

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 037 775

CG 005 180

AUTHOR Soares, Anthony T.; Soares, Louise M.
TITLE Differences in Self-Perceptions of Disadvantaged Students.
INSTITUTION American Educational Research Association, Washington, D.C.; Bridgeport Univ., Conn.
PUB DATE 2 Mar 70
NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at American Educational Research Association Convention, Minneapolis, Minnesota, March 2-6, 1970
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.25 HC-\$0.50
DESCRIPTORS *Disadvantaged Youth, *Elementary School Students, *High School Students, *Self Concept, *Self Evaluation

ABSTRACT

The study tests two hypothesis: (1) disadvantaged children have significantly higher self-perceptions than advantaged children at both the elementary and secondary school levels, and (2) disadvantaged and advantaged elementary school children have significantly higher self-perceptions than disadvantaged and advantaged high school students. 661 advantaged and disadvantaged students were used from both elementary and secondary levels. An inventory of forty bipolar traits was administered to the students in five forms to obtain five different dimensions of their self-perception. Results of analysis indicate significance between elementary and secondary students and between advantaged and disadvantaged groups. Also shown are significant interactions involving group and level factors. The report concludes that elementary school children have higher self-images than secondary school students, and that disadvantaged children also have higher self-images than advantaged children. (author/MC)

ED0 37775

Differences in Self-Perceptions
of Disadvantaged Students

Anthony T. Soares

Louise M. Soares

University of Bridgeport

Paper presented at the American Educational Research Association
Convention in Minneapolis, Minnesota, in March, 1970.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE
PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION
POSITION OR POLICY.

CG 05180

Differences in Self-Perceptions
of Disadvantaged Students

Anthony T. Soares

Louise M. Soares

University of Bridgeport

The Problem

Some of the research indicating positive self-perceptions for disadvantaged children can be interpreted in terms of social learning. Because many disadvantaged children associate with other disadvantaged children or modeling agents in their homes, schools, and communities, the self-images they have developed are reinforced by family members, friends, and teachers. Derbyshire and Brody (1964) believe that the self-image of an individual is partially defined for him by the significant people in his environment. Carter's study (1968) with Mexican-Americans supports this view. Since Mexican-Americans have their own peer groups to which they can relate and other social support, they do not rate themselves according to "Anglo" society's perception of them and so do not have negative self concepts. Other studies (Greenberg, 1965; Soares & Soares, 1969) obtained similar results.

Moreover, according to Landis, Datwyler, and Dorn (1966), the attitudes of the socio-economic class to which the individual belongs may be more important in the determination of the self concept than are the attitudes of other classes. Carter (1968) also concluded that it is probably the "Anglo" group which looks negatively upon the Mexican-Americans and so

assumes that these Mexican-Americans see themselves in the same way. This interpretation is supported by others (Anderson, 1965; Pruitt & Van de Castle, 1962).

However, what happens to a positive self concept when an individual leaves the insularity of a segregated environment and enters a more cosmopolitan and probably more pressurizing atmosphere? This was essentially the focus of the present research. More specifically, it was the intention of this study to discover whether disadvantaged children in neighborhood elementary schools have positive self-perceptions and, if so, whether these are maintained when the children enter into the more integrated world of the high school.

Therefore, the following hypotheses were tested:

- (1) Disadvantaged children have significantly higher self-perceptions than advantaged children at both the elementary and secondary school levels.
- (2) Disadvantaged and advantaged elementary school children have significantly higher self-perceptions than disadvantaged and advantaged high school students.

Methodology

Subjects

There were 661 subjects from an urban school system used in the study: 183 disadvantaged children at the elementary level and 138 at the secondary level; 190 advantaged children at the elementary level and 150 at the secondary level. The criterion of "disadvantage" was defined basically according to an annual family income of \$4,000 or less, welfare aid status,

differences except in interaction with both group and level considered simultaneously.

The means and standard deviations are listed in Table 2. Except for the IC measure, disadvantaged children consistently had higher self-perception scores than advantaged children--whether at the elementary or the secondary level--and elementary school children had higher self-perception scores than high school youths--whether belonging to the disadvantaged or the advantaged group. The only exception was between disadvantaged and advantaged elementary school children's Ideal Concept scores.

Table 1
Analysis of Variance F-Ratios
for Self-Perception Scores
of Disadvantaged and
Advantaged Children

| Source of Variation | df | F-ratios | | | | |
|---------------------|-----|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | | Self Concept | Ideal Concept | Reflected Self Classmates | Reflected Self Teachers | Reflected Self Parents |
| Group | 1 | 6.78** | 2.92 | 4.83* | 8.12** | 4.17* |
| Sex | 1 | .89 | .55 | .26 | 1.09 | .79 |
| Level | 1 | 5.98* | 4.29* | 6.06* | 3.96* | 8.82** |
| G & S | 1 | 3.65 | 2.38 | .91 | 2.28 | 1.77 |
| G x L | 1 | 5.49* | 1.57 | 4.14* | 4.37* | 5.58* |
| S x L | 1 | 2.42 | 1.38 | 1.48 | 1.57 | 3.82 |
| G x S x L | 1 | 5.73* | 3.87* | 2.52 | 3.98* | 9.57** |
| Within | 653 | | | | | |
| Total | 660 | | | | | |

N=661

*p < .05

**p < .01

Table 2
Means and Standard
Deviations of Self-Perception
Scores of Disadvantaged
and Advantaged Students

| Group | Self-Perception Measure | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | SC | IC | RSc | RSt | RSp |
| Elementary level --Disadvantaged n = 183 | 23.94 9.15 | 29.33 10.42 | 23.31 11.29 | 23.96 9.26 | 23.63 10.67 |
| Elementary level --Advantaged n = 190 | 21.12 9.50 | 30.18 10.37 | 20.67 11.07 | 20.00 10.24 | 20.91 11.27 |
| Mean difference | 2.82* | -.35 | 2.64* | 3.96* | 2.72* |
| Secondary level --Disadvantaged n = 133 | 20.31 9.01 | 26.75 12.17 | 20.79 12.04 | 20.46 9.98 | 19.43 12.02 |
| Secondary level --Advantaged n = 150 | 17.12 9.98 | 25.24 13.67 | 17.95 10.75 | 16.02 9.84 | 17.13 11.20 |
| Mean difference | 3.19** | 1.51 | 2.84* | 4.44** | 2.30* |
| Difference -- Elem. & Sec. Disadvantaged | 3.63** | 3.08* | 2.52* | 3.50** | 4.20** |
| Difference --Elem. & Sec. Advantaged | 4.00** | 4.94** | 2.72** | 3.98** | 3.78** |

N=651

*P < .05

**P < .01

Conclusions

The most important conclusions which can be drawn are these: (1) disadvantaged children view themselves and think that ~~others~~^{others} (i.e., their classmates, teachers, and parents) look at them more positively than do advantaged children; (2) elementary school children have higher self-images than secondary school students. Therefore, in comparison to elementary school children, both disadvantaged and advantaged high school students showed a diminishing of self-image--possibly due in part to the greater pressures of the higher level of education. There is some support for this conclusion from Coleman (1966), among others, who believes that, when minority and disadvantaged groups become part of an integrated school system, their self concepts diminish--but so do the self-perceptions of advantaged students. This latter finding is similar to what Rosenberg (1965) discovered in that the "Old Yankee stock" children have a self-esteem level which is lower than that of other groups--a surprising result since they tend to possess those status characteristics which are more likely to be associated with high self-esteem. It would seem that the change from neighborhood schools to integrated high schools, with their greater competitiveness and less security, contributes to the lowering of self-images for both disadvantaged and advantaged youngsters.

Bibliography

- Anderson, C. L. Development of an objective measure of orientation toward public dependence. Social Forces, 1965, 44, 107-113.
- Carter, T. P. The negative self-concepts of Mexican-American students. School and Society, 1963, 96, 217-219.
- Coleman, J. S., Campbell, E. Q., Hobson, C. J., McPartland, J., Mood, A. M., Weinfeld, F. D., & York, R. L. Equality of Educational Opportunity. Washington, D. C.: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1966.
- Derbyshire, R. L., & Brody, E. B. Marginality, identity, and behavior in the American Negro: A functional analysis. International Journal of Social Psychiatry, 1964, 10, 7-13.
- Greenberg, J. W., Gerver, J. M., Chall, J., & Davidson, H. H. Attitudes of children from a deprived environment toward achievement related concepts. Journal of Educational Research, 1965, 59, 57-62.
- Landis, J. R., Datwyler, D., & Dorn, D. S. Race and social class as determinants of social distance. Sociology and Social Research, 1966, 51, 78-86.
- Pruitt, W. A., & Van de Castle, R. L. Dependency measures and welfare chronicity. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 1962, 26, 559-560.
- Rosenberg, M. Society and the adolescent self-image. Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press, 1965.
- Soares, A. T., & Soares, L. M. Self-perceptions of culturally disadvantaged children. American Educational Research Journal, 1969, 6, 31-45.