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#### Abstract

Three types of programs were operative in the Atlanta public elementary schools, the first of which was funded under Title I of the 1965 Elementary Secondary Education Act. This type of program entailed the hiring of the following staff: (1) lead teachers, who performed varied duties in relation to improving the reading instructional program; (2) educational aides, who were assigned to schools on the basis of enrollment; and, (3) social workers, who were primarily involved in activities to improve attendance. The Comprehensive Instructional Program (CIP) was directed toward improving achievement in reading and, for the first time this year, also in mathematics. Through the CIP, each school was encouraged to develop behaviorally stated objectives directed at improving the reading and mathematics instruction. The Follow Through project was designed for disadvantaged pupils. Follow Through made use of the Interdependent Learning Model, a games approach to learning. The project utilized a specialized method of teaching phonics skills, and offered special instruction in music, art, speech, and inactive communication. In addition, medical and dental care and psychological guidance was offered to all eligible pupils. The performance of the Follow Through pupils was evaluated on the basis of the Follow Through Phonics Skills Test, the Metropolitan Readiness Tests, the Metropolitan Achievement Tests, the Self Appraisal Inventory, and the Test of Attitudes of Children.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The primary area of construction in the 1971-72 Butler Elementary School Prospectus was focused on plans for implementation of their reading program in the second, third, and fourth grades, since the needs and the academic performance of these children were somewhat representative of those of the entire school population. The types of proposed activities were for the most part also typical of the total school program. The school organization, consisting of self-contained classrooms in grades kindergarten through third and departmentalization in grades four and five, also was representative within this group. This report will include an evaluation of the progress made toward achieving the specific objectives stated in the school prospectus as well as a summary overview of the progress made on all grade levels, including achievement data, gain rate of effectiveness, and the cost expended to achieve these gains.

## II. RATIONALE

The H. R. Butler Elementary School is located near the geographical certer of Atlanta, in an area with a high concentration of low-income families, bounded on the north by a low-rent housing project and a major hospital, on the south by low-income private apartments, on the east by small businesses and on the west by a railroad. The majority of the children atterding Butler School live in multiple family dwellings, generally low-rent apartments which were either federally or privately owned. A large majority were from single parent families who were receiving some assistance through Aid to Families with Dependent Children. Approximately 415 pupils were enrolled in Butler Elementary School in kindergarten through the fifth grade, which acts as a feeder school for the Walden Avenue Middle School.

Based on the fact that an estimated 63 per cent of the pupils enrolled in this school were members of families with an annual income of $\$ 2,000$ or less and that the children had shown a need for compensatory services to promote their improved academic performance and self-image, Butler Elementary School qualified to receive supplemertary funds and additional personnel through Title I grant allocations. Since sc many pupils were
academically functioning une tu $\because \cdots$.... level placement, in all areas uf tinievement, prinary emphasis was placei on an instructional progran wita ancotrated in the area of reading as a starting point for this yeir.

Butler Elementary School was alsu eligible to receive special instruational assistance from the Lund Comprehensive Instructional Program (CI?) for teacher, diagnostic testins for the children, consultant services through the area office, and special funds for supplementary materials and supplies.

Butler Elementary School was actively involved in school-community affairs, stressing parental involvement in the school activities and in their children's learning processes throughout the year. A plan was proposed through the joint effort: withe school and community to obtain funds allocated under Title IV-s oi the 1967 Amendments to the Social Security Act to meet the special lamily-oriented needs of both the school and community population.

## III. NEEDS OF PUPILS

The following needs of pupils in Butler Elementary School were identified by borh the faculty and administration through informal observations and the use of diagnostic instruments.
A. To develop skills in basic reading in preparation for social, vocational, and academic experiences.
B. To develop a more positive self-concept.
C. To develop those communicative skills appropriate for academic success.
D. To develop a desire to come to school regularly.
E. To be able to identify with the school through participation and a sense of belonging.
F. To be exposed to more positive cultural and enrichment experiences.

In order to meet the pupil needs, the following general goals were setforth to be pursued throughout the year:
A. To provide eaci: pupil with systematic and sequential instruction at his level of proficiency, continuously advancing successfully to the next higher level without undue pressure.
B. To provide a reading progran which emphasizes the development or improvement of basic rea*ing skills, particularly word recognition skills and comprehension skills.
C. To improve the child's self-concept through successful learning experiences and self-awareness experiences.
D. To provide parents with the opportunity for meaningful involvement in school activities, through meetings and classroom participation.
E. To continue to improve pupil school attendance, particularly concentrating on the improvement of the attendance of those children who have indicated chronic absenteeism.

## V. BEHAVIORAL OBJECTIVES

The following objectives were formulated to evaluate the extert to which the goals of the program had been accomplished in terms of capected pupil progress.
A. Of the pupils enrolled in grades two, three, and four, 75 per cent will increase their total reading score on the Metropclitan Achievement Tests (MAT) at the rate of at least one montn's gain per one month's instruction between the pretest and posttest.
B. Of the pupils enrolled in grades two, three, and four, 75 per cent will exhibit a gain in mathematics at the rate of at least one month's gain per one month's instruction as measured by their pretest and posttest scores on the MAT.

 gains in reading than nonparticipa.:. . . $\because . .$. res by the reading subtest of the XAT.
D. Based on a longitudinal study, pupils is xitn two, inree and four will show an annual improvement in atti:ue: toward school, statistically significant at the . jo is:us, as measured by the School Sentiment Indez.
E. Those 40 selected pupils who participated in the Emergency School Assistance Program (ESAP) tutorial reading program during the Spring of 1971 will ind:cale a more positive self-concept by improving their score on the self-Concept Rating Scale by at loast 5 per cent. The Leacher will rate the pupils in the Spring of 1971 and the spring of 1972.
F. By May 1972 at least 9 parents will have been trained to assist teachers in their reading program and will be volunteering their services to teachers on a regular hasis.
G. The average attendance for the parent involvement meeting will increase from 18 parents to 25 parents for the months of February, 1972 through ilay, 1972.
H. Pupils will increase last yesr's attendance average of 92 per cent (1970-1971) by at least 1 per cent or more for the current year.
VI. CRITICAL VARIABLES

The following critical variables were identified as areas in which the school proposed to make definite changes:
A. Reading Skills

1. Word recognition skills
2. Comprehension skills.
B. Mathematical Skills
3. Computation
4. Concepts
5. Problem solving.
C. At:itude Toward School
6. Teachers
7. Peers
8. Social structure and climate
9. School subjects.
D. Self-Concept.
E. Parental Involvement
10. Training progran participation
11. Contributing of volunteer services
12. Attending parent involvement meetings.
F. Attendance.

## VII. SUPPORTIVE PROJECTS

In Butler Elementar: School, there were several supportive projects within the school that provided supplementary funds, services or personnel above and beyond those provided through the regular school program. Each of these projects directly related to identified pupil needs and had specified program-wide objcctives which were associated with the school's goals. A brief description of the supportive projects as they were implemented at Butler School follows:
A. The Title I Program

Title 1 provided the following personnel and compensatory servicies: (1) one lead teacher, (2) one social worker, part-time, one day per week, (3) three educational aides, (4) a tutorial program, and (5) limited funds for materials and supplies.

1. Lead Teacher -- The ain efforts of the lead teacner at Butler Schooi were directed toware raising ine reinint leouls of the pupils in grades one through five, throush an instructional program aimed at the development of basic reading skills necessary for successful academic achievement. The lead teacher also coordinated all the activities of support personnel as they related to the reading program. More specifically her duties included the following:
a. Helped to plan and organize the total instructional program interrelating all subject areas with reading.
b. Assisted teachers in diagnosing reading and/or other academic problems for classes, groups, and individuals, subsequently prescribing possible solutions or alternatives.
c. Served as an overall resource person for teachers, pupils, and parents.
d. Coordinated CIP activities in the school.
e. Provided subject area workshops and demonstrations for professional personnel.
f. Helped teachers provide classroom learning experiences designed to benefit each child and meet his individual needs.
2. Educational Aides -- The three educational ailes were assigned to work with teachers as members of the instructional team. One educational aide worked exclusively in the kindergarter classroom and the other two rotated among the remaining teachers, allocating approximately one hour daily to each teacher. Their specific duties were assigned to them by the respective classroom teachers. These duties included:
a. - Instruction of small groups of children with tèacher guidance.
b. Instruction of individual pupils.
c. Assisting teachers with clerical tasks such as record keeping, attendance, examining pupils' work, and preforming duplicating and mimeographing pertaining to the classroom.
d. Assisting with the physical arrangement of the classroom and bulletin boards.
e. Assisting with the supervision of children on the playground and in the cafeteria.
f. Assisting with the physical education program.
3. Social Worker -- The sorial worker assumed all of the following responsibilities at Eutler EJementary School, with rarticular emphasis on increased parental involvement and improved pupil attendance.
a. Removing ol modifying causes for poor attendance and/or social maladjustment affecting school life for children referred by teachers, painonts, or other community people.
b. Serving as the legal liaison between the school and the Juvenile Court, referring children and/or parents to court if judicial proceedings seemed indicated in attendance cases.
c. Increasing positive attitudes toward regular school attendance on the part of the teacher, pupils, parents, and community.
d. Assisting teachers and other staffto use social data in the teaching-learning process.

> e. Working coor: : Un: $\because$ : $\because$ : agencies, sion as valy hus:ieni, Gracy Homes. the Fulton County health Uopartment, the Department of Family and Chileren's Services. and Economic Opportunity stianta, so =in: धfective workian relationships and refurgus an be made both ways.
> f. Helping the school and parchts find effective ways of communicating and cooperatiing in improving the educational opportunizies Gor children.
> g. Provialing rechnical assistance th the principal and teachers in the formulation of school policies and procedures directly relating to the welfare of children.
h. Serving as the Test Coordiator for the schocl.
4. The Tutorial Program -- The Youth-lutoring-Youth Program was designed to involve under achieving Title $I$ and Neighborhood Youth Corps high school pupils in a mutual learning experience relationship with under achieving upper elementary Title I pupils. Approximately 15 pupils from the fourth and fifth grades at Butler Elementary School were assigned in pairs to tutors from Howard High School and interacted with these high school pupils daily, for a period of one hour, after regular school hours, engaging in a variety of activities planned by the tutors under the supervision of ari educational aide. These activities were designed to complement the learning experiences of both tutor and titee, eventually 1eading to improvement in their behavior in school and in their attitudes toward school.
5. Limited Funds -- Starter 101 materials were requested by Butler School early in the Summe: of 1971 , but diA not arrive

- November, 1971. It was planned that low achievers .. ،oonreaders in the first three grades would be using this phonetically oriented material instead of the basal series. Due to the fact that the materials arrived so late and inservice training would have had to be given to those teachers who would have used the series, it was decided to postpone this program until the following year because of the time limitation.
B. The Comprehensive Instructional Program (CIP)

The Comprehensive Instructional Program was a locally funded program, focusing on the area of reading in grades one through three and on the area of math in grades four through six. Through this program, pupils in grades one through three were diagnostically evaluated on their own levels of proficiency in reading skills three times during the year, to aid individual teachers in prescriptive teaching. Data from the second and third testing periods were quite useful to the teachers since they received the results a very short time after the test was given. Butler School pupils in the fourth and fifth grades were diagnostically evaluated in math during the Fall.

Since only one resource teacher was specifically assigned to CIP from the Area III Ofice, assistance was usually offered to the faculty through workshops in both math and reading given by the CIP resource teacher or other area personnel throughout the year. Two teachers attended the summer CIP inservice courses in reading but only one returned to teach at Butler School. Timited funds were available to the school to be used for supplementary instructional supplies. Manipulat.ye math materials were ordered to be used in the fourth and fifth grades.

The city-wide goal of this program in all schools was one month's gain in reading scores in grades one through three for each month of instruction and one month's gain in math scres for each month of instruction in grades four through six.
VIII. MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL

## Administrative Organizatior

The school faculty included sixteen regular teachers, two special education teachers, one lead teacher, one librarian, a part-time social worker, and a part-time music teacher. The principal and three teachers were new to the school this year but the rest of the faculty had been previously assigned to Butler School from one to fifteen years. There were no real staffing problems initially or throughout the year. One teacher did experience an extended illness but was ably replaced hy a substitute teacher experienced in that particular subject area.

Grade level meetings were usually held monthly on an informal basis. In these meetings the teachers discussed curriculum and internal matters. One teacher was assigned as a chairman on each grade level, serving as a contact person for that grade level and a liaison between the grade level and the principal. Written reports of these meetings were submitted to the principal. At the end of the first quarter, all of the teachers met as a group to discuss school problems and to plan together. Parents volunteered to supervise all classes on this particular day to give the faculty the opportunity to be free for this meeting.

## Instructional Organization

Classroom assignments in grades one through three resulted from loosely grouping pupils according to their ability, as assessed by their previous reading scores, informal testing, teacher records, and teacher
observations. Consequently, the range of abilities within each particular classroom was limited to some degree. Within each classroom, the children also were grouped for instruction, particularly in the areas of reading and math, dependent upon their abilities in these subject areas as assessed by t. 78.
instructional program in grades four and five was departmentalized, incorporating the subject areas of reading, math, social studies, language arts, science, spelling, ard writing. This type of instructional organization was implemented to prepare the children for the type of instructional program they would eventually receive at Walden Middle School, which is departmentalized within an open classroom setting. Each subject area teacher instructed six groups of children daily.

The average class enrollment was less than 29 pupils. Teachers in the kindergarten, the first grade, and the third grade were assisted two hours daily by Neighborhood Youth Corps pupils enrolled in the child development program at Howard High School.

Dismissal time for the kindergarten day was extended to $1: 45 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. Often, these pupils remained in school for the entire day with parental approval.

## Inservice Training

Faculty inservice training was held during preplanning week and throughout the entire year. Several Area III resource teachers conducted workshops, including topics such as materials and games in reading, learning centers, preparation for CIP tests and use of the resulting feedback, math instruction, and manipulative materials in math. A consultant demonstrated propec utilization of audio-visual equipment. One of the publishing companies condueted inservice training for the total faculty in the areas of reading and social studies; one teacher received CIP inservice training in reading and one teacher attended an inservice workshop in math. In addition, the kindergarten teacher and aide regularly attended workshops.

## IX. PROCESS

In addition to the supporting services and personnel of the projects previously mentioned, examples of the types of activities that were implemented in order to achieve the school goals and behavioral objectives included the following:
A. Each pupils' academic level was continously assessed throughout the year using the Newman Analysis Test, administered in the Fall and Spring, the CIP tests, and informal inventories.
B. Enabling objectives were written by all teachers for six-week periods, based on the results of the diagnostic testing. Pupil progress was then assessed in terms of these objectives every six weeks.
C. The MacMillan Basal Series was utilized in reading, supplemented with the Open Highways workbooks, audio-vusual equipment and other related materials, such as the Lnaguage Lotto and Matrix games. Approximately 90 minutes daily was spent engaged in reading activities in the first three grades.
D. Emphasis was direcțed toward individualizing reading instruction as much as possible in the fourth and fifth grades, using supplementary materials, such as the Science Research Associates (SRA) reading kit, in addition to the basal series. These two reading teachers worked with groups of approximately 20 to 25 children for 45 minutes daily.
E. Low achievers, suspected mentally retarded children and those children with emotional and learning difficulties in grades one through three, were referred to the Reading Resource Room for additional assistance, particularly in reading. These groups were very small. The teacher used Starter 101 materials, the Open Highways Series and Starter Concept cards with these children.
F. During the first quarter, the Jewish Women's Council conducted an enrichment program with the children including dramatization ${ }_{f}$
language arts, verbal expresion, art work, and group interaction activities. Later in the year, a male voluntetr from the Grady Homes Boys CIub worked with third grade pupils daily with speciat emphasis directed toward improving the pupils' self-concepts.
G. Field trips were planned and executed to surrounding areas of interest. Many parents participated in rhis activity,
H. In order to stimulate parental involvement, monthly meetings were held by a strongly motivated group of parents. Under the guidance of the social worker, a workshop was offered to parents interested in being trained to act as volunteer "Iistening mothers," PTA meetings were held in the evenings, and parents were invited to visit the school whenever they had the opportunity.

## X. EVALUATION INSTRUMENTS

The following tests and instruments were used to evaluate the progress of the pupils at Butler Elementary School:
A. The Metropolitan Readiness Tests (MRT) was administered to the first grade pupils in October, 1971, and to the kindergarten pupils in May, 1972.
B. The Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT) was administered to the second, third, and fifth grades in October, 1971, and to pupils in the first through the fifth grades in April, 1972.
C. The School Sentiment Index (SSI), an instrument designed to assess the pupil's attitude toward school in general and toward several dimensions of school (teachers, school subjects, school structure and climate, and peer relationships), was administered to a sample group of pupils in grades two, three; and four in February, 1972.
D. The Self-Concept Rating Scale (SCRS), a check list of 42 traitdescriptive adjectives designed to enable teachers to evaluate how their pupils perceive themselves (personal self) and their relationship with others (social self), was used by teachers in
grades one through four in the Spring of 1971, and again in the Spring of 1972 , to rate the self-concepts of those 40 pupils who participated in the ESAP tutorial program in the Spring of 1971.

## XI. FINDINGS

Evaluation of the accomplishments of the instructional program at H. R. Butlei School took three approaches: (1) evaluation of stated objectives, (2) an overview of test performance in 1971-72, and (3) a longitudinal view of test results and statistical data for 1970-71 and 1971-72. The evaluation of stated objectives at times was supplemented by more comprehensive information to provide additional insight into the progress of the school toward its goals. Included in the overview of 1971-72 test results was information from all grade levels in the subject area of reading. Possible explanations of scores were explored through statistical analysis relating attendance and mobility to achievement. Readiness test scores for kindergarten pupils also were shown. In an attempt to gain perspective of the school program as a continuing effort toward specified goals, data from 1970-71 and 1971-72 were used for a longitudinal view of test performance. Segments of the pupil population were compared for the effects of mobility over a two-year period. Futher analysis of performance based on predicted gains and national norms were observed for the two years.

Evaluation of Behavioral Objectives
A. Of the pupils enrolled in grades two, three, and four, 75 per cent will increase their total reading scores on the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT) at the rate of at least one month's gain per one month's instruction between pretest and posttest.

Accomplishment of this objective would determine satisfaction of the identified needs of pupils for improved skills in basic reading and communication. As its goal the school intended to provide a program emphasizing
these skills, particularly word recognition and comprehension skills. The program included systematic and sequential instruction at each child's level of proficiency.

The objective delineated here for second, third, and fourth grades assumed the administration of the NAT twice during the school year. This was accomplished for all grades except the forrth frode which' is tw, '. from pretesting because of the administrativn., a siale-wide exanination. Fulfillment of this objective, therefore, can be determined for only the second and third grades. Pupils were tested during October and April of the school year, an instructional period of about six months. The objective of one month's gain per month of instruction thus would call for a gain of at least six months.

According to Table $I$, total reading scores, consisting of word knowledge and reading comprehension, did not show 75 per cent of the second and third graders achieving the performance objective. In the second grade, only 38 per cent of the pupils gained at least six months between pretest and posttest while in the third grade, 33 per cent achieved at least that amount. However, the mean gain for each grade level should be considered as a description of the performance of the grade as a whole as opposed to the progress of individual children. In the second grade where the mean gain in total reading was six months, the grade as a whole achieved the objective of one month of gain per one monthis instruction, although only 38 per cent of the pupils actually increased their scores by six months or more. A mean gain of three months in the total reading scores of the third grade reflected the achievement of that grade as a whole but the previously stated objective was not met.

Making up the total reading score of the MAT are subtest scores in word knowledge and reading comprehension both of which were stressed in the school's program. Observation $O_{i}$ these subtest scores revealed approximately the same number of second grade pupils improving by at least six months in both areas.
TABLE I
GAINS MADE ON METROPOLITAN ACHIEVEMENT TESTS
 $m$ m $\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{m}$


 $\frac{10}{2}$
3
$=1$ -i 8



"There was a period of six months between pretest and posttest.

Individuals' scores, however, show that of those ch三ldren who gained one month per month $t$ instruction in reading total, almost 70 per cent made higher gains in word knowledge than in reading comprehension. In the third grade, the opposite was true. Considerably more children made higher gains in reading comprehension than in word knowledge. Of the pupils who gained six months or more in total reading, approximately two-thirds had higher gains in comprehension than in word knowiedge.

The exact objective identified for reading improvement in grades two and three was not met, neither in the total reading score specified in the objective nor in the specific skills referred to in the program goals. However, significant growth was made in the second grade as indicated by mean gains of approximately six months in both the skills subtests and the total score.
B. Of the pupils enrolled in grades two, three, and four, 75 per cent will exhibit a gain in mathematics at the rate of at least one month's gain per one month's instruction as measured by their pretest and posttest scores on the MAT.

Although mathematics was not identified among the needs of the pupils, it did receive emphasis within the regular school program. Consideration of gains in this area was made as an indication of the progress of the type of instruction designed to advance pupils at their individual rates of achievement.

As previously stated under Objective $A$, the fourth grade could not evaluated in the exact terms of this objective. However, posttest results were examined for ending level achievement.

According to Table II, neither the second nor the third grade showed 75 per cent of the pupils exhibiting a one month gain per one month of instruction in mathematics. In the second grade, 51 per cent of the pupils made at least the six month gain required in the objective. The total math subtest of the MAT was used as the criterion test. No subtests of that test were available for analysis. Only 22 per cent of the third grade gained six months or more on the total math subtest of the MAT.

An obvious difference could be seen in the scores of the subtests comprising total math for the third grade. Twice as many pupils gained 6 months or more on the computation subtest than on the math total scores. The concepts and problem-solving subtest about equalled total math in the number of pupils meeting the objective.

The behavioral objective was not met in either the second or third grade. However, a high mean gain of 10 months was observed across the second grade level. This gain not only exceeded the objectives but also resulted in a mean post.test level of 2.5 , or just two months behind the national morm. The trend previously observed among the subtests comprising the total math score for the third grade is observed again among the mean gains for those subtests. The gain in the computation subtest contributed a substantial part to the gain made in total math.

Whereas the behavioral objectives in reading and math specified that gain scores reach an indicated level, ending achievement level also represented a significant picture of pupils' performance. Table II shows frequency of posttest scores for reading and math subtests in grades two, three, and four. In the second grade for all subtests, approximately 70 per cent of the pupils were within one year of the national norm of 2.7. The percentage of the third grade, within one year of the national norm decreased to a range from 27 to 38 per cent so that generally pupils appeared to be at about the 2.4 level or only a few months higher than pupils in the second frede. In the fourth grade the percentage of pupils within o.se year of the national norm dropped to a range fromm ll to 22 per cent with pupils' mean score at approximately the 3.0 level. The similarity in scores between grades two and three caused. much concern that little gain was made in the third year of instruction. Futiser, it was appalling that the fourth grade pupils were also only a few months higher than the second grade pupils. Hence, the pupils had, for practical pupposes, made very little gain in the third and fourth grades.

TABLE II
GRADE EQUIVALENT ENDLNG LEVELS ON METROFOLITAN ACHIEVEMENT TESTS:

| Second Grade | 1.6 or Less |  | 1.7 to 2.0 |  | 2.7 or More |  | Meath |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Per Cent | No. | Pes Cent | No. | Per Cent | Findims Levol |
| Word Knowledge. | 22 | 35 | 27 | 43 | 14 | 22 | 2.3 |
| Word Analysis | 19 | 31 | 33 | 54 | $9^{\prime}$ | 15 | 2.1 |
| Reading | 22 | 37 | 26 | 43 | 12 | 20 | 2.1 |
| Total Reading | 16 | 27 | 32 | 53 | 12 | 20 | 2.2 |
| Total Math | 19 | 30 | 21 | 33 | 23 | 37 | 2.5 |


| Third Grade | 2.6 or Less |  | 2.7 to 3.6 |  | 3.7 or More |  | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Per Cent | No. | Per Cent | No. | Per Cent | Ending Lovol |
| Word Knowledge | 46 | 73 | 15 | 24 | 2 | 3 | 2.4 |
| Word Analysis | 39 | 62 | 17 | 27 | 7 | 11 | 2.4 |
| Reading | 43 | 68 | 19 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Total Reading | 46 | 73 | 16 | 25 | 1 | 2 | 2.4 |
| Math Comput. | 42 | 69 | 12 | 20 | 7 | 11 | 2.5 |
| Math Concepts | 42 | 67 | 18 | 29 | 3 | 5 | 2.4 |
| Math Problems | 41 | 66 | 19 | 31 | 2 | 3 | 2.5 |
| Total Math | 44 | 73 | 14 | 24 | 2 | 3 | 2.4 |
| Fourth Grade | $\frac{3.6}{\text { No }}$ | or Less | 3.7 | to 4.6 | 4.7 | or Mer Cent | Ending Level |
| Word Knowledge | 57 | 89 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2.8 |
| Reading | 56 | 86 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 2.9 |
| Math Comput. | 51 | 78 | 14 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 3.0 |
| Math Pro. Solv. | 54 | 84 | 10 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 3.0 |

$\because$ Posttest given April, 1972.
C. Title 1 pupils in grades four and five who participate in the lauth-Tutoring-Youth (YTY) Program will make greater annual gains than nonparticipants, as measured by the reading subtest of the MAT.
Through frequent tutoring sessions and identification with older pupils, tutees were expected to achieve more gains than other Title I pupils not a part of Youth-Tutoring-Youth activities. Names of other Title I pupils were not available so achievement of pupils in YTY was compared to that of the rest of the pupils in the fourth and fifth grades.

According to the educational aide, seven tutees, including three fourth grade pupils and four fifth grade pupils, attended the sessions regularly throughout the school year. Initially, there were attendance problems with the tutors, since many of them were seniors and had other school commitments in addition to their tutoring responsibilities. Eventually, ninth and tenth graders were chosen to be tutors and they seemed to work more effectively with the tutees.

The fourth grade tutees did not take a pretest so it was difficult to evaluate their progress. In comparing the academic progress of the four fifth grade tutees with that of their peers, as measured by Metropolitan Achievement Tests scores in reading, only one tutee exceeded the total fifth grade mean gain of one month in the Work Knowledge subtest, and three tutees exceeded the group mean gain of ten months in the Language subtest, two of the tutees did show more than one month's gain for one month of instruction between testing periods. With so few pupils participating in the YTY program, results of the tests were not conclusive. Generally, tutees performed at approxImately the same level as the rest of the firth grade.
D. Based on a longitudinal study, pupils in grades two, three, and four, will show an annual improvement in attitude toward school, statistirally significant at the .05 level, as measured by the School Sentiment Index.

This objective was directly related to pupils's needs for a more positive self-concept, a desire to come to school regularly, and the ability to identify with the school through participation and a sense of belonging.

In fulfillemnt of these needs, the school had proposed as one of its goals to improve the child's sill-concept through successful learnin's experieaces and self-awareness experionces.

In order to measure an annual improvement in attitude a pretest and a posttest must be administered at the beginning and end of a one-ycar rariod. The administration of the School Sentiment Index at Butler took piace only once, in the Spring of 1972. Thus, no anaiysis could be made on a gain score for the 1971-72 school year. The intent of the objective was to consider the administration of the instrument as a pretest with the posttest to be administered in the Spring of 1973.

Observation of the 1972 scores in Table III shows that second and third grades generally held a more positive attitude toward school than fourth graders. This was true for every subscore except "school climate" where scores from the three grades were relatively the same. Comparing the second and third grades, whose total scores differed by only one point, scores were similar in the areas of "school climate," "school subjects," and "general," but very different in attitudes toward peers and teachers. Third graders were much more positive toward peers while second graders were more positive toward teachers.

It is anitcipated that the same children will be tested in the Spring of 1973 so that analysis of gain scores can be made.

TABLE III
THE PERCENTAGES OF FAVORABLE RESPONSES ON THE SCHOOL SENTIMENT INDEX FOR

SPRING, 1972

| Grade Level | Peer | School Climate | School <br> Subject | Teacher | General | Overall <br> Totai |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Second } \\ \mathrm{N}=21}}{ }$ Grade | 63.8 | 71.4 | 81.6 | 81.0 | 82.5 | 77.0 |
| Third Grade $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 77.3 | 78.2 | 86.4 | 68.2 | 81.8 | 78.3 |
| Fourth Grade $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 52.6 | 74.6 | 61.7 | 58.6 | 69.1 | 61.0 |

E. Those 40 selerted pupils who participated in the Emergency School Assistance Program (ESAP) tutorial reading program during the Spring of 1971 will indicate a more positive seif-concept by improving their score on the Self-Concept Rating Scale by at least 5 per cent. The teacher will rate the pupils in the Spring of 1971 and the Spring of 1972 .

As a measure of the school's goal to improve the child's self-concept through successful learning experiences, this objective was designed for those pupils who participated in the special ESAP program which provided individual tutoring.

The maximum score possible on the Self-Concept Rating Scale was 168 points. Pupil scores on the first rating ranged from 80 to 146 points and on the second rating, from 71 to 160 points. The mean score on the first rating was 121 points and the mean score on the second rating was 125 points, indicating some change in a positive direction but too little to be significant. Table IV indicated that only 16 of the 40 pupils actually improved their scores by at least 5 per cent, as projected in the behavioral objective set forth for them. Of the 40 pupils, the scores of 22 pupils increased, 1 pupil's score remained the same, and the scores of 17 pupils decreased.

The reliability of these data must be questioned, since a different teacher rated the children each time and individual opinions and perceptions can vary greatly and be quite subjective. It would have been more appropriate to use this instrument as an indicator of longitudinal change in self-concept if the same teacher who had initially rated the child in the Spring of 1971 could have that same child again in the Spring of 1972.

F. By May, 1972, at least 9 parents will have been trained to assist teachers in their reading program and will be volunteering their services to teachers on a regular basis.

Related to the stated goal of providing opportunities for parents to become involved in the school program, this objective also related to pupils' needs for improvement in reading skills.

In January, 1972, the lead teacher directed a three-day inservice workshop for volunteer parent reading tutors. Basic fundamental skills in the teaching of reading were taught to these parents, as well as use of audio-visual equipment, how to make learning games, and possible learning problems they might encounter. Although six parents initially indicated their interest in the workshop, due to a family illness, only five participants were able to complete the entire training session. These five parents were assigned to five teachers in the first three grades who had indicated their interest in receiving volunteer parental assistance. The parents worked in the classrooms three days per week for approximately two to two and one-half hours daily. In April, 1972, these parents were hired through Title IV-A funds to assist with the reading program at Walden Middle School. Although they were committed to work four hours daily at Walden, they reserved two afternoons a week to continue their volunteer assistance at Butler School.

Achievement data which might have reflected the effects of the parent volunteers were not available. Since assistance was given for only two months prior to testing, effects would probably not have been visible in the test results.

In March, 1972, five additional volunteer tutors, Antolne Graves Senior Citizens, volunteered between one and one-half to five hours of their services per day from two to five days weekly. They were very regular in attendance and took selected pupils out of their classrooms tolisten to lessons previously introduced in the classroom and to assist with the mastery of simple skills. The classroom teachers worked directly with these tutors.

The tutors interacted with from one to six children daily for approximately thirty-minute sessions, generally working with the same children each time so that a supportive relationship would develop natirrally.

Since these senior citizen tutors interacted with their tutees for less than one month within the pretest and posttest interval, it was not appropriate to measure their impact in terms of the tutees' progress on the Metropolitan Achievement Tests. Teacher response to this program, though, was very favorable.
G. The average attendance for the parent involvement meetings will increase from 18 parents to 25 parents for the months of February, 1972, through May, 1972.

The parent involvement group met monthly during the day time. Parent attendance at these meetings varied but generally averaged between ten to twenty parents regularly. The attendance did not increase during the Last half of the year, but it should be stated that the quality of those meetings was consistently above average in all respects. The goals for this group were developed by the parents themselves at their first planning and organizational meeting. These goals were (a) to increase parent participation in the school and community and (b) to help parents become aware of the needs, problems, and aspirations of their children in order to relate to these needs in a positive manner.

Their meetings covered topics such as discussions about the school program and how parental involvement would enhance this program, discussions concerning the Title IV-A program and the needs of the community, a demonstration of how to make simple, inexpensive Christmas decorations for the home, discussions concerning dental care and health care, and Title I services. This same group spearheaded the volunteer listening parents project. They also co-sponsored an Easter egg hunt with the Antoine Graves Home in April for all Butler School pupils, co-sponsored a carnival and a Spring Follies with the PTA to raise money for the school, and cooperated with the PTA in sponsori: Teacher Appreciation Day in May. A final luncheon was held in May in appreiadion of all the interest, cooperation, and services extended to the school by the parents, volunteers, and local community agencies.
H. Pupils will increase last year's attendance average of 92 per cent (1970-70) by at least $I$ per cent or more for the current year.

The pupil's need to develop a desire to come to school regularly and the faculty's desire to improve attendance through special attention to chronic absentees formed the basis for this behavioral objective.

The percentage of attendance did not increase by one percentage point as predicted but lost a percentage point as seen in Table $V$. This loss seemed to be attributable to the extreme decrease in attendance in the kindergarten class with some contribution from the first grade. Only the pupils designated as Educable Mentally Retarded (EMR) showed a significant gain in attendance.

TABLE V

## CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE OF ATTENDANCE <br> 1971-72

|  | Per cent of Attendance |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | $\frac{1971-72}{290-71}$ |  |
| $K$ | 96 | 86 |
| 1 | 90 | 87 |
| 2 | 93 | 93 |
| 3 | 92 | 92 |
| 4 | 90 | 91 |
| 5 | 94 | 93 |
| EMR | 91 | 96 |
| Total School | $92(\mathrm{~K}-7)$ | $91(\mathrm{~K}-5)$ |

School-Wide Test Performance: 1971-72
Since the emphasis of the instructional program at R. Butler School was in the area of reading, observation of reading scores by grade level was appropriate for a general picture of the accopmlishments of the 1971-72 school year. Only scores of pupils taking both the pretest and posttest were considered.

While many pupils made satisfactory gains between the fretest and posttest, the number of pupils falling futher behind the naiional norm grew larger for each higher grade level. Tables VI and VII contain data concerning reading performance. In the second and third grades, approximately 43 per cent of the pupils taking both tests gained at least 6 months and 27 months were recorded for grades two, three, and five, respectively. Mean posttest scores for the same grade levels and the fourth grade regressed from 6 months behind national norms for second grade, fo 14 months for third grade, to 18 months for fourth grade, and 20 months for fifth grade. Concern for this situation is further accentuated by the steady rise ir the number of zero and negative gains as pupils proceeded to higher grade levels.

A satistical test of means between mobility groups was made to determine effects of mobility on reading test performance. Scores for pupils who took only the pretest were compared to pretest scores of pupils who took both pretest and posttest. Likewise scores of pupils who took only the posttest were compared to posttest scores of pupils taking both tests. The assumption was made that pupils who had taken only one of the tests were not enrolled in the school at the time of the other test. In effect they formed the mobile population of the school, moving in or out during the year. The tests showed no statistically significant differences between the reading scores of the mobile and stable population. (See Table VIII.)

Effects of. attendance on gain scores also were tested for the reading subtest, but no significant correlation was found. (See Table IX.) This failure of gain and percentage of attendance to correlate is not unique to Butler School but is generally found throughout the local school system.

FREQUENCIES GF GAIN SCORES ON THE
READING SUBTEST OF THE
METROPOLITAN ACHIEVEMENT TESTS:

| Grade | zero or Vegative | 1-3 mos. | $4-5$ mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { mos. } \\ & \text { or greater } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mean Gain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 7 | 26 | 5 | 22 | 5 |
| 3 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 31 | 4 |
| 4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5 | 32 | 9 | 8 | 18 | 2 |
| All grades | 56 | 45 | 18 | 71 | - |

*Only pupils taking both the pretest and the posttest were considered.

TABLE VII

FREQUENCIES OF POSTTEST SCORES ON THE
READING SUBTEST OF THE
METROPOLITAN ACHIEVEMENT TESTS*

| Grade | More than 2 years behind | $1-2 \mathrm{yrs} .$ <br> behind | 1 month to 1 yr . behind | On or above grade leve1 | Mean <br> Posttest Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | -- | 22 | 26 | 12 | 2.1 |
| 3 | 9 | 34 | 19 | 1 | 2.3 |
| 4 | 28 | 28 | 7 | 2 | 2.9 |
| 5 | 36 | 24 | 6 | 1 | 3.7 |
| All grades | 73 | 108 | 58 | 16 | - |

*Only pupils taking both the pretest and the posttest were considered.
table vili


TABLE IX

- CORRELATION BETWEEN METROPOLITAV ACHIEVEMENT TESTS PRETEST/POSTTEST READING GAIN SCORES AND ATTENDANCE

| Orade | $\frac{\text { Coefficient of Correlation }}{\frac{\text { D.F. }}{2}}$ | $\frac{\text { Gain }}{28}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 61 | .0515 |
| 4 | 65 | .0851 |
| 5 |  |  |

At the end of the school year the Metropolitan Readiness Test was administered to kindergarten pupils to determine reading readiness. Distribution of scores are Iisted in Table X. One third of the pupils made a "superior" rating while two thirds made at least a "high normal" rating. Only two pupils were rated as "low" and the teacher indicated that these pupils had only been in the class for a very short time.

TABLE X

DISTRIBUTION OF LETTER RATING AND READINESS
STATUS CORRESPONDING TO VARIOUS RANGES ON THE
METROPOLITAN READINESS TESTS*

| Number <br> of Pupils | Score <br> 10 | Range | Letter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

*Tests administered in Spring, 1972.

Longit: al Observation of Test Performance: 1970-71 and 1971-72
$\mathrm{Da}_{\mathrm{a}}$, available for two succeding vears is presented in Table XI to observe changes which had occurred on a longitudinal basis. From this table information can be compared for each grade level for the two years. In addition, the progress of a group could be followed as the pupils passed from one grade into the next.

Generally, the stable population of the primary grades of 1971-72 performed better than their counterparts of 1970-71. In the upper grades, the opposite was true. The difference in the size of the populations producing these scores for the two years was notable for every grade except the third. This discrepancy may have contributed to differences in scores.

Longitudinal information for a particular group of children was available only for two grade levels: the second grade of 1970-71 which was the third grade of 1971-72, and the fourth grade of 1970-71 which was the fifth grade of 1971-72. In actuality the pupils of 1971-72 listed in C of Table XI was not an exact sub group of the pupils whose scores are listed in $A$ of the same table. However, they were the children who were enrolled in Butler School for both years although they may not have completed all tests for both years. Therefore, comparisons must be of a superficial nature and no conclusive statements could be made. The data implied that the stable population for the two-year period scored three months higher on the pretest of 1971-72 than their posttest levels of the previous year. This was appropriate since tests were administered one month prior to the end of school and one month after the beginning of school. Standardized tests allow for one month of gain over the summer months. The second grade performed better as third graders but the fourth grade performed more poorly as fifth graders.

The pupils enrolled for both years made similar, though slightly better, progress than the total stable population of 1971-72 of which they were a part.
TABLE XI
LONGITUDINAL COMPARISON OF MEAN READING PRETEST/POSTTEST SCORES
AND GAINS ON THE METROPOLITAN ACHIEVEMENT TESTS
(1970-72)


Futher analysis of reading scores was made on the basis of predicted achievement for each grade level. A regression equation employing factors of attendance, mobility, socioeconomic status, pupil-teacher ratio, percentage of pupils passing, and pretest scores formulated predictions of posttest scores and resulting gains. A ratio of actual gain to predicted gain ylelded a percentage described as a gain rate of effectiveness. Another ratio of the actual posttest score divided by the national norm for the respective grade level resulted in an index of acceptability. Table XII shows the changes in the gain rate of effectiveness and the index of acceptability occurring over two years.

The gain rate of effectiveness for 1971-72 equalled or improved the rate of 1970-71 for every grade level although gains were minimal and at an unacceptable level. Improvements that were made in three grades were at least 50 points. Data not shown in the table indicated that predicted gains for these grades were higher for the year showing the greater rate of effectiveness.

The average index of acceptability for 1971-72 did not measurably deviate from the previous year. The gains of 11 and 3 points in grades two and three, respectively, were partially overcome by losses of 5 points for each of the fourth and fifth grades.

No definite trends in reading achievement were observable for the twoyear period. Primary pupils of 1971-72 performed somewhat better than primary pupils of 1970-71, but fourth and fifth grade performance declined in the second year. Generally all pupils achieved closer to their predicted scores in 1971-72 than in 1970-71 but did not noticeably change the index of acceptability. The significance of these changes as part of a predictable trend will be more easily determined as data are collected for comming years. In general, the pupils are performing at about three-fourths of what was predicted for them and achieved approximately two-thirds of the national norm.

TABl上 XII
EFFECTIVENESS AND ACCEPTABILITY OF PLPIL PERFORMANCE N THE READING SLBIEST OF THE MEIROPOLITAN ACHIEYFMENT IESTS

FOR 1971-72

| Grade | Actual |  | $\frac{\text { Acceptable }}{\operatorname{Post}}$ | $\frac{\text { Predicted }}{\text { Post }}$ | Gain |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre | Post |  |  | Actual | Predicted |
| 2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 1.9 | $0 . ?$ | 0.4 |
| 3 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 5 | 3.2 | . 2.4 | 5.7 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |


| Grade | Gain Rate of Effectiveness |  | Index of Acceptability |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1971-72 | 1970-71 | 1971-72 | 1970-71 |
| 2 | 75 | 0 | 67 | 56 |
| 3 | 50 | 0 | 57 | 49 |
| 4 | 113 | 60 | 57 | 62 |
| 5 | 50 | 50 | 60 | 65 |
| Average | 72 | 28 | 60 | 58 |

XII. COST ANALYSIS

An attempt was made to relate gains in reading achievement to the amount expended in general funds and compensatory funds. This relation took the form of a projection of the amount of funds necessary to achieve acceptable gains, i.e. one month of gain per each month of instruction. In order to determine the projection, the yearly per pupil expenditures were divided by the rate of reading gain, yielding the per pupil expenditures required to achieve 100 per cent gain.
 and Agency Report for June 30, 1972. Distribution of these £u:. . . . Act was made uniformly for general funds, but compensatory funds he............ in relation to actual utilization of resourses as indicated by i.: s.iv! s: : : Figures used to obtain the projection were thus broad estimates. distributed by grade level and divided by average dally attendance (illy. Results should be regarded as relative indicators of the existing si: and riot as $f$ inal determinants of solutions to academic problems.

Projections of necessary funds for acceptable gains were possible for only grades two, three, and five since only they received comparable pretests and posttests. Both general funds and compensatory funds were used as a basis for the projections with further categorization into salary and non-salary items. Expenditures were relatively the same for all grade level in both general funds and compensatory funds. The fourth and fifth grades received generaj funds from the Comprehensive Instructional Program, making their per pupil expenditures 30 cents higher than those of the other grades. The per pupil expenditures for compensatory funds wexe higher by three dollars for erades one, two, and three due to concentration of Title $I$ funds for materiais in those grades.

Since expenditures were similar for each grade level, large differences in cost projections for 100 per cent gain were due to vast differences between the grade levels in rate of reading gain. Hence, a rate of gain of only 33 for the fifth grade caused their cost projection to be almost twice the projection for the third grade whose rate of gain was 64, and more than twice the projection for the second grade whose rate of gain was 74.

Futher analysis of instructional cost related per pupil expenditure of compensatory funds to the gain rate of effectiveness. (See Stction IX Findings, Longitudinal Vjew of Test Performance: $1970-71$ and 1971-72 p.31. ) This calculation determined the per pupil cost in compensatory funds for each unit of effectiveness. The cost of each unit of effectiveness was again much higher for the fifth grade than for the second or third grade. The average expenditures for the three grades was $\$ 2.32$ per unit of effectiveness.
table xiti
analysis of per pupil expenditures of general and compensatory funas


| analysis of per pupil expenditures of general and compensatory funds ACCORDING TO READING GAINS ON THE METROPOLITAN GCHIEVEMENT TESTS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $=$ | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Tvg. |
| Per Pupil Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. General Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Regular |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Salary | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 | 730.112 |
| b. Non-salary | 79.35 | 79.35 | 79.35 | 79.35 | -79.35 | $\frac{79.35}{811.37}$ | $\frac{79.35}{811.37}$ |
| c. Total | 811.37 | 811.37 | 811.37 | 811.37 | 811.37 | 811.37 | 811.37 |
| 2. CIP <br> a. Non-salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .3) | . 30 | 0 |
| 3. Total General Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Salary | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.0\% | 732.02 |
| b. Non-salary | 79.35 | 79.35 | 79.35 | 79.35 | 79.65 | 79.65 | 79.35 |
| c. Total General Funds |  | $81.1 . ? 7$ |  | 811.37 | 811.67 | 811.67 | 911.37 |
| B. Compensatory Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. ESAP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Title I <br> a. Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Social Worker (71) | 6.07 | 6.07 | 6.07 | 6.07 | 6.07 | 0.07 | 6.17 |
| 2. Lead Teacher | 28.29 | 28.29 | 28.29 | 28.29 | 28.29 | 28.29 | 28.20 |
| 3. Social Worker (72) | 31.05 | 31.05 | 31.05 | 31.05 | 31.05 | 31.05 | 31.15 |
| 4. Teacher Aides | 35.60 | 35.60 | 35.60 | 35.60 | 35.00 | 35.60 | 35.00 |
| 5. Other | 5.55 | 5.55 | 5.55 | 5.55 | 5.55 | 5.55 | 5.55 |
| b. Non-salary | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\underline{2.92}$ | $\underline{2.92}$ | $\underline{2.92}$ | $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ \hline 10.56\end{array}$ | - 0 | 1.75 |
| c. Total Title I | $\overline{106.56}$ | 109.48 | 109.48 | 109.48 | 106.56 | 100.50 | 105.31 |
| 3. EPIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Salary | . 86 | . 86 | . 86 | . 86 | . 86 | . 80 | . $\because$ |

號


| analysis of per pupil expenditures of general and compensatory funds according to reading gains on the metropolitan achievenent tists: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Tvg. |
| Average Daily Attendance (ADA) | 23 | 61 | 71 | 74 | - | 67. | 59 |
| Per Pupil Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. General Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Regular |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Salary | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 |
| b. Non-salary | $\frac{79.35}{811.37}$ | $\frac{79.35}{811.37}$ | 79.35 | $\frac{79.35}{811.37}$ | $\underline{79.35}$ | 79. 35 | $\frac{79.35}{8117}$ |
| c. Total | 811.37 | 811.37 | 811.37 | 811.37 | 811.37 | 811.37 | 811.37 |
| 2. CIP <br> a. Non-salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .30 | . 30 | 0 |
| 3. Total General Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Salary | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 | 732.02 |
| b. Non-salary | 79.35 | 79.35 | 79.35 | 79.35 | 79.65 | 79.65 | 79.35 |
| c. Total General Funds | 811.37 | 81.1 .27 | 811.37 | 811.37 | 811.67 | 811.67 | 811.37 |
| B. Compensatory Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. ESAP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Title I <br> a. Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Social Worker (71) | 6.07 | 6.07 | 6.07 | 6.07 | 6.07 | 0.07 | 6.07 |
| 2. Lead Teacher | 28.29 | 28.29 | 28.29 | 28.29 | 28.29 | 28.29 | 28.20) |
| 3. Social Worker (72) | 31.05 | 31.05 | 31.05 | 31.05 | 31.05 | 31.05 | 31.5 |
| 4. Teacher Aides | 35.60 | 35.60 | 35.60 | 35.60 | 35.60 | 35.60 | 35.00 |
| 5. Other | 5.55 | 5.55 | 5.55 | 5.55 | 5.55 | 5.55 | 5.55 |
| b. Non-salary |  | 2.92 | $\underline{2.92}$ | 2.92 |  | 0 | 1.25 |
| c. Total Title I | 106.56 | 109.48 | 109.48 | 109.48 | 106.56 | 100.36 | 105.31 |
| 3. EPDA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Salary | . 86 | . 86 | . 86 | . 86 | . 86 | . 80 | . + |





Since expenditures were similar on all grade ?evels, the differences between grades in reading achievement implied that cost did not affect achievement or the gain rate of effectiveness. Explanation of variance, therefore, must be found in other factors.

## XIII. CONCLUSIONS

Based on information from the staff of H.R. Butler School and data from specified evaluative instruments, the following conclusions were made.
A. Kindergarten pupils are well prepared for first grade as demonstrated by "above normal" scores on the Metropolitan Readiness Tests.
B. Generally, pupils in grades two, three, and five are not meeting the specified performance objective of one month of gain per one month of instruction in the area of reading and math.
C. The second grade as a whole shows a mean gain in most areas appropriate to the instructional period.
D. Mobility makes no statistically significant difference in reading achievement of pupils in grades two, three, and five.
E. There is no statistically significant correlation between the percentage of attendance and achievement for pupils in grades two, three, and five.
F. Only pupils in grade four performed as predicted in the area of reading when the prediction was based on the formula used to determine the gain rate of effectiveness.
G. In FY72 grades two, three, four, and five equalled or improved their gain rate of effectiveness in reading over FY7l although the pupils performed at an effectiveness level of only 70 per cent in FY72.
H. In FY72 the average pupil performance in reading was 60 per cent of the national norm, only two points higher than FY7I.
I. Pupils fall further behind national norms as they proceed to higher grade levels.
J. While pupils in grades two, three, and four generally display favorable attitudes toward school, pupils in grades two and three are considerably more positive than pupils in grade four.
K. Per pupil expenditures seem to have no significant effect on pupil achievement.
L. Some parents and community members are actively and significantly involved in both the academic and extra curricular activities of the school.
M. Attendance decreased in percentage points despite specific efforts to increase attendance.

## XIV. RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are made in light of the identified goals of the instructional program:
A. Teachers should continue to emphasize individualized instruction in the area of reading.
B. Analysis of the instructional program should be made to determine when and why the pupils' rate of gain begins to decrease rapidly.
C. Analysis of pupil needs should be made in terms of motivational factors for learning.
D. The effort to involve parents and community members in the instructional program should be continued as a means to provide more individualized instruction.

The principal and the faculty of H. R. Butler Elementary School are to be commended for their expressed concern for the pupils as they leave the school. The continuing efforts of the staff to meet the academic needs of the pupils merit praise and personal satisfaction for all members of the faculty. The staff should intensify its efforts to improve performance on a longitudinal basis. The rather fine performance of pupils in kindergarten, first, and second grades should be extended to the rest of the pupils.
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## I. RATIONALE

Dean Rusk Elementary School, a modern, carpeted school built in 1969, is located in the southwest section of metropolitan Atlanta. The address is 433 Peeples Street.

There are no self-contained classrooms at Dean Rusk Elementary School; the spacious, open rooms contain several classes operating at the same time. Furthermore, the pupils in these classes are not identified by grade level. Instead, they are divided into twenty levels which form four large groups called pods. The four pods correspond to grade levels as follows: Pod I with the first grade, Pod II with the second and third grades, Pod III with the fourth and fifth grades, and Pod IV with the sixth and seventh grades.

The twenty instructional levels are not distributed equally among the four pods. They are grouped in the following way: levels 1-4 in Pod I, levels 5-11 in Pod II, levels 12-15 in Pod III, and levels 16-20 in Pod IV. (Levels 19 and 20 are combined.)

While the modern school building was designed to allow open classrooms, there are no recreational facilities for involved physical activities. The Boys Club Gymnasium, located across the street from Dean Rusk Elementary School, was used part-time for calisthenics and indoor sports.

The majority of the 630 pupils who attended Dean Rusk Elementary School during the 1971-72 school year were from low income families. The school, because of the high percentage of economically deprived pupils, was designated a Title I School. In addition, the school had benefit of the Follow Through Project.

Dean Rusk Elementary School served as a teacher training center for college students during the fall, winter, and spring quarters. The college coordinator who directed the training program was based at the school. There were approximately ten to fifteen college students practiceteaching each quarter.

Prior to FY 72, Dean Rusk Elementary School served as a base for three additional projects: the Teacher Corps Project, a special reading project initiated by Georgia State University, and a science education project directed by Georgia State University. These projects were not in operation at Dean Rusk Elementary School during the 1971-72 school year, but their influence was felt in the school program. An outgrowth of the Georgia State University sponsored science education project of 1970-71, in which college students taught science to pupils at Dean Rusk Elementary School one day per week, was a strong science program at the Pod IV levels.

The principal of Dean Rusk School wished to observe the strengthened science curriculum and to measure the pupils' increase in science knowledge and understanding. The second focus of the evaluation for FY 72 was to determine the impact of the Follow Through Project in grades K-2 at Dean Rusk School.

## Supporting Projects

A. Elementary and Secondary Act of 1965 (ESEA) Title I

The emphasis of Title I Program at Dean Rusk Elementary School was on improving the reading skills of the most educationally deprived pupils. Toward this effort, a counselor-lead teacher and three aides were hired through Title I funds. Because the Follow Through Project emphasized reading also, the Title I aides served at non-Follow Through pod levels.
B. Career Opportunities Program (COP)

This program was a training program through which participants pursued professional certification. Although Career Opportunities Program (COP) paid no salaries for aides to attend accredited colleges or universities, one aide at Dean Rusk Elementary School participated in Career Opportunities Program.
C. Follow Through Project

The Follow Through Project was designed for disadvantaged pupils. At Dean Rusk Elementary School all pupils in the kindergarten through Pod II, level eight (corresponding to grade two in a traditional graded system) had benefit of the Follow Through instructional program.

Follow Through made use of the Interdependent Learning Model (ILM), a games approach to learning. The project utilized a specialized method of teaching phonics skills, and offered special instruction in music, art, speech, and inactive communication. In addition, medical and dental care and psychological guidance was offered to all eligible pupils (pupils from homes in which the income did not exceed $\$ 1,800$ per year for a one child family plus $\$ 600$ for each additional child).
D. Comprehensive Instructional Program (CIP)

The Comprehensive Instructional Program (CIP) was concerned with curriculum improvement and teacher inservice training. Reading and mathematics instruction in grades $1-3$ were stressed during FY 72. Through the Comprehensive Instructional Program each school was encouraged to develop behaviorally stated objectives directed at improving the reading and mathematics instruction.

## II. NEEDS OF THE PUPILS

The Follow Through pupils at Dean Rusk Elementary School were recognized as having the followng needs:
A. To learn to read.
B. To develop mathernatical ability.
C. To develop positive self-concepts and positive attitudes toward school, teachers, and peers.
D. To become independent learners.
E. To receive parental reinforcement for the Follow Through instructional program.
F. To receive medical and dental care.
G. To have psychological services available when needed.

The pupils at the Pod IV level were identified as needing specialized science instruction.

## III. GOALS

The goals of the Follow Through Project were developed on the basis of the identified needs of the pupils. They were as follows:
A. To teach pupils to read.
B. To teach pupils mathematics skills.
C. To enable pupils to develop positive self-concepts and to gain positively in attitude toward school, teahcers, and peers.
D. To enable pupils to become independent learners.
E. To promote parental involvement in the Follow Through Program.
F. To provide medical and dental care for the pupils and to teach these pupils good health habits.
G. To provide psychological services to pupils.

Based on the identified need for more complete science instruction at the Pod lV level, the goal at Dean Rusk Elementary School was to provide a science curriculum which included the reading of science materials, demonstrations by the science teacher, observation and experimentation by the pupils inside and outside the classroom, and science field trips.

## IV. OBJECTIVES

Behavioral objectives were developed for Follow Through during FY 72. They were as follows:
A. The kindergarten pupils will be introduced to the Direct Approach to Decoding (DAD) Program which is designed for teaching phonics skills. Beginning with grade one, the pupils will increase their competence in phonics skills so that they will make the following gains on the Phonics Skills Test: eight levels for grade one, fifteen levels for grade two, and ten levels for grade three.
B. First grade pupils who have been in the Follow Through Program for the kindergarten year will score "C" or higher on the Metropolitan Readiness Tests (MRT). The same percentage of first grade pupils who score "C" or higher on the Metropolitan Readiness Tests will score 1.6 or above on the reading posttest of the Metropolitan $f_{4}$ chievement Tests (MAT). The score 1.6 indicates a grade level of first grade, six months. For the second and third grade pupils, there will be a reading gain of one month for each month in school as indicated by the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT) pretest and posttest.
C. The first grade pupils who participated in Follow Through's kindergarten will score "C" or above in mathematics on the Metropolitan Readiness Tests (MRT) ; those first graders scoring " $;$ " or above on the Metropolitan Readiness Tests (MRT) will score at least 1.6 in mathematics on the Metronolitan Achievement Tests (MAT). The second and third graders will gain one month in mathematical ability for each month in schnol. This objective is stated with the qualification that Follow Through teaches mathematics using a logical approach.
D. The pupils will demonstrate significantly higher (. 05 level) self-concepts on the Self Appraisal Inventory than the comparison group.
E. The pupils will increase significantly (. 05 level) in positive attitudes toward school, teachers, peers shown by the pretest and posttest of the Test of Attitudes of Children (TAC).
F. A games approach will be used to enable pupils to become independent learners and their progress will be judged individually by observation, using a checklist.
G. The parents or guardian of each eligible pupil will be visited during the year by the parent workers, who will demonstrate to the parents the Follow Through games and encourage the parents to play the games with the child. Parents will be invited to parent meetings, to visit the classroom, and to participate in parent volunteer groups throughout the year.
H. Because the emphasis of the Follow Through health program is toward prevention and health education, each eligible child in the program will receive complete medical and dental care and daily instruction so that he will demonstrate good personal health habits. This will be evaluated by a survey-checklist. In addition, parents will be invited to attend sessions in which instruction in nutrition will be given.
I. Psychological services will be made to all eligible pupils as needed. Referrals to psychologists will be made by teachers, social workers, or Follow Through staff when a need is observed so that the reasori for referral will be eliminated.

The objective based on the goal of the science program was as follows:
A. The pupils will achieve at least one month gain in science skills for each month in school as demonstrated by the pretest and posttest of the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT) science subtest.

## V. CRITICAL VARIABLES

A. Phonics skills.
B. Total reading.
C. Mathematics skills.
D. Self-concept.
E. Attitude:

1. toward school,
2. toward teachers, and
3. toward peers.
F. Parent involvement.
G. Health care.
H. Psychological services.
I. Independence in learning.
J. Science skills.

## VI. MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL

Several changes were made in Follow Through personnnel during FY 72. One change was strictly that of title. Since the roles of the lead teachers for school administration and the lead teachers for Follow Through differed, confusion arose in the schools. Therefore, the lead teacher for Follow Through was given the title program assistant. The program assistant worked directly under the principal to coordinate the Follow Through activities at Dean Rusk Elementary School.

A parent of one of the pupils was hired to assist the program assistant. This parent was called a parent assistant and handled all clerical work involving Follow Through. Other local Follow Through staff included an instructional assistant, ten classroom teachers, and ten educational aides (one aide to serve each Follow Through class). Four parent workers, who were parents of Follow Through children, worked with Follow Through at Dean Rusk School. They visited in the home of each Follow Through
child, supervised the transportation of Follow Through eligible children to the dentist and physician, and sought parent volunteers to chaperone pupils on the trips for medical and dental purposes as well as educational trips in the city of Atlanta. In addition, parent volunteers were asked to aid in the classroom and to aid in making Follow Through instructional materials.

The Follow Through cental staff, who served Dean Rusk School and the other five Follow Through schools, consisted of a director, two instructional coordinators, a coordinator of instructional assessment and development, a coordinator of health services, two social workers, two psychologists. and an arts and communication team of six persons.

The activities of the three Title I aides at Dean Rusk School were coordinated by the counselor-lead teacher and the principal. The aides served in non-Follow Through levels and worked, under the direction oí the classroom teachers, with pupils in small groups.

The local science program at Dean Rusk School was aimed at pupils in Pod IV. One science teacher conducted the four science classes of Pod IV. He worked directly under the supervision of the principal. At the classroom level, the practice teachers aided the science teacher by helping to supervise the science experiments and by conducting science demonstrations for the pupils.

The science resource teacher for Area I aided in obtaining textbooks and supplementary materials. Two professors of science education at Georgia State University, Dr. Edward Colton and Mr. Robert Williams, served as consultants for the program.

## VII. PROCESS

The Interdependent Learning Model (IL,M) was the basic model for teaching all Follow Through classes. The model made use of a game format for reinforcing classroom instruction and strengthening skills. The games, which were made by the Follow Through staff and parent volunteers, were developed as needed.

An important component of the Interdependent Learning Model (ILM) was the method utilized for teaching phonics skills. All classes in Pods I and II (corresponding to grades K-2) at Dean Rusk School were taught the Direct Approach to Decoding (DAD). The DAD was used along with basal readers.

A Follow Through aide was assigned to each Follow Through class. The aide worked under the guidance of the classroom teacher and served mainly as a tutor for small groups of pupils.

A Follow Through communication team, consisting of one art tacher. one music teacher, and one speech teacher, served the Follow Through Program at Dean Rusk School. The three teachers divided their time equally among three Follow Through schools, spending one and one-half days each week at Dean Rusk School. Rather than teaching classroom teachers, the music and art teachers rotated classes within Dean Rusk School, teaching the pupils in the presence of the classroom teachers. The music activity was taught to a closs and was then followed up by the classroom teacher. However, art was taught to pupils in small groups instead of entire class groups. While both music and art teachers served all Follow Through classes, the speech teachers concentrated on the speech problems of the kindergarten and first grade pupils.

The four parent workers worked with the Follow Through social worker and directly under the Follow Through program assistant. They visited in the home of each eligible child, explained the Follow Through program, and attempted to enlist the active support of parents for the Follow Through Program.

The Title laides served the non-Follow Through classes. One worked with the teachers in Pod II (corresponding to grade 3), the second worked with the teachers in Pod III (corresponding to grades 4 and 5), and the third served Pod IV (corresponding to grades 6 and 7).

All Pod IV pupils were in the science program. They were grouped into four equal groups on the basis of reading ability. Accordingly, there were approximately thirty pupils in each of the four groups, and they were divided as follows: (1) sixth and seventh grade reading levels, (2) fifth grade reading level, (3) fourth grade reading level, and (4) below fourth grade reading level.

Each level of pupils received science instruction at a specific period each day. The following textbooks were used with the four groups: Laidlaw's Science VI and Eiological Sciences; Holt, Rinehart, and Winston's Modern Elementary Science, and Heath's Science in the Space Age.

The science room in which classes were held was equipped with portable lab tables, microscopes, hand magnifiers, science models, chemical and biological charts, and chemicals. Additional materials and supplies were made available when needed.

As a science learning experience, the pupils observed at the Piedmont Park greenhouse. In addition, the principal allowed pupils to conduct soil and planting experiments at designated stations in the community .

An on-going pupil activity was to keep an individual notebook in which the science experiments were described. Although there was a simple format for recording the information, the nupils described the experiments in their own words.

## VIII. EVALUATION

## Diagnosis

The performance of the Follow Through pupils was evaluated on the basis of the Follow Through Phonics Skills Test (PST), the Metropolitan Readiness Tests (MRT), the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT), the Self Appraisal Inventory (SAI), and the Test of Attitudes of Children (TAC). The pupils were grouped for analysis on the basis of instructional level and Follow Through experience to determine if the number of years in the Follow Through Program influenced performance.

The Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT) was used to assess the reading and mathematics performance of the Follow Through and non-Follow Through pupils and the science performance of those pupils in the science program.

Attendance data was analyzed for the entire pupil population at Dean Rusk School, and the cost effectiveness of the instruction at each level was determined. In addition, a study was conducted by the Division of Research and Development to determine the effectiveness and acceptability of the reading and mathematics programs of Dean Rusk Elementary School.

## Evaluation of the Performance of Follow Through Pupils

A. Phonics Skills

All Follow Through pupils were introduced to the Direct Approach to Decoding (DAD) Program during the year and were administered the Phonics Skills Test (PST) as posttest. There were 23 levels in the first chapter of the DAD program. The mean scores of the kindergarten pupils, which are listed in Table 1, indicated that the pupils had an introductory knowledge of phonics at the end of the school year.

TABLE 1

## PHONICS SKILLS TEST

KINDERGARTEN

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dean Rusk } \\ (\mathrm{N}=33) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Raw } \\ \text { Scores } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Level |
| Letter |  |  |
| Sounds | 2.52 | 7 |
| Decoding | 1.39 | 3 |
| Auditory |  |  |
| Blending | 2.12 | 5 |
| Oral |  |  |
| Reading | 0.76 | 5 |
| Total | 6.79 |  |

The phonics objective for the pupils in levels corresponding to the first grade was a gain of 8 levels between the pretest and posttest. As seen in Table 2 on page 11, the objective was achieved in Letter Sounds and Oral Reading by the 13 pupils who had entered Follow Through in the first grade; the objective was achieved by the pupils who had participated in Follow Through kindergarten and first grade in Auditory Blending and Oral Reading. While the phonics performance of the Follow Through pupils who had participated in Follow Througk kindergarten was higher on the posttest, it was not signficantly higher, and both groups of first grade pupils were performing at levels corresponding to Chapter I of the DAD Program. (There is only one chapter for Letter Sounds and five chapters each for the remaining categories of the DAD.)
TABLE 2

| $\mathcal{E}$ | - 81 | $86 \times 9$ | G | GI'I |  | $\underline{T}$ | II | 26.8 | 0 | GI'0 | Bu!̣peay <br> זедо |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DI | 81 | 86.9 | 8 | $20^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ |  | $l$ | $\varepsilon \tau$ | $00^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ | 9 | L' $\tau$ | Bụpuәtg Kxompny |
| L | ZI | GI'G | G | $96^{\circ}$ |  | $L$ | OI | $69^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $00^{\prime}$ ' | 8u!pooza |
| $L$ | LI | $E b^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ | 01 | $96^{\circ}$ |  | 8 | SI | 29*S | $L$ | $00^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | spunos <br> хәұәт |
|  |  |  | 09 |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon]$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { O^OT}} \\ & \text { uఛ̣ed } \end{aligned}$ | [ana] | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{axogS}} \\ & \text { mey } \\ & \text { ueaw } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { בIoJS } \\ & \text { Mey } \\ & \text { ueaw } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\overline{[0 \wedge 27}$ <br> UṬセン | $\overline{I 2 \Lambda 07}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BIODS } \\ & \text { Mey } \\ & \text { ueaw } \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{12 \Lambda 27}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OXODS } \\ & \text { Mey } \\ & \text { ueaW } \end{aligned}$ | SLSHLGnS |
|  | 7 SOd |  | axd |  |  | 7 SOd |  |  | 2id |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STTIMS } \\ & \text { SOINOHd } \end{aligned}$ |
| SHV | 240 | H9nOt | L MOT | N NI | STIdNd | GVGX I HOS HOnOUHL MOTTOS NI STIdתd |  |  |  |  |  |



The phonics objective for the Follow Through pupils in classes corresponding to the second grade was a gain of fifteen levels between pretest and posttest of the Phonics Skills Test (PST). The objective was not achieved by the three groups. (See Table 3 on page 13.)

The highest phonics performance on the posttest was achieved by the second grade level pupils who entered Follow Through in the second grade, but it must be noted that there were only four pupils in the group. In addition, while their performance was higher, it was not significantly higher than the performance of the other two second grade level groups.

The highest possible performance level in Letter Sounds was 23; all three second grade level groups were performing from levels 21-23. The second grade pupils in Follow Through for three years were performing at levels corresponding to Chapter II of the DAD on Decoding and both the second grade pupils in Follow Through for three years and those in Follow Through for two years were performing at levels corresponding to Chapter II of the DAD on Auditory Blending and Oral Reading.
B. Metropolitan Readiness Tests (MRT)

The first grade pupils were administered the Metropolitan Readiness Tests (MRT) in the Fall. The 102 pupils taking the test scored as follows: 2.0 per cent, A; 21.6 per cent, B; 42.2 per cent, C; 30.4 per cent, D; 3.9 per cent. E. In comparing the Follow Through pupils who had attended Follow Through kindergarten with those who had entered Follow Through in the first grade, a higher percentage of the Follow Through pupils who had attended Follow Through kindergarten scored "C" or above on the MRT. The percentages are listed in Table 4.

## C. Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT)

The objective for the Follow Through first grade pupils who had attended Follow Through kindergarten was a score of at least first grade, six months on the Total Reading and Total Mathematics sections of the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT). The percentages of pupils achieving the score are listed in Table 5 on page 14. While the objective was not met, a higher percentage of the pupils who had attended Follow Through kindergarten achieved the score of first grade, six months on both Total Reading and the Total Mathematics.
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The Roman numeral in parenthesis refers to the Chapter number. If not indicated, the level was from Chapter $I$ of the DAD.

TABLE 4

## METROPOLITAN READINESS TESTS (MRT) VS. FOLLOW THROUGH EXPERIENCE <br> POD I <br> (FIRST GRADE)

|  | Years in Follow Through | No. of Pupils | Pupils Scoring |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | Per Cent |
|  | $2 *$ | 80 | 55 | 68.8 |
|  | $1^{* *}$ | 21 | 12 | 57.1 |
| Total |  | 1818 | 67 | 66.3 |

** Attended Follow Through kindergarten and Follow Through first grade. Attended Follow Through first grade only.

TABLE 5
PERCENTAGES OF FOLLOW THROUGH POD I (FIRST GRADE) SCORING 1.6 OR ABOVE ON THE METROPOIITAN ACHIEVEMENT TESTS (MAT)

| Years in <br> Follow Through | No. of Pupils | Pupils Scoring 1.6 or Higher on Total Reading |  | Pupils Scoring 1.6 or Higher on Total Math |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Per |  | Per |
|  |  | N | Cent | N | Cent |
| 2 | 68 | 36 | 52.9 | 14 | 21.2 |
| 1 | 18 | 8 | 44.4 | 2 | 11.1 |
| Total | 86 | 44 | 51.1 | 16 | 19.0 |

An objective for the Pod II (second grade) pupils who participated in Follow Through was a gain of one month for each month in school on the Total Reading and Total Mathematics sections of the MAT. Since there were approximately six months betweer the pretest and posttest, a gain of 0.6 (grade equivalent score) was designated as fulfilling the objective.

All classes corresponding to the second grade at Dean Rusk School were administered the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT), Primary I Battery as pretest and the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT), Primary II Battery as posttest. The Primary I form consisted of five sections, the Primary Il form consisted of nine sections. However, both forms had the Total Mathematics and Total Reading sections, and they were used for comparison in order to determine gains. The scores are listed in Table 6 on page 16.

The nine pupils who entered Follow Through in the second grade did not achieve the objective of one month gain for each month in school. Those who entered Follow Through in the first grade, thereby participating two years: achieved the gain of one month for each month in school in Total Mathematics. The pupils who had participated in Follow Through from kindergarten through grade two achieved the gain of one month for each month in school in Total Reading and Total Mathematics. In addition, the pupils who had participated in Follow Through for the entire three years scored highest (though not significantly higher than the other two groups) on the Total Reading and Total Mathematics sections of the MAT posttest.

## D. Self-Concept

A small sample ( 75 Follow Through pupils from the 6 Follow Through schools) was administered the Self Appraisal Inventory (SAI). The scores were analyzed by grade level. However, because of the small sample, there were too few pupils from Dean Rusk School taking the inventory to analyze the scores separately.

The Test of Attitudes of Children (TAC) was administered to all Follow Through pupils by the Follow Through coordinator of assessment and development. After factor analysis of the test items, many items were deleted for the posttest. Although the scores were requested, the scores of neither the pretest nor the posttest were made available for the external evaluation of Research and Development by the Educational Evaluative Services (EES).


## E. Parent Involvement

The parents of each eligible Follow Through child were visited during the schcol year. Parents were invited to Follow Throught meetings, to visit the classroom, and to participate in parent volunteer groups. While one parent volunteered for service in the classroom on a regular basis, the majority of parent response was in serving as chaperones for pupils on trips to the dentist and physician or as chaperones on field trips.

## F. Health Care

Each Follow Through eligible child was given dental and health check-ups during the school year. When necessary, dental work was performed. When illnesses occurred, pupils were taken to a pediatrician. The final health services report for Follow Through, which was included in the internal Follow Through final 1971-72 report, gave the data for Dean Rusk School. See Table 7 on page 18.

## G. Psychological Service

Psychological service was provided for Follow Through eligible children by two Follow Through psychologists. Referrals were made by classroom teachers. the sociai workers, or other Follow Through staff when the need was recognized.
H. Independent Learning

A Follow Through objective was to enable pupils to become independent learners. A checklist was to be develcped during the year to measure progress. However, the checklist is still in the developmental stage. Problems arose in attempting to isolate the behavioral characteristics of an independent learner.

## Science Program

in the Pod IV there were 57 pupils at leveis sorresponding to grade six and 57 pupils at levels corresponding to grade seven in the science program for the entire year. The pretest, posttest, and gain grade equivalent scores are shown in Table 8.

TABLE 7
FOLLOW THROUGH HEALTH SERVICES FOR DEAN RUSK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

| Health Procedure: | Pupils Assessed | Number of Pupils |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Derital Care | Kindergarten | 39 |
|  | New pupils (Grades 1-2) | 61 |
| Physical Examinations | Kindergarten and new pupils | 96 |
| Height and Weight | All pupils K-2 | 258 |
| Hematocrits | Kindergarten, new pupils, Follow Through pupils with history of anemia | 79 |
| Tuberculin Test | As directed by public health department | 160 |
| Immunizations | Follow Through pupils as needs indicated | 53 |
| Urinalysis | Kindergarten and new pupils (Grades 1-2) | 114 |
| Vision Screening | All Follow Through pupils | 115 |
| Hearing Screening | All Follow Through pupils | 96 |

TABLE 8
METROPOLITAN ACHIEVEMENT TESTS (MAT) SCIENCE PRETEST, POSTTEST, AND GAIN SCORES

| Levels | No. Pupils | Pretest Score | Posttest Score | Gain <br> Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 6 | 57 | 4.69 | 4.80 | . 11 |
| Grade 7 | 57 | 4.75 | 5.67 | . 92 |

The pupils in Pod IV were divided :nte four greups based on reading performance. The mean gain of the pupils at levels corresponding to grade six was 1.1 month during the six month period between the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT) pretest and posttest. However, the mean gain of the pupils at levels corresponding to grade seven was 9.2 months during the six month period or approximately $1 \frac{1}{2}$ months gain for each month in the program.

## Effectiveness-Acceptability Study

Dean Rusk Elementary School had both effective reading and effective mathematics programs during the 1971-72 school year. based on the Effectiveness-Acceptability Study conducted by the Division of Research and Development. The study, which utilized median Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT) pretest, posttest, and gain scores, was conducted in all the Atlanta Public Schools in order to compare the progress of pupils by school and by grade level, taking into account six factors which were believed to influence achievement. The factors were as follows:
A. Pretest scores.
B. Stability Index.
C. Per cent of paid lunches.
D. Pupil-teacher ratio.
E. Per cent of pupil attendance.
F. Per cent of pupils passing .

During the six month period between the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT) pretest and posttest, the pupils at Dean Rusk School were predicted to gain, taking into account the six factors, from a low two months during the six months period in grade seven to a high six months during the six months period in grade four. (See Table 9 on page 20.)

Analysis of the test data revealed that the second (a Follow Through grade level), fourth, fifth, and seventh grades gained a hundred per cent or more of the predicted on the reading section of the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT), indicating effective reading programs. The seventh grade, which was predicted to show a gain of only two months. actually gained five months or 250 per cent of the prediction, indicating the reading instruction at that grade level to be 250 per cent effective.

TASLE 9
EFFECTIVENESS-ACCEPTABILITY OF READING PROGRAM AT DEAN RUSK SCHOOL

| Grade | MAT Scores |  | Gain |  | Gain Rate of Effectiveness |  | Inclex of Acceptability |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre | Post | Actual | Predicted |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1971-72 | 1970-71 | 1971-72 | 19770-71 |
| 2 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 200 | 150 | 74 | 78 |
| 3 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 25 | 67 | 51 | 68 |
| 4 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 133 | 25 | 66 | 64 |
| 5 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100 | 150 | 68 | 75 |
| 6 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 50 | 300 | 64 | 64 |
| 7 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 250 | 100 | 60 | 56 |
|  |  |  |  | Average | 126 | 132 | 64 | 68 |

While the overall reading program at Dean Rusk School was effective, attention must be given to the third and sixth grades which showed gains of only one and two months, respectively. These gains were less than predicted.

To be designated as acceptable, the mean performance of pupils by grade level had to be at the national norm. which on the Metrgpolitan Achievement Tests (MAT) was a score corresponding to the grade level of the pupils.

The second grade at Dean Rusk School showed the highest level of acceptability, 74 per cent, by performing at the second grade level (2.0) at the time of posttest. The lowest level of acceptability, 51 per cent, was in the third grade. The third grade median score on the posttest was first grade, nine months; this was a lower median reading level than the reading level of the pupils in the second grade.

The MAT mathematics data revealed the mathematics instruction at Dean Rusk School to be effective. (See Table 10 on page 21.) The overall effectiveness was 106 per cent of the prediction; the instruction in the second, third, fourth, and seventh grades was extremely effective ( 120 per cent or more of the prediction). The fifth and sixth grades gained less than predicted.

TABLE 10

EFFECTIVENESS-ACCEPTABILITY OF MATHEMATICS PROGRAM AT DEAN RUSK SCHOOL

| Grade | MAT Scores |  | Gain |  | Gain Rate of Effectiveness | Index of Acceptability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre | Post | Actual | Predicted |  |  |
| 2 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 120 | 74 |
| 3 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 120 | 78 |
| 4 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 1. 2 | 1.0 | 120 | 85 |
| 5 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 75 | 89 |
| 6 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 33 | 78 |
| 7 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 167 | 78 |
|  |  |  |  | Average | 106 | 80 |

The overall acceptability of the Mathematics program at Dean Rusk School was 80 per cent. The indication was that the mathematics performance of the pupils was approaching the nation norm :

Mathematics performance was included in the study for the first time during the 1971-72 school year. The Effectiveness-Acceptability Study of 1970-71 was based on reading performance only. When comparing the reading performance of the pupils ai Dean Rusk School in 1970-71 to the performance in 1971-72, the performance of the second, fourth, and seventh grades was more effective in 1971-72. However, the overall reading effectiveness dropped slightly, from 132 per cent to 126 per cent.

## Career Opportunities Program (COP)

There was only one aide at Dean Rusk School, a Follow Through aide at the first grade level, who participated in the Career Opportunities Program (COP). Since the analysis of the progress of the Follow Through pupils was based on their years of experience in Follow Through rather than a comparison of class achievement at particular levels, the effect of the one COP aide at Dean Rusk School was not a component of the evaluation. However, in conjunction with COP, a random sample of teachers at Dean Rusk School was asked to complete the Organizational Climate Index (OCI) which was developed by George Stern of Syracuse University.

The Organizational Climate Index (OCI) consists of 300 true or false statements concerning the organizational climate of the school. The index is based on thirty need-press scales postulated by Henry A. Murrey and his associates of Harvard University in 1938. These scales are derived from six first-order Organizational Climate Index (OCI) factors. (The list is on page 1 of the Appendix.) Five of the six first order factors describe a second-order factor called "developmental press," which is the capacity of the organizational environment to support, satisfy, or reward self-actualizing behavior. The sixth first-order factor, impulse control, describes the secondorder factor "control press," the characteristics of the envi :onment which inhibit or restrict: personal expressiveness.

The data on the climate at Dean Rusk School for a two-year period is included in Table 11 on page 23. The raw scores on the six factors were converted to standard scores. Therefore, the higher the score on each factor (including number 6) the more open the climate.

The 1971-72 mean scores were higher on Factors 2, 4, and 6, indicating that the teachers perceived the climate in those areas more open than during the previous year. The six factors are defined on page 2 of the Appendix.

In the general organizational climate study of the twenty-eight Atlanta Public Schools with COP aides, an intercorrelation matrix including the OCI factors, reading achievement scores, and per cent of attendance was computed. No significant correlation was found between the degree of epenness of climate and achievement.

## Pupil Attendance

The overall average daily attendance (ADA) at Dean Rusk School was 69.5. The highest ADA was in the second grade with 98 pupils. The ADA in grades four through seven ranged from 53 pupils to 60 pupils. (See first item of Table 12 on page 25.)

The per cent of pupil attendance ranged from 89 per cent in grade seven to 93 per cent in grades four, five, and six, with an overall average of 92.4 per cent. The city-wide attendance average was 91.3 per cent during 1971-72.

TABLE 11
SCHOOL PROFILE OF STANDARD FACTOR SCORES ON THE ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE INDEX


A cost analysis was performed to determine the relative cost for one grade unit of reading gain based upon the rate of reading gain for 1971-72 and the amount spent. Data from Atlanta Public Schools, General Funds Report, July, 1972, and the Trust and Agency Report, June, 1972, were used. Included were general funds and compensatory funds from special projects.

The cost analysis of the reading gain is reported in Table 12 on page 25. According to the analysis, the gain was not related to the amount of funds spent. The per pupil cost for one grde unit of gain varied from $\$ 578.45$ in grade three to $\$ 2,676.56$ in grade six, with an average of $\$ 960$ in grades two through seven.

In addition to determining the per pupil costs for one grade unit of gain, the cosi for each unit of effectiveness as defined by the 1972 EffectivenessAcceptability Study was computed. The reading program at Dean Rusk School was effective, and the average cost for a unit of effectiveness was $\$ 7.04$.

## X. CONCLUSIONS

To avoid confusion in the interpretation of the results of the EffectivenessAcceptability Study in comparison with the Cost Analysis of the Dean Rusk Reading Program, it must be remembered that the Effectiveness-Acceptability Study was based on the median scores of all pupils taking the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT) pretest and/or posttest. The cost analysis utilized the mean scores of only the pupils who attended Dean Rusk Elementary School for the entire year, taking both the MAT pretest and posttest. However, even though the two approaches were taken to analyze the pupil performance, the findings of the two studies were similar except at the instructional levels corresponding to the third grade. At those levels it was found that the reading instruction was ineffective; the median gain was only one month during the six month period. However, when the pupils who took the pretest only and the pupils who took the posttest only were excluded, and the scores of the pupils who took both the pretest and the posttest were analyzed, it was found that the pupils gained at the rate of 137 per cent (more than one month for each month in the program).

TABLIE 12
COST ANAi.ysis of rfading ciains of grades TOTAL SCHOOL AVERAGL: HAILY' ATTENDANCE: (ADA)

$$
x \cdots 7=545
$$

## ADA

| Second |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98 | Third |
| 83 | $\frac{\text { Fourth }}{54} \quad \frac{\text { Fifth }}{60} \quad-\frac{\text { Sixth }}{53} \quad \frac{\text { Seventh }}{59} \quad \frac{\text { Average }}{69.5}$ |
| (Total $=407)$ |  |

Per Pupil Cost
A. General Funds

1. Regular
a. Salary
b. Non-salary
c. TOTAL GENERAL FLNDS

| $\$ 611.00$ | $\$ 611.00$ | $\$ 011.00$ | $\$ 611.00$ | $\$$ | 611.00 | $\$ 611.00$ | $\$ 611.00$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 95.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 706.81 | $\frac{95.81}{\$ 706.81}$ | $\frac{95.81}{\$ 706.81}$ | $\frac{95.81}{\$ 700.81}$ | $\frac{95.81}{706.81}$ | $\frac{95.81}{\$ 706.81}$ | $\frac{95.81}{\$ 706.8!}$ |  |

B. Compensatory Funds

1. Follow Through
a. Salary
b. Non-salary
c. TOTAL FOLLOW THROUGH

| \$ | 408.02 |  | \$408.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 77.22 |  | 77.02 |
| \$ | 485.24 |  | \$485.24 |

2. Title I
a. Salary
b. Non-salary
c. TOTAL TITLE I

| \$ | 30.76 | \$ | 78.47 | $\$$ | 67.42 | \$ | 63.76 | \$ | 62.19 | \$ | 64.32 | \$ | 59.25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.93 |  | 0.93 |  | 0.93 |  | 0.93 |  | 0.93 |  | 0.93 |  | 0.93 |
| \$ | 31.69 | \$ | 79.40 | \$ | 68.35 | \$ | 64.69 | \$ | 63.12 | \$ | 65.25 | \$ | 60.18 |
| \$ | 5.25 | \$ | 5.25 | \$ | 5.25 | \$ | 5.25 | \$ | 5.25 | \$ | 5.25 | \$ | 5.25 |
|  | -0- |  | -0- |  | -0- |  | -0- |  | -0- |  | -0- |  | -0- |
| \$ | 5.25 | \$ | 5.25 | \$ | 5.25 | \$ | 5.25 | \$ | 5.25 | \$ | 5.25 | \$ | 5.25 |

4. Title lV-A
a. Salary
b. Non-Salary
c. TOTAL TITLE IV-A

| \$ | 0.34 | \$ | 0.34 | \$ | 0.34 | \$ | 0.34 | \$ | 0.34 | \$ | 0.34 | \$ | 0.34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.68 |  | 0.68 |  | 0.68 |  | 0.68 |  | 0.68 |  | 0.68 |  | 0.68 |
| \$ | 1.02 | \$ | 1.02 | \$ | 1.02 | \$ | 1.02 | \$ | 1.02 | \$ | 1.02 | \$ | 1.02 |

5. Total Compensatory Funds
a. Salary
b. Non-salary
c. TOTAL COMPENSATORY FIINDS
C. Rate of Reading Gain (Per Cent)

| \$ | 444.37 | \$ | 84.06 | \$ | 73.01 | \$ | 69.35 | \$ | 67.78 | \$ | 69.91 | \$160.73 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 78.83 |  | 1.61 |  | 1.61 |  | 1.61 |  | 1.61 |  | 1.61 | 19.76 |
| \$ | 523.20 | $\xi$ | 85.67 | \$ | 74.62 | \$ | 70.36 | \$ | 69.39 | \$ | 71.52 | \$180.49 |
|  | 0.94 |  | 1.37 |  | -- |  | 0.87 |  | 0.29 |  | 0.85 | 0.92 |

D. Projected Cost for One-Grade-Linit of Gain

1. General Funds
2. Compensatory Funds
3. TOTAL PROJECTED COST FOR ONE-GRADE-UNIT OF GAIN

| \$ 751.93 | \$515.92 | --- | \$812.42 | \$2.437.28 | \$831.54 | \$768.27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 556.60 | 62.53 | --- | 81.56 | 239.28 | 84.14 | 196.19 |
| \$1,308.53 | \$578.45 | --- | \$893.98 | \$2.676.56 | \$915.68 | \$964.46 |
| 200 | 25 | 133 | 100 | 50 | 250 | 126 |

F. Expenditure per ADA of Compensatory Funds
for Each Unit of Effectiveness

| 1. General Funds | \$ | 3.53 | \$ | 28.27 | , | 5.31 | \$ | 7.07 | \$ | 14.14 | \$ | 2.83 | \$ | 5.61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Eompensatory Funds |  | 2.62 |  | 3.43 |  | 0.56 |  | 0.71 |  | 1.39 |  | 0.29 |  | 1.43 |
| 3. TOTAL EXPENDITURE PER ADA OF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMPENSATOPY FUNDS FOR EACH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UNIT OF EFFECTIVENESS | \$ | 6.15 | \$ | 31.70 | \$ | 5.87 | , | 7.78 | \$ | 15.53 | \$ | 3.12 | \$ | 7.04 |

The performanse of the Follow Through chiseren in levels corresponding to the first and second grades was analyzed on the basis of the number of years the pupils had participated in Follow Through. There was no significant difference in phonics skills performance based on Follow Through experience.

Sixty-nine per cent of the Follow Through first grade level pupils who had attended Follow Through kindergarten compared with fifty-seven per cent of Follow Through first grade level pupils who had not attended Follow Through kindergarten scored "C" or above on the Metropolian Readiness Tests (MRT). Fifty-three per cent compared with forty-four per cent of the pupils, respectively, scored at grade level on the Total Reading section of the MAT; twenty-one per cent compared with eleven per cent, respectively, scored at grade level on the Total Mathematics sections of the MAT. Therefore, at the first grade level, the performance of the pupils who had attended Follow Through kindergarten was consistantly better.

At the second grade level, the pupils who had participated in Follow Through from kindergarten through the second grade level achieved the greatest reading gain between the MAT pretest and posttest. However, there was no significant difference in the MAT posttest scores of the pupils based on Follow Through experience.

The Pud IV science program at Dean Rusk Elementary School was very effective at the levels corresponding to the seventh grade, the pupils gained 1.5 months in science knowledge for each month in the program. Additional attention, however, should be given to pupils at levels corresponding to grade six; they did not achieve the expected gain.

When viewing the school as a whole, it was found that the per cent attendance of the pupils at Dean Rusk Elementary School was higher than the city-wide attendance average. The climate at Dean Rusk School, as viewed by the teachers, was open in all area except practicalness, which is defined as an environmental dimension of practicality tempered with friendliness.

## XI. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of test data and information from the educational staff of Dean Rusk Elementary School, the recommendations are as follows:
A. Continue the science program with special focus on levels corresponding to grade six.
B. Give attention to the reading problems of the pupils in grade six which were indicated by both the Effectiveness-Acceptability Study and the Cost Analysis of the Reading Program.
C. Group the Follow Through pupils according to Follow Through experience so that the effects of the Follow Through instructional program will not be "washed out" after the first grade.
D. Define Follow Though objectives in behavioral and not process terms.

APPENDIX

1. Abasement-assurance: self-deprecation versus self-confidence
2. Achievement: striving for success through personal effort
3. Adaptability-defensiveness: acceptance of criticism versus resistance to suggestion
4. Affiliation-rejection: friendliness versus unfriendliness
5. Aggression-blame avoidance: hostility versus disorganization
6. Change-sameness: flexibility versus routine
7. Conjunctivity-disjunctivity: planfulness versus organization
8. Counteraction-inferiority avoidance: restriving after failure versus withdrawal
9. Deference-restiveness: respect for authority versus rebelliousness
10. Dominance-tolerance: ascendance versus forbearance,
11. Ego Achievement: striving for power through social action
12. Emotionality-placidity: expressiveness versus restraint
13. Energy-passivity: effort versus inertia
14. Exhibitionism-inferiority avoidance: attention-seeking versus shyness
15. Fantasied achievement: daydreams of extraordinary public recognition
16. Harm avoidance--risk-taking: fearfulness versus thrill seeking
17. Humanities-social sciences: interests in the humanities and the social sciences
18. Impulsiveness-deliberation: impetuosity versus reflection
19. Narcissism: vanity
20. Nuturance-rejection: helping others versus indifference
21. Objectivity-projectivity: detachment versus superstition (AI) or suspicion (EI)
22. Order-disorder: compulsive organization of details versus carelessness
23. Play-work: pleasure-seeking versus purposefulness
24. Practicainess-impracticalness: interest in pratical activities versus indifference
25. Reflectiveness: introspective contemplation
26. Science: interest in the natural sciences
27. Sensuality-puritanism: interest in sensory and aesthetic experiences
28. Sexuality-prudishness: heterosexual interests versus inhibitions of heterosexual interests
29. Supplication-autonomy: dependency versus self-reliance
30. Understanding: intellectuality

## ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE INDEX FACTORS

## A. Development Press

1. Intellectual clmate -- This factor describes a concern with intellectual activity, social action, and peronal effectiveness. It is based on the scales for humanities, social science, science, reflectiveness, understanding, fantasied achievement, exhibitionism, and change. A school that scores high on this factor is one in which there is a high degree of ir:tellectual:*. heterosexual interests, flexibility, and attention seeking.
2. Achievement standards -- This is the factor reflecting press for achievement. Schools high on this factor stress hard work, perseverance, and a total day-by-day commitment to institutional purposes. It is defined by counteraction, energy, achievement. emotionality, and ego achievement.
3. Practicalness -- This factor suggests an environmental dimension of practicality tempered with friendliness. It is defined by practicalness and nurturance. A school that scores high on this factor is one in which the teachers feel there is high interest in practical activity and a desire for helping others.
4. Supportiveness -- This factor deals with aspects of the organizational environment that respect the integrity of the teacher as a person, but the implication is that dependency needs must be supported rather than personal autonomy emphasized. It might be considered a measure of democratic paternalism. The scales defining it are assurance, tolerance, objectivity, affiliation, conjunctivity, supplication, blame avoidance, harm avoidance, and nurturance. A school that scores high on this factor is one in which the teachers feel a high degree of self-confidence, friendliness, and planfulness.
5. Orderliness -- The components of this factor are concerned with the press for organizational structure, procedure, orderliness, and a respect for authority. Conformity to community pressures and an effort to maintain a proper institutional.image probably are also concomitants of a high score on this factor. It is based on ordex, narcissism, adaptability, conjunctivity, deference, and harm avoidance. A school that scores high on this factor is one in which the teachers feel there is a compulsive organization of details, acceptance of criticism, respect for authority, vanity, and planfulness.

## B. Control Press

in addition to the reflection of factors 1 and 2 under Development Press, Control Press involves:

Impulse control -- This factor implies a high level of constraint and organizational restrictiveness. There is little opportunity for personal expression or for any form of impulsive behavior. It is based on work instead of play; prudishness versus sexuality; aggression versus blame avoidance; impulsiveness versus deliberation; emotionality versus placidity; and exhibitionism versus inferiority avoidance. A school that scores high on this factor is one in which the teachers feel there is a high degree of purposefulness, heterosexual interests, hostility, impetuosity, expressiveness, and restriving after failure.
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## I. RATIONALE

Goldsmith Elementary School is located in the northwest section of Atlanta. The enrolment for the year 1971-72 included approximately 221 pupils in kindergarten through the seventh grade. The mobility index for the 1971-72 school year was .36, which indicated that over one-third of the school nopulation moved either in or out during the year. The 197071 mobility index at .41 was also rather high, which indicated that the student population at Goldsmith is highly moiile.

The per cent of attendance for 1971-72 at Goldsmith was 90.9, which was just below the city-wide score of 91.3 per cent. This showed a slight increase over the 1970-71 per cent of attendance at 90.7. In 1969-70 the per cent of attendance was 87.2. Attendance has continued to be somewhat of a problem at Goldsmith, but obviously worthwhile progress lias been made over the last three years.

Goldsmith Elementary has maintained a stable rezial balance over the past several years with a ratio of approximately $45 \%$ black and $55 \%$ white pupils. This balance is also cheracteristic of the community. The community from which the Goldsmith pupils come consists mainly of lon income single family dwellings, which are rented by the resider' 3 .

Based on the fact that an estimated 61.4 per cent of the pupils enrolled in Goldsmith Elementary come from familiss with an annual income of $\$ 2,000$ or less, this school qualifies to receive supplementary funds and additional personnel through Title I grant allocations. The children at Goldsmith have exhibited a need to improve academic performance in reading ayd mathematics, have generally low self-concept and attitude toward school, and poor attendance habits. Thus, these were the main areas of interest in the 1971-72 school year.

## Supporting Services

Through the provisions of the Atlanta Public Schools' regular budget and special federally funded projects, the following compensatory and enrichment resources supplemented the regular school program.

## A. Title I Program

The following staff were provided through ESEA Title I funds:

1. Lead Teacher - The lead teacher performed varied duties in relation to improving the reading instructional program. This included assisting teachers with grouping pupils for reading instruction and periodic evaluation of pupils to maintain proper grouping in accord-: se with the individual pupil's progress. The lead teacher also supervised the testing program in the school and assisted teachers in inteiz zeting test results; in addition she ordered materials and supplies and made these available to teachers as needed. At intervals throughout the year the lead teacher tutored individuals or small groups who exhibited extreme reading difficuity, and assisted teachers by demonstrating in the classroom a particular skill, which the teacher felt insecure in teaching.
2. Educational Aides - Title I educatic :al aides were assigned on the basis of enrollment. There wexe two educational aides assigned to Goldsmith. The aides worked under the direction of the principal and lead teacher, who assigned"them to teachers on an equal time basis with the exception of one aide who worked exclusively with the kindergarten while it was in session. When the aides were assigned to a particular teacher,
the aides performed whatever tasks were required by that teacher.
3. Social Worker - The social worker was primarily involved in activities to improve attendance. She visited in the homes of all families who had children attending Goldsmith. In addition, she made special visits to those pupils who often were absent from school. The social worker also helped provide transportation for those pupils who required special health services.

## B. Comprehensive Instructional Program (CIP)

This program was directed toward improving achievement in reading and, for the first time this year, also in mathematics. The program provided diagnostic tests in reading three times during the school year in grades 1 - 3. Mathematics diagnostic testing was conducted only once during the year in grades 5-7. The purpose of the testing was to provide immediate feedback to the teachers, which could assist them in pinpointing the strengths and weaknesses of the pupils. CIP resource personnel were available from each area office and from the Instructiona? Services Center to assist teachers with problems encountered in the teaching of reading and wathematics.

## II. NEEDS OF PUPILS

The reeds of the pupils were identified by the school staff as follows:
A. I? have their basic health needs, especially dental, diagnosed and treated.
B. To be motivated to attend school regularly.
C. To correct academic deficiencies, especially in the areas of
reading and mathematics.
D. To improve self-concepr and attitude toward school.

5 To involve more parents in school actifiries.
III. GOALS OF THE PROGRAM

The following goals were based on the needs identified above:
A. To eliminate health problems of the pupils.
B. To improve the attendance of the chronically absent pupils and to improve the overall percentage of attendance.
C. To improve academic performance in mathematics and reading.
D. To increase positive self-concepts ath positive attitude toward school.
E. To increase parental involvement in schcol activities.

## IV. PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

The activities of the program were directed toward realization of the following objectives:
A. To identify and remediate health problems in the following areas:

1. Tuberculosis Remediation -100 per cent of the pupils with positive results on the tuberculosis test will be treated and dismissed by a doctor.
2. Dental Remediation - 75 per cent of the pupils who are identified as having cavities will be treated and dismissed by a dentist.
3. Visual Remediation - 90 per cent of the pupils with visual difficulties will have the deficiencies-eliminated.
4. Hearing Remediation - 90 per cent of the pupils with hearing
difficulties will have the deficiencies eliminated.
B. To improve attendance in the following two manners:
5. To increase the school attendance record to the city-wide per cent of attendance.
6. To decrease the number of absences of those pupils who are in the lowest decile of attendance by 50 per cent.
C. To achieve an average of five months gain in mathematics and reading for six months of instruction. Only those pupils in the Comprehensive Instructional Program (CIP) were included in the objective (Grades 1, 2, and 3 for reading and 5, 6, and 7 for mathematics).
D. To measure self-concepts and attitude toward school for each class
at Goldsmith. Remedial action will be taken for classes which fall
into the lower quartile.
E. To increase parental participation in the following areas:
7. 80 per cent of the parents of kindergarten and first grade pupils will have a conference with the appropriate teacher; the conference may be personal or via telephone.
8. To have a minimum of 40 parents attend each of the regular PTA meetings.

## V. CRITICAL VARIABLES

## A. Health Problems

1. Tuberculosis
2. Dental
3. Visual
4. Hearing
B. Attendance
5. Chronically absent pupils
6. Overa11 school attendaree
C. Reading Skills
7. Word Knowledge
8. Word Analysis
9. Comprehension
D. Mathematics Skills
10. Mathematics Comptsetion
11. Mathematics Conctitis
12. Mathematics Problem Solving
E. Self-Concept
13. In relation to peers
14. In relation to family
15. In relation to school
16. General
F. Attitude Toward School
17. Attitude toward teacher
18. Attitude toward learning
19. Attitude toward school subjects
20. Attitude toward school social structure and climate
21. Attitude toward peers
22. General
G. Parental Involvement

## A. Personnei

The Goldsmith faculty consisted of the following fulltime and parttime positions:

| No. | Titie | Fulltime | Parttime | Source of Funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm$ | Principal | X |  | General |
| 1.0 | Clazsroom Teachers | X |  | General |
| 1 | Lead Teacher | X |  | Title I |
| 1 | Social Worker | X |  | Title I |
| 2 | Educational Aides | X |  | Title I |
| 1 | Speech Teacher |  | . 2 | General |
| 1 | Music Teacher |  | . 4 | General |
| 1 | Band Teacher |  | . 1 | General |
| 1 | Physical Education Teacher |  | . 4 | General |
| 1 | Teacher of Emotionally Disturbed Pupils | X |  | General |
| 1 | Librarian | X |  | General |

The two educational aides were assigned as follows: one worked with the kindergarten teacher during the morning when the kindergarten pupils were in school and during the afternoon she was assigned to assist other teachers; the second aide was assigned by the principal and lead teacher io assist the teachers in grades 1 - 7 on an equal time sllotment basis.

The social worker was primarily concerned with improving and maintaining pupil attendance. She visited in the homes of the ninety-eight families who had at least one child at Goldsmith. She was assisted in her attendance effort by an Economic Opportunity Atlanta (EOA) volunteer who also visited in the homes of absentee pupils.

Supportive persons from the area office and the Instructional Serfice: Center were responsive to any request from Goldsmith for assistance. Most of the contact initiatrd by these supporti persons was in the $\bar{f}$ rm of scheduling test dates for the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT) and for the Comprehensive instructional Program (CIP). There was no outside inservice in reading and the only assistance in mathematics resulted from a request by the lead teacher to have an inservice session with the faculty members res ?onsible for the mathematics program at Goldsmith.

## B. Materials and Supplies

As a result of classification as a Title $I$ school, Goldsmith received $\$ 210.00$ for materials and supplies. This allocation was based on a base rate of seventy-five cents per pupil. In addition, fifty dollars for materials and supplies was allotted to the social worker, wl , was also employed under Title I funds. C. Staff Development

The Goldsmith faculty was involved in several aspects of staff development. Although regular faculty meetings were held once a month, there were other meetings held for specific purposes. For example, a faculty meeting was held to discuss the contents of the school prospectus for $1971-7$, . The principal and the lead teacher were in constant contact with the classroom teachers and met many of their needs on an individual bas*s. Also, at least three faculty members were involved in graduate work.

Reading was teught daily in each classroom at Goldsmith Elementary School. Eachy inassroom was self-contained, but pupils were grouped for reading instruction. There were a tota". of 35 reading groups consisting of two or more Ahileren in grades 1 -7. In a few cases where a pupil did not $f i t$ into any group, the pupil read individually. The teachers were assisted by the lead teacher is arranging grouping; in addition, the lead teacher checked the reading level of incoming pupils to aid in their placement in the proper reading groups. Once grouping was establisided, it was flexible so that a pupil who made more or less progress than the group could be placed in a group more suited to his ability.

A small number of pupils, who had fallen far behind in reading level, were tutored individually by the lead teacher. Two seventh grade pupils, who ebeing tutored by the lead teacher, were trained to work individually with six second graders, who were making slow progress in reading, This procedure worked well for approximately two months until both tutors and tutees tired of the arrangement. Late in the year, the second graders, who had previously been tutored by the seventh graders, were tutored by two adult tutors for $1 / 2$ hour two days during the week. The two adult tutors had recently completed a course in teaching reading skills, which was offered by Literacy Action, Inc.

Teachers in grades 1 - 3 were aided in the teaching of reading skills by the results of the CIP reading diagnostic tests. This test was administered three times throughout the year. The major purpose of the GIP testing was to give the teachers periodic informal evaluations of pupils' strengths and weaknesses on reading skills, Using the results of the test, the teachers could pinpoint their instruction toward filling
in skill gaps of specific children. The teachers at Goldsmith did find the CIP testing helpful in pinpcinting weaknesses in reading skills. CIP testing is designad so as to offer immediate feedback of the test results to the teachers. Unfortmately, this was not the case in the first CIP test in the fall; however, adjustments in the test schedule to test by areas instead of the entire school system at orce resulted in a two week turnaround of results for the final two evaluations.

## Attendance

Efforts to reduce absenteeism were carried out by the social worker and Economic Opportunity of Atlanta (EOA) field workers. Goldsmith was one of three inner-city schools to cooperate with an EOA project aimed at reducing the number of absentees in these schools. The EOA field workers went to each house every day to contact the parent whenever a child was absent, unless the parent had cal..ed che school to inform the principal of the reason for the child's absence. For each visit a contact form was filled out stating the reason for the absenteeism. During the course of the project, it was found that lack of proper clothing and frequent illness loomed as major reasons for absenteeism. Thus donations of clothing and free medical assistance were obtained by EOA in order to alleviate this problem.

The social worker also made visits to homes of children who were frequently absent to encourage them to attend school. There was a monthly competition among the classes at Goldsmith with a trophy being given to each class with 100 per cent attendance.

## Health

Health aciivities included screenings and referrals for various health needs. A dental hygenist cleaned the teeth of all the pupils and identified all those having cavities as needing referral to a dentist. A Fulton County health nurse administered a skin TB test to all Goldsmith
pupils in kindergarten, first, and seventh grades and recommended x-rays for those pupils who had a positive skin test. The PTA health chairmsit administered a visual ter $=0$ all the pupils and made referrals to a Fulton County health nurse for further testing. Whenever necessary, pupils were referred to the appropriate visual correction authority. A tearing test was administered to all second and fifth graders, plus others who were suspected of having hearing difficuity. Referrals were made when appropriate.

## Parental Involvement

Activities to increase parental participation in school functions took two directions. in increased effort was made by the kindergarten and first grade teachers to help the parents become aware of their children's progress, as well as the school program, through conferences with the parents and periodic parental meetings. Possibly invoivement during the child's early school years will result in increased interest and invoivement in later years. In addition, effort was made to increase attendance at the monthly PTA meetings. Since the time of the meeting presented a problem for some parents, the meetings were alternated between afternoon and evening in order to provide a convenient time for al1 parents to attend, At each meeting there was an opportunity for the parents to talk with their child's teacher.

## VIII. EVALUATION

The Metropolitan Readiness Tests (MRT) and the Metrcpolitan Achievement Tests (MAT) were used to assess achievement in reading and mathematics. The MRT was administered as the pretest to all first grade pupils. The MAT was administered as the posttest to the first and fourth
grades, and as the pre- and posttest to grades tao, three, five, six and seven. Pretests were administered in October, 1971 and posttests in Aprii, 1972. The fourth grade was administered the Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS) in October, 1971 as part of the statewide testing program. Results of the I.TBS were not used in the evaluation.

The Self-Appraisal Inventory (SAI) and School Sentiment Index (SSI) were administered $\tau c$ obtain baseline data on pupil self-concept and attitude towar.: school. The tests were administered to pupils in kindergarten through sixth grade in February, 1972. The SAI gives a measure of pupils" favorable responses to questions concerning the pupils" relationship to peers, family, and school, as well as general selfconcept. The SSI measures the pupils' positive responses to questions relating to the teacher, school subjects, school social structure and cliate, learning, school peers; and to school in general.

Goldsmith's pli cent of attendance for the 1971-72 school year was compared against the city-wide average to determine the difference, if any. Attendance records were used to determine changes in attendance for those pupils in the lowest decile of attendance,

Record: kept by the lead teacher, social worker, principal, or teachers were used to assess objectives relating to health and parental participation.

## IX. FINDINGS

The report of findings took three direntions. First, evaluation of tiaz specific ferformance objectives for Goldsmitn School will be discussed. Second, general performance itiformation for the entire school will be presented. Finally, data obtained during the past two years will
be analyzed for differences and trends.
The extent of attaiment of the specific performance objectives was as follows:
A. Achievement of Health Objecrive

The health objective had , weral different areas for identiEication and remediation of health problems. The degree of success in achieving goals differed in each area.

1. After the administration of the tuberculosis skin test to pupils in the kindergarten, first and seventh grades, tweive pupils were recomended for chest x-rays because of a posicive skin test. All twelve received chest $x$-rays, and futhermore one pupil was prescribed medication based on the results of the x-rays. Thus, this objective was accomplished.
2. After the examination of all the Goldsmith pupils by a dental hygenist, seventy-five pupils were identifisd as in need of dental treatment. Ouly twenty-five pupils (or 33\%) obtained diantal certificates signifying that they had been released by a health department dentist. This was short of the 75 per cent goal of completion of dental cre that had been set. The main problem in failing to meet this objective was the lack of a means of transporting pupils to and from the health department, where the pupils' dental problems are corrected. Parents were either unwillitig or uninterested in aiding with this transportation. While the social worker assumed the responsibility of transporting pupils to the health clinic, tine social worker incurred an injury which hampered her activity in this area. Goldsmith School was.allotted three months for
making visits to the clinic; however, as a result of the social worker's injury, one of the months was changed to June, 1972. Naturally, this month could not be used because school was not in session at the time. Thus, only two of the three months for visiting the clinic could be utilized, and less pupils were afforded the opportunity of visiting the clinic.
3. The visual test was administered to all the pupils at Goldsmith with sixteen identified as needing a more thorough examination by a physician. Of the sixteen pupils identified, only five saw a physician. Of the five who were seen by a physician, only four had the physician's recommendation completed. Thus, only twenty-five per cent of the pupils identified as needing assistance actually followed through to completion of the recommendation. This fell short of the goal of having deficiencies eliminated for ninety per cent of the pupils with visual difficulties. Again, the lack of cooperation by parents in taking or sending children for the examinations or fittings for glasses was considered to be the cause for not achieving this objective.
4. From the hearing tests administered to pupils in the second and fifth grades and others sispected of having hearing difficulties, only one was identified as needing assistance. The nurse who visited Goldsmith worked with the child to alleviate the problem. Thus, the objective for diagnosing and eliminating hearing difficulties was attained.

## B. Attendance

The focus on attendance for the 1971-72 school year had two
directions and, accordingly, two specific performance objectives. The results of these objectives were as follows:

1. The first objective was to raise the school attendance record to the city-wide per cent of attendance. Goldsmith fell just short of this objective as the per cent of attendance for Goldsmith during the $1971-72$ school year was 90.9 , while the city-wide per cent of attendance for the same period was 91.3. Goldsmith's per cent of attendance was increased from 90.7 per cent for the $1970-71$ school year; whereas; the city-wide per cent of attendance decreased from 93 per cent the previous year.
2. The second attendance objective was aimed at lowering the rate of absenteeism of those pupils who were chronically absent. The school social worker identified the 26 pupils (the lowest decile), who had the worst attendance records. The number of absences for each pupil during the first and last sixty days of the school year were compared to determine whether the concentrated efforts of the social worker and the EOA field worker had resulted in a fifty per cent decrease in the number of absences for this group. The results are $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ sented in Table 1.

COMPARISON OF THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF ABSENCES DURING THE FIRST AND LAST 60 DAYS FOR PUPILS IN THE LOWEST

DECILE OF ATTENDANCE

|  | Average Number <br> of Absences <br> N | Average Number <br> of Absences <br> First 60 Days | $-\frac{10.4}{\text { Last } 60 \text { Days }}$ | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Per Cent |
| :---: |
| Change |

As indicated in Table 1 , there was a 22 per cent decrease in the average number of absences during the last sixty day period. This was short of the goal of a fifty per cent decrease, but still presented some progress in reducing absenteeism. That some decrease in number of absences cccurred seems important in that generally attendance goes down during the latter part of the school year and also the chronic absentees seem to be the ones less likely to respond to encouragement to attend school more regularly.

In addition to the progress made in reducing absenteeism among the most chronically absent pupils, the report on Economic Opportunity Atlanta's school absentee project indicated for Goldsmith a decrease in average daily absenteeism for the entire school from 29 in the $1970-71$ school year to 21 in the 1971-72 school year. This represented a decrease of 28 per cent in eys average daily absenteeism. However, it also must be taken into $\therefore$ account that the enrollment for Goldsmith dropped from 291 in 1970-71 to 229 in 1971-72, a decrease of 21 per cent. Thus,
considering the decrease in enrollment, one would expect a decrease in the average daily number of absentees. However, the per cent of decrease in average daily absenteeism did exceed the per cent of decrease in enrollment indicating that there was progress made in the effort to reduce absenteeism. Still, the problem was not eradicated.
C. Mathematics and Reading Achievement

Achievement objectives in the areas of reading and mathematics were assessed by comparing pupils' scores on the MAT tests administered in October, 1971 and April, 1972. The objective was to obtain an average of five months gain in reading in grades 1 , 2 , and 3 and five months gain in mathematics in grades 5,6 , and 7. There were approximately six months between the pre- and posttests.

First grade pupils were administered the Metropolitan Readiness Tests as a pretest. This test does not yield a grade equivalent score; instead, a letter rating, which indicates the pupil's readiness for first grade work, is assigned according to the pupil's total score. Table 2 gives the range of scores on the MRT, the interpretation of each letter grade, and the distribution of scores of the first grade pupils at Goldsmith.

TABIE 2

DISIRIBUTION OF LETHER RATING AND READINESS STATUS CORRESPONDING TO VARIOUS RARGES OF TOTAL SCORE ON THE METROPOLITAN READINESS TESTS

FIRST GRADE

| Number of Pupils | Score <br> Range | Letter Rating | Readiness Statis | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Above 76 | A | Superior | Apparently very well prepared for first grade work. Should be given opportunity for enriched work in line with abilities indicated. |
| 10 | 64-76 | B | High Normal | Good prospects for success in first grade work, provided indications, such as health, emotional factors, etc., are consistent. |
| 12 | 45-63 | C | Average | Likely to succeed in first grade work. A careful study should be made of the specific strengths and weaknesses of pupils in this group and their instruction planned accordingly. |
| 3 | $24-44$ | D | Low <br> Normal | Likely to have difficulty in first grade work. Should be assigned to slow section and given more individualized help. |
| 0 | Below 24 | $E$ | Low | Chances of difficulty high under ordinary instructional conditions. Further readiness work, assignment to slow section, or individualized work is essential. |

According to the ratine on the MFT, pupils who score "C" or better on the MRT should experience success in the first grade. Since it is not possible to compute a strict gain score for the first grade pupils, in accordance with the objective set forth it was considered that pupils who scored "C" or better on the pretest should have scored $I .5$ or better on the posttest. In evaluating the progress of the first grade pupils, only the pupils who iook both the pre- and posttests were used. Table 3 shows the progress made by both those pupils who made "C" or above and those who made "D" or below on the MRT. As shown in Table 3, of the 23 pupils who scored "C" or above on the pretest, $21(91 \%)$ scored 1.5 or above on the posttest. Also, one pupil who scored "D" or below on the pretest scored. 1.5 or above on the posttest. Since 91 per cent of the first graders scored as specifiad by the objective, the reading achievement goal for the first grade was met.

TABLE 3

A COMPARTSON OF THE GRADE EQUIVALENCE AS MEASURED BY THE METROPOLITAN ACHIEVEMENT TESTS OF PUPIIS WHO SCORED "C" OR ABOVE ON THE METROPOLITAN READINESS TESTS WITH THOSE WHO SCORED "D" OR BELOW

FIRST GRADE

| Group | Total <br> Mumber | Grade Equivalence <br> on the MAT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scored "C" or Above <br> on the MRT | $1.5+$ <br> Scored "D" or Below <br> on the MRT <br> Total | $23.0-1.4$ |

For grades two and inree the MAT was adininistered in October, 1971 and April, 1972. Therefore, it was possible to calculate an actual gain score for pupils in the second and third grades. Table 4 shows the average gains made on the reading component of the MAT by pupils in the second and third grades who took both the pretest and posttest. The objective of five months gain was made on joth grade levels and in all subtests with the single exception of a 3.4 months gain on the word analysis subtest in the second grade.

TABLE 4
MEAN SUBTEST SCORES ON THE METROPOLITAN ACHIEVEMENT TESTS OF PUPIIS TAKING BOTH PRETEST AND POSTTEST

SECOND AND THIRD GRADES

| Subtest | Grade 2 |  |  |  | Grade 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Pretest | Posttest | Gain | N | Pretest | Posttest | Gain |
| Word Knowledge | 3.6 | 1.49 | 2.11 | . 62 | 25 | 2.36 | 3.20 | . 84 |
| Word Analysis | 16 | 1.44 | 1.78 | . 34 | 25 | 2.35 | 3.06 | . 71 |
| Reading | 16 | 1.54 | 2.05 | . 51 | 24 | 2.57 | 3.15 | . 58 |
| Total Reading | 16 | 1.56 | 2.14 | . 58 | 24 | 2.41 | 3.12 | . 71 |

In the area of methematics, the arerage gain for grades five, six, and seven on the mathematics component of the VAT was calculated. Fert agais the MAT was given in Cctoker, 1972 and Apriz, 1972. Only the scores of those pupils who took both pre- and posttests were used in the calculation. Table 5 siows the average gain made by these grade levels ir mathematics. As can be seen from Table 5, the objective of five months gain was achieved in arithmetic computation in graies five and seven and in arithmetic concepts and problem solving in grade seven only. The sixth grade fell slightly short of the objective on both mathematics subtests.
D. Self-Concept and Attitude Toward School

The objective concerning improvement of pupils' self-concepts and their attitude toward school for the 1971-72 school year was aimed at providing baseline data on the pupils at Goldsmith Elementary School, The Self-Appraisal Inventory (SAI), Primary and Intermediate Level forms, was administered to pupils in kindergarten through the sixth grade in February, 1972, to provide a measure of the pupils' self-concepts. Likewise, the School Sentiment Index (SSI), Primary and Intermediate Level forms, was administered to the same pupils to provide a measure of the pupils' attitudes toward school. The results of these two instruments are shown in Tables 6 and 7. Scores of the tests are recorded as percentages of the total possible positive responses to the test questions, and in addition, are broken down into the different subscales of each instrument as well as recording an overall, or total, score.
TABLE 5


| Subtest | rade 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N Pretest Posttest Gain |  |  |  | N Pretest $\frac{\text { Grade } 6}{}$ oostest Gain |  |  |  | N Pretest Posttest Gain |  |  |  |
| Arithmetic Computation | 21 | 4.69 | 5.34 | . 65 | 21 | 5.10 | 5.50 | . 40 | 24 | 5.90 | 6.48 | . 58 |
| Arithmetic <br> Concepts and <br> Problem Solving | 20 | 4.48 | 4.64 | . 16 | 20 | 4.70 | 5.16 | . 46 | 23 | 5.80 | 6.34 | . 54 |

TABLE 6
A COMPARISON OF THE PERCENTAGES OF FAVORABLE RESPONSES ON THE
SELF-APPRATSAL INVENTORY AND SCHOOL SENTIMENT INDEX
PRIMARY LEVEL

|  | Self-Appraisai Inventory |  |  |  |  | School Sentiment Index |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | Peer | Family | School | General | Overall | Teacher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { School } \\ & \text { Subject,s } \end{aligned}$ | School Soc Structur and Clima |  | Generai | Overall |
| Kindergarten $N=24$ | 56.9 | 59.7 | 59.0 | 61.1 | 59.0 | 51.2 | 87.5 | 57.5 | 72.5 | 73.6 | 68.8 |
| First Grade $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 64.8 | 69.0 | 79.0 | 76.7 | 72.4 | 69.4 | 85.0 | 66.7 | 77.1 | 80.2 | 76.0 |
| Second Grade $N=24$ | 62.8 | 59.7 | 65.3 | 77.3 | 66.4 | 66.7 | 76.8 | 58.3 | 71.7 | 68.1 | 68.8 |
| Third Grade $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 62.8 | 42.6 | 59.7 | 76.5 | 61.9 | 40.5 | 56.3 | 28.9 | 57.8 | 41.7 | 45.4 |
| Primary Level $\mathrm{N}=87$ | 60.5 | 58.4 | 67.1 | 72.5 | 64.9 | 57.6 | 77.5 | 54.0 | 70.3 | 67.1 | 65.7 |

table 7

|  |  | Self-Appraisal Inventory |  |  |  | School Sertiment Index |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | Peer | Family | School | General | Overall | Peer | Teacher | General | School | Learning | Overall |
| Fourth Grade $N=22$ | 50.9 | 66.1 | 59.5 | 64.1 | 60.2 | 55.9 | 59.4 | 63.6 | 54.5 | 65.2 | 58.13 |
| Fifth Grade $\mathrm{N}=29$ | 60.9 | 69.0 | 64.3 | 67.6 | 65.4 | 73.8 | 71.3 | 73.2 | 60.3 | 74.1 | 69.7 |
| Sixth Grade $N=23$ | 54.6 | 59.1 | 56.1 | 62.4 | 58.0 | 63.5 | 62.8 | 65.7 | 53.0 | 61.6 | 61.0 |
| Intermediate Level $\mathrm{N}=74$ | 55.9 | 65.1 | 60.3 | 64.9 | 61.6 | 65.3 | 65.1 | 68.0 | 56.3 | 67.6 | 63.8 |

It , $a n$ be seen from Tables 6 and 7 that the primary grades exhibited a higher overall self-concept and a more positive attitude toward schocl than did the intermediate grades. The lowest scores on self-concept appeared in the kindergarten for the primary level and in the sixth grade for the intermediate level; while the pupils exhibiting the least positive attitude toward school appeared in the third and fourtin grades. In general, the subscales receiving the least favorable responses were the peer subscale in the SAI and the subscale relating to school sociat climate and structure in the SSI. Therefore, enhancement in these areas would be most helpful in inm proving the self-concepts of the pupils and their attitudes toward school.

It is planned that these instruments will be administered again during FY73 so that comparisons can be made, and possibly trends or problem areas identified.
E. Parental Involvement

Objectives relating to parental involvement were not as rigorously monitored as were the preceding objectives. Rather, evaluation of these objectives resulted from informal records kept by the principal, lead teacher, and others. Thus, it is not possible to present strict data relating to parental participation objectives.

1. According to conversations with the lead teacher and records kept by her, the objective that 80 per cent of the parents $\cap f$ kindergarten and first grade pupils would have a conference with the teacher was obtained. All of the contacts were not personal; some were conducted via telephone. Opportunities for conferences with parents were provided at feriodic parental meetings, PTA meetings, and as requested by the teachers or parents.
2. Records kept by the lead teacher indicated thai an average of more than 40 persons $\varepsilon$ ctended each of the regular PTA meetings held at Goldsmith. The number of persons attending the meetings varied, of course, with the number sometimes being less than 40 and sometimes more ihan this number. Also, all persons who attended the meetings were not parents; some were grandparents or older brothers or sisters who attended either in place of or in addition to the parents. School-Wide Performance, 19.71-72

Since reading received a large emphasis at Goldsmith School through CIP and Title $I$, as well as in the regular instructional program, this area will be the point of concentration as an indicator of overall school performance during the 1971-72 school year. Pupils at Goldsmith received valuable instruction in many other areas including mathematics, language arts, social studies, science, and spelling to mention a few. Data are available on pupil achievement in these other areas; however, a detailed $\because$ study of each area would be quite voluminous. Therefore, for the purpose of this report, one area has been chosen as representative of school performance.

The performance of Goldsmith pupils on the reading subtest at each grade level is shown in Table 8. Also in Table 8 a comparison is made of the reading achievement of pupils in Goldsmith School with pupils in all Title I schools throughout the entire Atlanta School System. With the exception of the fourth grade, where there were no pretest scores available, only scores of pupils taking both pre- and posttests were considered. Table $y$ gives the results of gain score $t$ tests, which indicate whether or not the gains made were significant.

TABLE 8

```
COMPARISON OF READING ACHIEVEMENT
    IN GOLDSMITH SCHOOL WITH
    ALL TITLE I SCHOOLS
```

| Grade | Goidsmith |  |  |  | Title I Schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Pretest | Posttest | Gain | N | Pretest | Posttest | Gain |
| 2 | 16 | 1.54 | 2.05 | . 51 | 1927 | 1.52 | 1.96 | . 44 |
| 3 | 24 | 2.57 | 3.15 | . 58 | 2236 | 1.98 | 2.45 | . 47 |
| 4 | 22 | -- | 3.53 | -- | 2336 | -- | 3.26 | - |
| 5 | 22 | 3.76 | 4.11 | . 35 | 2203 | 3.63 | 4.23 | . 60 |
| 6 | 24 | 4.36 | 4.45 | . 09 | 2085 | 4.04 | 4.23 | . 19 |
| 7 | 22 | 4.36 | 5.30 | . 94 | 2254 | 4.30 | 4.73 | . 43 |

TABLE 9
READING GAIN SCORE t TESTS
FOR GOLDSMITH AND
ALI TITLE I SCHOOLS

| Grade | Goldsmith |  | Title I Schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | df | $\underline{\text { t-statistic }}$ | df | t-statistic |
| 2 | 15 | 3.22** | 1926 | 31.86** |
| 3 | 23 | 2.71* | 2235 | 26.74** |
| 4 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 5 | 21 | $2.18 *$ | 2202 | 20.69** |
| 6 | 23 | 0.39 | 2084 | 8.54** |
| 7 | 21 | 3.23** | 2253 | 17.51** |

* Significant at the .05 level.

From Table 9, it can be seen that significant reading gains were made by Goldsmith pupils in grades two, three, five, and seven. Significant gains were achieved at all grade levels by the combined Title I schools. The average gain in reading by pupils at Goldsmith exceeded the average gain of the pupils in all Title I schools in grades two, three, and seven. While pupils showed significant gains between pretest and posttest in most
instances, the pupils still remained below grade level at all grades. By the time pupils reached sixth grade, they had fallen a full two years below grade level. The average ending level of pupils at Goldsmith was higher than all Title $I$ pupils in all grades except the fifth. In general, the gains and final achievement levels of pupils at Goldsmith were slightly higher than for all Title I pupils.

To determine the effect of mobility on reading achievement, a statistical test was made which compared the achievement level of pupils who remained at Goldsmith the entire school year with those pupils who moved either in or out during the year. Scores for pupils who took only the pretest were compared to pretest scores of pupils who took both pre- and posttests. Likewise sçores of pupils who took only the posttest were compared to posttest scores of pupils who took both tests. It was assumed that pupils who took only one of the tests were not enrolled in the school at the time of the other test. The results of this comparison are shown in Table 10. The tests showed no statistically significant differences between the reading scores of the mobile and stable populations.
TABLE 10
OF PUPILS TAKING PREIEST OR POSTIEST ONLY WITH READING
SCORES OF PUPIIS TAKING BOTH PRETEST AND POSTTTEST

| Grade | Pretest Only |  |  | Pretest/Posttest |  |  | $t$ score | Posttest Only |  |  | Pretest/Posttest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Mean | S.D. | N | Mean | S.D. |  | N | Mean | S.D. | N | Mean | S.D. | $t$ score |
| 2 | 12 | 1.54 | 0.4 | 16 | 1.54 | 0.4 | 0.028 | 4 | 2.27 | 0.8 | 16 | 2.05 | 0.8 | 0.492 |
| 3 | 13 | 2.83 | 1.9 | 24 | 2.57 | 1.0 | 0.550 | 3 | 2.67 | 0.7 | 24 | 3.15 | 0.8 | -1.035 |
| 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | 3.53 | 1.1 | - | - | - | - |
| 5 | 8 | 3.51 | 0.6 | 22 | 3.75 | 0.7 | -0.844 | 6 | 3.72 | 0.7 | 22 | 4.10 | 0.8 | -1.107 |
| 6 | 5 | 4.30 | 1.5 | 24 | 4.36 | 1.0 | -0.114 | 2 | 4.00 | 1.3 | 24 | 4.45 | 1.1 | -0.527 |
| 7 | 2 | 4.50 | 1.4 | 22 | 4.36 | 1.0 | 0.174 | 3 | 4.07 | 0.6 | 22 | 5.30 | 1.7 | -1.222 |
| *Significant at the . 05 level. **Significant at the . 01 level. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In addition to the study of mobility in relation to pupil performance, the effect of attendance on pupil performance also was tested. The percentage of attendance of pupils who took both pre- and positests was correlated with the pupils' gain scores in order to determins if there was any significant correlation. The correlation for each grade on the reading subtest is shown in Table 11. Significant correlation was found only in the seventh grade.

TABLE 11

CORRELATION BETWEEN METROPOLITAN ACHIEVEMENT TESTS PRETEST/POSTTEST READING GAIN SCORES AND ATTENDANCE

| Grade | df | ```Coefficient of Correlation``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 14 | 0.1951 |
| 3 | 22 | -0.0383 |
| 4 | - | - |
| 5 | 20 | 0.1495 |
| 6 | 22 | -0.2168 |
| 7 | 20 | 0.4978* |

*Significant at the .05 level.
**Significant at the .01 level.

Longitudinal Observation of Test Performance: 1970-71 and 1971-72
This year it was possible to make a close study of school performance over the last two years. Thus, the possibility of trends can be established. A summary of performance on the reading subtest of the MAT for 1970-72 is presented in Table 12. From this table comparison can be made of performance on each grade level for each of the two years. Also progress can be followed as pupils advance from one grade level to the next. Finally, the performance of those pupils who have remained at this school for both years is presented.
TABLE 12
LONGITUDINAL COMPARISON OF MEAN READING PRETEST/POSTTEST SCORES THE METROFOLITAN ACHIEVEMENT TESTS
(1970-71 and 1971-72)

| Grade | Pupils Tested in 1970-71 (Matched Scores)$\qquad$ |  |  |  | Pupils Tested in 1971-72 <br> (Matched Scores) $\qquad$ |  |  |  | Pupils Enrolled in 1970-71 and 1971-72 (Matched Scores) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Pre | Post | Gain | N | Pre | Post | Gain | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall } \\ & 1971 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring } \\ & 1972 \end{aligned}$ | Gain |
| 2 | 24 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 16 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | 15 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 24 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 13 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 0.8 |
| 4 | 24 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 22 | - | 3.5 | - | 11 | - | 3.7 | - |
| 5 | 26 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 22 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 16 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 0.2 |
| 6 | 27 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 24 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 16 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 0.0 |
| 7 | 27 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 1.3 | 22 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 0.9 | 13 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 1.0 |

In general, performance remained about the same at each grade level for the 1970-71 and 1971-72 school years. Highest gains were obtained in grades two, three, and seven during both years. The seventh grade exhibited exceptional gains for both years. The pupils ghowed ap"rvimataly the same amount of gain as they advanced to the next grade level from 1970-71 to 1971-72, with the exception of the sixth grade of $1970-71$, who showed remarkable improvement as the seventh grade of 1971-72. For the pupils who had remained at Goldsmith for two years, the 1971-72 achievement levels and gains differed only slightly from those of the entire 1971-72 pupil population at each grade level. Further, attention is called to the fact that the 16 pupils who were in the fifth and sixth grades during this two-year period did not make any gain.

Beginning in FY71, the profile of performance in reading for each elementary school was studied. In FY72 a mathematics profile also was produced. For the purpose of this analysis, a predicted achievement level for each grade level within a school was derived. To calculate the predicted achievement level, a regression equation was used, which took into account the effects of prior performance, socio-economic status, attendance, mobility, pupil-teacher ratio, and percentage of pupils passing on achievement. A ratio of actual gain to predicted gain yielded a percentage described as a gain rate of effectiveness. Another ratio of the actual posttest level divided by the national norm for the respective grade level produced an index of acceptability. Table 13 summarizes the profile of Goldsmith School in reading over two years, and Table 14 gives the mathematics profile for 1971-72.
TABLE 13
EFFECTIVENESS AND ACCEPTABILITY OF PUPIL PERFORMANCE

| Grade | Actual Gain |  | Predicted Gain |  | Gain Rate of Effectiveness |  | Posttest Level |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ind } x \text { of } \\ \text { Accept bility } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1971-72 | $\frac{1970-71}{0.4}$ | 1971-72 | 1970-71 | 1971-72 | 1970-71 | 1971-72 | 1970-71 | 1971-72 | 1970-71 |
| 2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 100 | 80 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 70 | 74 |
| 3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 200 | 83 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 89 | 65 |
| 4 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 125 | 33 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 70 | 70 |
| 5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 75 | 0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 68 | 68 |
| 6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 125 | 67 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 66 | 64 |
| 7 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 100 | 260 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 62 | 70 |
| Average | - | - | - | - | 121 | 87 | - | - | 71 | 69 |

\footnotetext{
TABLE 14

| EFFECTIVENESS AND ACCEPTABILITY OF PUPIL PERFORMANCE IN MATHEMATIGS FOR 1971-72 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Actual Gain | Predicted Gain | Gain Rate of Effectiveness | Posttest Level | Index c <br> Acceptabilicy |
| 2 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 114 | 2.2 | 81 |
| 3 | 0.6 | S. $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 100 | 3.3 | 89 |
| 4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 89 | 4.0 | 85 |
| 5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 200 | 5.2 | 91 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 5.2 | 78 |
| 7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 233 | 6.5 | 84 |
| Average | - | - | 123 | - | 85 |

In reading, each grade at Goldsmith performed effectively with the exception of the fifth grade, which was not axceptionally low with an effectiveness score of 75. Also, an improvement of more than 50 points in the gain rate of effectiveness over the 1970-71 figure occurred at each grade level except the seventh, which still performed effectively despite a large decrease from 1970-71. Overall, the school performed effectively in reading and raised its effectiveness considerably over the 1970-71 figure.

The average index of acceptability increased slightly over the 1970 71 figure; however, the pupils are still performing well below national norms. Increases in the index of acceptability occurred in grades three and six, decreases occurred in grades two and seven, and grades four and five remained at the same level.

In mathematics each grade level performed effectively except the fourth and sixth grades. The fourth grade score, however, was very close to being effective. On the average, the school performed effectively in mathematics.

The index of acceptability for Goldsmith was higher in mathematics than in reading. The pupils were not yet performing at national norms in mathematics, but the average score of 85 indicates that they were near this goal.

## X. COST ANALYSIS

A cost analysis was done in an attempt to relate gains in reading achievement to the amount expended in both general and compensatory funds. The total expenditures and ADA for Goldsmith are presented in Table 15 to give an overall cost of school operation. Expenditures were obtained
from the General Funds Financial Report and the Trust and Agency Report for June 30, 1972. These expenditures do not include the cost for food services, replacement or repair of equipment, and capital outlay.

Table 15 TOTAL EXPENDITURES AND ADA FOR GOLDSMITH SCHOOL
A. General Funds

1. Regular
a. Salary
\$191,454.11
b. Non-Salary
$15,203.73$
2. CIP

Non-Salary
396.60
3. TOTAL GENERAL FUNDS
$\$ 207,054.44$
B. Special Projects

1. Title I
a. Salary (1 lead teacher, 1 social worker, \& 2 aides) $\$ 33,848.68$
b. Non-Salary
586.19
2. TOTAL SPECIAL PROJECTS
C. TOTAL FUNDS EXPENDED $\$ 241,489.31$
D. TOTAL ADA

221

In Table 16 the expenditures are broken down into per pupil cost by grade level. General funds were distributed equally throughout the school. Title $I$ funds also were distributed equally throughout the school except for the salary of one aide who worked exclusively with the kindergarten. The remaining Title I personnel, lead teacher, social worker, and aide worked at all grade levels in the school.

A projection of the amount of funds necessary to achieve acceptable gains, i.e., one month of gain for each month of instruction, was made by dividing the yearly per pupil expenditures by the rate of reading gain. Thus, the projected cost of obtaining one grade unit of gain is obtained. The figures presented here are not refined and only serve to give a picture of the relative costs of achievement at each grade level.

Expenditures were the same for each grade level two through seven. However, because of the large differences in the rate of reading gain among the grade levels, the projected cost for one grade unit of gain also varied greatly. A rate of gain of 13 per cent for the sixth grade caused the: cost projection to be much higher than the other grade levels. The lowest projected cost appeared in the seventh grade, which also exhibited the greatest rate of gain. There was not much variance in the.projected cost at the lower grade levels.

An additional analysis of reading cost related per pupil expenditure of compensatory funds to the gain rate of effectiveness for reading. The per pupil expenditure of compensatory funds was divided by the gain rate of effectiveness yielding the cost per unit of effectiveness. The expenditures per unit of effectiveness did not vary much among the grade levels, ranging from a low of $\$ 0.73$ in the third grade to a high of $\$ 1.96$ in the fifth grade.
TABLE 16
COST ANALYSIS OF READING GAINS BY GRADES TOTAL



From the information analyzed in this report and gathered through contact with the school staff during the year, the following conclusions are drawn:
A. The absenteeism rate of a certain portion of the pupil population who are chronically absent was not drastically improved with resources presently available to the Goldsmith School. It is believed that in order to improve the attendance habits of these pupils the attitudes of the parents must be changed.
B. Pupils in the primary grades have siightly higher self-concepts and more positive attitudes toward school than do the upper elementary level pupils.
C. Generally, the pupils entering first grade at Goldsmith were we11 prepared and made approprjate gains in achievement during the first year.
D. The specified objective for the second and third grades of five months gain in reading between pre- and posttests was achieved in both grades.
E. The specified objective for the fifth, sixth, and seventh grades of five months gain in mathematics was achieved in the seventh grade on both math subtests and on arithmetic computation in the fifth grade.
F. In general, pupils at Goldsmith made better gains in reading than did pupils from other Title I schcols.
G. Pupils at Goldsmith made statistically significant gains in reading at each grade level except the sixth.
H. Mobility of pupils at Goldsmith made no statistically significant difference in reading achievement.
I. A statistically significant correlation between attendance and reading achievement occurred only at tho seventh grade level.
J. As measured by the gain rate of effectiveness, Goldsmith School performed effectively in both reading and mathematics and improved its reading effectiveness considerably from FY7l.
K. While performing effectively, pupils at Goldsmith are still performing below national norms in both reading and mathematics.
L. The specified objectives relating to identification and remediation of health problems were achieved in the areas of hearing and tuberculosis. Objectives were not achieved in the visual and dental health areas. The unwillingness of parents to cooperate with the school in providing transportation for the pupils to health service facilities was cited as the major cause of failure to meet these objectives.

## XII. RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are made based upon the content of this report and with the identified goals of the instructional program in mind:
A. Continued and increased efforts should be made to involve parents and members of the community in the activities and instructional program of the school.
B. Attention should be given to designing specific activities aimed toward improving the pupils' self-concepts, relationship with peers, and attitudes toward school.
C. Special attention should be given to those grade levels where achievement has remained at a low level for the two year period.
D. Efforts should be made to identify the successful practices and techniques that have helped to maintain a high level of achievement in certain grade levels over the past two years.

The principal and faculty at Goldsmith are to be highly commended for their continued efforts to meet the needs of their pupils. The staff believes that definite progress is being made in the effort to upgrade the academic skills of the pupils. This attitude is also shared by the research assistant.
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## I. IMTRODUC:IO:

Prior to the fall quarter, 27 h , Joh Howe flementary sehool zonsisted
 -97: the pupils in erades six and seven were transerrei to the no: midnle school, reducing the stucent wou by sypro:imately $\therefore$ ? pupils. $\therefore$ a sosul, there were approximately -63 pupils wo attended Erades $K-5$ at john Hope Elementary School for the total school year.

The majority of the pupils who attended John Hope Elementary Schoul were from low-income families. These economically deprived children, who had limited sensory learning experiences prior to entering school, were not prepared for instruction in a traditional school program. To compensate for the learning deficiencies of these children by meeting their special physical, psychological, and academic needs, the Follow Through Project was operated in grades $\mathrm{K}-2$.

Because approximately 53 per cent of the pupils at John Hope Elementary School were recognized as coming from economically deprived families, the school received compensatory funds from Title I (Public Law 89-10), Additional supporting services were supplied by the Comprehensive Instructional Program (CIP) and the Career Opportunities Program (COP).

## Supporting Services

## A. Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Title I

There were four aides hired at John Hope Elementary School to work with the low achieving pupils in the non*; Jllow Through grade levels (3-5). There was no Title I lead teacher at John Hope; the activities of the aides were coordinated by the principal.

## B. Career Opportunities Program (COP)

This program was a training program through which participants pursued professional certification. Although Career Opportunities Program (COP) paid no salaries for aides, it did pay all college costs (tuition, books, and supplies) for aides to attend accredited colleges or universities. Three Follow Through aides and one Title I aide at John Hope Elementary School participated in the COP.

## C. Follow Throusi Project.

The Follo\% Through Froject :as desirred for disadvantéed pupils. At John Hope Elementary School all pupils in the cincergarten througi grede t:oo hai benefit of the Follos Through Enstmuctionsl procram.

Follo:: Through made use of the Interciefendent Learming Nodel (ILM), a Eames approach to learning. The project utilized a specialized method of teaching phonics skills, and offered special instruction in music, art, speech, and inactive communication. In addition, medical and dental care and psychological guidance was offered to all eligible pupils (pupils from homes in which the income did not exceed $\$ 1800$ per year for a one child family plus $\$ 600$ for each additional child).
D. Comprehensive Instructional Program (CIP)

The Comprehensive Instructional Program (CIP) was concerned with curriculum improvement and teacher in-service training. Reading and mathematics instruction in grades 1-3 were stressed during FY 72. Through the CIP, each school was encouraged to develop behaviorally stated objectives directed at improving the reading and mathematics instruction.

## II. NEEDS OF THE PUPILS

The Follow Through pupils at John Hope Elementary School were recognized as having the following needs:
A. To learn to read.
B. To develop mathematical ability.
C. To develop positive self-concepts and posj.tive attitudes toward school, teachers, and peers.
D. To become independent learners.
E. To receive parental reinforcement for the Follow Through instructional program.
F. To receive medical and dental care.
G. To have psychological services available when needed,

## III. GOELS

The goals of the Follow Through Project :are developed on the : :i. the identified reeds of the pupils. They ure as follows:
$\therefore$. To teach pupils to read.
E. To teach pupils methematies skills.
C. To enable pupils to develop positive self-concepts ara to gain positively in attitude toward school, teachers, and veers.
D. To enable pupils to become independent learners.
E. To promote parental involvement in the Follow Through program.
F. To provide medical and dental care for the pupils and to teach these pupils good health habits.
G. To provide psychological services to pupils.

## IV. OBJECTIVES

Behavioral objectives were developed for Follow Through during Fy 72. They are as follows:
A. The kindergarten pupils will be introduced to the Direct Approach to Decoding (DAD) program which is desigred for teaching phonics skills. Beginning with grade one, the pupils will increase thrir competence in phonics skills so that they will make the following gains on the Phonics Skills Test (PST): eight levels for grade one, fifteen levels for grade two, and ten levels for grade three.
B. First grade pupils who have been in the Follow Through program for the kindergarten year will score $C$ or higher on the Metropolitan Readiness Tests (MRT). The same percentage of first grade pupils who score C or higher on the MRT will score 1.6 or above on the reading posttest of the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT). The score 1.6 indicates a grade level of first grade, six months. For the second and third grade pupils, there will be a reading gain of one month for each month in school as indicated by the MAT pretest and posttest.
C. The first grade pupils mo particisates in Follos Inroush'a :indergarten $\operatorname{iill}$ score $C$ or above on the :RT; those first graders scoring $C$ or above on the $M R$ ? $\operatorname{rill}$ score at least -.6 in mathematics on the HT. The second and third Eraders will eain one morth in mathematicul asility for each nortit in school. This objective is stated with the qualification thet Follo: Through teaches mathematics using a logical approach.
D. The pupils will demonstrate significantly higher (.05 level) self-concepts on the Self Appraisal Inventory (SAI) than the comparison group.
E. The pupils will increase significantly (. 05 level) in positive attitudes toward school, teachers, and peers as shown by the pretest and posttest of the lest of Attitudes of Children (TAC).
F. A games approach mill be used to enable pupils to beccme inde.erient learners and their progress will be judged individually by oletivation, using a checklist.
G. The parents or guardian of each eligible pupil will be visited during the year by the parent workers, who will demonstrate to the parents the Follow Through games and encourage the parents to wlay the games with the child. Parents will be invited to parent meetings, to visit the classroom, and to participate in parent volunteer groups throughout the year.
H. Because the emphasis of the Follow Through health program is toward prevention and health education, each eligible child in the program will receive complete medical and dental care and daily instruction so that he will demonstrate good personal health habits. This will be evaluated by a survey-checklist. In addition, parents will be invited to attend sessions in which instruction in nutrition will be given.
I. Psychological services will be made available to all eligible pupils as needed. Referrals to psychologists will be made by teachers, social workers, or Follow Through staff when a need is observed so that the reason for referral will be eliminated.

## $\because \quad$ UEMIN

```
A. Phories s:Elis.
E. Totil reacine.
C. Nethemetics sinils.
D. SEIf-concert.
E. \thereforettituce:
    I. toward school,
    2. towari teachers, and
    3. toward peers.
F. Parent involvement.
G. Health care.
H. Psychological services.
I. Independence in learning.
```


## vi. MANAGENENT AND CONTROL

Several changes were mis ir follo: throug persomel during F: 7. One change was strictly that of title. Bince the roles of the lead teuchers for school administration and the lead teachers for Follow Through differed, conrusion arose in the schools. Therefore, the lead seachers for Follow Throlet ware given the title program assistants. The program assistant worked direc 17 y mer the principai to coordinate the Folio: Mhrough activities at John Hope Elementary Schocl.

A parent of one of the pupils was hired to assist the program assistant. This parent was called a parent assistant and handled all clerical work involving Follow Through. Other local Follow Through staff included an instructional assistant; nine classroom teachers (three at each grade level K-2), and nine educational aides (one to serve each Follow Through class). Four parent workers, who were parents of Follow Through children, worked with Follow Through at John Hope Elementary School. They visited in the home of each Follow Through child, supervised the transportation of Follow Through eligible children to the dentist and physician, and sought parent volunteers to chaperone pupils on the trips for medical and dental purposes as well as educational trips in the city of Atlanta. In addition, parent volunteers
 instructional materizis.

The Follo:: Through centrin staff, tho served John Hope and the other five Follow Through schools, consisted of a director, two instructionsl coordinators, a coordinator of instructional assessment and jevelomment, a coordinator of health services, two social morers, t $\because 0$ rsychologists, $u$ did an arts and communication team of six persons.

The activities of the four Title I aides at John Hope were coordinated by the principal. The aides served in non-Follow Through grade levels and worked, under the direction of the ciassroom teachers, with pupils in small groups. Three of the aides each served one grade level 3-5; the fourth aide worked in grades 3 and 4.

## VII. PROCESS

The Interdependent Learming Model (ILM) was the basic model for teaching all Follow Through classes. The model made use of a game format for reinforcing classroom instruction and strengthenine skills. The games, which were made by the Follow Through staff and parent wolunteers, were developed as needed.

Ar important component of the Interdependent Learning Model (ILM) was the method utilized for teaching phonics skills. All classes in grades K-2 at John Hope were taught the Direct Approach to Decoding (DAD). The DAD was used along with basal readers.

A Follow Through aide was assigned to each Follow Through class. The aide worked under the guidance of the classroom teacher and served mainly as a tutor for small groups of pupils.

A Follow Through communication team, consisting of one art teacher, one music teacher, and one speech teacher, served the Follow Through Program at John Hope. The three teachers divided their time equally among three Follow Through schools. Each member of the team spent one and one-half days every week at John Hope. The music and art teachers rotated classes within John
 irstructional procedures for the $=1$ assroon. teachers.


 only bindergarten and first rade wutils.

The four parent workers worled ith the Follo: Throust sociel norier and directly under the Follow Through program assistant. They visited in the fome of each eligible child, explained the Follow Throusi program, and ittempted to enlist the active support of parents.

The Title I aides served the non-Follow Through classes. One aide was assigned to each grade level $3-5$; the fourth aide served classes from the third and fourth grades.

## VIII. EVALUATION

## Diagnosis

The performance of the Follci Through pupils was evalustea on the basis of the Follow Through Phonics Skills Test (PST), the Metropolitan Readiness Tests (MRT), the Metropolitan Achievement Iests (M.T), the Self Appraisal Inventor: (SAI), and the Test of ittitudes of Children (TAC). The pupils were grouped for analysis on the basis of Follow Through experience to determine if the number of years in the Follow Through program influenced performance.

At.tendance datawere analyzed for the entire pupil population at John Hope and the cost effectiveness of the instruction at each level was determined. In addition, a study was conducted by the Department of Research and Development to determine the effectiveness and acceptability of the reading and mathematics programs of John Hope Elementary School. (The MAT scores of pupils from grade levels 2-5 were used in both studies.)

## EvaluEtion of the Ferformance of Follo: Thronth Eucile

A. Phonics Srills

ODiective $\dot{A}$. The Kindergarten wupils :ill ie introduced to the Direct
 sills. Fecimine with graie ore, the wurile will increuse their competerce i: phonies stills so that tien will mate the following cains on the Fhonics SKills Test (FST) : eight levels for grade one, initee: levels for grade two, and ten levels for grade three.

The kindergarten pupils at John Hope were introduced to phonics skills through the Direct Approach to Decoding (DAD) Program. Consequently, they were administered the Phonics Skills Tests (FST) as posttest only. The mean scores are listed in Table 1 below.

TABLE:
PHONICS SKILLS TEST
KINDERGARTEN
$N=44$

| Mean Rav: Scores | Level |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.39 | 5 |
| 1.23 | 3 |
| 1.86 | 6 |
| 0.59 | 5 |
| Total 6.07 |  |

There were 23 levels in Chapter I of the DAD Program. The kindergarten mean scores on the subtests of the Phonics Skills Tests (PST) ranged from scores corresponding to levels 3 to 6 of the DAD. This indicated that pupils in kindergarten had only introductory knowledge of phonics skills at the end of the year.

The first grade pupils at John Hope Elementary School were admirisstered the Phonics Skills Test as pretest and posttest. The objective of an





While the first rese pupile tho he worivires. in Bulo. Tmant for two years achieved the ofjective und :zored hicher than the wixis :ho entered Follow Throuet i!: the first grade, they did rot seor: significantly higher on the posttest. Botil grcups were ferformint st levels corresponding to chapter I of the DAD Proeran in all caterorics except Auditory Blending. On that subtest, the grour :ith it:o ye:rs of Follow Through experience was performing at the first level of Chapter II. (There is one chapter dealing with letter sounds and the reminise categories consist of five chapters each.)

The phonics skills objective for the second grade was a gain of 15 levels between the pretest and posttest of the Phonics Skills Test (PST). The three groups of second grade pupils accomplished the following:

1. The three second erade rupils who entered Follow Throurh in the second crade achieved the objective in Decodinc, Auiitory Blending, and Cral Reading.
2. The second grade purils who were in Follow Through during the first and second gredes dic not achieve the objective.
3. The second grade pupils who participated in Follow Through from kindergarten through the second gradie achieved the gain in Oral Reading.

While the pupils who participated in Follow Through from kindergar'ten through the second grade performed at the highest mean level of the three groups (at level 17 of 23 levels in Letter Sounds, at levels corresponding to Chapter II in Decoding and Auditory Blending, and at a level corresponding to Chapter III in Oral Reading), there was no significant difference in the posttest scores of the three groups. (See Table 3 on page 11.)
TABLE 2
COMPARISON OF THE GAINS OF FIRST GRADES SKILLS TEST
$\mathrm{N}=42$

| PHONICS <br> SKILLS | PUPILS IN FOLLOW THROUGH FOR 1 YEAR |  |  |  |  |  | PUPILS IN ROLLOW THROUCH FOR 2 YEARS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUBTESTS | No. of Pupils | Pre |  | Post |  | Gain | No. of <br> Pupils | Pre |  | Post |  | Gain |
|  | 6 | Mean Raw Score | Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Raw } \\ & \text { Score } \end{aligned}$ | Level | Level |  | Mean <br> Raw <br> Score |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mén } \\ & \text { Raw } \\ & \text { Score } \end{aligned}$ | Level | Level |
| Letter 636 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sounds |  | 3.33 | 7 | 6.33 | 15 | 8 |  | 3.06 | 7 | 7.33 | 17 | 10 |
| Decoding |  | 0.50 | 3 | 2.83 | 1 | -4 |  | 1.03 | 3 | 4.97 | 12 | 9 |
| Auditory |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blending |  | 0.83 | 4 | 8.33 | 21 | 1.7 |  | 2.39 | 6 | $1 . .47$ | $1(\text { II })^{\text {a }}$ | 18 |
| Oral |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading |  | 0.00 | 0 | 2.33 | 1 | 1 |  | 0.11 | 0 | 5.08 | 14 | 14 |

[^0]| $\sim^{\circ}$ |  |  | OMPARI | SON OF | GAINS | OF SECO | $\frac{\mathrm{PE}}{\operatorname{GRAL}}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TA } \\ \text { HONICS } \\ \hline \text { DE PUPI } \\ \mathrm{N} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ABLE } 3 \\ & \text { SKILLS } \\ & \text { LLS IN } \\ & =62 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{S \text { TEST }}{\text { FOTLO }}$ | THROU | JGH FOR | $\mathrm{R} 1,2,$ | AND 3 | YEARS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PHONICS SKILLS |  | PUPILS IN FOLLOW THROUGH FOR 1 YEAR |  |  |  |  | PUPILS IN FOLLOW THROUGHFOR 2 YEARS |  |  |  |  |  | PUPILS IN FOLLOW THROUGH <br> FOR 3 YEARS |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBTESTS | No. of Pupils |  |  | Po | st | Gain | No. of Pupils | $\mathrm{Pr}$ |  | Po |  | Gain | No. Cf Pupils | $\mathrm{Pr}$ | e | Pos |  | Gain |
| $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{4}} \underset{\sim}{2}}{ }$ |  | Mean Raw Score | Level | Mean Kaw Score | Level | Level |  | Mean Raw Score | Level | Mean Raw Score | Level | Level |  | Mean Raw Score | Level | Mean Raw Score | Level | Level |
| Letter <br> Sounds | 3 | 4.33 | 10 | 8.33 |  | 9 | 24 | 4.63 | 12 | 5.63 | 15 | 3 | 35 | 5.63 | 15 | 7.06 | 17 | 2 |
| Decoding |  | 1.33 | 5 | 10.67 | I(II) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 19 |  | 2.58 | 7 | 5.17 | 12 | 5 |  | 5.17 | 12 | 11.37 | $1(I I){ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12 |
| Auditory Blending |  | 0.33 | 0 | 5.67 |  | 15 |  | 3.04 | 8 | 6.97 | 18 | 3 |  | 6.97 | 18 | 10.77 | $1(\mathrm{II})^{\text {a }}$ | 6 |
| Oral <br> Reading |  | 0.00 | 0 | 13.00 | 5(II) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 28 |  | 1.83 | 7 | 6.71 | 18 | 11 |  | 6.71 | 18 | 16.77 | $1(\text { III })^{\text {a }}$ | 15 |

[^1]
## B. Metropolitan Readiness Tests (MRT)

Objective B. First grade pupils who have been in the Follow Through program for the kindergarten year will score $C$ or higher on the Metropolitan Readiness Tests (MRT)...

The first grade pupils at John Hope were administered the Metropolitan Readiness Tests (MRT) as pretest. Possible grades on the MRT are A, B, $C, D$, and $E$. The number and percentage of the first grade pupils at John Hope àchieving each grade are listed in Table 4 below.

TABLE 4
FIRST GRADE METROPOLITAN READINESS TESTS (MRT) SCORES

$$
\mathrm{N}=82
$$

| Grade | $\frac{\text { Number }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Per Cent }}{7.3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 6 | 8.5 |
| B | 7 | 46.3 |
| C | 38 | 30.5 |
| D | 25 | 7.3 |

From the information in the table above, it can be found that 62.1 per cent of the first grade pupils at John Hope scored $C$ or above on the MRT.

## C. Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT)

Objective B.... The same percentage of first grade pupils who score $C$ or higher on the MRT will score 1.6 or above on the reading posttest of the Metropolitar Achievement Tests (MAT). The score 1.6 indicates a grade level of first grade, six months. For the second and third grade pupils, there will be a reading gain of one month for each month in school as indicated by the MAT pretest and posttest.

Objective C. The first grade pupils who participated in Follow Through's kindergarten will score $C$ or above on the MRT; those first graders scoring $C$ or above on the MRT will score at least 1.6 in mathematics on the MAT. The second and third graders will gain one month in mathematic ability for each month in school. This objective is stated with the qualification that Follow Through teaches mathematics using a logical approach.

Although 82 first graders took the MRT, only 55 of the first grade pupils took all sections of the MAT. The pupils were divided for analysis on the basis of Follow Through experience, and the results are reported in Table 5 on page 14. When comparing the scores of the two groups, approximately the same percentage of pupils in each of the groups scored 1.6 or above on Total Mathematics; however, a larger percentage of the Follow Through first grade pupils who had attended Follow Through kindergarten scored 1.6 or above on Total. Reading of the MAT.

The scores of the second grade pupils who took both MAT pretest and posttest were divided for analysis on the basis of the number of years the pupils had participated in Follow Through. The scores are listed in Isble 6 on page -5 .
$\therefore$ n objective for the second grade pupils was a gain of one month for each month in the Follow Through Program. Since there were six months between the MAT pretest and posttest, a gain of 0.6 (grade equivalent score) satisfied the objective. The objective was not achieved by the second grade pupils who entered Follow Through in the second grade or by the pupils who participated in Follow Through during the first and second grades. However, the second grade pupils who. had participated in Follow Through from kinciergarten through the second grade achieved the objective on the MAT subtest Reading. In addition, they gained over five months between the pretest and posttest on Word Analysis, Total Math, and Total. Reading.

The MAT posttest scores of the three second grade groups were compared. There was no significant difference in the scores of the second grade pupils who had entered Follow Through in the second grade
and the second grade pupils who had participated in Follow Through during the first and second grades. However, the second graders who had participated in Follow Through irom kindergarten through the second grade (approximately one-half the total second grade) scored significantly higher than the other two groups on all subtests of the MAT.

TABLE 5
NUMBER AND PFRCENTAGE OF PUPILS SCORING AT LEAST FIRST GRADE, SIX MONTHS ON THE METROPOLITAN ACHIEVEMENT TESTS FIRST GRADE $\mathrm{N}=55$

| Years in <br> Follow Through | Number | Pupils Scoring at Least 1.6 on Total Reading |  | Pupils Scoring at Least 1.6 on Total Math |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | $\mathscr{\%}$ | N | \% |
| 2 | 40 | 22 | 55.0 | 14 | 35.0 |
| 1 | $\therefore 5$ | 7 | 46.7 | 5 | 33.0 |
| Total | 55 | 29 | 52.7 | 19 | 34.5 |

D. Self-Concept

Objective D. The pupils will demonstrate significantly (. 05 level) self-concepts on the Sel.f Appraisal Inventory (SAI) than the comparison group.

A small sample of Follow Through pupils ( 75 Follow Through pupils from the 6 Follow Through schools) were administered the Self Appraisal Inventory (SAI). The scores were analyzed by grade level. However, there were too few pupils from John Hope Elementary School taking the invertory to analyze the scores separately from the total Follow Through group.


Objective E. The pupils will increase significantly (. 05 level) in positive attitudes toward school, teachers, and peers as shown by the pretest and posttest of the Test of Attitudes of Children (TAC).

The Test of Attitudes of Children (TAC) was administered to all Follow Through pupils by the Follow Through coordinator of assessment and development. After factor analysis of the test items, many were deleted for the posttest. Although requested, the scores of neither the pretest or the posttest were made available by the Educational Evaluative Services (EES) for the external evaluation of Follow Through conducted by the Department of Research and Development.

## E. Parent Involvement

Objective G. The parents or guardian of each eligible pupil will be visited during the year by the parent workers, who will demonstrate to the parents the Follow Through games and encourage the parents to play the games with the child. Parents will be invited to parent meetings, to visit the classroom, end to participate in parent volunteer groups throughout the year.

The parents of each eli.gible Follow Through child were visited durjing the school year. Parents were invited to Follow Through meetings, to visit the classroom, and to participate in parent volunteer groups. While a few parents volunteered for service in the classroom and for aiding the Follow Through staff in making Follow Through games, the majority of parent response was in serving as chaperones for pupils on trips to the dentist and physician or as chaperones on field trips in the city of Atlanta.

## F. Health Care

Objective H. Because the emphasis of the Follow Through health program is toward prevention and health education, each eligible child in the program will receive complete medical and dental care and daily instruction so that he will demonstrate good personal health habits. This will be evaluated by a survey-checklist. In addition, parents will be invited to attend sessions in which instruction in nutrition will be given.

Each Follow Through eligible child was given dental and health checkups during the school year. When necessary, dental work was performed. When illnesses occurred, pupils were taken to a pediatrician. The final health services report for Follow Through, which was included in the internal Follow Through final report, gave the data for John Hope. See Table 7 below.

## G. Psychological Service

Objective I. Psychological services will be made available to all eligible pupils as needed. Referrals to psychologists will be made by teachers, social workers, or Follow Through staff when a need is observed so that the reason for referral will be eliminated.

Psychological service was provided for Follow Through eligible children by two Follow Through psychologists. Referrals were made by classroom teachers, the social workers, or other Follow Through staff when the need was recognized.

TABLE 7
FOLLOW THROUGH HEALTH SERVICES FOR JOHN HOPE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

| Health Procedures | Pupils Assessed | Number of Pupils |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dental Care | Kindergarten | 79 |
|  | New Follow Through Pupils (Grades 1-2) | 54 |
| Physical Examination | Kindergarten and new Follow Through pupils | 77 |
| Height and Weight | All Follow Through pupils | 256 |
| Hematocrits | Kindergarten and new Follow Through pupils and Follow Through pupils with history of anemia | 150 |
| Immunization | As need indicated | 127 |
| Urinalysis | Kindergarten and new Follow Through pupils | 105 |
| Vision Screening | All Follow Through pupils | 106 |
| Hearing Screening | All Follow Through pupils | 144 |

## H. Independent Learning

A Follow Through objective was to enable pupils to become independent learners. A checklist was to be developed during the year to measure progress. However, the checklist is still in the developmental stage. Problems arose in attempting to isolate the behavioral characteristics of an independent learner.

## Effectiveness - Acceptability Study

John Hope Elementary School had both effective reading and effective mathematics programs during the 1971-72 school year, based on the EffectivenessAcceptability Study conducted by the Division of Research and Development. The study, which utilized median Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT) pretest, posttest, and gain scores of all pupils taking the MAT pretest and/or posttest was conducted within all the Atlanta Public Schools in order to compare the progress of pupils by school and by grade level, taking into account six factors which were believed to influence achievement. The factors were as follows:
A. Pretest scores.
B. Stability Index.
C. Per cent of paid lunches.
D. Pupil-teacher ratio.
E. Per cent of pupil attendance.
F. Per cent of pupils passing.

During the six months period between the MAT pretest and posttest, the pupils at John Hope were predicted to gain in reading ability, taking into account the six factors, from a low two months during the six months period in grade three to a high five months during the six months period in grade four.* (See Table 8 on page 20.)
*In the fourth grade, the study was based on posttest scores of 1970-71 since there was no Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT) pretest results available for use by the Atlanta Public Schools.

Analysis of the test data revealed that the third, fourth, and fifth grade pupils gained a hundred per cent or more than was predicted on the reading section of the MAT, indicating effective reading programs. The total second grade, which was predicted to show a gain of three months, actually gained two months.

To be designated as acceptable, the median performance of the pupils by grade level had to be at the national norm, which on the MAT was a score corresponding to the grade level of the pupils. The levels of acceptability ranged from 59 to 62 per cent in grades 2 through 5 .

The mathematics MAT data revealed the nathematics instruction at John Hope to be effective. (See Table 9 on page 20.) The overall effectiveness was 123 per cent of the prediction; the instruction in the fourth grade was 90 per cent effective; in the second and third grades, 100 per cent effective; and in the fifth grade, 200 per cent effective.

The overall acceptability of the mathematics program at John Hope was 74 per cent. The index of acceptability ranged from 67 per cent in grade two to 79 per cent in grade five.

Mathematics performance was included in the study for the first time during the 1971-72 school year. The Effectiveness-Acceptability Study of 1970-71 was based on reading performance only. When comparing the reading performance of the pupils at John Hope in 1970-71 to the performance in 1971-72, the performance of the second, third, and fourth grades was more effective in 1971-72. Consequently, the result was that the reading program for John Hope, which was found ineffective in 1970-71, was found to be effective in 1971-72.

## Career Opportunities Program (COP)

There were four aides at Dean Rusk who participated in the Career Opportunities Program (COP). In conjunction with COP, a random sample of teachers at John Hope were asked to complete the Organizational Climate Index (OCI) which was developed by George Stern of Syracuse University.

The OCI consists of 300 true or false statements concerning the organizational climate of the school. The index is based on thirty needpress scales postulated by Henry A. Murray and his associates of Harvard

TABLE 8
EFFECTIVENESS-ACCEPTABILITY OF READING PROGRAM 1971-72

| Grade | Actual MAT Scores |  | Gain |  | Gain Rate of Effectiveness |  | Index of Acceptability |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre | Post | Actual | Predicted 1 | 1971-72 | 1970-71 | 1971-72 | 1970-71 |
| 2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 67 | 0 | 59 | 59 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 150 | 120 | 62 | 65 |
| 4 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 120 | 40 | 62 | 57 |
| 5 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100 | 140 | 60 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | Average | e 109 | 75 | 61 | 62 |

TABLE 9
EFFECTIVENESS-ACCEPTABILITY OF THE MATHEMATIC PROGRAM 1971-72

| Grade | Actual MAT Scores |  | Gain Ef |  |  | Gain Rate of effectiveness | Index of Acceptability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre | Post | Actua | Predic |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 |  | 100 | 67 |
| 3 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 |  | 100 | 76 |
| 4 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 1.0 |  | 90 | 74 |
| 5 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  | 200 | 79 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | 123 | 74 |

University in 1938. These scales are derived from six first-order OCI factors. (The list is on page 1 of the Appendix.) Five of the six first order factors describe a second-order factor called "development press," which is the capacity of the organizational environment to support, satisfy, or reward selfactualizing behavior. The sixth first-order factor, impulse control, describes the second-order "control press," the characteristics of the environment which inhibit or restrict personal expressiveness.

The data on the climate at John Hope is included in Table $: 0$ on page 22. The raw scores on the six factors were converted to standard scores. Therefore, the higher the score on each factor (including number 6) the more open the climate.

The mean OCI scores were lower than the norm on Factors 1, 2, 4, and 5, indicating that the teachers perceived the climate in those areas not open. The six factors which are intellectual climate, achievement standards, supportiveness, and orderliness, are defined on page 2 of the Appendix.

In the general OCI study, which included twenty-eight schools, an intercorrelation matrix including the OCI factors, reading achievement scores, and per cent of attendance was computed. No significant correlation was found between the degree of openness of climate and achievement.

## Attendance

The overall Average Daily Attendance (ADA) at John Hope was 71 pupils. ADA in grades in two through five ranged from 68 pupils to 75 pupils. (See first item of Table 11 on page 23.)

The per cent of pupil attendance at John Hope ranged from 90 per cent in grade five to 93 per cent in grade three; the average for grades two through five was 91.5 per cent which was the same as the citywide average.

## IX. COST ANALYSIS

A cost analysis was performed in order to determine the relative cost of one grade unit of reading gain based upon the rate of reading gain during fall, winter, and spring quarters of 1971-72. Data from Atlanta Public School General Funds Report, June, 1972, and the Trust and Agency Report, June, 1972, were used. Included were general funds and compensatory funds from special projects.

The cost analysis of the reading gain is reported in Table 11 on page 23. The per pupil cost for one grade unit of gain varied from $\$ 847.85$ in grade two to $\$ 1,155.10$ in grade five, with an average of $\$ 955.17$ in grades two through five.

SCHOOL PROFILE OF STANDARD FACTOR SCORES ON THE ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE INDEX


In addition to determining the per pupil costs for one grade unit of gain, the cost for each unit of effectiveness as defined by the 1972 Effectiveness-Acceptability Study was computed. The reading program at John Hope was effective, and the average cost for a unit of effectiveness was $\$ 8.84$.

## X. CONCLUSIONS

To avoid confusion in the interpretation of the results of the Effectiveness-Acceptability Study in comparison with the Cost Analysis of the John Hope reading program, it must be remembered that the EffectivenessAcceptability Study was based on the median scores of all pupils taking the Metropolitan Achievement Tests (MAT) pretest and/or posttest. The cost analysis utilized the mean scores of only the pupils who attended John Hope
TABLE 11
COST ANALYSIS OF READING GAINS BY GRADES
TOTAL AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE (ADA) $\mathrm{K}-6=495$

|  | GRADES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Second | Third | Fourth | Fifth | Sixth | Average |
| ADA | 75 | 76 | 68 | 68 | $68(\mathrm{To}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \mathrm{al}=355) \end{gathered}$ |
| Per-Pupil Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. General Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Regular |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Salary | \$ 592.22 | \$ 592.22 | \$ 592.22 | \$ 592.22 | \$ 592.22 | \$ 592.22 |
| b. Non-salary | -37.55 | - 37.55 | -37.55 | -37.55 | 37.55 | 37.55 |
| d c. Subtotal | \$ 629.77 | \$ 629.77 | \$ 629.77 | \$ 629.77 | \$ 629.77 | \$ 629.77 |
| 2. CIP Salary | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.08 |
| 3. Total | \$629.85 | \$629.85 | \$629.85 | \$629.85 | \$629.85 | \$629.85 |
| B. Compensatory Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Follow Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Salary | \$ 416. 53 | - | - | - | - | \$ 426.53 |
| b. Non-salary | 81.73 | - | - | - | - | 81.73 |
| c. Subtotal | \$498.26 | - | - | - | - | \$498.26 |
| 2. ESAP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salary | -0- | -0- | 4.62 | 4.62 | 4.62 | 4.62 |
| 3. Title I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Salary | -0- | \$ 74.21 | \$ 114.06 | \$ 68.44 | \$ -0- | \$ 85.14 |
| b. Non-salary | -0 | 1.72 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 |
| c. Subtotal | -0- | \$ 75.91 | \$115.76 | \$ 70.14 | \$ 1.70 | \$ 86.84 |
| 4. COP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-salary | \$ 8.00 | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | \$ 8.00 |

TABLE 11 (CONT'D.)

| GRADES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | Third | Fourth | Fifth | Sixth | Average |
| $\begin{array}{r} \$ 416.53 \\ 89.73 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4.21 \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 118.68 \\ 1.70 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$ } 73.06 \\ 1.70 \\ \hline 74.76\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ \quad 4.62 \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 141.50 \\ \quad 20.30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| \$ 506.26 | \$ 75.91 | \$ 120.38 | \$ 74.76 | \$ 6.32 | \$161.80 |
| 1.34 | 0.80 | - | 0.61 | - | 0.93 |
| \$1,008. 83 | \$ 666.51 | \$ 710.98 | \$ 665.36 | \$ 596.92 | \$ 733.80 |
| $\underline{127.28}$ | $\underline{39.25}$ | $\underline{39.25}$ | 39.25 | 39.25 | -57.85 |
| \$1,136.11 | . $\$ 705.76$ | \$750.23 | \$704.61 | \$636.17 | \$791.65 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \$ 70.04 \\ \quad 377.81 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 787.31 \\ 94.89 \end{array}$ | - | \$1,032.54 | - | \$ 754.80 |
| \$847.85 | \$882.20 | - | $\frac{11,155.10}{}$ | - | $\underline{\text { 200. } 37}$ |
| 67. | 150 | 120 | 100 | - | 109 |
| \$ 9.40 | \$ 4.20 | \$ 5.25 | \$ 6.30 |  | \$ 6.31 |
| - 7.7 .56 | 0.51 | 1.00 | 0.75 | - | 2.43 |
| \$ 16.96 | \$ 4.71 | \$ 6.25 | \$ 7.05 |  | \$ 8.84 |

5. Total Compensatory Funds
a. Salary
b. Non-salary
c. TOTAL COMPENSA TORY FUNDS

Elementary School for the entire year, taking both the MAT pretest ard posttest. However, even though the two approaches were taken to analyze the pupil performance, the findings of the two studies were similar except at the second grade level. At that level it was found that the reading instruction was ineffective; the median gain was only two months during the six month period. However, when the pupils who took the pretest only and the pupils who took the posttest only were excluded, and the mean scores of pupils who took both the pretest and posttest were analyzed, it was found that the pupils gained at the rate of 134 per cent (more than one month for each month irs the program).

The performance of the Follow Through children in levels corresponding to the first and second grades was analyzed on the basis of the number of years the pupils had participated in Follow Through. There was no significant difference in phonics skills performance based on Follow Through experience.

It was found that 62.1 per cent of the first grade pupils scored $C$ or above on the MAT. When the pupils were divided for evaluation based on the number of years they participated in Follow Through, fifty-five per cent of the first grade pupils who had atiended Follow Through kindergarten and first. grade compared with 46.7 per cent of the pupils who had entered Follow Through in the first grade scored 1.6 or above on the Total Reading of the MAT. However, the percentages of the two groups scoring 1.6 or higher on Total Mathematics of the MAT were similar ( 35 per cent compared with 33 per cent, respectively). Therefore, it can be concluded that while the reading performance of the first grade pupils in Follow Through for two years was superior to that of those in Follow Through for only one year, there was little difference in mathematics performance.

The performance of the second grade pupils was evaluated based on Follow Through experience. It was found that the pupils who participated in Follow Through from kindergarten through the second grade scored significantly higher on all subtests of the MAT than either the second grade pupils who had entered Follow Through in the first grade or those who entered Follow Through in the second grade. Therefore, those second grade pupils in Follow Through for three years were the better performers in both reading and mathematics.

In ihe Follow Trrough Program at John Hope, the greatest impact was made in the areas in which the objectives were clearly stated in behavioral terms.

In the areas in which the objectives were defined in process and not clarified in performance terms, such as the parent involvement objective, no pupil gain could be identified.

When evaluating the performance of the pupils at John Hope based on Follow Througn experience, it was found that approximately 75 per cent of the first grade pupils had participated in Follow Through during the kindergarten and the first grade. At the second grade level, approximately 50 per cent of the children had participated in Follow Through from the kindergarten through the second grade. While this appears to be a reflection of hi.gh mobility, the stability index for the school was 78 per cent. The chief reason many pupils entered Follow Through at the first grade level is kindergarten attendance is no mandatory in Atlanta Public Schools.

Although found not effective in 1970-71, both the reading and the mathematics programs at John Hope were found to be effective during 1971-72. The level of acceptability was low in reading, but the level of acceptability of the overall mathematics program was 74 per cent, indicating that the mathematics performance of the pupils lacked 26 per cent approaching the national norm.

The pupil attendance at John Hope Elementary School was 91.5 per cent. This was approximately the same as the citywide average.

The climate at John Hope, as viewed by the teachers, was not open. Although no significant correlation between the degree of openness and pupil achievement has been found, openness indicates an environment which is supportive and satisfying to teachers. The lack of openness indicates a need for more communication and understanding among the staff.

## XI. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the test data and information from the staff at John Hope Elementary School, the recommendations are as follows:

1. Continue the effective reading and mathematics programs at John Hope.
2. Refine the Follow Through objectives and state all in behavioral terms.
3. Strive for a more open climate at John Hope.

APPENDIX

1. Sonsement-2sourance: self-cierevition versus self-coridence
2. 品hievenant: striving for suceess through persongl ereort
3. to su气gestion
4. Aperiliation-reiection: frienaliness versus uniriendiress
5. Ageression-blame avoidance: hostility versus disorganization
6. Change-sameness: flexibility versus routine
7. Conjunctivity-disjunctivity: planfulness versus organization
8. Counteraction-inferiority avoidance: restriving after failure versus withdrawal
9. Deference-restiveness: respect for authority versus rebelliouness
10. Dominance-tolerance: ascendance versus forbearance
11. Ego Achievement: striving for power through social action
12. Emotionality-placidity: expressiveness versus restraint
13. Energy-passivity: effort versus inertia
14. Exhibitionism-inferiority avoidance: attention-seeking versus shyness
15. Fantasied achievement: daydreams of extraordinary public recognition
16. Harm avoidance-risk-taking: fearfulness versus thrill seeking
17. Humanities-social sciences: interests in the humanities and the social sciences
18. Imoulsiveness-deliberation: impetuosity versus reflection
19. Narcissism: vanity
20. Muturance-rejection: helping others versus indifierərce
21. Qojectivit,y-projectivity: detachment versus superstition (AI) or suspicion (EI)
22. Order-discrder: compulsive organization of details versus carelessness
23. Play-work: pleasure-seeking versus purposefulness
24. Practicalness-impracticalness: interest in practical activities versus indifference
25. Reflectiveness: introspective contemplation
26. Science: interest in the natural sciences
27. Sensuality-puritanism: interest in sensory and aesthetic experiences
28. Sexuality-prudishness: heterosexual interests versus inhibitions of heterosexual interests
29. Supplication-autonony: dependency versus self-reliance
30. Understanding: intellectuality

## f. Development Press

1. Intellectual climate - This factor describes a cer: with
intellectual activity, social action, ani persenai for uivenss. It is based on the scales for humanities, social. scom, seience, reflectiveness, understanding, fantasied achievencri, wivitionis.n, and change. A school that scores high on this fartor is one in which there is a hig? degree of intellectuafity, notesserai interests, flexibility, and attention seeking.
2. Achievement standards - This is the factor reflectirg press for achievement. Schools high on this factor stress hard work. perseverance, and a total day-by-day commitment to $\dot{z}$ stintutional purposes. It is defined by counteraction, energy, acisteveiment, emotionality, and ego achievement.
3. Practicalness - This factor suggests an environmental dimension of practicality tempered with friendiness. It is defined by practicalness and nurturance. A school that scores high on this factor is one in which the teachers feel there is a high interest in practical activity and a desire for helping others.
4. Supportiveness - This factor deals with aspects of the organizational environment that respect the integrity of the teacher as a persorn, but the implication is that dependency neecs must be supported rather than personal autonomy emphasized. It might be considered a measure of democratic paternalism. The scales defining it are assurance, tolerance, objectivity, affiliation, conjunctivity, supplication, blame avoidance, harm avoidance, and nurturance. A school that scores high on this factor is one in which the teachers feel a high degree of self-confidence, friendliness, and planfulness.
5. Orderliness - The components of this factor are concerned with the press for organizational structure, procedure, orderliness, and a respect for authority. Conformity to community pressures and an effort to maintain a proper institutional image probably are also concomitants of a high score on this factor. It is based on order, narcissism, adaptability, conjunctivity, deference, and harm avoidance. A school that scores high on this factor is one in which the teachers feel there is a compulsive organization of details, acceptance of criticism, respect for authority, vanity, and planfulness.
E. Gorserg Fress
 Levelomant Fress, Control Press involves:

Imoulse control - This factor implies a hien level of constraint End organizational resirictiveness. There is İtile orromunity for personal expression or for any form oi impilsive eenavior. It is based on work instead of play; prudishness versus sexuality; aggression versus blame avoidance; impulsiveness versus deliberation; emotionality versus placidity; and exhibitionism versus inferiority avoidance. A school that scores high on this factor is one in which the teachers feel there is a high degree of purposefulness, heterosexual interests, hostility, impetuosity, expressiveness, and restriving after failure.


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Roman numeral in parentheses refers to the chapter. If not.indicated, the level is of Chapter I of the DAD.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a_{~ T h e ~}}$ Roman numeral in parenthesis refers to the chapter number. If not indicated, the level is in Chapter 1
    of the DAD.

