DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 072 121   UD 013 135

TITLE   The Sociology of Black American Literature: The
                Changing Social Role of Black Writers. Working Paper
                Number 171.
INSTITUTION   Chicago Univ., Ill. Center for Social Organization
                Studies.
REPORT NO   CSOS-WP-171
PUB DATE   Dec 70
NOTE   31p.

EDRS PRICE   MF-$0.65 HC-$3.29
DESCRIPTORS   *Authors; Negro Culture; Negro History; *Negro
                Literature; Negro Role; Political Issues; *Race
                Relations; Racial Integration; Role Conflict; *Role
                Theory; *Socialization; Social Relations; Social
                Structure; Sociocultural Patterns; Sociology

ABSTRACT
        The basic problematic issue confronting us in the
study of black American writers and literature is that of determining
whether we can identify the factors which conduce to the development
of given social role conceptions, since the latter determines
intellectual and artistic orientations to reality. In general, the
problem is that of ascertaining the extent to which these roles are
the consequences of common socialization or of concrete social
relations. Once we have identified a writer's social role, we expect
a convergence in stylistic orientation between his and that of other
writers who possess similar role conceptions. Analysis proceeds by
empirical inquiry into the variety of structural contexts within
which writers are located from one historical period to another; and
identification of the process by which the writer's social role is an
outcome of given types of structural and historical locations. In
general, the issue is that of the greater degree of instability of
the literary artist's role, which is the consequence of its weak
institutionalization in modern American society. By treating the
issue of intellectual and social role this way, the sociology of
knowledge achieves sharper differentiation of the occupationally
problematic mandates in which these roles consist, and clear
perception of the variety of references to which such roles are
oriented. (Author/JM)

ED 072121

UD 013135

THE SOCIOLOGY OF BLACK AMERICAN LITERATURE:

THE CHANGING SOCIAL ROLE OF BLACK WRITERS

THE SOCIOLOGY OF BLACK AMERICAN LITERATURE:
THE CHANGING SOCIAL ROLE OF BLACK WRITERS

Background

To even the most casual observer of American society, the relations
between black and white citizens must certainly constitute one of its most
problematic aspects. The history of black people in American society has
been in virtually every aspect unique. No other ethnic group in the U.S.
underwent the experiences of enslavement and the subsequent reluctance of
government to enforce the laws in protection of its citizenship rights.
With the abolition of slavery, federal law for the first time departed from
the tacit alliance it shared in the support of that institution. And this
occurrence marked the beginning of an as yet unresolved conflict between
legal and cultural prescriptions regarding the matter of race. It is this
situation that makes up the background of the historical drama of race-
relations in the present century.

The Ideological Response

Among black American intellectuals and community leaders there have
been two basic patterns of ideological orientation to the plight of black
people in the United States: separation and assimilation. Between these two
tendencies there has existed a persistent tension, often reflected in the
different social class locations of competing advocates. Understanding
such ideological orientations is essential to apprehending the assumptions

1

or premises that characterize a historical period. But even more importantly, ideological world-views serve as a basis for insight into the self-conceptions, or social roles, assumed by those intellectuals who endorse them. Specifically the major race relations ideologies manifested by black intellectuals have been

(1) Booker T. Washington's self-help emphasis on industrial education for the black masses, which was the dominant race relations ideology up until his death in 1915. Though Washington was the most influential black leader among white American philanthropists, his position did not go unchallenged.

(2) W.E.B. DuBois, the Harvard educated historian, advocated an integrationist approach to be achieved through the education of a black leadership class, the talented ten per cent. Indeed the Washington-DuBois controversy constituted the most significant controversy in pre-World War I black American intellectual life. It seems a general axiom in intellectual history that all major transformations in intellectual outlook are preceded by periods of conflict between competing schools of thought.

The approach of the N.A.A.C.P. and the Urban League were to become the institutional embodiments of the integrationist race relations ideology. Advocated by intellectuals who were products of the middle class strata and integrated universities, this approach of education and assimilation has been by far the most persistent

if not always the most popular. Reasons for its long tenure
are basically institutional. Since the death of Booker T.
Washington the integrationist-assimilationist movement has
received the greatest share of financial support and legiti-
mation from white philanthropist and government leaders.
Even so, this ideology was not to go unchallenged.

(3) Marcus Garvey, a Jamaican, came to the U.S. in 1916 to
meet Booker T. Washington with the ostensive purpose of
soliciting support in setting up a Tuskegee type institution
in Jamaica. Instead, Garvey developed a separatist philosophy
and organization based in Harlem. This movement presented the
"integrationist" advocates perhaps their greatest challenge.
Several factors facilitated this turn of events. One, Washington
died before Garvey met him; two, Woodrow Wilson's emphasis on
self-determination of subject peoples. Three, with the generally
deteriorating plight of black agricultural workers in the South
and with the need for increased industrial manpower during World
War I, large numbers of black people migrated North. This pre-
cipatated race riots and an overall increase in tensions between
white and blacks. Given all these difficulties of urban adaptation,
a mood of discontent began to spread among the black masses. Four,
Garvey's own lack of familiarity with industrial cities, especially
his misapprehension of how to build industrial enterprises. With
these facts and, also, the failure of existing intellectual and

4

community leaders to articulate the discontent in ethnic terms--
Garvey's separatist appeal touched off a flame of enthusiasm.
This back-to-Africa ideology was to enjoy its greatest vogue in
the early part of the 1920's. Why it proved more effective
among the black masses than the integrationist's ideology has to
do precisely with its strongly expressed anti-white hostility
and its emphasis upon 'ethnic pride'. Although the integra-
tionist ethic would remain dominant, thereafter the ethnic
nationalism represented by Garvey was to provide a persistent
counterpoint. The hostile reception that met the Garvey movement
from black leaders, businessmen and the government has to be
understood in terms of the institutional supports granted the.
'integrationist' approach. It was so to speak the official race
relations ethic.

Indeed under conditions of perpetual poverty and low rates of social
mobility, there is a sense in which this ideology is indemic to the black
urban ghetto. With the traditional ties to the church weakened by the tran-
sition·from the rural south, the persisting effects of economic insecurity
and racial discrimination, integrationism is little more than an irrelevant
ideal. Garveyism initiated an unprecedented emphasis on ethnic pride. For
the first time the issue of community, not as a transit stage to integration
but as a permanent entity, became a possibility. In a situation previously
defined as being appropriate only to industrial education of the masses or
classical education of the elite, Garvey initiated bold redefinitions. For

5

his was the first approach which denied the implicit assumption that integration was a desireable goal. The fact that he was prosecuted under a questionable charge and courtroom proceedings would seem to further attest to the institutional attribution of odium to his movement. But ever since the 1920's, the notion of ethnic nationalism has proved a persistent and troublesome irritant to integrationists.

(4) The last ideological response to race relations, also a troublesome irritant to the integrationists organizations but no friend of ethnic nationalism, was Marxism and the communist party. Never did Marxism have a greater influence among black American intellectuals than during the depression decade of the 1930's. But it never succeeded in the realization of its grass roots ambitions among the black masses as had the Garvey movement. Indeed most of the black intellectuals who were attracted to Marxism were none other than prominent integrationists of the 1920's decade, who were alienated from both American capitalism and the ethnic culture of the poor blacks who inhabited the large city slums. They were generally better educated and moved in more interracial social circles than did the average black American slum dweller. Ironically both Marxism and the integrationist approaches are parallel in their de-emphasis on ethnicity and in the hostility they expressed toward the Garvey movement.

While they do not share the same suppositions about social order, this common distaste for 'ethnic nationalism' may account for the fact that

many disillusioned integrationist black intellectuals turned to Marxism rather than ethnic nationalism. Following the Second World War the response of many disillusioned integrationists would be expatriation to Europe rather than Marxism. Though European expatriation has been of no significance in terms of the black masses, it has had important consequences for the expatriated black American intellectual's conception of his social role in relations to the American social scene.

Interestingly there is no overlap between the two categories. Marxist of the thirties did not tend to expatriate; disillusioned integrationists of the post-war forties did.

We summarize the above ideological orientations in the following typology.

Race Relations

Ideology

Economic Position	Integration	Separation	Period of Dominance
Capitalistic	N. A. A. C. P. I	Tuskegee II	I-Pervasive 20's,40's,50's II-Pre-1915
Socialistic	Communist Party III	Garveyism? UNIA IV	III-1930's IV-Early1920's

The above is intended only as a sketch of the race relations ideologies and organizations up to the mid-fifties. It is not meant to be exhaustive, for we have excluded the existentialist ideology of the 1950's,

the expatriation ideology, the new civil rights activism of the late 50's

and early 60's and militant separatists ideology of the late 60's.

Obviously no study of stylistic orientations in black literature can

exclude the later developments. However, our purpose here was not so much

to be definitive, as it was to provide a background of the ideological

currents which have influenced the black writer's conception of his social

role. More on this later; now we will place the preceding ideological

orientations in a sociological perspective.

II

RACE RELATIONS IDEOLOGIES IN SOCIOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVES

What we find when we examine the above ideological responses socio-

logically is that they correspond to definite structural conditions.

Ethnic nationalism appears to have had its widest appeal among the lower

strata, whereas the integrationist ideology attracted the support of the

black middle classes. Whether the integrationist's position accented

merely economic opportunities or general social integration seems to have

been dependent upon the social background and occupational base of the black

middle class. The black bourgoise strata, whose socialization and educational

experiences were primarily segregated and whose occupations are located in

the black community (teaching, law, medicine, etc.), manifested a concern

for greater economic opportunity. Whereas their opposites, the black inte-

grationist emphasized not only greater economic opportunity but also social

integration. The socialization and educational experiences of the group

tend to be interracial. It is this version of the integrationist ideology which received the greatest support and legitimation from whites. As opportunities for professional employment outside the black community increases, along with increasing enrollment of black students in interracial universities—the likelihood is strong that the black bourgoise strata will be replaced by an integrationist oriented black middle class group. Noteworthy, the assumption upon which this approach is based suggests that the problems confronted by black Americans could be alleviated by a combination of education, good will and legislative proscription of racial discrimination. The fact that most such legislative prescriptions lacked adequate enforcement apparatus played no small part in the increasing cynicism toward the motives of white leaders.

The structural basis of ethnic nationalism is the disproportion between the economic impoverishment of the black masses as contrasted to the increasing prosperity of the society at large. Whereas the period of Marxist influence was one in which the impoverishment of the black masses corresponded to that of many whites—hence, the basis for the articulation of an alliance. We will not here enter into the detail of the process. Our aim is only to suggest the interrelatedness of structural and ideological factors.

Social integration of black Americans into the wider society can only proceed by the disintegration of the black group as an ethnic entity in American society. We should therefore expect to find little or no sense of ethnic identification among black intellectuals during those periods

when integrationist ideology is dominant--as was the case with the Marxian advocacy of worker's integration during the depression of the 1930's and the civil rights advocacy of integration in the 1940's and 1950's.

The model we are proposing here is that of value conflicts and competing status systems. (See Gusfield, 1966) Under conditions of integrationist ideology, there is an implicit symbolic degradation of ethnic culture. For the above integration, rather assimilation, accents the values of the dominant culture, such as its patterns of education, family life, work, leisure time habits, etc. Such traits of ethnic culture as dialect, food habits, modes of dress, dance and behavior are symbolically degraded. This matter has received extensive discussion in reference to intellectuals in developing countries.

As a sociological phenomenon, it is not difficult to understand how this process proceeds by means of social mobility. Given relatively low rates of upward mobility, however, especially generational succession in urban slums--the result of an integrationist outlook is likely to be a widespread sense of low self esteem and dislocation.

Basic Problem: Social Role of Black American Writers

As more and more systematic study of intellectual and artistic orientations is conducted, the sociology of knowledge promises to open a new frontier of inquiry.

In his book, Ideology and Utopia, Karl Mannheim refers to the intelligentsia as a relatively classless, socially unattacked intelligentsia (freiwebende intelligenz). (See Mannheim, 1936) He goes on to suggest that

their backgrounds are too differentiated to permit their identification as
a class. "Consequently it cannot be maintained that they are homogeneously
determined. There is, however, one unifying sociological bond between all
groups of intellectuals, namely, education, which binds them together in a
striking way."

However, Mannheim seems somewhat uncertain about this as we note
in another context he writes:

> Intellectual and cultural history is surely shaped, among
> other things, by social relations, in which men get confronted
> with each other, by groups within which they find mutual stimulus,
> where concrete struggles produce entelechies and thereby also
> influence to a large extent shapes, art, religion and so on.
> (Emphasis added)

What Mannheim refers to as an entelechy we designated as a social
role. The basic problematic issue confronting us in the study of black
American writers and literature is that of determining whether we can
identify the factors which conduce to the development of given social role
conceptions. For it is the latter which determines intellectual and
artistic orientations to reality. In general, the problem is that of ascer-
taining the extent to which these roles are the consequences of common
socialization (i.e., education, social class, etc.) or of concrete social
relations.

Formulation of the problem in this way avoids two prevalent pitfalls.
One is that exemplified in Mannheim's work, and that of intellectual
historians and critics. The delineation of primary factors is so vague as
to obscure the relationship between them. Thus, for example, the term
"shapes" as a designation of relationship between factos is plainly inadequate.

11

Two, assumption of the point to be proved. The intellectual and artistic roles are treated as products of social class, national character, and the like. Marx's formulation is a familiar case in point (see Marx, 1904).

In a recent paper we attempted to provide a scheme for wider range of differentiation between the social organization contexts of intellectual and artistic roles. Our objectives were to differentiate between the duties incumbent upon such locations and, also, to distinguish between those social structures that conduce to inte~~grational~~ *-mental* orientation and those that do not (see "Institutionalization of Intellectual Process," Center for Social Organization Studies, #154).

Returning now to the problem of freiwebende intelligenz, hypothesis is that non-institutionally affiliated intellectual and artistic roles vary widely in the degree to which they are structurally embedded versus free floating. Such roles are far less likely to be removed from structural contexts than Mannheim assumed. Our objective is to delineate the structural context of the writer's location and its orientation to reality as the basis for determination of his social role conception. Once we have identified a writer's social role, we therefore expect a convergence in stylistic orientation between his and other writers who possess similar social role conceptions.

Successful literary works are often arrested forms, and there are few better examples of this than Richard Wright's Native Son. It came to serve as a model for aspiring young black authors of the 1940's. Undertaking

the imperatives of form and emphasis dictated by the model, be i a successful novel, poem, or play, constitutes nothing less than the assumption of a role definition of one's self as a writer. Just as stylistic orientation corresponds to a role conception, it is also the writer's preferred mode of perception. What Thornstein Veblen so aptly termed--occupational psychosis. The type construct of the Native Son model dictated a 1 terature of naturalistic protest.

As noted, our aim will be to distinguish between socialization determinants of the writer's social role versus those of social relations. Analysis here then proceeds not by assumption of the problematic issue, but rather by empirical inquiry into the variety of structural contexts within which writers are located from one historial period to another; and identification of the process by which the writer's social role is an outcome of given type structural and historical locations.

In general the issue is that of the greater degree of instability of the literary artist's role, which is the consequence of its weak institutionalization in modern American society. By treating the issue of intellectual and social role this way the sociology of knowledge achieves sharper differentiation of the occupationally problematic mandates in which these roles consists and clear perception of the variety of references to which such roles are oriented. This approach makes a departure from intellectual history which tends to treat ideas as though their history were independent of the lives and preoccupations of men in their social existence.

When compared to socially organized occupations such as the profes-

sions and wage labor, the problematic nature of artistic and non-academic intellectual roles becomes quite clear. It is this role context that Everett Hughes refers to as an occupation's mandates, which "claim to define not merely for themselves, but for others as well--proper conduct with respect to the matters concerned in their work." (See Hughes, 1952) Lacking an institutional base, the mandate of intellectual and artistic work becomes its most problematic element.

It is the objective of our analysis of black American literature to explain how such mandates come into being as a consequence of changes in the social background and location, explanation of how the black American writer's ideological orientation is articulated in terms of the above and what, overall, has been the consequences of these changes in the conditions under which the black writer exist in American society, namely their social relations, for the pattern of development of black American literature. Ordinarily the mandate of occupations tend to be unproblematic. For orientations to the immediate sphere of reality is regulated by the control structure of the institutional setting such as wage work or socialization such as is the case of the professions. Study of university affiliated intellectual spheres such as the sciences indicates the functiong of both control and reward structures as the basis for structural consensus and integrated continuity.

In studying the development of non-institutionally affiliated intellectual and artistic roles, it is important to take note of both the system of exchanges and the semantic references that sustain them--in a large

14

historical perspective. As Kenneth Burke so aptly states it:

> Of course, if the conditions of living have undergone
> radical changes since the time when the scheme of duties and
> virtue crystalized, the serviceability of the orientation may
> be impaired. Our duties may not serve their purposes so well
> as they once did. Thus we may no longer be sure of our duties,
> with the result that we may cease to be sure of our motives.
> We may then be open to a new theory of motivations than we should
> be at a time when the idea of duty was more accurately adjusted
> to the situation (see Burke, 1954).

The transformation of occupational structure in western society from
a feudal to a contractual set of relations had far reaching consequences for
the roles of artists and intellectuals. In one sense they were freer than
they had been, but also their status was more insecure. Their place and
condition of life were subject to wide ranging fluctuation.

It is true, as Hughes points out, that "modern industrial and urban
societies and economies, no matter what the political systems under which
they operate, are characterized by a wholesale mobilization of people away
from traditional and familial activities into more formally organized work."

But actually the case was quite the opposite for literary artists.
". . . There are some indications that, as an indirect result of their role
in removing literature from the control of patronage and bringing it under
the control of the laws of the market place, they (the booksellers) both
assisted the development of one of the characteristic technical innovations
of the new form--its copious particularity and explanation--and made possible
the remarkable independence of Defoe and Richardson from the classical
tradition which was indispensable condition for their literary achievement."
(See Watt, 1967) This appears to have been the consequence for classical

tradition generally with the modernization of the literary artist's role. With the exception of the Soviet Union and other socialist bloc countries, no attempt has been undertaken to professionalize the writer's role and, of course, his orientation.

Given changes in historical conditions and corresponding changes in orientation, we have the phenomenon of "in-datedness" versus "out-datedness" or orientation. Thus we find between authors the simultaneous existence of incompatible orientations--which are the products of different socialization and social relational experiences. This, of course, can be referred back to the weak institutionalization of literary roles--and the wide range of freedom of reference permitted them than, say, the roles of physicists and economists. "In-datedness" of outlook would seem to correspond to an orientation's development in response to new historical and social trends--whereas "out-datedness" simply has refernece to older and extant social and historical conditions. Thus the romanticism of the 18th century were "in-dated" in the sense it was a product of changing conditions of life--whereas, classicism was "out-dated" in the sense that the conditions of life that conduced to its maintainance, namely narrow based educational and social relational experiences, ceased to exist with the demise of the aristocratic stratum. There are countless other examples of "in-datedness" and "out-datedness" of outlook, for non-institutionally bounded intellectual and artistic orientations appear to have limited tenures. This would appear to be a consequence of the fact that their role conceptions are much more directly governed by the fluctuating fortunes of historical

conditions—especially in so far as the latter affects the writer's social
location, livelihood and sense of self-esteem. We hear such references
frequently today when certain intellectuals are designated as being
"oriented to the cold war" and others to "post cold war ideology." The
implication of "lag" is definitely there. In our analysis of black
American literature, we will be particularly concerned to identify these
various strata of historical reference in the orientations expressed.

Changing Social Contexts, Social Roles and Styles
Of Black American Writers: A Preliminary Outline

The relationship between the dominant ideological orientation of
the writer's social context and writer's conception of his role is of
crucial significance. Especially important is a differentiation of the
system of exchanges between the black literary artist and his social con-
text—educative, financial, emotionally supportive, etc. A mistake commonly
made is that of assuming all writers to have a basically similar social
context, relationship to it and conception of their responsibility as an
artist. In general, this context may vary from that of an ethnic group,
geographical region, nation state, social class, circle, etc. Understand-
ing the nature of this social context and the writer's reference to it is
very closely related to the problems of provincialism in literature. The
literary style, or role, designated as modernism is distinguished by the
fact that it has a rather narrow social context, namely that of literary
circles, and its conception of its responsibility tends not to extend

beyond an allegiance to "art for art's sake." With the exception of a few individual black American authors, modernism has been of slight consequence. The social context and the author's conceptions of his responsibilities have usually extended beyond that of narrow literary circles. Basically black American literature has been ethnic and/or political in orientation: the decade of the 1920's was characterized by an orientation of ethnic realism, the Harlem Renaissance; next there was the period of the late 30's and the decade of the 40's which was characterized by a mood of nation-alistic protest, modeled on Richard Wright's Native Son; the decades of the 1950's was under the influence of the existentialist individualism of Ralph Ellison's Invisible Man; this was followed by the orientation of moral suasion as expressed in the essays and fiction of James Baldwin and the civil rights activities of Martin Luther King; lastly, the mood is one of mili-tant nationalism--initiated by Malcom X and best exemplified in the writings of Leroi Jones. The following section will offer a brief sketch of each of these orientations by relating them to the outline of background ideologies in the first section. Analysis of these shifts in orientation presents the basic outline of our dissertation.

The Harlem Renaissance of the 1920's

Following the emergence of Marcus Garvey and the new mood of ethnic pride in the 1920's, we observe the development of the Harlem Renaissance literary movement among black writers. The school's major exemplars, Jean Toomer, Claude McKay, James Weldon Johnson, Countee Cullen and Langston Hughes had all attended colleges. Both Johnson and Cullen were graduates,

with the former having attained a law degree and the latter a Phi Beta
Kappa graduate of New York University. They were all products of middle
class backgrounds--which in the several decades after 1900 meant that their
parents had middle class occupations such as school teaching in black
American communities. Though well educated by the standards of the day,
all had erratic occupational histories. Having assimilated the values of
white American culture, they were all frustrated in their adult lives by
the barriers of racial discrimination. Yet none was a radical, except
McKay--who belonged to the communist party. Given the basically incom-
patible forces which made for the development of the literary orientation
of the Harlem Renaissance school, it is not surprising to discover the
movement's ambivalence of meaning reflected in lives and writings of these
authors.

On the one hand it stressed the intrinsic quality of black American
ethnic culture, while on the other it symbolized the high levels of educa-
tional achievement attained by a few blacks. This latter fact was thought
to be a testimony of the talent of black American individuals. One finds it
most directly stated in Alain Locke's essay, "The New Negro."

> Sentimental interest in the Negro has ebbed. We used to
> lament this as the falling off of our friends, now we rejoice
> and pray to be delivered from self-pity and condescension. . . .
> There is a growing realization that in social effort the co-
> operative basis must supplement long distance philanthropy,
> and that the only safeguard for mass relations in the future
> must be provided in the carefully maintained contacts of the
> enlightened minorities of both race groups.

The ambivalence was not logical rather it was a lived contradiction
in the lives of these writers. In response to the increased social con-

sciousness of the black masses, the black writer's conception of his relationship to the larger black group changed. However, this was facilitated paradoxically. The development of the movement itself only became possible as a consequence of increased social ties between black writers and white patrons. The latter's interest in the life of the black masses was not the product of Garveyism, but rather an exotic primitivist vogue which had come to fore following white intellectual disillusionment with the war. Hence, white artists-patrons sought out the life of Harlem and friendship with black artists. The most significant white figure for the Harlem Renaissance was Carl Van Vechten, a leading member of the New York literati. Thus the ethnic realism of the Harlem Renaissance was in fact the interception of two contradictory trends which facilitated its emergence.

One hypothesis we shall be interested in examining is whether black American literary and cultural endeavors during this decade were the pursuits of those whose socialization was inter-racial and who were otherwise prevented from attaining a middle class occupation and life style. When we turn to the next period of the late 30's and 40's, black American writers tend to be less well educated. The fact that stands out about most American writers is that they tend not to be university graduates. Is it because middle class professions in the wider society were closed to blacks-- educated or not-that these men turned to literary occupations as a means of realizing their integrationist value orientations? These and other questions we will address ourselves to in our analysis of the period of the 1920's.

As we turn to the next significant period in the development of black American literature, the naturalistic protest phase of the late 30's and 40's, we observe quite a different group of writers. Unlike the writer of the decade of the 1920's, these writers were not products of the black middle class strata. Naturalism came into vogue in American literature after the turn of the century, in the works of writers such as Theodore Dreiser and Frank Norris. Not until the depression in the middle thirties, when much emphasis was placed upon the exploitation of the poor, did natrualism come into prominence in black American literature. In contrast to the Harlem Renaissance which was influenced by the ethnic nationalism of Marcus Garvey, this movement reflects the ideology of Marxism. Richard Wright, the son of poor black family from rural Mississippi, was the exemplar of naturalism in black American literature. During his formative years in Chicago, Wright's early associates were Marxists, though he later denounced allegiance to the party publicly. He retained many of the assumptions of Marxism, its strong emphasis on proletarian themes and class exploitation, but withdrew explicit endorsement from its philosophy. Subsequently his work would evidence a strain between Marxist and ethnic thought. Native Son represented a dominant model in black literature which was to reign until the early 50's.

To what extent was the diffusion of this stylistic outlook the product of participation of young black writers during the period in sociometric circles of Marxism or imitation of Native Son as an ideal form? This is always problematic in the emergence of any artistic movement. Noted

above, it refers to the distinction between role concept and style as the product of background and social relations versus that of imitation or learning of received models (education). It is not enough to know that so and so learned such and such technique from Dostevsky and Conrad--we must also ascertain the source of the works meaning. The latter is far more likely to have reference to contemporaneous frames of meaning.

The next major stage of black American literature is the decade of the 1950's, which is dominated by Ellison's Invisible Man and post-war existentialist ideology. Having come into vogue during the second World War, though clearly much older than this, existentialism was a reaction to the mythical and irrational impositions of the group. Be its origin ethnic, religious, political or moral--existentialism stood in opposition to trans-historical meanings. Moreover, though this is only marginal to Ellison's work, it was an expression of lost faith in the scientific Aationality in human affairs. Satre and Camus were its most popular post-war exponents. Though much too complex to enter into here, the prototypical existentialist situation is one of choice--the individual in isolation of the group.

Generally, Ellison's Invisible Man stands as one of the most effective expressions of existentialism in American literature. Richard Wright, then resident in Paris and formerly the leading figure of the naturalistic protest school, also came under the influence of existentialism. This was a time when its socio-metric circle included people such as Satre and Simone de Beauvoir. Wright's novel, The Outsider, is a fitting testament to this fact.

22

Among the most significant features of this orientation was the black
American writer's changed conception of his role vis a vis the larger
black community. He ceased to view himself as "spokesman of the group'
rather he saw himself as an individual. Following the war there had been
a general mood-of disillusionment with Marxism. In part this was a reaction
to Stalin and the Soviet German pact during the early stages of the second
World War. but no less important in the U.S. were the activities of Senator
Joseph McCarthy who, through the public ridicule of intellectuals and
artists, once again made individualism a fashionable intellectual outlook.
No systematic cultural history of this period—with explicit attention to
its literary phase—exists. However, disillusionment, often expressed as
'enlightenment' was widespread. It is also significant that Ellison's
novel was published some two years before the Supreme Court's epic making
desegregation decision.

Emphasis upon individuation of experience, heightened subjectiviza-
tion of perception, was strongly manifest. Issues concerning the author's
isolation from the problems of black American community life were particu-
larly accented by more than one critic of existentialist black American
authors.

Of importance, we must explore such issues as those related to the
social experiences that correspond to the stylish orientation of this period.
Might this orientation be explained by the isolation of these authors from
black American community life? In view of the fact that many participants
in the phase of black American literature are still living, it will be

possible to probe the conditions in greater depth than will be possible
for the two preceding movements.

IV

Next we have the period of "moral suasion" in black American
literature, exemplified by the writings of James Baldwin and the civil
rights philosophy of Dr. Martin L. King. A great deal of concern is mani-
fested toward affecting the conscience of white America.

The last period we will be concerned with emerges during the 1960's,
following the Harlem riot of 1964. Malcolm X was the source of its ideologi-
cal orientation, which emphasized a militant nationalism. There exists
many parallels between this orientation and that expressed by Marcus Garvey.
However, the black self-help component became differentiated from the more
general movement of militant nationalism. This occured at the time that
Malcolm X left the Black Muslim organization. In the writings of Leroi
Jones, there emerges a new school of realism which stresses hostility and
confrontation in race relations. Unlike characters of the 1940's, here
there are no Bigger Thomases. Only warriors. The Dutchman, The Toilet and
The Slave are the three works that initiate this new school. It is signifi-
cant that poetry and the theater assumed province over the novel as vehicles
for black literary expression. This may be a consequence of the shifting
audience orientation of the black writer during the 60's. First, the
writer's role assumed a much more explicit political mandate. Second,
because this mandate entailed an effort to increase ethnic consciousness
and group solidarity, whites for the first time were but a minor consideration

in the black author's orientation. In conjunction to these developments black literature returns to an ideological framework which is indemic to the problems of black people in the urban slum.

Structurally this recent movement's context was not so much the entire black American community, indeed a definite tension existed between the exponents of militancy and the old integrationist-existentialist school. Leroi Jones and his followers stress a militant response to American racism. As yet it is too early to foresee the fate of this trend, but with the establishment of independent black publishing houses such as has happened in Detroit and Chicago--there is a likelihood that black American literature may for the first time have a life independent of white publishing houses.

<div style="text-align:center">V</div>

Overall, we plan to achieve our ends by three techniques of study.

(1) Study of the historical settings within which each of the above listed stylistic orientations emerged. This includes study of the biographies of writers and secondary critical commentary of the period.

(2) Analysis of the works of literature themselves--with special attention toward verification of our hypotheses concering the social factors that operated to effect style. Such aspects of fictional representation as the milieu, character types, racial composition of book characters, treatments of time--especially the character's past, the motives of action that impell the books characters, etc.

(3) Interviews of specialists in the field of black American

literature, especially those who have participated in one or another way
in the literary movements listed above. And also, we will interview as
many black writers as we can via mailed questionnaires.

Given the nature of the historical data that we must rely upon for
our analysis, it is necessary to seek information from as many sources as
possible as a check upon distorted impressions and sources of disagreement
between respondents. Additionally, our interviews will provide a most
valuable source of information concerning publishing, patronage and literary
apprenticeships.

As conceived, then, our study proposes to analyze styles of literary
orientation in relationship to social structural changes in the wider
society and the ideological manifestations corresponding to such changes.
Our central concern throughout will be that of discerning changes in the
social role of the black American writer and a delineation of those forces
which facilitated these changes.

SELECTED REFERENCES TO SECONDARY MATERIALS

Bone, Robert. The Negro Novel in America. New York: Yale University Press, 1958.

Boderick, Francis L. and Meier, August. Negro Protest Thought in the Twentieth Century. New York: Bobbs Merrill, 1965.

Brown, Sterling. The American Negro: His History and Literature. New York: Arno Press and New York Times, 1969.

Burke, Kenneth. _____ and Change. New York: Bobbs Merrill, 1954.

Butcher, Margaret Just. The Negro in American Culture. (Based on material of Alain Locke.) New York: Mentor, 1957.

Cronan, Edmund D. Black Muses: Story of Marcus Garvey and the Univ. Negro Improv. Assur. Madison, Wisconsin: University of Wisconsin Press, 1968.

Cruise, Harold. The Crisis of the Negro Intellectual. New York: William Morrow and Company, 1967.

DuBois, W.E.B. Souls of Black Folk. New York: Fawcett, 1961.

Ellison, Ralph. Shadow and Act. New York: Random House, 1953.

Emmanuel, James A. and Gross, Theodore L. Dark Symphony. New York: The Free Press, 1968 (this is the best anthology of Black American literature in existence).

Franklin, John Hope. From Slavery to Freedom. New York: Vintage Books, 1969.

Frazier, E. Franklin. Black Bourgoise. Glencoe, Illinois: The Free Press, 1957.

Hughes, Carl Milton. The Negro Novelist 1940-1950. New York: Citadel, 1953.

Hughes, Everett. "The Study of Occupations," in Sociology Today, Merton, Brown and Cottrell (eds.), New York: Harper, 1959.

Kellnet, Bruce. Carl van Vechter and the IRR_event Decades. Norman, Oklahoma: University of Oklahoma Press, 1968.

Littlejohn, David. Black on White: A Critical Survey of Writing by American Negros. New York: Grossman, 1966.

Locke, Alain (ed.) The New Negro: An Interpretation. New York: Albert
        and Charles   , 1925.

McKay, Claude. Harlem: Negro Metropolis. New York: E. P. Cutton, 1940.

Mannheim, Karl. Ideology and Utopia. New York: Harcourt, Brace and
        Company, 1936.

Marx, Karl. The Contribution to the Critique of Political Economy.
        Chicago: C. H. Keer, 1904.

Meier, August and Ruderich, Elliot. From Plantation to Ghetto. New York:
        Hill and Wang, 1966.

Meier, August. Negro Protest Thought in America, 1880-1915. Ann Arbor:
        University of Michigan Press, 1963.

        Wilson. Race and Radicalism: The N.A.A.C.P. and the
Communist Part in Conflict. Cornell University Press, 1964.

Spero, Sterling and Harris, A. L. The Black Worker. New York:
        University Press, 1931.

Washington, Robert. "Institutionalization of Intellectual Prooess," Center
        for Social Organization Studies, Working Paper #154, unpublished,
        March, 1970.

Watt, Ian. The Rise of the Novel. Berkeley, California: University of
        California, 1967.

SELECTED BIOGRAPHIES

DuBois, W.E.B. Dusk of Dawn. New York: Harcourt, Brace, 1940.

Hughes, Langston. The Big Sea. New York and London, unpublished, 1940.

Huston, Zora N. Dust Tracks on the Road. Philadealphi, New York and
        London: Lippencott, 1942.

Johnson, James Weldon. Along This Way. New York: Viking, 1937.

McKay, Claude. A Long Way From Here, New York: , 1937.

Redding, I. S. No Day of Triumph. New York and London, Harper, 1942.

Webb, Constance. Richard Wright, New York: Putnam, 1968.

Wright, Richard. Black Boy, (fictional) New York and London, Harper, 1945.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY: MAJOR WORKS OF BLACK AMERICAN
LITERATURE 1920-1960

Bladwin, James. Go Tell it on the Mountain. New York: Alfred A. Knopf,
     Inc., 1953; Notes of A Native Son. Boston: Beacon Press, 1955;
     Nobody Knows my Name. New York: Dial Press, 1961; Another
     Country. New York: Dial Press, 1962; The Fire Next Time. New York:
     Dial Press, 1963; Blues for Mister Charlie. New York: Dial Press,
     1965; Tell me How Long the Train's Been Gone. New York: Dial
     Press, 1968.

Brooks, Gwendolyn. A Street in Boozeville. New York: Harper, 1945;
     Annie Allen. New York: Harper, 1949; Maud Martha. New York :
     Harper, 1953; Boozeville Boys and Girls. New York: Harper, 1956;
     The   . New York: Harper, 1960; Selected Poems.
     Harper, 1963.

Cullen, Countee.   Color. New York: Harper, 1925; Ballad of the
     Brown Girl. New York: Harper, 1927; Coloring Dusk (ed.) New York:
     Harper, 1927; Copper Sun. New York: Harper, 1927; The Black
     Christ and other Poems. New York: Harper, 1929; One Way to Heaven.
     New York: Harper, 1932; On These I stand. New York: Harper, 1947.

Ellison, Ralph. Invisible Man. New York: Random House, 1952.

Hughes, Langston. The Weary Blues. New York: Knopf, 1926; Ways of White
     Folks. New York: Knopf, 1934.

Tolson, Melvin. The Big Sea. New York: Knopf, 1940; The Langston Hughes
     Reader. New York: George   , 1958; Selected Poems of
     Langston Hughes. New York: Knopf, 1959; Tamborines to Glory.
     New York: Day, 1959; Ask your Mama. New York: Knopf, 1961;
     Best of Simple. New York: Hill and Wang, 1961; The Panther and
     the Lash. New York: Knopf, 1967.

Johnson, James Weldon. The Autobiography of an Ex-Colored Man. New York:
     Knopf, 1927 (introduction by Carl van Vechter); Black Manhattan.
     New York: Knopf, 1930; Along This Way. New York: Viking, 1933.

Jones, Leroi. Preface to a Twenty Volume Suicide Note. New York: Totem
     Press-Corinth, 1961; Blues People: Negro Music in White America.
     New York: William Morrow and Company, 1963; "Harlem Reconsidered",
     a symposium Negro Digest, XIII, No. 11 (September, 1964), 16-26;
     "What Does Non-Vilence Mean?" Negro Digest, XIII, No. 12 (October,
     1964), 4-19; Dutchman and the Slave. New York: Apollo Editions,
     Inc., 1964; Black Art. Newark: Production, 1966

(mimeographed); Home: Social Essays. New York: William Morrow
and Company, 1966; Tales. New York: Grove Press, 1967; Black Music.
New York: William Morrow and Company, 1967.

Fischer, Rudolph. "The Caucasian Invades Harlem," American Mercury,
   XI (August, 1927), 393-98; The Walls of Jericho. New York:
   Alfred Knopf, 1928; The Conjure Man Dies. New York:
   Friede, 1932.

Kelley, William Melvin. A Different Drummer. New York: Doubleday
   and Company, 1962; "If you'e Woke you Dig It," New York Times
   Mazazine, May 20, 1962, p. 145; "Ivy League Negro," Esquire
   LX (August, 1963), 54-56: Dances on the Shore. New York:
   Doubleday and Company, 1964; "America in Rome," Mademoiselle, LX
   (March, 1965), 202; A Drop of Patience. New York: Doubleday and
   Company, 1965; "On Racism, Exploitation and the White Liberal,"
   Negro Digest, XVI, No. 3 (January, 1967), 5-12; Dem. New York:
   Doubleday and Company, 1967; "On Africa in the U.S.," Negro Digest,
   XVII, No. 7 (May, 1968), 10-15; "Talent's New Ware," in Kelley,
   James Earl Jones and Alvin Ailey) Negro Digest, XI, No. 12
   (October, 1962), 43-47.

McKay, Claude. Harlem Shadows. New York: Harcourt, Brace and Company,
   1922; Home to Harlem. New York: Harper, 1928; Banjo, A Story
   without a Plot. New York: Harper, 1929; Gingetown. New York:
   Harper, 1932; Gingertown. New York: Harper, 1933;
   A Long Way From Here. New York: Lee , 1937.

Marshall, Paule. Brown Girl, Brown Stores. New York: Random House, 1959;
   Soul Clap Hands and Sing. New York: Atheneum Publishers, 1961;
   "Reena," Harper's Magazine, CCXXV (October, 1962), 154-63; The
   Chosen Place, The Timeless People. New York: Harcourt, Brace, 1968.

Mayfield, Julian. The Hit. New York: Vanguard Press, 1957; The Long Night.
   New York: Vanguard Press, 1958; The Grand Parade . New York:
   Vanguard Press, 1961.

Tolson, Melvin. Rendevous with America. New York: Dodd, Mead and
   Company, 1944; Libretto for the Republic of Liberia. New York:
   Twayne Publishers, 1953; Harlem Gallery. New York: Twayne Pub-
   lishers, 1965.

Toomer, Jean. Cane. New York: Boni and Liveright, 1923.

Walker, Margaret. For My People. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1942;
   "Nauseau of Satre," Yale Review, XLII, No. 2 (December, 1952),
   251-61; Jubilee. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1966.

Walrond, Eric. *Tropic Death*. New York: Boni and Liveright, 1926.

Williams, John A. *Night Song*. New York: Farras, Strauss and Cudahy, 1961. *Sissie*. New York: Farras, Strauss and Cudahy, 1963; *This Is My Country Too*. New York: New American Library, 1965; *The Man Who Cried I Am*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 1967.

Wright, Richard. *Uncle Tom's Children: Four Nouvellas*. New York: Harper, 1938; *Native Son*. New York: Harper, 1940; *12 Million Black Voices: A Folk History of the Negro in the U.S.* New York: The Viking Press, 1941; "I Tried to be a Communist," *Atlantic Monthly*, LLXXXIV (August, 1944), 61-70; *Black Boy: A Record of Childhood and Youth*. New York: Harper, 1945; *The Outside*. New York: Harper, 1953; *Savage Holiday*. New York: , 1954; *Black Power: A Record of Reactions in a Land of Pathos*. New York: Harper, 1954; *The Color Curtain: A Report on the Conference*. Cleveland and New York: Bobson Book, Ltd., 1956; *White Man, Listen!* Garden City, New York: Doubleday, 1957; *The Long Dream*. Garden City, New York: Doubleday, 1958; *Eight Men*. Cleveland and New York: Avon, 1961.

ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

Bontemps, Arna. *God Sends Sunday*. New York: Harcourt, Brace and Company, 1931; *Black Thunder*. New York: The Macmillan Company, 1936; *Sad-Faced Boy*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1931; *We Here Tomorrow*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1945; "The Harlem Renaissance," *Saturday Review of Literature*, XXX (March 22, 1947), 12-13; 44, also in *Anger and Beyond* ; "Negro Poets, Then and Now," *Phylon*, XI (4th Quarter, 1950), 355-60; *Chariot in the Sky*. Chicago: John C. Winston Company, 1951; "The New Black Renaissance," *Negro Digest*, XIII, No. 1 (November, 1961), 52-58.

Hines, Chester. *If He Hollers Let Him Go*. New York: Doubleday, 1945; New York, 1948.

Motley, Willard. *Knock on Any Door*. New York: Appleton-Century Crofts, 1947; *We Fished All Night*. New York: Appleton-Century Crofts, 1952.

Attaway, William. *Blood on The Forge*. New York: Doubleday, 1941.

Yerby, Frank. *The Foxes of Harrow*. New York: Dial, 1946; *The Golden Hawk*. New York: Dial, 1947; *Floodtide*. New York: Dial, 1950; *Pride's Castle*. New York: Dial, 1949; *The Vixens*. New York: Dial, 1948.

Petry, Ann. *The Street*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1943; *Country Place*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1947.