

R E P O R T R E S U M E S

ED 012 174

JC 670 063

CONGRUENCE OF SELF AND IDEAL-SELF AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICES.

BY- ANDERSON, THOMAS B. OLSEN, LEROY C.

AMERICAN PERSONNEL AND GUIDANCE ASSN., WASH., D.C.

PUB DATE OCT 65

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.09 HC-\$0.40 10P.

DESCRIPTORS- \*JUNIOR COLLEGES, \*OCCUPATIONAL CHOICE, \*OCCUPATIONAL GUIDANCE, ASPIRATION, CAREER CHOICE, \*SELF CONCEPT, SELF EVALUATION, \*STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS, TESTING, FLANAGAN APTITUDE CLASSIFICATION TEST, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THIS STUDY WAS DESIGNED TO DETERMINE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE CONGRUENCE OF SELF AND IDEAL-SELF UTILIZING A Q-SORT AND OCCUPATIONAL CHOICES MADE BY POTENTIAL 4-YEAR COLLEGE STUDENTS IN COMPARISON TO POTENTIAL JUNIOR COLLEGE TERMINAL STUDENTS. POTENTIAL 4-YEAR COLLEGE STUDENTS AND POTENTIAL JUNIOR COLLEGE TERMINAL STUDENTS WERE IDENTIFIED BY STANDARDIZED APTITUDE TESTS. OF THE SAMPLE (N 96), SIX OF THE 4-YEAR POTENTIAL COLLEGE STUDENTS MADE CHOICES IN THE INAPPROPRIATE OCCUPATIONAL AREA, WHILE 12 OF THE POTENTIAL JUNIOR COLLEGE TERMINAL STUDENTS MADE INAPPROPRIATE OCCUPATIONAL AREA CHOICES. SUBJECTS SHOWED A TENDENCY TO CHOOSE OCCUPATIONAL GOALS ABOVE THEIR APTITUDE AS OPPOSED TO SELECTING OCCUPATIONS BELOW THEIR APTITUDE LEVEL. THE RESULTS OF THE STUDY ARE INCONCLUSIVE AND DO NOT PROVIDE EVIDENCE AS TO WHETHER THE GREATER CONGRUENCE OF THE SUBJECT'S APPRAISED SELF AND IDEAL-SELF IS RELATED TO HIS ABILITY TO MAKE REALISTIC OCCUPATIONAL CHOICES. FURTHER RESEARCH IS INDICATED. THIS ARTICLE WAS PUBLISHED IN "THE PERSONNEL AND GUIDANCE JOURNAL," VOLUME 44, OCTOBER 1965. (AUTHOR/SF)

# THE PERSONNEL AND GUIDANCE JOURNAL

44/171-176, October 1965

## EDITORIAL BOARD

**ERIC**

**BUFORD STEFFLRE (1966)**  
*Chairman and Editor*  
*Michigan State University*

**FREDERICK G. BROWN (1968)**  
*Iowa State University*

**JACK C. MERWIN (1967)**  
*University of Minnesota*

**JOSEPH L. FELIX (1967)**  
*Courter Technical High School, Cincinnati*

**ROBERT P. O'HARA (1968)**  
*Boston College*

**LEO GOLDMAN (1966)**  
*City University of New York*

**BLANCHE B. PAULSON (1967)**  
*Chicago Public Schools*

**TED LANDSMAN (1968)**  
*University of Florida*

**GUY A. RENZAGLIA (1967)**  
*Southern Illinois University*

**CHARLES L. LEWIS (1968)**  
*University of Tennessee*

**EDWARD C. ROEBER (1966)**  
*University of Michigan*

## EXECUTIVE OFFICE STAFF

**ARTHUR A. HITCHCOCK**  
*Executive Director*

**PATRICIA M. LAWLOR**  
*Director of Association Services*

**DAVID A. DAWSON**  
*Director of Business and Financial Services*

**LAURA MAE KRFS**  
*Specialist for Professional Information*

**STEPHEN B. FRIEDHEIM**  
*Director of Public Relations*

**ROGER C. BOWMAN**  
*Manager, Publications*

## JOURNAL STAFF

**ROGER C. BOWMAN**  
*Managing Editor*

**STEPHEN B. FRIEDHEIM**  
*Advertising Manager*

**PATRICE DEGNAN**  
*Editorial Associate*

**MANUSCRIPTS:** Address all correspondence concerning manuscripts to The Editor, THE PERSONNEL AND GUIDANCE JOURNAL, 1605 New Hampshire Ave., N.W., Washington, D. C. 20009. **SUBSCRIPTIONS:** Subscription price is \$10.00 per year. Single copies, \$1.00. Checks should be made payable to the American Personnel and Guidance Association. **CHANGE OF ADDRESS:** Notification of change of address of subscriber or member should be sent at least four weeks in advance to the American Personnel and Guidance Association. Undelivered copies resulting from address changes will not be replaced; subscribers should notify the post office that they will guarantee second class forwarding postage. Other claims for undelivered copies must be made within four months of publication.

**INDEXING:** Articles in this Journal are indexed in *Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature, Occupational Index, Education Index, Guidance Index, Psychological Abstracts, Public Affairs Information Service, and Internationalen Bibliographie der Zeitschriftenliteratur.* **MEMBERSHIP:** Membership in the American Personnel and Guidance Association includes a subscription to THE PERSONNEL AND GUIDANCE JOURNAL. Information concerning application for admission to membership may be obtained by writing the Association. **THE PERSONNEL AND GUIDANCE JOURNAL** is printed monthly, September through June, at 20th and Northampton Streets, Easton, Pa. 18042. Second class postage paid at Easton, Pa. Copyright 1966, by the American Personnel and Guidance Association, Inc.

**EDUCATIONAL  
PRESS  
ASSOCIATION  
OF  
AMERICA**

Published September through June by the  
American Personnel and Guidance Association, Inc.  
1605 New Hampshire Avenue N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009  
Phone: AC 202 483-4636

JC 670 063

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED  
BY *Dyckman W. Vermilye for*  
*American Personnel & Guidance*  
TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING *Assn.*  
UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE U.S. OFFICE OF  
EDUCATION. FURTHER REPRODUCTION OUTSIDE  
THE ERIC SYSTEM REQUIRES PERMISSION OF  
THE COPYRIGHT OWNER."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE  
OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE  
PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS  
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION  
POSITION OR POLICY.

from *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 44: 171-176 (1965)

JC 67-0063

# Congruence of Self And Ideal-Self And Occupational Choices

UNIVERSITY OF CALIF.  
LOS ANGELES

JAN 12 1967

CLEGG HOUSE FOR  
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE

THOMAS B. ANDERSON

LEROY C. OLSEN

The purpose of this study was to determine the relationship between the congruence of self and ideal-self utilizing a Q-sort and occupational choices made by potential four-year college students and potential junior college terminal students. To determine if there was a significant difference between the means of Q-sort scores for the groups, *t*'s were calculated. The chi-square tests of significance between the groups in their selection of realistic occupational goals indicated that a significantly greater number of the four-year college groups selected realistic occupational goals, .01 level. A greater number of subjects selected occupational goals above their aptitude level and in inappropriate occupational areas than the number of subjects who chose occupations below their aptitude level.

AMONG THE PROBLEMS of concern to many American high school students are the decisions they must make concerning their plans for post-high school education and their eventual entry into the world of work. They are faced with the problem of selecting (1) a field of occupation, (2) an educational program that will prepare them for their field, and (3) an institution that will provide the opportunity for them to achieve the necessary education.

THOMAS B. ANDERSON is Chairman, Committee on Mental Health, State of Washington; LEROY C. OLSEN is Associate Professor of Education, Washington State University, Pullman.

Super (1951) has suggested that occupational choices are implementations of the self-concept. He postulated that the self-concept is the product of interacting heredity, physical factors, opportunity for various roles, and the extent of approval from superiors and peers. Compromises between the individual and environmental variables, between the self-concepts and reality demands, are made through role-playing opportunities in fantasy, counseling, school, or work.

Recent studies on the self-concept have yielded some evidence to indicate that there is a positive relationship between the congruency of self and ideal-self and the achievement of successful adjustment

October, 1965 171

(Calvin and Holtzman, 1953; Hanlon, 1954; Holt, 1951; Levy, 1956; Strong & Feder, 1961). These studies indicated that a high correlation between a person's appraised self and ideal-self is concomitant with successful school adjustment, successful adjustment resulting from psychotherapy, acceptance of others, and acceptance by others.

#### PROBLEM

The purpose of this study was to determine the relationship between the congruence of self and ideal-self and occupational choices made by potential four-year college students and potential junior-college terminal students.

The hypothesis in this study was: There is a positive relationship between the congruence of self and ideal-self and the ability to make realistic choices of occupational goals.

Potential four-year college students and potential junior college terminal students were identified by standardized aptitude tests. A comparison of the two groups was made for the purpose of answering the following questions:

1. To what extent were the occupational goals selected by each group realistic?
2. Do a significantly greater number of potential four-year college subjects select realistic occupational goals than do potential junior-college terminal subjects?
3. Does a positive relationship exist between the degree of congruence of self and ideal-self and the selection of realistic occupational goals by the subjects in both groups?
4. Is there a significantly greater degree of congruence of self and ideal-self among the potential four-year college group than among the junior-college terminal group?

The independent variable in this study was the congruency of self and ideal-self as measured by a paper and pencil Q-sort similar to that devised by Engel (1959) and containing positively or negatively toned self-referent items.

The dependent variable was the realistic and unrealistic occupational choices of the subjects established by median percentile scores in the 37 occupational areas for

which the Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests (FACT) yield percentile scores (Flanagan, 1958, 1959). The publishers of FACT provide minimum median percentile scores for each occupational field. Part of the scoring procedure requires the examinee to rank in order of magnitude the percentile scores he has achieved in the tests that are essential for a given occupational field. If the median percentile score achieved by the examinee equals or exceeds the median percentile score established as essential for success in the occupational field selected, it is suggested that the examinee possesses the aptitude necessary to succeed in that field. In this way the occupational choice made by an examinee may be judged realistic or unrealistic.

#### Sample

Subjects for the study were 96 students selected from the senior class of North Central High School, Spokane, Washington, for the school year 1961-62. The subjects were randomly selected from the senior homerooms. Fifty-one boys and 45 girls were selected. One subject was 16, 77 were 17, and 18 were 18.

The first step in the collection of the data for the study was to obtain a personal data sheet from each subject. The personal data sheet provided the following information pertinent to the study: (1) name, (2) homeroom number, (3) age, (4) date of birth, and (5) first and second choice of an occupation.

The FACT was then administered to the 96 subjects to provide the criteria for judging whether the subjects' occupational choices were realistic or unrealistic and to determine which subjects were to be designated as potential four-year college students and which subjects were to be designated as potential junior-college terminal students.

The Q-sort was administered following the subjects' completion of the FACT. The subjects were instructed to sort the self-referent items in a manner that would describe himself. This sort provided what was believed to be a measure of each subject's real self.

To prevent the subject's memory from influencing the second sort the student was not given the second sort until a period of

three weeks had elapsed. The subjects were then instructed to sort the self-referent items in a manner that would describe the kind of person each subject would like to be. This sort provided what was believed to be a measure of a subject's perception of the ideal-self.

The sorts were completed by having each subject place the items in 11 categories, ranging from least like himself to most like himself. By placing the items in the various categories for both the self and the ideal-self, each item yielded a score consisting of the difference between its placement in the "real self" sort and the "ideal-self" sort. These differences provided the basis for determining the degree of congruency between the perceived self and ideal-self of each subject.

#### Procedure for Identifying Groups

In order to test the hypothesis in this study, the subjects were divided into the following groups:

1. Subjects who had made realistic occupational choices
2. Subjects who had made unrealistic occupational choices
3. Potential four-year college students
4. Potential junior-college terminal students.

The criteria for determining whether the subjects' occupational choices were realistic were:

1. The level of occupational choice was attainable by the subject as determined by median percentile scores on the FACT
2. The field of occupational choice was attainable by the subject as determined by median percentile scores achieved in a specific field

A subject's occupational choice was judged unrealistic if he had chosen an occupational level above his measured aptitude, below his measured aptitude, or in an area in which he had not achieved a qualifying median percentile score as measured by the FACT.

Subjects were judged to be potential four-year college students or potential junior-college terminal students by the following criteria:

1. Subjects were judged to be potential four-year college students if

they had achieved median percentile scores on the FACT equal to or above the median percentile scores in those occupational fields that required four or more years of college training.

2. Subjects were judged to be potential junior-college terminal students if they had achieved median percentile scores on the FACT in those occupational fields that required less than four years of college training.

To test the hypothesis in this study the following steps were taken:

1. The absolute difference between the self-sort and the ideal self-sort were computed for each subject. This absolute difference between sorts for each subject was treated as a Q-sort score.
2. From these Q-sort scores means were computed for both the realistic occupational choice group and the unrealistic occupational choice group.
3. A one-tailed *t*-test was applied to determine whether or not the difference between the group means was significant in favor of the realistic choice group.

This procedure was repeated to determine mean absolute difference on Q-sorts for potential four-year college students and potential junior college terminal students. A one-tailed *t*-test was applied to determine whether or not the difference between the group means was significant in favor of the potential four-year college group. The 95 per cent level of confidence was taken as a fiducial limit for accepting the hypothesis.

To determine if a significant difference existed between potential four-year college students and potential junior college terminal students in their selection of occupational goals, a chi-square test of difference between groups was computed. The rationale for the selection of chi-square was based on the procedure employed for dividing the subjects into groups.

#### RESULTS

The number of subjects making realistic and unrealistic occupational choices and the number of subjects identified as potential four-year college students and potential

**TABLE 1**  
Potential Four-Year College Students and Potential Junior College Terminal Students Making Realistic and Unrealistic Occupational Choices (N = 96)

Students	Number Making Choices			Total
	Realistic	Unrealistic		
Four-year college	Male	18	8	26
	Female	19	4	23
	Subtotal	37	12	49
Junior college terminal	Male	11	14	25
	Female	5	17	22
	Subtotal	16	31	47
Total	53	43	96	

junior college terminal students are presented in TABLE 1.

It was observed that a total of 43 subjects made unrealistic occupational choices. The numbers of subjects making choices above their aptitude level, below their aptitude level, and in the inappropriate occupational areas according to the FACT percentile scores are indicated in TABLE 2.

Criteria for judging subjects' choices as unrealistic because the choice was above the students' aptitude level limited this category. The criteria limited the choices made below the subjects' aptitudes to potential four-year college students.

The number of potential four-year college students who made choices in the inappropriate occupational areas were five males and one female for a total of six subjects. The number of potential junior college terminal students who made choices in the inappropriate occupational areas were seven males and five females for a total of 12 subjects.

**TABLE 2**  
Direction of Unrealistic Occupational Choices of Subjects (N = 43)

	No. of Choices Above Aptitudes	No. of Choices Below Aptitudes	No. of Choices in Inappropriate Areas	Total
Male	7	3	12	22
Female	12	3	6	21
Total	19	6	18	43

**TABLE 3**  
Chi-Square Test of Significance Between Potential Four-Year College Students and Potential Junior College Terminal Students in the Selection of Realistic and Unrealistic Occupational Goals (N = 96)

	Number Making Choices		
	Realistic	Unrealistic	Total
Potential four-year college students	37 (27.05)	12 (21.95)	49
Potential junior college terminal students	16 (25.94)	31 (21.05)	47
Total	53	43	96

$$\chi^2 = 16.7 \quad p < .01$$

A chi-square test of significance between the potential four-year college students and potential junior college students in the selection of realistic and unrealistic occupational goals was calculated and is presented in TABLE 3.

The means and standard deviations of differences between self and ideal-self (Q-sort scores) for the unrealistic and realistic choice groups and for the potential junior college and potential four-year college groups are presented in TABLES 4 and 5.

**TABLE 4**  
Means and Standard Deviations of Differences Between Self and Ideal-Self Scores of Unrealistic and Realistic Groups (N = 96)

Groups	N	Mean	SD	t	P
Unrealistic	43	218.77	58.27	1.8	N.S.
Realistic	53	200.74	47.25		
Total	96				

**TABLE 5**  
Means and Standard Deviations of Differences Between Self and Ideal-Self Scores of Potential Junior College and Potential Four-Year College Groups (N = 96)

Groups	N	Mean	SD	t	P
Potential junior college	47	215.83	55.63	1.2	N.S.
Potential four-year college	49	202.08	50.06		
Total	96				

To determine if there were significant differences between the means of the differences of Q-sort scores of the groups, *t*'s were calculated for the realistic versus unrealistic groups and for the potential four-year college versus potential junior college terminal groups.

In order to test if the assumption of the homogeneity of variances required for a *t*-test was met, an *F* ratio was obtained for the variances of the realistic occupational choice groups and the unrealistic choice groups. An *F* ratio of 1.5205 was found not to be significant at the .05 level.

An *F* ratio was obtained for the variances of the potential four-year college group and the potential junior college terminal group. An *F* ratio of 1.234 was found not to be significant at the .05 level.

From the *t*-values, the null hypothesis was accepted for the sample of the population tested. No significant difference was found in favor of the realistic occupational choice group in the congruence of self and ideal-self. No significant difference was found in favor of the potential four-year college group in the congruence of self and ideal-self.

#### DISCUSSION

Results of this study indicated that a greater number of subjects showed a tendency to choose occupational goals above their aptitude level and in inappropriate occupational areas than the number of subjects who chose occupations below their aptitude level. The tendency on the part of the subjects to choose occupations above their aptitude levels may be the result of the influence of their culture in which a great emphasis has been placed on the prestige and value of occupations that require college training. The tendency on the part of a number of subjects to choose occupations above their aptitude level or in inappropriate occupational areas may be a result of the subjects' perceptions of self. The inability of these subjects to realistically appraise their aptitudes and successfully relate them to the critical job tasks of occupations may contribute to the tendency to make inappropriate choices.

Further investigations are needed to find the relationship of the congruence of self and ideal-self to the realistic choice of oc-

cupations. The results of this study are inconclusive and do not provide evidence as to whether the greater congruence of the subject's appraised self and ideal-self is related to his ability to make realistic occupational choices. Random samples of subjects from different populations, the use of other instruments and techniques to establish self and ideal-self congruency, and the establishment of new criteria for judging the appropriateness of subjects' occupational choices may yield evidence that will further an understanding of this concept.

The dropout rate of junior colleges, the failure of students to transfer to four-year colleges who plan to transfer, the failure of junior colleges to provide curriculums appropriate to the needs of their students suggest that research investigating the needs and values of junior college students would be profitable to both the students and to those who would provide students with educational opportunities.

#### REFERENCES

- BILLS, R. E., VANCE, E. L., & MCLEAN, O. C. An index of adjustment and values. *J. consult. Psychol.*, 1951, 15, 257-261.
- BLOCK, J. A. Comparison of the forced and unforced Q-sorting procedures. *Educ. psychol. Measmt.*, 1956, 16, 481-493.
- CALVIN, A. D., & HOLTZMAN, W. H. Adjustment and the discrepancy between self concept and inferred self. *J. consult. Psychol.*, 1953, 17, 39-44.
- COWEN, E. L. Social desirability of trait descriptive terms: A factor in the prediction of Q-sort. *J. Pers.* 1960, 28, 530-544.
- DYMOND, R. F. An adjustment score for Q-sort. *J. consult. Psychol.*, 1953, 17, 339-342.
- ENGEL, M. The stability of the self-concept in adolescents. *J. abnorm. soc. Psychol.*, 1959, 59, 656-659.
- FLANAGAN, J. C. *Flanagan aptitude classification test technical report*. Chicago; Science Research Associates, 1958.
- FLANAGAN, J. G. *Flanagan aptitude classification test technical report*. Chicago; Science Research Associates, 1959.
- HANLON, T. E. Congruence of self and ideal-self in relation to personality adjustment. *J. consult. Psychol.*, 1954, 18, 215-218.
- HILDEN, A. A. Q-sort correlation stability and random choice of statements. *J. consult. Psychol.*, 1958, 22, 45-50.
- HOLT, R. R. Accuracy of self-evaluation: Its measurement and some of its personalogical correlates. *J. consult. Psychol.*, 1951, 15, 95-101.

- HOPPOCK, R. *Occupational information*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1957.
- LEVY, L. H. The meaning and generality of perceived actual-ideal discrepancies. *J. consult. Psychol.*, 1956, 20, 396-398.
- LISON, N. H., & NICHOLS, T. F. Discrimination and reliability in Q-sorting procedures. *Educ. psychol. Measmt.* 1956, 16, 159-165.
- SEIDMAN, J. M. *The adolescent*. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1960.
- STRONG, D. J., & FEDER, D. D. Measurements of the self-concept: A critique of the literature. *J. counsel. Psychol.*, 1961, 8, 170-177.
- SUPER, D. E. Vocational adjustment implementing a self concept. *Occupations*, 1951, 30, 88-92.

### School Scheduling by Computer

▶ The Educational Facilities Laboratories, Inc., has published a report entitled *School Scheduling by Computer: The Story of GASP* (Generalized Academic Stimulation Programs). The report provides information about the operation and the degrees of success that school scheduling by means of GASP has had in several school systems. It offers evidence that automation can be used effectively in building a master school schedule, that it need not be prohibitively expensive, and that in numerous ways it can be a significant tool in planning new physical plants and avoiding costly errors. Information is provided about what data is needed, staff requirements, possible costs, and resources for appropriate computer equipment. Copies are available from Educational Facilities Laboratories, 477 Madison Avenue, New York 22, New York.