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## Cleveland Public Schools

TII 1971-1972 EVALUATION RI:PORT OF TIIE ENGLISH-AS-A-SECOND language project hill attempt to ansher the folloning questions:

1. TO WHAT dEGREE WERF THE PRODUCT ObjECTIVES ATTAINED?
2. WERE THE USUAL ESL SKILLS TRANSFERABLE TO OR EVIDENT IN REGULAR CLASSROON PERFORMANCE?
3. WHAT WERE STAFF IMPRESSIONS?
I. INTRODICTION

The 1971-1972 Title I Fnglish-As-A-Second Language Project represented local educational efforts for the sixth consec! ${ }^{*}$ ive year to provide services which would alleviate language, social, and cultural problems of elementary school age second-language learners.
A. Needs and Rationale

In the past when less than half of the United States population graduated f.om high school, acculturation and achievement were not essential to earning a living. The pre-technological working earner offered a reedy market for the skills and labor of the immigrant and his children. The untutored and the less gifted could find an income producing role in this society. The problem for the first and second generation child who did not advance socially and economically was not acute. Today, however, the cumulative efforts of technological changes and higher educational requirements have diminished the labor markct which traditionally provided the means of purposive and rewarding living for that group.

The rise in level of educational attainment in this country was reflected in the 1070 United States Census which indicated that approximately half of the United States population has graduated from high school. Furthermore, the need of a high school diploma as a prerequisite for a job application form, represents a new phenomena in this country. The problems of employability for the immigrant and his children appear to have been seriously aggravated.

The Cleveland Public Sch ools, cognizant of these needs and the emerging changes in the country, have continued to assist school age children acquire a basic familiarity with, and later, perhaps, a mastery of the English language. Children's acquisition of some level of proficiency in the English language had to be accomplished as a first step toward bringing them into the mainstream of its academplife. The increasing number of children who have a primary language other than English, have resulted in the creation of special English classes which would be responsive to the language, educational, as well as social and cultural needs.

The goal of the 1971-1972 Title I English-As-A-Second Language Project was to provide a specialized program of instruction directed at helping non-English speaking elementary school children acquire some level of proficiency in the use of the English language as well as in helping them adjust to the immediate environment.

- The product objectives are as foilows:

1. Participants will show improvement ( $p<.05$ ) in sentence patterns of the English language based on ratings of classroom and ESL teachers.
2. Participants will show improvement (p<.05) in level of vocabulary skills at the end of the year based on standardized test measures and on ratings of classroom and ESL teachers.
3. Participants will show improvement in pronunciation skills ( $p<.05$ ) at the end of the year based on test measures and ratings of classroom and English teachers.
4. Participants will show improvement in basic decoding skills at the end of the year based on teachermade tests adjusted to reading proficiency standards for children with language barriers.
5. Participants will show improvement in the comprehension of the spoken and the written word ( $p<.05$ ) at the end of the year based on ratings of classroom and ESL teachers.

The process objectives are as follows:

1. Assignment of an English-As-A-Second Language team which consists of an English-As-A-Second Language teacher, a teacher assistant, and a tutor to schools will be based on concentration of non-English speaking students.
2. A maximum of 15 pupils will be assigned to any one class session where duration per session may vary from one hour to 20 minutes based on the proficiency level of the groun. Follow-up tutoring sessions are from $1 / 2$ an hour to one hour.
3. Pupils will be grouped according to needs in oral Fnglish and basic reading skills.
4. Curriculum program will be carefully structured to include language structures and vocabulary that are readily available within the daily experiences of children, and geared to the proficiency levels of participants.
5. Special tutorial services will be made available to selected Project participants to upgrade their performance with English-sneaking peers.
6. Parent involvement and participation in the learning experience of the children will be actively enlisted by the Project staff.
B. Historical Background

Created in the summer of 1967, the ESL Project was originally implemented in nine Title 1 elementary schools serving a total of 188 second-language learners. During the 1967-1968 school year, the Project operated in seven schools which were subsequently decreased to six schools during the $1958-1969$ school year. Project oneration was then expanded to 11 schools in 1969-1970, and to 13 schools during the 19701971 school year. (Refer to list of schools served from 1967 to the present in Appendix B). A record number of approximately 515-580 children were served in both years.

Assessment of Project operations in the past indicated the following:

- Majority of participants werc rated by regular classroom and Project teachers to demonstrate improvement in basic language skills.
- Participants evidenced significant gains in vocabulary and comprehension skills based on performance on standardized reading test.
- Majority of participants were rated to show more positive school attitudes at the end of the school year.
C. Summary of Operations

During the 1971-1972 school year, the Project served a total of approximately 620 pupils in 12 public and one parochial elementary schools. The average daily membership was estimated at 533 pupils. Thirty-two of the 620 particinants served represented participants from the ESL-Bilingual Component operating at llicks school.

The total exnenditure of the Project amounted to $\$ 252,365$. Based on an average daily memberhip of 533 pupils, the Project's per$i$ pupil cost was estimated at $\$ 475.00$. This cost represents an additional expenditure beyond the $\$ 503.77$ estimated per-pupil instructional cost ${ }^{1}$ incurred from general funds. Thus, the per-punil combined expenditure of general and Title $I$ funding amounted to approximately $\$ 979.00$ during the 1971-1972 school year.

1 This expenditure was based on the 1971-1972 ner-pupil cost of General Fund Educational Expenditure released by the Office of the Clerk Treasurer.

## II. HIGHLIGITS OF FINDINGS

A. Summary of Key Findings

Assessment of the 1971-1972 English-As~A-Second Language
Project indicated its general effectiveness in the attainment of its
objectives. The following key findings were noted:

1. Participants showed significant higher level of listening skills ( $p(.01$ ) at the end as compared to that at the beginning of the school year, based on performance on the Project-developed Listening test. Attainment of mastery criterion of $75 \%$ accuracy level was noted at all grade levels at the end of the school year.
2. Participants evidenced significant higher level of speaking skills ( $p(.01$ ) at the end as compared to that at the beginning of the schoolyear, based on performance on the Projectdeveloped Speaking test. Attainment f mastery criterion of $75 \%$ accuracy level noted among pupils at Grades 4-5-6, but not evident at the lower grades appears to be a function of maturation factors and the degree to which the Project's Course of Study was completed.
3. Classroom teachers' ratings of participants in their understanding of the spoken Fnglish language indicated that seven out of every ten participants across all grade levels (Child Development to Grade 6) demonstrated "Marked" to "Moderate Improvement."
4. Classroom teachers' ratings of participants in their level of sentence phrases indicated that six out of ever; ten pupils across all grade levels (Child Development to Grade 6) showed "Marked" to "Moaerate Improvement."
5. Sixteen out of 25 classroom teachers, representing 64\% indicated in a personal interview that Project participarion resulted in "Marked" to "Moderate Improvement" in speaking skills. Meanwhile, 14 out of the same 25 teachers, representing $57 \%$ indicated that comparable levels of improvement occurred as a result of Project participation.

Performance of children on the Project-developed
'istening and Speaking lests appears to concur with teachers' ratings of participants at the end of the year.

Attainment of mastery criterion of $75 \%$ accuracy $2 \pi$
Listening test across all grade levels (Child Development through Grades 4-5-6), indicates that participants had mastered the specific ESL skills, as these measures were based on curriculum content of the ESL Course of Study for Listening and Speaking. Findings 1, 2, 3,4, and 5 indicated attainment of Project's product objectives relative to improving comprehension of the spoken English language, participation skills as well as the level of sentence structure.
6. Participants evidenced significantly higher level of basic reading ( $p(.01$ ) skills at the end as compared to that at the beginning of the schonl year at Grades 2,* and 4-5-6, based on performance on four subte of the Project-developed reading tests:

- Participants evidenced attainment of mastery criterion of $75 \%$ accuracy at the end of the school year across all grade levels on Word Reading, which taps pupil's ability to analyze a word without the aid of a context.
- Participants at Grades 4-5-6 evidenced attainment of mastery criterion of $75 \%$ accuracy at the end of the school year on Paragraph Meaning which measures child's functional ability to comprehend connected discourses of sentences. Participants at Grades 2 and 3 fell slightly below the attainment of mastery criterion.
- Participants across all grade jevels evidenced attainment of mastery ciriterion of 75\% accuracy at the end of the scho.ll year on Vocabulary subtest, whith taps child's knowleḍe of simple definitions, reading associations, comprehension of concepts represented by words, etc.
- Participants across all prade levels evidenced attainment of mastery criterion of 75\% accuracy at the end of the school year on Nord Study subtest, which measures auditory perception of beginning and ending sounds.

7. Classroom teachers' ratings of participants in understanding of the printed word indicated that seven out of every ten participants across all s,rade levels (Grade 1 through Grades 4-5-6 evidenced "Marked" to "Moderate Improvement."
8. Cassrocm teachers' ratings of participants in level of vucabulary indicated that seven out $f$ every ten participants across all grade levels (Child Development through Grades 1-5-6) evidences "Marked" to "Moderate Improvement."
9. Twelve out of 25 classroom teachers, representing $48 \%$, indicated in a personal interview that project participation resulted in a "Marked" to "Moderate Improvement" in reading skills.

General performance of children on the Project-developed
reading subtests appears to agree with the classroom teachers'
ratings of participants' reading skills at the end of the year.
Participants appeared to have mastered ESL reading skills
which were based on the Miami Reading Linguistic Series.
Findings $6,7,8$, and 9 indicated attainment of product objec-
tive relative to improvement in hasic decoding skills.
10. Classroom teachers' ratincs of partlcipants in integration of F.SI, skills with repular classronm learning and in interest in schoolwork indicated that six out of every ten participants across all grade levels (Child Development through Grades 4-5-6) showed "Marked" to "Noderate Improvement."

This finding appears to indicate that Project-acquired skills are generalizable to regular classroom learning in spite of differences in class size, in favor of instruction, etc. Furthermore, participants appear to show great ${ }^{\text {ar }}$
interest in learning, based on teachers' observations and
judgments.
11. Ratings of participants by classroom and Project teachers along five languare-associated hehaviors Coral communication skills, reading skills, sentence patterns. schonl intcrest, and interration of ESL-acquired skills with classroom learning) were gencrally comparable. Nineteen out of 25 indivicual pair comparisons indicated non-significant differences. The six comparisons which demonstrated significant differences were as follews:

- Classroom teachers gave significantly higher ratings to first grade participants than did Project teachers in the understanding, of the written or nrinted word and in interest in ichoolwork.
- Project teachers rated participants at Grades 2 and 4-5-6 significantly higher than did classroom teachers in levels of vocabulary skills and English sentences and nhrases.

12. Personal interviews of principals ( $\mathrm{N}=5$ ) and classroom teachers ( $\mathrm{N}=25$ ) indicated a variety of opinions from the strongly negative to the strongly positive, relative to Project's effectiveness. While the positive opinions prevailed across all the interviews, evidences of negativesentiment appear to suggest the need for a continuing communication between the Project and the schools in which it has been operating, directed at clarification of mutual expectations and Project role. Additional key findings were noted:

- The outstanding positive features frequently indicated by classroom teachers were:

Improvement of reading. Improverent of orai communication skills. Enhancement of self-confidence.

- Listening and speaking skills appeared to be more affected by Project participation as compared to two skills, reading and seritence structure.

Most frequent recommendation surgested called for "Retter teacher-lisl teach r coordination."
B. Implications and Recommendations

The ESL Project appeared to have heen effective in helping participants acquire some proficiency in the :se of the English lanpuage. Significant growth in listening, speaking, and basic reading skills over time and positive ratings of partic. רants by teachers in the Pro. ject and in the classroom represent evicences $o_{\text {: }}$ artainment of the five product objectives. Furthermore, positive ratines of participants by class room teachers on five language-associated behaviors at the end of the school year suggest the generalizainility of ESL skills t" classroom learning.

Some statements relative to the Project-developed tests appear to be in order. Based on data gresented earlier, it was notc. that participants across all grade levels (Child Development through Grades 4-5-6) demonstrated mastery criterion of $75 \%$ accuracy in five out of six Project-devised ESL tesis at the end of the school year. Attainment of such levei is no doubt desirable from the view of critericnreferenced testing, considering that items were based on curriculum content. However, comparable performance and superior at that, among shildren showing a wide range of age and grade placement raises questions.

Inclusion of items with higher difficulty levels appears to be in order to make it more appropriate for upper primary grade participants.

Item analysis of the Listening and the Reading subtests, based on performance in October 1971, also confirmed their low difficulty level and their low-power discrimination between grade levels. Distribution of items tended to be concentrated in the Very Easy level ( $75 \%-100 \%$ accuracy) at the upper grade level, strongly suggestive of the fact that the tests may be more appropriate for the younger children or those at the lower grade levels. It is quite possible, however, that the higher level of per cent accuracy may be a function of duration of participation in this particular Project, unfortunately overlooked at the time of analysis. Thus, $53 \%$ of the participants were first-year entries; the remaining $47 \%$ were returning to the Project for the second or third, sometimes fourth year.

This observed better than average performance at the beginning of the school year, unfortunately, could be mistakenly interpreted to screen out children who may not need the services of the Project, when in fact, the perfurmance is a function of the test. Information obtained from the item analysis has some value, however, for the Project Manager, in terms of providing some objective confirmation of her suspicion of the inappropriateness of the Miami Linguistic Reading Series (which the tests were based) for children beyond the lower primary grade levels. The possibility of using other reading series for upper primary grade children appears to merit some consideration if the Project continues to serve them.

On the other hand, it is also possible that the high performance observed at entry, may be a function of duration of participation. Unfortunately, this important variable was overlooked in the data analysis. Its contaminating effects are no doubt present, considering that only $57 \%$ of this year's participants represent first year participants. If this be the case, then it might be of value for the Project and the referral sources to re-examine the criteria for referring children for two or three or more years.

Failure to assess differential effects attributed to duration of participation in analyzing growth over time represents a shortcoming of this report, Inclusion of such a variable in subsequent studies would strengthen the design and may help in decisions involving continued placement or termination, Project priorities, etc.

Despite the questions raised about the tests, its value as a diagnostic and evaluative tool for instructional purposes is evident. As a diagnostic tool, it allows the teacher to have an objective base for planning individualized instruction. As an evaluative tool is: enables the teacher to assess growth of pupils over time, at the end of the school year, or at specified time intervals. It will a!so allow the teacher to assess her own effectiveness and competence as a teacher. Information from these tests could be used to reinforce, to remediate, and perhaps supplement (or shared) with regular classroom instruction.

A major limitation to the use of Project-devised tests, is the lack of information relative to expectancy level. While growth over time, therefore, appears to be statistically significant, there is no way of determining whether the level of performance is at or below
expectancy. No do we know the degree to which chese tests, especially the reading tests, correlate with other established tests. It is, therefore, strongly recomended that correlational studies between the Projectdeveloped tests and other established reliable reading tests in the market be conducted in the future.

Continuing provision of services to older children at the upper-primary grade levels remains to be a question for the Project. The Project staff had felt that they should address themselves to this particular need, which had been overlooked in the past because services to younger children represented a priority need. Suggestions for extending and strengthening program services to older elementary school age children have been submitted year after year, but because of limited funds, these children were not really included in the program scope unless they were "beginning speakers" in the English language. Addition of ESL teachers and tutorial time would make possible the provision of services to the older children, and would allow for development of a curriculum beyond the lower primary grade levels.

Determination of Project priorities remains to be a question. Provision of program services to younger children continues to be the top priority. They have also served older children who are "beginning speakers" in the upper-primary grades, as well as those who are in special education classes, either directly or in a consultative role. Project's efforts to extend services beyond what is possible, may in part account for the varying opinions of regular school administrative and teaching personnel, according to the Project Manager. Interviews with school staff revealed generally positive opinions, but evidences of negative sentiments warrant continuing communication
between the Project and school staff. It might also be of value for the Project to re-examine itseif and the direction it has taken, or would like to take. What are the expectations of the school staff of the ESL Project, and vice versa? What is the role of the ESL teacher relative to children's learning in the regular classroom? Should the ESL Project play a supportive function to regular classroom instruction in terms of reinforcing, supplementing, and remediating such instruction? Or, should it assume any function at all? Should it limit itself only to providing basic learning experiences which would help develop basic language skills? How could efforts of ESL and classroom teachers be better coordinated, and in which specific areas should they be coordinated? These are questions that may initiate fruitful discussions between the school and the Project.

Based on data presented earlier, interviews, questionnaire responses, and periodic-on-site observations, the following recommendations are made:

1. The ESL Project be continued and expanded to schools with concentrated enrollment of second language learners.
2. The number of ESL teachers assigned to a given school should be increased from one to two, so as to provide comparable level of services to both lower-and upper-primary grade pupils. The educational language needs of most of the fourthfifth grade pupils are ignored in order to accommodate all the lower-primary grade pupils in the ESL classes.
3. Word on curriculum development for upper primary grade pupils should be continued.
4. Increase of tutorial hours to be assjgned among fewer tutors should be considered. The current 324 tutorial hours weekly should be increased to 450 hours, and be distributed among fewer tutors. This would create opportunities for more children to be seen tutorially, on a small group or on an individual basis under the direction of the ESL teachers.
5. Communication between Project ESL and school administrative teaching staff should be continued and possibly strengthened, to clarify mutual expectations and Project role in their work with second language learners.

## III. FROJECT DESCRIPTION

Approximately 620 children were enrolled in the English-As-ASecond Language Project, which operated at 12 Title I public and one parochial elementary schools. Thirty-two of the 620 participants participated in the English-As-A-Second Language Bilingual program at licks school.

Per cent distribution of Project participants by school follows below:

|  | Scinool | N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Per Cent |  |
| Buhrer | 42 | 7 |
| Fast Madison | 65 | 10 |
| Hodge (Non-FSL Bilingual) | 47 | 8 |
| Hicks (N. | 48 | 8 |
| Hicks (FSL-Rilingual Component) | 32 | 5 |
| Kentucky | 63 | 10 |
| Paul L. Dunbar | 58 | 9 |
| Scranton | 48 | 8 |
| Stanard | 20 | 3 |
| Tremont | 66 | 11 |
| Urban Community-Saint Patrick (parochial) | 26 | 4 |
| Walton | 51 | 8 |
| Waring | 31 | 5 |
| William ll. McGuffey | 23 | 4 |

A. Participant Characteristics

The 1971-1972 Project participants demonstrated the following characteristics:

## Ethnic Background

Spanish $\mathbf{7 7 \%}$
Croatian $8 \%$ Greek 5\% Arab 5\% Chinese $\quad: \quad 2 \%$ Italià $1 \%$ Others ('ndonesian, Australian, $2 \%$ German, Korean)

Place of Birth
U.S.A. (Cleveland $78 \% \quad 43 \%$

Other Places 22\%)
Puerto Rico 27\%
Other Lands $30 \%$

## Duration of Project Particination

During the 1971-1972 school year --
$57 \%$ participated for the first year.
$32 \%$ participated for the second year.
$7 \%$ participated for the third year.
$3 \%$ participated for the fourth year.
$1 \%$ participated for the fifth year.

## Grade Placement

Per cent distribution of participants by grade follows below:

## Preschool: Kindergarten and Child Development $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$

## Grade 1 <br> $20 \%$

## Grade 2 <br> $13 \%$

Grade 3 18\%
Grade 4 8\%
Grade 5 6\%
Grade 6 5\%

Skill Emphasis

- Approximately $54 \%$ of the participants received specialized instruction directed at improving oral communication skills only.
- Approximately $30 \%$ received specialized instruction directed at introduction to basic reading skills only.
- Approximately $16 \%$ received specialized services directed at improving both oral communication and reading skills.


## B. Project Operations

Children attended their regular class, but received E.S.L. instruction on a regularly scheduled basis. Class size and time duration remained flexible. All children were seen on daily basis for at least thirty minutes per class period and those with extremely limited language performance were given additional class sessions. Follow-up sessions, utilizing individual and small-group work, were conducted by teacher assistants and resident tutors under the supervision of the ESL teacher in most of the schools participating in the Project.

A specialized, systematic, and concentrated program of instruc. tion was provided to second-language learners during the 1971-1972 school year. The four-phase approach was utilized:

- Focus on improvement of oral communication skills for pupils with limited English language, listening, and speaking skills.
- Focus on basic reading skills for pupils with some level of proficiency in English cral communication skills.
- Introduction to and/or familiarization with community resources.
- Adjustment to school and to community.

Oral Communication Skills: A variety of techniques Were used to teach basic listening and speaking skills. Vocabulary and sentence structures encounted in the child's daily life were utilized to teach basic skills. "The Beginner's Book," a collection of thirty-one English units developed by the staff, served as the curriculum guide. Individual
lessons were divided into four parts:

- Review Work - Students went over the material from the previous lesson.
- Listening Stage - Students listened as they repeated the new sentence structure and vocabulary items after the teacher.

Teacher: It's a book. Students: It's a book.

- Responding with Statements - Students responded in a group and indiyidually with the correct senterce structure and vocabulary items when the teacher presented the corresponding question.

Teacher: What is it? Students: It's a book.

- Responding with Quesi.ions and Statements - Students responded in a group and individually to othe:students who questioned them about pictures, objects, and events related to the vocabulary and sentence patterns of the lesson.

Student A: lihat is it?
Student B: It's a book.
Grammar was taught by situations. Listening and speaking skills preceded any reading and subsequent writing of the language. Charts, pictures, diagrams, and flash cards were used to help create a mental image and its association with a word. Equipment pieces such as the language master, tape recorder, record player, and filmstrip projector were utilized to provide students with a variety of opportunities to practice their new language.
(Refer to Appendix A-1 for a sample of a unit lesson).

Introduction to Rasic Reading Skills: The Miami Linguistic Readers Series, which concentrates on teaching the structure and sounds of standard English before and during the development of basic reading skills, served as the program for developing basic reading skills. Oral activities accompany the reading lessons, thus providing the students with additional practice in verbal expression. Children gain writing experience through the use of special workbook activities. The second language learners begin with tracing and then go on to copying, and then proceed to independent writing exercises. Songs, games, and playlets reinforce the skills developed at each of the fifteen reading level steps.
(Refer to Appendix A-2 for a sample of a unit lesson).

Acculturation Artivities: Selected activities were integrated
into the program to help with adjustment problems of these children who had just immigrated to this country. These included the following:

- Activities were provided to create opportunities for children to function in small groups and to adapt to required social roles.
- Active involvement and participation of parents in the learning experiences of their children were continued.
- Project social and community workers continued to develop and coordinate parent programs and continued to supply parents with regular information about the school and Project activities.
- Field trips to the 200 , the aquarium, the airport, and other places of special interest to the students were organized throughout the school year. Parents were invited to accompany their children.


## C. Staff Development

During the 1971-1972 schocl year an approximate total of 1,020 staff hours were utilized in staff development through in-service meetings and regularly-scheduled workshops. These sessions were directed at improving teacher competencies, as well as in continuing work on the curriculum along the following areas:

- Music for second language learners.
- Additional units for beginners' books.
- Reading and writing lessons.
- Individualized instruction.
- Dialogues and playlets.

A summary of the in-service session workshops are summarized below:

Pre-Service - 3 sessions at 5 hours per session
Topics: ESL Project organization, role clarification, staffing patterns, materials, curriculum, roles, and procedures

Cirriculum-FSL Methodology - 5 sessions at 5 hours per session
Topics: Linguistics and ESL methodology, reading for second language learners, motivation and second language learnins.

Monthly Curricular Methodolony - 20 sessions at 2 hours per session
Topics: Classroom management, evaluations, curriculum, oral techniques, reading techniques

Social Work Orientation - 3 sessions at 6 hours per session
Topics: Roles and responsibilities of community workers, procedures for home visiting, community resources
Social Work - 40 sessions at 6 hours per session
Tcpics: Techniques for dealing with parents and school staff, community resources, techniques for parent meetines

Classroom Teachers' Workshon - 1 session at 2 hours per session
Topics: Principles of second lanquage learning, technigues for working with the second languase learner

Tutor Orientation - 1 session at 3 hours per session
Topics: ESL program organization, job description and responsibilities, curriculum materials

Tutor Workshop - 4 sessions at 3 hours per session
Topics: Techniques for tutoring the second language learners
D. Parent Involvement

A total of 29 parent meetings were heid during the school year, with an estimated total of 250 parents (unduplicated count) in attendance. Approximately 20 of these parents had served as volunteers in the FSL classrooms, or as chaperones on FSL field trips.

The ESi Project Parents' Advisory Committee (PAC) consisted
of 20 parents who participated actively in discussions relative to Project development and operations. The !'AC representatives also belong to the City-lide Title I Parent Advisory Committee.

The Project has utilized the following activitics to enlist
initial and continuing parent participation:
, Home visits.

- Conferences with principals.
- Conferences with classroom teachers.
- Conferences with ESI, teachers.
- Conferences with ESL psychologist and social worker.
- Intervicws with community workers.
- Notes, newsletters, nhone calls.
- Classroom visitation.
- Talks with resource, personnel from the urban community.
IV. EVADUATION

Assessment of the 1971-1972 Finglish-As-A-Second Language Project (ESL) and the Finglish-As-A-Second Lanpuase Bilingual Component were conducted semi-independently of one another hecause of the variation in their goals and cbjectives. This evaluation discussion will attempt to discuss the findings separately.

Asses sment of the ESL Project was conducted to respond to the following questi, is:

- To what degree were the product ohj:cives attained?
- Were the usual FSL skills transferahle to or evident in regular class room performance?
- What were staff impressions?

The folloning procedures were utilized in the assessment:

1. Administration of Project-devised test measures in Scptember 1971 and May 1972.
2. Completion of a seven-item rating form on a fo:lr;oint scale, with 1 as Aarked Improvement to 1 as io Improvement, iy the ESL and regular class room teachers.
3. Personal interviews of regular classroom teachers and principals for their opinions on the Project.
A. Basic Design

Correlated t-test measures were used to evaluate level of significance of gains over a nine-month period on the following three Project-devised test measures:

- ESL Listening Test - a 40 -item test to assess receptive knowledre of Finglish sentence patterns, including vocabulary, stmeture, and syntax.
- ESL Speaking Test - a 40 -item test to assess expressive knowledge of English sentence patterns including vocabulary, structure, and syntax.
- ESL Reading Test:
- Word Reacaing Suhtest - a 3 n-item test to assess ability to ascesiace and identify the appropriate oraphic sym: ois for ti.e picture they represent.
- Paragri" assess at'lity to draw meaning or $\mathrm{i}^{--}$rences from the printed word.
- Vucabulary - a 30-itrm subtest to assess the ability to draw meaning from oral context.
- Nord Study Sifills - a 2n-item subtest to assess the ability for visual and auditory discrimination of words with the same beginning and endins sounis.

In Octiber 1971 data from these three subtes*; were - bjectedi to an item analysis to evaluate the validity and reliability of fuc different test measures.

Data on the seven-item questionnaire which provided an index of the degree of the level of functioning of participants in regular classrooms, were also analyzed by independent t-tests. A per cent distribution of rating above the four-, oint scale was provinied. In addition, data was subjected to indenendent t-tests to evaluate the differences in ratings between FSL and regular classrocm teachers of the participants.
B. Presentation of Findings

This discussion will attempt to respond to the following questions: To what degree were gains in hasic ESL skills significant? To what degree are such skills transferable to the regular ciastroom setting?

1. Growth in Acauisition of Basic ESL Skills

Participants evidenced significantly hiṣher level of basic ESL skills at the end of the 1971-1972 school year as compared with performance at the beginnins of the school year. Gains were significant ( $p<.01$ ) across all grade levels in Listening, Speaking, and Reading subtests.

Growth in Listening and Speaking skills over time are presented in Table 1 and Figure 1. The following key findings were noted:

- Participants showed significant higher level of Listening skills ( $p(.01$ ) at the end as comnared to that at the bepinning of the school year, based on performance on the Project-developed Listening test. Attainment of mastery crilerion of $75 \%$ accuracy level was noted at all grade levels at the end of the school year.
- Participants evidenced significant higher level of Speaking skills ( 0 (.01) at the end as compared to that at the heginning of he schoolyear, based on performance on the Projectdeveloned Speaking test. Attaineent of mastery criterion of $75^{\circ}$ : accuracy level was noted at one group of unner primary punils at Grades 4-5-6, who demonstrated larger growth in speaking skills over time, when compared to punils at kindergarten-Child Development levels, and at Grades 1, and 2-3.
- In the Listening subtest, pupil performance became less $v$.riable at the end of the school year as compared to performance at entry. The observed standard deviation appeared to be consistently larger at the end of the year across grade levels.
- In contrast, pupil performance in the Speaking subtest tended to be more variable at the end of the school year as compared to nerformance at entry. There were increases in the observed stancard deviation at the end of the school year across grade levels.

TABLE 1
CORRELATED t-TESTS FOR LISTENING AND SPEAKING MT:AN SCORES IN OCTOBER 1971 AND IN MAY 1972

| Grade | N | listening. |  |  | Sneaking |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Octoher } \\ 1971 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 1972 \end{array}$ | t | $\begin{gathered} \text { October } \\ 1971 \end{gathered}$ | 29 ay 1972 | $t$ |
| Kind. - <br> Child Dev. | 80 | $\begin{gathered} 18.77 \\ (11.22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.68 \\ (5.74) \end{gathered}$ | 2.41* | $\begin{gathered} 28.01 \\ (24.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79.04 \\ (33.44) \end{gathered}$ | 5.42* |
| 1 | 49 | $\begin{gathered} 28.49 \\ (8.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.32 \\ (3.63) \end{gathered}$ | 3.63* | $\begin{gathered} 54.70 \\ (26.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112.32 \\ & (29.72) \end{aligned}$ | 15.54* |
| 2-3 | 25 | $\begin{gathered} 27.56 \\ (12.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.28 \\ (2.35) \end{gathered}$ | 3.90* | $\begin{gathered} 45.44 \\ (26.83) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.25 \\ & (37.96) \end{aligned}$ | 6.47* |
| 4-5-6 | 27 | 19.85 $(14.39)$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.59 \\ (2.62) \end{gathered}$ | 4.33* | $\begin{gathered} 30.50 \\ (30.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125.00 \\ & (32.63) \end{aligned}$ | 16.70* |

* Figures inside the parenthesis represent standard deviations.

FIGURE 1
PER CENT ACCURACY LEVEI FOR ESL LISTENING AND SPFAKING SUBTEST BY GRADE:
$\qquad$ - Pre Test; - - - - Post Test
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Growth in reading skills over time was presented in
Table 2 and Figure 2. The following key findings were noted:

- Participants evidenced attainment of mastery criterion of $75 \%$ accuracy at the end of the school year across all grade levels on Word Reading, which taps pupil's ability to analyze a word withour the aid of a context.
- Participants at Grades 4-5-6 evidenced attainment of mastery criterion of $75 \%$ accuracy at the end of the school year on Parapraph Meaning which measures child's functional ahility to comprehend connected discourses of sentences. Participants at Grades 2 and 3 fell slightly below the attainment of mastery criterion.
- Participants across all srade levels evidenced attainment of mastery criterion of $75 \%$ accuracy at the end of the school year on Vocabulary subtest, which taps child's knowledge of simple definitions, reading associations, comprehension of concepts represented by words, etc.
- Participants across all grade levels evidenced attainment of mastery criterion of $75 \%$ accuracy at the end of the school year on Word Study subtest, which measures auditory perception of beginning and ending sounds.

2. Teachers' Ratings of Improvement

Ratings of participants by regular classroom teachers
indicated improvement in acquisition of basic ESL skills and their
integration into the regular classroom learning over a nine-month
period. Per cent distribution of participants receiving "Marked"
to "Moderate" Improvement (Table 3) is summarized below:

- Understanding of the spoken English language.
- Eight out of every ten first and third graders.
. Seven out of every ten kindergarten-Child Development pupils.
- Six out of every ten fourth-fifth-sixth graders.
TABLE 2

|  |  | Word Reading |  |  | Paragraph Meaning |  |  | Vocabulary |  |  | Word Study Skills |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 1971 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 1972 \end{array}$ | $t$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 1971 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 1972 \end{array}$ | $t$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { October } \\ 1.971 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 1972 \end{gathered}$ | $t$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { October } \\ 1.97 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 1972 \end{array}$ | $t$ |
| 2 | 31 | $\begin{gathered} 19.09 \\ (5.96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.82 \\ (3.72) \end{gathered}$ | 9,12* | $\begin{gathered} 11.51 \\ (4.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.34 \\ (5.42) \end{gathered}$ | 8.42* | $\begin{gathered} 16.60 \\ (6.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.62 \\ (5.11) \end{gathered}$ | 7.32* | $\begin{gathered} 9.57 \\ (4.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.22 \\ (5.36) \end{gathered}$ | 5.89* |
| 3 | $39^{\circ}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.05 \\ \left(\begin{array}{r} 6.12 \end{array}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.36 \\ (3.82) \end{gathered}$ | 5.49* | $\begin{gathered} 13.10 \\ (6.75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.79 \\ (5.82) \end{gathered}$ | 7.86* | $\begin{gathered} 20.24 \\ (7.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.34 \\ (4.08) \end{gathered}$ | 4.53* | $\begin{gathered} 12.37 \\ (3.78) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.05 \\ (4.43) \end{gathered}$ | 6.94* |
| 4-5-6 | 30 | $\begin{gathered} 23.17 \\ (5.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.39 \\ (\quad 3.50) \end{gathered}$ | 6.30* | $\begin{gathered} 16.00 \\ (4.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.03 \\ (4.08) \end{gathered}$ | 8.06* | $\begin{gathered} 21.44 \\ (5.83) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.90 \\ (4.10) \end{gathered}$ | 4.98* | $\begin{gathered} 13.40 \\ (5.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.90 \\ (4.19) \end{gathered}$ | 4.74* |

* p $\langle .01$

FIGIJRE 2

PER CENT ACCURACY LEVEL FOR READING SUBTESTS BY GRADE
(C) READING



- Five out of every ten second graders.
- Understanding of the printed/written word.
- Eight out of every ten first and third graders.
- Six out of every ten fourth-fifth-sixth graders.
- Five out of every ten second graders.
- Interest in schoolwork.
- Seven-eight out of every ten first and third graders.
. Four out of every ten second and fourth-fifth-sixth graders.
- Level of vocabulary skills.
- Nine out of every ten third graders.
- Seven out of every ten first graders.
- Six out of every ten kindergarten pupils.
. Five out of every ten second and fourth-fifth-sixth graders.
- Level of sentence phrases.
- Six-seven out of every ten first and third graders.
- Six out of every ten kindergarten pupils.
- Five out of every ten second graders.
- Four out of every ten fourth-fifth-sixth graders.
- Integration of ESL skills and regular classroom learning.
- Eight out of every ten third graders.
- Six out of every ten kindergarten, first, and second graders.
- Three out of every ten fourth-fifth-sixth graders.

TABLE 3
PER CENT Distribution of classroom teachers' Ratings of degree of improvenent

| Grade | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rat- } \\ & \text { ing** } \end{aligned}$ | A* | $B^{*}$ | C* | D* | [:* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | 43 | 5 | 47 |  | 40 | 35 | 39 | 37 |
|  |  | 4 | 23 | Not | 29 | 26 | 23 | 23 |
|  |  | 3 | 28 | Appli- | 24 | 30 | 26 | 26 |
|  |  | 2 | 2 | cable | 5 | 9 | 10 | 14 |
|  |  | (1) | (--) |  | ( 2) | (--) | ( 2) | (--) |
| 1 | 35 | 5 | 53 | 42 | 50 | 19 | 25 | 23 |
|  |  | 4 | 31 | 42 | 29 | 67 | 50 | 40 |
|  |  | 3 | 14 | 14 | 9 | 11 | R 22 | 31 |
|  |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | -- | 3 | - | 6 |
|  |  | (1) | (--) | (--) | 12 | $(--)$ | ( 3) | (--) |
| 2 | 30 | 5 | 31 | 27 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 33 |
|  |  | 4 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 27 | 33 | 23 |
|  |  | 3 | 34 | 43 | 30 | 33 | 20 | 21 |
|  |  | 2 | 7 | 7 - | 14 | 16 | 27 | 13 |
|  |  | (1) | ( 4) | (--) | (13) | ( 4) | (--) | (10) |
| 3 | 28 | 5 | 43 | 32 | 50 | 32 | 24 | 32 |
|  |  | 4 | 40 | 46 | 36 | 57 | 43 | 46 |
|  |  | 3 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 29 | 18 |
|  |  | 2 | -- | -- | -- | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | (1) | (14) | (18) | ( 4) | (--) | (--) | (-- |
| 4-5-6 | 44 | 5 | 41 | 18 | 23 | 18 | 16 | 16 |
|  |  | 4 | 18 | 39 | 16 | 30 | 27 | 16 |
|  |  | 3 | 34 | 36 | 36 | 39 | 48 | 57 |
|  |  | 2 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
|  |  | (1) | ( 2) | (--) | (11) | ( 5) | (--) | ( 2 |
| * A - Understanding of spoken language <br> B - Understanding of printed word <br> C - Interest in schoolwork <br> D - Vocabulary |  |  |  |  | ** 5 - Marked Improvement <br> 4 - Moderate Improvement <br> 3 - Some Improvement <br> 2 - No Improvement |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E - Sentence phrases |  |  |  |  | 1 - | S Not | pply. | wa |
| F - Integration - ESL skills and |  |  |  |  | included |  | n the | sis |

These findings appear to suggest that acquisition of basic ESL skills are transferable to general classroom learning based on judgments of the regular classrocm teachers of participants. These appeared to he most evident in:

- Understanding of the spoken English language.
- Understanding of the printid/written word.
- Level of vocahulary skills.

Differences in Ratings Retween Project and Classronm
Teachers: Differences in particjpants' mean ratings of levels of improvement hetween Project and classroom teachers were generally non-significant. These findings anpear to suggest that opinions of both teachers relative to the pupils' progress in their ianguage skills were comparaile (Table 4).

Of the 25 individual comparisons made between ESL and classroom teachers, six were observed to demonstrate significance beyond the . 01 level. Other findings were noted:

- Classroom teachers gave significantiy higher ratings to first gracie participants than did ?roject teachers in the understanding of the written or printed word ( $B$ ) and in interest in schoolwork (C).
- Project teachers rated participants at Grades 2 and 4-5-6 significantly higher than did classroom teachers in levels of vocabulary skill. (D) and English sentences and nhrases (E).

3. Analysis of Project-neveloper Tests

Correlational Studies: Correlational studies of Projectdeveloped tests, Listening, Speaking, and Reading scores, based on the September 1971 measures indicated significant intercorrelations between the three test measures at Grades 3 to 5 . Correlations were not consistent among these three tests at the lower and upper grade levels (Table 5).
table 4
CORRELATED t -TESTS FOR PARTICIPANTS' MEAN RATING DIFFERENCES (CL) AND PROJECT (FSL) TEACHERS
between Classroom

| Grade | A+ |  |  | B |  |  | c |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CL | ESL | $t$ | CL | ESL | t | CL | ESL | t | cL | ESL | t | CL | ESL | c |
| KD-CD | 4.14 | 4.39 | 1.53 | Not | Appli | cable | 3.93 | 3.81 | -0. 53 | 3.91 | 4.19 | 1.60 | 3.88 | 3.84 | -0.26 |
| 1 | 4.30 | 4.47 | 0.70 | 4.22 | 3.06 | -4.00** | 4.23 | 3.76 | -2.24* | 4.03 | 4.11 | 0.48 | 3.94 | 4.08 | 0.76 |
| 2 | 3.76 | 3.97 | 0.88 | 3.80 | 3.93 | 0.55 | 3.23 | 3.43 | 0.69 | 3.43 | 3.97 | 3.00** | 3.45 | 4.06 | 3.29** |
| 3 | 3.29 | 4.20 | 0.09 | 3.75 | 3.96 | 0.60 | 4.29 | 4.00 | -1.00 | 4.17 | 4.28 | 0.57 | 3.29 | 4.22 | 1.73 |
| 4-5-6 | 3.88 | 4.16 | 1.50 | 3.68 | 4.04 | i 83 | 3.39 | 3.73 | 1.89 | 3.59 | 3.97 | 2.89** | 3.61 | 4.00 | 2.79** |

* p 〈.05; ** $\mathrm{p}\langle .01$
A+ - Understanding of spoken language B - Understanding of printed word
C - Interest in schoolwork

TAPLE 5

PEARSON CORRELATIONS FOR LISTENING, SPFAKING, AND READING SUBTESTS BY GRADE BASED

ON SEPTEMBER 1971 PERFORMANCE

| Grade | N | Subtest | Listening | Sneaking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{CD} *$ | 110 | Speaking | . 92 |  |
| 1 | 48 | Speaking Reading | . 66 | . 32 |
| 2 | 18 | Speaking Reading | $\begin{aligned} & -.52 \\ & -.35 \end{aligned}$ | . 38 |
| 3 | 14 | Speaking <br> Reading | $\begin{array}{r} .48 \\ 59 \end{array}$ | . 66 |
| 4 | 15 | Speaking <br> Reading | $\begin{array}{r} .78 \\ .52 \end{array}$ | . 99 |
| 5 | 13 | Speaking Reading | .77 .88 | . 87 |
| 6 | 11 | Spcaking <br> Reading | $\begin{array}{r} .80 \\ .62 \end{array}$ | . 02 |

* Kindergarten-Child Development
- The highest correlations were noted for listening and Speaking subtests, indicating that these measures may be measuring the same or similar skills. Correlations ranged from . 92 (at Kinder-garten-Child Development) to .48 (at Grade 3).
- Correlations of Reading measures with either Listening or Speaking measures tended to be lower, indicating that Reading skills are different from either Listening or Speaking skills.
- Higher correlations between Listening and Reading subtests were noted at the upper grade levels than at the lower grade levels. Correlations ranged from . 88 (at Grade 5) to -.35 (at Grade 2).
- Correlations between Reading and Speaking subtests ranged from .99 (at Grade 4) to . 02 (at Grade 6).

Item Analysis: Analysis of the Project-developed Listening and Readinp, subtests indicated uneven distribution in terms of the following four difficulty levels:

$$
\begin{aligned}
76 \%-100 \% \text { Accuracy: } & \text { Very Easy } \\
51 \%-75 \% \text { Accuracy: } & \text { Easy } \\
26 \%-50 \% \text { Accuracy: } & \text { Difficult } \\
0 \%-25 \% \text { Accuracy: } & \text { Very Difficult }
\end{aligned}
$$

Most of the items were concentrated in the Very Easy to Easy or low-difficulty levels, based on per cent of accurate responses noted for each itcm.

The distribution of the 40 -item Listening subtest along the four difficulty levels indicated that the test became too easy even for pupils at Grade 1 (Table 6).

TABLE 6
PER CENT DISTRIBUTION OF ESL 40-ITEM LISTENING SUBTEST BY DIFFICULTY LEVE:L, BY GRADE

|  | Child <br> Level of <br> Difficulty | Dcvelopment- <br> Kindergarten | First <br> Grade |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Easy | $12 \%$ | Second- <br> Third <br> Grade |  |
| Easy | $58 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Difficult | $28 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Very Difficult | $2 \%$ | -- | $7 \%$ |

- The ESL Listening subtest appeared to be appropriate for children at Child Development plus kindergarten, as per cent accuracy tended to be concentrated on the Easy to Difficult levels.
- The ESL Listening sultest appeared to be less appropriate for children beyond Child Development plus kindeigarten, as per cent accuracy was concentrated at the lery Easy level: Approximately seven out of every ten pupils at grades 1 to 3 were responding accurately to three quarters or better of the 40 -item listening subtest.

On the Reading subtests, distribution of ner cent a accuracy
level according to the four difficulty levels, was also uneven.
Majority of the items in the four Reading subtests, Word Reading,
Paragraph Meaning, Vocabulary and Word Study Skills, was penerally
concentrated on the Very Easy to Fasy levels (Table 7).
The following key findings were noted:

- The 30 -item Word Reading stabtest appeared to be more appropriate for second grade punils, as per cent accuracy level tended to be concentrated in the Easy-Difficult levels. It was appropriate for children beynnd second grade, as two-thirds or hetter of the pupils from grades 3 to 6 were achieving mastery criterion of $75 \%$ accuracy.
- The 30 -item Paragraph Neaning subtest appeared to be the best of the four reading subtests in terms of even distribution of items along the four difficulty levels across all grade levels. It also demonstrated a graduated difficulty level from grades 3 to 5 which was missing in the other reading subtests.
- The 30-item Vocabulary subtest appeared to be more appropriate for pupils at grades 2-3. It was less appropriate for pupils beyond third grade, as two-thirds of pupils at rrades 4-6 were demonstrating attainment of mastery criterion of $75 \%$ or better.
TABLE 7
PER CENT DISTRIBUTION OF ESL RFADING SURTESTS

|  | N | Word Reading |  |  |  | Paragraph Meaning |  |  |  | Vocabulary |  |  |  | Nord Study Skills |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade |  | Very Easy | Easy | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Difi- } \\ & \text { fi- } \\ & \text { cult } \end{aligned}$ | Very Dif-ficult | Very Fasy | Easy | Dif-ficult | Very Dif-ficult | Very Easy | Fasy | Dif-ficult | Very Dif-ficult | Very Fasy | Easy | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nif- } \\ & \text { fi- } \\ & \text { cult } \end{aligned}$ | Very Dif-ficult |
| 2 | 11 | 20 | 50 | 30 | -- | -- | 20 | 73 | 7 | 10 | 50 | 27 | 3 | -- | 50 | 50 | -- |
| 3 | 16 | 63 | 33 | 4 | -- | -- | 37 | 60 | 3 | 47 | 43 | 10 | -- | 10 | 75 | 15 | -- |
| 4 | 10 | 83 | 17 | -- | -- | 13 | 47 | 37 | 3 | 67 | 23 | 10 | -- | 30 | 55 | 15 | -- |
| 5 | 6 | 73 | 27 | -- | -- | 17 | 67 | 13 | 3 | 67 | 27 | 6 | -- | 30 | 55 | 15 | -- |
| 6 | 9 | 80 | 13 | 7 | -- | 20 | 43 | 27 | 10 | 67 | 33 | -- | -- | 45 | 40 | 15 | -- | BY DIFFICULTY LEVEL BY GRADE

- The 2n-item Word St:tidy Skills subtest, tended to have a more even distribution of items in terms of four difficulty levels than cither Word Reading or Vocabulary subtests. Items appeared to he concentrated in the rasy level. However, the subtest does not reflect the graduated difficulty level by orade, which was noted in Pararraph Meaning subtest.

To summarize briefly, the Listening and the Reading subtests, appeared to he more appropriate for children at the :ower grade levels. Per cent of accuracy appeared to he too high when one considers that analysis was based on performance at the beginnin? of the school year.
4. Impressions if Schoc Princinals and Repular Classroom Teachers

Based on interviews of five principals and 25 ciassroom teachers, representing five schools, covering all grade levcls, a variety of opinions ranging from the strongly negative to the strongly positive, were erpressed about the effectiveness of the Project. Positive opinions generally prevailed across all the interviews. However, evidence of some negative sentiment appeared to warrant the: need for continuing communication between the Project and the schools in which it has been operating, dirtsted at greaser clarification of Project role and expectations.

In reply to "To what degree has the English-as-a-Second-Lenguage
Project been effective in improving reading skills of participants in your school?", three of the five principals imp? ied that this program has been very effective:

```
"To a great degree. It has built a better seif-imuge:
    and created interest in the regular school program"
    "Alnost 100 effective. Some of these children start
        out unable to read at all in English"
    "Invaluable to the school. Just the association of
        being :ith a special teacher is helping these children.
        The one-to-one relationship is goed for ther"
```

A fourth, who found the program "Fairly - fective," stated that some teachers think it a complete waste of time, while others find it very effective. The fifth principal was reluctent to evaluate the effectiveress of the Project, but reflected a negative feeling toward the prograin as a whole:
"Can't really say. I am unhappy rith it and feel it is a Haste of money. Tha tutor starced with 20 vupilj and now is derin to 12. Since our space is so Jimited, I think it could be put to better use. Oun sciool has its Own speech therapist; speech Giorexy seens to be doine more for the children than ESL does."

A second, similar question directad to the principals requested them to assess the effectiveness of ESL in improving the oral conmunicaition skills of the pupils involved, Two of the five principals described ESL as having been very effective in this proand:

> "Excellent. They are able to expmess themeelves intellictitly and to conerenend winat is taught" "Very good. It has done very selj"

Tho other principals desmed the profnem only fairly effective in
promoting oral comanication sijills:
"One-third of the students are Puerto Rican and need the Project. It is Fairiy esfective, but it takes approximately one to one and a half years to really nork"

- "It is effective. There has bean sone difficulty between soine of the teachers and the ESI teacher, mostly bscause of the classroom teacher. The children's comnunication has improved. They are not isolating themselves as ruch".

The fifth principal, however, felt:

[^1]The 25 teachers in the sample were askod to speeify the outstenning positive feature of the ESI, project. Their answexs are tabulated below:

## Feature

Frcquenov of rention
Self-confidenc: improved ..... $\varepsilon$
Cormunication is fostewed ..... 5
Improvement in reading and language facility ..... 4
Reinforees nhoretics ..... 3
Gives them the individu:l attention they need ..... 2
They $c a n$ work in small ix-suos ..... 2
There is nothing positirs about it ..... 2
Classworis improres ..... 2
No contact .-. entinot misbitfr ..... 2
"They remain intzorertei and still dos't talk in olass" ..... 1
They coin becir to rie $c$ s rome melaxed ..... 1
They hiaintain their c:an ncritage ..... 1
"The reading spaciailst saje thoy do evan better than the Enclí!i-Spentine chili, en" ..... 1
They can ralaic bangueg and custom ..... 1
Conpwehension increc:sus ..... 1
It is a brides between tro cultures ..... 1

The teachers rere next requested to "Flease rese the degree of improvenent to winch the folloring language skills have been affected Ey Project eanticipation." ron each skill, a ruting of 5 indicated narked inprovement; a rating of 1 , insignificent improvernant:

| Rating. | Speskino | $\underline{L i g i o n i n g}$ | Rasdirg | Snntonce stucture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| 4 | 10 | 11 | 7 | 3 |
| 3 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| No answer | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Mean rating | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.4 |

In interprotation of the findings reported in the above table, it should be noted that the ratings of " 1 " obtained for each skill sore given by the same respondent. A note appendsd by the interviener asserted that on each progran, "This teacher was anti-everything." Collectively, the
remainder of the group assessed the Project's effectireness in fosterm ing improverant, especially in speaking and listoning, as being at
least moderate.

Both principals and teachers were invited to subnit recommendations for future program implenentation. A compilation of their suggestions is given below:

| Recomrnendation Pr | Principels $(N=5)$ | Teachers $(N=25)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (\mathrm{N}=30 . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Better teache:-ESL teacher coordination | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| None m- it is wowing vel. | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Hite the scssions J.onger | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| It takes the crild out of the classroon tos much | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Better teackom-paront comunication | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| The childran don't like to go to ESij | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Eamlier filaccoent into the progran | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Do away with it -- it's a waste of norey | y 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Involve more childzen | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Hore cifective material is needed | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Continue in-service classes | 1 | 0 | 1 |

## Recorrencietjons Priraciris Teachers Total

"Supplernent in zil schools with bi-

"Do away with it. It isn't needed. The language paoblcin in this school is Crodtian, not Spanish"
Should ment more oftcn
Should stress self-eiprossion
101

Child shovld get this help full-time until he's ready to enter the regular classroom"

0
"Let Appelachia kids participeta" 0
1
0
0
1
1

Secure cooperation of the othen children so the ESL childicn aren't irdiculed
$0 \quad 1$
1 Hever have ESi at sarie time as reading

0
1
1
Concentrete on English and reading in smaller rroups

1
1
Keep in the progran children who need it but are no longer cligible

1
1
"Field type expariences to better understand our culture"

0
1
1
Test for readiness ..... 0
1 ..... 1Develop syotem for getting the childrenout of the roon at the saine time011"Children anould be taught the meaningof each roind. They can read words buthave troible comprehending and usingwords in sentences": $0 \quad 1$In addition, the teachers were asked to indicate rinich of the
recomendations they had made they judged to be most important. Triclve
of the 25 respondents, including the five who made no suggestion, did
not reply. The othcr 13 cited:
Better teacher-ESL coordjnation ..... 4
Make the sessions longer ..... 1
Stress self-exprossion ..... 1
Secure cooperation of other children so ESL children aren't ridiculed ..... 1
Include shildern who spedi fainly well but do not have a guod basic skills backerourid ..... 1
Concentwate on En flish and reading ..... 1 but axe no lonaes elisible ..... 1
"Include the childien tho score above second grade level bur belor their erade level" ..... 1
Develop a system so that
leave the roon together ..... 1
"The iroject is very good. It really helps these kids take hold" ..... 1

## APPENDICES

Unit XII - Let's Count Lesson 2

## Language Patterns

There are no. voc.*
How many are there?*

Vocabulary

| ball* | one* | seven |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| car*** | two* | eight |
| boat* | three* | nine |
| balloon* | four* | ten |
| kite* | five* |  |
| doll* | six |  |

## Materials

```
sets of flannel-backed toy cutouts* tape recorder
counting strips*
counting board*
macaroni or buttons*
lotto boards and sets of colored leaves
    (10 leaves in each set)
a box
```

Review Nor:
Seat children around the tape recorder. Use counting strips or picture cards on which there are 5 figures. Pass one out to each child and keep one for yourself. Count your figures and have the lihole Group count after you. Turn on the tape recorder and ask each child...."How many are there?" Fach child counts and says "There are no. voc."

New Material
A. Modeling Stage

1. Use the same sets of figures from Lesson 1 to model the counting from 1 through 10. Place the figures on the board counting as you do so. Repeat the counting several times as the children listen. Place other sets of figures on the board and have the ciildren count as a Whole Group, Small Groups, and Individually.
2. Since the children may have had exposure to this back in their regular class, you may not have to go through each of the stages for the modeling exercise.

## Lesson XiI - l.et's Count Lesson 2 (Cont'd.)

## B. Signalinr Stage

1. Practice the question with the whole groun a few times. Call children to the board individually. The whole group asks the question and the child responds by counting the number of figures you have placed on the board.
2. This activity can continue with individuals questioning incividuals.
C. Drilling Stage
(The amount of time needed for children to master counting to 10 will vary. Follow the suggesions for the modeling and signaling stages to introduce children to counting in English. After this, decide how much drill work children need and choose from the following suggestions. It may take several days to complete the Unit).
3. Repeat the team game played with counting strips from Lesson 1 using the numerals from 1 to 10 .
4. Give each child a board divided into 10 sections and a handful of small objects such as macaroni pieces or futtons. Call out a number and direct children to plane this number of ohjects in one of the squares on his board. This is done silently as you watch. When all the children have finished, call on one child to count the objects in his square out loud.
5. Play number lotto. Fach child gets a game board with sets of pictures on it. Hold up a large picture and ask the whole sroup to count the number of objects in the picture. A child with the corresponding number of objects on his hoard covers this picture. The first child to cover all of his pictures wins the game and may 1 ad the children in counting for the next game.
6. Make up sets of colored leaves, ten leaves in each of the sets of nine colors. Seat the children in a large circle and scatter the sets of leaves in the center of the circle. Assign a color to each child. At a given signal the children pick up as many of their leaves as they can before you call stop. Each child counts his leaves and says...."I have no. color leaves." The child with the most leaves becomes the wind and scatters the sets.

$$
\frac{\text { Unit XII - Let's Cinnt }}{\text { Lesson } 2 \text { (Cont'd.) }}
$$

5. Place a set of ten flannel objects in a box. Take out a handful of these without letting the children see the number you are holding. llave the children count themselves, each child saying a number. Place the flannel objects you are holding on the board. The child who says the number which corresnonds io the number of objects on the flannel board gets one point. Use tokens to keep score. At the end of the rame, sach child counts his tokens. Change children's positions frequently so that each child's number changes when he counts off.
6. Draw a large circle on the floor. Divide the children into twe teams. The children stand sone distance from the circle and attempt to throw a beanbag into the circle. The first team which completes 10 successful throws wins the game. Keep score using tokens. Ask individuals to report the team score occasionally.
7. Seat the children in a semi-circle around a box in which you have put the counting strips. A child comes to the froni of the groun and removes a strip from the box. After counting the figures on the strip, he faces the group and asks...."How many voc. are there?" He allows the group two guesses....

There are seven boats.
There are three boats.
If no one guesses correctly, he remains up and repeats the action until a child answers his questions correctly.

## APPENDIX A-2

## miami linguistic reaners sferifs

## BIFF AND TIFF - LEVEL ONE - A - STFP 35

## Language Structure F:mphasis

-Questions with is and the -ing form of the verb: What is (Biff) doing? Who is (sitting)? Is (Biff) (sitting)?
-Answers with is or isn't and the -ing form of the verb: (Riff) (is) (sitting).

Readino Fmphasis
-Nords: is, sitting

- Verb ending: -ing
- Doubling of $t$ when -ing is added
-Initial: S-, s-
-Auditory discrimination: initial /s/


## Writing Fmphasis

-Words: is, sit, sitting
-Pattern: -it
-Initial: $\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{S}$ -
-Letters: $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{g}$
-Doubling of $t$ when -ing is added

Materials
-Chart 20

- Word cards: Biff, is, sitting, sit
-Punctuation card: period
-Scatwork Book, page 22
-lleadbands: Biff, Tiff
-Primary paper or newsprint

1. Refer to Chart 20. Following techniques $A, B$, and $C$ on the foldout, guide the class, groups, and individuals to ask and answer the questions, "What is (Riff) doinc?" "Is (Biff) (sitting)?" and "Who is (sitting)?"

For example:
A
Teacher points to Biff on Chart 20 and models: "Is Biff lying down?" Class repeats: "Is Riff lying down?"

Teacher models: "No, he isn't."
Class repeats: "No, he isn't."
Teacher models: "What is Biff doing?"
Class repeats: "What is Biff doing?"
Teacher models: "Biff is sitting."
Class repeats: "Biff is sitting."
Teacher points to Tiff on Chart 20 and models: "Is Tiff sitting?"
Class repeats: "Is Tiff sitting?"

```
Teacher moricls: "No, he isr.'t. Tiff is iooking at Riff."
Class repeats: "No, he isn't. Tiff is looking at Biff."
Teacher models: "Who is sittinণ?"
Class repeats: "Who is sittine?"
Teacher models: "Riff is sitting."
Class repeats: "Biff is sitting."
```

Proceed to technique $B$ where the questions will signal the correct responses from the pupils. In technique $C$, individual pupils will work with one another in asking and answering the questions.
2. Read Chart 20 and have the pupils read it smoothly. Have the class spell sit as you point to the word sit. Write sitting on the chalkboard, naming each letter as you do so. Read the word and have the class read after you. Spell the word and have the pupils repeat the name or each letter after you name it. Have the pupils spell the word as you point to the letters. This will be the first time in this propram that the pupils will be expected to recopnize and name letter $n$. Have the pupils observe that sit has two $t$ 's when -ing is added.
3. Ask for volunteers to read one line at a time with smooth rhythm.
4. Call out sit or sitting and have pupils frame, spell, and read the word you called from the pair of words above the sentence on Chart 20.
5. Call out at random single words from the sentence. Have individual punils come to the chart, frame the word which you called out, and then read the whole sentence.
6. Place word cards Biff, is, sittins, and sit and a punctuation card for a period on the edse of the chalkboard. Point to one of the lines on the chart and have a pupil select the card or cards needed to reproduce the line. Have the class read the line.
7. Have pairs of pupils wearing Biff and Tiff headbands dramatize the scene on Chart 20. Have other pupils read the words and sentence on the chart.
8. Pronounce the words sit, seven and sad and have the pupils repeat them to observe that they begin with the same sound. Write sit on the chalkioard and have the punils think of other words which begin with the sound of $/ \mathrm{s} /$. Repeat the suggested word as you write $s$ on the chalkboard under the word sit. Explain that the blank stands for the other letters in the word.
9. Write the letters $S$ and $s$ on the chalkboard and have the pupils name them. Draw pictures of a sun. a box, a sock, a girl, a saw, and a dog under the letters. Model the names of the objects, pointing to each as you name it. Then have the pupils repeat the names. Have individuals frame the pictures of the objects which begin with the sound of $/ \mathrm{s} /$. Then have volunteers underline the pictures of the objects which begin with this sound.
10. Have the fapils write the letters $S$ and $s$ on the ton line of a shect of primary paper. Have then draw the pictures from the chalkhoard which begin with the sound of $/ \mathrm{s} /$ on their papers. Place these napers in folders for the pupils' beginning-sound dictionaries.

Scatwork Book, Page 22

1. Guide the pupils to make statemerts about the pictures on the left side of the page, such as The boy is sitting, The girl is drinking.
2. Guide the pupils in tracing and writing the word is. Give special attention to the dotting of the i's. Have the children read the words they have written as they point to the pictures in random order and make appropriate statements.
3. Guide the pupils in tracing and writing sit and sitting on the right side of the seatwork pare. Have the pupils observe the dcubling of the $t$ in sit when -ing is added. This is the first time in the program that the pupils are asked to write $n$ and $g$. Model the letters on the chalkhoard. If children notice the difference between the printed $g$ on the chart and the handwritten $g$, refer to the two forms as the "reading $g$ " and the "writing g."
4. Guide the pupils in tracing and completing the sentence at the bottom of the page.
5. Guide the pupils in writing $n$ and $g$. Use newsprint or primary paper.

## LIST OF ESL PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS BY YEAR
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[^0]:    ABSTRACT
    The 1971-72 Title I, 1965 Elementary Secondary Education Act, English-As-A-Second Language Project represented local educational efforts for the sixth consecutive year to provide services which would alleviate language, social, and cultaral problems of elementary school age second-ianguage learners. The goal of the project was to provide a specialized program of instruction directed at helping non-English speaking elementary school children acquire some level of proficiency in the use of the English language as well as in helping them adjust to the immediate environment. During the 1971-72 school year, the project served a total cf approximately 620 pupils in 12 public and one parochial elementary schools. The process objectives included the following: (1) assignment of an English-As-A-Second Language team which consists of an English-As-A-Second Language teac.rer, a teacher assistant, and a tutor to schools will be based on concentration of non-English speaking students; (2) a maximum of 15 pupils will be assigned to any one class session where duration per session may vary from one hour to 20 minutes based on the proficiency level of the group; follow-up tutoring sessions are from one half and hour to one hour; and. (3) pupils will be grouped according to needs in oral English and basic reading skills. (Author/JM)

[^1]:    "It hasn't helped that much. They learm more by participating in the class, on their orn"

