^0]:    ＊S denotes statistically significant differences

[^1]:    instruments.for at least two more years in order to determine, through longitudinal data, the effectiveness of any new programs that may be implemented.

[^2]:    OGE - Obtained Grade Equivalent - converted from Scale Score
    DiFF - Difference between OGE and Anticipated Grade Equivalent

[^3]:    *Testing in 1969-70 was of a random sample of eighth grade students. **Scores for ethnic subgroups not provided.

[^4]:    *Based on 2,674,485 college-bound students who took the ACT tests during the period 1967-70.
    Using ACT on the Campus 1971/72, p. 15

[^5]:    *How New Mexico Stacks Up On Education 1972, Research Unit, State Department of Education, Santa Fe, New Mexico, p. 10.

