

ED 018 207

JC 680 090

A SURVEY OF COMMUNITY SERVICES IN CALIFORNIA JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICTS, 1966-67.

BY- KEIM, WILLIAM A. AND OTHERS
CALIFORNIA JUNIOR COLL. ASSN., SACRAMENTO

PUB DATE 67

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.25 HC-\$1.08 25P.


DESCRIPTORS- *JUNIOR COLLEGES; *COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAMS; *PUBLIC EDUCATION; *RECREATIONAL PROGRAMS; *CULTURAL ACTIVITIES; SCHOOL COMMUNITY RELATIONSHIP; HIGHER EDUCATION; COMMUNITY RECREATION PROGRAMS;

A 27-ITEM QUESTIONNAIRE WAS SENT TO JUNIOR COLLEGES IN FIVE TYPES OF DISTRICTS TO DETERMINE THE EXTENT OF THEIR COMMUNITY SERVICES. SIXTY USABLE RESPONSES WERE RECEIVED. THE TYPES OF DISTRICT WERE 1-CAMPUS INDEPENDENT, MULTI-CAMPUS INDEPENDENT, JOINT JUNIOR COLLEGE, SINGLE-CAMPUS UNIFIED, AND MULTI-CAMPUS UNIFIED. DATA FROM THE SURVEY INCLUDED (1) CAMPUS ENROLLMENT AND COMMUNITY POPULATION FIGURES, (2) NUMBER OF PERSONNEL ADMINISTERING COMMUNITY SERVICES, (3) ANY ANTICIPATED CHANGES IN PERSONNEL, (4) COMMUNITY TAX RATE, (5) YEARLY INCOME FOR SERVICES, (6) PERCENTAGE OF COMMUNITY SERVICES BUDGET USED FOR CIVIC CENTER EXPENDITURES, FOR COMMUNITY EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, FOR RECREATION PROGRAMS, AND FOR "OTHER" SERVICES, (7) SOURCES OF INCOME BESIDES COMMUNITY SERVICE TAX LEVIES, SUCH AS STUDENT BODY FUNDS AND GENERAL FUNDS, (8) THE NUMBER OF COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS AND OF THE GENERAL POPULATION USING CAMPUS FACILITIES, (9) THE NUMBER OF NON-CREDIT, EDUCATIONAL COURSES OFFERED AND THEIR ESTIMATED ATTENDANCE, (10) THE NUMBER OF COMMUNITY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COURSES OFFERED AND THEIR ATTENDANCE, (11) PUBLIC COUNSELING AND CONSULTATIVE SERVICES OFFERED, (12) AVAILABILITY OF RADIO-TV SERVICES FOR THE COMMUNITY, (13) EXISTENCE OF FACULTY AND STUDENT PROGRAMS FOR COMMUNITY GROUPS, (14) THE RANK EMPHASIS FOR COMMUNITY PROGRAMS, (15) TOTAL NUMBER OF EVENTS OFFERED AND THEIR ATTENDANCE, AND (16) THE MOST IMPORTANT FUTURE PLANS OR INNOVATIONS. (HH)

ED018207

A Survey of Community Services in California Junior Colleges

1966-67



090 080 682

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE
PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION
POSITION OR POLICY.

A SURVEY OF COMMUNITY SERVICES
IN CALIFORNIA JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICTS
1966 - 67

Survey prepared by CJCA Committee on
Community Services - 1967 - 68

William A. Keim, Chairman, CJCA Committee
Administrative Dean, Community Services
Cerritos College

Foster Davidoff, President
Compton College

Dr. George Faul, President
Monterey Peninsula College

Arthur C. Knopf, Director
Information Services
Riverside City College

Dr. William Miller
Coordinator of Community Education
College of San Mateo

Dr. William P. Niland, President
Diablo Valley College

Assisted by Robert J. Fitch
Director, Institutional Research
Cerritos College

UNIVERSITY OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES

FEB 23 1968

CLEARINGHOUSE FOR
JUNIOR COLLEGE
INFORMATION

QUESTION #1. WHAT TYPE OF DISTRICT RESPONDED TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE?

Total Responses included in the Survey = 60

		Campus	District
Type I	Independent District - one campus	27	27
Type II	Independent District - multi campus	11	7
Type III	Joint Junior College District	12	12
Type IV	Unified District - single campus	3	3
Type V	Unified District - multi campus	7	2
		<u>60</u>	<u>51</u>

68 Colleges responded to the questionnaire, making 80% total responses. However, for various technical reasons, 8 of the questionnaires were rejected and were not included in the survey. The rest were categorized according to type of district using the above classification system.

Question #2. TOTAL ENROLLMENT BY CAMPUS.

Total Responses = 60

Information reported in 1000's.

SIZE	TYPE OF DISTRICT					CAMPUS TOTALS
	I	II	III	IV	V	
999 or less	3		1	1		5
1000 to 1999	4	1	1			6
2000 to 2999	2		6	1		9
3000 to 3999	2					2
4000 to 4999	4					4
5000 to 5999	2	1			1	4 --- Median 6000
6000 to 6999	1		1			2
7000 to 7999	1	2	2			5
8000 to 8999	1	4				5
9000 to 9999	1		1			2
10,000 to 10,999	2	1				3
11,000 to 11,999	1				1	2
12,000 to 12,999					1	1
13,000 to 13,999	1					1
14,000 to 14,999	1	1			2	4
15,000 to 15,999	1				1	2
16,000 to 16,999						0
17,000 to 17,999		1		1	1	3
TOTALS	27	11	12	3	7	60

Question #3. ESTIMATED POPULATION OF COMMUNITY SERVED BY DISTRICT.

SIZE	TYPE OF DISTRICT					DISTRICT TOTAL
	I	II	III	IV	V	
Less than 50,000	2		1	1		4
50,000 to 99,000	6		1	1		8
100,000 to 199,000	9		4			13
200,000 to 299,000	4	1	1			6
300,000 to 399,000	3	3	5	1		12
400,000 to 499,000	1					1
500,000 to 599,000	2	3				5
600,000 to 699,000					1	1
700,000 to 799,000						0
800,000 or more					1	1
TOTALS	27	7	12	3	2	51

Question #4. TOTAL NUMBER OF PERSONNEL ASSIGNED TO ADMINISTRATION OF COMMUNITY SERVICES BY CAMPUS - NOT INCLUDING HOURLY, CIVIC CENTER OR RECREATION PERSONNEL.

	TYPE OF DISTRICT					CAMPUS TOTAL
	I	II	III	IV	V	
0	8	4	1		4	17
1	5	1	3	1	1	11
2	9	2	3	1	1	16
3	2	3	3			8
4			2			2
5	1	1				2
6						0
7	1					1
8	1					1
9						
10						
No Response				1	1	2
TOTALS	27	11	12	3	7	60

Question #5. NATURE OF ANTICIPATED ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES FOR THE COMING YEAR, BY CAMPUS.

	TYPE OF DISTRICT					CAMPUS TOTAL
	I	II	III	IV	V	
No change anticipated in administration	12	5	6	2	5	30
Will add a full-time administrator	4	4	4			12
Will generally expand to include more part-time assignments	9	1	1			11
Some District changes will result in greater administrative time allotment	2	1	1		1	5
No response			1	1		2
TOTALS	27	11	12	3	7	60

Question #6. COMMUNITY SERVICES TAX RATE LEVIED, BY DISTRICT.
 (Maximum tax allowable 5.0 per \$100 of assessed valuation)

	<u>TYPE OF DISTRICT</u>					<u>DISTRICT TOTAL</u>
	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	
No response				1		1
0 = No tax	3		1			4
Less than 1¢	4			1	2	7
1.0 to 1.9	1		1			2
2.0 to 2.9	1					1
3.0 to 3.9			1			1
4.0 to 4.9	1	2	3			6
5.0	17	5	6	1		29
TOTALS	27	7	12	3	2	51

Question #7. WHAT IS YOUR COMMUNITY SERVICES INCOME PER YEAR? BY DISTRICT

Information reported in 1,000's.

TYPE OF DISTRICT

DISTRICT TOTAL

	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	
Tax levied but no estimate	4			1		5
No tax - no estimate	3		1		1	5
000 - 049,000	5		1	1		7
050 - 099,000	4		2	1		7
100 - 119,000	5	1	2			8
120 - 139,000	1				1	2
140 - 159,000	1					1
160 - 179,000	1		1			2
180 - 199,000	1					1
200 - 219,000			1			1
220 - 239,000						
240 - 259,000	1		1			2
260 - 279,000			1			1
280 - 299,000			1			1
300 - 319,000						
320 - 339,000		1				1
340 - 359,000	1	1				2
360 - 379,000			1			1
380 - 399,000		1				1
400 - 419,000						
420 - 439,000						
440 - 459,000						
460 - 479,000						
480 - 499,000						
500 - 519,000		2				2
520 - 539,000						
540 - 559,000						
560 - 579,000						
580 -						
750,000		1				1
TOTALS	27	7	12	3	2	51

Estimated total income using maximum \$7,920,000

Question #8. WHAT % OF YOUR COMMUNITY SERVICES BUDGET WAS APPROPRIATED FOR CIVIC CENTER EXPENDITURES? (BY CAMPUS)

	TYPE OF DISTRICT					ALL CAMPUSES
	I	II	III	IV	V	
No Response	2	0	2	1	1	6
% appropriated	0	5	10	3	25	0-0-0
	0	5	10	8	25	1
	0	5	11		25	3
	1	7	12		25	4-4
	4	7	14		25	5-5-5-5
	4	10	15		25	6-6
	5	23	20			7-7
	6	23	20			8
	6	23	23			10-10-10-10
	10	50	99			11
	15	50				12
	20					14
	24					15-15
	26					20-20-20
	26					23-23-23-23
	26					24
	30					25-25-25-25-25-25
	35					26-26-26
	43					30
	44					35
	50					43
	50					44
	75					50-50-50-50
	99					75
	99					99-99-99
Number Campuses Responding	25	11	10	2	6	Total Number Responding = 60

Median for all campuses % = 18.5%

Question #9. WHAT % OF YOUR COMMUNITY SERVICES INCOME WAS APPROPRIATED FOR COMMUNITY SERVICE EDUCATIONAL SERVICES? (BY CAMPUS)

	TYPE OF DISTRICT					All Campuses
	I	II	III	IV	V	
No Response	3	0	2	1	1	7
None	7	3	3	0	6	19
% appropriated	01 03 03 07 10 10 10 15 21 27 30 35 49 72 73 75 75	02 02 15 15 30 68 80 80	07 09 10 10 12 16 25	08 19		01 02-02 03-03 07-07 08 09 Median = 8.5% 10-10-10-10-10 12 15-15-15 16 19 21 25 27 30-30 35 49 68 72 73 75-75 80-80
Number Campuses Reporting	27	11	12	3	7	

Median % = 8.5%

Total number responding =60
Median of all campuses = 8.5%

Question #10. WHAT % OF COMMUNITY SERVICES INCOME WAS APPROPRIATED FOR RECREATION? (BY CAMPUS)

	TYPE OF DISTRICT					All Campuses
	I	II	III	IV	V	
No Response	3	0	2	1	1	7
None	8	1	4			13
	01	01	06	04	75	01-01-01-01
	01	01	07	08	75	02
	02	03	10		75	03-03-03-03
	03	03	12		75	04-04-04
	03	04	20		75	06
	04	07	35		75	07-07-07 Median = 7.0%
	10	07				08-08
	10	08				10-10-10-10
	10	15				12
	14	15				14
	15					15-15-15-15
	15					20
	30					30
	33					33
	33					35
	46					46
	68					68
						75-75-75-75-75-75
Number campuses	27	11	12	3	7	

Total Number Responding = 60

Median of all campuses = 7.0%

Question #11. WHAT PERCENTAGE OF COMMUNITY SERVICES INCOME WAS SPENT FOR "OTHER?" *

	<u>TYPE OF DISTRICT</u>					<u>All campuses</u>
	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	
No Response	3	0	2	1	1	7
None	9	0	1		6	16
	05	13	02	02		02-02
	08	14	34	73		05
	10	14	40			08
	15	20	45			10
	21	20	50			13
	21	47	72			14-14
	35	75	75			15
	45	75	77			20-20 <u>Median</u> = 20.8%
	50	83	90			21-21
	53	83				34
	60	90				35
	70					40
	74					45-45
	90					47
	99					50-50
						53
						60
						70
						72
						73
						74
						75-75-75
						77
						83-83
						90-90-90
						99
Total	27	11	12	3	7	

Total Number Responding = 60
 Median of all campuses = 20.8%

*Other is primarily Capital Outlay.

Question #12. DID YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL INCOME FOR COMMUNITY SERVICES OTHER THAN COMMUNITY SERVICES TAX RATES? SOURCE? (By Campus)

		<u>TYPE OF DISTRICT</u>					
		<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Fees for	No	9	2	2	1	2	16
Classes	Yes	7	3	2	1	0	13
Fees for	No	9	0	2	1	2	14
Forums	Yes	7	5	2	1	0	15
Fees for	No	10	1	2	2	2	17
Recreation	Yes	6	4	2	0	0	12
Fees for	No	9	4	1	1	0	15
Other	Yes	7	1	3	1	2	14

No. Schools with some other source of income = 29

No. with other source of income

	8	6	6	1	2	- 23
No Response	3	0	2	0	3	8

Total Responding = 52

* Question #13. HOW MUCH OF YOUR COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM IS DERIVED FROM THE USE OF STUDENT BODY FUNDS? (By Campus)

	Amount					CAMPUS TOTAL
	<u>TYPE OF DISTRICT</u>					
	I	II	III	IV	V	
No Response	1	1	0	0	2	4
None	10	6	10	2	0	28
Some - but estimate of amount reported	2	0	0	1	0	3

Estimated Amount in Thousands

01	4					4
02	2	1				3
03	2	1				3
04					1	1
05	3	1	1			5
06	1					1
07					1	1
08			1			1
09		1			1	2
15	1				1	2
30	1					1
36					1	1

*The validity of the question is in doubt. There seemed to be confusion caused by the wording of the instrument and a general lack of understanding as to the intent.

* Question #14. WHAT % OF YOUR COMMUNITY SERVICES PROGRAM IS FINANCED THROUGH THE USE OF STUDENT BODY FUNDS? (By campus)

	<u>TYPE OF DISTRICT</u>					CAMPUS TOTAL
	I	II	III	IV	V	
No Response	2	1	0	1	3	7
None	17	6	10	2	0	35

Percentage	%	%	%	%	%	%
	16	01	03		06	01
	30	04	10		10	03
	50	05			66	04
	55	16			99	05
	67					06
	75					10
	99					10
	99					16
						16
						30
						50
						55
						66
						67
						75
						99
						99
						99

42 of the campuses either did not respond or received no financial support from student funds.

18 campuses receive support varying from 1% to 99% support from student funds for programs which they classify as Community Services.

*The validity of the question is in doubt. There seemed to be confusion caused by the wording of the instrument and a general lack of understanding as to the intent.

* Question #15. WHAT % OF YOUR COMMUNITY SERVICES BUDGET WAS SUBSIDIZED FROM YOUR COLLEGE GENERAL FUND?

	<u>TYPE OF DISTRICT</u>					<u>CAMPUS TOTAL</u>
	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	
Number Responses	2	1	0	1	2	6
Number Campuses	16	9	9	1	5	40
Percentage	%	%	%	%	%	%
	01	05	03	38		01
	04		20			03
	07		99			04
	10					05
	25					07
	30					10
	50					20
	84					25
	85					30
						38
						50
						84
						85
						99

46 campuses either did not respond or received no financial support from the General Fund.

14 indicated partial support with one college reporting 99% of its program coming from the General Fund.

*The validity of the question is in doubt. There seemed to be confusion caused by the wording of the instrument and a general lack of understanding as to the intent.

Question #16. WHAT IS THE ESTIMATED NUMBER OF COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS USING YOUR CAMPUS FACILITIES THIS YEAR?

	<u>TYPE OF DISTRICT</u>					<u>CAMPUS TOTALS</u>
	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	
No Response	0	0	1	0	0	1
Less than 50	15	6	5	1	3	30
50 - 99	7	3	2	0	4	16
100 - 199	3	0	3	0	0	6
200 - 299	1	2	0	0	0	3
300 - 399	0	0	1	0	0	1
400 - 499	1	0	0	0	0	1
500 - 599	0	0	0	1	0	1
600 - 699	0	0	0	1	0	1

TOTAL CAMPUSES 60

Question #17. WHAT IS THE ESTIMATED NUMBER OF PERSONS USING YOUR FACILITIES UNDER CIVIC CENTER?

In Thousands	<u>TYPE OF DISTRICT</u>					TOTALS IN THOUSANDS
	I	II	III	IV	V	
No Response	1	1	1	0	0	
None	3	0	1	1	0	
1	5	1				6
2	2	1				3
3	1					1
4	2		1	1		4
5	3	1			1	5
6			1		1	2
7			1			1
8			1			1
10					1	1
12			1			1
14					1	1
15	1	1				2
17	1					1
20	1	2			1	4
28	1					1
30	2					2
31			1			1
39		1				1
43			1			1
54		1				1
78			1			1
100					1	1
103	1					1
110					1	1
115		1				1
122	1					1
132	1					1
145			1			1
192	1					1
200		1				1

Median No. Attending about 9,000

TOTAL 1,808,000

Question #18. HOW MANY NON-CREDIT SHORT COURSES, SEMINARS, WORKSHOPS, CONFERENCES, INSTITUTES DO YOU OFFER IN YOUR PROGRAM?

	<u>TYPE OF DISTRICT</u>					CAMPUS TOTALS
	I	II	III	IV	V	
Do not offer or No Response	8	2	2	0	5	18
Yes, but no Estimate	2	0	2	0	0	4
	01	01	05	04	01	01-01-01-01
	01	07	06	15	25	02
	02	10	08	44		03-03-03
	03	12	08			04-04-04
	03	15	08			05
	03	18	10			06-06-06-06-06
	04	23	12			07
	04	36	25			08-08-08-08
	06	65				10-10
	06					12-12
	06					13
	06					15-15
	08					18
	13					23
	48					25-25
	99					36
						44
						48
						65
						99

Median Number Events - all campuses = 3.5

Median Number Events - for schools offering
such a program = 7.75

Question #19. NUMBER OF PERSONS ATTENDING WORKSHOPS, SEMINARS, SHORT COURSES, ETC. (By Campus)

	<u>TYPE OF DISTRICT</u>					CAMPUS TOTAL
	I	II	III	IV	V	
No Response	10	2	2		5	19
Yes, but no Estimate	6	1	4			11

	<u>Response in Thousands</u>					CAMPUS TOTAL
	I	II	III	IV	V	
1000	8	4	5	2	1	20
2000		2				2
3000	1		1			2
4000	1					1
5000					1	1
6000						
7000						
8000						
9000						
10,000	1	1				2
11,000						
12,000						
13,000		1				1
						72,000

Of the 30 campuses not responding or making no estimate, most reported that attendance estimates are not kept. Those reporting attendance for the most part indicated that it represented those attending short courses.

Question #21. COMMUNITY RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS - NUMBER OF PERSONS ATTENDING

Data reported in 1000's.

	<u>TYPE OF DISTRICT</u>					
	I	II	III	IV	V	TOTAL
No Response	19	6	6	2	7	40
Yes, but no Estimate	1	1	4	0	0	6
1	7	3	2	1		13
2						
3						
4						
5		1				1

18,000+ attending

Question #22. DO YOU OFFER COMMUNITY COUNSELING & CONSULTATIVE SERVICES THROUGH COMMUNITY SERVICES?

	<u>TYPE OF DISTRICT</u>					
	I	II	III	IV	V	TOTAL
No Response	2	0	1	0	0	3
No	16	6	8	1	7	38
Yes	9	5	3	2	0	19

Question #23. DO YOU OFFER RADIO-TV FOR COMMUNITY USE THROUGH COMMUNITY SERVICES?

	<u>TYPE OF DISTRICT</u>					<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	
No Response to question	2	0	0	0	0	2
No Services	22	9	8	2	5	46
Yes	3	2	2	1	2	12

Question #24. DO YOU OFFER FACULTY & STUDENT PROGRAMS FOR COMMUNITY GROUPS THROUGH COMMUNITY SERVICES?

	<u>TYPE OF DISTRICT</u>					<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	
No Response	2	0	1	0		3
No	3	1	2	0	2	8
Yes	22	10	9	3	5	49

Question #25. RANK THE EMPHASIS WHICH THE FOLLOWING RECEIVE IN YOUR PROGRAM.

Rank	Non-Credit Courses	Community Research & Development	Counseling	Radio-TV	Faculty-Student Program
1	30	1	0	1	20
2	12	3	3	4	25
3	3	15	15	4	3
4	2	16	17	9	4
5	5	17	18	34	1
Value	96	201	209	227	100
Number Rankings	52	52	53	52	53
Mean Rank	1.85	3.85	3.94	4.37	1.89

Rank System 1 = strongest emphasis
5 = least strong emphasis

Mean ranks would indicate that the services ranked in the following order would receive the most emphasis:

1. Non-credit courses, seminars, workshop.
2. Faculty-student programs
3. Community Research & Development
4. Community counseling
5. Radio-TV

Question #26. TOTAL NUMBER OF EVENTS OFFERED AND THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE ATTENDING.

TYPE OF EVENT	No. of campuses that offered that type of program	Total No. of Events offered during the year	Total No. of persons attending these events	Average No. of persons attending each event
1. Community Forums & Lecture Series	59	742	269,000	362
2. Fine Arts Events	54	679	224,000	330
3. Recreation Events	38	1,519+	808,000	532
4. Performing Arts Events (Theatre/Music)	49	681+	199,000	292
5. Art Festivals	28	90	50,000	556
6. Planetarium & Museum Shows	18	738	78,000	106
7. *Other	20			
TOTAL		4,449	1,628,000	366

*Other Events include some symphony, large seminars or workshops, ballets, etc.

Question #27. WHAT IS THE STRONGEST COMMUNITY SERVICES EFFORT FOR THE FUTURE * WHAT NEW DIRECTIONS FOR THE COMING YEAR?

	<u>TYPE OF DISTRICT</u>					<u>TOTAL</u>
	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	
No new directions	4	3	4	0	2	13
Over-all Expansion of the Community Services function	9	3	8	2	0	22
Developing specific program	14	5	0	1	5	25
					TOTAL	60

There seems to be general effort toward expansion and the development of new programs to match present successful attempts.